

Development and characterization of composite films based on chitosan and collagenous proteins from bluefin tuna: application for peeled shrimp preservation

Youssra Ben Azaza, Marwa Hamdi, Christophe Charmette, Arie van der Lee, Mourad Jridi, Suming Li, Moncef Nasri, Rim Nasri

▶ To cite this version:

Youssra Ben Azaza, Marwa Hamdi, Christophe Charmette, Arie van der Lee, Mourad Jridi, et al.. Development and characterization of composite films based on chitosan and collagenous proteins from bluefin tuna: application for peeled shrimp preservation. Cellulose, 2023, 30 (1), pp.373-395. 10.1007/s10570-022-04893-z . hal-04055778

HAL Id: hal-04055778 https://hal.umontpellier.fr/hal-04055778

Submitted on 7 Sep 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Development and characterization of composite films based on chitosan and
2	collagenous protein from bluefin tuna: Application for peeled shrimp
3	preservation
4	
5	Youssra Ben Azaza ^{*1} , Marwa Hamdi ¹ , Christophe Charmette ² , Arie van der lee ² , Mourad
6	Jridi ^{1,3} , Suming Li ² , Moncef Nasri ¹ , Rim Nasri ^{1,4}
7	1 Laboratory of Enzyme Engineering and Microbiology, University of Sfax, National Engineering
8	School of Sfax, P.O.B. 1173-3038 Sfax, Tunisia
9 10	2 Institut Européen des Membranes, IEM, UMR 5635, Univ Montpellier, ENSCM, CNRS, Montpellier, France.
11	3 Higher Institute of Biotechnology of Beja, University of Jendouba, Beja, Tunisia
12	4 Higher Institute of Biotechnology of Monastir, P.O.B. 5000, University of Monastir, Monastir, Tunisia
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	* Corresponding author : Laboratory of Enzyme Engineering and Microbiology, University of Sfax, National Engineering School of Sfax, P.O.B. 1173, 3038, Sfax, Tunisia.
19 20	E-mail address: youssrabenazaza@gmail.com (Y. Ben Azaza).
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
30 37	
38	Abstract

In the current study, composite films based on blue crab chitosan (Cs) and bluefin tuna collagenous proteins (BTCP) were prepared and characterized. Composite films were assessed for their physicochemical, barrier (water vapor and UV), structural, crystallinity, thermal, mechanical and antioxidant properties. FTIR analysis showed an increase of hydrogen bonding formation between polymers with the increase of BTCP content. Additionally, the addition of BTCP to the Cs solution at a volume ratio of 10:90, consequently forming the Cs90-10BTCP film, improved the water vapor permeability and UV barrier capability of the composite films compared to the chitosan film. Interestingly, the incorporation of BTCP improved significantly the antioxidant activity of composite films, allowing them to be successively used as bioactive packaging materials. The ability of Cs-BTCP film solutions as a coating for shrimp preservation was also investigated. The Cs90-10BTCP film solution showed better preservative effect on shrimps in terms of preventing the lipid peroxidation and delaying the growth of spoilage microorganisms. Hence, these findings suggested that the Cs-BTCP coating preserved the shrimps throughout the refrigerated storage period. Keywords: Composite films; Shrimp coating; Postharvest shelf life.

1. Introduction

The growth of the world population, leads to a scarcity of biological resources and an increasing degradation of the environment, hence the need for innovation in the food sector

(Kaanane and Mkadem 2020). To minimize food loss and waste in the fish value chains and, at the same time, improve fish waste management strategies, several methods can be used to convert by-products into value added products: animal feed ingredients (fishmeal and fish oil), dietetic products (chitosan), pharmaceuticals (omega-3 oils) and constituents in other industrial processes (Al Khawli et al. 2019; Caruso et al. 2020).

The valorization of marine by-products should be a necessity to guarantee global food security and satisfy of the growing demand for fishery products (Rudovica et al. 2021). Marine by-products (viscera, heads, bones, cartilages, skin, scales, shells, damaged fish), produced by marine processing industries (Hamed et al. 2016), are a good alternative to high-value products (Galiano et al. 2018).

Crustacean products represent a valuable source of proteins, chitin (Hajji et al. 2014), minerals, carotenoids (Hamdi et al. 2019), flavors, nutritive components and enzymes (Affes et al. 2019). Therefore, making use of such waste was due to their biological and economical values (Ozogul et al. 2018). The seafood industry generates about 2,000 tons of chitosan annually, whose main source of extraction is from crustacean exoskeletons (Muñoz et al. 2018; Santos et al. 2020).

In this context, chitosan, a natural polymer obtained from blue crab shell, plays an important role due to its outstanding characteristics such as nontoxicity, low allergenicity, biocompatibility, and biodegradability. It has been evaluated as a biomaterial for various applications (Aranaz et al. 2021). Chitosan, consisting of units of D-glucosamine and N-acetyl-D-glucosamine, linked by β -1,4-glycosidic bonds, is a cationic polysaccharide obtained by deacetylation of chitin (Hamdi et al. 2020). The cationic nature of chitosan allows it to form electrostatic complexes or multilayer structures with other negatively charged natural polymers.

94 Chitosan is known as an excellent film forming polymer (Wang et al. 2020). The chitosan
95 films have been extensively studied for their good barrier properties against oxygen, water and
96 lights (Kittur et al. 1998; Melro et al. 2020). Despite these advantages, Cs-based films present
97 some drawbacks in food packaging, such as brittleness, poor mechanical resistance, low thermal
98 stability, and sensitivity to moisture (Aider 2010; Yuan et al. 2021).

99 Therefore, to enhance the performance of the Cs-based film, the blending strategy with 100 other biopolymers would be an alternative approach to improve the film properties (Zhang et 101 al. 2020; Li et al. 2020). Always, films made by mixing polymers usually have enhanced 102 physical and mechanical properties compared to films made of individual components.

Fish protein isolates can be prepared from protein isolation process of fish by-product.The use of protein isolate has already been used not only as food additive but also as film-

forming materials (Valdivia-López et al. 2016; Mihalca et al. 2021). However, fish protein
isolates-based films have certainly poor properties. Thus, many studies have been made to show
the effect of blending marine protein isolates with chitosan on improving different properties
of Cs-based film (Zhang et al. 2019; Azaza et al. 2022).

109 The composite films, with improved properties, are currently attracting increasing interest 110 in the development of packaging materials for food preservation. The potential of edible 111 coatings is to improve the quality of food products by delaying lipid oxidation, preventing loss 112 of protein functionality and reducing bacterial growth (Barlow and Morgan 2013).

113 In this study, the head and cartilage of bluefin tuna (Thunnus thynnus) were used as a byproduct model of fish processing and were valued by the protein isolation process, which is 114 115 currently the most processed axis for producing biopolymers. This approach involves solubilizing/precipitating of the collagenous protein at low pH separating the soluble proteins. 116 117 It could be an excellent and viable practice to effectively value this new biomass. As a natural protein, collagenous protein, extracted from by-products of bluefin tuna (T. thynnus), was used 118 119 in polymer-based films due to its functional and antioxidant properties. Cs and BTCP can be used as a potential biological matrix for the development of composite film combined at 120 121 different ratios, with interesting properties, widely used for various applications. Additionally, 122 this study was carried out to investigate the effect of Cs-BTCP coating on the chemical, microbiological and lipid oxidative changes of shrimp during refrigerated storage was 123 evaluated. This research is of special importance in the sectors of the blue and circular economy 124 and the sustainable use of marine by-products. For this reason, this study aims to valorize these 125 126 by-products, which can be used as a potential biological matrix for the extraction of bioactive substances with high added value exploitable in several fields. 127

128 **2. Materials and methods**

129 **2.1. Materials**

Blue crab samples were obtained under fresh conditions from a fishery market located atSfax City, Tunisia.

Bluefin tuna by-products were collected from the "The Sultan" tuna fishery in Sfax City, Tunisia. Glycerol and acetic acid were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. DPPH (2, 2-diphenyll-picrylhydrazyl), ferrozine (3-(2-Pyridyl)-5,6-diphenyl-1,2,4-triazine), ferrous chloride, ferrous ion, α -tocopherol, potassium ferricyanide, trichloroacetic acid (TCA), sodium chloride (NaCl), ammonium thiocyanate, Tris, butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) and 2/thiobarbituric acid (TBA) were procured from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA).

4

Hexane, hydrochloric acid (HCl), chloroform and methanol of reagent grade werepurchased from Sigma Chemical Co.

140 **2.2. Preparation of blue crab chitosan**

Chitosan was extracted from blue crab (P. segnis) shells as described by Azaza et al. 141 142 (2022). Blue crab shells were carefully separated and washed with tap water to remove impurities and cooked for 20 min at 90 °C. Then, the cooked shells were dried at room 143 temperature and powdered in a Moulinex® blender. In the Cs preparation process, there must 144 be at least two distinct steps, namely a demineralization (mineral removal) step, performed 145 146 chemically in a reactor under agitation and in a solution of HCl (0,55 M) at a ratio of 1/10 (m/v), using three repeated acid baths, for a duration of 30 min each bath and a deproteinization step 147 (protein removal), was carried out using Purafect® for 3 hours with an enzyme/substrate ratio 148 of 5 U/mg protein and under optimal enzyme conditions (pH 10.0 and temperature 50 °C). 149 150 Finally, the conversion of chitin to chitosan was realized with the treatment of with 12.5 M NaOH at a w/v ratio of 1/10 to 140 °C. After filtration, the residue was washed with distilled 151 152 water until the pH was neutral, and the crude chitosan was dried at 50 °C for overnight.

153 **2.3. Characteristics of blue crab chitosan**

The degree of deacetylation (DD) of Cs was determined by using the direct titration method as described by Hamdi et al. (2018). Briefly, chitosan samples (0.1 g) were dissolved in 25 mL of 0.06 M HCl for 1 h at room temperature. Before being titrated with a 0.1 M NaOH to pH 3.75 under constant stirring, the solutions were diluted to 50 ml with distilled water. The volume of NaOH at pH 3.75 was acquired and recorded. Titration was continued to pH 8 and the total volume of NaOH (0.1 M) was recorded. The degree of deacetylation (DD) was, then, calculated using the following equations:

161

$DD(\%) = 161.16 \times (V2 - V1) \times N/W1$ Eq (1)

where, 161.16 is the mass (g mol⁻¹) of chitosan monomer; V1 and V2 are the volumes required
at pH 3.75 and pH 8.0, respectively, of NaOH solution used (in L); N is the strength of the
NaOH solution (0.1 M) and W1 is the mass (in g) of sample after correction for moisture. The
degree of deacetylation (DD) of the samples was determined in triplicate.

166 Steric Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) was performed using multi-detector equipment 167 with a differential refractometer, a multiangle laser light scattering detector and a viscometer 168 from WYATT Technology (DAWN DSP-F). Acetic acid 0.3 M/sodium acetate 0.2 M 169 (pH=4.5) was adopted as solvent (at 25 ± 2 °C). TSK Gel GMPWXL column type was used 170 and a flow of 0.4 ml/min was adopted. The increment of refractive index dn/dc was 0.190 (Hamdi et al. 2020). Resulted chromatograms were analyzed with ASTRA 6.1.2 (WYATT
Technology) software and weight-average molecular weights (Mw; g mol⁻¹), were determined.

The DD of Cs, estimated by potentiometric titration, was found to be significantly high (90.39 \pm 1.36 %) as mentioned by Hamdi et al. (2018). Further, the average molecular weight of the obtained Cs was estimated to be 115 000 g mol⁻¹, based on the size exclusion chromatography (SEC) analysis (Hamdi et al. 2020).

