

Prevalent bee venom genes evolved before the aculeate stinger and eusociality

Ivan Koludarov, Mariana Velasque, Tobias Senoner, Thomas Timm, Carola Greve, Alexander Ben Hamadou, Deepak Kumar Gupta, Günter Lochnit, Michael Heinzinger, Andreas Vilcinskas, et al.

To cite this version:

Ivan Koludarov, Mariana Velasque, Tobias Senoner, Thomas Timm, Carola Greve, et al.. Prevalent bee venom genes evolved before the aculeate stinger and eusociality. BMC Biology, 2023, 21 (1), pp.229. 10.1186/s12915-023-01656-5. hal-04605047

HAL Id: hal-04605047 <https://hal.umontpellier.fr/hal-04605047v1>

Submitted on 12 Dec 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

RESEARCH ARTICLE Open Access

Prevalent bee venom genes evolved before the aculeate stinger and eusociality

Ivan Koludarov^{1,2*}®[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9073-4758) Mariana Velasque³, Tobias Senoner², Thomas Timm⁴, Carola Greve⁵, Alexander Ben Hamadou⁵, Deepak Kumar Gupta⁵, Günter Lochnit⁴, Michael Heinzinger², Andreas Vilcinskas^{1,6}, Rosalyn Gloag⁷, Brock A. Harpur⁸, Lars Podsiadlowski⁹, Burkhard Rost², Timothy N.W. Jackson¹⁰, Sebastien Dutertre¹¹, Eckart Stolle⁹ and Björn M. von Reumont^{5,12*}

Abstract

Background Venoms, which have evolved numerous times in animals, are ideal models of convergent trait evolution. However, detailed genomic studies of toxin-encoding genes exist for only a few animal groups. The hyperdiverse hymenopteran insects are the most speciose venomous clade, but investigation of the origin of their venom genes has been largely neglected.

Results Utilizing a combination of genomic and proteo-transcriptomic data, we investigated the origin of 11 toxin genes in 29 published and 3 new hymenopteran genomes and compiled an up-to-date list of prevalent bee venom proteins. Observed patterns indicate that bee venom genes predominantly originate through single gene co-option with gene duplication contributing to subsequent diversifcation.

Conclusions Most Hymenoptera venom genes are shared by all members of the clade and only melittin and the new venom protein family anthophilin1 appear unique to the bee lineage. Most venom proteins thus predate the mega-radiation of hymenopterans and the evolution of the aculeate stinger.

Keywords Hymenoptera venom, Bee toxins, Solitary bee venom, Proteo-transcriptomics, Genomics, Venom gene evolution, Machine learning, Melittin, Apamin, Aculeatoxins

*Correspondence:

Ivan Koludarov

atjcoludar@gmail.com

Björn M. von Reumont

bmvr@reumont.net

¹ Justus Liebig University of Gießen, Institute for Insect Biotechnology, Heinrich-Buff-Ring 58, 35392 Giessen, Germany

² Department of Informatics, Bioinformatics and Computational

Biology, i12, Technical University of Munich, Boltzmannstr. 3, Garching, 85748 Munich, Germany

³ Genomics & Regulatory Systems Unit, Okinawa Institute of Science & Technology, Tancha, Okinawa 1919, Japan

⁴ Protein Analytics, Institute of Biochemistry, Justus Liebig University, Friedrichstrasse 24, 35392 Giessen, Germany

⁵ LOEWE Centre for Translational Biodiversity Genomics (TBG),

Senckenberganlage 25, 60325 Frankfurt, Germany

⁶ Fraunhofer Institute for Molecular Biology and Applied Ecology,

Department of Bioresources, Ohlebergsweg 12, 35392 Giessen, Germany

⁷ Rosalyn Gloag - School of Life and Environmental Sciences, The

University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

8 Brock A. Harpur – Department of Entomology, Purdue University, 901 W. State Street, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA

⁹ Leibniz Institute for the Analysis of Biodiversity Change, Zoological Research Museum Alexander Koenig, Centre of Molecular Biodiversity Research, Adenauerallee 160, 53113 Bonn, Germany

¹⁰ Australian Venom Research Unit, Department of Biochemistry and Pharmacology, University of Melbourne, Grattan Street, Parkville, Viktoria 3010, Australia

¹¹ IBMM, Université Montpellier, CNRS, ENSCM, 34095 Montpellier, France ¹² Faculty of Biological Sciences, Group of Applied Bioinformatics, Goethe University Frankfurt, Max-Von-Laue Str. 13, 60438 Frankfurt, Germany

© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver ([http://creativecom](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/)[mons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Background

Hymenoptera (sawfies, parasitoid wasps, true wasps, ants and bees) is among the most species-rich insect groups and are of tremendous ecological and economical importance $[1]$. The clade also contains more venomous taxa than any other. Hymenopteran venoms are secretions composed of typically short peptides, enzymes and other proteins. All proteins within the venomous mixture are referred to as "venom proteins" whereas the term "toxin" is reserved for those associated with a direct venomous function $[2, 3]$. The delivery system or venom apparatus used by hymenopterans to inject venom exists in a variety of states. From its origin as an ovipositor that co-injected immunomodulatory "venom" along with eggs into plant hosts (as in extant Symphyta), it evolved into the high-pressure venom systems of majority of wasps and bees and was secondarily lost in certain bee and ant lineages [4, 5]. As a result, Hymenoptera provide an exceptional opportunity to investigate the co-evolution of toxin genes and associated anatomy within a larger clade.

Because the function of many toxin-encoding genes is relatively free from pleiotropic and epistatic complications—one gene typically encodes one toxin with a clear functional role—toxins provide an excellent opportunity for investigation of the molecular mechanisms that facilitate the evolution of adaptive traits. Advances in comparative genomics and sequencing are furthering our eforts to understand these mechanisms at the genomic level [6–10]. Nevertheless, there have been only few large comparative studies focusing on the genomic origins of toxin genes and their weaponization, mostly in snakes and few other clades such as cnidarians [9, 11– 17]. The origin and evolution of hymenopteran venom genes remains rather uninvestigated.

Unsurprisingly, given their economic signifcance, honeybee and bumblebee venoms have received the lion's share of toxinological attention and are among the best-characterized venoms in the animal kingdom [5, 18]. The venoms of the remaining species of the hymenopteran radiation, however, including the majority of bees, remain largely unexplored despite recent proteotranscriptomic studies on ant and wasp species [19–22]. Where studies of lesser-known Hymenoptera have been conducted, they typically deal with single crude fractions or even individual components either due to technical limitations at the time or because of applied research focus [5, 23–25]. An exception is the recent study on aculeate venoms by Dashevsky and colleagues in which more extensively sampled venoms from aculeates were proteomically characterized and few bioactivities for each tested [26]. Nevertheless, proteo-transcriptomic studies focused on injected and functionally described components are in general rather sparse and often focus on small peptides and/or are available for only few smaller groups or single taxa of hymenopterans, such as honey bees $[27]$, ants $[28, 29]$, spider wasps $[30]$ and true wasps [31]. An exception is the recent study by Robinson et al. [29], who proposed that short toxin peptides of ants, bees and wasps comprise a family of "aculeatoxins". Their argument is predominantly based upon the similarity of manually aligned propeptide sequences; however, a phylogenetic analysis or network analysis of the sequences was not provided.

Our study represents the frst taxon-wide comparative genomics analysis of aculeate venom genes, including 32 hymenopteran genomes, with a particular focus on bees. We address two key questions: (1) whether bee venoms are predominantly comprised of toxins that are novel and unique to this clade, and (2) whether single gene cooption is the major mechanism of venom gene evolution in bees, as is the case for parasitoid wasps. We then utilize the insights generated to conjecture as to whether or not ecological and anatomical adaptations are refected in the patterns of venom gene evolution. Throughout the paper, we distinguish between "venom proteins" (or the genes that encode them) and "toxins" (or toxin-encoding genes). The former are those proteins *associated* with the venom system (often secreted in the venom itself) but not necessarily having toxic functions themselves*—*we reserve the designation "toxin" for those gene products with *characterized toxic functions within venom*. Given a permissive defnition of the label "venomous" (see discussion), our results suggest that the entire extant Hymenoptera lineage may be descended from a "common venomous ancestor".

Results and discussion

The most prevalent bee venom proteins and their genomic framework

We establish here a set of 12 proteins that we identify as the most prevalent injected bee venom components based on mining of published sequences, data of toxins with known activity $[19, 27, 32]$ (see Fig. 1), and new, own proteo-transcriptome data.

New venom profles were generated for two phylogenetically distant solitary bees, the great-banded furrowbee (*Halictus scabiosae*), and the violet carpenter bee (*Xylocpopa violacea*). Additionally, we added one eusocial bee, the honeybee (*A. mellifera*), as complementary data (Fig. 2 and Additional files 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6). All three venoms predominantly contained low-molecularweight peptides, in particular melittin, apamin and mast cell degranulating peptide (MCDP). Larger proteins such as phospholipase A2, venom acid phosphatase, venom dipeptidyl peptidase 4 and venom allergens made up less than 10% of the transcripts based on expression values

(see Fig. 2 and " Methods"). We have to state critically that our heterogeneous picture of venom expression (Fig. $2A$) could be reasoned by the difficulty to synchronize the physiological state of venom glands, especially for solitary bees. The transcriptome for *H. scabiosae* was of lower quality compared to the other two species (see " Methods" and Additional fle 7). Given that the focus here is on comparison across the broader clade, we will discuss the species-specifc venom composition diferences elsewhere, see for example von Reumont et al. [22]. In general, the new profles corroborate our selection of prevalent bee venom proteins (Fig. 2). Further analysis is restricted to these 12, which include toxins and six auxiliary venom peptide and protein families mostly with known function, but also including two prevalent venom protein families of currently unknown function (Venom allergen 3/5 and Icarapin), see Additional fle 8. We refer to these venom components from here on as prevalent bee venom proteins (PBVP).

Two major groups are distinguishable in the PBVP toxins with characterized acutely toxic functions such as neurotoxicity (e.g. Apamin) or cytotoxicity (e.g. Mellitin), and proteins consistently present in the crude venom presumably as accessory components (Fig. 2). To uncover the evolutionary history of the prevalent bee venom proteins, we analysed corresponding genomic regions by searching for homologues in 29 published genomes (see Additional fle 9) of bees and outgroups (sawfies, jewel wasp, ants, paper wasps) and our three genomes of two sweat bees and the violet carpenter bee (See " Methods" for further details and Additional file 10). The selected taxa span 300 million years of evolution and include representatives of the phytophagous sawfies (Symphyta), the basally divergent hymenopteran lineage. We used the well-annotated *A. mellifera* reference genome to trace venom genes and their fanking genes based on exon regions. We identifed orthologs for each exon in other genomes, which were collected into an extended database. We searched all genomes using this database and then manually inspected the results before we inferred the phylogeny of each protein family to establish completeness and microsynteny. "Synteny" refers to shared patterns of gene arrangement ("colinearity") in homologous genomic regions across taxa which refect the arrangement and position of fanking exons of genes around venom protein genes (see details in " Methods"). When sufficiently high-quality genomic sequences are available and genes of interest are located in stable regions, the ability to utilize microsyntenic analyses comparisons of synteny/colinearity in short stretches of the genome—is a key advantage of comparative genomics. Where sequencing is sufficiently contiguous, these analyses reveal the arrangement of genes and their neighbours as physically instantiated in a chromosomal region. By mapping such regions including genes of interest and their neighbours, it is possible to catalogue rearrangements that occur in diverse taxa.

Put simply, observation of the spatial relations between genes of interest and their neighbours (both complete genes and gene fragments) in one species, enables identifcation of homologous genes in additional taxa by examination of the sequences that fank these genes. This "genomic context" allows a clearer identification of orthologs than phylogenetic analyses based on proteo-transcriptomics alone and provides insight into the mechanisms of duplication and regulation operative within gene families [8, 34]. Our results indicate that PBVP, including enzymatic components, are present as multi- or single-copy genes in genomic regions stable enough to facilitate comparative microsyntenic analyses. The stability of these regions across investigated taxa suggests that the origins of these genes are ancient, probably occurring in the most recent common ancestor of sawfies, parasitic wasps, and aculeate wasps. Exceptions to this pattern are the short, single-copy genes encoding toxic peptides known from bees such as apamin/MCDP/ tertiapin, and melittin, which appear unique to bees or honeybees, indicating much more recent origins.

Machine learning reveals protein space complexity of hymenopteran venoms

To address the complexity of the venoms and gain alignment-independent evidence for multigenic families within them, we used a novel approach based on protein language models (Fig. 3). These analyses generate a model of the relations of proteins to each other in

(See figure on next page.)

