

The underground network: facilitation in soil bacteria

Jesse Jorna, Byron Adams, Zachary Aanderud, Paul Frandsen, Cristina Takacs-Vesbach, Sonia Kéfi

▶ To cite this version:

Jesse Jorna, Byron Adams, Zachary Aanderud, Paul Frandsen, Cristina Takacs-Vesbach, et al.. The underground network: facilitation in soil bacteria. Oikos, 2024, 2024 (8), 10.1111/oik.10299 . hal-04668234

HAL Id: hal-04668234 https://hal.umontpellier.fr/hal-04668234v1

Submitted on 6 Aug 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 The underground network: Facilitation in soil bacteria

Jesse Jorna [1], Byron J. Adams [1][5], Zachary T. Aanderud [2], Paul B. Frandsen [2], Cristina Takacs-

Vesbach [3] and Sonia Kéfi [4,6]

[1] Brigham Young University, Department of Biology, Provo, UT USA [2] Brigham Young University, Department of Plant and Wildlife Sciences, Provo, 4 UT USA [3] University of New Mexico, Department of Biology, Albuquerque, NM USA [4] Université de Montpellier, Institut des Sciences de l'Evolution 5 de Montpellier, CNRS, IRD, EPHE, Montpellier, France [5] Monte L. Bean Life Science Museum, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT USA, [6] Santa Fe 6 Institute, Santa Fe, NM USA 7 Our understanding of the fundamental role that soil bacteria play in the structure and functioning 8 9 of Earth's ecosystems is ever expanding, but insight into the nature of interactions within these 10 bacterial communities remains rudimentary. Bacterial facilitation may enhance the establishment, growth, and succession of eukaryotic biota, elevating the complexity and diversity of the entire 11 soil community and thereby modulating multiple ecosystem functions. Global climate change 12 often alters soil bacterial community composition, which, in turn, impacts other dependent biota. 13 However, the impact of climate change on facilitation within bacterial communities remains poorly 14 15 understood even though it may have important cascading consequences for entire ecosystems. The wealth of metagenomic data currently being generated gives community ecologists the ability 16 to investigate bacterial facilitation in the natural world and how it affects ecological systems 17 18 responses to climate change. Here, we review current evidence demonstrating the importance of 19 facilitation in promoting emergent properties such as community diversity, ecosystem functioning, and resilience to climate change in soil bacterial communities. We show that a synthesis is 20 currently missing between the abundant data, newly developed models and a coherent ecological 21 22 framework that addresses these emergent properties. We highlight that including phylogenetic information, the physicochemical environment, and species-specific ecologies can improve our 23 ability to infer interactions in natural soil communities. Following these recommendations, studies 24 25 on bacterial facilitation will be an important piece of the puzzle to understand the consequences 26 of global change on ecological communities and a model to advance our understanding of 27 facilitation in complex communities more generally.

28 Keywords: facilitation, bacteria, climate change, stress-gradient hypothesis, resilience

29

2

3

30 Introduction

31 "Natural, unconscious mutualism is one of the basic principles of biology"

32 W.C Allee in 'Principles of Animal Ecology', 1949

Soil bacterial communities are integral parts of almost all of earth's ecosystems, from 33 sediments in the deepest marine trenches to soils on the highest mountains (Takami et 34 al. 1997, Zhang et al. 2009). As fundamental links in the soil-plant interface and chemical 35 pumps for the nitrogen and carbon cycles (Prashar et al. 2014, Naylor et al. 2020, 36 Domeignoz-Horta et al. 2020), the importance of soil bacteria for life on earth is hard to 37 overstate. Mirroring Darwin's observations on plant communities, repeated evidence has 38 identified soil bacterial diversity as an essential driver of ecosystem functioning (Finlay et 39 al. 1997, Delgado-Baquerizo et al. 2017). Soil bacterial diversity ultimately facilitates 40 many aspects of plant growth (Hayat et al. 2010), survival (Wei et al. 2019) and fertility 41 (Chaparro et al. 2012), and influences atmospheric processes through reducing 42 greenhouse gas emissions and sequestering CO2 thereby directly impacting human 43 survival and well-being (Paustian et al. 2016). Further, the loss of bacterial diversity can 44 45 impact large-scale ecosystem processes such as carbon cycling capabilities of soils in a wide range of ecosystems (de Graaff et al. 2015). Our understanding of the relationship 46 47 between bacterial diversity and soil function continues to improve (Wagg et al. 2019), but studies so far have overlooked the role of biotic interactions in structuring bacterial 48 communities (Horner-Devine et al. 2004, Abdul Rahman et al. 2021). 49

50 The importance of biotic interactions in specific aspects of microbial ecology has long 51 been recognized, for example, in the formation of biofilms or microbial mats (Davey and

O'toole 2000). Microbial ecology has historically focused predominantly on negative 52 interactions in bacterial communities, such as competition for resources (Hibbing et al. 53 2010) or parasitic relationships (Geiman 1964). Over the past decade, these negative 54 interactions and their effects on community functions have continued to be examined in 55 bacterial systems, without taking positive interactions into account (Ghoul and Mitri 2016). 56 57 The relative importance of positive versus negative interactions has been investigated using culture-based studies (Griffin et al. 2004) and some have argued that, on average, 58 negative interactions are the prevailing drivers of bacterial community structure in 59 experiments while positive interactions would be rare (Palmer and Foster 2022). 60 However, positive interactions have long been known to be an essential component of 61 bacterial communities; decades ago, Hardin (1944) established that some bacterial 62 species cannot exist in mono-culture and require a symbiotic partner for survival. More 63 recent studies have suggested positive interactions are important for community structure 64 and functions, and probably more common than previously thought in bacterial 65 communities (Pacheco et al. 2019, Kehe et al. 2021). As bacterial soil communities are 66 increasingly studied worldwide, in particular because of the tools and data required to do 67 68 so have increasingly become available, it is important that positive interactions are properly considered in these systems. 69

Interactions can be mutually (+/+) or asymmetrically (+/0) positive, and both types are more broadly referred to as facilitation. The most cited definition of facilitation in community ecology requires a positive effect on a single species' establishment, growth, or recruitment without negatively impacting the other (e.g. Bertness and Callaway 1994, and more specifically in Bronstein 2009). While exploitation or parasitism (+/-) may see

one species' growth being facilitated by the presence of another (see e.g. Kehe et al. 75 2021), these interactions are typically grouped outside of ecological facilitation in the 76 literature (see for instance Stachowicz 2001). Facilitation has been shown to have 77 important effects on community structure and functioning in a variety of bacterial 78 communities and can occur both intra- and interspecifically (Miele et al. 2019, Navarro-79 80 Cano et al. 2021). Intra-species facilitation, for example in Myxococcus strains, can lead to complex emergent effects that provide function and alter community structure in a 81 multicellular community. These populations collectively excrete enzymes to kill 'prey', 82 including larger eukaryotes, a phenomenon referred to as social 'predation' (Contreras-83 Moreno et al. 2024); and they are also well known for their aggregated fruiting body 84 formation under environmental stress, in which different individuals specialize to facilitate 85 resistant spore development (Reichenbach 1993). Examples of inter-specific facilitation 86 are seen when species defend themselves and other community members against 87 88 Myxococcus predation, by contributing to biofilm formation and through the production of antimicrobial chemicals (Thiery and Kaimer 2020). The bacterial world is full of other 89 examples, where direct, pairwise facilitation benefits growth of bacterial strains (Ferrier et 90 91 al. 2002, Morris et al. 2008, Pekkonen and Laakso 2012), confers benefits in dealing with environmental stress (Silveira Martins et al. 2016) and structures entire communities and 92 93 maintains biodiversity (Kaeberlein et al. 2002, Niehaus et al. 2019). Facilitation can also 94 be indirect or context-dependent, and higher order interactions may be important for diversity and resulting ecosystem functions (Bairey et al. 2016). In bacterial soil 95 communities, relatively little is known about the effects of facilitation on community 96 97 structure, functions and response to global change.

Soil bacterial communities are in a time of extensive exploration due to the rapidly 98 increasing availability of genetic data and the development of metagenomic techniques 99 (Riesenfeld et al. 2004, Thompson et al. 2017). As the role of bacteria in driving soil 100 functions in agricultural and natural systems becomes more apparent (Falkowski et al. 101 2008, Astudillo-García et al. 2019), the potential vulnerabilities of soil bacterial 102 103 communities to climate change has facilitated their inclusion into broader ecological theory (Prosser et al. 2007, Naylor et al. 2020). This makes them an excellent study 104 105 system for fundamental ecological questions, such as the importance and prevalence of 106 facilitative interactions in complex communities and the relationship of interactions to the environment. However, comprehensive studies of bacterial facilitation in naturally 107 108 occurring soil communities remain rare in the literature. Recent studies have investigated soil bacterial interactions in a broader ecological framework (Goberna et al. 2019, 109 Hernandez et al. 2021), but many microbial ecology papers only scratch the surface of 110 111 what interaction networks may explain in regard to facilitation (Goberna and Verdú 2022), and especially how this might be pertinent in relationship to global change. 112

113 When investigated, facilitation in soil bacteria is often demonstrated to have important 114 consequences for the larger soil community, including eukaryotic structure and function (Rodríguez-Echeverría et al. 2016), and plays a role in the mediation of stressful 115 conditions induced by climate change (David et al. 2020, Yuan et al. 2021). Bacterial soil 116 117 community interactions are thus intrinsically linked to the functioning and resilience of 118 whole ecosystems. Here, we argue that soil bacterial communities are an underutilized 119 study system for investigating the importance of facilitation in complex natural systems, and we show how their study can help advance our understanding of the way interactions 120

affect the responses of these systems to global change. Specifically, understanding the role of facilitation on emergent properties of soil systems requires adopting a broader ecological framework, which could prove crucial for predicting the effects of global change in both soils and ecosystems more generally.

125

2 - Prevalence of bacterial facilitation in culture and nature

Microcosm studies have provided evidence for the existence of bacterial facilitation since 127 the development of this field of study. The seminal work by Winogradsky on nitrifying 128 129 organisms first showed how bacteria may depend on the metabolic product produced by another organism (Winogradsky 1890). Later, microcosms continued laying the 130 groundwork of such resource-sharing mechanisms, by which bacteria may grow on 131 132 otherwise nutrient-deficient media (Winkler et al. 1952). This bacterial 'cross-feeding' 133 exists in many forms and has long been shown as a requirement for the establishment of some species in microcosm (Yeoh et al. 1968). These examples of cross-feeding are now 134 135 understood to be more energetically efficient and lead to higher growth rates (Costa et al. 2006). Bacterial species that evolve complementary resource-use strategies may make 136 137 use of available waste products in co-culture, ultimately resulting in higher productivity than in monoculture (Lawrence et al. 2012). It is noteworthy that these studies elucidating 138 the mechanisms underlying facilitation have been limited to the investigation of pairwise 139 140 bacterial interactions. In complex bacterial communities, facilitative relationships between 141 species are not fixed and consist of a dynamic interplay between different species and genotypes (Velicer 2003). To understand the importance of facilitative interactions in 142

bacterial communities, more studies in the complex conditions of the natural world areneeded (Little et al. 2008, Kodera et al. 2022).

145 Natural soil communities consist of bacterial species constantly interacting with each other, and intra- and interspecific relationships are mediated by the abilities of species to 146 communicate with and regulate each other (West et al. 2006). Bacteria that competitively 147 exclude one another in isolated co-culture may instead co-exist in more complex 148 149 communities (Chang et al. 2023). For example, in natural soils bacteria commonly 150 aggregate in biofilms and thus form intricate ecological and social networks that express many facilitative functions (Watnick and Kolter 2000, Solano et al. 2014). Protection from 151 trophic pressures (Matz and Kjelleberg 2005, Justice et al. 2008), UV-radiation (Córdova-152 153 Alcántara et al. 2019) or desiccation (Rosenzweig et al. 2012) are commonly associated 154 with biofilm formation of bacteria, all underlaid by community interactions (Zachar and 155 Boza 2022). Public good production is also required to lay the groundwork for biofilm 156 formation and is further promoted inside closely interacting biofilm communities 157 (Flemming and Wingender 2010, Liu et al. 2015). Biofilms thus represent, essentially, a 158 mediation of the environment, whether resource-based or through other compounds, 159 which is a common way for bacterial organisms to make new niche space available and increase the diversity and productivity of ecosystems (Oña et al. 2021). Biofilms also 160 create a space for rapid bacterial evolution through the facilitation of horizontal gene 161 162 transfer (Song et al. 2021), and they facilitate the dispersal of biofilm members to novel environments (Kaplan and Fine 2002). Biofilm formation itself is even inherently 163 dependent on facilitative processes such as polymer excretions and metabolic 164 dependencies, but they also form a battleground for bacterial competition (Huang et al. 165

166 2011, Giaouris et al. 2015). A relevant ecological question thus becomes: how are167 interaction types influenced by environmental conditions?