177 2.4. Preparation of bluefin tuna collagenous proteins

The extraction of collagenous proteins was carried out as follows: first of all, the bluefin 178 tuna by-products (equal weight ratio of head and cartilage) were grounded and then mixed with 179 distilled water at a ratio of 1:10 (w/v) using an Ultra-turrax® apparatus (IKA, T18 basic). 180 Afterward, the pH of the mixture was adjusted to 5.0 using 1 M HCl solution, and the mixture 181 182 was kept under constant stirring at 50 °C overnight. The soluble collagenous proteins were recovered in the supernatant after centrifugation at 6000 rpm for 30 min. Finally, the pH of the 183 supernatant was adjusted to 7.0 using 2 M NaOH solution, and the bluefin tuna collagenous 184 proteins (BTCP) were spray-dried using an atomizer (BUCHI B-290, Arch Spray Drying 185 186 Services, USA).

187 The extraction yield of BTCP was calculated as follows,

$$Yield (\%) = \frac{W}{W_0} \times 100 \qquad \text{Eq (2)}$$

where W is the weight of dried BTCP (g), and W_0 the wet weight of fresh bluefin tuna byproducts (g).

191 **2.5. Chemical analysis of BTCP**

The dry matter, moisture, and ash contents of BTCP were determined according to the AOAC standard method (Horwitz 2000). Proteins content of dried BTCP was measured using the Kjeldahl method and the method developed by Lowry et al. (1951). All measurements were performed in triplicate.

196 2.6. Preparation of Cs-BTCP composite films

Film-forming solutions were prepared as reported in our previous study (Azaza et al. 2022), by dissolving Cs (2%; w/v) in 1% (v/v) aqueous acetic acid and BTCP (2%; w/v) in distilled water, under continuous magnetic stirring at room temperature (**Fig. 1**). After complete solubilization, both polymer solutions were filtered to remove insoluble impurities, and then glycerol, as a plasticizer, was added to both solutions at a level of 15% (w/w compared to polymer), respectively. Next, the solutions were blended by mixing under gentle stirring at
different Cs-BTCP volume ratios. The film-forming solutions were poured into Petri dishes (25
ml) and allowed to dry under an air flow at 25 °C for 48 h. The dried Cs/BTCP films were
peeled off carefully and stored at 25 °C and a relative humidity (RH) of 50%, for further
analysis. The obtained composite films were designated as Cs90–10BTCP, Cs80–20BTCP,
Cs70–30BTCP, Cs60–40BTCP and Cs50–50BTCP. Pure chitosan (Cs100) films were prepared
as control.







Fig. 1 Preparation scheme of Cs-BTCP composite films

211 2.7. Characterization of Cs-BTCP composite films

212 2.7.1. Moisture content and water solubility

Moisture content (MC) was evaluated by drying approximately 100 mg of film samples in an oven at 105 °C up to constant weight. The weights of films before and after drying were measured and the MC of films was calculated as follows:

216
$$MC(\%) = \frac{W0-W}{W0} \times 100$$
 Eq (3)

where W_0 is the initial film weight (g) and W is the final film dry weight (g). Three replicates of each film were carried out.

Water solubility (WS) of Cs-BTCP composite films was assayed as reported by Gennadios et al. (1998). Briefly, Small pieces of the films (100 mg) were placed in centrifuge tube containing 50 ml distilled water at 25 °C and then shaken for 24 h. After centrifugation (8000 rpm – 15 min), the residual pieces of films were dried at 50 °C for 24 h. The WS was calculated as follows:

$$WS(\%) = \frac{[W0 x (100 - MC) - Wf]}{[W0 x (100 - MC)]} \times 100 \qquad Eq(4)$$

where W_0 is the initial film weight (g), W_f is the final dry weight of film (g), and MC is the moisture content of films. All experiments were performed in triplicates.

227 2.7.2. Swelling degree

The swelling degree (SD) of Cs-BTCP composite films was determined according to the method reported by Khan and Ranjha, (2014). Film samples (30 mg) were immersed in 10 mL distilled water for 24 h at 25 °C. After incubation, excess water was removed and the films were carefully dried on filter papers and weighed again. Swelling degree was calculated as follows:

232
$$SD(\%) = \frac{Wf-Wi}{Wi} \times 100$$
 Eq (5)

where W_i is the initial weight of the film (g) and W_f is the final weight of the swollen film (g). The weight measurements were performed in triplicate

235 2.7.3. Water/vapor permeability (WVP)

Water vapor permeability (WVP) was evaluated gravimetrically according to ASTM E96-95 standards. The film samples (75×75 mm) were conditioned at 25 °C and 50% RH for a minimum equilibration time of 48 h and thickness was measured for all samples after equilibration. The WVP cup was placed in a humidity chamber (KBF 240 Binder, ODIL, France) maintained at 25 °C and 50% RH. The change in the weight of the cup was measured at regular intervals of 1 h over 10 h. The WVP (g m⁻¹ s⁻¹ Pa⁻¹) was calculated by the following equation:

243
$$WVP = \frac{\Delta w X e}{A X \Delta t X \Delta P} \qquad Eq (6)$$

where Δw is the weight variation of the cup (g); e is the film thickness (m); A is the film area exposed to the transfer $(1.39 \times 10^4 \text{ m}^2)$; Δt is the time of weight variation (s); $\Delta P = (p2-p1)$ is the vapor partial pressure differential across the film (Pa). All films were measured three times.

247 2.7.4. Light transmission and transparency

The Cs-BTCP composite films light transmittance was determined at wavelengths ranged from 200 to 800 nm using UV/visible spectrometer (T70, UV / Vis spectrometer, PG Instruments Ltd., China) as described by Fang et al. (2002). Briefly, films were cut into rectangular samples (1 x 3 cm) and directly attached on the internal side the spectrophotometer. The transparency was determined at 600 nm and calculated as follows:

253 $Transparency = \frac{LogA600}{t} \qquad Eq (7)$

where A600 is the film absorbance at 600 nm and t is the film thickness (μ m). Measurements were carried out in triplicate.

256 2.7.5. Color properties

Film color properties were measured using a colorimeter Konica Minolta CR/5 (Sensing Europe B.V) to obtain parameters L* (lightness/brightness), a* (redness/greenness), b* (yellowness) and ΔE^* (total color difference). The control film (Cs100) was used as a reference for color measurements of the films. The measurements were repeated five times for each sample. The color difference ΔE was calculated by using the following equation:

262

$$\Delta E * = [(\Delta L^*)^2 + (\Delta a^*)^2 + (\Delta b^*)^2]^{1/2} \quad Eq (8)$$

where ΔL^* , Δa^* and Δb^* are the differences between the corresponding color parameter of the sample and that of the control film.

265 2.7.6. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy

FTIR spectra were recorded on a spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, Carry 630 series) equipped with horizontal attenuated total reflectance (ATR) crystal (diamond/ZnSe) in the wavenumber range from 650 to 4000 cm⁻¹. A total of 32 scans was made per minute at 4 cm⁻¹ resolutions. All spectra were smoothed using the OMNIC Spectra software (ThermoFisher Scientific).

271 2.7.7. X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis

272 The XRD patterns were recorded by a Bruker D5000 ray diffractometer with a radiation 273 source of Cu K α . Measurements were made from 7 to 40° at a scanning rate of 1°/min, a voltage of 40 KV and a current of 20 mA. A blank run was done and subtracted subsequently from the
sample data.

276 2.7.8. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)

The thermal stability of composite films was conducted by using thermogravimetric analyzer (TGA Q500 High Resolution, TA Instruments). Dynamic scans from 25 to 600 °C were carried out at a constant rate of 20 °C/min under nitrogen flow (40 mL/min). 4 mg of sample were used for each analysis.

281 2.7.9. Mechanical properties

Tensile strength (TS) and elongation at break (EAB) of film samples were evaluated at 282 25 °C using a rheometer (Physica MCR. Anton Paar. GmbH. France) equipped with a 283 mechanical property measuring geometry. Rectangular samples (4.5 cm \times 1.0 cm) were cut 284 from films using a precision standard cutter. After equilibrating films for one week at 25 °C 285 and 50 % RH, the thicknesses were measured. The samples were placed in the extension grips 286 287 of the testing machine and stretched uniaxially with a deformation rate of 5 mm/min until breaking. Rheoplus software was used for the estimation of TS (MPa) and EAB (%) 288 289 corresponding to the maximum load and the final extension at break from the stress/strain curves, respectively. The average values of at least six measurements were listed. 290

The thickness of Cs-BTCP composite films was measured using micrometer (Digimatic IP65, Mitutoyo, France); with an accuracy of \pm 0.001 mm. Ten random measurements at different positions of each sample were used to determine the thickness of the films. Thickness results were taken into account for mechanical properties and water vapor permeability determination.

296 2.7.10. In vitro antioxidant activity of films

297 2.7.10.1. DPPH free radical-scavenging assay

The DPPH free radical scavenging activity of prepared films was evaluated according to the method of Bersuder et al. (1998), with some modifications. The films were cut into small pieces (m = 10 mg) and immersed in 375 μ l of 99.5 % ethanol and 125 μ l of 0.02% DPPH (in 99.5 % ethanol), as free radicals' source. The mixture was homogenized and incubated for 24 h at room temperature (25 °C) in the dark. The reduction of DPPH radicals was measured at 517 nm (T70, UV/Vis spectrometer, PG Instruments Ltd., China). Regarding the solutions of BTCP powder, it was the same process used for all film samples. The butylated hydroxyanisole 305 (BHA) was used as a positive control and the anti-radical activity was calculated according to306 the following equation:

307

Radical scavenging activity (%) =
$$\frac{[Abs_c + Abs_B - Abs_F]}{Abs_c} \times 100$$
 Eq (9)

308 where Abs_C is the absorbance of the control reaction, Abs_B and Abs_F were the absorbance of 309 the blank and the reaction mixture, respectively. The test was carried out in triplicate.

310 **2.7.10.2. Metal chelating activity**

The chelating activity of prepared films towards ferrous ion (Fe²⁺) was studied as reported 311 by Decker and Welch, (1990), with some modifications. Thus, Film samples (10 mg cut into 312 small pieces) were mixed with 450 µl of distilled water. Then, 50 µl of 2 mM FeCl₂, 4 H₂O and 313 200 µl of 5 mM Ferrozine (3-(2-Pyridyl)-5,6-diphenyl-1,2,4-triazine) were added. The reaction 314 mixtures were vigorously stirred and incubated for 20 minutes at room temperature (25±2 °C). 315 The absorbance of the solutions was measured at 562 nm. Regarding the solutions of BTCP 316 powder, it was the same process used for all film samples. EDTA was used as positive control 317 and the inhibition percentage of ferrozine/ Fe^{2+} complex formation was calculated using the 318 319 following equation:

320 Metal chelating activity (%) =
$$\frac{[Abs_c + Abs_B - Abs_F]}{Abs_c}$$
 X 100 Eq (10)

where Abs c is the absorbance of the control tube (without sample), Abs B is the absorbance of
the blank tube and Abs F is the absorbance of the sample in the presence of Ferrozine (reaction).

