

Recommendations towards the establishment of best practice standards for handling and intracoelomic implantation of data-storage and telemetry tags in tropical tunas

Bruno Leroy, Joe Scutt Phillips, Joanne Potts, Richard Brill, Karen Evans, Fabien Forget, Kim Holland, David Itano, Jeff Muir, Graham Pilling, et al.

To cite this version:

Bruno Leroy, Joe Scutt Phillips, Joanne Potts, Richard Brill, Karen Evans, et al.. Recommendations towards the establishment of best practice standards for handling and intracoelomic implantation of data-storage and telemetry tags in tropical tunas. Animal Biotelemetry, 2023, 11 (1), pp.4. 10.1186/s40317-023-00316-3. hal-04032791

HAL Id: hal-04032791 <https://hal.umontpellier.fr/hal-04032791>

Submitted on 31 May 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

COMMENTARY

Recommendations towards the establishment of best practice standards for handling and intracoelomic implantation of data-storage and telemetry tags in tropical tunas

Bruno Leroy^{1*}, Joe Scutt Phillips¹, Joanne Potts¹, Richard W. Brill², Karen Evans^{3,4}, Fabien Forget⁵, Kim Holland⁶, David Itano⁷, Jeff Muir^{6,7}, Graham Pilling¹ and Simon Nicol^{1,8}

Abstract

Archival (data-storage) and telemetry (acoustic and radio) tags are commonly used to provide data on the behavior and physiology of organisms, as well as data on their surrounding environment. For fshes, it is often advantageous to implant tags in the peritoneal cavity (i.e., intracoelomic implantation). The literature on best practices is limited for marine species, and near absent for tunas despite their regular application. We identify recommended practices using laparotomy in tropical tuna species following observations from thousands of tags implantations undertaken during implementation of several tagging programs across the Pacifc. These recommended practices include descriptions of preferred tagging stations and equipment, fsh selection, surgical procedures, and return of the fsh to the wild. While these recommended practices were developed specifcally for tropical tuna species, they are also likely applicable for other pelagic fshes. We present these guidelines to guide and promote the development of best practices for such procedures on pelagic species.

Keywords Electronic tag, Body-cavity tag, Laparotomy, Tagging protocols, Tuna

*Correspondence:

Bruno Leroy

brunol@spc.int

- ² Department of Fisheries Science, Virginia Institute of Marine Science, Gloucester Point, VA 23062, USA
- ³ Ocean and Atmosphere, CSIRO, Hobart, TAS 7000, Australia

4 Centre for Marine Socioecology, University of Tasmania, Hobart, TAS 7000, Australia

⁵ MARBEC, University Montpellier, CNRS, Ifremer, IRD, Sète, France

⁶ Hawaii Institute of Marine Biology, University of Hawaii, Kaneohe, HI, USA

⁷ Independent Fisheries Consultant to the Pacific Community, BPD5, Noumea Cedex 98848, New Caledonia

⁸ Centre for Conservation Ecology and Genomics, Institute for Applied Ecology, University of Canberra, Bruce 2617, Australia

Background

Data-storage and telemetry tags (emitting either radio or acoustic signals) are designed for intracoelomic implantation (hereafter collectively referred to as "body cavity tag" or BCT) and are battery-powered electronic devices encased in biologically inert resin, stainless-steel, or ceramic. Acoustic BCTs have been deployed in marine fsheries research since the 1960s [6, 10, 16, 28, 103] and are now commonly used to monitor movements and behaviors at locations of aggregation or confinement [33, 34, 37, 43, 52, 81, 95] or along corridors of seasonal movement [14]. Data-storage BCTs (referred to as Archival or Data Storage Tags) were developed in the 1990s [7, 39]. Their function is to record a fish's internal temperature,

© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver ([http://creativeco](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) [mmons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/)) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

¹ Oceanic Fisheries Programme, Pacific Community, BPD5, Noumea Cedex 98848, New Caledonia

environmental conditions (e.g., depth, temperature, and light level) and, like acoustic BCT, are commonly used in studies designed to (1) quantify pelagic fsh behaviors and movements; (2) measure their associations with environmental factors or fsh aggregating devices; and (3) inform stock assessments and management interventions [1, 82, 87].

Methods for intracoelomic BCT implantation have largely evolved from studies of freshwater fsheries [23]. Standard protocols [23, 41, 42, 48, 62, 63, 91, 97, 100] include: (1) selection of suitable individuals for implantation; (2) placement of selected fsh in an anesthetic bath until the fsh is deemed to have received a predetermined level of analgesia, paralysis or unconsciousness; (3) transferring the anesthetized fsh to a V-shaped surgical cradle for BCT implantation (typically through a mid-ventral incision in a location posterior to the pelvic girdle); (4) maintaining gill irrigation throughout the surgery; (5) suturing the incision closed once the tag is positioned; (6) transferring the fsh to a bath to facilitate recovery from anesthesia; and (7) when deemed fully recovered release back into the wild. Although many of these protocol components remain valid when tagging tunas, many need to be adapted to the specifcs of these species and the tagging environment. Here, we describe practices we recommend for BCT implantation in wildcaught oceanic tunas.

BCT implantation in tunas is complicated because of tunas' remote oceanic habitats and physiology. Although some tunas (Family: Scombridae, Subfamily: Scombrinae, Tribe: Thunnini) are accessible near shore, their core habitats are oceanic regions distant from land. This necessitates the use of vessels that can operate in open ocean environments, are able to travel long distances, and have the capacity to capture tunas. This often restricts vessel choice to commercial fshing vessels, which generally have limited options for surgery table placement and designs. The unique physiology of tuna species also makes it difficult to maintain live individuals out of the water without life-threating consequences, especially in tropical and equatorial environments. Tunas are obligate ram ventilators, and rely on their forward motion to force water through their mouth and over the gills [11]. In addition, tunas are generally slightly negatively buoyant and as a result need to maintain minimum sustained swimming speeds required for achieving hydrostatic equilibrium [56, 57]. Because of their limited tolerance of interruptions to water flow over their gills, individuals for BCT implantation can be unventilated for only between 30 s and 3 min before stress and oxygen depletion compromise their post-release survival [79]. Tunas are also thermo-conserving (i.e., they maintain swimming muscle

temperatures above ambient) and regulate their body temperatures through physiological mechanisms and by rapid vertical movements through the thermocline [30, 31, 44]. Unlike other teleost fshes, tunas therefore risk overheating if they are not able to regulate their internal temperature through such movements [9, 44].

The capture and holding of tunas are also challenging [85]. Holding individuals in tanks while at sea before and after BCT implantation is generally impractical. Experience in the transport of tuna on vessels has shown that tunas cannot turn at tight angles, so tunas require large (minimum 2 m diameter) circular or oval tanks to facilitate the continuous swimming required for ventilation and hydrostatic equilibrium [66] and ensure that any collisions with tank walls are minimized. Such large tanks are difficult to install on commercial fishing vessels typically used for tagging. Additional stresses due to confnement in tanks may also have a negative impact on post-release survival [40]. Tuna also school as part of their behavioral response to avoid predation [36, 74], so extended time in surgery is likely to isolate an individual from its school. As such, holding tunas either before or after surgery is unlikely a viable alternative to their quick capture, handling and release. The implantation of BCTs in tunas must, therefore, be executed quickly to minimize the risk of fatal physiological changes (i.e., oxygen defciency and internal temperature rise) and isolation from the school upon release.

Recommendations towards establishment of best practices

Most projects involving BCT implantation in wild caught and released fsh assume that tagged individuals have similar fates and behaviors relative to untagged conspecifcs, thereby allowing species-specifc ecology and movements to be inferred from the behavior patterns of tagged fishes $[70, 89]$. The surgical procedure used for intracoelomic BCT implantation using laparotomy has the potential to bias subsequent inference if it permanently alters the survival, behavior, or overall health of the animal $[12]$. The effective implantation of a BCT, and subsequent survival of tagged fsh, is dependent on appropriate planning and implementation from capture to release. Thorough planning ensures circumstances that could result in an adverse impact on the wellbeing of an individual are identifed their likelihoods and consequences estimated and mitigating measures put in place to ensure they are avoided. The practices described herein are designed for feld application on tunas (or similar pelagic species) caught and released from commercial fshing vessels, based on our collective experience over the past decades. They are based on the premise

that approaches that minimize stress will result in a faster return to normal physiological conditions and homeostasis, and thus minimize both rates of post-release mortality and compromised growth, development, disease resistance, behavior, and/or reproduction [86].

Our choices of recommended practices are for those that maximize animal wellbeing and minimize (or avoid) harm, pain, and distress caused by handling and the surgical interventions necessary for intracoelomic BCT implantation. They ensure that harm, pain or distress are not greater than those likely to be experienced by individuals in the wild $[8, 26, 27, 67]$. We also deem such practices to necessarily include those that ensure all procedures (from capture to release) are performed competently and that minimizing harm, pain and distress take precedence over completing the procedure itself.