168 In the natural world, interactions between bacteria can shift from facilitation to competition depending on environmental conditions (Sun et al. 2022). Shifts from facilitative to 169 competitive relationships may occur as a result of rapid evolution or shifts in community 170 structure when conditions change (Zuñiga et al. 2019, Drew et al. 2021). Indeed, while a 171 large repertoire of metabolic co-dependencies exists in bacterial communities, and many 172 173 of these codependent interactions occur without significant cost to the facilitator, 174 facilitative strategies may also be costly (Pacheco et al. 2019, Boza et al. 2023). For example, cheaters may exploit such strategies and engage in an 'adaptive race' with the 175 176 facilitating organisms (Waite and Shou 2012). Potential interactions extend past the 177 metabolic realm to the production and use of specific 'public goods' in bacterial 178 communities, with common examples being the buildup of community-wide antibiotic 179 resistance and other toxicity mediation that improves the environment for other organisms 180 (Lee et al. 2010, Cordero et al. 2012, see Zengler and Zaramela 2018 for a more 181 comprehensive summary). These resource sharing interactions exist on a spectrum from 182 obligate mutualisms to facultative forms of commensalism (Morris et al. 2013), and bacteria can regulate facilitative behaviors through mechanisms like Quorum sensing 183 (O'Brien et al. 2017) and rapid evolution (Drew et al. 2021). Large-scale analysis of 184 185 natural systems suggests that different types of bacterial communities may emerge as a result, diverging into highly competitive and/or highly facilitative groups (Machado et al. 186 187 2021). Other evidence demonstrates that many more intermediates exist in nature, with facilitation playing an important role in the functioning of many bacterial communities 188

(Kost et al. 2023). What remains unclear is how the environment drives shifts in
interactions in complex natural systems, and how this in turn affects overall bacterial
community structure and functioning.

3 - Bacterial facilitation in changing environments

The Stress-Gradient Hypothesis (SGH) is a theoretical framework developed to assess 193 the relationship between the environmental conditions and the frequency and strength of 194 195 facilitative interactions (Bertness and Callaway 1994). Although the (species- or ecosystem-) specific response of the relationship between environmental stress on the 196 197 one hand and the direction and strength of interactions in the other hand may differ 198 depending on the type and strength of the stressor (Maestre et al. 2009, Smit et al. 2009), the SGH generally predicts that facilitative interactions should be more common and 199 200 important under higher environmental stress, such as drought and temperature increases 201 related to climate change (Verwijmeren et al. 2014, Gallien et al. 2018). Depending on 202 the stress type and species-specific responses, facilitative networks have also been shown to collapse entirely under severe stress (Michalet et al. 2014). As conditions 203 204 change, the overall interaction networks may change in real time as species requirements 205 adapt (Soliveres et al. 2010, Guignabert et al. 2020). While a consensus exists regarding this theory for plant communities, there is only limited evidence for its applicability to other 206 systems such as soil bacterial communities (Adams et al. 2021). The applicability of the 207 208 SGH in bacterial communities was notably first considered in a mathematical model 209 (Lawrence and Barraclough 2015), and Piccardi et al. (2019) were the first to perform a

microcosm study that investigated the interactions type and strength of four bacterial
species under changes in available nutrients and heavy metal toxicity.

212 In bacteria, public-goods producers have been used to study the shift in interaction types under resource-based (Hoek et al. 2016) or toxin-based stress gradients (Hesse et al. 213 2018). Indeed, toxicity-remediating bacteria provided the first targeted study system to 214 assess the SGH in bacterial systems (Piccardi et al. 2019), and it presented evidence for 215 216 the applicability of the SGH in this system (Hammarlund and Harcombe 2019), although 217 earlier studies had already shown similar results without addressing the SGH explicitly 218 (Silveira Martins et al. 2016, Velez et al. 2018). Toxicity gradients and associated public 219 good production remain an important model in SGH research in bacteria, including in 220 naturally occurring bacterial soil communities (Martino et al. 2023), where 221 anthropogenically polluted systems were shown to exhibit a higher proportion of positive 222 interactions in response to copper stress (Hesse et al. 2021) and other heavy metals (Li 223 et al. 2017). These studies have focused mostly on pairwise interactions in co-culture and 224 have provided strong evidence for the utility of the SGH as a framework to investigate 225 bacterial interactions in relationship to soil physicochemistry (Silveira Martins et al. 2016, 226 Weiss et al. 2022), but they are not informative for the broader question of how complex bacterial communities might respond to multi-facetted global change. 227

Naturally occurring soil bacterial communities are increasingly recognized as a model for the study of the SGH, because of their ubiquity (Finlay 2002), large range of potential functions (Finlay et al. 1997), the ease of replication to study evolutionary and ecological time scales (Kayser et al. 2018) and their use as an analog for other biota (Steffan et al. 2015). Soil bacterial communities have been used to study interaction networks in the

context of environmental stress, pressures, and climate change (see e.g. Yuan et al. 233 2021, Yang et al. 2022). Support for the SGH within soil bacterial communities is found 234 when the effects of holistic stress gradients (Hernandez et al. 2021, Mandakovic et al. 235 2023) or an investigation of different stressors (Zhou et al. 2021) are considered, both 236 mimicking the potential effects of broader global change on soil communities. Narrower 237 238 environmental gradients such as salinity (Menéndez-Serra et al. 2022) or drought (Gao et al. 2022) may find more conflicting results where different bacterial groups exhibit more 239 240 variable responses. Collapse of facilitation under high environmental stress is shown in 241 soil bacteria as in other systems (Wang et al. 2018), and drought is frequently reported as a driving environmental control of interaction strengths (de Vries et al. 2018, Gao et al. 242 2022). However, it is not well known how global change may influence soil interaction 243 networks generally, and many studies continue to underreport the interplay between 244 environment and interaction types in soil bacterial communities. Meanwhile, the data and 245 methods are available and often already included in their analyses, yet they fall short in 246 their application within a coherent ecological framework (Ma et al. 2020a, Feng et al. 247 2024). 248

4 - How to investigate bacterial facilitation in the natural world

The arrival of high-throughput nucleic acid sequencing has offered unique advantages in the study of natural bacterial communities (Hugenholtz et al. 1998, Lozupone and Knight 2007) and their relationship to environmental pressures (Fierer and Jackson 2006). 16S rRNA or similar genetic markers enable profiling techniques that are extremely useful for rapidly and comprehensively mapping the structure and diversity of bacterial communities 255 in soils (Thompson et al. 2017). These data readily supply community ecologists with species co-occurrence matrices used to infer the strength and direction of interactions 256 between species using co-occurrence methods (Sfenthourakis et al. 2006, Veech 2013. 257 Such co-occurrence methods may include environmental and spatial data to control for 258 the potential effects of these processes and more accurately reflect real biotic interactions 259 260 (D'Amen et al. 2018), or indirect interactions between associated species (Morueta-Holme et al. 2016). Compared to other ecological systems, bacterial soil communities 261 have the added benefit of cosmopolitan ranges and high dispersal while simultaneously 262 exhibiting biogeographic species fluctuations (Martiny et al. 2006, Ramette and Tiedje 263 2007, Meyer et al. 2018), allowing for better inference of species' interactions from co-264 265 occurrences. Many studies have emerged over the last years inferring interaction networks from soil community metagenomic data with varying degrees of success 266 (Matchado et al. 2021). However, many studies present genetically inferred interaction 267 268 networks without a clear ecological framework to interpret those results and the drawbacks of using co-occurrence data to infer interaction types is frequently noted 269 270 (Barner et al. 2018, Blanchet et al. 2020).

Marker-gene based co-occurrence network approaches are thus regularly, and justly, criticized for poorly reflecting known species' ecologies (Freilich et al. 2018). However, because metagenomic datasets generate phylogenetically relevant information about investigated bacteria, this information may increase the confidence of inferred interaction types assigned through these co-occurence methods (Goberna et al. 2019). Closely phylogenetically related bacteria are more likely to exhibit competitive interactions in the same ecosystem (Tan et al. 2012). Therefore, comparing the phylogenetic diversity within ecosystems may help measure the prevalence of competitive interactions in that
ecosystem (Stegen et al. 2012). Evidence for this theory, called niche conservatism, has
broad application across the tree of life for bacteria and archaea and may increase the
confidence of assigning species interactions based on co-occurrence data (Goberna and
Verdú 2016).

Using phylogenetically informed co-occurrence networks allows researchers to 283 investigate larger conceptual patterns (Goberna and Verdú 2022). Updated frameworks 284 that build on these association methods can further improve detection of interactions by 285 286 using ecological information about species' traits with better a predictive power than phylogenetic information alone (Kéfi et al. 2016, Alneberg et al. 2020). Studies on bacteria 287 288 using such trait- (Wang et al. 2023, Metz et al. 2023) and gene-based network methods 289 to infer interaction types (Schaedel et al. 2023) may also help gain information about 290 indirect and non-linear interaction types amongst community members that might not be 291 revealed by a co-occurrence matrix alone (Saiz et al. 2019). Combined methods using 292 these trait-based approaches allow a fuller understanding of the spatial and temporal 293 variation in facilitating relationships (Tumolo et al. 2020), such as joint species distribution 294 models (D'Amen et al. 2018). Network models may also confirm known interactions and reveal previously undiscovered associations between species encompassing both trophic 295 and non-trophic interactions (Thurman et al. 2019). Using models to assess complex 296 297 interaction webs such as metabolic dependencies and grounding them with empirical data thus confirms underlying relationships (e.g. Liao et al. 2020) even when the microbial 298 299 species investigated aren't always culturable or their metabolisms known (Lam et al. 2020). Promisingly, studies that do consider specific functional groups find a high 300

interaction strength of potential facilitators (Chao et al. 2016) – though these studies, in
 turn, often refrain from putting such results in a broader ecological framework –
 hampering our ability to elucidate conclusions applicable to other systems.

To investigate the relationship between bacterial facilitation and the environment in a 304 broader ecological framework, networks need to be examined comparatively and 305 environmental information. Different environments exhibit broader 306 incorporate 307 phylogenetic diversity in bacterial communities (Goberna et al. 2014) or may directly influence the prevalence of certain interaction types (Piccardi et al. 2019). Coupled with 308 phylogenetic information, network data may infer the overdispersion of taxonomic 309 diversity and overall richness as a proxy for the strength of facilitative interactions in 310 311 different environments (Goberna and Verdú 2016). Future research needs to emphasize 312 fine-scale environmental information relevant to the bacterial communities studied to 313 understand its relationship to community interactions and the potential collapse of 314 bacterial networks under environmental stress (Michalet et al. 2014). Alternatively, 315 facilitation may be studied directly in the metagenome of whole communities by looking 316 at cooperative genes (Simonet and McNally 2021). Different approaches may reinforce 317 one another by basing the assumptions on community-level interactions inferred from phylogenetic data on evidence from pairwise-experiments and validating those 318 319 experiments by assessing natural and heterogeneous communities. These resulting 320 predictions will ultimately be helpful to understand macro-ecological processes and understanding the evolutionary pressures that shape interaction networks (Segar et al. 321 322 2020, Hall et al. 2020). The relationships between community composition, interaction types and the environment were tested predominantly in plant communities (Carrión et 323

al. 2017, Zhang et al. 2017, Pashirzad et al. 2019) and are also coming to the foreground
in research on soil bacteria (Stegen et al. 2012, Pérez-Valera et al. 2017). As these
models improve, they need to be used to address such fundamental relationships
between community interactions and the environment and can be used to synthesize the
role of bacterial communities in whole-system interactions.