Fig. 1 Reviewed venom proteins for hymenopteran taxa in respect to protein and species numbers from UniProt. Major hymenopteran clades are shown on the left (species numbers in circles). The second numbers in circles within the colour-coded lines indicate venom proteins (grouped according to their names). The twelve herein proposed prevalent bee venom protein families (PBVP) are illustrated on the right, together with the toxins proposed as"Aculeatoxins" (brown) according to Robinson et al. [29]. Novel, and further undescribed peptides and proteins are shown in grey. The hymenopteran groups are based on the recent phylogeny according to Peters et al. [33]. Please note that three melittin sequences from wasps are falsely annotated in UniProt as wasp melittins (marked by a black X). Our analyses clearly show that genes encoding peptides highly similar to honey bee melittin are not present in wasps, see also von Reumont et al. [22]. The phylogeny is pruned to the groups for which data is available based on Peters et al. [33]

Fig. 1 (See legend on previous page.)

a multidimensional "protein space" similar to the concept of a "confguration space" in physics, or an "arbitrary space" in multi-scale cognition [35]. Our protein space incorporates data concerning the structure and function of mature proteins to generate a multidimensional model of protein relations. By observing the clustering patterns of proteins within this space, we can infer their evolutionary relations to one another. In a nutshell (see " Methods" for the details), a model trained on millions of protein sequences constructs a 1024-dimensional representation of the target data, where Euclidian distances between each of the sequences' representations (called "protein embeddings") indicate diferences in structure and function. To make those results amenable to visualization, this hyperdimensional space is compressed into 3D (and 2D for fgures, but please see Additional fle 11 for interactive 3D plots). Of course, such a simplifcation inevitably leads to decreased representation—PCA compression (see Additional fle 11), which is comparable to UMAP used here, retains only 40–50% of the original information. However, even simplifed representation still illustrates each of the protein families as standalone groups (with the notable exception of venom allergens, likely because of their divergent functions), and separates most subfamilies within each family.

Abundant venom proteins are encoded by more widespread single‑copy genes

Phospholipase A2, hyaluronidase and icarapin are among the most abundant bee venom components [5, 18, 27]. Phospholipase A2 and icarapin are encoded by four-exon single-copy genes, whereas the hyaluronidase singlecopy gene features nine exons. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 has a strongly conserved single gene, which was present in all hymenopterans in our dataset, probably due to its enzymatic role in the maturation of some toxins. These protein families were highly conserved and ubiquitously present in the genomes of bees, wasps and ants (Fig. 4, see also Additional fles 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19 for phylogenetic alignments and trees). Our results support the hypothesis that these genes were recruited into venom functions without any associated duplication—similar to co-option of single-copy genes proposed as the main process of venom protein evolution in *Nasonia* [36]. In comparison, phospholipase A2 genes in viperid snakes had multiplied and diversifed before recruitment into the venom system [37, 38].

Some venom proteins form multi‑copy gene families with ancient duplication events

Larger duplication and diversifcation events appear restricted to families of enzymatic or larger proteins and not toxin peptides or proteins. Three venom protein classes in the PBVP showed copy number variation across the dataset: venom allergens 3/5, venom acid phosphatases (APHs), and venom serine proteases (VSP), see Fig. 4. These genes were in stable genomic regions allowing for the tracing of homologous regions between species by screening for microsynteny.

Among the 10 subfamilies of venom acid phosphatases (Fig. 4), the largest expansion of genes occurred in subfamily 7, found exclusively in parasitoid wasps. This may support the hypothesis that ancestral APHs functioned as pre-digestion factors that allowed the ofspring of parasitoid wasps to feed more easily on their host [39]. In contrast, gene expansion in subfamily 10 appears to be an ancient pattern found in sawfies (9 genes) and parasitoid wasps (13 genes). In all remaining hymenopterans, only one or occasionally two to three genes are present. A similar pattern was observed for subfamily 5 with ant species having 2–4 copies, while all other hymenopterans (with the exception of *Athalia*) have 1. Subfamily 3 seems to have undergone multiple duplication events in some bee species with up to 10 copies in *Ceratina* and *Bombini*, while other species have 1–2 copies or lost all genes (*Meliponini*), see Additional fles 20 and 21 for phylogenetic alignment and tree. In bees, the retained APHs may be adapted to defensive functions, a conjecture potentially supported by the origin of APH subfamily 8, which is unique to bees.

Our analyses divided venom serine proteases (VSPs) into seven subfamilies. Subfamily 7 is represented by

(See fgure on next page.)

Fig. 2 The most prevalent bee venom proteins. Components selected from our own data (A.) *A. mellifera*, *H. scabiosae* and *X. violacea* profles, and (B.) published bee and aculeate venom components. In (A.) only venom protein transcripts validated by the proteome data are listed. Transcript expression is shown as thickness of the Circos plot lines and based on the percentage of scaled transcript per million (TPM) values including only proteome-validated sequences. The twelve selected venom proteins that we discuss herein further as dominant bee venom proteins are printed in bold in the colour code used for these proteins in this manuscript. Peptide names in white were not identifed by our proteo-transcriptome data but are present in published data. For our new proteo-transcriptome data (A.), the green circles indicate venom proteins identifed by proteo-transcriptomics, grey circles indicate transcriptome-only hits. White circles illustrate missing data. For published data the green X indicate major components identifed in literature, red questions marks highlight missing/unclear data. Orange X highlight the "aculeatoxin" peptides (According to Robison et al. [29], melittin is also a member of the proposed aculeatoxin family, which is separately shown as part of the PBVPs)

Fig. 2 (See legend on previous page.)

1–4 genes in all hymenopterans but has expanded in ants (10 genes). All seven subfamilies are present in the basal lineages of sawfies and parasitoid wasps, with more diversifcation in families 2, 3 and 4. In bees, subfamily 6 appears to have been lost (Fig. 4; see Additional fles 22 and 23 for phylogenetic alignment and tree). VSPs are dual function toxins in bees, triggering the phenoloxidase cascade leading to melanization when injected into insects but acting as spreading factors when injected into mammals, similar to snake VSPs with fbrinogen-degrading activity [40]. We hypothesize that the expansion of VSP genes may be linked to this dual function, achieving more efective defense against insects, arthropods and mammals.

Venom allergens 3/5 have been identifed in many hymenopterans $[5, 41]$ and we distinguished five subfamilies in our study. Subfamily 5 appears to have undergone greater diversifcation in sawfies, parasitoid wasps, ants and solitary bees (*Ceratina*, *Osmia*). Only a single member of subfamily 5 is present in the solitary bees *Habropoda*, *Colletes* and *Nomia.* Eusocial wasps and bees of the family Apidae (*Apis*, *Bombus, Melipona*, *Frieseomelitta* and *Eufriesea*) appear to have lost all subfamily 5 genes. Subfamily 1 is present only in parasitoid wasps and ants with a single gene in *Euglossa*. Other subfamilies generally have a single copy in every species with subfamily 4 occasionally experiencing duplication. In general, the distribution of genes in the venom allergen family is dynamic but shows some phylogenetic patterns (see Additional fles 24 and 25 for phylogenetic alignment and tree).

Two secapin genes were present in most genomes but were absent in sawfies (indicating an origin in the stem Apocrita) and wasps of the genus *Polistes*. This class of peptides displayed N-terminal sequence variation but strong C-terminal conservation (see Additional fles 26 and 27 for phylogenetic alignment and tree). The location of both genes was also strongly conserved, with one always present between exons of the neurexin-1 gene and the other located near the carbonic anhydrase-related protein 10. Our inability to locate both genes in some species may refect technical issues relating to genome quality and/or the more general challenges associated with the location of small and highly variable genes.

Apamin is restricted to honeybees and is part of the larger bee‑unique toxin family Anthophilin1

Apamin, a dominant *A. mellifera* venom component, is encoded by a three-exon gene located next to a very similar three-exon gene encoding MCDP. This tandem duplication is fanked by MOXD1 homologue 2 and TBC1 domain family member 30. Although the two fanking genes are present and identically arranged in the genomes of all the bees we surveyed, we did not detect the full set of apamin or MCDP exons outside of the genus Apis (Fig. 5). Genomic analysis confrmed that apamin and MCDP (from *Apis*) are restricted to the Apini clade (*Apis* spp.). In addition, we identifed a novel apamin-like gene locus in *Apis mellifera* located right next to MCDP gene—400 bp upstream. This gene encodes the described honeybee toxin peptide named tertiapin [42]. Multiple uncharacterized genes that share microsyntenic position and intron–exon structure with this apamin-homologue (Tertiapin) were observed in Bombini and some other non-*Apis* bees. These apamin-like genes encode peptides that share the cysteine scafold and signal peptide structure of apamin, MCDP and tertiapin. They were widespread in bee genomes and we identifed six copies in the *Dufourea* genome, fve in *Nomia* and *Megachile*, two in *B. terrestris* and a single copy in *Osmia bicornis*, *Habropoda* and *Megachile*. This pattern may be indicative of the derivation of apamin and MCDP from the more widespread tertiapin. We identifed no similar genes or exons of apamin in homologous regions from other hymenopterans or in other parts of their genomes.

The apamin-like sequences, we discovered in the core venom profle of *Xylocopa* and *Halictus* indicate that apamin and MCDP are members of a variable bee-unique family of apamin-like peptides that undergoes independent duplication events in diferent lineages. We propose here to name this novel family Anthophilin1, refecting its uniqueness to several lineages within bees (Anthophila), see Additional fles 28 and 29 for phylogenetic alignment and tree.

Melittin is restricted to the bee lineage

Melittin is a pain-inducing peptide in *A. mellifera* venom [27, 43]. The synteny of the *A. mellifera* genome shows that melittin is encoded by a two-exon single-copy gene located between two four-exon genes, one of which

(See fgure on next page.)

Fig. 3 Machine learning generated protein space representations of hymenopteran venoms corresponds with gene phylogeny-based clustering. In each case, the left panel shows the breakdown of subgroups revealed in this study (protein families for the entire dataset, subfamilies for each of the protein families) while the right panel shows the same space coloured by taxa, clearly highlighting that each protein group is a gene clade, not a species clade. **A** Representation of the entire non-redundant dataset. **B** Acid phosphatase family. **C** Serine protease family. **D** Venom allergens family

Fig. 3 (See legend on previous page.)

Fig. 4 Overview of prevalent bee venom genes. The presence of venom gene orthologs and copy number variation is mapped onto the phylogenetic relationship between the species we surveyed according to Peters et al. [33]. Coloured circles represent genes with identical microsynteny in the genomes of the surveyed species. Please note that tertiapin is now included within anthophilin1 as variant of apamin

Fig. 5 Microsyntenic pattern for the apamin family (Anthophilin1). Question marks indicate coding sequences with products of unknown functions. Pseudogenes are symbolized by ψ. The arrows refect gene orientation. We show here only species for which the genomic sequence in the region with apamin genes is contiguous. Note that"apamin-like" genes are also known as"tertiapin". *Apis* lineages are in dark green, other non-Apini bees in grass green and ants and wasps in light green

encodes *vegetative cell wall protein gp1* while the other remains uncharacterized. Melittin-like sequences in other *Apis* species (*A. dorsata*, *A. cerana* and *A. forea*) feature similar microsynteny (Fig. 6). Other bee species also possess melittin-like sequences (bombolittin, osmin, collectin, lasioglossin, melectin, codesane, halictin and macropin) [44–48]. Microsynteny analysis provided evidence that osmin, collectin, bombolittin and xylopin are orthologous in at least some species from the genera *Colletes, Osmia* and *Bombus* (Fig. 6).

In *Bombus vosnesenski*, the melittin gene has undergone a tandem duplication that is apparently unique to *Bombus*. Some *Bombus* genomes show assembly gaps in this region, preventing the detection of all exons, but recently published genomes of several *Bombus* species [49] show the same sequence and duplication pattern in the microsyntenic region identifed in *B. vosnesenski* (Fig. 6). Although tracing the corresponding genomic region in non-bee Aculeata proved to be difficult because of its relative instability (low synteny/colinearity), we successfully located it in ants and wasps, which lacked melittin homologues (both mucin and coiled-coil domain containing 170 genes were present in the same orientation as in bees). However, one ant genome—*Vollenhovia emeryi* (excluded from our main genomic analysis due to the relatively low genome contiguity)—had a superfcially similar looking gene in almost the exact location (Fig. 6). That gene has a proline-rich propeptide resembling that of melittin; nevertheless, its mature form is very different. The protein is 39 aa longer than *A. mellifera* melittin (109 vs 70) and only 22 out of 70 residues are shared between them (see Additional file 30). We conclude here that our results support the hypothesis that melittin is restricted to bee lineages; however, its ancestral gene might have had homologues in ancestors of wasps and ants, see

Fig. 6 Microsynteny around the melittin sequence. All species for which the genome data allowed for microsyntenic analysis are shown. *Vollenhovia emeryi* was not included in other genomic analyses due to its relatively low genome quality. However, it is shown because it was the only one of the eight analysed ant species that features a seemingly related gene in the correct position but with a very diferent mature sequence. Genes labelled with ψ in ants and wasps bear little similarity with melittin genes; however, they might be sister genes to the melittin group that underwent severe pseudogenization. Note that *Osmia* melittin is also called "osmin", *Colletes—*collectin, *Bombus*—bombolittin, and *Xylocopa*—xylopin. *Apis* lineages are in dark green, other non-Apini bees in grass green and ants and wasps in light green

Additional fles 31 and 32 for phylogenetic alignment and tree.