Fish capture and handling

In addition to the surgical methods involved in BCT implantation, the probability of post-release mortality is infuenced by handling procedures throughout all components of the tagging process (e.g., [4, 13, 54]). While handling-induced stress is species specifc [75], the method and consequences of capture have been identifed as important infuences on the subsequent survival of tagged tunas $[45]$. The poor condition of tuna caught using purse seine methods typically eliminates the use of these vessels for capturing individuals suitable for BCT studies [55]. Fishing methods more conducive to facilitating the capture of fsh in good condition include those associated with hook and line, pole and line and longline gears. However, careful choice of fsh is still required. Individuals caught on pole and line fshing gear with eye damage, impact trauma, excessive bleeding, or mouth or esophageal damage due to hooking have lower rates of survival than conspecifcs that did not sufer one or more of these traumas [45]. Similarly, fish caught and tagged on commercial longline vessels have reduced survival the longer the time-period is between hooking and retrieval [61, 71, 73]. Disruption of physiological homeostasis associated with capture is also common in fshes (e.g., [35, 60, 94]). For example, elevated plasma cortisol, lactate, and plasma ion levels have been recorded as are common when times from hooking to sampling (i.e., "fght times") exceeded 10 min (e.g., [53, 58, 90]). Whether these elevated physiological conditions translate into increased rates of post-release mortality is less clear (e.g., [29, 60, 94]). Estimates of post-release mortality suggest, however, that removal of fsh from the water can decrease the probability of survival (e.g., [21, 83]).

Recommended practices in fsh handling include identifying factors that contribute to the level and duration of harm, pain, and distress, and implementing steps to avoid or minimize these [2]. Applying these practices to BCT implantation in tunas includes diligent approaches to fsh selection immediately upon capture. Fish should be rejected if there is:

- 1. Observation or other evidence of a broken fn or jaw,
- 2. Muscle trauma associated with an impact on the boat hull, gunnel or deck during capture and handling,
- 3. Protuberant eye (barotrauma) or blood presence in the eyeball indicating hook damage,
- 4. Gill damage indicated by bleeding from the gill plates,
- 5. Any other excessive bleeding (constant flow),
- 6. Signifcant hook damage to palate or tongue,
- 7. Rapid loss of color,
- 8. Constant mouth gape (often associated with gill flaring and/or constant tail flapping),
- 9. Evidence of exhaustion due to prolonged fght time (lack of vigorous swimming), and
- 10. A taught (or swollen) appearance of the skin and muscle surrounding the peritoneal cavity indicating full stomach due to ingestion of excessive amounts of seawater or an overinfated swim bladder due to barotrauma.

Individuals showing signs of minor stress or injury can be returned to the water and released as soon as possible, whereas individuals showing any signs of the above listed stress or injury should be euthanized immediately.

Recommended practices also include ensuring that the gear and methods for landing a fsh minimize harm, pain or distress. Pole and line is the predominant method used to catch tunas for BCT implantation. Because the size and shape of the hook(s) used infuence the location and severity of tissue damage, hooks should be barbless (or the barbs crushed) to facilitate hook removal. Likewise, the gear should be adapted to minimize the fght time and tissue damage. Thus, the size of the targeted fish should be considered in hook sizing. The fish should also be caught near the surface to avoid barotrauma. For tropical tuna species, pole and line gear allow fsh of up to a dozen kilograms to be safely lifted out of the water and directly placed on the tagging cradle. Above that size, a scoop net with knotless webbing (Fig. 1) or a sling device designed for the purpose (Fig. 2) should be used to lift the animal out of the water to avoid further injury and minimize stress during landing. Both gears work efficiently for large tuna but the choice of best gear for a particular fshery will depend on vessel, deck gear and crew

Fig. 1 A 20 kg yellowfin tuna being lifted onboard using a handheld aluminum framed scoop net with knotless webbing

Fig. 2 A sling designed to lift and release large tunas

experience. Fish lifted directly by line or with a scoop net should be placed on a non-abrasive, soft, and wet surface (like a vinyl covered 3-cm-thick foam mattress) covering the deck to avoid further skin abrasion. The fish is then transferred by hand from the mat to the cradle (see *surgery platform*). For fish lifted with a sling, surgery is usually implemented in the sling to avoid hazardous manipulation of large animals. Tagged fsh are then safely released within the sling. We also note that tuna should not be carried or picked up by its caudal peduncle. Tuna spinal columns have evolved to function under compression not tension, and the associated connective tissue rapidly fails under the latter. When being moved, tunas should be cradled either by remaining fat on their sides (larger individuals) or by a hand under their pelvic fns. The weight of an individual should be supported across the entire body to avoid stress and injury. To minimize stress, the tuna's eyes should be covered as soon as possible by a seawater-soaked chamois (described in *Surgery Kits*) following the fsh being placed in the tagging cradle with the chamois remaining in place until release. Tuna may need to be lightly restrained for the minimum time needed to complete BCT implantation by trained and practiced crew to prevent injury to either the tuna or the surgery personnel. If fish restraint requires more than a slight effort, and result in an excessive amount of stress, the operation should be aborted.

Anesthesia and analgesics

Recommended practices for freshwater species and many marine species during surgery usually require the use of local and general anesthetics, analgesics and/or sedatives [60, 96]. Criteria for choosing an appropriate agent(s) include:

- 1. Suitability for the species, age, developmental stage, and physiological status;
- 2. Appropriateness for the type of procedure;
- 3. Administration causes minimal distress; and
- 4. Capacity to monitor efectively the fsh's wellbeing throughout the operative and post-operative periods until full recovery [100].

Use of general anesthesia should also consider the feasibility to monitor that: (1) an adequate level of anesthesia is maintained; (2) physiological disturbances are being minimized; and (3) potential complications (e.g., cardiovascular and respiratory depression) can be detected and managed both during surgery and post-operatively [68].

While the return of equilibrium (i.e., the ability to maintain an upright swimming posture) is the usual indicator for recovery, the physiological and behavioral efects of the anesthesia can extend well beyond this point [17, 38, 47, 76, 78, 104]. Pelagic predators such as billfshes, sharks and toothed whales often follow and feed on tuna schools, and may aggregate near fshing vessels during operations. As such, it is important that there are no residual efects of the anesthesia that would increase rates of predation.

We contend, however, that the use of anesthetics and analgesics are not within *recommended practices* for

BCT implantation in tunas for multiple reasons. First, some tropical tuna species (e.g., skipjack tuna; *Katsuwonus pelamis*) are known to have low survival rates after being anaesthetized [15]. Second, having holding tanks of sufficient size to allow individuals to fully emerge from anesthesia is highly impractical on the majority of vessels used for tuna tagging (see Background).

Finally, there are legal restrictions and obvious ethical and safety concerns surrounding the use of anesthetics and analgesics in fsh that may be captured for human consumption [e.g., 84, 100].

We instead contend that dorsoventral inversion to induce tonic immobilization conditions [51] is more appropriate. It allows for rapid handling, BCT implantation, and release back into the water. We recognize, however, that tonic immobilization does not induce loss of sensation $[91]$, but argue that its benefits associated with the reduced stress associated with rapid return to the water supersede the impracticalities of anesthesia or sedation. Our feld observations indicate, moreover, that the incisions required for BCT implantation are equivalent to, or less than, non-lethal predation damage observed in larger tunas and billfshes [69, 72].

If either anesthetics, analgesics, or sedatives are used, records should be kept on the products used, methods used for administering, any complications and the individual's recovery so that the efficacy can be evaluated $[18]$.

Surgery practices

Efective BCT implantation is reliant on a well-placed surgery platform to ensure that: (1) operations are quick, safe and efficient; (2) that aseptic practices are adhered to (to the extent practicable); and (3) the procedure is terminated at the frst signs of deterioration in fsh condition to minimize pain and distress. The latter implies that planning for surgery includes defning intervention points (to release the fsh back to the water) and endpoints where the animal must be humanely euthanized.