329 Moving to a multi-trophic framework remains a pressing challenge for many investigations 330 of community or ecosystem structure (Seibold et al. 2018, Schleuning et al. 2020). 331 Without a doubt, soil bacterial community structure and interactions have immense 332 consequences for other organisms, whether below-ground as pathogens or mutualists in 333 the rhizosphere (Schlatter et al. 2017), above-ground biomass of plants (Saleem et al. 334 2019) and indirect effects on nutrient cycling (Dubey et al. 2019). Bacterial communities may be shaped by the interactions of other microbial trophic levels through parasitism or 335 336 grazing (Li et al. 2023), while bacterial communities themselves may facilitate plant germination in harsher environments (David et al. 2020). Indirect facilitative effects may 337 arise through inter-trophic interactions and increase both bacterial diversity 338 (Pradeep Ram et al. 2020), as well as that of their predators (Yang et al. 2018, Scheuerl 339 et al. 2019) and plants (Liu et al. 2019) through evolutionary pressures. Plants might 340 benefit from soil bacteria feedbacks under drought (Buchenau et al. 2022), while plant 341 342 responses to environmental changes further drive bacterial community structure and thus potential interactions (Koyama et al. 2018). Plant-growth-promoting bacteria can mitigate 343 the effects of environmental stress on plants (Yang et al. 2009), but this may also 344 345 negatively affect resident communities when they favor establishment of invaders (Zhang et al. 2018). A large range of potential mechanisms for facilitation thus exists between 346

these trophic levels, whether nutrient-, water-, immune-mediating- or micro-climate related. A better understanding of the role of interactions in structuring these soil communities can help elucidate ecologically important emergent properties of those systems, such as community functioning, stability, or resilience (van den Berg et al. 2022).

351 **5 – Facilitation's effect on emergent properties**

Mutualisms have long been suggested to be a driving force of ecosystem processes in 352 353 soil communities (Wall and Moore 1999) and a growing field is studying the emergent properties of interaction networks in both experiments and theoretical models (van den 354 355 Berg et al. 2022, Chang et al. 2023). Species diversity, specific ecosystem functions and 356 overall system stability or resilience are all emergent properties influenced by species interactions. Stability and resilience are defined in many different ways, but broadly 357 358 consider the ability of a system to remain in a certain state and the rate at which a system 359 can return to this state following perturbations (van Meerbeek et al. 2021, but see 360 Donohue 2016 for varying definitions). Interaction networks can be evaluated at the taxa level by the number of edges (inferred interactions), the proportions of different inferred 361 362 edges (e.g. positive versus negative) and other parameters such as centrality or 363 'keystoneness' to determine the role of nodes inside a community (Berry and Widder 2014). At the system level, modularity or connectivity may provide hints about a system's 364 emergent properties, such as stability or resilience (de Vries et al. 2018). Studying how 365 network properties vary under environmental changes allows researchers to assess the 366 367 vulnerability of soil systems to global change in terms of their functioning, diversity, and resilience. 368

Productivity may directly be enhanced by facilitative interactions in bacterial communities 370 371 (Fiegna et al. 2015). Network approaches have identified that cross-feeding interactions may be dominant drivers of bacterial community structure (Germerodt et al. 2016, Hoek 372 et al. 2016). Facilitative interactions in bacterial communities forming biofilms or biocrusts 373 promote bacterial productivity both at the community and species level (Boles et al. 2004, 374 Wu et al. 2019, Li et al. 2020). Horizontal gene transfer may directly increase some 375 376 community functions by increasing nutrient cycling or stress response in whole 377 communities (Song et al. 2021). In microcosm experiments, environmentally stressed 378 bacterial communities may require higher diversity to perform similar functions (García et 379 al. 2018). Warming may lead to direct losses productivity in microcosms (Bestion et al. 380 2020), but the interplay between environmental changes and species-specific interactions 381 is often more complex (Bestion et al. 2018). Soil functions like nutrient cycling and plant 382 growth promotion may benefit from network complexity, but decrease as networks are stressed by environmental pressures at higher elevations (Chen et al. 2022). Other 383 384 environmental stressors such as land use may greatly impact the connectivity of bacterial 385 networks and specifically impact important ecosystem functions such as carbon cycling (Xue et al. 2022). How the environment impacts ecosystem functions may be different for 386 subsets of the community, e.g. bacteria within positive interaction networks and those 387 outside (Yang et al. 2022). Different spatial scales also modulate the outcome of 388 interactions between bacterial species, which may be intensely competitive at a very local 389 390 scale but facilitate co-existence at the community level (Kuhn et al. 2022).

391 Diversity and stability

Facilitation has been found to be an important driver of biodiversity in plant communities 392 (Navarro-Cano et al. 2021), promoting coexistence both mechanistically and 393 evolutionarily (McIntire and Fajardo 2014). Facilitation in bacterial communities may 394 directly increase species diversity by creating niche space for whole metabolic consortia 395 (Pascual-García et al. 2020) or cheaters (Leinweber et al. 2017) and is often observed 396 397 specifically in cases such as biofilm formation (Wu et al. 2019). Evidence for increased stability of more diverse bacterial communities may be found when considering their 398 susceptibility to invasions in microcosms (Hodgson et al. 2002, Eisenhauer et al. 2012) 399 400 and reductions of bacterial diversity can lead to a loss of stability in soil communities (Wagg et al. 2021). For instance, invasions of new bacterial groups can change 401 402 community dynamics and alter community structure (Amor et al. 2020, Mawarda et al. 2020) – an effect to which less biodiverse systems are more susceptible (Xing et al. 2021). 403 Interaction types themselves can influence stability, as stronger competition can decrease 404 stability in bacterial communities (Ratzke et al. 2020). Positive interactions may 405 destabilize bacterial systems by causing dependencies, whereas the negative feedback 406 caused by competitive or exploitative interactions may have a stabilizing effect (Coyte et 407 408 al. 2015). Theoretical models suggest higher diversity increases community fluctuations but can make facilitating communities more stable depending on the asymmetry and 409 nestedness of their interaction networks - i.e. community structure (Thébault and 410 411 Fontaine 2010), and experimental studies have confirmed that some of these predictions hold true in microcosms (Hu et al. 2022) and in nature (Liu et al. 2022). Positive 412 413 correlations between bacterial diversity and ecosystem stability (García-García et al. 414 2019, Xu et al. 2021) and functions (Delgado-Baquerizo et al. 2017, Maron et al. 2018) 415 may be partially explained by interspecies facilitation leading to complementarity (Tilman et al. 2014). The balance of competitive to facilitative interactions is increasingly found to 416 be an important driver of species coexistence and thus of the relationship between whole-417 community diversity and stability (Gjini and Madec 2021). Importantly, the broader biotic 418 and abiotic environment is thought to drive the relationship between strength of facilitative 419 420 networks and community stability (De Vries and Shade 2013) and this relationship is not stable under changing environments (Yuan et al. 2021), which makes understanding 421 422 these relationships ever more relevant in the face of increasing challenges posed by 423 climate change.

424 *Climate resilience*

425 The functional resilience of soil bacterial communities is driven by both the physicochemical environment and the resulting community structure (Griffiths et al. 2007). 426 Keystone species within interaction networks can contribute significantly to the 427 community's overall resilience to disturbances (Ma et al. 2020b). Predominant interaction 428 types across a whole community may influence their resilience, such as a decreased 429 impact of nutrient stress on highly facilitative communities (Machado et al. 2021). 430 Conversely, highly competitive communities may be less resistant to environmental 431 fluctuations (Ratzke et al. 2020). More phylogenetically and taxonomically diverse 432 433 communities, implying more potential facilitative links, indeed show higher overall resilience to environmental stress in one study (Xun et al. 2021). Co-occurrence networks 434 may be strengthened under drought stress and thus promote community resilience (Wu 435 et al. 2019), but some bacterial communities show that highly connected co-occurrence 436 networks can break down under drought stress (de Vries et al. 2018). Overall, 437

experimental evidence for the relationship between community interaction types and 438 resilience is rare (Philippot et al. 2021), and increased theoretical modelling efforts may 439 provide better answers (van den Berg et al. 2022). Increased diversity due to facilitation 440 promoting coexistence may have beneficial effects on bacterial community resilience 441 (Yachi and Loreau 1999, Xu et al. 2021), but the direct relationship between bacterial 442 443 facilitation and resilience in the face of climate change is generally poorly understood (Bardgett and Caruso 2020). Environmental changes can further lead to indirect changes 444 445 in interaction networks, by influencing other groups such as invasive bacteria (Xing et al. 2021) or plants (Pérez Castro et al. 2019) – which may subsequently affect the resilience 446 or other emergent properties of the community. 447

448 *Resistance to invaders*

The increased establishment of invasive species under global warming is a well-known 449 driver of biodiversity loss (Pimentel et al. 2005). In the context of species' interaction 450 networks, new players may drastically alter the existing dynamics of a community and the 451 resulting resistance or resilience of an ecosystem - especially if their traits are different 452 on average (van Kleunen et al. 2010). In soils, bacterial invasions are relatively 453 understudied due to the enormous taxonomic challenges, but microcosm experiments 454 may elucidate some of the general predictions. There is some evidence that highly 455 456 facilitative communities can be more vulnerable to invaders (Li et al. 2018). Concurrently, higher resident diversity may limit invader success (van Elsas et al. 2012), and tightly 457 interwoven facilitating communities show a higher degree of resistance to invaders (Qian 458 459 and Akçay 2020, Kurkjian et al. 2021). Interactions with plants and other organisms may 460 further influence the invasibility of bacterial soil communities (Fahey et al. 2020). The

relationship of facilitation to community invasion thus depends on a complex interplay between the resident community's existing niche partitions (Wei et al. 2015), environmental conditions (Yang et al. 2017) and species' specific or evolutionary effects (van der Putten et al. 2007, Jousset et al. 2013). The effect of facilitation on such emergent properties has remained a pressing unknown in facilitation research for the last two decades (Richardson et al. 2000, Stachowicz and Byrnes 2006, Li et al. 2018, Piccardi et al. 2022).

6 – Opportunities in bacterial facilitation research

469 The last decade has seen tremendous progress in the study of bacterial facilitation, from 470 the first experimental evidence for the stress gradient hypothesis in artificial (Piccardi et al. 2019) and natural (Hernandez et al. 2021) settings, to the continuous development of 471 472 co-occurrence and modeling-based methods (Kodera et al. 2022). The important impacts 473 of environmental change on soil bacteria are now well established and the role of facilitation for soil community climate resilience and resistance remains an important area 474 of future research (Naylor et al. 2020). Both the determinants (Dai et al. 2022) and 475 476 outcomes (Ratzke et al. 2020) of such emergent properties are increasingly well 477 understood and applied in soil research (Xiang et al. 2023). However, how these emergent properties and complex dynamics emerge from interactions in bacterial 478 communities remains a topic of interest and soil systems are perfectly poised to reveal 479 these associations (Segrè et al. 2023). 480

481 Microcosm studies have allowed for the study of drivers of selection in shaping 482 community interaction types (Martin et al. 2016, Kayser et al. 2018) and have immense

promise to be engineered in a variety of experimental settings, which can help elucidate 483 ecologically meaningful patterns (Friedman et al. 2017, McCarty and Ledesma-Amaro 484 2019). They can also be used to create analogs of natural systems to distinguish 485 interactions between all the different players in a bacterial community and investigate 486 emergent properties in controlled settings (Antoniewicz 2020). Pairwise experiments 487 488 tracking population growth rates can generate hypotheses and ultimately bring to light the mechanisms by which species might interact (Löder et al. 2014), especially across 489 temporal and environmental variation (Coenen et al. 2020). In nature, modern 490 491 sequencing technologies make it possible to track both population structure and genetic diversity underlying species interactions on a very fine temporal and spatial scale (Sher 492 et al. 2011, Rodríguez-Verdugo and Ackermann 2021). These experiments can also be 493 especially useful to infer the natural parameters used in constructing models of these 494 populations in artificial space (Boza et al. 2023), while the detailed community-level 495 information can address questions about the effects of facilitation on community assembly 496 or structure (Lin et al. 2018). However, to be useful for predicting the relationship between 497 the environment and species interactions such experiments need to take the complexity 498 499 of natural systems into account, including accounting for spatial and temporal heterogeneity of communities and the more complex context of field studies (Chamberlain 500 501 et al. 2014, Xiang et al. 2023). The field is currently perfectly poised to combine both 502 approaches to investigate real-world interaction shifts, based on ground-truthed experimental evidence from microcosms (Gralka et al. 2023). 503

504 To enable the conceptual understanding of bacterial interaction networks in natural 505 systems, we hope that future research will focus on generating findable, accessible,

interoperable, and reusable genetic datasets from culture-based and real-world studies 506 (FAIR, Pacheco et al. 2022). FAIR data will allow microbial ecologists to address 507 ecological hypotheses, including ones related to the prevalence and importance of 508 facilitative interactions, and their role in dealing with challenges posed by global change. 509 Meanwhile, we should not lose sight of the underlying mechanisms that allow bacterial 510 511 facilitation in a variety of settings, and take great care to study these in detail, both in field and laboratory settings. The genetic pathways underlying cross-feeding (D'Souza et al. 512 513 2018), immune-mediating (Zélé et al. 2018), or environmental modulation interactions (Madsen et al. 2016) are increasingly mapped to enable the investigation of multiple 514 functions in natural communities (Sun et al. 2022, Wang et al. 2023). Understanding how 515 these interactions influence eventual ecosystem functions remains the challenging task 516 for this field moving forward (Delgado-Baguerizo et al. 2020). 517

518 By testing ecological predictions (Houlahan et al. 2017) and utilizing the combined 519 methods proposed in this article, we hypothesize that ecosystem functions in soils will be shown to depend on facilitative processes as much as the intensively studied nurse plants 520 521 - beneficiary systems (Brooker et al. 2008) or the intertidal communities of Bertness 522 (1989). In fact, soil bacteria might be underlying much of the ecology traditionally ascribed to interspecific plant facilitation (Rodríguez-Echeverría et al. 2016), and the role of soil 523 bacteria in ecosystem responses to climate change, including resilience and resistance, 524 will undoubtedly prove crucial in mitigating the climate impacts on ecosystems worldwide 525 (Certini and Scalenghe 2023). Describing and understanding the links between bacterial 526 527 interactions on the one hand and ecosystem functioning and vulnerability on the other hand will prove to be crucial, particularly in the face of the considerable challenges posed
to soil biodiversity by global change (Leal Filho et al. 2023).

