

# Effect of glucose substitution by low-molecular weight chitosan-derivatives on functional, structural and antioxidant properties of maillard reaction-crosslinked chitosan-based films

Sawsan Affes, Hana Maalej, Suming Li, Rania Abdelhedi, Rim Nasri, Moncef

Nasri

## ▶ To cite this version:

Sawsan Affes, Hana Maalej, Suming Li, Rania Abdelhedi, Rim Nasri, et al.. Effect of glucose substitution by low-molecular weight chitosan-derivatives on functional, structural and antioxidant properties of maillard reaction-crosslinked chitosan-based films. Food Chemistry, 2022, 366, pp.130530. 10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.130530 . hal-03930101

# HAL Id: hal-03930101 https://hal.umontpellier.fr/hal-03930101v1

Submitted on 11 Jan2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## **Food Chemistry**

# Effect of glucose substitution by low-molecular weight chitosan-derivatives on functional, structural and antioxidant properties of Maillard reaction-crosslinked chitosan-based films --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	FOODCHEM-D-20-08971R2
Article Type:	Research Article (max 7,500 words)
Keywords:	Chitosan; Chito-oligosaccharides; Films; Maillard reaction conditions; Physicochemical characterization; antioxidant potential
Corresponding Author:	hana maalej, Assistant Professor National School of Engineering of Sfax Sfax, TUNISIA
First Author:	Sawsan Affes, Doctor
Order of Authors:	Sawsan Affes, Doctor
	hana maalej, Assistant Professor
	Suming Li, Professor
	Rania Abdelhedi, Doctor
	Rim Nasri, Assistant professor
	Moncef Nasri, Professor
Abstract:	In this study, the effects of different temperatures, incubation times and types of reducing sugars, including glucose and different low molecular weight (Mw) chito- oligosaccharides (COS) with varying acetylation degree (AD), on the extent of Maillard reaction (MR) on chitosan-based films were studied. Interestingly, an improvement of structural and functional properties of all MR-crosslinked films was noted, which is more pronounced by heating at higher temperature and exposure time. These findings were proved through FTIR and X-ray diffraction analyses. In addition, color change and Ultraviolet spectra demonstrate that glucose addition provides the high extent of MR, followed by COS1 and COS2. These results were confirmed by enhanced water resistance and thermal properties. Moreover, MR-chitosan/COS films showed the highest mechanical properties, whereas, glucose-loaded films were brittle, as demonstrated by scanning electron microscopy micrographs. Furthermore, MR-chitosan/COS1 films exhibited the better antioxidant behavior followed by chitosan/glucose and chitosan/COS2 films, mainly at higher heating-conditions.

## Highlights

- Maillard reaction (MR) was induced in chitosan-glucose and chitosan/chitooligosaccharides films;
- The type of reducing sugar involved in MR had a great impact on its extent;
- MR rate was influenced by temperature and heating time;
- Functional and structural properties of films were modified by heat treatments.
- Antioxidant properties were higly enhanced by the MR products.

24	Effect of glucose substitution by low-molecular weight chitosan-derivatives on
25	functional, structural and antioxidant properties of Maillard reaction-crosslinked
26	chitosan-based films
27	
27	
28	Sawsan Affes <sup>1</sup> , Hana Maalej <sup>1,2*</sup> , Suming Li <sup>3</sup> , Rania Abdelhedi <sup>4</sup> , Rim Nasri <sup>1,5</sup> , Moncef
29	Nasri <sup>1</sup>
30	
31	$^1$ Laboratory of Enzyme Engineering and Microbiology, National School of Engineering of Sfax
32	(ENIS), University of Sfax, P.O. Box 1173, Sfax 3038, Tunisia.
33	<sup>2</sup> Department of Life Sciences, Faculty of Science of Gabes, Omar Ibn Khattab Street, Gabes
34	6029, Tunisia.
35	<sup>3</sup> Institut Européen des Membranes, IEM-UMR 5635, Univ Montpellier, ENSCM, CNRS, 34095
36	Montpellier, France.
37	<sup>4</sup> Laboratory of Molecular and Cellular Screening Processes, Centre of Biotechnology of Sfax,
38	University of Sfax, Route Sidi Mansour, Po Box 1177, 3018 Sfax, Tunisia.
39	<sup>5</sup> Higher Institute of Biotechnology of Monastir, University of Monastir, Rue Taher Haddad,
40	Monastir 5000, Tunisia.
44	
41	
42	* Corresponding author: Hana Maalej
43	Address: B.P.68, 3021 Sfax, Tunisia
44	Tel: +216-20-234699; fax: +216-74-275-595.
45	E-mail address: hannou25@yahoo.fr
46	