Surgery platform

Adapting the surgery platform to the vessel and the species to be tagged (i.e., "ft for the purpose") signifcantly reduces the time taken for the BCT implantation. Our collective experience is that a V-shaped (45° angled) cradle facilitates tonic immobilization and safely maintains fish in a ventral side-up position (Figs. $3, 4$). The cradle should be padded with closed-cell foam, and the padding covered with smooth vinyl surfaces to reduce skin abrasion. A scale with 1-cm-length increments printed on the cradle pads facilitates the quick measurement of fsh length, a commonly recorded metric that ensures

Fig. 3 Cradle with (1) ftted and padded smooth vinyl surfaces to safely restrain the fsh and avoid skin abrasions, (2) a ruler printed on the pads for rapid fsh length measurement and to reduce the time the fsh is out of the water; (3) a gap at the base to allow possible passage of dorsal fns and tail; (4) positioning of the cradle to provide protection from sea-spray, inclement weather, and direct sunlight; (5) stable legs that ensures the cradle is at a comfortable height for BCT implementation; (6) easy to clean (and detachable) surgical and tag trays to store all surgery tools and BCTs fxed in ergonomically and easily accessible locations

Fig. 4 BCT implantation into a yellowfn tuna demonstrating (1) an insertion point for a sea-water hose to irrigate fsh gills; (2) the positioning the fsh ventral side up with care not to damage any fns; (3) positioning the tail to avoid possible contact with hard parts of the cradle frame when the fsh's length is larger than the cradle length; (4) securing of the cradle on the vessel working deck; and (5) the cradle design with stable legs that ensures it is at a comfortable height for BCT implementation

that fsh are of adequate sizes for the size of the tag are considered and also allows for the calculation of growth rates when coupled with measurement on recapture. The cradle design should include a gap at the base (i.e., at the vertex of the V-shaped cradle; Fig. 3) to preclude damage to the dorsal fns and tail, and an insertion point drilled through the end plate for a sea-water hose to irrigate fsh gills (Fig. 4). During BCT implantation the fsh should be

placed ventral side-up on the wetted berth of the cradle (taking care not to damage the pectoral fns), with the head placed next to the sea water hose (Fig. 4). For fish larger than the surgery cradle, care should be taken with the tail to avoid possible contact with hard parts of the frame. In this situation, any measurement of fsh length should be done using calipers either immediately before or after BCT implantation.

The frame should be secured on the deck, with stable legs that bring the cradle to a height that is ergonomically comfortable for the operator undertaking the procedure. Clean trays to store all surgery tools and BCTs should be fxed in ergonomically and easily accessible locations. Positioning of the cradle to minimize handling of fsh between landing and transfer to the cradle, and from the cradle to release, also reduces the risk of injury to the fish during transfers and supports efficiencies in the time taken to undertake procedures. The cradle should be positioned, to the extent practicable, such that it is protected from sea-spray, inclement weather, and direct sunlight. Exposure to the former increases stress and often reduces the probability that the fshes will remain still during BCT implantation. Excessive fresh or salt-water sprays directed into the surgery site should be avoided as they can complicate BCT implantation and reduce the efficacy of disinfectants.

Surgery kits

Choice of the equipment used for safe BCT implantation is crucial to optimize overall operational success. In our experience, familiarity and comfort with the equipment is critical to achieve quick and uncomplicated BCT implantation. We recommend that a minimum of equipment is used at any one time to avoid cluttering of the tagging cradle and its immediate surrounds. Surgery cradles may necessarily be placed near the working deck of the vessel (to provide immediate access to landed fsh) which can become crowded during fshing activities. Vessels can also be operating in moderate to rough seas which increases the instability of any storage of equipment on the surgery cradle. Minimizing the presence of equipment therefore reduces the risk of loss or damage (to both the equipment and fsh) (Figs. 5, 6).

To minimize fsh stress and maximize immobilization, the surgery kit should include a cover for the fshes' eyes. We have found a wet soft chamois (leather or synthetic) sheet is most suitable. This material is thick enough to provide a good light barrier, has sufficient frictional properties to prevent it from easily slipping off the fish's head, but is smooth enough that it does not damage the cornea. It is also easily cleaned between surgeries in (preferably) fresh water, as any blood is quickly washed out.

Fig. 5 Surgery tools: scalpel, knives, sharpening stone, needle holder (forceps with cutting capacity) and suture material

Fig. 6 Customized plastic compartmented container holding BCTs, plastic identifcation tags and surgery tools

Either a scalpel blade and handle or a very sharp paring knife can be used for making in initial incision in the body wall over the peritoneum. Generally, a sharp paring knife is a safer tool on a moving or rocking vessel, but requires frequent sharpening. Forceps with cutting capacities, such as Olsen–Hegar needle holders with suture scissors are a crucial tool that must be part of the equipment and is used to handle the suture material (see E.) used to close the incision.

Aseptic practices

Pathogens may be transferred to fish from fish handling equipment, surgical instruments, suture material, the BCT [63, 65, 100], or directly introduced through the surgical incision [20, 63] with negative outcomes for tagged fsh. Aseptic practices increase the probability of full and fast recovery from surgery and include: (1) the use of single-use surgical gloves and clothing [63]; (2) the use of a fresh set of sterile surgical instruments for each surgery [24, 98, 100]; (3) avoiding water entry into the coelom $[100]$; and (4) washing down of fish holding equipment such as cradles between surgeries [100]. While poor aseptic practices have not been directly linked to altered growth, behavior, swimming performance, feeding, predator avoidance and healing in the longer term [49, 50], minimizing the chance of post-surgery infection maximizes the likelihood of fast recovery and surgical site healing [64].

Access to electrical power is typically available on those vessels used for tuna tagging. This allows for sterilization of surgical equipment using steam autoclaves [100] or ultra-violet radiation sterilizers [99]. These are preferred to chemical sterilants and disinfectants as sterilants and disinfectants may cause adverse reactions when they contact fsh tissue and are generally considered unsafe [100]. Despite this, as surgeries are undertaken in the open-air, placing surgical equipment and BCTs in a bath of povidone-iodine (or equivalent e.g., chlorhexidine) will help reduce potential airborne contamination. Both must, however, be rinsed in a sterile saline bath prior to use. To help maintain sterility of BCTs, once programmed and switched on, individual units can be stored in a sterile plastic bag until implantation. Surgery tools also need to be protected from direct sun exposure and any sea water spray, both when stored and during surgeries. The BCT must also be stored away from the direct sunlight and contamination sources, and at a temperature similar to the surrounding sea water.

As the availability of suitable individuals for tagging is reliant on fshing, fsh often become available with little notice. This implies that the tagging station, along with the tagger, must be prepared to perform BCT implantation at short notice, including the need to perform multiple surgeries in succession over a short period of time. Having multiple surgery kits available, so that used instruments can be sent for sterilization between surgeries without delaying the next surgery, helps ensure that opportunities for BCT implantation are not missed.

Forced ventilation

Once removed from the water, a fish is experiencing "apneic asphyxia". High sea surface temperature, air temperature and bright lights are also factors that can exacerbate the impact of this asphyxia. Our observations suggest that species specific tolerances can be different. Bigeye tuna, for example rarely show signs of distress for up to 2 min without gill irrigation, whereas skipjack tuna can show signs of stress in less than 30 s without forced ventilation. Yellowfn tuna also show less signs of stress if their gills are irrigated immediately. If it is deemed appropriate to irrigate the fsh's gills during surgery, the diameter of the hose used should be kept relatively small (e.g., a 15-mm garden hose). Unless the hose is of a reinforced type, it is benefcial to insert a small length of rigid plastic tubing inside the hose end to keep it fully open under fish jaw pressure. The sea water output needs to be regulated to about 5 l/min (from collective experience). The hose should be inserted in the fish mouth up to a point immediately posterior of the "V" formed by the posterior ends of the upper and lower mandibles. The hose should not be inserted to a point where it is near the esophagus, otherwise there is a signifcant risk of the stomach flling with water. We recommend using a purposely built pump and tubing system with only plastic and stainless-steel parts to avoid any contamination to water from copper, brass, or aluminum parts that may be present within in situ vessel plumbing systems.

BCT implantation and incision suturing *Ratio of BCT size and weight to fsh size and weight*

The overall goal of deployment of BCTs is to monitor unbiased behaviors. Given this, the weight and design of the BCT should be such that it causes minimal interference with the natural behavior of the species and not cause an over-burden on the tagged fsh. A published "rule of thumb" is that the mass of the BCT should not exceed 2% of the fsh's body mass [19, 101, 102].

Surgery and tag insertion

To avoid excessive harm to the fsh, the shortest possible incision should be made that permits insertion of the BCT without excessive force. Incisions about 2.5 to 3 cm long are required for large archival tags, while smaller tags require incisions of 1.5–2 cm. We have found positioning of the cut in the abdominal wall about 1/3 of the distance between the anus and the base of the pelvic fns, and about 2 cm to one side of the centerline of the fsh, to be an optimal location. This location is a compromise between the shape of the body cavity, the position of fragile organs (heart, leaver, swim bladder) and the cavity wall confguration; on the centerline location the abdominal lining is more fbrous and seems to be more sensitive to the cut. To avoid potential organ damage from the incision, the preferred method is to cut partially through the muscle rather than into the peritoneal cavity (where there is potential for damage to organs). Final entry is forced through the peritoneal lining by the insertion of the BCT. The trocar should be sterilized, and gloves changed between surgeries. Great care must be taken when forcing the peritoneal lining, and we recommend using a gloved fnger as this minimizes the risk of cutting or rupturing a blood vessel. The final stage of BCT implantation is the critical phase and must be undertaken

Fig. 7 Large (left) and small size (right) archival BCTs

with care so as not to harm internal organs. Presence of a full stomach (especially for smaller sized tunas) or an infated swim bladder is likely to compromise a safe BCT implantation and should be considered as a reason to terminate the procedure and euthanize the fsh. Wiping the incision point clean of any slime coat before commencing the cut is not recommended as this can increase the chances of post-release infection [93].