323 **2.7.10.3. Reducing power assay**

The ability to reduce iron (III) was determined using the Yildirim et al. (2001) method, 324 based on following up the ability of an antioxidant molecule to reduce ferric iron from 325 potassium ferricyanide (K₃Fe(CN)₆) in ferrous iron (Fe²⁺). Film samples (10 mg) and BTCP 326 solutions (1-4 mg) were immersed in 1.25 ml of 0.2 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.6) and 1.25 ml 327 of 1% (w/v) potassium ferricyanide, and incubated for 30 min at 50 °C. 1.25 ml of 328 trichloroacetic acid 10% (m/v) was added to the mixture in order to stop the reaction. Finally, 329 330 the mixture was centrifuged for 10 min at 3 500 g, and the supernatant (1.25 ml) was mixed with 1.25 ml distilled water and 0.25 ml 1% (m/v) ferric chloride. After 10 min reaction, the 331 332 absorbance of the resulting solution was measured at 700 nm. Regarding the solutions of BTCP 333 powder, it was the same process used for all film samples. The values are presented as the 334 means of duplicate analyses. BHA was used as a standard for BTCP solutions.

335 2.7.10.4. Total antioxidant activity (TAA)

Total antioxidant activity was assayed using the method of Prieto et al. (1999). Briefly, films were cut into small pieces (10 mg) and immersed in Eppendorf tubes containing 0.1 ml of distilled water and 1 ml of reagent solution (0.6 M sulphuric acid, 28 mM sodium phosphate, and 4 mM ammonium molybdate) and incubated at 90 °C for 90 min. Thereafter, the absorbance was measured at 695 nm. Regarding the solutions of BTCP powder, it was the same process used for all film samples. The total antioxidant activity of the films was expressed as α tocopherol equivalents using the following formula:

343

$$C_{toc} \; (\mu \text{mol/mL}) = \frac{[OD_c / 0.0049]}{0.011} \qquad \text{Eq (11)}$$

where C_{toc} is the concentration as α -tocopherol equivalents (μ mol/mL) and OD_s is the absorbance of samples at 695 nm.

346 **2.8.** Application of Cs-BTCP coating solutions

347 2.8.1. Preparation and coating treatments on shrimp

348 Shrimps were purchased from a local fish store in Sfax, Tunisia. Only shrimps with a uniform average weight, healthy appearance, good texture and no visible damage were selected 349 350 for the experimental work. First, shrimps were washed with running water and then dried in the open air at room temperature. The shrimps were dipped into the respective coating solutions at 351 352 4 °C for 4-5 min. Then, the shrimps were placed in an air-tight sterile polyethylene boxes and stored at 4 °C for 9 days. Shrimps were randomly divided into four groups (4 shrimps per group) 353 354 as follows: (Sh) control shrimp (uncoated) without treatment; (Sh-1) shrimp soaked in a coating 355 solution of Cs (2%, w/v); (Sh-2) shrimp dipped in a Cs90-10BTCP coating solution; (Sh-3) shrimp coated with a Cs50-50BTCP coating solution. Finally, the samples from each group 356 were randomly taken out for analysis according to the predetermined time intervals (0, 3, 6 and 357 9 days of storage), in three replicates. 358

359 2.8.2. *Chemical evaluation*

pH of the shrimp was measured as described by Keller et al. (1974) by a pH-meter using
a mixture of 10 g of sample in 50 ml of distilled water.

The dry matter content was determined after evaporating the water contained in 5 g of sample at 105 °C during 24 h until a constant weight was reached (Horwitz 2000).

Color was evaluated using a colorimeter Konica Minolta CR/5 (Sensing Europe B.V) and expressed as L*, a* and b* values, referring to the measuring parameters of lightness, redness/greenness, and yellowness/blueness, respectively. Total color (ΔE) was determined as mentioned above:

368
$$\Delta E = \frac{[a^* + 1.75 \times L^*]}{[5.645 \text{ x } L^* + a^* - 3.021 \text{ x } b^*]} \quad Eq \ (12)$$

369 2.8.3. Determination of thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS)

The thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) test is widely used to evaluate lipid 370 371 peroxidation. The TBARS values were determined calorimetrically by the modified method of Buege and Aust, (1972). Malondialdehyde (MDA) and other TBARS were evaluated based on 372 their reactivity with 2/thiobarbituric acid (TBA) under acidic conditions allowing a pink colored 373 complex detectable at 530 nm. In brief, a portion (0.5 g) of sample was homogenized with 625 374 µL of TBS (50 mM Tris containing 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) and 375 µl of TCA/BHT (TCA 375 20%, BHT 1%) in order to precipitate proteins, and then centrifuged (1000 g, 10 min, 4 °C). 376 377 Then, 400 µl of the supernatant were mixed with 80 µl of HCl (0.6 M) and 320 µl of Tris/TBA (Tris 26 mM; TBA 120 mM). The homogenate was heated for 10 min at 90 °C. The absorbance 378 379 of the resulting solution was measured at 530 nm. TBARS values were expressed as milligram 380 of malonaldehyde equivalents per kilogram of sample.

381 2.8.4. Determination of the conjugated dienes content

Lipid oxidation was also assessed by the conjugated diene content using the method of Srinivasan et al. (2011). The conjugated dienes were evaluated by increasing absorption at 233 nm.

385 2.8.5. Determination of peroxide value

The PV test was performed according to the method of Shantha and Decker, (1993) with some modifications. The sample (0.30 g) was mixed with 9.8 ml chloroform–methanol in a glass tube and vortexed for 2-4 s. Ammonium thiocyanate solution (10 mM) (0.05 ml) was added and the sample was vortexed for 2-4 s. Then, 0.05 ml iron (II) solution was added and the sample was vortexed for 2-4 s. Finally, the mixture was incubated for 5 min at room temperature and the absorbance was determined at 500 nm. PV is expressed as milliequivalents of peroxide oxygen combined in a kilogram of fat.

393 2.8.6. *Microbiological analysis*

Microbiological analysis of coated shrimps was determined by homogenizing 1 g of shrimp sample in 9 ml of 0.9% NaCl at room temperature. Then, decimal dilutions were prepared from the solution and plated in the appropriate media. The total psychrophilic aerobic bacteria (TPAB) and the total mesophilic aerobic bacteria (TMAB) were estimated after incubation for 48 h at 37 °C and for 7 days at 4 °C, respectively, using Plate Count Agar medium 399 (PCA). All microbial counts were converted to logarithms of colony/forming units per gram of400 shrimp sample (log CFU/g).

401 **2.9. Statistical analysis**

402 All the measurements were realized in triplicate, based on the test used. All the statistical 403 computations were performed using SPSS ver. 20.0 professional edition (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, 404 IL, USA) via ANOVA analysis. All data were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation. 405 Differences were considered significant at p < 0.05.

406 **3. Results and discussion**

407 **3.1.** Characterization of bluefin tuna collagenous protein

408 *3.1.1. Chemical analysis of BTCP*

The collagenous proteins were successfully extracted from the head and cartilage of bluefin tuna via a chemical and thermal treatments with an extraction yield of 8.10 g/100 g of fresh by-products. The proximate composition, including protein, dry matter, moisture and ash contents of BTCP, is presented in **Table 1**. BTCP showed high level of proteins (77.49%) and low amount of fat (1.20%), revealing its high quality. The protein content of BTCP was higher than that of snakehead fish protein concentrate (58.77%) (Romadhoni et al. 2016), but lower than that of sardinella protein isolate (81.3%) (Azaza et al. 2022).

416 Table 1: Proximate composition of the bluefin tuna by-products and its collagenous protein417 (BTCP)

418

419

	Bluefin tuna by- products	ВТСР
Dry matter $(\%)^*$	36.94 ± 0.87	93.04 ± 0.85
Protein (%)**	72.84 ± 0.31	77.49 ± 0.35
Ash (%)**	17.37 ± 0.48	20.76 ± 0.42
Lipids (%) ^{**}	10.09 ± 0.30	1.2 ± 0.04
Yield (%)*	-	8.10

420 *: g dry matter per 100g wet matter, **: g dry matter per 100g dry matter. All the data are expressed 421 as mean \pm SD and are the mean of three replicates.

422 3.1.2. Antioxidant activities of BTCP

Since antioxidant compounds could act through several chemical mechanisms, the antioxidant activity of BTCP was investigated through multiple assays, including the DPPH radical scavenging, ferrous chelating activity, the reducing power and the total antioxidant capacity (**Fig. 2**). The DPPH radical scavenging activity of BTPC at various concentrations is shown in **Fig. 2a**. The data revealed that the antioxidant activity of BTCP increased gradually with increasing protein concentration. At a concentration of 4 mg/ml, the BTCP could scavenge 56.12% of the DPPH radicals (**Fig. 2a**). This could be attributed to the ability of BTCP to donate hydrogen and stabilize the radical chain reaction leading to nontoxic species. The values of antiradical scavenging activity of BTCP were significantly higher than that of rice bran protein isolate which displayed 7.3% (Cho 2020).

Furthermore, the ferrous chelating activity is illustrated in **Fig. 2b**. The BTPC showed a chelating effect in a dose-dependent manner. In fact, BTCP displayed significant activity reaching almost the same value as EDTA (100%) at the final concentration (1 mg/ml) (<0.05). Therefore, BTCP could have the potential to improve the shelf life of food products and stop efficiently their oxidative reaction.

However, for the reducing power assay, BTPC exhibited low reducing Fe^{3+} ions (**Fig. 2c**). At 4 mg/ml, the reducing power of BTPC was 0.75 (OD₇₀₀), which was much lower than that of BHA (control) at the same concentration (OD₇₀₀=3). It is worthy to note that, the BTCP functions lightly as an electron donor. These results are slightly higher than those of rice bran protein isolate reported by Cho (2020).

Lastly, **Fig. 2d** shows the calculated TAA expressed as α -tocopherol µmol/ml. The obtained results revealed that the BTPC presented antioxidant activity, which increased significantly with increasing protein concentrations (P<0.05) to reach 180 µmol/ml at 4 mg/ml. These findings suggest that increased values of TAA might have a protective ability against oxidative stress induced by reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Alamdari et al. 2008).



449



450

Fig. 2 DPPH radical scavenging activity (a), Chelating activity (b), Reducing power (c) and
Total antioxidant activity (d) of BTCP

453 **3.2. Characterization of Cs-BTCP composite films**

454 *3.2.1.* Moisture content, water solubility and swelling degree of the Cs-BTCP composite films

The water-related characteristics of composite films, as well as MC, WS and SD results are presented in **Table 2**. The MC of films is critical for creating a favorable environment for food package films stability.

458 Regarding MC analysis, all tested films had similar moisture contents (about 20%). The 459 obtained results are comparable to those of collagen-polysaccharide films reported by Ma et al. 460 (2020). The reduction of MC value for composite films could be related to the interaction 461 between chitosan and BTCP, which leads to a decrease in the water content in the film's network 462 (Zhang et al. 2020). So, the low MC value of film permits protecting packaged food for a longer 463 period. (Apriliyani et al. 2020).

The WS of composite films is shown in **Table 2**.Cs control film exhibited high water solubility of more than 57% (**Table 2**), which is slightly higher than WS values reported by Hamdi et al. (2019) and significantly lower than WS values stated by Kaya et al. (2018). Compared with the Cs100, the composite films had relatively lower WS values, reaching a value of 24% for Cs50-50BTCP (p<0.05). Lower water solubility could be attributed to the hydrophobic properties of BTCP, which are involved in the weakness of the interactions between polymer-water.

Likewise, the swelling degree of the control chitosan film was 4.4 g/g as shown in Table
2, which could be attributed to the hydrophilic property of chitosan (Moalla et al. 2021). As the
content of BTCP increased, the SD of Cs-BTCP composite films tended to decrease (p<0.05).