Gene synteny and assisting machine learning model of "protein space" cast doubt on aculeatoxin hypothesis

Given the short peptide sequences and consequent challenges for phylogenetic analyses, we utilized a novel, alignment-independent machine learning approach (see " Methods" section for the detail) to test the proposition of aculeatoxins by Robinson et al. [29]. We focused on small peptidic aculeate toxins, but especially on melittin, which is according to Robinson et al. based on signal and propeptides, a member of the "aculeatoxin" family which origins with aculeates (see Fig. 1). We used all sequences that Robinson et al. [29] presented in their study (kindly provided to us by the authors) and all melittin-like toxins known from bees that are included in our study. We created three datasets: (panel A on Fig. 7) mature sequences from Robinson et al. data, all unique hymenoptera venom peptides from this study and ToxProt as part of UniProt (see Additional fle 33); (panel B on Fig. 7) Robinson et al. data with (Additional fle 34) and without (Additional fle 35) signal/propeptides—the latter dataset includes more sequences since bee melittins are mostly known from proteomic studies and therefore only their mature sequence is known. When comparing proposed aculeatoxins with other hymenopteran peptides, it becomes apparent how the sequence space occupied by this hypothetical protein family bleeds into that occupied by apamins and icarapins, and also exhibits a very clear separation by taxa (in contrast to established protein families from Fig. 3). Sequences from bees, wasps and ants do not intermix, which is even more apparent on the smaller scale seen in Fig. 7 panel B. It is possible that diferent ecological pressures separated ants, wasps and bees' peptides to such an extent that they occupy distinct areas of structure–function space; however, together with the synteny (see below), this analysis fnds no support for the aculeatoxin hypothesis (Fig. 7). On the other hand, the results reveal a close similarity between bee and wasp peptides, which was even more apparent when signal peptides were removed (in contrast to the reasoning of Robinson et al., which is based on similarity among signal and propeptides alone).

Despite our focus on the evolution of bee venom genes, we also searched our synteny analyses for representative toxin peptides of wasps and ants to trace their possible occurrence in bees. From vespid wasps, we included mastoparan, eumenine and vespakinin, and from ants poneratoxins and myrmecitoxins. We did not fnd any genomic location and sequences that match eumenine, vespakinins and myrmecitoxin genes in any of our bee data and thus did not include them in further analyses.

However, we located poneratoxin-like sequences near or within the atrial natriuretic peptide-converting enzyme in all ant genomes of our dataset. The number of the genes seems to vary between the species. Although the genomic region appears unstable, we located atrial natriuretic peptide-converting enzymes and some of its nearby and proximal neighbouring genes in *Vespa* and *Apis*. No genomic feature in the genome of *Vespa* seems to relate to poneratoxins, while *Apis* features an uncharacterized small 2 exon gene in a similar position. Aligning it with poneratoxins from *H. saltator*, *S. invicta* and *O. brunneus* (extracted from genomic regions) revealed its close similarity to the 5′ end of prepro mRNA of the longest of *H. saltator* poneratoxins. This finding indicates that the original gene got truncated and only its 5′ part is retained in *Apis*.

We restricted our synteny analysis for mastoparan to the main representative species of bees, ants and wasps and substituted *Polistes* spp. with *Vespa mandarinia* because in both *Polistes* assemblies the mastoparan homologues are located on small scaffolds that prevent the tracing of the synteny. We located mastoparan in the genome of *Vespa mandarinia* and used it as an anchor. The gene is located downstream from LIM/homeobox protein Lhx9-like. The genomic region appears stable and we were able to locate it in Apis mellifera and *Solenopsis invicta*. However, both species only show annotated pseudogenes (no reading frame seems to resolve in a functional product) in this genomic region roughly in the expected position of mastoparan. Both pseudogenes have three exons and in both cases one of the reading frames encodes for a proline-rich (pr) region that could have resembled the mastoparan's propeptide before the pseudogenization.

(See fgure on next page.)

Fig. 7 "Protein space" of small peptidic aculeatan toxins as revealed by machine learning analysis and their genomic position in respect to each other. **A** Combined data of available verifed toxin sequences from Robinson et al., and the present study (including ToxProt part of the UniProt) for all peptidic toxins, on the left coloured by protein family, on the right—coloured by taxa. **B** Data from Robinson et al., on the left sequences with signal peptide included, on the right only mature peptides. For the interactive plots see Additional fle 11. **C** Schematic of genomic position of the three groups of hymenopteran toxins. Coloured rectangles represent regions of microsynteny: pink for melittin, orange for mastoparan and green for poneratoxins. See text for details

Thus, microsyntenic analyses reveal that melittin, mastoparans and poneratoxins are non-homologous and reside in diferent genomic regions (Fig. 7, panel C); however, the protein space analyses may reveal evidence of convergence. Taken together, the results of these analyses indicate that melittin is likely unique to bees but gravitates towards mastoparans (in particular) and poneratoxins (to a lesser extent) in protein space, possibly because of functional convergence. It is important to note that all members of the proposed aculeatoxins are processed by the same enzyme, DPP4. This common processing may contribute to similarities in signal and propeptide sequences, which is the key aspect of the aculeatoxin hypothesis.

If we assume that this shared pattern of proline/glutamic acid-rich sequences in the propeptide region and some parts of signal peptide is an apomorphy of a gene family, and that mastoparan, melittin and "poneratoxins" are members of that family, then it is extremely likely that this gene family predates Aculeata. Because all three clades (ants, bees and wasps) seem to possess genes that relate to all three (sub)groups, it suggests that this proposed clade of genes existed as a group of genes, and not as an individual ancestral gene, before the split of Aculeata. Moreover, this group of genes is quite likely then to be related to some of the numerous hymenopteran toxins, some of which (like *Bracon* peptidic toxins) exhibit the pr motif. The richness and evolvability of these "hymenopteratoxins" is exceptional.

Gene expansions are restricted to few venom protein families in major taxa

Most PBVP are encoded as single-copy genes (Fig. 3), indicative of single gene co-option. Our data supports the hypothesis that gene duplications are a less prevalent evolutionary mechanism in the evolution of hymenopteran venom components than has been shown for (e.g.) snakes. This pattern was previously observed in parasitoid wasps (*Nasonia*) [36]. However, our results indicate a more distinct pattern in which heavier protein and enzyme components represent those families of venom proteins in which large gene duplications and expansions have occurred in conserved genomic regions. These expansions are restricted to particular subfamilies and larger hymenopteran clades (Fig. 3). The gene duplications and subsequent gene expansions of venom serine proteases, venom allergens and venom acid phosphatases appear to be "simple" events restricted to the expansion of few genes. This is in contrast to other venomous organisms that have been studied more extensively, such as snakes and cone snails, in which venom genes have evolved rapidly by extensive multiplication, expansion and subsequent deletion [12, 50–53]. It should be noted, however, that this picture is based on our preselected PBVP, which includes the most common venom components described.

Venoms are secretions which primarily function (when "actively delivered" via bites or stings) to deter or subdue target organisms. Venoms contain a variety of molecules and not all are necessarily associated with the primary function of the secretion. Some are of as yet unknown function, or may be epiphenomenal (i.e. present in venoms for contingent reasons not associated with any particular functional role). Our results indicate that genes encoding (characterized) toxins and those encoding other (associated) venom proteins (often only identifed by proteo-transcriptomics without any further functional characterization) evolve diferently in bees, suggesting a genuine functional distinction between these groups. This finding should be tested further in the future using extended venom profles. Complementary activity studies are important to address the still undefned biological functions of many venom components, for example venom "allergens", which would in turn support a better interpretation of evolutionary patterns. Venom allergens (3/5) show a more heterogeneous pattern of gene duplications than other gene families, especially in subfamily 5. This subfamily has expanded in parasitoid wasps, leafcutter bees (Megachilidae) and carpenter bees (Xylocopinae), but has been lost in other Apidae lineages. We can only speculate about the original and actual biological function of venom allergens in general because until today the only *activities* characterized are related to immune responses in mice and humans linked to allergic reactions [54]. No study so far has addressed the possible bioactivity linked to the ancestral and venom variant's biological *function*. However, the strong allergenic activity may refect an ancestral immunomodulatory function in sawfies linked to the modulation of the immune response of plants, which was later adapted to animal hosts in more derived aculeate lineages.

Bee‑specifc toxin genes encoding for short peptides

Bees produce apamin and melittin as predominant venom components [27], but their genomic origin beyond the honeybee lineage has not been investigated before. One major diference between these toxin peptides and previously discussed venom components is that the genomic region in which they are encoded appears more dynamic. This picture is also reflected by taxon-restricted gene duplications. The genomic region containing a tandem repeat of apamin and mast cell degranulating peptide in *Apis* was identifable in other bee genomes based on microsynteny and the characteristic cysteine scafold. Interestingly, we discovered multiple duplication events each restricted to single bee lineages.

Our conclusion based on this pattern is that apamin and mast cell degranulating peptide are members of a so far unrecognized, highly variable bee-unique peptide family, which we named Anthophilin1. The genes of this family seem to diversify independently in diferent bee lineages. Interesting is that in snakes and sea anemones the expansion of toxin gene families is shown to be linked to their selection to generate larger quantities of the venom than novel function [13, 15]. Whether the duplication events are linked to neofunctionalization or co-option (as one dominant venom component) remains to be addressed in future studies, the scenarios of gene duplication in venom evolution can be more complex than they often appear [8]. These should include more contiguous genomic data from additional bee lineages and complementary venom proteomes to better understand the recruitment and diversifcation processes of members of this family in bee venom.

We identifed melittin in a genomic region with conserved synteny in the genera *Apis, Osmia, Ceratina* and *Bombus* (families Megachilidae and Apidae), with a tandem duplication in bumblebees. Synteny confrmed that melittin-like peptides produced by solitary bees are members of the melittin family. Accordingly, melittin is not unique to *Apis* but originated before the divergence of megachilid and apid bees. We did not fnd a syntenic region or sequences similar to melittin in genomes of bees from the families Andrenidae, Halictidae and Colletidae. Whether or not melittin evolved in earlier bee lineages and underwent secondary loss in some families remains unclear from our data due to the lack of highquality genome assemblies for the early-diverging bee lineages. Our data further indicates that the ant *Vollenhovia* possesses a gene which may be distantly related to melittin; however, the mature sequence looks very different—it is 29 amino acids longer and only 22 aligned residues are shared. Nevertheless, we cannot rule out the possible origin of melittin in earlier aculeate lineages until a larger sampling of taxa from these and earlier bee lineages are available with high-quality proteo-transcriptome-genome data. Regardless, our data suggests that melittin is co-opted as a single-copy gene as one major component in bees. In future studies, this hypothesis should be further tested by analysing more proteo-transcriptomic venom profles linked to genomic data.

Most bee core venom proteins originated in early hymenopterans

The pattern we infer reveals an ancient origin for most of the PBVP in bees (Fig. 8). Most subgroups of major venom protein gene families exhibit clear-cut orthology with genes already present in the earliest hymenopteran lineage (sawfies). Female sawfies use their ovipositor to lay eggs in plants but also co-inject proteins that biochemically interfere with the physiology and immune response of plants to ensure the ofspring's survival, thus resembling an ancestral venom system $[4]$. The composition of these original hymenopteran venoms has not yet been studied in detail.

Our results suggest that the most prevalent venom genes present in bees today were already present in the early Triassic in ancestors of the symphytan lineage, predating the radiation of apocritans starting more than 200 million years ago (Fig. 8) [33]. The restricted waist of apocritans is needed to manoeuvre the ovipositor in such a way that allows its use for predation, parasitism or defense, and only in aculeate hymenopterans (ants, bees and wasps) is the retractable ovipositor modifed into a stinger used exclusively for venom injection. Our data suggest that genes encoding the PBVP emerged before the morphological adaptations of a narrow waist and the stinger in aculeates did, which gave this group its common name—the stinging wasps. The core of the bee venom profle, including known allergens such as phospholipase A2, icarapin and hyaluronidase, was not only already present in sawfies, but is also still present in a group of bees that has secondarily reduced or lost its stinger (stingless bees, Meliponini).