Closure of incision

Sutures The choice of suture can influence the speed of surgery [22]. We have found that needles with reverse cutting tips can easily penetrate the skin of tunas and that curved (1/2 circle or 3/8) shaped needles, with dimensions adjusted to fish size, are needed to penetrate fully the thickness of the muscle overlying the peritoneal cavity. A 36-mm needle is suitable for fsh less than 20 kg, whereas 48 mm is more appropriate for larger individuals. Synthetic absorbable monoflament sutures (polydioxanone) have been identifed as potentially causing less infammation than braided material [95], although the literature suggests little overall diference in performance between absorbable and non-absorbable suture material [22, 94]. A surgeon's knot with additional throws (3 plus 2, from informal veterinary recommendation) will ensure the ligatures remain in place on highly mobile tropical tunas. Depending on the incision size, 1 (small size archival tags or acoustic telemetry tags) or 2 sutures (larger size

Fig. 8 Incision closed by 3 staples on a skipjack tuna inserted with an archival BCT

archival tags) are typically required to maintain incision closure (Fig. 7).

Surgical staples Stainless steel surgical staples (Fig. 8), placed using the accompanying staple gun, allow for rapid closure of the incision Based on a 2-3 cm incision, two or three staples are typically needed. Surgical staples may not, however, ensure that surgical wound is closed fully. In addition, it can be difficult to keep the incision closed with two fngers on one hand, while operating the staple gun with the other with the result that it is difficult to ensure that the staples properly span the incision. For these reasons, we recommend the use of surgical staples only when it is crucial to keep surgery time under one minute (e.g., skipjack tuna).

Fish monitoring condition during surgery

In addition to the careful selection of fsh for surgery, monitoring the fsh throughout BCT implantation is important. *Best practices* should therefore include development of criteria to assess fsh condition during BCT implantation. These assessments are best undertaken by experienced persons who can recognize specifc signs of distress displayed by the tagged species. They should be supported by appropriate methods for recording observations, treatments, and actions so that the criteria and interventions can evolve through a process of continuous learning. Key indicators of excessive stress or trauma in tunas include: (1) the fsh suddenly losing its color; (2) continuous mouth gaping and or faring of the gill plates; (3) excessive tail fapping; (4) excessive bleeding from the incision; and (5) pressure being exerted by internal organs (e.g., stomach or swim bladder) causing

Fig. 9 Bigeye tuna ready to be released after archival tag insertion. An orange plastic dart tag has been inserted behind the second dorsal fn

the incision to remain open. In these cases, BCT implantation should be terminated, and the fsh immediately euthanized.

Addition of a conventional tag

We have found that returns of BCTs from recaptured fsh are facilitated by the presence of a brightly colored external plastic tag (Fig. 9) inserted between the second dorsal fn pterygiophores, using a standard, stainless steel tag applicator. The use of consistent color schemes for external dart tags, with relevant contact and reward information dependant on the BCT type, facilitates easy recognition during recapture and any tag recovery networks already in place. However, care should be taken not to exert pressure on the ventral surface post-surgery, which necessitates the need to insert this tag upwards into the pterygiophores, rather than turning the fsh dorsal side up.

Release back into the wild

Recommended practices for the release of individuals include (1) release close to the site of capture or near the school from which it was captured, (2) protection from predation immediately after release, and (3) minimize fsh handling.

To maximize the likelihood of a fsh returning to its school, individuals should be released as soon as possible after surgery (assuming they have not been anesthetized or sedated). Releasing the fsh headfrst and keeping its eyes covered until the last moment reduces stress and from observations appears to help fsh to regain the ability to resume swimming. This stage of the tagging procedure is also the last opportunity to undertake a fnal evaluation of the individual after surgery for both predicted and unforeseen efects, and to rapidly and effectively address any issues. This may still include euthanasia, if the individual is assessed not to be suitable for immediate release. We recommend whenever possible the use of a removable V-cradle front allowing the possibility to move the fsh to the side of the boat without handling it and slide the fsh into the water head-frst.

Euthanasia

In the unlikely event that an adverse impact during surgery requires euthanasia as an endpoint, employment of humane methods is a *recommended practice*. This includes applying methods and procedures that are appropriate for the species (e.g., pithing), an individual's age, and development stage. The method of euthanasia must also (1) avoid pain or distress; (2) produce a rapid loss of consciousness until death occurs; (3) require a minimum restraint of the animal; and (4) ensure that is death is confrmed unequivocally [3, 67, 68].

Training

The development of curriculum and training standards for successful BCT implantation has been identifed as an important step for ensuring fsh welfare [25]. Training in surgery practices include developing problem-solving competencies to manage animal welfare challenges as they arise during the surgery, as well as the technical skills to perform the surgery itself [8, 25, 27]. Welfare competencies include the skill and diagnostic abilities to identify heightened stress levels, trauma, and to monitor vital signs. Training should also include competencies in managing vessel crew and assistants who may be inexperienced in feld surgery practices (and will require instruction). *Best practices* in training include organizations specifying the minimum training and qualifcations required for their employees to undertake BCT implantation. This information provides material for any necessary approval or endorsement of activities by regulatory or advisory bodies and facilitates the generation of international standards in training and practices. Maintaining surgery logbooks to document who has the relevant experience to train students and colleagues, and lead BCT activities, is also beneficial for meeting any requirements set by animal research ethics committees and regulatory or advisory bodies (see "Animal ethics approval").

Reporting

The use of BCTs in tuna research and the development and implementation of any associated methods should be a process of continuous improvement to maximize rates of post-release survival and to minimize

sub-lethal efects that will bias behavioral patterns away from those normal for the species. Reporting successes and failures is a critical step for improvement as it facilitates shared learning [92]. *Recommended practices* include the use of registries for storage on open-access and easily discovered repositories. The full description of surgery methods for peer-reviewed publications will also facilitate sharing of knowledge among practitioners. At a minimum, record keeping should be sufficient to enable institutions and animal research ethics committees to verify that the wellbeing of the tagged individuals has been prioritized and allow review and critical investigation of the cause(s) of, and responses to, unexpected adverse events as a basis for developing strategies for prevention.

Animal ethics approval

Underlying any scientifc tagging program is the premise that any use of animals for scientifc purposes must be ethical, humane and responsible. In many countries, this underlying premise is formalized through government regulatory processes, often facilitated through specialized committees that evaluate projects interacting with animals to ensure that they meet legislatory requirements and meet the highest possible standards when handling, using and caring for animals. In many cases, these committees provide the formal approval required (under relevant legislation) for projects to be

Table 1 Numbers of BCTs deployed in tropical tuna species in the western and central Pacifc Ocean included in this review

BCT type	Bigeye tuna	Skipjack tuna	Yellowfin tuna	Total
Acoustic tag	240	133	330	796
Archival tag	1350	208	841	2399
Total	1590	341	1171	3195

able to utilize animals in their projects. The composition of committees varies depending on the country, but frequently they comprise evaluators from a range of disciplines and backgrounds to ensure that projects are evaluated from the perspective of the whole community and therefore meet broad ethical and social expectations. In association, many countries have developed a series of governing principles to guide the actions of those using animals for scientifc purposes and it is these principles that projects are evaluated against.

Assessment of recommended practices

The procedures that underpin the recommended practice approaches we describe have been developed from the combined experience of 3195 BCT surgeries performed during the implementation of tagging experiments in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean (Table 1). The most recent of these, the Pacifc Tuna Tagging Programme (PTTP) [55], has tagged and released over 2780 tropical tunas with BCTs and over 463,500 individuals with external plastic identifcation tags since its commencement in 2006. We used data from recoveries of archival BCTs from these experiments to investigate the potential impacts of implantation on individual behavior and long-term survival, alongside informal communication and observations from the authors' network to assess practices.