This decrease might be related to interactions between chitosan and BTCP, which leads the SD of the composite films to be lower than the control film. According to Di Pierro et al. (2006), the swelling degree of polymer films is closely correlated with the nature and the number of intermolecular chain interactions. These findings were similar to those stated by Zhang et al. (2020), with the addition of plant extracts, the SD of polysaccharide films decreased. Mathew et al. (2006) reported that the water diffusion and the breakdown of hydrogen and ionic bonds strongly depended on the solubility and swelling ability of films.

Table 2: Moisture content (MC), water solubility (WS), swelling degree (SD) and water vapor
permeability (WVP) of Cs100 control film and Cs-BTCP composite films

Cs-BTCP ratios (v/v)	MC (%)	WS (%)	SD (g/g)	WVP (g·s ⁻¹ ·m ⁻¹ ·Pa ⁻¹ x10 ⁻¹⁰)
0/100	$19\pm0.84^{\rm a}$	$57.68\pm0.20^{\rm f}$	$4.48\pm0.01^{\rm f}$	$4.26\pm0.01^{\text{d}}$
90/10	$20.4\pm0.28^{\rm a}$	50.30 ± 0.28^{e}	3.47 ± 0.04^{e}	$4.12\pm0.17^{\text{d}}$
80/20	$20.2\pm0.41^{\text{a}}$	47.38 ± 0.14^{d}	$2.11\pm0.01^{\text{d}}$	$4.02\pm0.11^{\rm c}$
70/30	$20.4 \pm 1.03^{\rm a}$	43.00 ± 0.34^{c}	$2.02\pm0.11^{\text{c}}$	$3.40\pm0.13^{\rm b}$
60/40	$20.6 \pm 1.13^{\text{a}}$	$33.21\pm0.53^{\text{b}}$	$1.49\pm0.01^{\text{b}}$	$3.33\pm0.04^{\text{b}}$
50/50	$20.8 \pm 1.31^{\text{a}}$	24.06 ± 0.14^{a}	0.64 ± 0.04^{a}	$3.10\pm0.02^{\rm a}$

483

484 BTCP : Bluefin tuna collagenous protein. Cs: Chitosan. Values are given as mean ± standard deviation (SD) of three independent tests. ^{a-f} different letters in the same column indicate a significant difference (p < 0.05). All films were previously stored at 25 °C and 50% RH.

The overall observations showed that the addition of BTCP decreased the hydrophilicity of the Cs-BTCP composite films, thus resulting in the decrease in the water solubility and swelling degree and in the improve in water resistance, implying their potential use as active food packaging materials that protect food, especially in humid environments (Pitak and Rakshit 2011).

492 *3.2.2. Water vapor permeability*

WVP is one of the most important physical properties to evaluate the reduction of water 493 vapor transfer between the environment and food. The WVP should be as low as possible to 494 preserve food quality and shelf life. The WVP of Cs-BTCP and Cs films was evaluated with a 495 496 RH differential of 50% and the results are illustrated in Table 2. The Cs100 film showed the highest WVP value (4.26 x 10^{-10} g·s⁻¹·m⁻¹·Pa⁻¹). The WVP of films significantly decreased by 497 adding BTCP to the Cs film matrix, reaching a WVP value of 3.1 x 10⁻¹⁰ g·s⁻¹·m⁻¹·Pa⁻¹ for Cs50-498 50BTCP composite films. Numerous parameters may affect the WVP of film including the 499 500 hydrophilic character of the polymer, the microstructure, and crosslinking degree in the

structure (Matta et al. 2019). The improvement of water barrier performance of composite films 501 could be attributed to the formation of intermolecular interactions between Cs and collagenous 502 503 proteins, leading to the increase of crosslinking degree and the decrease of the free volume of the polymeric network and resulting, thereby, in the reduction of water transfer through the 504 505 composite film. The obtained result suggested that the addition of collagenous protein might reduce the WVP of chitosan film based on its hydrophobic character, in accordance with 506 507 abovementioned results regarding WS and SD of Cs-BTCP films, inhibiting thereby the diffusion of water vapor through the composite films. Similarly, (Zhang et al. 2019) found that 508 509 the WVP of the chitosan film was significantly (p<0.05) reduced when the zein protein was added and this was based on its hydrophobic character. Hence, Cs-based film incorporated with 510 BTCP had improved barrier water ability for potential application in food packaging. 511

512 *3.2.3. FTIR spectroscopy analysis*

FTIR spectroscopy is one of the most effective techniques for the identification of 513 molecular interactions in the composite films. The FTIR spectrum of Cs100 film shows a broad 514 absorption band at the range of 3400-3000 cm⁻¹ assigned to the stretching vibrations of the O-515 H and N-H groups (Fig. 3). The absorption bands detected at 2922 and 2861 cm⁻¹ are 516 characteristic of symmetric and asymmetric C-H vibrations (Sun et al. 2017). Additionally, 517 specific bands for chitosan are detected, N-H (1544 cm⁻¹), -CH (1401 cm⁻¹), and glycosidic 518 cycles (1014 cm⁻¹) of chitosan. This trend is in line with previous work on changes in FT-IR 519 spectra occurring for chitosan-based composite film (Liu et al. 2018). As presented in Fig. 3, 520 all composite film peaks were roughly similar to those of Cs100 film showing major bands at 521 approximately 3400–3000, 2922–2861, 1632, 1537, 1401 and 1014 cm⁻¹, corresponding to 522 amides A (NH-stretching coupled with hydrogen bonding), amide B (asymmetric stretching 523 vibration of = C–H and –NH³⁺), amide-I (C=O stretching/hydrogen bonding coupled with C= 524 C), amide-II (arising from bending vibration of N-H groups and stretching vibrations of C-N 525 groups), amide-III (vibrations in plane of C-N and N-H groups of bound amide or vibrations of 526 527 CH₂ groups) and glycosidic cycles, respectively.

528 When BTCP is added to the films, the wide peak at 3400-3000 cm⁻¹ observed for Cs100 529 has changed to be more pronounced with the increase in BTCP concentration, indicating the 530 decreased stretching of the -NH and/or -OH available groups of chitosan contribute to the 531 hydrogen interactions between the BTCP-Cs bonds (Kaya et al. 2018). Moreover, there is a 532 shift in the region of amide-I (1640 cm⁻¹) and amide II (1544 cm⁻¹) to a lower wavenumber for 533 all composite films (1632 cm⁻¹ and 1537 cm⁻¹, respectively). These outcomes indicate that the formation of polysaccharide (Cs) and protein (BTCP) complexes is mainly due to the interaction between the -COOH group on polysaccharide and groups -NH₃, -COOH on the protein chain which are the sources of hydrogen bond between these two bio-polymers (Bealer et al. 2020).



Fig. 3 FTIR spectra of Cs100 film and Cs-BTCP composite films

540

538

539

541

542 *3.2.4. X-ray diffraction analysis*

The X-ray diffraction was conducted to investigate the changes in the morphology of 543 544 Cs-BTCP composite films. As shown in Fig. 4, Cs100 film diffractogram presents the characteristic diffraction peaks at 20 of 12° and 18°, attributed to high crystallinity of chitosan 545 546 (Li et al. 2013). Regarding composite films patterns, the intensity of the crystalline reflection peaks decreased as the BTCP content increased compared to the Cs100 film. This finding could 547 be attributed to the destruction of crystalline structure of the Cs matrix and the formation of an 548 amorphous complex. Therefore, composite films had no strong diffraction peaks owing to 549 550 amorphous crystalline structures. Similar results were reported by Zhang et al. (2019), who found that with the addition of zein to chitosan film formulation the intensity of peaks 551 552 decreased, reflecting the good compatibility between zein and chitosan chains.





Fig. 4 XRD patterns of Cs100 film and Cs-BTCP composite films

554 3.2.5. Optical properties of composite films

The light-barrier property of packaging film is an important physical property, which 555 protects the product against oxidation (Jiang et al. 2016). Table 3 presents the transparency and 556 the light transmittance of composite films in the wavelength range from 200 to 800 nm. Overall, 557 558 the films incorporated with BTCP showed higher opacity values compared to the chitosan film. 559 In addition, the UV-Vis absorption spectra show that the Cs100 film had poor light barrier property in the UV range, with a high transmission value of 23.7% at 280 nm. It is interesting 560 to note that Cs-BTCP composite films have a low transmission of UV light at 280 nm, ranging 561 from 0.01% to 6.59%. The decreased of UV-light penetration observed in our study is probably 562 attributed to the presence of aromatic amino acids of proteins which absorb UV light below 380 563 564 nm (Kalaycıoğlu et al. 2017).

As reported by Hajji et al. (2021), the incorporation of gelatin and shrimp protein hydrolysate improves the chitosan film's barrier property to the UV and visible light of chitosan film. Therefore, Cs-BTCP composite films could be used as UV-screening food packaging materials.

Table 3: Transmission of UV and visible lights and transparency of Cs100 film and Cs-BTCP
composite films

Cs-BTPC	Light transmittance in different wavelengths (nm)								
ratios (v/v)	200	280	350	400	500	600	700	800	Transparence

0.143 ± 0.02^{b}	86.29	86.29	85.9	80.72	65.01	50	23.7	0.1	0/100
$0.047 {\pm} 0.00^{a}$	60.67	57.67	53.57	45.81	31.91	18.87	6.59	0.1	90/10
0.045 ± 0.04^{a}	46.66	43.85	39.15	31.11	14.62	4.37	0.65	0.1	80/20
0.041 ± 0.01^{a}	40.83	36.22	30.76	23.06	11.27	4.46	0.39	0.1	70/30
0.032 ± 0.01^{a}	32.88	27.86	22.43	15.84	8.91	4.84	0.99	0.1	60/40
0.026 ± 0.01^{a}	29.24	24.83	19.72	13.3	4.32	1.1	0.1	0.1	50/50

571 **BTCP** : Bluefin tuna collagenous protein ; Cs: Chitosan. ^{a-b} different letters in the same column indicate a significant difference (p < 0.05).

573

574 The color of the film is an interesting optical property that influences the appearance and the marketing value of the packaging. As seen in **Table 4**, there was no significant L* values 575 (lightness) difference among all films (p > 0.05). All the films had low L* values that did not 576 exceed L*=32. This property may contribute to preventing oxidative degradation of packaged 577 foods due to exposure to visible and ultraviolet light, which causes nutrient loss, off-flavors, 578 579 and discoloration. However, the color of composite films changed to a more yellow color with increasing BTCP concentrations (Table 4). Similar results has been reported by our previous 580 581 study (Azaza et al. 2022), when adding sardinella protein isolate to chitosan film matrix.

- 582
- 583
- 584

Table 4: Color parameters (L*. a*. b*) and total color difference (ΔE^*) for Cs100 control film

586 and Cs-BTCP composite films

Cs-BTCP ratios (v/v)	L*	a*	b*	$\Delta \mathbf{E}$
0/100	29.35±0.18 ^a	-0.1 ± 0.01^{f}	0.285 ± 0.03^{a}	
90/10	30.51 ± 0.09^{b}	-0.52 ± 0.00^{d}	$0.61 {\pm} 0.00^{b}$	$1.79{\pm}0.08^{a}$
80/20	$31.52 \pm 0.77^{\circ}$	$-0.50\pm0.00^{\circ}$	0.60 ± 0.01^{c}	$2.55{\pm}0.58^{b}$
70/30	$31.97 \pm 0.28^{\circ}$	-0.42 ± 0.06^{e}	1.11 ± 0.07^{d}	2.72 ± 0.11^{b}
60/40	$32.04 \pm 0.70^{\circ}$	-0.63 ± 0.15^{ab}	1.29 ± 0.02^{e}	$3.38 \pm 0.50^{\circ}$
50/50	31.99 ± 0.72^{c}	-0.75 ± 0.04^{a}	$1.71{\pm}0.08^{\rm f}$	$3.62 \pm 0.50^{\circ}$

BTCP : Bluefin tuna collagenous protein ; Cs : Chitosan. Values are given as mean ± standard deviation

588 (SD) of three independent tests. ^{a-f} different letters in the same column indicate a significant difference (p < 0.05).