If one accepts Symphyta as "venomous", based on their injection of molecules that modulate the physiology (particularly the immune system) of target organisms (to facilitate feeding of the next generation, similarly to parasitoid wasps), then one might consider the hymenopteran lineage as "descending from a common venomous ancestor". Indeed, this might be much less controversial an assertion for this order than it has turned out to be for toxicoferan reptiles (see, e.g. [55] and subsequent discussion in the journal *Toxicon*). In this case, our data is consistent with the idea of continuous evolution (i.e. without sharp distinctions or saltatory events) of the hymenopteran venom system through various changes in associated anatomy and ecology. The core of the venom arsenal, comprised of larger proteins which function as immunomodulators or spreading factors, may have been in place early on. Subsequent evolution focused then on the origin and diversifcation of lineage-specifc arrays of peptides which are tailored to the specifc venom function (e.g. defence, parasitism, predation) and target (plants, insects, vertebrates) in each lineage. Thus, while the peptidic toxins are unique to each lineage within the Aculeata (contrary to the aculeatoxin hypothesis), most enzymatic components are broadly shared, albeit with varying degrees of expansion of specifc subfamilies. These differential expansions of enzyme-encoding gene families (e.g. serine proteases) may represent the kind of evolutionary tinkering observed in redundant arrays of

in brackets after the family names. Genes are colour-coded and feature a colour range for duplicates. Duplications are summarized by numbers. Phylogeny and divergence times are shown as previously described in Peters et al. [33]. The nodes for monophyletic aculeates and bees are highlighted in green. The red lined circle indicates the secondary loss of the stinger in sweat bees (Meliponini)

toxin-encoding genes in other venomous taxa (see, e.g. Jackson et al. [51]), in which slight changes confer adaptation to the biochemical particularities of a new ecological reality. Members of such enzyme classes may thus vary in their activity on specifc substrates, linked to modifed morphology of the venom apparatus, but are never rendered inactive due to broadly applicable modes of action (i.e. targeting substrates generally conserved across taxa as diverse as plants and vertebrates). The subject of this study, bees, seems to support this view by having little variation in their venom genes, other than within the Anthophilin1 and Melittin groups.

Finally, if we accept the proposition that the unique peptides of ants, wasps (stinging and non-stinging) and bees themselves form a clade, in light of our fndings we have to "upgrade" them from "aculeatoxins" to "hymenopteratoxins". Taken together with the rest of the available knowledge on the hymenopteran venom system, we could then conjecture that these "hymenopteratoxins" (together with DPP4 that processes them) lie at the core of the hymenopteran venom cocktail. Multiple less potent toxins, like phospholipase A2 or trypsin-like proteases, both act as helpers for the function of the main weapon—the peptide, while also adding the efect of general toxicity on their own. A few proteins perform auxiliary functions like increasing membrane permeability (hyaluronidases) or causing allergy (venom allergens, presumably). There is more to the Hymenoptera venom system than this, however, if such a concerted system of enzyme facilitators and a peptide warhead is indeed characteristic of the venom of Hymenoptera, further questions arise: which "toxins" are the more ancient, the peptides or the enzymatic helpers, and what are the homologues of the hymenopteratoxin peptides in other insects (if it is not a case of de novo gene evolution)? The enzymatic helpers have clearer homologues (located in homologous genomic regions, an indication of orthology), though establishing direct orthology with synteny analysis was beyond the scope of this study.

Conclusions

Our comparative analyses provide insight into the origins and evolution of toxin genes in bees. We found that most genes encoding predominant bee venom proteins originated at the base of the hymenopteran tree, i.e. were potentially present in the "venom" of the last common ancestor of phytophagous sawfies and apocritan Hymenoptera more than 280 million years ago (Fig. 8). Only the short peptides melittin and the (herein newly described) family Anthophilin1, which is constituted by apamin, apamin-like and MCDP-like genes, are unique to bees. Gene duplications occur, but only in certain (not major toxin) protein families and in only a few hymenopteran lineages, refecting a diverse pattern of gene origin. Our results thus indicate that short peptides and venom protein genes probably evolve under diferent evolutionary processes. This study of the PBVP demonstrates that the evolution of bee venoms contrasts with evolutionary patterns in other venomous lineages and hence promises several new directions for future comparative studies.

Methods

Data mining of hymenopteran venom proteins and genomes

Reviewed venom proteins of hymenopterans were searched in UniProt resulting in 372 protein matches from 101 species (Fig. 1 and Additional fle 8). Additionally, we searched publications for sequences that are not provided in UniProt and included fnally three bee toxins Halictin I and II from *Halictus sexcintus*, and Codesan from *Colletes daviesanus*. For our comparative genomic analysis of venom toxin proteins across the order Hymenoptera, we made use of 29 publicly available genome sequences given in Additional file 9 and three novel genomes of solitary bees.

Venom gland RNAseq analyses

For venom gland transcriptomics, 15 individuals of *X. violacea*, 17 individuals of *H. scabiosae* and 15 individuals *of A. mellifera* were collected June–July 2019/2020 in the alluvial area of the River Wieseck in Giessen, Germany, and the beehive at the Institute for Insect Biotechnology at Justus-Liebig-University Giessen (Collection permission HNLUG Giessen IV.2 R28).

Whole venom systems (Glands and reservoir) were dissected and washed on ice under sterile conditions and the tissue was preserved in RNAlater (Thermo Fisher Scientifc) for subsequent RNA sequencing. RNA extraction, library preparation and short-read RNA sequencing were outsourced to Macrogen (Seoul, Korea) for *A. mellifera* and *X. violacea*e and to Novogene (Cambridge, UK) for *H. scabiosae*. In short, RNA was extracted with Trizol and the cDNA libraries (150 bp, paired end reads) were sequenced using a low input protocol (Illumina Truseq) on an Illumina HiSeq2500 (Macrogen) and Illumina NovaSeq (Novogene). For *H. scabiosae*, an in-house ultra-low input protocol was used by Novogene due to very low RNA concentration and quantity. All RNASeq raw data of *X. violacea*, *A. mellifera* and *H. scabiosae* were generated within the present study and are accessible in GenBank via the BioProject PRJNA733472 (SRA entries: SRR14690757, SRR14690758, SRR14690759) [56–58]. Venom gland transcriptomes were assembled separately using Oyster River Pipeline v2.2.6 $[59]$; for resulting BUSCO values, see Additional fle 7.

The resulting assemblies were processed using Transdecoder (minimum length 20 amino acids) to predict peptides, and Kallisto v0.46 [60] to calculate individual transcript abundance, see Additional files 1, 2 and 3. The assembled transcripts and their corresponding longest open reading frames ORFs from Transdecoder were used as local BLAST queries against ToxProt and UniProt (the latter limited to insects only) with an e-value cutof of 1× 10−³ , see Fig. 9. Any highly abundant (TPM>100) transcripts without signifcant matches were manually screened using BLAST, InterPro scan and Predict Protein online suites to determine the closest characterized homologue. For subsequent venom protein identifcation, we only included transcripts identifed in our proteomic dataset representing proteins secreted in the venom system. To compare subsequently all venom proteins in the three datasets we calculated the percentage of scaled TPMs using the package txtimport on R, the script is available via github [\(https://github.com/mariv](https://github.com/marivelasque/VenomEvolution.git) [elasque/VenomEvolution.git\)](https://github.com/marivelasque/VenomEvolution.git), see Fig. 2 and Additional fles 1, 2 and 3.

Proteome analysis of crude venom

We extracted crude venom of all specimens from glands and venom reservoirs by squeezing with forceps in sterile ultrapure water (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) after prewashing twice to minimize hemolymph contamination. All transcriptome assembly-based predicted ORFs were used as specifc databases to identify peptides and proteins detected by mass spectrometry from crude venom of the collected specimens. For the tryptic digestion of the crude venom from *H. scabiosae*, we dissolved 10 µg of protein in 10 µl 10 M urea containing 0.1% ProteasMax (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Cysteine residues were reduced with 5 mM DTT (30 min at 50 °C) and modifed with 10 mM iodoacetamide (30 min at 24 $^{\circ}$ C). The reaction was quenched with an excess of cysteine and trypsin was added at a protein: enzyme ratio of $40:1$ in $100 \mu l$ 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate (Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen, Germany). After incubation for 16 h at 37 °C, the reaction was stopped by adding 1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA). The sample was purifed using a C18-ZipTip (Merck-Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany), dried under vacuum and redissolved in 10 µl 0.1% TFA. LC–ESI–MS analysis was carried out at 35 °C by loading 1 μ g of the sample in 0.1% formic acid (Sigma-Aldrich) onto a 50-cm µPAC C18 column (Pharma Fluidics, Gent, Belgium) mounted on an UltiMate 3000RSLCnano (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Peptides were eluted with a linear gradient of 3–44% acetonitrile over 240 min followed by washing with 72% acetonitrile at a constant flow rate of 300 nl/min. They were then infused via an Advion TriVersa NanoMate (Advion

BioSciences, New York, NY, USA) into an Orbitrap Eclipse Tribrid mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientifc) in positive-ionization mode with a NanoMate spray voltage of 1.6 kV and a source temperature of 275 °C. Using data-dependent acquisition mode, the instrument performed full MS scans every 3 s over a mass range of *m/z* 375–1500, with the resolution of the Orbitrap set to $120,000$. The RF lens was set to 30%, and auto gain control (AGC) was set to standard with a maximum injection time of 50 ms. In each cycle, the most intense ions (charge states 2–7) above a threshold ion count of 50,000 were selected with an isolation window of 1.6 m*/z* for higher-energy C-trap dissociation at a normalized collision energy of 30%. Fragment ion spectra were acquired in the linear ion trap with the scan rate set to rapid, the mass range to normal and a maximum injection time of 100 ms. After fragmentation, the selected precursor ions were excluded for 15 s for further fragmentation.

Prior to shotgun proteomics, the *X. violacea* and *A. mellifera* venom samples were denatured, reduced, and alkylated. Briefly, each sample $({\sim}50 \text{ µg})$ was dissolved in 89 μl 100 mM triethylammonium bicarbonate (TEABC), and cysteine residues were reduced by adding 1 μl 1 M DTT (30 min at 60 °C) and modifed by adding 10 μl 0.5 M iodoacetamide (incubation for 30 min in the dark). We then added 2 μg trypsin (Promega) in 100 mM TEABC and incubated overnight at 30 °C. The peptides were then purifed and concentrated using OMIX Tips C_{18} reversed-phase resin (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The peptides were dehydrated in a vacuum centrifuge and analysed by NanoLC-MS/MS. The samples were then resuspended in 20 μ l buffer A $(0.1\%$ formic acid) and 1 µl was loaded onto an analytical 25-cm reversed-phase column (Acclaim Pepmap 100 C_{18}) with a 75-mm inner diameter (Thermo Fisher Scientifc) and separated on the Ultimate 3000 RSLC system coupled via a nano-electrospray source to a Q Exactive HF-X mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Peptides were separated using a 6–40% gradient of bufer B (80% acetonitrile in 0.1% formic acid) over 123 min at a flow rate of 300 nl/min. Using data-dependent acquisition mode, full MS/MS scans (375–1500 m/z) were performed in the Orbitrap mass analyser (Thermo Fisher Scientifc) with a 60,000 resolution at 200 m/z. For the full scans, 3×106 ions accumulated within a maximum injection time of 60 ms. The 12 most intense ions with charge states \geq 2 were sequentially isolated to a target value of 1×105 with a maximum injection time of 45 ms and were fragmented by higher-energy collisional dissociation in the collision cell (normalized collision energy 28%) and detected in the Orbitrap mass analyser at a resolution of 30,000. PEAKS Studio v8.5 (Bioinformatics Solutions, Waterloo, ON, Canada) was used to match

Fig. 9 Description of the proteo-transcriptomic and genomic workflow applied in this study. Details of each step are given in material and methods

MS/MS spectra from *X. violacea* and *A. mellifera* venom samples against an in-house database resulting from the annotated transcriptome of each species. Carbamidomethylation was set as a fxed modifcation, and oxidation of methionine as a variable modifcation, with a maximum of three missed cleavages for trypsin digestion. Parent and fragment mass error tolerances were set at 5 ppm and 0.015 Da, respectively. A false discovery rate (FDR) of 1% and a unique peptide number \geq 2 were used to flter out inaccurate proteins. A−10lgP value>120 was used to estimate whether detected proteins were identified by a sufficient number of reliable peptides. In order to identify more relevant sequences, the Spider algorithm (PEAKS Studio) was used to fnd additional mutations or to correct sequences. This algorithm corrects the sequences stored in transcriptomic databases with de novo sequences based on MS/MS spectra, allowing the detection of post-translational modifcations (PTMs) and mutations. The minimum ion intensity for PTMs and mutations was set to 5%, and the ALC score was set to≥90 for de novo sequences, leading to low precursor mass errors. Transcripts supported by proteomic data were manually fltered by excluding non-venom-related proteins and peptides, such as house-keeping and structural genes (Additional fles 1, 2 and 3). All proteome raw data are accessible via PRIDE (PXD029934, PXD029823, PXD026642) [61–63].