Direct observations on the basis of direct and photographic observations from nine tagged tuna recaptured three to 90 days after surgery are listed in Table 2. Two tuna recaptured after three days at liberty showed evidence of partial healing of incisions. Surgical incisions of 5 tuna recaptured 6 to 11 days after release were observed to have healed closed. Tuna recaptured after 35 to 90 days (*N*=2) showed complete healing with all sutures no longer present. All fsh recaptured were caught during fshing operations that required individuals to actively pursue bait and lures, indicating that normal behavior

Table 2 Direct observation of tagged tuna recaptured from 3 to 90 days after surgery

Tagging program	Species	Length (cm)	Tag type	Deployment duration (days)	Year	Comment
HTTP	YFT	70	Vemco V16	3 days	2003	Incision partially healed; sutures partially shed
HTTP	YFT	65	Vemco V16	3 days	2003	Incision healed closed; sutures half grown out
HTTP	YFT	82	Vemco V16 + MK9	6 days	2003	Incision healed closed; sutures almost shed
HTTP	YFT	58	Vemco V16	9 days	2001	Incision healed over, discolored
HTTP	BET	58	Vemco V16	9 days	2003	Incision healed over, discolored
PTTP	YFT	55	l otek AT2810	9 days	2016	Incision healed, suture loss
HTTP	YFT	64	Vemco V9 + MK9	11 days	2007	Healed closed, stitches still present
HTTP	YFT	91	Vemco V16	35 days	2001	Incision healed over, discolored
HTTP	YFT	76	Vemco V16	90 days	2002	Incision completely healed, smooth

Fig. 10 Proportion of fsh exhibiting behaviors outside a 95% confdence limit of either their typical shallow or deep behaviors, as estimated by a multivariate gaussian hidden Markov model. A rolling 12-h mean is overlaid in red

had resumed rapidly after the surgical procedure and implantation of the tag.

Comparison between returns of plastic dart tags and electronic BCTs

A small, but statistically signifcant, diference in returns was detected when comparing the proportional return rates of external plastic dart tags and electronic BCTs released as part of PTTP operations. In this analysis, tagging events were only considered if more than 20 individuals were released during the same event. Also, within each tagging event, any individuals that were double tagged with both sonic and archival BCTs were removed to ensure the comparison was directly between proportional returns of fsh carrying single BCTs and plastic identifcation tags. After this fltering step, only data collected on bigeye and yellowfin tunas were included. The fnal dataset comprised 7339 bigeye (924 BCT, and 6415 conventional), and 4922 yellowfn (489 BCT, 4433 conventional) tuna releases. The impact of fish length was controlled for within each tagging event by omitting any fsh released with external plastic dart tags whose length was smaller than the smallest fsh release with a BCT. A generalized linear random efects model was ftted to the data, with a binomial response variable (where $0 = \text{tag}$ not recovered; $1 = \text{tag}$ recovered) and two explanatory variables: tag type, either plastic tag or archival BCT, and the length of the fsh. A random efect to account for within tagging events was used. Modeling was undertaken in R (v. 4.1.2, [77]) using the 'lme4' package (v. 1.1-30, [5]). When fsh length and tagging event is accounted for, a tagged fsh was 1.35 (95% CI 1.12–1.64) times more likely to be returned if tagged with an external plastic dart tag, compared to a BCT (see Supplementary Material for a full description of the methods and results).

Behavior analyses immediately after surgery

By identifying the presence of atypical, stress-induced behaviors during the time following release, it is possible to quantify the degree to which behavior immediately after BCT implantation is extraneous to the individual's typical behavior. To demonstrate this, the vertical movement behavior from 57 yellowfn to 106 bigeye tunas tagged and released with BCTs in the western central Pacifc Ocean, and at liberty for at least one month, were examined for atypical behavior (see Supplementary Material for further details on release information). Estimating the parameters of a two-state hidden-Markov models [87, 88], the data were processed into individual time-series of hourly temperature and vertical movement frequency metrics. This approach provides each individual's shallow and deep state parameters, characteristic of typical yellowfn or bigeye tunas diurnally switching between these behavioral states [32, 59, 80]. Model parameter estimates included the multivariate gaussian mean and covariance matrices in these two dimensions, which describe the

Fig. 11 Proportion of fish exhibiting behaviors outside varying confidence limits, from 75 to 99% of either their typical shallow or deep behaviors, during the frst 72 h at liberty

center and variability in water temperature occupied, and frequency of vertical movement, of these two behavioral states exhibited during the entirety of an individual fsh's time at liberty.

By comparing the behavioral signal present in the hours following BCT implantation and release, to those classifed over the entire time-series, we quantifed the change in proportion of atypical behaviors present. Each hourly observation of water temperature and vertical movement frequency was compared to the distributions estimated for the fsh's typical shallow and deep behaviors. By calculating the Chi-squared coefficient for that observation, we tested if the hourly observation lay outside a

confdence ellipsoid of the estimated distributions for either of its two typical behaviors (i.e., an indication of "unusual behaviors").

Calculating this proportion of all tunas examined, approximately 25% of all individuals demonstrated behaviors difering to the rest of the behavioral time series at some point during their initial 12 h at liberty (Fig. 10). This was an increase of 13% in the mean proportion of atypical behaviors compared to when the whole time series is considered (i.e., at any time an average 12% of fsh behave atypically when assuming a 95% confdence limit as normal behavior).

Table 3 Potential standards for intracoelomic BCT implantation

Minimum standards for the care and management of wild-caught tuna used for BCT implantation

1. General requirements that apply to all procedures must:

i. Be appropriate for the species and the circumstances

ii. Be compatible with the purpose and aims of the project or activity

iii. Cause the least harm, including pain and distress, to the animals

iv. Be performed competently, and by a person who is competent for the procedures, or under the direct supervision of a person who is competent to perform the procedures

2. Fish wellbeing must be supported and safeguarded by using capture methods, techniques and equipment that are appropriate for the species and the situation, and the purpose and aims of the project or activity

3. To minimize the risk of injury or stress-induced disease, procedures for the capture and handling must include:

i. The involvement of a sufficient number of competent people to restrain animals and prevent injury to animals and handlers

ii. Restraint and handling of animals for the minimum time needed to achieve the BCT implantation

Release of wild-caught ensure that:

4. Release occurs at the site of capture to the extent practicable,

5. Animals are protected from injury and predation at the time of their release,

6. Animals that have been sedated or anesthetized have recovered to full consciousness before their release. During their recovery, animals should be held in an appropriate area where they can maintain normal body temperature and are protected from injury and predation

BCT devices

7. the weight, design and positioning of attached devices must minimize interference with the normal survival requirements of the animal

Humane killing

8. The method and procedures used for killing (if necessary) must be humane and:

i. Avoid pain or distress and produce rapid loss of consciousness until death occurs

ii. Be appropriate to the species, age, developmental stage and health of the animal

iii. Require minimum restraint of the animal

iv. Be reliable, reproducible and irreversible

v. Ensure that death is established before disposal of the animal

Use of anesthetics, analgesics and sedatives

9. Consider the duration of the proposed procedures and balance the potential the increase in total time in distress (i.e., total handling time) associated with the use of chemical anesthesia versus the infiction of pain in fsh from application of alternative methods such tonic immobility

10. When anesthetics, analgesics and sedatives are used, the choice of agent and its administration must:

i. Be appropriate for the species, age, developmental stage and physiological status of the animal

ii. Be compatible with the purpose and aims of the project or activity, and appropriate for the type of procedure

11. Regardless of their mechanism of action, the efectiveness of all anesthetics must be monitored throughout anesthesia

12. When general anesthesia is used, procedures must conform with current veterinary or medical practice and ensure that:

i. Induction is smooth, with minimum distress to the animal

ii. The animal and the efectiveness of the anesthetic are monitored to maintain an adequate plane of anesthesia, minimize physiological disturbances, and monitor and manage potential complications (e.g., cardiovascular and respiratory depression)

iii. When an animal is to recover from an anesthetic, the animal is monitored and cared for to avoid and manage complications during the post-anesthetic period (e.g., injury from uncoordinated movements or other animals)

iv. Records are maintained of the use of anesthetics and other drugs, monitoring of the animal, and the management of complications

13. Animals that develop signs of pain and distress must be treated promptly, in accordance with the intervention points and humane endpoints *Surgical procedures*

14. The wellbeing of wild-caught tuna that have undergone surgical procedures must be supported and safeguarded by:

i. Using aseptic procedures if the animal is expected to recover from surgery

ii. Ensuring that all procedures conform to accepted standards in veterinary or medical practice, as appropriate for the procedure and circumstances iii. Ensuring that potential complications during and after the procedure are avoided or minimized, that animals are monitored for complications, and that any complications that do occur are efectively managed

iv. Ensuring that pain management that is appropriate for the species and the procedure is efective

15. After any procedure:

i. To the extent possible fish should be monitored and assessed with sufficient frequency to ensure that both predicted and unforeseen consequences are detected early

ii. Prompt action must be taken so that predicted and unforeseen consequences, including pain and distress, are addressed rapidly and efectively iii. Appropriate records must be maintained and made accessible to all people involved in the post-procedural care of the animal

The analysis demonstrates that, regardless of time since BCT implantation, this consideration of tuna behaviors results in extremely variable patterns. Despite this, there appears to be an increase in unusual behaviors during the frst 12 h after BCT implantation, even when confdence limits which we use to defne unusual behaviors were varied (Fig. 11).