530 Significance statement:

531 The Oikos special issue "The role of plant facilitation in mediating climate change impact on 532 biodiversity" aims to synthesize the role of plant facilitation on biodiversity in the face of 533 environmental changes. Soil bacteria are of immediate importance to this conversation as their 534 facilitative links underlie function in soil ecosystems that are crucial for plants and other biota, yet 535 are often left out of the discussion altogether. There exists no comprehensive review of the role, structure, and importance of facilitation in bacterial communities in natural soils, and the different 536 537 fields that do research bacterial facilitation often fall short in investigating those as part of a 538 comprehensive ecological framework. Here, we illustrate that facilitation in soil bacteria is ubiquitous and plays an important role in maintaining diversity, function, and resilience. We 539 provide a synthesis of methodological avenues to improve inference of facilitation in natural soil 540 541 communities and present an ecological framework to investigate these interactions in regard to 542 global change. We believe that this forum article will help researchers on plant facilitation see their findings in a broader ecological context, help microbial ecologists synthesize their research 543 544 aims within a broader ecological framework, and will be informative for anyone working in ecology generally to understand the importance of facilitation in bacterial communities and their potential 545 546 in future study.

547 **Data archiving statement:**

548 No data were used for this paper.

549 **Conflict of interest statement:**

550 The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

552 References

- Abdul Rahman, N. S. N., N. W. Abdul Hamid, and K. Nadarajah. 2021. Effects of Abiotic Stress on
 Soil Microbiome. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 22:9036.
- Adams, A. E., E. M. Besozzi, G. Shahrokhi, and M. A. Patten. 2021. A case for associational
- resistance: Apparent support for the stress gradient hypothesis varies with study system. Ecology
 Letters 25:202–217.
- Alneberg, J., C. Bennke, S. Beier, C. Bunse, C. Quince, K. Ininbergs, L. Riemann, M. Ekman, K.
- Jürgens, M. Labrenz, J. Pinhassi, and A. F. Andersson. 2020. Ecosystem-wide metagenomic binning
- 560 enables prediction of ecological niches from genomes. Communications Biology 3:1–10.
- Amor, D. R., C. Ratzke, and J. Gore. 2020. Transient invaders can induce shifts between alternative
 stable states of microbial communities. Science Advances 6:eaay8676.
- Antoniewicz, M. R. 2020. A guide to deciphering microbial interactions and metabolic fluxes in
 microbiome communities. Current Opinion in Biotechnology 64:230–237.
- 565 Astudillo-García, C., S. M. Hermans, B. Stevenson, H. L. Buckley, and G. Lear. 2019. Microbial
- assemblages and bioindicators as proxies for ecosystem health status: potential and limitations.
- 567 Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 103:6407–6421.
- Bairey, E., E. D. Kelsic, and R. Kishony. 2016. High-order species interactions shape ecosystemdiversity. Nature Communications 7:12285.
- 570 Bardgett, R. D., and T. Caruso. 2020. Soil microbial community responses to climate extremes:
- resistance, resilience and transitions to alternative states. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal
 Society B: Biological Sciences 375:20190112.
- Barner, A. K., K. E. Coblentz, S. D. Hacker, and B. A. Menge. 2018. Fundamental contradictions
 among observational and experimental estimates of non-trophic species interactions. Ecology
 99:557–566.
- van den Berg, N. I., D. Machado, S. Santos, I. Rocha, J. Chacón, W. Harcombe, S. Mitri, and K. R.
- 577 Patil. 2022. Ecological modelling approaches for predicting emergent properties in microbial
 578 communities. Nature Ecology & Evolution 6:855–865.
- Berry, D., and S. Widder. 2014. Deciphering microbial interactions and detecting keystone species
 with co-occurrence networks. Frontiers in Microbiology 5.
- Bertness, M. D. 1989. Intraspecific Competition and Facilitation in a Northern Acorn Barnacle
 Population. Ecology 70:257–268.
- Bertness, M. D., and R. Callaway. 1994. Positive interactions in communities. Trends in Ecology &
 Evolution 9:191–193.
- 585 Bestion, E., S. Barton, F. C. García, R. Warfield, and G. Yvon-Durocher. 2020. Abrupt declines in
- 586 marine phytoplankton production driven by warming and biodiversity loss in a microcosm
- 587 experiment. Ecology Letters 23:457–466.

- Bestion, E., B. García-Carreras, C.-E. Schaum, S. Pawar, and G. Yvon-Durocher. 2018. Metabolic
 traits predict the effects of warming on phytoplankton competition. Ecology Letters 21:655–664.
- Blanchet, F. G., K. Cazelles, and D. Gravel. 2020. Co-occurrence is not evidence of ecological
 interactions. Ecology Letters 23:1050–1063.
- Boles, B. R., M. Thoendel, and P. K. Singh. 2004. Self-generated diversity produces "insurance
 effects" in biofilm communities. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 101:16630–
 16635.
- Boza, G., G. Barabás, I. Scheuring, and I. Zachar. 2023. Eco-evolutionary modelling of microbial
 syntrophy indicates the robustness of cross-feeding over cross-facilitation. Scientific Reports
 13:907.
- Bronstein, J. L. 2009. The evolution of facilitation and mutualism. Journal of Ecology 97:1160–1170.
- Brooker, R. W., F. T. Maestre, R. M. Callaway, C. L. Lortie, L. A. Cavieres, G. Kunstler, P. Liancourt, K.
- 600 Tielbörger, J. M. J. Travis, F. Anthelme, C. Armas, L. Coll, E. Corcket, S. Delzon, E. Forey, Z. Kikvidze, J.
- Olofsson, F. Pugnaire, C. L. Quiroz, P. Saccone, K. Schiffers, M. Seifan, B. Touzard, and R. Michalet.
- 2008. Facilitation in plant communities: the past, the present, and the future. Journal of Ecology96:18–34.
- Buchenau, N., M. van Kleunen, and R. A. Wilschut. 2022. Direct and legacy-mediated drought
- 605 effects on plant performance are species-specific and depend on soil community composition.606 Oikos 2022:e08959.
- 607 Carrión, J. F., Gastauer, M, Mota, N M, and Meira-Neto J A A. 2017. Facilitation as a driver of plant
 608 assemblages in Caatinga. Journal of Arid Environments 142:50–58.
- 609 Certini, G., and R. Scalenghe. 2023. The crucial interactions between climate and soil. Science of
 610 The Total Environment 856:159169.
- 611 Chamberlain, S. A., J. L. Bronstein, and J. A. Rudgers. 2014. How context dependent are species
 612 interactions? Ecology Letters 17:881–890.
- 613 Chang, C.-Y., D. Bajić, J. C. C. Vila, S. Estrela, and A. Sanchez. 2023. Emergent coexistence in 614 multispecies microbial communities. Science 381:343–348.
- 615 Chao, Y., W. Liu, Y. Chen, W. Chen, L. Zhao, Q. Ding, S. Wang, Y.-T. Tang, T. Zhang, and R.-L. Qiu.
- 616 2016. Structure, Variation, and Co-occurrence of Soil Microbial Communities in Abandoned Sites
- of a Rare Earth Elements Mine. Environmental Science & Technology 50:11481–11490.
- 618 Chaparro, J. M., A. M. Sheflin, D. K. Manter, and J. M. Vivanco. 2012. Manipulating the soil
- 619 microbiome to increase soil health and plant fertility. Biology and Fertility of Soils 48:489–499.
- 620 Chen, W., J. Wang, X. Chen, Z. Meng, R. Xu, D. Duoji, J. Zhang, J. He, Z. Wang, J. Chen, K. Liu, T. Hu,
- and Y. Zhang. 2022. Soil microbial network complexity predicts ecosystem function along elevation
- 622 gradients on the Tibetan Plateau. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 172:108766.
- 623 Coenen, A. R., S. K. Hu, E. Luo, D. Muratore, and J. S. Weitz. 2020. A Primer for Microbiome Time-
- 624 Series Analysis. Frontiers in Genetics 11.

- 625 Contreras-Moreno, F. J., J. Pérez, J. Muñoz-Dorado, A. Moraleda-Muñoz, and F. J. Marcos-Torres.
 626 2024. Myxococcus xanthus predation: an updated overview. Frontiers in Microbiology 15:1339696.
- 627 Cordero, O. X., L.-A. Ventouras, E. F. DeLong, and M. F. Polz. 2012. Public good dynamics drive
- 628 evolution of iron acquisition strategies in natural bacterioplankton populations. Proceedings of the 629 National Academy of Sciences 109:20059–20064.
- 630 Córdova-Alcántara, I. M., D. L. Venegas-Cortés, M. Á. Martínez-Rivera, N. O. Pérez, and A. V.
- Rodriguez-Tovar. 2019. Biofilm characterization of Fusarium solani keratitis isolate: increased
 resistance to antifungals and UV light. Journal of Microbiology 57:485–497.
- Costa, E., J. Pérez, and J.-U. Kreft. 2006. Why is metabolic labour divided in nitrification? Trends in
 Microbiology 14:213–219.
- Coyte, K. Z., J. Schluter, and K. R. Foster. 2015. The ecology of the microbiome: Networks,
 competition, and stability. Science 350:663–666.
- Dai, T., D. Wen, C. T. Bates, L. Wu, X. Guo, S. Liu, Y. Su, J. Lei, J. Zhou, and Y. Yang. 2022. Nutrient
- supply controls the linkage between species abundance and ecological interactions in marine
 bacterial communities. Nature Communications 13:175.
- D'Amen, M., H. K. Mod, N. J. Gotelli, and A. Guisan. 2018. Disentangling biotic interactions,
 environmental filters, and dispersal limitation as drivers of species co-occurrence. Ecography
 41:1233–1244.
- Davey, M. E., and G. A. O'toole. 2000. Microbial Biofilms: from Ecology to Molecular Genetics.
 Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews 64:847–867.
- David, A. S., K. B. Thapa-Magar, E. S. Menges, C. A. Searcy, and M. E. Afkhami. 2020. Do plant–
 microbe interactions support the Stress Gradient Hypothesis? Ecology 101:e03081.
- 647 De Vries, F., and A. Shade. 2013. Controls on soil microbial community stability under climate648 change. Frontiers in Microbiology 4.
- 649 Delgado-Baquerizo, M., D. J. Eldridge, V. Ochoa, B. Gozalo, B. K. Singh, and F. T. Maestre. 2017. Soil
- microbial communities drive the resistance of ecosystem multifunctionality to global change in
 drylands across the globe. Ecology Letters 20:1295–1305.
- 652 Delgado-Baquerizo, M., P. B. Reich, C. Trivedi, D. J. Eldridge, S. Abades, F. D. Alfaro, F. Bastida, A. A.
- Berhe, N. A. Cutler, A. Gallardo, L. García-Velázquez, S. C. Hart, P. E. Hayes, J.-Z. He, Z.-Y. Hseu, H.-
- W. Hu, M. Kirchmair, S. Neuhauser, C. A. Pérez, S. C. Reed, F. Santos, B. W. Sullivan, P. Trivedi, J.-T.
- 655 Wang, L. Weber-Grullon, M. A. Williams, and B. K. Singh. 2020. Multiple elements of soil biodiversity
- drive ecosystem functions across biomes. Nature Ecology & Evolution 4:210–220.
- Domeignoz-Horta, L. A., G. Pold, X.-J. A. Liu, S. D. Frey, J. M. Melillo, and K. M. DeAngelis. 2020.
- 658 Microbial diversity drives carbon use efficiency in a model soil. Nature Communications 11:3684.
- Donohue, I., H. Hillebrand, J. M. Montoya, O. L. Petchey, S. L. Pimm, M. S. Fowler, K. Healy, A. L.
- Jackson, M. Lurgi, D. McClean, N. E. O'Connor, E. J. O'Gorman, and Q. Yang. 2016. Navigating the
- 661 complexity of ecological stability. Ecology Letters 19:1172–1185.