#### 47 Abstract

In this study, the effects of different temperatures, incubation times and types of reducing 48 sugars, including glucose and different low molecular weight (Mw) chito-oligosaccharides 49 (COS) with varying acetylation degree (AD), on the extent of Maillard reaction (MR) on 50 chitosan-based films were studied. Interestingly, an improvement of structural and functional 51 properties of all MR-crosslinked films was noted, which is more pronounced by heating at 52 higher temperature and exposure time. These findings were proved through Fourier-transform 53 54 infrared and X-ray diffraction analyses. In addition, color change and Ultraviolet spectra demonstrate that glucose addition provides the high extent of MR, followed by COS1 (Mw < 55 4.4 kDa; AD, 18.20%) and COS2 (Mw < 4.4 kDa; AD, 10.63%). These results were confirmed 56 57 by enhanced water resistance and thermal properties. Moreover, MR-chitosan/COS films 58 showed the highest mechanical properties, whereas, glucose-loaded films were brittle, as demonstrated by scanning electron microscopy micrographs. Furthermore, MR-chitosan/COS1 59 60 films exhibited the better antioxidant behavior followed by chitosan/glucose and chitosan/COS2 films, mainly at higher heating-conditions. Thereby, MR-crosslinked 61 chitosan/COS based films were attractive to be applied as functional and active coating-62 63 materials in various fields.

#### 64 Keywords:

Chitosan; Chito-oligosaccharides; Films; Maillard reaction conditions; Physicochemical
characterization; antioxidant potential.

67

68

69

#### 70 **1. Introduction**

71 Nowadays, many research has been made to develop natural, biodegradable and non-toxic bio-based packaging to enhance the shelf-life of fresh or packaged commercialized food as 72 alternative to synthetic packaging, which are the main cause of environmental pollution 73 74 (Galiano et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2019). Indeed, coating material should protect food from all pathogenic contamination, oxidation and drying and perfectly prevent the Ultraviolet (UV) 75 radiation. For this reason, several polymers such as polysaccharides and proteins were widely 76 77 used for biofilm preparation (Affes et al., 2020; Etxabide, Urdanpilleta, Guerrero, & de la Caba, 2015; Gennadios, Handa, Froning, Weller & Hanna, 1998; Hamdi, Nasri, Ben Azaza, Li, & 78 79 Nasri, 2020; Kchaou, Benbettaïeb, Jridi, Nasri, & Debeaufort, 2019). Thanks to its film-forming 80 properties, antioxidant and antimicrobial activities, chitosan-based film has received growing 81 interest to be applied in different fields including food, biotechnology, cosmetics and biomedical domains (Aranaz et al., 2018; Chang et al., 2019; Hajji, Younes, Affes, Boufi, & 82 83 Nasri, 2018; Li, Lin, & Chen, 2014).

The physicochemical properties of chitosan films were depended on chitosan 84 characteristics mainly its molecular weight (Mw), acetylation degree (AD) and 85 86 depolymerization degree (Affes et al., 2021; Hamdi et al., 2019; Leceta, Guerrero, & de la Caba, 2013a; Li et al., 2014). All these parameters should be taken into account to choose the better 87 film formulation that gives good barrier properties against light and water, mechanical 88 resistance and biological activities. Further, in order to enhance chitosan film characteristics 89 90 several chemical, physical and enzymatic modifications methods were envisaged to enlarge their domain of applications including Maillard reaction (MR), heat treatment and the 91 92 combination of two polymers (Affes et al., 2020c; Fernández-de Castro et al., 2016; Gullón et al., 2016; Hajji et al., 2018; Leceta, Guerrero, Ibarburu, Dueñas, & de la Caba, 2013b). Given 93

94 the good antioxidant and antibacterial activities of MR products (MRP) in food industry, their
95 use as preservatives is attracting increasing interest (Gullón et al., 2016; Hamdi et al., 2020).