Conclusions

We present recommended practices for BCT implantation in tunas, within the context that these will be useful for other oceanic species and with the view that these will lead to improved wellbeing outcomes for wild-caught pelagic species. In doing so this documentation provides a framework for continual refnement of practices as the

experiences of practitioners develop, and ultimately help guide towards the establishment of best practices for these species. This framework can be used to describe the requirements, specifcations and guidelines consistently to ensure that processes are ft for their purpose and promote best industry practices [46]. Importantly, they provide a common language to measure and evaluate performance, and ensure interoperability between practitioners [46]. As a quick reference guide, we provide an example of potential standards for intracoelomic BCT implantation in Table 3, based on the recommended practices described here. The application of these guidelines when implanting internal tags to related species can be considered and further adapted to their particular size and physiology. Where appropriate these may form a basis for the evaluation of projects by specialized committees that consider the ethical use of animals and their wellbeing in scientifc research.

Our analyses indicate that the handling and surgical procedures associated with BCT insertion does have some efect on the behavior of individuals and may also afect post-release survival. However, observations from recaptured tuna suggest that these efects are small and therefore support implementation of the recommended practices described here. We believe our recommended practices help minimize the negative impacts of BCT implantation, prioritize animal wellbeing, and lead to minimal long-term impacts on tagged individuals.

Abbreviation

BCT Body cavity tag

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the scientists, technicians and vessel crews who contributed to the BCT tagging of tuna onboard numerous research cruises.

Author contributions

BL and DI conceived the manuscript. SN and BL drafted the frst version. JSP and JP completed data analyses. All authors technically reviewed the recommended practices and contributed to the fnal drafting on the manuscript. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

Funding

The preparation of this manuscript was supported by the Western and Central Pacifc Fisheries Commission's Pacifc Tuna Tagging Programme, the Government of Australia, the Government of New Zealand, and the European Union's Pacifc-European-Union-Marine-Partnership project (agreement FED/2018/397-941). This publication was produced with the fnancial support of the European Union and Sweden. Its contents are the sole responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily refect the views of the European Union and Sweden.

Data availability

Datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 29 August 2022 Accepted: 5 January 2023 Published online: 27 January 2023

References

- 1. Abascal FJ, Peatman T, Leroy B, Nicol S, Schaefer K, Fuller DW, et al. Spatiotemporal variability in bigeye vertical distribution in the Pacifc Ocean. Fish Res. 2018;204:371–9.
- 2. American Fisheries Society. Use of Fishes in Research Committee (joint committee of the American Fisheries Society, the American Institute of Fishery Research Biologists, and the American Society of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists) Guidelines for the use of fshes in research. Bethesda: American Fisheries Society; 2014.
- 3. AVMA Guidelines for the Euthanasia of Animals: 2020 Edition [https://](https://www.avma.org/sites/default/files/2020-01/2020-Euthanasia-Final-1-17-20.pdf) [www.avma.org/sites/default/fles/2020-01/2020-Euthanasia-Final-1-17-](https://www.avma.org/sites/default/files/2020-01/2020-Euthanasia-Final-1-17-20.pdf) [20.pdf](https://www.avma.org/sites/default/files/2020-01/2020-Euthanasia-Final-1-17-20.pdf)
- 4. Baras E, Jeandrain D. Evaluation of surgery procedures for tagging eel Anguilla anguilla with biotelemetry transmitters. Hydrobiologia. 1998;371–372:107–11.
- 5. Bates B, Maechler M, Bolker B, Walker S. Fitting linear mixed-efects models using lme4. J Stat Softw. 2015;67:1–48.
- Block BA, Keen JE, Castillo B, Dewar H, Freund EV, Marcinek DJ, et al. Environmental preferences of yellowfn tuna (Thunnus albacares) at the northern extent of its range. Mar Biol. 1997;130:119–32.
- 7. Block BA, Dewar H, Williams T, Prince E, Farwell C, Fudge D. Archival tagging of Atlantic bluefn tuna (Thunnus thynnus thynnus). Mar Tech Soc J. 1998;32:37–46.
- 8. Bradford RW, Hobday AJ, Evans K, Lansdell M. CMAR Code of Practice for Tagging Marine Animals CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research Paper 028. Hobart: CSIRO; 2009.
- 9. Brill RW, Dewar H, Graham JB. Basic concepts relevant to heat transfer in fshes, and their use in measuring the physiological thermoregulatory abilities of tunas. Environ Biol Fishes. 1994;40:109–24.
- 10. Brill RW, Block BA, Boggs CH, Biegelow KA, Freund EV, Marcinek DJ. Horizontal movements and depth distribution of large adult yellowfn tuna (Thunnus albacares) near the Hawaiian Islands, recorded using ultrasonic telemetry: implications for the physiological ecology of pelagic fshes. Mar Biol. 1999;133:395–408.
- 11. Brown CE, Muir BS. Analysis of ram ventilation of fsh gills with application to skipjack tuna (Katsuwonus pelamis). J Fish Res Bd Can. 1970;27:1637–52.
- 12. Brown RS, Eppard MB, Murchie KJ, Nielsen JL, Cooke SJ. An introduction to the practical and ethical perspectives on the need to advance and standardize the intracoelomic surgical implantation of electronic tags in fsh. Rev Fish Biol Fish. 2011;21:1–9.
- 13. Brownscombe JW, Ledee EJI, Raby GD, Struthers DP, Gutowsky LFG, Nguyen VM, et al. Conducting and interpreting fsh telemetry studies: considerations for researchers and resource managers. Rev Fish Biol Fish. 2019;29:369–400.
- 14. Espinoza et al. Intra-specifc variation in movement and habitat connectivity of a mobile predator revealed byacoustic telemetry and network analyses. Marine Biology. 2021;168:80
- 15. Bushnell PG, Brill RW. Responses of swimming skipjack (Katsuwonus pelamis) and yellowfn (Thunnus albacares) tunas to acute hypoxia, and a model of their cardiorespiratory function. Physiol Zool. 1991;64:887–911.
- 16. Carey FG, Lawson KD. Temperature regulation in free-swimming bluefn tuna. Comp Biochem Physiol A Comp Physiol. 1973;44:375–92.
- 17. Carter KM, Woodley CM, Brown RS. A review of tricaine methanesulfonate for anesthesia of fsh. Rev Fish Biol Fish. 2011;21:51–9.
- 18. Chinnadurai SK, Strahl-Heldreth D, Fiorello CV, Harms CA. Best-practice guidelines for feld-based surgery and anesthesia of free-ranging wildlife I. Anesthesia and analgesia. J Wildl Dis. 2016;52:14-S27.
- 19. Chittenden CM, Butterworth KG, Cubitt KF, Jacobs MC, Ladouceur A, Welch DW, et al. Maximum tag to body size rations for an endangered coho salmon (O. kisutch) stock based on physiology and performance. Environ Bio Fish. 2009;84:129–40.
- 20. Chomyshyn L, McConnachie SH, Cooke SJ. Evaluation of water entry into the coelom and diferent levels of aseptic technique during surgical implantation of electronic tags in freshwater fsh. Rev Fish Biol Fish. 2011;21:61–70.
- 21. Cook KV, Lennox RJ, Hinch SG, Cooke SJ. Fish out of water: How much air is too much. Fisheries. 2015;40:452–61.
- 22. Cooke SJ, Graeb BDS, Suski CD, Ostrand KG. Efects of suture material on incision healing, growth and survival of juvenile largemouth bass implanted with miniature radio transmitters: case study of a novice and experience fsh surgeon. J Fish Biol. 2003;62:1366–80.
- 23. Cooke SJ, Wagner GN. Training, experience, and opinions of researchers who use surgical techniques to implant telemetry devices into fsh. Fisheries. 2004;29:10–8.
- 24. Cooke SJ, Woodley CM, Eppard MB, Brown RS, Nielsen JL. Advancing the surgical implantation of electronic tags in fsh: a gap analysis and research agenda based on a review of trends in intracoelomic tagging efects studies. Rev Fish Biol Fish. 2011;21:127–51.
- 25. Cooke SJ, Wagner GN, Brown RS, Deters KA. Training considerations for a intracoelomic implantation of electronic tags in fsh with a summary of common surgical errors. Rev Fish Biol Fish. 2011;21:11–24.
- 26. Cooke SJ, Wilson ADM, Elvidge CK, Lennox RJ, Jepsen N, Colotelo AH, et al. Ten practical realities for institutional animal care and use committees when evaluating protocols dealing with fsh in the feld. Rev Fish Biol Fish. 2016;26:123–33.
- 27. CSIRO. CSIRO code of practice for tagging marine animals. Australia: CSIRO; 2015.
- 28. Dagorn L, Bach P, Josse E, Movement patterns of large bigeye tuna (Thunnus obesus) in the open ocean, determined using ultrasonic telemetry. Mar Biol. 2000;136:361–71.
- 29. Davis M, Olla B, Schreck C. Stress induced by hooking, net towing, elevated sea water temperature and air in sablefsh: lack of concordance between mortality and physiological measures of stress. J Fish Biol. 2001;58:1–15.
- 30. Dickson K. Tunas as small as 207mm fork length can elevate muscle temperatures signifcantly above ambient water temperature. J Exp Biol. 1994;190:79–93.
- 31. Dizon AE, Brill RW. Thermoregulation in yellowfn tuna. Thunnus Albacares Physiol Zool. 1979;52:581–93.
- 32. Evans K, Langley A, Clear NP, Williams P, Patterson T, Sibert J, et al. Behaviour and habitat preferences of bigeye tuna (Thunnus obesus) and their infuence on longline fshery catches in the western Coral Sea. Can J Fish Aquat Sci. 2008;65:2427–43.
- 33. Forget FG, Capello M, Filmalter JD, Govinden R, Soria M, Cowley PD, Dagorn L. Behaviour and vulnerability of target and non-target species at drifting fsh aggregating devices (FADs) in the tropical tuna purse seine fshery determined by acoustic telemetry. Can J Fish Aquat Sci. 2015;72:1398–405.
- 34. Forget F, Cowley PD, Capello M, Filmalter JD, Dagorn L. Drifting along in the open-ocean: The associative behaviour of oceanic triggerfish and rainbow runner with foating objects. Mar Environ Res. 2020;161: 104994.
- 35. Gallagher AJ, Serafy JE, Cooke SJ, Hammerschlag N. Physiological stress response, reflex impairment, and survival of five sympatric shark species following experimental capture and release. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2014;496:207–18.
- 36. Godin J-GJ. Anti-predator function of shoaling in teleost fshes: a selective review. Nat Can. 1986;113:241–50.
- 37. Govinden R, Capello M, Forget F, Filmalter JD, Dagorn L. Behavior of skipjack (Katsuwonus pelamis), yellowfn (Thunnus albacares), and bigeye (T. obsesus) tunas associated with drifting fsh aggregating devices