Genome sequencing

The genomes and annotations of the stingless bees *Tetragobula carbonaria* and *Melipona beecheii* will be published as part of another study, but are already accessible in Genbank (JAUCRC000000000 and JAUCMO0000000000 $[64]$. To sequence the genome of *X. violacea* high molecular weight DNA was extracted from four legs of *X. violacea* adapting the protocol from Miller et al. [65]. Final DNA purity and concentrations were measured using NanoPhotometer® (Implen GmbH, Munich, Germany) and Qubit Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientifc, Waltham, MA). Two SMRTbell libraries were constructed following the instructions of the SMRTbell Express Prep kit v2.0 with Low DNA Input Protocol (Pacific Biosciences, Menlo Park, CA). The total input DNA for each library was 1.6μ g. The libraries were loaded at an on-plate concentration of 80 pM using difusion loading. Two SMRT cell sequencing runs were performed on the Sequel System IIe in CCS mode using 30-h movie time with 2 h pre-extension and sequencing chemistry v2.0. The PacBio sequencing was outsourced to the Genome technology Center Nijmegen, Netherlands. All reads were assembled using HIFIASM assembler [66] after fastq read fles of *Xylocopa sp.* were generated by consensus calling of Pacbio HIFI sequencing data using CCS tool [\(https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/ccs](https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/ccs)). Reads, which did not take part in the formation of circular consensus sequences, were separated out using in-house developed Perl script (Additional fle 36) and were used for closing the gaps with the help of Dentist software $[67]$. The gap-closed assembly was further polished using Bowtie2 $[68]$, Deepvariant $[69]$, Samtools and BCFtools [70]. Contamination was accounted for by using NCBI Blast and Blobtools [71], and only scafolds with Arthropoda and No-Hit category were kept. The fnal gap-closed and contamination free genome of *Xylocopa species* consisted of 353,045,797 bases spread over 3524 scaffolds. The genome was predicted to be 99.7% complete according to the Arthropoda BUSCO gene space (For details see Additional file 10). The genome has been published in GeneBank (SRR21101279) [72].

Previously published genomes used

The following genomes were used: Apis cerana (GCA001442555.1) [73, 74], *Apis dorsata* (GCA000469605.1) [75], *Apis forea* (GCA000184785.2) [76], *Apis mellifera* (GCA003254395.2) [77, 78], *Athalia rosae* (GCA000344095.2) [79], *Bombus terrestris* (GCA000214255.1) [80, 81], *Bombus vosnesenskii* (GCA011952255.1) [82, 83], *Camponotus foridanus* (GCA003227725.1) [84, 85], *Cephus cinctus* (GCA000341935.1) [86], *Ceratina calcarata* (GCA001652005.1) [87], *Colletes gigas* (GCA013123115.1) [88, 89], *Dufourea novaeangliae* (GCA001272555.1) [90], *Eufriesea mexicana* (GCA001483705.1) [91], *Euglossa dilemma* (GCA002201625.1) [92, 93], *Habropoda laboriosa* (GCA001263275.1) [94], *Harpegnathos saltator* (GCA003227715.1) [84, 95], *Linepithema humile* (GCA000217595.1) [96, 97], *Megachile rotundata* (GCA000220905.1) [98], *Megalopta genalis* (GCA011865705.1) [99, 100], *Melipona quadrifasciata* (GCA001276565.1) [101], *Nasonia vitripennis* (GCA009193385.2) [102, 103], *Nomia melanderi* (GCA003710045.1) [104, 105], *Odontomachus brunneus* (GCA010583005.1) [106], *Ooceraea biroi* (GCA003672135.1) [107, 108], *Osmia bicornis* (GCA004153925.1) [109, 110], *Osmia lignaria* (GCA012274295.1) [111], *Polistes canadensis* (GCA_001313835.1) [112, 113], *Polistes dominula* (GCA001465965.1) [114], *Solenopsis invicta* (GCA_016802725.1) [115], *Vollenhovia emeryi* (GCA_000949405.1) [116], and *Wasmannia auropunctata* (GCA000956235.1) [117].

Genome annotation

We annotated protein-coding genes based on the genome sequence assembly of *C. gigas* (GCA013123115.1, ASM1312311v1). Repeats were soft-masked using RepeatMasker annotations (GCA013123115.1_ ASM1312311v1_rm.out) with tabtk, bioawk and seqtk [\(https://github.com/lh3](https://github.com/lh3)). We used Funannotate v1.8.1 [118] and Uniprot (sprot) for homology-based

evidence based on protein sequences from 11 related bee species: *B. impatiens*: GCF000188095.2, *B. terrestris*: GCF000214255.1, *A. mellifera*: GCF003254395.2, *M. quadrifasciata*: GCA001276565.1, *E. mexicana*: GCF001483705.1, *F. varia* GCA011392965.1, *M. rotundata* GCF000220905.1, *H. laboriosa* GCF001263275.1, *D. novaeangliae* GCF001272555.1, *M. genalis* GCF011865705.1, *N. melanderi* GCF003710045.1. Briefy, funannotate used gene predictions from Genemark-ES, Snap v2006-07–28, glimmerHmm v3.0.4, Augustus v.3.3.3 and CodingQuarry v2.0 together with protein alignments in Evidence Modeler v.1.1.1. Too short, gap-spanning or repeat-overlapping gene models were removed (*n*=5446) and tRNA genes were detected (*n*=168) with tRNAscan-SE v2.0.6. Genes were functionally annotated using PFAM v33.1, the UniProt database v2018_11, EggNog (eggnog_4.5/hmmdb databases: Arthropoda, Insecta, Hymenoptera, Drosophila), MEROPS v12.0, CAZYmes in dbCAN v7.0, BUSCO Hymenoptera models v3.0.2, Hymenoptera odb9, SignalP v4.1, and InterProScan5 v81.0. The final annotation contained models for 20,016 protein-coding genes and 168 tRNAs and was estimated to be 87.1% complete $(BUSCO4 \text{ v}4.1.4)$. The resulting gene annotation files for *C. gigas*, *E. dilemma*, *M. beecheii*, *T. carbonaria* and *Xylocopa violacea* are made available as Additional fles 37, 38, 39, 40 and 41 in the Zenodo archive accompanying this manuscript (10.5281/zenodo.7934577).

Genomic microsynteny analysis

We traced abundant venom gland transcripts that potentially encoded toxins to homologues in the annotated, highly continuous publicly available genomes of bees (and wasps, ants, parasitoid wasps and sawfies as outgroup species) using the online BLAST suite against genomic databases. To identify conserved synteny blocks, we frst identifed the reciprocal best-match paralogs from hymenopteran all-against-all BLASTP comparisons of the venom genes. Based on the matching sequences, we then extracted exons from the candidate venom genes and their fanking genes. We used those to create local BLAST databases to survey the selected genomes using local tblastx with an e-value cutoff of 0.01. We then applied filters to select venom genes containing scafolds at least 20 kbp in length (to exclude partial genes) with at least two exons. Where gene annotations were insufficient, we manually re-annotated venom genes where possible, following intron boundaries and using known sequences as templates. This approach allowed us to capture all members of the larger protein families that venom genes belong to——and provided us with outgroup sequences (e.g. trypsins for serine proteases) some of which we used in the tree construction. For flanking genes, we tried to capture up to 5 genes within 100 kbp upstream and downstream from the target to establish reliable synteny. This approach is extremely laborious: while it allowed us to identify every exon homologous to our target gene, we had to manually examine thousands of genomic scafolds and tens of thousands of genes to make sure we included all the relevant genes into our analysis. We extracted the coding sequences of all complete genes for phylogenetic analysis to establish ortholog groups in addition to their microsyntenic patterns. All resulting annotations are available as part of the Additional Materials (Additional fle 42). It is important to note that we could not correct for the assembly quality of the genomes used.

Orthology prediction and phylogenetic analysis

All toxin transcripts together with toxin genes and their outgroup venom-unrelated homologues (e.g. trypsins and chymotrypsins in case of serine proteases) were arranged by gene family and aligned as translated amino acids using MAFFT [119] (L-INS-I, 1000 iterations). Name convention was established to diferentiate between genomic sequences (frst two letters of both genus and species name, followed by the last three digits of a bioinformatic scafold ID, followed—if applicable by an abbreviation of a pre-existing gene annotation, followed by letters a to z to diferentiate between sequences from the same scafold); proteo-transcriptomic sequences (names kept the same as generated by transcriptome assemblers); homologues from UniProt and SwissProt databases used to provide outgroups and fll the gaps in sequence space (kept as UniProt or SwissProt IDs, but reduced to 10 characters if needed due to strict limitations of phylip format used by Exabayes). Alignments were manually inspected for overt errors (e.g. proper alignment of the cysteine backbone) and used to construct phylogenetic trees in Exabayes [120] (four parallel runs of four chains each, runs stopped when average standard deviation of split frequencies of trees reached below 5%). Resulting trees are shown in the Additional fles 12, 13, 14, 15, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28 and 31, with toxin sequences recovered from *Apis, Halictus* or *Xylocopa* venom marked as red arrows and non-toxic physiological sequences marked with grey arrow.

A novel perspective on relations of short peptides: embedding space analysis

Every year, algorithms improve natural language processing (NLP) tasks such as automated translation or

question answering, in particular by feeding large text corpora into Deep Learning (DL)-based Language Models (LMs) [121]. These advances have been transferred to protein sequences by learning to predict masked or missing amino acids using large databases of raw protein sequences as input [122, 123]. Such methods leverage the wealth of information present in exponentially growing unlabelled protein sequence databases by solely relying on sequential patterns found in the input. Processing the information learned by such protein LMs (pLMs), e.g., by feeding a protein sequence as input to the network and constructing vectors thereof from the activation in the network's last layers, yields a representation of protein sequences referred to as embeddings $[122]$. This way, features learned by the pLM can be transferred to any (prediction) task requiring numerical protein representations (transfer learning) which has already been showcased for various aspects ranging from protein structure [124] over protein function [125]. Further, it was shown that distance in embedding space correlates with protein function and can be used as an orthogonal signal for clustering proteins into functional families [125].

Here, we used the pLM ProtT5-XL-UniRef50 [122] (in the following ProtT5) to create fxed-length vector representations for each protein sequence (per-protein embeddings) irrespective of its length. Towards this, we frst created individual vector representations for each residue in a protein. In order to derive fxed-length vector representations for single proteins (per-protein embedding) irrespective of a protein's length, we then averaged over all residue embeddings in a protein (Fig. 1 in Elnaggar et al. $[122]$). The protein Language Model (pLM) ProtT5 was trained solely on unlabelled protein sequences from BFD (Big Fantastic Database; 2.5 billion sequences including metagenomic sequences) [126] and UniRef50. ProtT5 has been built in analogy to the NLP (Natural Language Processing) T5 [121] ultimately learning some of the constraints of protein sequence. As ProtT5 was only trained on unlabelled protein sequences and no supervised training or fne-tuning was performed, there is no risk of information leakage or overftting to a certain class or label. As a result, every protein was represented as 1024-dimensional per-protein embeddings. Those high-dimensional representations were projected to 3 days using UMAP $(n_{\text{neighbours}}=25, \text{ min_dist}=0.5, \text{ random_state}=42, \text{ n}_\text{max}$ components=3) or PCA and coloured according to their respective group to allow for visual analysis. Embeddings and 3-day plots were created using the bio_embeddings package [127]. All information on sequences used in the machine learning approach is specifed in the additional material in a general table (Additional file 33). Unaligned mature and full sequences are given as fasta fles (Additional fles 43 and 44). However, the sequence data set for

the ML approach difers from the sequence set used for phylogenetic analyses in that respect, that only unique mature sequences can be used. Sequence similarities for alignments (Additional fle 45) were calculated by dividing the aligned sequence length by the number of sequences that align with a BLOSUM62 score of above 0, multiplied by 100. Global alignments were reconstructed with the Needleman–Wunsch algorithm (script attached, BLO-SUM62 matrix, gap_penality=-10, gap_extension_penality=-0.5). Subfamily sequence similarity was calculated by taking the average over all pairwise sequence similarities between all possible pairs within the groups with standard deviation. The trees of mature sequences for ML analysis (Additional fle 46) were reconstructed using the settings from above. Interactive 3D plots of protein spaces are given in Additional file 11 and were reconstructed using the algorithm deposited on github: [https://github.](https://github.com/Rostlab/RostSpace) [com/Rostlab/RostSpace](https://github.com/Rostlab/RostSpace)

Abbreviations

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-023-01656-5) [org/10.1186/s12915-023-01656-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-023-01656-5).

Additional fle 1. Proteo-transcriptomically identifed venom components in *X. violacea*.

Additional fle 2. Proteo-transcriptomically identifed venom components in *H. scabiosae*.

Additional file 3. Proteo-transcriptomically identified venom components in *X. violacea*.

Additional fle 4. VG Assembly fle of *Xylocopa violacea* following ORF prediction by Transdecoder.