- Drew, G. C., E. J. Stevens, and K. C. King. 2021. Microbial evolution and transitions along the
 parasite–mutualist continuum. Nature Reviews Microbiology 19:623–638.
- D'Souza, G., S. Shitut, D. Preussger, G. Yousif, S. Waschina, and C. Kost. 2018. Ecology and
 evolution of metabolic cross-feeding interactions in bacteria. Natural Product Reports 35:455–488.
- 666 Dubey, A., M. A. Malla, F. Khan, K. Chowdhary, S. Yadav, A. Kumar, S. Sharma, P. K. Khare, and M. L.
- 667 Khan. 2019. Soil microbiome: a key player for conservation of soil health under changing climate.
- 668 Biodiversity and Conservation 28:2405–2429.
- Eisenhauer, N., S. Scheu, and A. Jousset. 2012. Bacterial Diversity Stabilizes CommunityProductivity. PLOS ONE 7:e34517.
- van Elsas, J. D., M. Chiurazzi, C. A. Mallon, D. Elhottovā, V. Krištůfek, and J. F. Salles. 2012. Microbial
 diversity determines the invasion of soil by a bacterial pathogen. Proceedings of the National
 Academy of Sciences 109:1159–1164.
- Fahey, C., A. Koyama, P. M. Antunes, K. Dunfield, and S. L. Flory. 2020. Plant communities mediate
- the interactive effects of invasion and drought on soil microbial communities. The ISME Journal
- 676 14:1396–1409.
- Falkowski, P. G., T. Fenchel, and E. F. Delong. 2008. The Microbial Engines That Drive Earth's
 Biogeochemical Cycles. Science 320:1034–1039.
- Feng, J., H. Ma, C. Wang, J. Gao, C. Zhai, L. Jiang, and S. Wan. 2024. Water rather than nitrogen
- availability predominantly modulates soil microbial beta-diversity and co-occurrence networks in a
 secondary forest. Science of The Total Environment 907:167996.
- Ferrier, M., J. L. Martin, and J. N. Rooney-Varga. 2002. Stimulation of Alexandrium fundyense growth
 by bacterial assemblages from the Bay of Fundy. Journal of Applied Microbiology 92:706–716.
- Fiegna, F., A. Moreno-Letelier, T. Bell, and T. G. Barraclough. 2015. Evolution of species interactions
 determines microbial community productivity in new environments. The ISME Journal 9:1235–1245.
- Fierer, N., and R. B. Jackson. 2006. The diversity and biogeography of soil bacterial communities.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 103:626–631.
- Finlay, B. J. 2002. Global Dispersal of Free-Living Microbial Eukaryote Species. Science 296:1061–
 1063.
- Finlay, B. J., S. C. Maberly, and J. I. Cooper. 1997. Microbial Diversity and Ecosystem Function. Oikos
 80:209–213.
- Flemming, H.-C., and J. Wingender. 2010. The biofilm matrix. Nature Reviews Microbiology 8:623–633.
- 694 Freilich, M. A., E. Wieters, B. R. Broitman, P. A. Marquet, and S. A. Navarrete. 2018. Species co-
- 695 occurrence networks: Can they reveal trophic and non-trophic interactions in ecological
- 696 communities? Ecology 99:690–699.

- Friedman, J., L. M. Higgins, and J. Gore. 2017. Community structure follows simple assembly rules
 in microbial microcosms. Nature Ecology & Evolution 1:1–7.
- Gallien, L., D. Zurell, and N. E. Zimmermann. 2018. Frequency and intensity of facilitation reveal
 opposing patterns along a stress gradient. Ecology and Evolution 8:2171–2181.
- Gao, C., L. Xu, L. Montoya, M. Madera, J. Hollingsworth, L. Chen, E. Purdom, V. Singan, J. Vogel, R. B.
- Hutmacher, J. A. Dahlberg, D. Coleman-Derr, P. G. Lemaux, and J. W. Taylor. 2022. Co-occurrence
- 703 networks reveal more complexity than community composition in resistance and resilience of
- 704 microbial communities. Nature Communications 13:3867.
- García, F. C., E. Bestion, R. Warfield, and G. Yvon-Durocher. 2018. Changes in temperature alter the
 relationship between biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. Proceedings of the National
 Academy of Sciences 115:10989–10994.
- 708 García-García, N., J. Tamames, A. M. Linz, C. Pedrós-Alió, and F. Puente-Sánchez. 2019.
- Microdiversity ensures the maintenance of functional microbial communities under changing
 environmental conditions. The ISME Journal 13:2969–2983.
- Geiman, Q. M. 1964. Comparative Physiology: Mutualism, Symbiosis, and Parasitism. Annual
 Review of Physiology 26:75–108.
- 713 Germerodt, S., K. Bohl, A. Lück, S. Pande, A. Schröter, C. Kaleta, S. Schuster, and C. Kost. 2016.
- Pervasive Selection for Cooperative Cross-Feeding in Bacterial Communities. PLOS Computational
 Biology 12:e1004986.
- Ghoul, M., and S. Mitri. 2016. The Ecology and Evolution of Microbial Competition. Trends in
 Microbiology 24:833–845.
- 718 Giaouris, E., E. Heir, M. Desvaux, M. Hébraud, T. Møretrø, S. Langsrud, A. Doulgeraki, G.-J. Nychas,
- 719 M. Kačániová, K. Czaczyk, H. Ölmez, and M. Simões. 2015. Intra- and inter-species interactions
- within biofilms of important foodborne bacterial pathogens. Frontiers in Microbiology 6.
- Gjini, E., and S. Madec. 2021. The ratio of single to co-colonization is key to complexity in
 interacting systems with multiple strains. Ecology and Evolution 11:8456–8474.
- 723 Goberna, M., A. Montesinos-Navarro, A. Valiente-Banuet, Y. Colin, A. Gómez-Fernández, S. Donat,
- J. A. Navarro-Cano, and M. Verdú. 2019. Incorporating phylogenetic metrics to microbial co-
- occurrence networks based on amplicon sequences to discern community assembly processes.
- 726 Molecular Ecology Resources 19:1552–1564.
- 727 Goberna, M., J. A. Navarro-Cano, A. Valiente-Banuet, C. García, and M. Verdú. 2014. Abiotic stress
- tolerance and competition-related traits underlie phylogenetic clustering in soil bacterial
 communities. Ecology Letters 17:1191–1201.
- Goberna, M., and M. Verdú. 2016. Predicting microbial traits with phylogenies. The ISME Journal10:959–967.
- Goberna, M., and M. Verdú. 2022. Cautionary notes on the use of co-occurrence networks in soil
 ecology. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 166:108534.

- de Graaff, M.-A., J. Adkins, P. Kardol, and H. L. Throop. 2015. A meta-analysis of soil biodiversity
 impacts on the carbon cycle. SOIL 1:257–271.
- Gralka, M., S. Pollak, and O. X. Cordero. 2023. Genome content predicts the carbon catabolic
 preferences of heterotrophic bacteria. Nature Microbiology 8:1799–1808.
- Griffin, A. S., S. A. West, and A. Buckling. 2004. Cooperation and competition in pathogenic
 bacteria. Nature 430:1024–1027.
- 740 Griffiths, B. S., P. D. Hallett, H. L. Kuan, A. S. Gregory, C. W. Watts, and A. P. Whitmore. 2007.
- Functional resilience of soil microbial communities depends on both soil structure and microbial
- community composition. Biology and Fertility of Soils 44:745–754.
- Guignabert, A., L. Augusto, M. Gonzalez, C. Chipeaux, and F. Delerue. 2020. Complex biotic
 interactions mediated by shrubs: Revisiting the stress-gradient hypothesis and consequences for
- tree seedling survival. Journal of Applied Ecology 57:1341–1350.
- 746 Hall, A. R., B. Ashby, J. Bascompte, and K. C. King. 2020. Measuring Coevolutionary Dynamics in
- 747 Species-Rich Communities. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 35:539–550.
- 748 Hammarlund, S. P., and W. R. Harcombe. 2019. Refining the stress gradient hypothesis in a
- 749 microbial community. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of750 America 116:15760–15762.
- Hardin, G. 1944. Symbiosis of Paramecium and Oikomonas. Ecology 25:304–311.
- Hayat, R., S. Ali, U. Amara, R. Khalid, and I. Ahmed. 2010. Soil beneficial bacteria and their role in
 plant growth promotion: a review. Annals of Microbiology 60:579–598.
- Hernandez, D. J., A. S. David, E. S. Menges, C. A. Searcy, and M. E. Afkhami. 2021. Environmental
 stress destabilizes microbial networks. The ISME Journal 15:1722–1734.
- Hesse, E., S. O'Brien, A. M. Luján, D. Sanders, F. Bayer, E. M. van Veen, D. J. Hodgson, and A.
- Buckling. 2021. Stress causes interspecific facilitation within a compost community. Ecology
 Letters 24:2169–2177.
- Hesse, E., S. O'Brien, N. Tromas, F. Bayer, A. M. Luján, E. M. van Veen, D. J. Hodgson, and A.
- Buckling. 2018. Ecological selection of siderophore-producing microbial taxa in response to heavy
- 761 metal contamination. Ecology Letters 21:117–127.
- Hibbing, M. E., C. Fuqua, M. R. Parsek, and S. B. Peterson. 2010. Bacterial competition: surviving
 and thriving in the microbial jungle. Nature Reviews Microbiology 8:15–25.
- Hodgson, D. J., P. B. Rainey, and A. Buckling. 2002. Mechanisms linking diversity, productivity and
 invasibility in experimental bacterial communities. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London.
 Series B: Biological Sciences 269:2277–2283.
- Hoek, T. A., K. Axelrod, T. Biancalani, E. A. Yurtsev, J. Liu, and J. Gore. 2016. Resource Availability
- Modulates the Cooperative and Competitive Nature of a Microbial Cross-Feeding Mutualism. PLOS
 Biology 14:e1002540.

- Holling, C. S. 1973. Resilience and Stability of Ecological Systems. Annual Review of Ecology and
 Systematics 4:1–23.
- Horner-Devine, M. C., K. M. Carney, and B. J. M. Bohannan. 2004. An ecological perspective on
- bacterial biodiversity. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences
 271:113–122.
- Houlahan, J. E., S. T. McKinney, T. M. Anderson, and B. J. McGill. 2017. The priority of prediction in
 ecological understanding. Oikos 126:1–7.
- Hu, J., D. R. Amor, M. Barbier, G. Bunin, and J. Gore. 2022. Emergent phases of ecological diversity
 and dynamics mapped in microcosms. Science 378:85–89.
- Huang, R., M. Li, and R. L. Gregory. 2011. Bacterial interactions in dental biofilm. Virulence 2:435–
 444.
- Hugenholtz, P., B. M. Goebel, and N. R. Pace. 1998. Impact of Culture-Independent Studies on the
 Emerging Phylogenetic View of Bacterial Diversity. Journal of Bacteriology 180:4765–4774.
- Jansson, J. K., and K. S. Hofmockel. 2020. Soil microbiomes and climate change. Nature Reviews
 Microbiology 18:35–46.
- Jousset, A., N. Eisenhauer, E. Materne, and S. Scheu. 2013. Evolutionary history predicts the
 stability of cooperation in microbial communities. Nature Communications 4:2573.
- Justice, S. S., D. A. Hunstad, L. Cegelski, and S. J. Hultgren. 2008. Morphological plasticity as a
 bacterial survival strategy. Nature Reviews Microbiology 6:162–168.
- Kaeberlein, T., K. Lewis, and S. S. Epstein. 2002. Isolating "Uncultivable" Microorganisms in Pure
 Culture in a Simulated Natural Environment. Science 296:1127–1129.
- 791 Kaplan, J. B., and D. H. Fine. 2002. Biofilm Dispersal of Neisseria subflava and Other
- Phylogenetically Diverse Oral Bacteria. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 68:4943–4950.
- Kayser, J., C. F. Schreck, Q. Yu, M. Gralka, and O. Hallatschek. 2018. Emergence of evolutionary
 driving forces in pattern-forming microbial populations. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal
 Society B: Biological Sciences 373:20170106.
- Kéfi, S., V. Miele, E. A. Wieters, S. A. Navarrete, and E. L. Berlow. 2016. How Structured Is the
 Entangled Bank? The Surprisingly Simple Organization of Multiplex Ecological Networks Leads to
 Increased Persistence and Resilience. PLOS Biology 14:e1002527.
- Kehe, J., A. Ortiz, A. Kulesa, J. Gore, P. C. Blainey, and J. Friedman. 2021. Positive interactions are
 common among culturable bacteria. Science Advances 7:eabi7159.
- van Kleunen, M., E. Weber, and M. Fischer. 2010. A meta-analysis of trait differences between
 invasive and non-invasive plant species. Ecology Letters 13:235–245.
- Kodera, S. M., P. Das, J. A. Gilbert, and H. L. Lutz. 2022. Conceptual strategies for characterizing
 interactions in microbial communities. iScience 25:103775.