(dFADs) in the Indian Ocean, assessed through acoustic telemetry. Fish Oceanogr. 2021;30:542–55.

- 38. Grans A, Sandblom E, Kiessling A, Axelsson M. Post-surgical analgesia in rainbow trout: is reduced cardioventilatory activity a sign of improved animal welfare or the adverse efects of an opoid drug. PLoS ONE. 2013;9: e95283.
- 39. Gunn J, Polacheck T, Davis T, Sherlock M, Betlehem A. The development and use of archival tags for studying the migration, behaviour and physiology of southern bluefn tuna, with an assessment of the potential for transfer of the technology to groundfsh research. Proc ICES Symp Fish Migration. 1994;1994(21):1–23.
- 40. Harmon TS. Methods for reducing stressors and maintaining water quality associated with live fsh transport in tanks: a review of the basics. Rev Aquac. 2009;1:58–66.
- 41. Harms CA, Lewbart GA. Surgery in fsh. In: Bennett RA, editor. Veterinary clinics of North America: exotic animal practice. New York: Saunders; 2000. p. 759–74.
- 42. Hart LG, Summerfelt RC. Surgical procedures for implanting ultrasonic transmitters into fathead catfsh (Pylodictis olivaris). Trans Am Fish Soc. 1975;104:56–9.
- 43. Holland KN, Brill RW, Chang RKC. Horizontal and vertical movements of yellowfn and bigeye tuna associated with fsh aggregating devices Fishery Bull. Fish Wildl Serv. 1990;88:493–507.
- 44. Holland KN, Brill RW, Chang RKC, Sibert JR, Fournier DA. Physiological and behavioral thermoregulation in bigeye tuna (Thunnus obesus). Nature. 1992;358:110–2.
- 45. Hoyle SD, Leroy B, Nicol S, Hampton J. Covariates of release mortality and tag loss in large-scale tuna tagging experiments. Fish Res. 2015;163:106–18.
- 46. International organization for standardization [https://www.iso.org/](https://www.iso.org/standards.html) [standards.html](https://www.iso.org/standards.html) Accessed 17 Aug 2022.
- 47. Javahery S, Nekoubin H, Moradlu AH. Efect of anaesthesia with clove oil in fsh (review). Fish Physiol Biochem. 2012;38:1545–52.
- 48. Jepsen N, Koed A, Thorstad EB, Bara E. Surgical implantation of telemetry transmitters in fsh: how much have we learned? Hydrobiologia. 2002;483:239–48.
- 49. Jepsen N, Boutrup TS, Midwood JD, Koed A. Does the level of asepsis impact the success of surgically implanting tags in Atlantic salmon? Fish Res. 2013;147:344–8.
- 50. Jepsen N, Liedtke TL, Weber IIIEPS. Surgical insertion of transmitters and telemetry methods in fsheries research. Am J Vet Res. 2014;75:402–16.
- 51. Kessel ST, Hussey NE. Tonic immobility as an anaesthetic for elasmobranchs during surgical implantation procedures. Can J Fish Aquat Sci. 2015;72:1287–91.
- 52. Klimley PA, Holloway CF. School fdelity and homing synchronicity of yellowfn tuna. Thunnus albacares Mar Biol. 1999;133:307–17.
- 53. Kneebone J, Chisholm J, Bernal D, Skomal G. The physiological efects of capture stress, recovery, and post-release survivorship of juvenile sand tigers (*Carcharias taurus*) caught on rod and reel. Fish Res. 2013;147:103–14.
- 54. Le Pichon C, Coustillas J, Rochard E. Using a multi-criteria approach to assess post-release recovery periods in behavioural studies: study of a fsh telemetry project in the seine Estuary. Anim Biotelemetry. 2015;3:30.
- 55. Leroy B, Nicol S, Lewis A, Hampton J, Kolody D, Caillot S, et al. Lessons learned from implementing three, large-scale tuna tagging programmes in the western and central Pacifc Ocean. Fish Res. 2015;163:22–33.
- 56. Magnuson JJ. Comparative study of adaptations for continuous swimming and hydrostatic equilibrium of scombroid and xiphoid fshes Fishery Bull. Fish Wildl Serv. 1973;71:337–56.
- 57. Magnuson JJ. Locomotion by scombrid fshes: Hydromechanics, morphology and behavior. In: Hoar WS, Randall DJ, editors. Fish Physiology, vol. VII. New York: Academic Press; 1978. p. 240–315.
- 58. Marshall M, Filed L, Afadata A, Sepulveda C, Skomal G, Bernal D. Hematological indicators of stress in longline-captured sharks. Comp Biochem Physiol Part A Mol Integr Physiol. 2012;162:121–9.
- 59. Matsumoto T, Kitagawa T, Kimura S. Vertical behavior of juvenile yellowfn tuna Thunnus albacares in the southwestern part of Japan based on archival tagging. Fish Sci. 2013;79:417–24.
- 60. McLean MF, Litvak MK, Stoddard EM, Cooke SJ, Patterson DA, Hinch SG, et al. Linking environmental factors with refex action mortality predictors, physiological stress, and post-release movement behaviour to evaluate the response of white sturgeon (Acipense transmontanus Richardson, 1836) to catch-and-release angling. Comp Biochem Physiol Part A Mol Integr Physiol. 2020;240: 110618.
- 61. Muir JA, Barker RJ, Hutchinson MR, Leroy BM, Nicol SJ, Scutt PJ. Estimating post-release mortality of long-line caught tropical tunas in the Pacifc Ocean. Fish Res. 2022;249: 106194.
- 62. Mulcahy DM. Surgical implantation of transmitters in fsh. ILAR J. 2003;44:295–306.
- 63. Mulcahy DM. Legal, ethical and procedural bases for the use of aseptic techniques to implant electronic devices. J Fish Wildl Manag. 2013;4:211–9.
- 64. Mulcahy DM. Experimental methods fail to address the questions posed in studies of surgical techniques. Fish Res. 2014;156:1–5.
- 65. Mulcahy DM, Jepsen N, Aarestrup K, Cooke SJ. Tagging fsh in the feld: ethical and procedural considerations a comment to the recent paper of D.Mulchhy; Legal, ethical and procedural bases for the use of aseptic techniques to implant electronic devices. J Fish Wildl Manag. 2014;5:445–9.
- 66. Nakamura EL. Development and uses of facilities for studying tuna behavior. In: Winn HE, Olla BL, editors. Behavior of marine animals: current perspectives in research. New York: Vertebrates; 1972.
- 67. National Health and Medical Research Council. Australian code for the care and use of animals for scientifc purposes. 8th ed. Canberra: National Health and Medical Research Council; 2013.
- 68. Neifer DL, Stamper AM. Fish sedation, anesthesia, analgesia, and euthanasia: considerations, methods, and types of drugs. ILAR J. 2009;50:343–60.
- 69. Niella YV, Duarte LAG, Bandeira VR, Crespo O, Beare D, Hazin FHV. Cookie-cutter shark Isistius spp. predation upon diferent tuna species from the south-western Atlantic Ocean. J Fish Biol. 2018;92:1082–9.
- 70. Nielsen JL, Arrizabalaga H, Fragoso N, Hobday A, Lutcavage M, Sibert J. Tagging and tracking of marine animals with electronic devices Reviews: methods and technologies. Fish Biol Fish. 2009;9:112.
- 71. Nunes DM, Hazin FHV, Branco-Nunes ISL, Hazin H, Pachero JC, Afonso AS, et al. Survivorship of species caught in a longline tuna fshery in the western equatorial Atlantic Ocean. Lat Am J Aquat Res. 2019;47:798–807.