Additional fle 5. VG Assembly fle of *Halictus scabiosae* following ORF prediction by Transdecoder.

Additional fle 6. VG Assembly fle of *Apis mellifera* following ORF prediction by Transdecoder.

Additional fle 7. BUSCO statistics for venom gland assemblies of *X. violacea, H. scabiosae*, *A. mellifera.*

Additional fle 8. Listed bioactivity and description of the prevalent bee venom proteins.

Additional fle 10. Resulting statistics of the new genome sequence of *X. violacea*.

Additional file 11. Interactive 3D protein spaces that Figs. 3 and 7 are based upon.

Additional fle 12. Phylogenetic tree of phospholipase A2 proteins, rerooted on an outgroup. Red arrows mark those that were recovered from the transcriptomes of *X. violacea*, *H. scabiosae* and *A. mellifera* in the present study. Genomic sequences recovered in the present study have naming convention of Gesp###a where Ge stands for frst two letters of the genus name, sp stands for frst two letters of the species name, ### stands for the last three digits of the genomic scafold ID, and a stands for A to Z identifer given to homologous genes if several were found on the same continuous genomic scafold. Where genomic and transcriptomic sequences were identical, we kept transcriptomic sequence. UniProt and GeneBank IDs were kept in their original form.

Additional fle 13. Phylogenetic tree of the Hyaluronidase protein family, rerooted on an outgroup. Red arrows mark those that were recovered from the transcriptomes of *X. violacea, H. scabiosae* and *A. mellifera* in the present study. Genomic sequences recovered in the present study have naming convention of Gesp### where Ge stands for frst two letters of the genus name, sp stands for frst two letters of the species name, ### stands for the last three digits of the genomic scafold ID. Where genomic and transcriptomic sequences were identical, we kept transcriptomic sequence. UniProt and Gene- Bank IDs were kept in their original form.

Additional fle 14. Phylogenetic tree of the Icarapin protein family, rerooted according to an outgroup. Red arrows mark those that were recovered from the transcriptomes of *X. violacea*, *H. scabiosae* and *A. mellifera* in the present study. Genomic sequences recovered in the present study have naming convention of Gesp### where Ge stands for frst two letters of the genus name, sp stands for frst two letters of the species name, ### stands for the last three digits of the genomic scafold ID. Where genomic and transcriptomic sequences were identical, we kept transcriptomic sequence.

Additional fle 15. Phylogenetic tree of the Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 protein family. Red arrows mark those that were recovered from the transcriptomes of *X. violacea*, *H. scabiosae* and *A. mellifera* in the present study. Genomic sequences recovered in the present study have naming convention of Gesp###PPPP## where Ge stands for frst two letters of the genus name, sp stands for frst two letters of the species name, ### stands for the last three digits of the genomic scaffold ID, PPPP## stands for the protein label. Where genomic and transcriptomic sequences were identical, we kept transcriptomic sequence. UniProt and GeneBank IDs were kept in their original form.

Additional fle 16. Alignment of phospholipase A2 proteins.

Additional fle 17. Alignment of hyaluronidase proteins.

Additional fle 18. Alignment of icarapin proteins.

Additional fle 19. Alignment of dipeptidyl peptidase 4 proteins.

Additional fle 20. Phylogenetic tree of the Acid Phosphatase protein family, rerooted according to an outgroup. Red arrows mark those that were recovered from the transcriptomes of *X. violacea*, *H. scabiosae* and *A. mellifera* in the present study. Genomic sequences recovered in the present study have naming convention of Gesp###PPa(U) where Ge stands for frst two letters of the genus name, sp stands for frst two letters of the species name, ### stands for the last three digits of the genomic scafold ID, PP stands for the protein label and a stands for A to Z identifer given to homologous genes if several were found on the same continuous genomic scaffold. Capital U at the end of the name indicates that gene homology was not proposed prior to this study. Where genomic and transcriptomic sequences were identical, we kept transcriptomic sequence. UniProt and GeneBank IDs were kept in their original form.

Additional fle 21. Alignment of venom acid phosphatase proteins.

Additional fle 22. Phylogenetic tree of the Serine Protease protein family, rerooted according to an outgroup. Red arrows mark those that were recovered from the transcriptomes of *X. violacea*, *H. scabiosae* and *A. mellifera* in the present study. Genomic sequences recovered in the present study have naming convention of Gesp###PPa(U) where Ge stands for frst two letters of the genus name, sp stands for frst two letters of the species name, ### stands for the last three digits of the genomic scafold ID, PP stands for the protein label and a stands for A to Z identifer given to homologous genes if several were found on the same continuous genomic scaffold. Capital U at the end of the name indicates that gene homology was not proposed prior to this study. Where genomic and transcriptomic sequences were identical, we kept transcriptomic sequence. UniProt and GeneBank IDs were kept in their original form.

Additional fle 23. Alignment of venom serine protease proteins.

Additional fle 24. Phylogenetic tree of the Venom Allergens protein family, rerooted according to an outgroup. Red arrows mark those that were recovered from the transcriptomes of *X. violacea*, *H. scabiosae* and *A. mellifera* in the present study. Genomic sequences recovered in the present study have naming convention of Gesp###PPa(U) where Ge stands for frst two letters of the genus name, sp stands for frst two letters of the species name, ### stands for the last three digits of the genomic scafold ID, PP stands for the protein label and a stands for A to Z identifer given to homologous genes if several were found on the same continuous genomic scafold. Capital U at the end of the name indicates that gene homology was not proposed prior to this study. Where genomic and transcriptomic sequences were identical, we kept transcriptomic sequence. UniProt and GeneBank IDs were kept in their original form.

Additional fle 25. Alignment of venom allergen proteins.

Additional fle 26. Phylogenetic tree of the Secapin protein family, rerooted according to an outgroup. Red arrows mark those that were recovered from the transcriptomes of *X. violacea*, *H. scabiosae* and *A. mellifera* in the present study. Genomic sequences recovered in the present study have naming convention of Gesp###PPPP where Ge stands for frst two letters of the genus name, sp stands for frst two letters of the species name, ### stands for the last three digits of the genomic scafold ID, PPPP stands for the protein label. Where genomic and transcriptomic sequences were identical, we kept transcriptomic sequence. UniProt and GeneBank IDs were kept in their original form.

Additional fle 27. Alignment of secapin proteins.

Additional fle 28. Phylogenetic tree of anthophilin1 peptides. Phylogenetic tree of Anthophilin1 protein family. Red arrows mark those that were recovered from the transcriptomes of *X. violacea*, *H. scabiosae* and *A. mellifera* in the present study. Genomic sequences recovered in the present study have naming convention of Gesp###_NAME_# where Ge stands for frst two letters of the genus name, sp stands for frst two letters of the species name, ### stands for the last three digits of the genomic scafold ID, NAME stands for the protein label and # stands for numerical identifer given to homologous genes if several were found on the same continuous genomic scafold. Where genomic and transcriptomic sequences were identical, we kept transcriptomic sequence.

Additional fle 29. Alignment of anthophilin1 peptides.

Additional fle 30. Alignment of *Apis* melittin sequence with *Vollenhovia*.

Additional fle 31. Phylogenetic tree of melittin peptides. Phylogenetic tree of the Melittin protein family. Red arrows mark those that were recovered from the transcriptomes of *X. violacea*, *H. scabiosae* and *A. mellifera* in the present study. Genomic sequences recovered in the present study have naming convention of Gesp###PPa(U) where Ge stands for frst two letters of the genus name, sp stands for frst two letters of the species name, ### stands for the last three digits of the genomic scafold ID, PP stands for the protein label and a stands for A to Z identifer given to homologous genes if several were found on the same continuous genomic scaffold. Where genomic and transcriptomic sequences were identical, we kept transcriptomic sequence. UniProt and GeneBank IDs were kept in their original form. Forms known from proteomes only were kept with the names given in the original studies.

Additional fle 32. Alignment of melittin peptides.

Additional fle 33. Information on all sequences used for the ML approach

Additional fle 34. Dataset of "aculeatoxins" with signal peptide from Robinson et al.

Additional fle 35. Dataset of only mature regions of "aculeatoxins" from Robinson et al.

Additional fle 36. Custom Pearl script used to separate the reads.

Additional fle 37. Genome annotation of *Colletes gigas*.

Additional fle 38. Genome annotation of *Euglossa dilemma*.

Additional fle 39. Genome annotation of *Tetragonula carbonaria*.

Additional fle 40. Genome annotation of *Melipona beecheii*.

Additional fle 41. Genome annotation of Xylocopa violacea.

Additional file 42. Gff files of all toxin gene annotations.

Additional fle 43. Unaligned sequences for the ML approach (full sequences)

Additional fle 44. Unaligned sequences for the ML approach (mature sequences).

Additional fle 45. Similarity Matrices for all venom proteins (mature sequences) in ML approach.

Additional fle 46. All phylogenetic trees for all venom proteins (mature sequences) in ML approach.

Acknowledgements

BMvR and IK thank Frank Förster for fruitful discussions on bioinformatics. BMvR is grateful to Georg Petschenka and Hermann Falkenhahn for helpful insights and discussion on solitary bees and localities in Giessen. BMvR thanks further Ingo Ebersberger for his support. We thank the Genome Technology Center (RGTC) at Radboudumc for the use of the Sequencing Core Facility (Nijmegen, The Netherlands), which provided the PacBio SMRT sequencing service on the Sequel IIe platform. We fnally are grateful to anonymous reviewers from previous manuscript versions for helpful comments.

Authors' contributions

BMvR and IK conceived the study and wrote the manuscript draft with contributions from TNWJ, MV, SD, ES, TT, GL, CG, RG, LP, DGP, BAH, MH, BR and AV. Proteo-transcriptomic and genomic data were analysed by IK, MV and BMvR. Mass spectrometry was conducted by SD, TT and GL. Machine learning analysis was performed by MH, TS and BR. New genome and annotation data were provided by ES, RG, BAH, BMvR and DGP. DNA extraction, library prep and assembly of *X. violacea* by CG, ABH and DKG. All authors read and agreed on the fnal manuscript version.

Funding

BMvR and IK thank the German Science Foundation (DFG) for funding this work by a grant to BMvR (DFG RE3454/6–1). BMvR and AV acknowledge generous funding obtained by AV from the Hessian Ministry of Higher Education, Research, and the Arts for the group "Animal Venomics", which was coordinated by BMvR until end of 2021 at the Institute for Insectbiotechnology at the Justus Liebig University, embedded in the LOEWE Centre for Translational Biodiversity Genomics.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article, its supplementary information fles and publicly available repositories. All proteome data are available in PRIDE via ProtXChange (PXD029934, PXD029823, PXD026642). In NCBI all transcriptome data (SRR14690757, SRR14690758, SRR14690759) and genome data (SRR21101279, JAUCRC000000000, JAUCMO000000000) are made accessible via the SRA archive. All other data that is not obligatory to submission (e.g. assemblies and genome annotations) are provided open access alongside the supplementary data as additional data fles in the database Zenodo under the [https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8052397.](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8052397)

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare they have no competing interests.