- Kost, C., K. R. Patil, J. Friedman, S. L. Garcia, and M. Ralser. 2023. Metabolic exchanges are
 ubiquitous in natural microbial communities. Nature Microbiology 8:2244–2252.
- Koyama, A., J. M. Steinweg, M. L. Haddix, J. S. Dukes, and M. D. Wallenstein. 2018. Soil bacterial
 community responses to altered precipitation and temperature regimes in an old field grassland are
 mediated by plants. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 94:fix156.
- 810 Kuhn, T., M. Mamin, S. Bindschedler, R. Bshary, A. Estoppey, D. Gonzalez, F. Palmieri, P. Junier, and
- X.-Y. L. Richter. 2022. Spatial scales of competition and a growth–motility trade-off interact to
- 812 determine bacterial coexistence. Royal Society Open Science 9:211592.
- Kurkjian, H. M., M. J. Akbari, and B. Momeni. 2021. The impact of interactions on invasion and
 colonization resistance in microbial communities. PLOS Computational Biology 17:e1008643.
- Lam, T. J., M. Stamboulian, W. Han, and Y. Ye. 2020. Pathway-based and phylogenetically adjusted quantification of metabolic interaction between microbial species. bioRxiv:2020.05.15.097725.
- Lawrence, D., and T. G. Barraclough. 2015. Evolution of resource use along a gradient of stress
- 818 leads to increased facilitation. Oikos 125:1284–1295.
- Lawrence, D., F. Fiegna, V. Behrends, J. G. Bundy, A. B. Phillimore, T. Bell, and T. G. Barraclough.
- 2012. Species Interactions Alter Evolutionary Responses to a Novel Environment. PLoS Biology10:e1001330.
- Leal Filho, W., G. J. Nagy, A. F. F. Setti, A. Sharifi, F. K. Donkor, K. Batista, and I. Djekic. 2023.
- Handling the impacts of climate change on soil biodiversity. Science of The Total Environment869:161671.
- Lee, H. H., M. N. Molla, C. R. Cantor, and J. J. Collins. 2010. Bacterial charity work leads to population-wide resistance. Nature 467:82–85.
- Leinweber, A., R. Fredrik Inglis, and R. Kümmerli. 2017. Cheating fosters species co-existence in
 well-mixed bacterial communities. The ISME Journal 11:1179–1188.
- Li, G., Y. Wang, H. Li, X. Zhang, and J. Gong. 2023. Quantifying relative contributions of biotic
- interactions to bacterial diversity and community assembly by using community characteristics ofmicrobial eukaryotes. Ecological Indicators 146:109841.
- Li, H., D. Huo, W. Wang, Y. Chen, X. Cheng, G. Yu, and R. Li. 2020. Multifunctionality of biocrusts is
 positively predicted by network topologies consistent with interspecies facilitation. Molecular
 Ecology 29:1560–1573.
- Li, M., Z. Wei, J. Wang, A. Jousset, V.-P. Friman, Y. Xu, Q. Shen, and T. Pommier. 2018. Facilitation promotes invasions in plant-associated microbial communities. Ecology Letters 22:149–158.
- Li, X., D. Meng, J. Li, H. Yin, H. Liu, X. Liu, C. Cheng, Y. Xiao, Z. Liu, and M. Yan. 2017. Response of
 soil microbial communities and microbial interactions to long-term heavy metal contamination.
 Environmental Pollution 231:908–917.
- Liao, C., T. Wang, S. Maslov, and J. B. Xavier. 2020. Modeling microbial cross-feeding at intermediate scale portrays community dynamics and species coexistence. bioRxiv:2020.02.19.956383.

- Lin, X. B., T. Wang, P. Stothard, J. Corander, J. Wang, J. F. Baines, S. C. L. Knowles, L. Baltrūnaitė, G.
- Tasseva, R. Schmaltz, S. Tollenaar, L. A. Cody, T. Grenier, W. Wu, A. E. Ramer-Tait, and J. Walter.
- 2018. The evolution of ecological facilitation within mixed-species biofilms in the mouse
- 845 gastrointestinal tract. The ISME Journal 12:2770–2784.
- Little, A. E. F., C. J. Robinson, S. B. Peterson, K. F. Raffa, and J. Handelsman. 2008. Rules of
- 847 Engagement: Interspecies Interactions that Regulate Microbial Communities. Annual Review of
 848 Microbiology 62:375–401.
- 848 Microbiology 62.375–401.
- Liu, H., C. A. Macdonald, J. Cook, I. C. Anderson, and B. K. Singh. 2019. An Ecological Loop: Host
 Microbiomes across Multitrophic Interactions. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 34:1118–1130.
- Liu, J., A. Prindle, J. Humphries, M. Gabalda-Sagarra, M. Asally, D. D. Lee, S. Ly, J. Garcia-Ojalvo, and
 G. M. Süel. 2015. Metabolic co-dependence gives rise to collective oscillations within biofilms.
 Nature 523:550–554.
- Liu, S., H. Yu, Y. Yu, J. Huang, Z. Zhou, J. Zeng, P. Chen, F. Xiao, Z. He, and Q. Yan. 2022. Ecological
 stability of microbial communities in Lake Donghu regulated by keystone taxa. Ecological Indicators
 136:108695.
- Löder, M. G. J., M. Boersma, A. C. Kraberg, N. Aberle, and K. H. Wiltshire. 2014. Microbial predators
 promote their competitors: commensalism within an intra-guild predation system in
 microzooplankton. Ecosphere 5:art128.
- Lozupone, C. A., and R. Knight. 2007. Global patterns in bacterial diversity. Proceedings of the
 National Academy of Sciences 104:11436–11440.
- Ma, B., Y. Wang, S. Ye, S. Liu, E. Stirling, J. A. Gilbert, K. Faust, R. Knight, J. K. Jansson, C. Cardona, L.
 Röttjers, and J. Xu. 2020a. Earth microbial co-occurrence network reveals interconnection pattern
 across microbiomes. Microbiome 8:82.
- 865 Ma, J., Y. Lu, F. Chen, X. Li, D. Xiao, and H. Wang. 2020b. Molecular Ecological Network Complexity
- Before Stand Resilience of Soil Bacteria to Mining Disturbances among Typical Damaged
 Ecosystems in China. Microorganisms 8:433.
- Machado, D., O. M. Maistrenko, S. Andrejev, Y. Kim, P. Bork, K. R. Patil, and K. R. Patil. 2021.
- 869 Polarization of microbial communities between competitive and cooperative metabolism. Nature
- 870 Ecology & Evolution 5:195–203.
- 871 Madsen, J. S., H. L. Røder, J. Russel, H. Sørensen, M. Burmølle, and S. J. Sørensen. 2016.
- 872 Coexistence facilitates interspecific biofilm formation in complex microbial communities.
- 873 Environmental Microbiology 18:2565–2574.
- 874 Maestre, F. T., I. Martínez, C. Escolar, and A. Escudero. 2009. On the relationship between abiotic
- stress and co-occurrence patterns: an assessment at the community level using soil lichen
 communities and multiple stress gradients. Oikos 118:1015–1022.
- 877 Mandakovic, D., C. Aguado-Norese, B. García-Jiménez, C. Hodar, J. E. Maldonado, A. Gaete, M.
- Latorre, M. D. Wilkinson, R. A. Gutiérrez, L. A. Cavieres, J. Medina, V. Cambiazo, and M. Gonzalez.

- 879 2023. Testing the stress gradient hypothesis in soil bacterial communities associated with
- vegetation belts in the Andean Atacama Desert. Environmental Microbiome 18:24.
- Maron, P.-A., A. Sarr, A. Kaisermann, J. Lévêque, O. Mathieu, J. Guigue, B. Karimi, L. Bernard, S.
- 882 Dequiedt, S. Terrat, A. Chabbi, and L. Ranjard. 2018. High Microbial Diversity Promotes Soil
- 883 Ecosystem Functioning. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 84:e02738-17.
- Martin, M., T. Hölscher, A. Dragoš, V. S. Cooper, and Á. T. Kovács. 2016. Laboratory Evolution of
 Microbial Interactions in Bacterial Biofilms. Journal of Bacteriology 198:2564–2571.
- Martino, R. D., A. Picot, and S. Mitri. 2023, May 24. Oxidative stress changes interactions between
 two bacterial species. bioRxiv.
- 888 Martiny, J. B. H., B. J. M. Bohannan, J. H. Brown, R. K. Colwell, J. A. Fuhrman, J. L. Green, M. C.
- Horner-Devine, M. Kane, J. A. Krumins, C. R. Kuske, P. J. Morin, S. Naeem, L. Øvreås, A.-L.
- 890 Reysenbach, V. H. Smith, and J. T. Staley. 2006. Microbial biogeography: putting microorganisms on
- the map. Nature Reviews Microbiology 4:102–112.
- Matchado, M. S., M. Lauber, S. Reitmeier, T. Kacprowski, J. Baumbach, D. Haller, and M. List. 2021.
 Network analysis methods for studying microbial communities: A mini review. Computational and
 Structural Biotechnology Journal 19:2687–2698.
- Matz, C., and S. Kjelleberg. 2005. Off the hook how bacteria survive protozoan grazing. Trends in
 Microbiology 13:302–307.
- 897 Mawarda, P. C., X. Le Roux, J. Dirk van Elsas, and J. F. Salles. 2020. Deliberate introduction of
- invisible invaders: A critical appraisal of the impact of microbial inoculants on soil microbial
 communities. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 148:107874.
- McCarty, N. S., and R. Ledesma-Amaro. 2019. Synthetic Biology Tools to Engineer Microbial
 Communities for Biotechnology. Trends in Biotechnology 37:181–197.
- McIntire, E. J. B., and A. Fajardo. 2014. Facilitation as a ubiquitous driver of biodiversity. New
 Phytologist 201:403–416.
- 904 Menéndez-Serra, M., V. J. Ontiveros, A. Barberán, and E. O. Casamayor. 2022. Absence of stress-
- promoted facilitation coupled with a competition decrease in the microbiome of ephemeral salinelakes. Ecology 103:e3834.
- Metz, T., N. Blüthgen, and B. Drossel. 2023. Shifts from non-obligate generalists to obligate
 specialists in simulations of mutualistic network assembly. Oikos n/a:e09697.
- Meyer, K. M., H. Memiaghe, L. Korte, D. Kenfack, A. Alonso, and B. J. M. Bohannan. 2018. Why do
 microbes exhibit weak biogeographic patterns? The ISME Journal 12:1404–1413.
- 911 Michalet, R., Y. L. Bagousse-Pinguet, J.-P. Maalouf, and C. J. Lortie. 2014. Two alternatives to the
- 912 stress-gradient hypothesis at the edge of life: the collapse of facilitation and the switch from
- 913 facilitation to competition. Journal of Vegetation Science 25:609–613.