- 72. Papastamatiou YP, Wetherbee BM, O'Sullivan J, Goodmanlowe GD, Lowe CG. Foraging ecology of Cookiecutter Sharks (*Isistius brasiliensis*) on pelagic fshes in Hawaii, inferred from prey bite wounds. Environ Biol Fish. 2010;88:361–8.
- 73. Patterson H. Hansen S. Post-release survival in tuna and tuna-like species in longline fsheries. CCSBT ExtendedScientifc Committee for the 19th Meeting of the CCSBT Scientifc Committee. 2014, Working Paper CCSBTESC/1409/14.
- 74. Pitcher TJ. Functions of shoaling behaviour in teleosts. In: Picher TJ, editor. The behavior of teleost fshes. Boston: Springer; 1986. p. 294–337.
- 75. Portz DE, Woodley CM, Cech JJ Jr. Stress-associated impacts of shortterm holding on fshes. Rev Fish Biol Fisheries. 2006;16:125–70.
- 76. Putland R, Rogers L, Giuffrida B, Mensinger A. Anesthetic effects of AQUI-S 20E®(eugenol) on the aferent neural activity of the oyster toadfsh (Opsanus tau). Fish Physiol Biochem. 2020;46:2213–26.
- 77. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2021.
- 78. Redman GD, Owen SF, Murrell JC, Knowles TG. Do fsh perceive anaesthetics as aversive? PlosOne. 2013;8: e73773.
- 79. Rogers NJ, Urbina MA, Reardon EE, McKenzie DJ, Wilson RW. A new analysis of hypoxia tolerance in fshes using a database of critical oxygen level (P_{crit}). Conserv Physiol. 2016;4:cow012.
- 80. Schaefer KM, Fuller DW. Vertical movements, behavior, and habitat of bigeye tuna (Thunnus obesus) in the equatorial eastern Pacifc Ocean, ascertained from archival tag data. Mar Biol. 2010;157:2625–42.
- 81. Schaefer KM, Fuller DW. Simultaneous behavior of skipjack (Katsuwonus pelamis), bigeye (Thunnus obsesus), and yellowfn (T. albacares) tunas, within large multi-species aggregations associated with drifting fsh aggregating devices (FADs) in the equatorial eastern Pacifc Ocean. Mar Biol. 2013;160:3005–14.
- 82. Schaefer K, Fuller D, Hampton J, Caillot S, Leroy B, Itano D. Movements, dispersion, and mixing of bigeye tuna (Thunnus obesus) tagged and released in the equatorial Central Pacifc Ocean, with conventional and archival tags. Fish Res. 2015;161:336–55.
- 83. Schlenker LS, Latour RJ, Brill RW, Graves JE. Physiological stress and post-release mortality of white marlin (Kajikia albida) caught in the United States recreational fshery. Conserv Physiol. 2016;4:cov066.
- 84. Trushenski JT, Bowker JD, Cooke SJ, Erdahl D, Bell T, MacMillan JR, et al. Issues regarding the use of sedatives in fsheries and the need for immediate-release options. Trans Am Fish Soc. 2013;142:156–70.
- 85. Scholey VP, Margulies D, Wexler JB, Stein MS. Captive culture of yellowfn tuna Thunnus albacares for research and investigation. World Aquaculture Magazine. 2013;44:55–8.
- 86. Schreck CB, Tort L. The concept of stress in fsh. In: Schreck CB, Tort L, Farrell AP, Brauner CJ, editors. Biology of stress in fish Fish Physiology. Amsterdam: Elsevier; 2016.
- 87. Scutt Phillips J, Patterson TA, Leroy B, Pilling GM, Nicol SJ. Objective classifcation of latent behavioral states in bio-logging data using multivariate-normal hidden Markov models. Ecol Appl. 2015;25:1244–58.
- 88. Scutt Phillips J, Pilling GM, Leroy B, Evans K, Usu T, Lam CH, et al. Revisiting the vulnerability of juvenile bigeye (Thunnus obesus) and yellowfn (T. albacares) tuna caught by purse-seine fsheries while associating with surface waters and floating objects. PLoS ONE. 2017;12:0179045.
- 89. Sibert JR, Nielsen JL. Electronic tagging and tracking in marine fsheries reviews: methods and technologies in fish biology and fisheries. Dordrecht: Khwer Academic Pubksihers; 2001.
- 90. Skomal G. Evaluating the physiological and physical consequences of capture on post-release survivorship in large pelagic fshes. Fish Manag Ecol. 2007;14:81–9.
- 91. Summerfelt RC, Smith LS. Anesthesia, surgery, and related techniques. In: Schreck CB, Moyle PB, editors. Methods for fsh biology. Bethesda: Maryland: American Fisheries Society; 1990. p. 213–63.
- 92. Thiem JD, Taylor MK, McConnachie SH, Binder TR, Cooke SJ. Trends in the reporting of tagging procedures for fsh telemetry studies that have used surgical implantation of transmitters: a call for more complete reporting. Rev Fish Biol Fish. 2011;21:117–26.
- 93. Thorsteinsson V. Tagging methods for stock assessment and research in fsheries report of concerted action FAIR CT.96.1394 (CATAG) Reykjavik. Marine Res Inst Tech Rep. 2002;79:179.
- 94. Tracey SR, Hartmann K, Leef M, McAllister J. Capture-induced physiological stress and postrelease mortality for Southern bluefn tuna (Thunnus maccoyii) from a recreational fshery. Can J Fish Aquat Sci. 2016;73:1547–56.
- 95. Travassos M, Forget F, Capello M, David J, Hutchinson M, Itano D, Holland K, Dagorn L. Association dynamics of tuna and purse seine bycatch species with drifting fsh aggregating devices (FADs) in the tropical eastern Atlantic Ocean. Fish Res. 2020;226:105521.
- 96. Wagner GN, Stevens D, Byrne P. Efects of suture type and patterns on surgical wound healing in rainbow trout. Trans Am Fish Soc. 2000;129:1196–205.
- 97. Wagner GN, Cooke SJ. Methodological approaches and opinions of researchers involved in the surgical implantation of telemetry transmitters in fsh. J Aquat Anim Health. 2005;17:160–9.
- 98. Wagner GN, Cooke SJ, Brown RS, Deters KA. Surgical implantation techniques for electronic tags in fsh. Rev Fish Biol Fisheries. 2011;21:71–81.
- 99. Walker RW, Brown RS, Deters KA, Eppard MB, Cooke SJ. Does UV disinfection compromise sutures? An evaluation of tissue response and suture retention in salmon surgically implanted with transmitters. Fish Res. 2013;147:32–5.
- 100. Wargo Rub AM, Jepsen N, Liedtke TL, Moser ML, Weber SP III. Surgical insertion of transmitters and telemetry methods in fsheries research. Am J Vet Res. 2014;75:402–16.
- 101. Winter JD. Underwater biotelemetry. In: Nielsen LA, Johnson DL, editors. Fisheries techniques. Bethesda: American Fisheries Society; 1983.
- 102. Winter JD. Advances in Underwater biotelemetry. In: Murphy BR, Willis DW, editors. Fisheries Techniques. 2nd ed. Bethesda: American Fisheries Society; 1996. p. 555–90.
- 103. Yuen HSH. Behavior of skipjack tuna, Katsuwonus pelamis, as determined by tracking with ultrasonic devices. J Fish Res Bd Canada. 1970;27:2071–9.
- 104. Zahl IH, Samuelsen O, Kiessling A. Anaesthesia of farmed fsh: implications for welfare. Fish Physiol Biochem. 2012;38:201–18.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- **•** fast, convenient online submission
- **•** thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- **•** gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- **•** maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