Received: 27 January 2023 Accepted: 29 June 2023 Published online: 23 October 2023

References

- 1. Oeyen JP, Baa-Puyoulet P, Benoit JB, Beukeboom LW, Bornberg-Bauer E, Buttstedt A, et al. Sawfy genomes reveal evolutionary acquisitions that fostered the mega-radiation of parasitoid and eusocial Hymenoptera. Lavrov D, editor. Genome Biol Evol. 2020;12(7):1099–188.
- 2. Casewell NR, Wüster W, Vonk FJ, Harrison RA, Fry BG. Complex cocktails: the evolutionary novelty of venoms. Trends Ecol Evol. 2013;28(4):219–29.
- 3. von Reumont BM, Anderluh G, Antunes A, Ayvazyan N, Beis D, Caliskan F, et al. Modern venomics—current insights, novel methods, and future perspectives in biological and applied animal venom research. GigaScience. 2022;11:giac048.
- 4. Wang T, Zhao M, Rotgans BA, Ni G, Dean JFD, Nahrung HF, et al. Proteomic analysis of the venom and venom sac of the woodwasp, *Sirex noctilio* - towards understanding its biological impact. J Proteomics. 2016;146:195–206.
- 5. Piek T. Venoms of the Hymenoptera. London: Academic Press Inc. (London) Ltd.; 1986.
- 6. Drukewitz SH, von Reumont BM. The signifcance of comparative genomics in modern evolutionary venomics. Front Ecol Evol. 2019;7:163.
- 7. Zancolli G, Casewell NR. Venom systems as models for studying the origin and regulation of evolutionary novelties. Kelley J, editor. Mol Biol Evol. 2020;37(10):2777–90.
- 8. Jackson TNW, Koludarov I. How the toxin got its toxicity. Front Pharmacol. 2020;11:1893.
- 9. Almeida DD, Viala VL, Nachtigall PG, Broe M, Gibbs HL, Serrano SM de T, et al. Tracking the recruitment and evolution of snake toxins using the evolutionary context provided by the *Bothrops jararaca* genome. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2021;118(20):e2015159118.
- 10. Drukewitz SH, Bokelmann L, Undheim EAB, von Reumont BM. Toxins from scratch? Diverse, multimodal gene origins in the predatory robber fy *Dasypogon diadema* indicate a dynamic venom evolution in dipteran insects. GigaScience. 2019;8(7):1–13.
- 11. Yin W, Wang ZJ, Li QY, Lian JM, Zhou Y, Lu BZ, et al. Evolutionary trajectories of snake genes and genomes revealed by comparative analyses of fve-pacer viper. Nat Commun. 2016;7:13107.
- 12. Giorgianni MW, Dowell NL, Griffin S, Kassner VA, Selegue JE, Carroll SB. The origin and diversifcation of a novel protein family in venomous snakes. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2020;117(20):10911–20.
- 13. Moran Y, Weinberger H, Sullivan JC, Reitzel AM, Finnerty JR, Gurevitz M. Concerted evolution of sea anemone neurotoxin genes is revealed through analysis of the *Nematostella vectensis*. Genome Mol Biol Evol. 2008;25(4):737–47.
- 14. Sachkova MY, Singer SA, Macrander J, Reitzel AM, Peigneur S, Tytgat J, et al. The birth and death of toxins with distinct functions: a case study in the sea anemone *Nematostella*. O'Connell M, editor. Mol Biol Evol. 2019;36(9):2001–12.
- 15. Margres MJ, Wray KP, Hassinger ATB, Ward MJ, McGivern JJ, Moriarty Lemmon E, et al. Quantity, not quality: rapid adaptation in a polygenic trait proceeded exclusively through expression diferentiation. Mol Biol Evol. 2017;34(12):3099–110.
- 16. Smith EG, Surm JM, Macrander J, Simhi A, Amir G, Sachkova MY, et al. Micro and macroevolution of sea anemone venom phenotype. Nat Commun. 2023;14(1):249.
- 17. Barua A, Koludarov I, Mikheyev AS. Co-option of the same ancestral gene family gave rise to mammalian and reptilian toxins. BMC Biol. 2021;19(1):268.
- 18. Danneels E, Van Vaerenbergh M, Debyser G, Devreese B, de Graaf D. Honeybee venom proteome profle of queens and winter bees as determined by a mass spectrometric approach. Toxins. 2015;7(11):4468–83.
- 19. Moreno M, Giralt E. Three valuable peptides from bee and wasp venoms for therapeutic and biotechnological use: melittin, apamin and mastoparan. Toxins. 2015;7(4):1126–50.
- 20. Walker AA, Robinson SD, Yeates DK, Jin J, Baumann K, Dobson J, et al. Entomo-venomics - the evolution, biology and biochemistry of insect venoms. Toxicon. 2018;154:15–27.
- 21. Walker AA, Robinson SD, Hamilton BF, Undheim EAB, King GF. Deadly proteomes: a practical guide to proteotranscriptomics of animal venoms. Proteomics. 2020;20(17–18):1900324.
- 22. von Reumont BM, Dutertre S, Koludarov I. Venom profle of the European carpenter bee *Xylocopa violacea*: evolutionary and applied considerations on its toxin components. Toxicon X. 2022;14:100117. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxcx.2022.100117) [doi.org/10.1016/j.toxcx.2022.100117.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxcx.2022.100117)
- 23. Lee S, Baek J, Yoon K. Differential properties of venom peptides and proteins in solitary vs. social hunting wasps. Toxins. 2016;8(2):32.
- 24. dos Santos-Pinto JRA, Perez-Riverol A, Lasa AM, Palma MS. Diversity of peptidic and proteinaceous toxins from social Hymenoptera venoms. Toxicon. 2018;148:172–96.
- 25. Erkoc P, von Reumont BM, Lüddecke T, Henke M, Ulshöfer T, Vilcinskas A, et al. The pharmacological potential of novel melittin variants from the honeybee and solitary bees against infammation and cancer. Toxins. 2022;14(12):818.
- 26. Dashevsky D, Baumann K, Undheim EAB, Nouwens A, Ikonomopoulou MP, Schmidt JO, et al. Functional and proteomic insights into aculeata venoms. Toxins. 2023;15(3):224.
- 27. Pucca MB, Cerni FA, Oliveira IS, Jenkins TP, Argemí L, Sørensen CV, et al. Bee updated: current knowledge on bee venom and bee envenoming therapy. Front Immunol. 2019;10:1–15.
- Touchard A, Téné N, Song PCT, Lefranc B, Leprince J, Treilhou M, et al. Deciphering the molecular diversity of an ant venom peptidome through a venomics approach. J Proteome Res. 2018;17(10):3503–16.
- 29. Robinson SD, Mueller A, Clayton D, Starobova H, Hamilton BR, Payne RJ, et al. A comprehensive portrait of the venom of the giant red bull ant, *Myrmecia gulosa*, reveals a hyperdiverse hymenopteran toxin gene family. Sci Adv. 2018;4(9):eaau4640.
- 30. Dashevsky D, Rodriguez J. A short review of the venoms and toxins of spider wasps (Hymenoptera: Pompilidae). Toxins. 2021;13(11):744.
- 31. Abd El-Wahed A, Yosri N, Sakr HH, Du M, Algethami AFM, Zhao C, et al. Wasp venom biochemical components and their potential in biological applications and nanotechnological interventions. Toxins. 2021;13(3):206.
- 32. Burzyńska M, Piasecka-Kwiatkowska D. A review of honeybee venom allergens and allergenicity. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22(16):8371.
- 33. Peters RS, Krogmann L, Mayer C, Donath A, Gunkel S, Meusemann K, et al. Evolutionary history of the hymenoptera. Curr Biol CB. 2017;27(7):1013–8.
- 34. Reams AB, Roth JR. Mechanisms of gene duplication and amplifcation. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2015;7(2): a016592.
- 35. Fields C, Levin M. Competency in navigating arbitrary spaces as an invariant for analyzing cognition in diverse embodiments. Entropy. 2022;24(6):819.
- 36. Martinson EO, Mrinalini, Kelkar YD, Chang CH, Werren JH. The evolution of venom by co-option of single-copy genes. Curr Biol CB. 2017;27(13):2007–2013.e8.
- 37. Dowell NL, Giorgianni MW, Griffin S, Kassner VA, Selegue JE, Sanchez EE, et al. extremely divergent haplotypes in two toxin gene complexes encode alternative venom types within rattlesnake species. Curr Biol. 2018;28(7):1016-1026.e4.
- 38. Koludarov I, Jackson TN, Suranse V, Pozzi A, Sunagar K, Mikheyev AS. Reconstructing the evolutionary history of a functionally diverse gene family reveals complexity at the genetic origins of novelty. bioRxiv; 2020;583344. [cited 2022 Jul 31]. Available from: [https://www.biorxiv.](https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/583344v3) [org/content/10.1101/583344v3](https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/583344v3)
- 39. Danneels EL, Rivers DB, de Graaf DC. Venom proteins of the parasitoid wasp *Nasonia vitripennis*: recent discovery of an untapped pharmacopee. Toxins. 2010;2(4):494–516.
- 40. Choo YM, Lee KS, Yoon HJ, Kim BY, Sohn MR, Roh JY, et al. Dual function of a bee venom serine protease: prophenoloxidase-activating factor in arthropods and fbrin(ogen)olytic enzyme in mammals. PLoS ONE. 2010;5(5):e10393[.https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0010393.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0010393)
- 41. Hofman DR. Hymenoptera venom allergens. Clin Rev Allergy Immunol. 2006;30(2):109–28.
- 42. Światły-Błaszkiewicz A, Mrówczyńska L, Matuszewska E, Lubawy J, Urbański A, Kokot ZJ, et al. The effect of bee venom peptides melittin, tertiapin, and apamin on the human erythrocytes ghosts: a preliminary study. Metabolites. 2020;10(5):191.
- 43. Chen J, Guan SM, Sun W, Fu H. Melittin, the major pain-producing substance of bee venom. Neurosci Bull. 2016;32(3):265–72.
- 44. Choo YM, Lee KS, Yoon HJ, Je YH, Lee SW, Sohn HD, et al. Molecular cloning and antimicrobial activity of bombolitin, a component of bumblebee *Bombus ignitus* venom. Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol. 2010;156(3):168–73.
- 45. Stöcklin R, Favreau P, Thai R, Pfugfelder J, Bulet P, Mebs D. Structural identifcation by mass spectrometry of a novel antimicrobial peptide from the venom of the solitary bee *Osmia rufa* (Hymenoptera: Megachilidae). Toxicon. 2010;55(1):20–7.
- 46. Čujová S, Bednárová L, Slaninová J, Straka J, Čeřovský V. Interaction of a novel antimicrobial peptide isolated from the venom of solitary bee *Colletes daviesanus* with phospholipid vesicles and *Escherichia coli* cells. J Pept Sci. 2014;20(11):885–95.
- 47. Monincová L, Veverka V, Slaninová J, Buděšínský M, Fučík V, Bednárová L, et al. Structure–activity study of macropin, a novel antimicrobial peptide from the venom of solitary bee *Macropis fulvipes* (Hymenoptera: Melittidae). J Pept Sci. 2014;20(6):375–84.
- 48. Kawakami H, Goto SG, Murata K, Matsuda H, Shigeri Y, Imura T, et al. Isolation of biologically active peptides from the venom of Japanese carpenter bee, *Xylocopa appendiculata*. J Venom Anim Toxins Trop Dis. 2017;23(1):29.
- 49. Sun C, Huang J, Wang Y, Zhao X, Su L, Thomas GWC, et al. Genus-wide characterization of bumblebee genomes provides insights into their evolution and variation in ecological and behavioral traits. Mol Biol Evol. 2021;38(2):486–501.
- 50. Fry BG, Roelants K, Champagne DE, Scheib H, Tyndall JDA, King GF, et al. The toxicogenomic multiverse: convergent recruitment of proteins into animal venoms. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet. 2009;10:483–511.
- 51. Jackson TNW, Koludarov I, Ali SA, Dobson J, Zdenek CN, Dashevsky D, et al. Rapid radiations and the race to redundancy: an investigation of the evolution of Australian Elapid snake venoms. Toxins. 2016;8(11):309.
- 52. Grandal M, Hoggard M, Neely B, Davis WC, Marí F. Proteogenomic assessment of intraspecifc venom variability: molecular adaptations in the venom arsenal of *Conus purpurascens*. Mol Cell Proteomics MCP. 2021;20: 100100.
- 53. Dowell NL, Giorgianni MW, Kassner VA, Selegue JE. The deep origin and recent loss of venom toxin genes in rattlesnakes. Curr Biol. 2016;26(18):2424–45.
- 54. Elieh Ali Komi D, Shafaghat F, Zwiener RD. Immunology of bee venom. Clin Rev Allergy Immunol. 2018;54(3):386–96.
- 55. Fry BG, Casewell NR, Wüster W, Vidal N, Young B, Jackson TNW. The structural and functional diversifcation of the Toxicofera reptile venom system. Toxicon Off J Int Soc Toxinol. 2012;60(4):434-48.
- 56. Institute for Insectbiotechnology, University of Giessen, Björn M von Reumont. Venom system transcriptome *Xylocopa violacea*. GeneBank; Available from:<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/SRR14690757>. Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- Institute for Insectbiotechnology, University of Giessen, Björn M von Reumont. Venom system transcriptome *Apis mellifera*. GeneBank; Available from:<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/SRR14690758>. Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 58. Institute for Insectbiotechnology, University of Giessen, Björn M von Reumont. Venom system transcriptome *Halictus scabiosae*. GeneBank; Available from:<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/SRR14690759>. Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 59. MacManes MD. The Oyster River Protocol: a multi-assembler and kmer approach for de novo transcriptome assembly. PeerJ. 2018;6: e5428.
- 60. Bray NL, Pimentel H, Melsted P, Pachter L. Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq quantifcation. Nat Biotechnol. 2016;34(5):525–7.
- 61. Protein Analytics, Institute of Biochemistry, Justus Liebig University. Proteomics of the venom from *Xylocopa violacea*. PRIDE; [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxcx.2022.100117) [org/10.1016/j.toxcx.2022.100117](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxcx.2022.100117)
- 62. Protein Analytics, Institute of Biochemistry, Justus Liebig University. Proteomics of the venom from *Halictus scabiosae*. PRIDE; Available from: <http://www.ebi.ac.uk/pride/archive/projects/PXD029934>.
- 63. Protein Analytics, Institute of Biochemistry, Justus Liebig University. Proteomics of the venom from *Apis mellifera*. PRIDE; Available from: [http://](http://www.ebi.ac.uk/pride/archive/projects/PXD026642) [www.ebi.ac.uk/pride/archive/projects/PXD026642.](http://www.ebi.ac.uk/pride/archive/projects/PXD026642)
- 64. Museum Koenig LIB. stingless bees (Meliponini) assemblies to study phenotypic evolution. GeneBank; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/?term=stingless%20bees%20%28Meliponini%29%20assemblies%20to%20study%20phenotypic%20evolution) nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/?term=[stingless%20bees%20%28Meliponi](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/?term=stingless%20bees%20%28Meliponini%29%20assemblies%20to%20study%20phenotypic%20evolution) [ni%29%20assemblies%20to%20study%20phenotypic%20evolution](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/?term=stingless%20bees%20%28Meliponini%29%20assemblies%20to%20study%20phenotypic%20evolution). Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 65. Miller SA, Dykes DD, Polesky HF. A simple salting out procedure for extracting DNA from human nucleated cells. Nucleic Acids Res. 1988;16(3):1215.
- 66. Cheng H, Concepcion GT, Feng X, Zhang H, Li H. Haplotype-resolved de novo assembly using phased assembly graphs with hifasm. Nat Methods. 2021;18(2):170–5.
- 67. Ludwig A, Pippel M, Myers G, Hiller M. DENTIST—using long reads for closing assembly gaps at high accuracy. GigaScience. 2022;11:giab100.
- 68. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods. 2012;9(4):357–9.
- 69. Poplin R, Chang PC, Alexander D, Schwartz S, Colthurst T, Ku A, et al. A universal SNP and small-indel variant caller using deep neural networks. Nat Biotechnol. 2018;36(10):983–7.
- 70. Li H. A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association mapping and population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data. Bioinformatics. 2011;27(21):2987–93.
- 71. Laetsch DR, Blaxter ML. BlobTools: Interrogation of genome assemblies. F1000Research. 2017;6:1287.