590 *3.2.6. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)*

The effect of BTCP addition on the thermal stability of composite films was investigated. 591 The obtained TGA thermograms of films and their derivative (DTG) are shown in Fig. 5. The 592 593 weight loss curve of the Cs100 film presents three distinct phases. The first one (peak I) occurred between 50 and 125 °C, corresponding to the evaporation of water and residual solvent 594 in the film (Altiok et al. 2010). The second weight loss (peak II) detected at 168 °C may be 595 ascribed to degradation of glycerol (Kaya et al. 2018; Kamdem et al. 2019). Finally, the third 596 stage of weight loss (peak III), related to the pyrolytic decomposition of the chitosan matrix, is 597 recorded at 288 °C (de Britto and Campana-Filho 2007). 598

599 Notably, for a small amount of BTCP (10%; w/w polymer), a slight shift towards 278 °C was observed, indicating a maintenance of thermal stability similar to chitosan film. 600 601 Nevertheless, at higher amounts of BTCP (20%, 30%, 40% and 50%; w/w polymer), the weight loss of the decomposition of the chitosan matrix in Cs-BTCP films shifted to lower values, 602 603 reaching 267 °C, 267 °C, 257 °C and 251 °C, respectively (Fig. 5), resulting in reduced thermal stability of composite films. The reduction of the thermal stability could be related to the 604 605 decrease of the crystallinity of the composite films as reported by the XRD assay. These results are consistent with those reported by Song et al. (2020), which shows that the incorporation of 606 607 magnolol into the chitosan film results in an decrease in the thermal degradation temperature, 608 thus a low thermal stability of the magnolol-chitosan film.



609 610



The thickness of composite films was measured, and results reveal that Cs-BTCP 612 composite films exhibited higher thicknesses than Cs100 film (Table 5). The thicknesses of 613 composite films gradually increased with the increase of BTCP content from 31 µm for Cs90-614 10BTCP to 52 µm for Cs50-50BTCP (p<0.05). Arancibia et al. (2015) also found that the 615 incorporation of protein concentrate increased the film thickness. The increase of composite 616 film thickness can be attributed to the increase of BTCP content on the Cs film matrix, leading 617 to the increase of solids content, and thus a change in the compaction of chitosan, glycerol, and 618 BTCP molecules (Costa et al. 2018; Na et al. 2018). 619

620 Tensile strength and elongation at break were usually related to the film network microstructure and the intermolecular force. The TS and EAB values of Cs and Cs-BTCP films 621 622 were measured and reported in Table 5. Results revealed that the Cs100 film showed the highest TS and EAB values of 20.23 MPa and 12.64%, respectively. For Cs10-90BTCP, no significant 623 624 change was noted for the TS (19.51 MPa) and EAB (11.27%) values (p>0.05), suggesting good compatibility and effective crosslinking between BTCP and chitosan. However, at higher BTCP 625 626 concentration, the TS and EAB values decreased significantly (p < 0.05). These findings revealed that the Cs-BTCP films were less resistant and elastic, giving therefore a less 627 628 deformable film structure, compared to control film. Thus, the higher content of BTCP leads to the formation of agglomerated particles in the composite film, destroying, thereby, the compact 629 structure of Cs films and reducing the molecular mobility and flexibility of the chitosan matrix 630 (Hajji et al. 2021; Azaza et al. 2022). 631

632

Table 5: Tensile strength (TS. %), elongation at the break (EAB. MPa) and thickness (μm) of
 Cs100 control film and Cs-BTCP composite films

635

Cs-BTCP ratios	EAB (%)	TS (MPa)	Thickness (µm)
(v / v)			
0/100	12.64 ± 0.26^{d}	20.23 ± 0.18^{e}	13.5 ± 0.02^{a}
90/10	$11.27\pm0.49^{\rm c}$	19.51 ± 0.09^{d}	$31.5{\pm}~0.0^{b}$
80/20	9.51 ± 0.18^{b}	18.92 ± 0.23^{c}	$34\pm0.00^{\circ}$
70/30	$8.93\pm0.32^{\rm a}$	19.09 ± 0.38^{c}	$38.5{\pm}0.04^{d}$
60/40	8.70 ± 0.38^{a}	13.67 ± 0.84^{b}	$46.5{\pm}0.01^{e}$
50/50	8.72 ± 0.39^{a}	4.87 ± 0.06^{a}	$52.5{\pm}~0.0^{\rm f}$

636 **BTCP**: Bluefin tuna collagenous protein; **Cs**: Chitosan. Values are given as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) of 637 three independent tests. ^{a-f} different letters in the same column indicate a significant difference (p < 0.05).

638 *3.2.8. Antioxidant potential of Cs and BTCP composite films*

The effect of incorporating BTCP at different contents on antioxidant activity of chitosan films was studied. The results, illustrated in **Table 6**, show that increasing BTCP content improve the chelating effect of composite films (98.59-99.5%) compared to the Cs100 film (36%). Similarly, the DPPH scavenging activity of composite films (90.17-94.08%) was significant higher that the Cs100 film (74.1%) as the BTPC increase.

As shown in **Table 6**, a higher reducing power was correlated with the addition of BTCP into chitosan matrix, reaching a value of $OD_{700}=1.24$. The control film presented the lowest ability to reduce ferric ion ($OD_{700}=0.165$) (Hamdi et al. 2019). This capacity was significantly enhanced for Cs-BTCP composite films.

Lastly, total antioxidant results of composite films are reported in **Table 6**. The control film has a low antioxidant activity (12.51 μ mol/mL equivalents α -tocopherol). However, films supplemented with BTCP exhibited a relatively high antioxidant activity, which increased significantly with increasing BTCP content reaching approximately 40.33 μ mol/mL equivalents α /tocopherol for Cs50-50BTCP. It is worthy to note that BTCP could convert Mo (VI) to Mo (V) which is more stable.

The significantly high total antioxidant capacity of the composite films could be attributed to the incorporation of BTCP, which showed a distinct antioxidant potential in a dose-dependent manner evaluated in this study by different in vitro antioxidant assays. In addition, it may also be related to the interaction or hydrogen bonds between the functional groups of the added BTCP and those of the chitosan matrix (Kchaou et al. 2017).

Cs-BTCP ratios (v/v)	Metal chelating effect (%)	Reducing power (OD ₇₀₀)	DPPH radical scavenging activity (%)	Total antioxidant capacity (μmol/ mL équivalents α-tocophérol)
0/100	$36.00\pm0.02^{\rm a}$	$0.165\pm0.009^{\mathrm{a}}$	$74.1\pm0.58^{\rm a}$	$12.51\pm0.71^{\mathrm{a}}$
90/10	$98.59 \pm 0.28^{\text{d}}$	$0.53\pm0.02^{\rm b}$	$90.17\pm0.22^{\text{b}}$	13.10 ± 0.13^{a}
80/20	$96.03\pm0.22^{\text{b}}$	$0.67\pm0.005^{\rm c}$	$90.97\pm0.07^{\text{b}}$	21.37 ± 0.51^{b}
70/30	$96.32\pm0.37^{\text{b}}$	0.77 ± 0.00^{d}	$91.26\pm0.44^{\rm c}$	$32.92\pm0.44^{\rm c}$
60/40	$97.52\pm0.12^{\rm c}$	$0.98\pm0.03^{\text{e}}$	$92.44\pm0.36^{\rm c}$	35.60 ± 0.96^{d}
50/50	99.50 ± 1.57 ^e	$1.24\pm0.08^{\rm f}$	$94.08\pm0.80^{\circ}$	$40.33\pm0.71^{\text{e}}$

Table 6: Antioxidant activities of Cs100 control film and Cs-BTCP composite films

660 BTCP: Bluefin tuna collagenous protein; Cs : Chitosan. Values are given as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) of three independent tests. ^{a-f} different letters in the same column indicate a significant difference (p < 0.05).

662

663 **3.3. Coating of shrimp**

664 *3.3.1. Chemical analysis*

The bio-based coatings present a useful and economical technique for shrimp covering, 665 which might preserve and extend food shelf life (Costa et al. 2018b). In this context, the Cs100 666 667 bioactive film, used as a control coating, as well as the Cs90-10BTCP and Cs50-50BTCP films, were applied for the preservation of shrimp quality. These different coatings were advantageous 668 with respect to functionality, good stability, mechanical behavior and noticeable antioxidant 669 capacity. To assess the preservative effect of these coatings, moisture content and pH value of 670 coated shrimp samples were measured after 9 days of storage at 4°C and compared to those of 671 672 uncoated shrimp (Table 7).

The moisture of the uncoated pieces of shrimp was assigned a value of 23.23% after 9 days of storage. However, coating with chitosan film/forming solution contributed to the increase in moisture, showing values of 24.27%. The addition of BTCP significantly increased the moisture content of coated shrimp, which was approximately 25.58% and 25.63%, for coated shrimp Sh-2 and Sh-3, respectively.

In addition, the effect of Cs-BTCP coating solution on the pH change of shrimp after 9 days of refrigerated storage is presented in **Table 7**. The results revealed that there were no changes in pH values occurred for shrimp samples after 9 days. The mixture of Cs and BTCP had a significant impact on the shrimp freshness compared to Cs alone. These results are in accordance with those reported by Xiong et al. (2020), suggesting that the incorporation of GSE and/or nisin did not affect the pH value of the coated meat during storage.

Previous studies have shown that there are three levels of quality based on the pH value, as follows: prime quality (<7.7), poor but acceptable quality (7.70-7.95) and unacceptable quality (> 7.95) (Marshall and Wiese-Lehigh 1997). In our study, it was shown that the pH was placed in the first level, suggesting that the coatings of Cs and Cs-BTCP mixtures were effective in preserving the quality of shrimp compared to uncoated shrimp.