Maillard reaction is a non-enzymatic crosslinking complex biochemical process, which 96 is done by heat treatment of amino acids in the presence of reducing sugars, and that produces 97 fluorescent, brown final MRP named melanoidins with high biological properties (Affes et al., 98 2020c; Etxabide, Urdanpilleta, Gómez-Arriaran, de la Caba, & Guerrero, 2017; Sun et al., 2017; 99 Li et al., 2014). Three stages in the MR are usually considered. The initial products are called 100 Schiff bases. They form Amadori products via rearrangement, which undergo further reactions 101 to form irreversible advanced glycation end products (Kchaou et al., 2018). Having amino 102 103 groups makes chitosan a candidate to react with the carbonyl group of reducing sugars, and allows it to participate in the MR (Gullón et al., 2016; Li et al., 2014). The rate of this chemical 104 reaction is influenced by many factors, including the type, the concentration and the ratio of 105 106 carbonyl/amino groups, pH, temperature, time of exposure and water activity (Hamdi et al., 2020; Kchaou et al., 2018; Kchaou et al., 2019; Gullón et al., 2016; Xu, Huang, Xu, Liu, & 107 108 Xiao, 2019).

109 In a previous study (Affes et al., 2020c), the factor amino-containing compound was investigated using chitosan and high Mw-chitosan-depolymerization-products (CDP) (1244.7, 110 482.03 and 163.56 kDa), while maintaining constant the same added-carbonyl-containing 111 112 compound, which is glucose at a concentration of 0.5 mg/ml, in all films' formulations. Changes undergone by crosslinking and MR development, after heat-treatment during 24 h at 90 °C, 113 demonstrated better functional, thermal and mechanical properties in higher Mw chitosan-based 114 films. Although many studies, dealing with MR crosslinked-chitosan-based films, have been 115 achieved and the factors affecting the reaction, including characteristics (Mw and AD of 116 chitosan) and concentration of amino groups donors, pH and water activity, have been 117 evaluated, there are no many previous reports on the effect of the others factors, particularly the 118

nature of reducing sugars (Fernández-de Castro et al., 2016; Gullón et al., 2016; Kosaraju et al.,
2010; Li et al., 2014). Thus, in the present work, chitosan was used as constant aminocontaining compound and other parameters affecting MR development were studied.

The present research aims to evaluate the effects of different heating conditions, including temperature and incubation time, and types of reducing sugars (glucose and different low molecular weight (Mw) chito-oligosaccharides (COS) with varying acetylation degree (AD)), at a concentration of 5 mg/ml, on the extent of MR on chitosan-based films. To this end, chitosan, chitosan/glucose and chitosan/COS-based films were thermally treated at 90 and 110 °C and for 6 and 24 h and their functional, structural, thermal, mechanical and antioxidant properties were compared.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study dealing with the use of low-Mw COS, having different acetylation degree, as carbonyl-containing compounds, to develop MR on chitosan-based films, to optimize films crosslinking conditions, as well as to correlate MR rate with resulted films physico-chemical and antioxidant properties.