- 72. Institute for Insectbiotechnology, University of Giessen, Björn M von Reumont. Venom proteo-transcriptomics and genomics of social and solitary bees and wasps and other hymenopterans. GeneBank; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/SRX17114919.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/SRX17114919) Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 73. Kwon HW. *Apis cerana* Genome sequencing and assembly. Seoul National University; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/assembly/GCA_001442555.1) [assembly/GCA_001442555.1.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/assembly/GCA_001442555.1) Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 74. Park D, Jung JW, Choi BS, Jayakodi M, Lee J, Lim J, et al. Uncovering the novel characteristics of Asian honey bee, *Apis cerana*, by whole genome sequencing. BMC Genomics. 2015;16:1–16.
- 75. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory. Genome assembly *Apis dorsata* 1.3. GeneBank; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_000469605.1/) [genome/GCF_000469605.1/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_000469605.1/). Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 76. Baylor College of Medicine. Genome assembly *Apis forea*. GeneBank; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_000184785.3/) [000184785.3/.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_000184785.3/) Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 77. Wallberg A, Bunikis I, Pettersson OV, Mosbech MB, Childers AK, Evans JD, et al. A hybrid de novo genome assembly of the honeybee, *Apis mellifera*, with chromosome-length scafolds. BMC Genomics. 2019;20(275). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-019-5642-0>.
- 78. Uppsala University. Genome assembly *Apis mellifera*. GeneBank; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_003254395.2/) [003254395.2/.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_003254395.2/) Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 79. The i5k Initiative. Genome assembly *Athalia rosae*. GeneBank; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_00034](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_000344095.2/) [4095.2/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_000344095.2/). Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 80. Baylor College of Medicine. Genome assembly *Bombus terrestris*. GeneBank; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_000214255.1/) [genome/GCF_000214255.1/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_000214255.1/). Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 81. Sadd BM, Barribeau SM, Bloch G, de Graaf DC, Dearden P, Elsik CG, et al. The genomes of two key bumblebee species with primitive eusocial organization. Genome Biol. 2015;16:76.
- 82. Heraghty SD, Sutton JM, Pimsler ML, Fierst JL, Strange JP, Lozier JD. *De Novo* Genome Assemblies for Three North American Bumble Bee Species: *Bombus bifarius* , *Bombus vancouverensis*, and *Bombus vosnesenskii*. G3 GenesGenomesGenetics. 2020;10(8):2585–92.
- 83. University of Alabama. Genome assembly *Bombus vosnesenskii*. GeneBank; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_011952255.1/) [genome/GCF_011952255.1/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_011952255.1/). Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 84. Shields EJ, Sheng L, Weiner AK, Garcia BA, Bonasio R. High-quality genome assemblies reveal long non-coding RNAs expressed in ant brains. Cell Rep. 2018;23(10):3078–90.
- 85. University of Pennsylvania. Genome assembly *Camponotus foridanus*. GeneBank; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_003227725.1/) [genome/GCF_003227725.1/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_003227725.1/). Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 86. University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. Genome assembly *Cephus cinctus*. GeneBank; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datas](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_000341935.2/) [ets/genome/GCF_000341935.2/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_000341935.2/). Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 87. University of New Hampshire. Genome assembly *Ceratina calcarata*. GeneBank; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_001652005.2/) [genome/GCF_001652005.2/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_001652005.2/). Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 88. Zhou QS, Luo A, Zhang F, Niu ZQ, Wu QT, Xiong M, et al. The First Draft Genome of the Plasterer Bee *Colletes gigas* (Hymenoptera: Colletidae: Colletes). Huchon D, editor. Genome Biol Evol. 2020;12(6):860–6.
- 89. Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Genome assembly *Colletes gigas*. GeneBank; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_013123115.1/) [datasets/genome/GCF_013123115.1/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_013123115.1/). Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 90. BGI. Genome assembly *Dufourea novaeangliae*. GeneBank; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_00127](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_001272555.1/) [2555.1/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_001272555.1/). Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 91. BGI. Genome assembly *Eufriesea mexicana*. GeneBank; Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_001483705.2/. Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 92. Brand P, Saleh N, Pan H, Li C, Kapheim KM, Ramírez SR. The nuclear and mitochondrial genomes of the facultatively eusocial orchid bee *Euglossa dilemma*. G3 GenesGenomesGenetics. 2017;7(9):2891–8.
- 93. University of California, Davis. Genome assembly *Euglossa dilemma*. GeneBank; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCA_002201625.1/) [genome/GCA_002201625.1/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCA_002201625.1/). Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 94. BGI. Genome assembly *Habropoda laboriosa*. GeneBank; Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_001263275.1/. Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 95. University of Pennsylvania. Genome assembly *Harpegnathos saltator*. GeneBank; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_003227715.2/) [genome/GCF_003227715.2/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_003227715.2/). Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 96. Smith CD, Zimin A, Holt C, Abouheif E, Benton R, Cash E, et al. Draft genome of the globally widespread and invasive Argentine ant (*Linepithema humile*). Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2011;108(14):5673–8.
- 97. The Ant Genomics Consortium. Genome assembly *Linepithema humile*. GeneBank; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_000217595.1/) [genome/GCF_000217595.1/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_000217595.1/). Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 98. University of Maryland. Genome assembly *Megachile rotundata*. GeneBank; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_000220905.1/) [genome/GCF_000220905.1/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_000220905.1/). Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 99. Utah State University. Genome assembly *Megalopta genalis*. GeneBank; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_011865705.1/) [011865705.1/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_011865705.1/). Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 100. Kapheim KM, Jones BM, Pan H, Li C, Harpur BA, Kent CF, et al. Developmental plasticity shapes social traits and selection in a facultatively eusocial bee. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2020;117(24):13615–25.
- 101. BGI. Genome assembly *Melipona quadrifasciata*. GeneBank; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCA_00127](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCA_001276565.1/) [6565.1/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCA_001276565.1/). Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 102. Dalla Benetta E, Antoshechkin I, Yang T, Nguyen HQM, Ferree PM, Akbari OS. Genome elimination mediated by gene expression from a selfsh chromosome. Sci Adv. 2020;6 (14):eaaz9808.
- 103. Caltech. Genome assembly *Nasonia vitripennis*. GeneBank; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_00919](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_009193385.2/) [3385.2/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_009193385.2/). Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 104. Kapheim KM, Pan H, Li C, Blatti C, Harpur BA, Ioannidis P, et al. Draft Genome Assembly and Population Genetics of an Agricultural Pollinator, the Solitary Alkali Bee (Halictidae: *Nomia melanderi*). G3 GenesGenomesGenetics. 2019;9 (3):625–34.
- 105. Utah State University. Genome assembly *Nomia melanderi*. GeneBank; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_003710045.2/) [003710045.2/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_003710045.2/). Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 106. University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. Genome assembly *Odontomachus brunneus*. GeneBank; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_010583005.1/) [nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_010583005.1/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_010583005.1/). Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 107. The Rockefeller University. Genome assembly *Ooceraea biroi*. GeneBank; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_003672135.1/) [003672135.1/.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_003672135.1/) Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 108. McKenzie SK, Kronauer DJC. The genomic architecture and molecular evolution of ant odorant receptors. Genome Res. 2018;28(11):1757–65.
- 109. Beadle K, Singh KS, Troczka BJ, Randall E, Zaworra M, Zimmer CT, et al. Genomic insights into neonicotinoid sensitivity in the solitary bee *Osmia bicornis*. Gojobori T, editor. PLOS Genet. 2019;15 (2):e1007903.
- 110. Rothamsted Research. Genome assembly *Osmia bicornis bicornis*. GeneBank; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_004153925.1/) [genome/GCF_004153925.1/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_004153925.1/). Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 111. United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service. Genome assembly *Osmia lignaria*. GeneBank; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_012274295.1/) [nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_012274295.1/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_012274295.1/). Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 112. CRG-Centre for Genomic Regulation. Genome assembly *Polistes canadensis*. GeneBank; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_001313835.1/) [datasets/genome/GCF_001313835.1/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_001313835.1/). Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 113. Patalano S, Vlasova A, Wyatt C, Ewels P, Camara F, Ferreira PG, et al. Molecular signatures of plastic phenotypes in two eusocial insect spe cies with simple societies. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2015;112(45):13970–5.
- 114. Toth lab. Genome assembly *Polistes dominula*. GeneBank; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_00146](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_001465965.1/) [5965.1/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_001465965.1/). Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 115. University of Lausanne. Genome assembly *Solenopsis invicta*. GeneBank; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_016802725.1/) [016802725.1/.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_016802725.1/) Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 116. Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology. Genome assembly *Vol lenhovia emeryi*. GeneBank; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/assembly/GCF_000949405.1) [gov/assembly/GCF_000949405.1.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/assembly/GCF_000949405.1) Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 117. Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology. Genome assembly *Was mannia auropunctata*. GeneBank; Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_000956235.1/) [nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_000956235.1/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_000956235.1/). Accessed 1 Mar 2023.
- 118. Palmer JM, Stajich J. Funannotate v1.8.1: Eukaryotic genome annota tion. Zenodo; 2020. [cited 2022 Aug 1]. Available from: [https://zenodo.](https://zenodo.org/record/4054262) [org/record/4054262](https://zenodo.org/record/4054262)
- 119. Katoh K, Standley DM. MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol. 2013;30(4):772–80.
- 120. Aberer AJ, Kobert K, Stamatakis A. ExaBayes: massively parallel Bayesian tree inference for the whole-genome era. Mol Biol Evol. 2014;31(10):2553–6.
- 121. Raffel C, Shazeer N, Roberts A, Lee K, Narang S, Matena M, et al. Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unifed text-to-text transformer. J Mach Learn Res. 2020;21(140):1–67.
- 122. Elnaggar A, Heinzinger M, Dallago C, Rehawi G, Wang Y, Jones L, et al. ProtTrans: towards cracking the language of lifes code through selfsupervised deep learning and high performance computing. IEEE Trans Pattern Anal Mach Intell. 2021;14(8):1–1. [https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2021.3095381) [2021.3095381](https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2021.3095381) .
- 123. Rives A, Meier J, Sercu T, Goyal S, Lin Z, Liu J, et al. Biological structure and function emerge from scaling unsupervised learning to 250 million protein sequences. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2021;118(15): e2016239118.
- 124. Weißenow K, Heinzinger M, Rost B. Protein language model embed dings for fast, accurate, alignment-free protein structure prediction. bioRxiv; 2021. p. 2021.07.31.454572. [cited 2022 Aug 1]. Available from: <https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.07.31.454572v1>
- 125. Littmann M, Heinzinger M, Dallago C, Olenyi T, Rost B. Embeddings from deep learning transfer GO annotations beyond homology. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):1160.
- 126. Steinegger M, Mirdita M, Söding J. Protein-level assembly increases protein sequence recovery from metagenomic samples manyfold. Nat Methods. 2019;16(7):603–6.
- 127. Dallago C, Schütze K, Heinzinger M, Olenyi T, Littmann M, Lu AX, et al. Learned embeddings from deep learning to visualize and predict protein sets. Curr Protoc. 2021;1(5): e113.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in pub lished maps and institutional afliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- **•** fast, convenient online submission
- **•** thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- **•** gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- **•** maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