Table 7: Changes in MC, pH, color parameters and microbial parameters (TPF: total
psychrotrophic flora. TMF: total mesophilic flora) of shrimp samples at 0 and 9 days of storage

Parameters	Days	Sh	Sh-1	Sh-2	Sh-3
MC	0	21.22±0.01 ^{aA}	22.90±0.02 ^{aC}	27.53±0.02 ^{bD}	22.17±0.07 ^{aB}
	9	$23.23{\pm}0.07^{aB}$	24.27 ± 0.04^{bB}	$25.85{\pm}0.01^{aD}$	25.63±0.12 ^{bC}
pН	0	7.86 ± 0.01^{bB}	7.22 ± 0.01^{bA}	7.22 ± 0.07^{aA}	7.25 ± 0.01^{bA}
	9	$7.76 {\pm} 0.01^{aB}$	7.18 ± 0.02^{aA}	$7.20{\pm}0.07^{aA}$	7.22 ± 0.01^{aA}

$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	L*	0	55.67±0.13 ^{bA}	57.85±0.03 ^{bC}	57.64±0.13 ^{bB}	58.74±0.10 ^{bD}
a^* 0 2.78 ± 0.01^{aD} 2.57 ± 0.01^{aC} 2.35 ± 0.06^{aB} 2.18 ± 0.03^{bA} 9 4.71 ± 0.13^{bD} 3.56 ± 0.04^{bC} 2.71 ± 0.40^{aB} 2.31 ± 0.07^{aA} b^* 0 5.07 ± 0.09^{aA} 5.15 ± 0.11^{aA} 7.55 ± 0.23^{aB} 7.98 ± 0.42^{aB} 9 5.20 ± 0.01^{bA} 8.46 ± 0.04^{bB} 8.84 ± 0.06^{bB} 12.01 ± 0.28^{bC} AE0- 2.20 ± 0.11^{aB} 3.20 ± 0.15^{aC} 4.28 ± 0.33^{aD} 9- 3.70 ± 0.27^{bB} 4.43 ± 0.39^{bB} 8.23 ± 0.63^{bC} Browning0 12.22 ± 0.19^{aA} 12.83 ± 0.20^{aA} 16.57 ± 0.56^{aB} 16.86 ± 0.37^{aB} Index (%)9 15.83 ± 0.17^{bA} 20.08 ± 0.78^{bB} 20.50 ± 0.01^{bB} 23.72 ± 0.50^{bC} TPAB0 0.38 ± 0.04^{aA} (log UFC/g)9 1.97 ± 0.02^{bD} 1.10 ± 0.02^{c} 0.39 ± 0.04^{b} 0.34 ± 0.02^{a} TMAB0 0.21 ± 0.02^{aA} (log UFC/g)9 4.67 ± 0.04^{bD} 1.13 ± 0.03^{c} 0.60 ± 0.01^{b} 0.46 ± 0.02^{a}		9	$54.73 {\pm} 0.06^{aA}$	56.05 ± 0.31^{aB}	56.27 ± 0.33^{aC}	56.15 ± 0.62^{aBC}
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	a*	0	2.78±0.01 ^{aD}	2.57 ± 0.01^{aC}	2.35 ± 0.06^{aB}	2.18 ± 0.03^{bA}
b*0 5.07 ± 0.09^{aA} 5.15 ± 0.11^{aA} 7.55 ± 0.23^{aB} 7.98 ± 0.42^{aB} 9 5.20 ± 0.01^{bA} 8.46 ± 0.04^{bB} 8.84 ± 0.06^{bB} 12.01 ± 0.28^{bC} AE0- 2.20 ± 0.11^{aB} 3.20 ± 0.15^{aC} 4.28 ± 0.33^{aD} 9- 3.70 ± 0.27^{bB} 4.43 ± 0.39^{bB} 8.23 ± 0.63^{bC} Browning0 12.22 ± 0.19^{aA} 12.83 ± 0.20^{aA} 16.57 ± 0.56^{aB} 16.86 ± 0.37^{aB} Index (%)9 15.83 ± 0.17^{bA} 20.08 ± 0.78^{bB} 20.50 ± 0.01^{bB} 23.72 ± 0.50^{bC} TPAB0 0.38 ± 0.04^{aA} (log UFC/g)9 1.97 ± 0.02^{bD} 1.10 ± 0.02^{c} 0.39 ± 0.04^{b} 0.34 ± 0.02^{a} TMAB0 0.21 ± 0.02^{aA} (log UFC/g)9 4.67 ± 0.04^{bD} 1.13 ± 0.03^{c} 0.60 ± 0.01^{b} 0.46 ± 0.02^{a}		9	4.71 ± 0.13^{bD}	3.56 ± 0.04^{bC}	$2.71{\pm}0.40^{\mathrm{aB}}$	2.31 ± 0.07^{aA}
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	b*	0	5.07 ± 0.09^{aA}	5.15±0.11 ^{aA}	7.55 ± 0.23^{aB}	7.98 ± 0.42^{aB}
AE0- 2.20 ± 0.11^{aB} 3.20 ± 0.15^{aC} 4.28 ± 0.33^{aD} 9- 3.70 ± 0.27^{bB} 4.43 ± 0.39^{bB} 8.23 ± 0.63^{bC} Browning0 12.22 ± 0.19^{aA} 12.83 ± 0.20^{aA} 16.57 ± 0.56^{aB} 16.86 ± 0.37^{aB} Index (%)9 15.83 ± 0.17^{bA} 20.08 ± 0.78^{bB} 20.50 ± 0.01^{bB} 23.72 ± 0.50^{bC} TPAB0 0.38 ± 0.04^{aA} (log UFC/g)9 1.97 ± 0.02^{bD} 1.10 ± 0.02^{c} 0.39 ± 0.04^{b} 0.34 ± 0.02^{a} TMAB0 0.21 ± 0.02^{aA} (log UFC/g)9 4.67 ± 0.04^{bD} 1.13 ± 0.03^{c} 0.60 ± 0.01^{b} 0.46 ± 0.02^{a}		9	5.20 ± 0.01^{bA}	8.46 ± 0.04^{bB}	$8.84{\pm}0.06^{bB}$	12.01 ± 0.28^{bC}
9- 3.70 ± 0.27^{bB} 4.43 ± 0.39^{bB} 8.23 ± 0.63^{bC} Browning0 12.22 ± 0.19^{aA} 12.83 ± 0.20^{aA} 16.57 ± 0.56^{aB} 16.86 ± 0.37^{aB} Index (%)9 15.83 ± 0.17^{bA} 20.08 ± 0.78^{bB} 20.50 ± 0.01^{bB} 23.72 ± 0.50^{bC} TPAB0 0.38 ± 0.04^{aA} (log UFC/g)9 1.97 ± 0.02^{bD} 1.10 ± 0.02^{c} 0.39 ± 0.04^{b} 0.34 ± 0.02^{a} TMAB0 0.21 ± 0.02^{aA} (log UFC/g)9 4.67 ± 0.04^{bD} 1.13 ± 0.03^{c} 0.60 ± 0.01^{b} 0.46 ± 0.02^{a}	ΔΕ	0	-	2.20 ± 0.11^{aB}	3.20 ± 0.15^{aC}	4.28±0.33 ^{aD}
Browning0 12.22 ± 0.19^{aA} 12.83 ± 0.20^{aA} 16.57 ± 0.56^{aB} 16.86 ± 0.37^{aB} Index (%)9 15.83 ± 0.17^{bA} 20.08 ± 0.78^{bB} 20.50 ± 0.01^{bB} 23.72 ± 0.50^{bC} TPAB0 0.38 ± 0.04^{aA} (log UFC/g)9 1.97 ± 0.02^{bD} 1.10 ± 0.02^{c} 0.39 ± 0.04^{b} 0.34 ± 0.02^{a} TMAB0 0.21 ± 0.02^{aA} (log UFC/g)9 4.67 ± 0.04^{bD} 1.13 ± 0.03^{c} 0.60 ± 0.01^{b} 0.46 ± 0.02^{a}		9	-	3.70 ± 0.27^{bB}	4.43 ± 0.39^{bB}	8.23 ± 0.63^{bC}
Index (%)9 15.83 ± 0.17^{bA} 20.08 ± 0.78^{bB} 20.50 ± 0.01^{bB} 23.72 ± 0.50^{bC} TPAB0 0.38 ± 0.04^{aA} (log UFC/g)9 1.97 ± 0.02^{bD} 1.10 ± 0.02^{c} 0.39 ± 0.04^{b} 0.34 ± 0.02^{a} TMAB0 0.21 ± 0.02^{aA} (log UFC/g)9 4.67 ± 0.04^{bD} 1.13 ± 0.03^{c} 0.60 ± 0.01^{b} 0.46 ± 0.02^{a}	Browning	0	12.22±0.19 ^{aA}	12.83±0.20 ^{aA}	16.57 ± 0.56^{aB}	16.86±0.37 ^{aB}
TPAB 0 0.38 ± 0.04^{aA} (log UFC/g)9 1.97 ± 0.02^{bD} 1.10 ± 0.02^{c} 0.39 ± 0.04^{b} 0.34 ± 0.02^{a} TMAB 0 0.21 ± 0.02^{aA} (log UFC/g)9 4.67 ± 0.04^{bD} 1.13 ± 0.03^{c} 0.60 ± 0.01^{b} 0.46 ± 0.02^{a}	Index (%)	9	15.83±0.17 ^{bA}	$20.08{\pm}0.78^{bB}$	20.50 ± 0.01^{bB}	23.72 ± 0.50^{bC}
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	TPAB	0	$0.38{\pm}0.04^{aA}$	-	-	-
TMAB0 0.21 ± 0.02^{aA} (log UFC/g)9 4.67 ± 0.04^{bD} 1.13 ± 0.03^{c} 0.60 ± 0.01^{b} 0.46 ± 0.02^{a}	(log UFC/g)	9	1.97 ± 0.02^{bD}	1.10±0.02 ^c	0.39 ± 0.04^{b}	$0.34{\pm}0.02^{a}$
(log UFC/g) 9 $4.67\pm0.04^{\text{bD}}$ $1.13\pm0.03^{\text{c}}$ $0.60\pm0.01^{\text{b}}$ $0.46\pm0.02^{\text{a}}$	ТМАВ	0	0.21 ± 0.02^{aA}	-	-	-
	(log UFC/g)	9	4.67 ± 0.04^{bD}	1.13±0.03 ^c	0.60 ± 0.01^{b}	0.46 ± 0.02^{a}

692 **Sh** : control shrimp (uncoated) with no treatment; **Sh-1** : shrimp dipped in Cs solution; **Sh-2** : shrimp dipped in 693 Cs90-10BTCP solution; **Sh-3** : shrimp coated with Cs50/50BTCP solution. ^(a-d) Different letters indicate significant 694 differences between different samples on the same storage day (p < 0.05). ^(A-D) Different letters in each column 695 mean a significant difference for the same sample on different storage days (p < 0.05).

Color is a key factor for consumers to determine the quality of the seafood product. 696 697 Therefore, the quality of shrimps can be correlated with the skin color browning and/or darkening which results from biochemical reactions with the ripening process during prolonged 698 storage. The changes in color indices (L*, a*, b*, ΔE and BI) of control and coated shrimps 699 700 during storage at 4 ± 1 °C can be expressed by color indices (**Table 7**). The color of the uncoated 701 shrimp changed after 9 days of storage. The lightness (L*) value of uncoated shrimp decreased, and the redness (a*) value increased. The values were higher than those of coated ones. Chitosan 702 coatings (Sh-1) had a positive effect on the L*, a* and b* values. They increased for chitosan 703 coated shrimps while they decreased for the uncoated one. Therefore, the coating of shrimp by 704 705 Cs-BTCP coating solutions lead to stability of its lightness (L*), a significant increase of its yellowness (b*) and a clear decrease in the values of the redness (a*). However, it is important 706 707 to note that the BTCP incorporation positively resulted in lower redness and higher yellowness values due to its initial yellow color. In addition, there was a gradual increase in browning index 708 709 values (%) suggests a progressively higher rate of enzymatic browning (Kortei et al. 2015). Thus, the Cs-BTPC composite films showed a reduction in color indices of shrimp during 710 711 storage.

Generally, there was a gradual increase in the ΔE values of shrimp for the three groups with the storage time increasing. This was especially apparent in the Sh-3 which has significantly higher ΔE values than the other groups (**Table 7**).