- 914 Miele, V., C. Guill, R. Ramos-Jiliberto, and S. Kéfi. 2019. Non-trophic interactions strengthen the
- 915 diversity—functioning relationship in an ecological bioenergetic network model. PLOS
 916 Computational Biology 15:e1007269.
- Morris, B. E. L., R. Henneberger, H. Huber, and C. Moissl-Eichinger. 2013. Microbial syntrophy:
 interaction for the common good. FEMS Microbiology Reviews 37:384–406.
- 919 Morris, J. J., R. Kirkegaard, M. J. Szul, Z. I. Johnson, and E. R. Zinser. 2008. Facilitation of Robust
- 920 Growth of Prochlorococcus Colonies and Dilute Liquid Cultures by "Helper" Heterotrophic
- 921 Bacteria. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 74:4530–4534.
- 922 Morueta-Holme, N., B. Blonder, B. Sandel, B. J. McGill, R. K. Peet, J. E. Ott, C. Violle, B. J. Enquist, P.
- 923 M. Jørgensen, and J.-C. Svenning. 2016. A network approach for inferring species associations from
- 924 co-occurrence data. Ecography 39:1139–1150.
- Navarro-Cano, J. A., M. Goberna, and M. Verdú. 2021. Facilitation enhances ecosystem function
 with non-random species gains. Oikos 130:2093–2099.
- 927 Naylor, D., N. Sadler, A. Bhattacharjee, E. B. Graham, C. R. Anderton, R. McClure, M. Lipton, K. S.
- 928 Hofmockel, and J. K. Jansson. 2020. Soil Microbiomes Under Climate Change and Implications for
- 929 Carbon Cycling. Annual Review of Environment and Resources 45:29–59.
- 930 Niehaus, L., I. Boland, M. Liu, K. Chen, D. Fu, C. Henckel, K. Chaung, S. E. Miranda, S. Dyckman, M.
- 931 Crum, S. Dedrick, W. Shou, and B. Momeni. 2019. Microbial coexistence through chemical932 mediated interactions. Nature Communications 10:2052.
- O'Brien, S., A. M. Luján, S. Paterson, M. A. Cant, and A. Buckling. 2017. Adaptation to public goods
 cheats in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences
 284:20171089.
- Oña, L., S. Giri, N. Avermann, M. Kreienbaum, K. M. Thormann, and C. Kost. 2021. Obligate crossfeeding expands the metabolic niche of bacteria. Nature Ecology & Evolution 5:1224–1232.
- 938 Pacheco, A. R., M. Moel, and D. Segrè. 2019. Costless metabolic secretions as drivers of
- 939 interspecies interactions in microbial ecosystems. Nature Communications 10:103.
- Pacheco, A. R., C. Pauvert, D. Kishore, and D. Segrè. 2022. Toward FAIR Representations ofMicrobial Interactions. mSystems 7:e00659-22.
- Palmer, J. D., and K. R. Foster. 2022. Bacterial species rarely work together. Science 376:581–582.
- Pascual-García, A., S. Bonhoeffer, and T. Bell. 2020. Metabolically cohesive microbial consortia and
 ecosystem functioning. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences
 375:20190245.
- 946 Pashirzad, M., H. Ejtehadi, J. Vaezi, and R. P. Shefferson. 2019. Plant–plant interactions influence
- 947 phylogenetic diversity at multiple spatial scales in a semi-arid mountain rangeland. Oecologia948 189:745–755.
- Paustian, K., J. Lehmann, S. Ogle, D. Reay, G. P. Robertson, and P. Smith. 2016. Climate-smart soils.Nature 532:49–57.

- Pekkonen, M., and J. T. Laakso. 2012. Temporal changes in species interactions in simple aquatic
 bacterial communities. BMC Ecology 12:18.
- Pérez Castro, S., E. E. Cleland, R. Wagner, R. A. Sawad, and D. A. Lipson. 2019. Soil microbial
 responses to drought and exotic plants shift carbon metabolism. The ISME Journal 13:1776–1787.
- 955 Pérez-Valera, E., M. Goberna, K. Faust, J. Raes, C. García, and M. Verdú. 2017. Fire modifies the
 956 phylogenetic structure of soil bacterial co-occurrence networks. Environmental Microbiology
 957 19:317–327.
- Philippot, L., B. S. Griffiths, and S. Langenheder. 2021. Microbial Community Resilience across
 Ecosystems and Multiple Disturbances. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews 85:e0002620.
- Piccardi, P., G. Alberti, J. M. Alexander, and S. Mitri. 2022. Microbial invasion of a toxic medium is
 facilitated by a resident community but inhibited as the community co-evolves. The ISME Journal
 16:2644–2652.
- Piccardi, P., B. Vessman, and S. Mitri. 2019. Toxicity drives facilitation between 4 bacterial species.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 116:15979–15984.
- 966 Pimentel, D., R. Zuniga, and D. Morrison. 2005. Update on the environmental and economic costs
 967 associated with alien-invasive species in the United States. Ecological Economics 52:273–288.
- Pradeep Ram, A. S., J. Keshri, and T. Sime-Ngando. 2020. Differential impact of top-down and
 bottom-up forces in structuring freshwater bacterial communities. FEMS Microbiology Ecology
 96:fiaa005.
- 971 Prashar, P., N. Kapoor, and S. Sachdeva. 2014. Rhizosphere: its structure, bacterial diversity and
 972 significance. Reviews in Environmental Science and Bio/Technology 13:63–77.
- 973 Prosser, J. I., B. J. M. Bohannan, T. P. Curtis, R. J. Ellis, M. K. Firestone, R. P. Freckleton, J. L. Green, L.
- 974 E. Green, K. Killham, J. J. Lennon, A. M. Osborn, M. Solan, C. J. van der Gast, and J. P. W. Young.
- 2007. The role of ecological theory in microbial ecology. Nature Reviews Microbiology 5:384–392.
- 976 van der Putten, W. H., J. N. Klironomos, and D. A. Wardle. 2007. Microbial ecology of biological
 977 invasions. The ISME Journal 1:28–37.
- Qian, J. J., and E. Akçay. 2020. The balance of interaction types determines the assembly and
 stability of ecological communities. Nature Ecology & Evolution 4:356–365.
- Ramette, A., and J. M. Tiedje. 2007. Biogeography: An Emerging Cornerstone for Understanding
 Prokaryotic Diversity, Ecology, and Evolution. Microbial Ecology 53:197–207.
- Ratzke, C., J. Barrere, and J. Gore. 2020. Strength of species interactions determines biodiversity
 and stability in microbial communities. Nature Ecology & Evolution 4:376–383.
- Reichenbach, H. 1993. Myxobacteria II. Page (M. Dworkin and D. Kaiser, Eds.). Am. Soc. Microbiol.,Washington, DC.

- Richardson, D. M., N. Allsopp, C. M. D'antonio, S. J. Milton, and M. Rejmánek. 2000. Plant invasions
 the role of mutualisms. Biological Reviews 75:65–93.
- Riesenfeld, C. S., P. D. Schloss, and J. Handelsman. 2004. Metagenomics: Genomic Analysis of
 Microbial Communities. Annual Review of Genetics 38:525–552.
- Rodríguez-Echeverría, S., Y. M. Lozano, and R. D. Bardgett. 2016. Influence of soil microbiota in
 nurse plant systems. Functional Ecology 30:30–40.
- Rodríguez-Verdugo, A., and M. Ackermann. 2021. Rapid evolution destabilizes species interactions
 in a fluctuating environment. The ISME Journal 15:450–460.
- Rosenzweig, R., U. Shavit, and A. Furman. 2012. Water Retention Curves of Biofilm-Affected Soils
 using Xanthan as an Analogue. Soil Science Society of America Journal 76:61–69.
- Saiz, H., Y. L. Bagousse-Pinguet, N. Gross, and F. T. Maestre. 2019. Intransitivity increases plant
 functional diversity by limiting dominance in drylands worldwide. Journal of Ecology 107:240–252.
- Saleem, M., J. Hu, and A. Jousset. 2019. More Than the Sum of Its Parts: Microbiome Biodiversity as
 a Driver of Plant Growth and Soil Health. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics
 50:145–168.
- Schaedel, M., S. Ishii, H. Wang, R. Venterea, B. Paul, M. Mutimura, and J. Grossman. 2023. Temporal
 assessment of N-cycle microbial functions in a tropical agricultural soil using gene co-occurrence
 networks. PLOS ONE 18:e0281442.
- Scheuerl, T., J. Cairns, L. Becks, and T. Hiltunen. 2019. Predator coevolution and prey trait variability
 determine species coexistence. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences
 286:20190245.
- Schlatter, D., L. Kinkel, L. Thomashow, D. Weller, and T. Paulitz. 2017. Disease Suppressive Soils:
 New Insights from the Soil Microbiome. Phytopathology[®] 107:1284–1297.
- 1009 Schleuning, M., E. L. Neuschulz, J. Albrecht, I. M. A. Bender, D. E. Bowler, D. M. Dehling, S. A. Fritz,
- 1010 C. Hof, T. Mueller, L. Nowak, M. C. Sorensen, K. Böhning-Gaese, and W. D. Kissling. 2020. Trait-
- 1011 Based Assessments of Climate-Change Impacts on Interacting Species. Trends in Ecology &
- 1012 Evolution 35:319–328.
- 1013 Segar, S. T., T. M. Fayle, D. S. Srivastava, T. M. Lewinsohn, O. T. Lewis, V. Novotny, R. L. Kitching, and
- S. C. Maunsell. 2020. The Role of Evolution in Shaping Ecological Networks. Trends in Ecology &
 Evolution 35:454–466.
- 1016 Segrè, D., S. Mitri, W. Shou, G. M. Süel, I. Mizrahi, L. Kelly, M. Rebolleda-Gómez, C. Ratzke, C. B.
- 1017 Ogbunugafor, J. A. Schwartzman, S. Kryazhimskiy, G. E. Leventhal, C. Kost, and T. Bell. 2023. What
- 1018 do you most want to understand about how collective features emerge in microbial communities?
- 1019 Cell Systems 14:91–97.
- Seibold, S., M. W. Cadotte, J. S. MacIvor, S. Thorn, and J. Müller. 2018. The Necessity of Multitrophic
 Approaches in Community Ecology. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 33:754–764.

- 1022 Sfenthourakis, S., E. Tzanatos, and S. Giokas. 2006. Species co-occurrence: the case of congeneric
- species and a causal approach to patterns of species association. Global Ecology andBiogeography 15:39–49.
- 1025 Sher, D., J. W. Thompson, N. Kashtan, L. Croal, and S. W. Chisholm. 2011. Response of
- Prochlorococcus ecotypes to co-culture with diverse marine bacteria. The ISME Journal 5:1125–1132.
- 1028 Silveira Martins, S. C., S. T. Santaella, C. M. Martins, and R. P. Martins. 2016. Facilitation as
- 1029 Attenuating of Environmental Stress among Structured Microbial Populations. The Scientific World 1030 Journal 2016:e5713939.
- Simonet, C., and L. McNally. 2021. Kin selection explains the evolution of cooperation in the gut
 microbiota. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 118.
- Smit, C., M. Rietkerk, and M. J. Wassen. 2009. Inclusion of biotic stress (consumer pressure) alters
 predictions from the stress gradient hypothesis. Journal of Ecology 97:1215–1219.
- Solano, C., M. Echeverz, and I. Lasa. 2014. Biofilm dispersion and quorum sensing. Current Opinionin Microbiology 18:96–104.
- 1037 Soliveres, S., L. DeSoto, F. T. Maestre, and J. M. Olano. 2010. Spatio-temporal heterogeneity in
- abiotic factors modulate multiple ontogenetic shifts between competition and facilitation.
 Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics 12:227–234.
- 1040 Song, W., B. Wemheuer, P. D. Steinberg, E. M. Marzinelli, and T. Thomas. 2021. Contribution of
- horizontal gene transfer to the functionality of microbial biofilm on a macroalgae. The ISME Journal15:807–817.
- 1043 Stachowicz, J. J. 2001. Mutualism, Facilitation, and the Structure of Ecological
- 1044 CommunitiesPositive interactions play a critical, but underappreciated, role in ecological
- 1045 communities by reducing physical or biotic stresses in existing habitats and by creating new
 1046 habitats on which many species depend. BioScience 51:235–246.
- Stachowicz, J. J., and J. E. Byrnes. 2006. Species diversity, invasion success, and ecosystem
 functioning: disentangling the influence of resource competition, facilitation, and extrinsic factors.
- 1049 Marine Ecology Progress Series 311:251–262.
- Steffan, S. A., Y. Chikaraishi, C. R. Currie, H. Horn, H. R. Gaines-Day, J. N. Pauli, J. E. Zalapa, and N.
 Ohkouchi. 2015. Microbes are trophic analogs of animals. Proceedings of the National Academy of
 Sciences 112:15119–15124.
- Stegen, J. C., X. Lin, A. E. Konopka, and J. K. Fredrickson. 2012. Stochastic and deterministic
 assembly processes in subsurface microbial communities. The ISME Journal 6:1653–1664.
- 1055 Sun, X., Z. Xu, J. Xie, V. Hesselberg-Thomsen, T. Tan, D. Zheng, M. L. Strube, A. Dragoš, Q. Shen, R.
- 1056 Zhang, and Á. T. Kovács. 2022. Bacillus velezensis stimulates resident rhizosphere Pseudomonas
- 1057 stutzeri for plant health through metabolic interactions. The ISME Journal 16:774–787.