715 *3.3.2. Peroxide value*

716 The most commonly used measure to evaluate autoxidation quality is the peroxide value (PV), which determines the primary lipid oxidation products. The PV values were measured to 717 assess the effectiveness of the Cs-BTCP coating solution in preventing the auto-oxidation of 718 lipids in shrimp (Gray et al. 1996). From the second day until the end of the storage period, PV 719 720 levels of uncoated shrimp gradually increased (p < 0.05). For untreated shrimp, the PV value increased from 0.015 meq O2/kg to 0.03 meq O2/kg of fat on the ninth day of storage (Fig. 6a). 721 722 However, the PV values of coated shrimp were considerably lower (p < 0.05) than the uncoated shrimp. On the ninth day of storage, the chitosan coating slightly delayed peroxidation of fat 723 724 reaching a value of 0.022 meq O2/kg fat. It is interesting to note that the PV values of Sh-2 and Sh-3 coating solutions were 0.019 and 0.017 meq peroxides/kg lipid after 9 days of storage, 725 respectively. Thus, the addition of BTCP improves the antioxidant activity of chitosan coating 726 solution, leading, thereby, to the reduction shrimp peroxidation. 727

Similarly, in a previous study (Azaza et al. 2022), w affirmed that chitosan-sardinella
protein isolate packaging films might reduce the production of primary lipid oxidation products
in the shrimp under refrigerated storage.

731 3.3.3. Conjugated dienes (CD)

Lipid oxidation was estimated by the measurement of conjugated dienes (CD) content. 732 The results, presented in Fig. 6b, show the increase in CD values over the shelf/life for all 733 samples. In fact, the highest value of CD was noted in uncoated shrimp (Sh) and shrimp coated 734 with a film/forming solution of Cs100 (Sh-1) reaching an OD of 0.47 and 0.31 at day 9, 735 respectively. It is worthy to note that Sh-2 and Sh-3 showed the lowest OD values of 0.27 and 736 737 0.24 (p<0.05), respectively, demonstrating that the addition of the BTCP in the Cs film/forming solution was effective in CD development delay. Therefore, BTCP could be considered as 738 interesting antioxidant additive that can prevent the oxidation of food. 739

740 *3.3.4. TBARS test*

The lipid oxidation of the shrimp sample was also investigated through the measures of the content malondialdehyde (MDA). MDA is defined as a biomarker of oxidative damage to lipids. As shown in **Fig. 6c**, an increase in MDA content was observed for uncoated shrimp (Sh) samples after 9 days of storage at 4°C. In fact, TBARS value increased from 9.57 mg
MDA/kg on day 0 to 27.51 mg MDA/kg on day 9 (Fig. 6c).

As compared to the uncoated shrimp (Sh), the extent of secondary oxidation is significantly lower (p <0.05) in the samples coated by Cs film solution enriched with BTCP, reaching 13.81; 11.94 and 9.41 mg MDA/kg on day 9 for (Sh-1), (Sh-2) and (Sh-3), respectively. Hence, the combination of chitosan and BTCP resulted in the reduction of MDA formation.

It is worth mentioning that both antioxidant and oxygen barrier properties of chitosan coating may have contributed to the control of lipid oxidation in the shrimp but also the incorporation of BTCP in Cs coating with different concentrations, had an inhibitory role against lipid peroxidation. Farajzadeh et al. (2016) found that the TBARS values of shrimp samples with chitosan/gelatin coatings had much slower increase during frozen storage.



756



757

Fig. 6 Effect of BTCP incorporation (10% and 50%, w/w polymer) in the chitosan film matrix on peroxide value (meq O₂/kg fat) (**a**), conjugated dienes (OD 233 nm) (**b**) and thiobarbituricacid reactive substances values (mg MDA/kg) (**c**) of coated shrimps during refrigerated period. (a-d) Different letters indicate significant differences between different samples on the same storage day (p < 0.05). (A-D) Different letters in each column mean a significant difference for the same sample on different storage days (p < 0.05)

764 *3.3.5. Microbial analysis*

Effects of coatings on the microbiological quality of shrimp stored at 4 °C are shown in 765 766 **Table 7.** The initial total psychrophilic aerobic bacteria (TPAB) and total mesophilic aerobic bacteria (TMAB) counts for control shrimp were approximately 0.38 and 0.21 (log CFU/g), 767 768 respectively, signifying a high initial quality of the shrimp. Over the 9 days storage period, the TPAB and TMAB of the control shrimp samples increased quickly to 1.97 and 4.67 log CFU/g, 769 770 respectively (p<0.05). However, coating shrimp with chitosan film/forming solution reduced 771 the growth of micro/organisms, reaching values of about 1.10 and 1.13 log CFU/g for TPAB 772 and TMAB, respectively. Furthermore, Cs50-50 BTCP and Cs90-10BTCP film forming 773 solution were able to reduce significantly the TPAB and TMAB counts, comparing to the Cs100 one. Hence, the incorporation of BTCP to chitosan film forming solution demonstrated an 774 excellent barrier effect around shrimp against bacterial proliferation and oxygen diffusion. 775 Additionally, it is notable that the Cs-BTCP ratio of 90:10 is enough to reduce 2.8/fold and 776 1.8/fold, respectively, the growth of TPAB and TMAB, in comparison to the Cs100 solution. 777 778 These findings are in accordance with those reported by Mohebi and Shahbazi, (2017), who 779 confirmed that chitosan and gelatin coatings can be used as an active packaging to delay the growth of spoilage microorganisms and extend the shelf life of the shrimp of at least 11 days. 780

781 Conclusion

Composite films based on Cs and BTCP were successfully developed. The properties 782 of the resulted films are dependent on the Cs-BTCP ratio. FTIR analysis are very effective in 783 identifying polymer compositions and their compatibility. The resulting Cs-BTCP composite 784 films showed improved antioxidant activity, reduced WS, SD, light transmittance and WVP 785 properties, as compared to the Cs100 film. Interestingly, the composite films prepared at a Cs-786 BTCP ratio of 90:10 (v/v) showed interesting mechanical and thermal stability similar to the 787 Cs100 film. The obtained results indicated the incorporation of BTCP improved the chitosan 788 film functionality. Additionally, the Cs-BTCP coating solution was effective in preserving the 789 good quality of shrimp, as it prevented the bacteria growth and decreased the oxidation of 790 coated shrimp. 791

792 CRediT authorship contribution statement

Youssra Ben Azaza: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Validation,
Formal analysis, Visualization, Writing – original draft; Marwa Hamdi: Investigation and
Methodology; Christophe Charmette: Investigation and Methodology; Arie Van der lee:
Investigation and Methodology; Mourad jridi: Investigation; Suming Li: Funding acquisition,
Supervision; Moncef Nasri: Supervision, Editing and Validation; Rim Nasri: Resources,
Supervision, Funding acquisition, Writing - review & editing.

799 **Declaration of competing interest**

All cited authors certify that they have sufficiently participated in the work to assume public responsibility for the content. The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

803 Acknowledgements

This research work was funded by the Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research, Tunisia and financed by the joint French-Tunisian PHC Utique Program (grant N°: 19G0815).

807 **References**

Affes S, Aranaz I, Hamdi M, et al (2019) Preparation of a crude chitosanase from blue crab
viscera as well as its application in the production of biologically active chitooligosaccharides from shrimp shells chitosan. International Journal of Biological
Macromolecules 139:558–569. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.07.116

- Aider M (2010) Chitosan application for active bio-based films production and potential in the
 food industry: Review. LWT Food Science and Technology 43:837–842.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2010.01.021
- Al Khawli F, Pateiro M, Domínguez R, et al (2019) Innovative green technologies of
 intensification for valorization of seafood and their by-products. Marine Drugs 17:689.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/md17120689
- Alamdari DH, Ghayour-Mobarhan M, Tavallaie S, et al (2008) Prooxidant-antioxidant balance
 as a new risk factor in patients with angiographically defined coronary artery disease.
 Clin Biochem 41:375–380. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2007.12.008
- Altiok D, Altiok E, Tihminlioglu F (2010) Physical, antibacterial and antioxidant properties of
 chitosan films incorporated with thyme oil for potential wound healing applications. J
 Mater Sci Mater Med 21:2227–2236. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10856-010-4065-x
- Aranaz I, Alcántara AR, Civera MC, et al (2021) Chitosan: An overview of its properties and applications. polymers (Basel) 13:3256. https://doi.org/10.3390/polym13193256
- Arancibia MY, Alemán A, López-Caballero ME, et al (2015) Development of active films of
 chitosan isolated by mild extraction with added protein concentrate from shrimp waste.
 Food Hydrocolloids 43:91–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2014.05.006
- Azaza YB, Hamdi M, Charmette C, et al (2022) Development and characterization of active packaging films based on chitosan and sardinella protein isolate: Effects on the quality and the shelf life of shrimps. Food Packaging and Shelf Life 31:100796.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fpsl.2021.100796
- Barlow CY, Morgan DC (2013) Polymer film packaging for food: An environmental
 assessment. Resources, Conservation and Recycling 78:74–80.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2013.07.003
- Bealer EJ, Onissema-Karimu S, Rivera-Galletti A, et al (2020) Protein–polysaccharide
 composite materials: fabrication and applications. Polymers 12:464.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/polym12020464
- Bersuder P, Hole M, Smith G (1998) Antioxidants from a heated histidine-glucose model
 system. I: Investigation of the antioxidant role of histidine and isolation of antioxidants
 by high-performance liquid chromatography. J Amer Oil Chem Soc 75:181–187.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11746-998-0030-y
- Buege JA, Aust SD (1972) On the solubilization of NADPH-cytochrome c reductase from rat
 liver microsomes with crude pancreatic lipase. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) General Subjects 286:433–436. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4165(72)90284-X
- Caruso G, Floris R, Serangeli C, Di Paola L (2020) Fishery wastes as a yet undiscovered treasure from the sea: biomolecules sources, extraction methods and valorization.
 Marine Drugs 18:622. https://doi.org/10.3390/md18120622
- Cho S-J (2020) Changes in the antioxidant properties of rice bran protein isolate upon simulated
 gastrointestinal digestion. LWT 126:109206. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2020.109206

- Costa MJ, Maciel LC, Teixeira JA, et al (2018a) Use of edible films and coatings in cheese
 preservation: Opportunities and challenges. Food Research International 107:84–92.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2018.02.013
- Costa MJ, Maciel LC, Teixeira JA, et al (2018b) Use of edible films and coatings in cheese
 preservation: Opportunities and challenges. Food Research International 107:84–92.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2018.02.013
- de Britto D, Campana-Filho SP (2007) Kinetics of the thermal degradation of chitosan.
 Thermochimica Acta 465:73–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tca.2007.09.008
- Becker EA, Welch B (1990) Role of ferritin as a lipid oxidation catalyst in muscle food. J Agric
 Food Chem 38:674–677. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf00093a019
- Bi Pierro P, Chico B, Villalonga R, et al (2006) Chitosan–whey protein edible films produced
 in the absence or presence of transglutaminase: analysis of their mechanical and barrier
 properties. Biomacromolecules 7:744–749. https://doi.org/10.1021/bm050661u
- Fang Y, Tung MA, Britt IJ, et al (2002) Tensile and barrier properties of edible films made
 from whey proteins. Journal of Food Science 67:188–193.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2002.tb11381.x
- Farajzadeh F, Motamedzadegan A, Shahidi S-A, Hamzeh S (2016) The effect of chitosan gelatin coating on the quality of shrimp (Litopenaeus vannamei) under refrigerated
 condition. Food Control 67:163–170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2016.02.040
- Galiano F, Briceño K, Marino T, et al (2018) Advances in biopolymer-based membrane
 preparation and applications. Journal of Membrane Science 564:562–586.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2018.07.059
- Gennadios A, Handa A, Froning GW, et al (1998) Physical properties of egg white–dialdehyde
 starch films. J Agric Food Chem 46:1297–1302. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf9708047
- Gray JI, Gomaa EA, Buckley DJ (1996) Oxidative quality and shelf life of meats. Meat Science
 43:111–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/0309-1740(96)00059-9
- Hajji S, Kchaou H, Bkhairia I, et al (2021) Conception of active food packaging films based on crab chitosan and gelatin enriched with crustacean protein hydrolysates with improved functional and biological properties. Food Hydrocolloids 116:106639. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2021.106639
- Hajji S, Younes I, Ghorbel-Bellaaj O, et al (2014) Structural differences between chitin and chitosan extracted from three different marine sources. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules 65:298–306.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2014.01.045
- Hamdi M, Hajji S, Affes S, et al (2018) Development of a controlled bioconversion process for
 the recovery of chitosan from blue crab (Portunus segnis) exoskeleton. Food
 Hydrocolloids 77:534–548. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2017.10.031
- Hamdi M, Nasri R, Amor IB, et al (2020) Structural features, anti-coagulant and anti-adhesive
 potentials of blue crab (Portunus segnis) chitosan derivatives: Study of the effects of

- acetylation degree and molecular weight. International Journal of Biological
 Macromolecules 160:593–601. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2020.05.246
- Hamdi M, Nasri R, Li S, Nasri M (2019) Bioactive composite films with chitosan and carotenoproteins extract from blue crab shells: Biological potential and structural, thermal, and mechanical characterization. Food Hydrocolloids 89:802–812. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2018.11.062
- Hamed I, Özogul F, Regenstein JM (2016) Industrial applications of crustacean by-products
 (chitin, chitosan, and chitooligosaccharides): A review. Trends in Food Science &
 Technology 48:40–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2015.11.007
- Horwitz W (2000) Official methods of analysis of AOAC International. AOAC International,
 Gaithersburg, Md.
- Jiang S, Zhang X, Ma Y, et al (2016) Characterization of whey protein-carboxymethylated
 chitosan composite films with and without transglutaminase treatment. Carbohydrate
 Polymers 153:153–159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2016.07.094
- Kaanane A, Mkadem H (2020) Valorization technologies of marine by-products. in: innovation
 in the food sector through the valorization of food and agro-food by-products.
 IntechOpen
- Kalaycıoğlu Z, Torlak E, Akın-Evingür G, et al (2017) Antimicrobial and physical properties
 of chitosan films incorporated with turmeric extract. Int J Biol Macromol 101:882–888.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2017.03.174
- Kamdem DP, Shen Z, Nabinejad O, Shu Z (2019) Development of biodegradable composite
 chitosan-based films incorporated with xylan and carvacrol for food packaging
 application. Food Packaging and Shelf Life 21:100344.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fpsl.2019.100344
- Kaya M, Ravikumar P, Ilk S, et al (2018) Production and characterization of chitosan based edible films from Berberis crataegina's fruit extract and seed oil. Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies 45:287–297.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2017.11.013
- Kchaou H, Jridi M, Abdelhedi O, et al (2017) Development and characterization of cuttlefish
 (Sepia officinalis) skin gelatin-protein isolate blend films. International Journal of
 Biological Macromolecules 105:1491–1500.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2017.06.056
- Keller JE, Skelley GC, Acton JC (1974) Effect of meat particle size and casing diameter on
 summer sausage properties during drying1. Journal of Milk and Food Technology
 37:101–106. https://doi.org/10.4315/0022-2747-37.2.101
- Khan S, Ranjha NM (2014) Effect of degree of cross-linking on swelling and on drug release
 of low viscous chitosan/poly(vinyl alcohol) hydrogels. Polym Bull 71:2133–2158.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00289-014-1178-2

- Kittur F, Kumar K, Tharanathan R (1998) Functional packaging properties of chitosan films.
 Zeitschrift für Lebensmittel-Untersuchung und -Forschung 206:44–47.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s002170050211
- Kortei NK, Odamtten GT, Obodai M, et al (2015) Determination of color parameters of gamma
 irradiated fresh and dried mushrooms during storage. BIOTECHNOLOGY AND
 NUTRITION 6
- Li B, Shan C-L, Zhou Q, et al (2013) Synthesis, characterization, and antibacterial activity of
 cross-linked chitosan-glutaraldehyde. Mar Drugs 11:1534–1552.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/md11051534
- Li C, Pei J, Zhu S, et al (2020) Development of chitosan/peptide films: physical, antibacterial
 and antioxidant properties. Coatings 10:1193.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/coatings10121193
- Liu J, Wang H, Wang P, et al (2018) Films based on κ-carrageenan incorporated with curcumin
 for freshness monitoring. Food Hydrocolloids 83:134–142.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2018.05.012
- Lowry OH, Rosebrough NJ, Farr A, Randall RJ (1951) Protein measurement with the folin
 Phenol Reagent. J biol Chemistry 193:256–275. https://doi.org/10.1016/S00219258(19)52451-6
- Ma D, Jiang Y, Ahmed S, et al (2020) Antilisterial and physical properties of polysaccharidecollagen films embedded with cell-free supernatant of Lactococcus lactis. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules 145:1031–1038. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.09.195
- Marshall DL, Wiese-Lehigh PL (1997) Comparison of impedance, microbial, sensory, and ph
 methods to determine shrimp quality. Journal of Aquatic Food Product Technology
 6:17–31. https://doi.org/10.1300/J030v06n02_03
- Mathew S, Brahmakumar M, Abraham TE (2006) Microstructural imaging and characterization
 of the mechanical, chemical, thermal, and swelling properties of starch–chitosan blend
 films. Biopolymers 82:176–187. https://doi.org/10.1002/bip.20480
- Matta E, Tavera-Quiroz MJ, Bertola N (2019) Active edible films of methylcellulose with
 extracts of green apple (Granny Smith) skin. International Journal of Biological
 Macromolecules 124:1292–1298. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.12.114
- Melro E, Antunes FE, da Silva GJ, et al (2020) Chitosan films in food applications. tuning film
 properties by changing acidic dissolution conditions. Polymers 13:1.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/polym13010001
- Mihalca V, Kerezsi AD, Weber A, et al (2021) Protein-based films and coatings for food
 industry applications. Polymers 13:769. https://doi.org/10.3390/polym13050769
- Moalla S, Ammar I, Fauconnier M-L, et al (2021) Development and characterization of chitosan
 films carrying Artemisia campestris antioxidants for potential use as active food
 packaging materials. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules 183:254–266.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2021.04.113

- Mohebi E, Shahbazi Y (2017) Application of chitosan and gelatin based active packaging films
 for peeled shrimp preservation: A novel functional wrapping design. LWT Food
 Science and Technology 76:108–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2016.10.062
- Muñoz I, Rodríguez C, Gillet D, M. Moerschbacher B (2018) Life cycle assessment of chitosan
 production in india and europe. Int J Life Cycle Assess 23:1151–1160.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-017-1290-2
- Na S, Kim J-H, Jang H-J, et al (2018) Shelf-life extension of Pacific white shrimp (Litopenaeus vannamei) using chitosan and ε-polylysine during cold storage. Int J Biol Macromol 115:1103–1108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.04.180
- 977 Ozogul F, Hamed I, Özogul Y, Regenstein J (2018) Crustacean By-products
- Pitak N, Rakshit SK (2011) Physical and antimicrobial properties of banana flour/chitosan
 biodegradable and self-sealing films used for preserving Fresh-cut vegetables. LWT Food Science and Technology 44:2310–2315.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2011.05.024
- Prieto P, Pineda M, Aguilar M (1999) Spectrophotometric quantitation of antioxidant capacity
 through the formation of a phosphomolybdenum complex: specific application to the
 determination of vitamin E. Anal Biochem 269:337–341.
 https://doi.org/10.1006/abio.1999.4019
- Romadhoni AR, Afrianto E, Pratama RI, Grandiosa R (2016) Extraction of snakehead fish
 [ophiocephalus striatus (bloch, 1793)] into fish protein concentrate as albumin source
 using various solvent. Aquatic Procedia 7:4–11.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aqpro.2016.07.001
- Rudovica V, Rotter A, Gaudêncio SP, et al (2021) Valorization of marine waste: use of
 industrial by-products and beach wrack towards the production of high added-value
 products. Frontiers in Marine Science 8:
- Santos VP, Marques NSS, Maia PCSV, et al (2020) Seafood waste as attractive source of chitin
 and chitosan production and their applications. Int J Mol Sci 21:4290.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21124290
- Shantha NC, Decker EA (1993) Conjugated linoleic acid concentrations in processed cheese
 containing hydrogen donors, iron and dairy-based additives. Food Chemistry 47:257–
 261. https://doi.org/10.1016/0308-8146(93)90158-C
- Song W, Kong X, Hua Y, et al (2020) Identification of antibacterial peptides generated from
 enzymatic hydrolysis of cottonseed proteins. LWT 125:109199.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2020.109199
- Srinivasan M, Holl FB, Petersen DJ (2011) Influence of indoleacetic-acid-producing Bacillus
 isolates on the nodulation of Phaseolus vulgaris by Rhizobium etli under gnotobiotic
 conditions. Canadian Journal of Microbiology. https://doi.org/10.1139/m96-129
- Sun L, Sun J, Chen L, et al (2017) Preparation and characterization of chitosan film
 incorporated with thinned young apple polyphenols as an active packaging material.
 Carbohydrate Polymers 163:81–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2017.01.016

- 1008 Valdivia-López MA, Tecante A, Granados-Navarrete S, Martínez-García C (2016) Preparation
 1009 of modified films with protein from grouper fish. International Journal of Food Science
 1010 2016:1–9. https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/3926847
- W. Apriliyani M, Purwadi P, Manab A, et al (2020) Characteristics of moisture content,
 swelling, opacity and transparency with addition chitosan as edible films/coating base
 on casein. AJFST 18:9–14. https://doi.org/10.19026/ajfst.18.6041
- Wang L, Ding J, Fang Y, et al (2020) Effect of ultrasonic power on properties of edible
 composite films based on rice protein hydrolysates and chitosan. Ultrasonics
 Sonochemistry 65:105049. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2020.105049
- Xiong Y, Chen M, Warner RD, Fang Z (2020) Incorporating nisin and grape seed extract in chitosan-gelatine edible coating and its effect on cold storage of fresh pork. Food Control 110:107018. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2019.107018
- Yildirim A, Mavi A, Kara AA (2001) Determination of antioxidant and antimicrobial activities
 of Rumex crispus L. extracts. J Agric Food Chem 49:4083–4089.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0103572
- Yuan Y, Zhang X, Pan Z, et al (2021) Improving the properties of chitosan films by
 incorporating shellac nanoparticles. Food Hydrocolloids 110:106164.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2020.106164
- ID26 Zhang L, Liu Z, Wang X, et al (2019a) The properties of chitosan/zein blend film and effect of film on quality of mushroom (Agaricus bisporus). Postharvest Biology and Technology 155:47–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2019.05.013
- Zhang L, Liu Z, Wang X, et al (2019b) The properties of chitosan/zein blend film and effect of
 film on quality of mushroom (Agaricus bisporus). Postharvest Biology and Technology
 155:47–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2019.05.013
- Zhang X, Lian H, Shi J, et al (2020) Plant extracts such as pine nut shell, peanut shell and jujube
 leaf improved the antioxidant ability and gas permeability of chitosan films.
 International Journal of Biological Macromolecules 148:1242–1250.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.11.108
- 1036

1037