- Takami, H., A. Inoue, F. Fuji, and K. Horikoshi. 1997. Microbial flora in the deepest sea mud of the
 Mariana Trench. FEMS Microbiology Letters 152:279–285.
- Tan, J., Z. Pu, W. A. Ryberg, and L. Jiang. 2012. Species phylogenetic relatedness, priority effects,and ecosystem functioning. Ecology 93:1164–1172.
- Thébault, E., and C. Fontaine. 2010. Stability of Ecological Communities and the Architecture of
 Mutualistic and Trophic Networks. Science 329:853–856.
- Thiery, S., and C. Kaimer. 2020. The Predation Strategy of Myxococcus xanthus. Frontiers inMicrobiology 11.
- 1066 Thompson, L. R., J. G. Sanders, D. McDonald, A. Amir, J. Ladau, K. J. Locey, R. J. Prill, A. Tripathi, S.
- 1067 M. Gibbons, G. Ackermann, J. A. Navas-Molina, S. Janssen, E. Kopylova, Y. Vázquez-Baeza, A.
- 1068 González, J. T. Morton, S. Mirarab, Z. Zech Xu, L. Jiang, M. F. Haroon, J. Kanbar, Q. Zhu, S. Jin Song, T.
- 1069 Kosciolek, N. A. Bokulich, J. Lefler, C. J. Brislawn, G. Humphrey, S. M. Owens, J. Hampton-Marcell,
- 1070 D. Berg-Lyons, V. McKenzie, N. Fierer, J. A. Fuhrman, A. Clauset, R. L. Stevens, A. Shade, K. S.
- 1071 Pollard, K. D. Goodwin, J. K. Jansson, J. A. Gilbert, and R. Knight. 2017. A communal catalogue
- 1072 reveals Earth's multiscale microbial diversity. Nature 551:457–463.
- Thurman, L. L., A. K. Barner, T. S. Garcia, and T. Chestnut. 2019. Testing the link between species
 interactions and species co-occurrence in a trophic network. Ecography 42:1658–1670.
- Tilman, D., F. Isbell, and J. M. Cowles. 2014. Biodiversity and Ecosystem Functioning. Annual
 Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 45:471–493.
- 1077 Tumolo, B. B., L. Calle, H. E. Anderson, M. A. Briggs, S. Carlson, M. J. MacDonald, J. H. Reinert, and
- L. K. Albertson. 2020. Toward spatio-temporal delineation of positive interactions in ecology.
 Ecology and Evolution 10:9026–9036.
- Van Meerbeek, K., T. Jucker, and J.-C. Svenning. 2021. Unifying the concepts of stability and
 resilience in ecology. Journal of Ecology 109:3114–3132.
- 1082 Veech, J. A. 2013. A probabilistic model for analysing species co-occurrence. Global Ecology and1083 Biogeography 22:252–260.
- 1084 Velez, P., L. Espinosa-Asuar, M. Figueroa, J. Gasca-Pineda, E. Aguirre-von-Wobeser, L. E. Eguiarte, A.
- Hernandez-Monroy, and V. Souza. 2018. Nutrient Dependent Cross-Kingdom Interactions: Fungi
 and Bacteria From an Oligotrophic Desert Oasis. Frontiers in Microbiology 9.
- 1087 Velicer, G. J. 2003. Social strife in the microbial world. Trends in Microbiology 11:330–337.
- 1088 Verwijmeren, M., M. Rietkerk, S. Bautista, A. G. Mayor, M. J. Wassen, and C. Smit. 2014. Drought and
- grazing combined: Contrasting shifts in plant interactions at species pair and community level.
 Journal of Arid Environments 111:53–60.
- 1091 de Vries, F. T., R. I. Griffiths, M. Bailey, H. Craig, M. Girlanda, H. S. Gweon, S. Hallin, A. Kaisermann,
- 1092 A. M. Keith, M. Kretzschmar, P. Lemanceau, E. Lumini, K. E. Mason, A. Oliver, N. Ostle, J. I. Prosser,
- 1093 C. Thion, B. Thomson, and R. D. Bardgett. 2018. Soil bacterial networks are less stable under
- 1094 drought than fungal networks. Nature Communications 9:3033.

- 1095 Wagg, C., Y. Hautier, S. Pellkofer, S. Banerjee, B. Schmid, and M. G. van der Heijden. 2021. Diversity
 and asynchrony in soil microbial communities stabilizes ecosystem functioning. eLife 10:e62813.
- 1097 Wagg, C., K. Schlaeppi, S. Banerjee, E. E. Kuramae, and M. G. A. van der Heijden. 2019. Fungal1098 bacterial diversity and microbiome complexity predict ecosystem functioning. Nature
 1099 Communications 10:4841.
- 1100 Waite, A. J., and W. Shou. 2012. Adaptation to a new environment allows cooperators to purge 1101 cheaters stochastically. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 109:19079–19086.
- Wall, D. H., and J. C. Moore. 1999. Interactions Underground: Soil biodiversity, mutualism, andecosystem processes. BioScience 49:109–117.
- Wang, B., X. Wang, Z. Wang, K. Zhu, and W. Wu. 2023. Comparative metagenomic analysis reveals
 rhizosphere microbial community composition and functions help protect grapevines against salt
 stress. Frontiers in Microbiology 14.
- Wang, S., X. Wang, X. Han, and Y. Deng. 2018. Higher precipitation strengthens the microbial
 interactions in semi-arid grassland soils. Global Ecology and Biogeography 27:570–580.
- 1109 Watnick, P., and R. Kolter. 2000. Biofilm, City of Microbes. Journal of Bacteriology 182:2675–2679.
- Wei, Z., Y. Gu, V.-P. Friman, G. A. Kowalchuk, Y. Xu, Q. Shen, and A. Jousset. 2019. Initial soil
 microbiome composition and functioning predetermine future plant health. Science Advances
- 1112 5:eaaw0759.
- 1113 Wei, Z., T. Yang, V.-P. Friman, Y. Xu, Q. Shen, and A. Jousset. 2015. Trophic network architecture of
- 1114 root-associated bacterial communities determines pathogen invasion and plant health. Nature1115 Communications 6:8413.
- 1116 Weiss, A. S., A. G. Burrichter, A. C. Durai Raj, A. von Strempel, C. Meng, K. Kleigrewe, P. C. Münch, L.
- 1117 Rössler, C. Huber, W. Eisenreich, L. M. Jochum, S. Göing, K. Jung, C. Lincetto, J. Hübner, G. Marinos,
- 1118 J. Zimmermann, C. Kaleta, A. Sanchez, and B. Stecher. 2022. In vitro interaction network of a
- 1119 synthetic gut bacterial community. The ISME Journal 16:1095–1109.
- 1120 West, S. A., A. S. Griffin, A. Gardner, and S. P. Diggle. 2006. Social evolution theory for
- 1121 microorganisms. Nature Reviews Microbiology 4:597–607.
- 1122 Winkler, K. C., A. W. J. van Doorn, and A. F. M. Royers. 1952. Symbiosis of tryptophan-deficient
- 1123 mutants of E. coli B. Recueil des Travaux Chimiques des Pays-Bas 71:5–14.
- 1124 Winogradsky, S. 1890. On the nitrifying organisms. Sciences 110:1013–1016.
- Wu, G., N. Zhao, C. Zhang, Y. Y. Lam, and L. Zhao. 2021. Guild-based analysis for understanding gut
 microbiome in human health and diseases. Genome Medicine 13:22.
- 1127 Wu, Y., P. Cai, X. Jing, X. Niu, D. Ji, N. M. Ashry, C. Gao, and Q. Huang. 2019. Soil biofilm formation
- enhances microbial community diversity and metabolic activity. Environment International132:105116.

- Xiang, Q., D. Zhu, M. Qiao, X.-R. Yang, G. Li, Q.-L. Chen, and Y.-G. Zhu. 2023. Temporal dynamics of
 soil bacterial network regulate soil resistomes. Environmental Microbiology 25:505–514.
- Xing, J., X. Jia, H. Wang, B. Ma, J. Falcão Salles, and J. Xu. 2021. The legacy of bacterial invasions on
 soil native communities. Environmental Microbiology 23:669–681.
- Xu, M., X. Li, T. W. Kuyper, M. Xu, X. Li, and J. Zhang. 2021. High microbial diversity stabilizes the
 responses of soil organic carbon decomposition to warming in the subsoil on the Tibetan Plateau.
 Global Change Biology 27:2061–2075.
- Xue, P., B. Minasny, and A. B. McBratney. 2022. Land-use affects soil microbial co-occurrence
 networks and their putative functions. Applied Soil Ecology 169:104184.
- Xun, W., Y. Liu, W. Li, Y. Ren, W. Xiong, Z. Xu, N. Zhang, Y. Miao, Q. Shen, and R. Zhang. 2021.
 Specialized metabolic functions of keystone taxa sustain soil microbiome stability. Microbiome
 9:35.
- 1142 Yachi, S., and M. Loreau. 1999. Biodiversity and ecosystem productivity in a fluctuating
- 1143 environment: The insurance hypothesis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
- 1144 96:1463–1468.
- Yang, D., H. Kato, K. Kawatsu, Y. Osada, T. Azuma, Y. Nagata, and M. Kondoh. 2022. Reconstruction
 of a Soil Microbial Network Induced by Stress Temperature. Microbiology Spectrum 10:e02748-22.
- Yang, J., J. W. Kloepper, and C.-M. Ryu. 2009. Rhizosphere bacteria help plants tolerate abiotic
 stress. Trends in Plant Science 14:1–4.
- Yang, J. W., W. Wu, C.-C. Chung, K.-P. Chiang, G.-C. Gong, and C. Hsieh. 2018. Predator and prey
 biodiversity relationship and its consequences on marine ecosystem functioning—interplay
 between nanoflagellates and bacterioplankton. The ISME Journal 12:1532–1542.
- Yang, T., Z. Wei, V.-P. Friman, Y. Xu, Q. Shen, G. A. Kowalchuk, and A. Jousset. 2017. Resource
 availability modulates biodiversity-invasion relationships by altering competitive interactions.
 Environmental Microbiology 19:2984–2991.
- Yeoh, H. T., H. R. Bungay, and N. R. Krieg. 1968. A microbial interaction involving combined
 mutualism and inhibition. Canadian Journal of Microbiology 14:491–492.
- 1157 Yuan, M. M., X. Guo, L. Wu, Y. Zhang, N. Xiao, D. Ning, Z. Shi, X. Zhou, L. Wu, Y. Yang, J. M. Tiedje, and
- J. Zhou. 2021. Climate warming enhances microbial network complexity and stability. NatureClimate Change 11:343–348.
- Zachar, I., and G. Boza. 2022. The Evolution of Microbial Facilitation: Sociogenesis, Symbiogenesis,and Transition in Individuality. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution 10.
- Zélé, F., S. Magalhães, S. Kéfi, and A. B. Duncan. 2018. Ecology and evolution of facilitation among
 symbionts. Nature Communications 9:1–12.
- Zengler, K., and L. S. Zaramela. 2018. The social network of microorganisms how auxotrophies
 shape complex communities. Nature Reviews Microbiology 16:383–390.

- Zhang, B., J. Zhang, Y. Liu, P. Shi, and G. Wei. 2018. Co-occurrence patterns of soybean rhizosphere
 microbiome at a continental scale. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 118:178–186.
- Zhang, L., B. Wang, and L. Qi. 2017. Phylogenetic relatedness, ecological strategy, and stress
 determine interspecific interactions within a salt marsh community. Aquatic Sciences 79:587–595.
- Zhang, L.-M., M. Wang, J. I. Prosser, Y.-M. Zheng, and J.-Z. He. 2009. Altitude ammonia-oxidizing
 bacteria and archaea in soils of Mount Everest. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 70:208–217.
- 1172 Zhou, X., M. F. A. Leite, Z. Zhang, L. Tian, J. Chang, L. Ma, X. Li, J. A. van Veen, C. Tian, and E. E.
- 1173 Kuramae. 2021. Facilitation in the soil microbiome does not necessarily lead to niche expansion.
- 1174 Environmental Microbiome 16:4.
- 1175 Zuñiga, C., C.-T. Li, G. Yu, M. M. Al-Bassam, T. Li, L. Jiang, L. S. Zaramela, M. Guarnieri, M. J.
- 1176 Betenbaugh, and K. Zengler. 2019. Environmental stimuli drive a transition from cooperation to
- 1177 competition in synthetic phototrophic communities. Nature Microbiology 4:2184–2191.

1178