#### 133 2. Materials and methods

#### 134 **2.1. Materials**

Shrimp chitosan (Ch) (Molecular weight (Mw), 1244.70 kDa; Acetylation degree (AD), 135  $7.60 \pm 0.54\%$ ) was prepared as described in our previous study (Affes et al., 2019) and 136 employed as filmogenic biopolymer for films preparation. Two different chito-oligosaccharides 137 (COS) were prepared by chitosan hydrolysis during 24 h using the chitosanolytic crude extract 138 139 from *Portunus segnis* blue crab viscera (pH 4.0, 40 °C and E/S ratio = 100 U/g chitosan) (Affes et al., 2019) and the chitosanolytic preparation from Bacillus licheniformis strain (pH 5.0, 50 140 °C and E/S ratio = 70 U/g chitosan) (Affes et al., 2020b), respectively. The mixtures 141 chitosan/chitosanases were incubated at appropriate conditions and the obtained soluble parts 142

were freeze-dried, characterized by using steric exclusion chromatography (SEC-HPLC) and
the first derivative Ultraviolet (UV) spectrophotometric method and referred as COS1 (Mw, <</li>
4.4 kDa; AD, 18.20 ± 1.19%) and COS2 (Mw, < 4.4 kDa; AD, 10.63 ± 0.17%) using the</li>
digestive and bacterial chitosanases, respectively.

147 COS1, COS2 and D (+) anhydrous-glucose (Glu) ( $C_6H_{12}O_6$ ; 180 g mol<sup>-1</sup>) were used as 148 reducing sugars to initiate the Maillard Reaction (MR) in chitosan-based films. Anydrous 149 glycerol was purchased from Fluka (98% purity, Fluka Chemical, Germany) and used as 150 plasticizer for the films. All other reagents were of analytic grade.

151 **2.2.** Films preparation

Films forming solutions (FFS) were prepared by dissolving chitosan (10 mg/ml) in acetic 152 acid (1%, v/v). Then, three categories of films were prepared by the addition of three different 153 154 reducing sugars to promote MR development; glucose, COS1 and COS2, at a concentration of 5 mg/ml. Glycerol was added as a plasticizer to the solutions at a concentration of 15% (w/w 155 dry chitosan matter) and the FFS were maintained under stirring for 30 min. Subsequently, a 156 volume of 34.0 ml of each FFS was cast in Polystyrene Petri dishes (13.5 x 13.5 cm diameter) 157 and left to dry for 48 h at 25 °C. Dried films were manually peeled off from the surface and 158 non-heated films were considered as blank and referred as F1 (Ch), F2 (Ch-Glu), F3 (Ch-COS1) 159 160 and F4 (Ch-COS2).

All prepared films were then conditioned at 25 °C and 50% relative humidity (RH) before analyses, except for Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), X-ray diffraction (XRD) and thermogravimetric analyses (TGA), films were equilibrated at 0% RH.

164 2.3. Heating treatment of films

165 Then, to induce MR, the films (with or without reducing sugar) were heated in an oven 166 at two different temperatures (90  $\pm$  2 and 110  $\pm$  2 °C) and for different incubation times (6 and 167 24 h). The films heated at 90 °C during 6 and 24 h were named F1-90-6 h, F1-90-24 h, F2-90168 6 h, F2-90-24 h, F3-90-6 h, F3-90-24 h, F4-90-6 h and F4-90-24h, respectively for F1, F2, F3
169 and F4. While, the films heated at 110 °C were named F1-110-6 h, F1-110-24 h, F2-110-6 h,
170 F2-110-24 h, F3-110-6 h, F3-110-24 h, F4-110-6 h and F4-110-24h for F1, F2, F3 and F4
171 respectively.

#### 172 2.4. Physicochemical characterization of the films

#### 173 **2.4.1.** Color properties

174 Color development was studied using a CIE colorimeter (CR-5, Konica Minolta, Sensing 175 Europe B.V). Color of the films was expressed as L\* (lightness/brightness), a\* (greenness/ 176 redness) and b\* (blueness/yellowness). The total color change ( $\Delta E^*$ ) of the films was 177 determined as follows:

178 
$$\Delta E = \sqrt{((L^* - L_0^*)^2 + (a^* - a_0^*)^2 + (b^* - b_0^*)^2)} \qquad Eq. (1)$$

where  $L_0^*$ ,  $a_0^*$ ,  $b_0^*$  are the colorimetric parameters of the film F1, as standard, and L\*, a\*, b\* are the values of the modified films.

181 The obtained CIE Lab values were then used to calculate the browning index (BI) as182 mentionned in equation 2:

183 BI = 
$$\frac{(100 \text{ x} (z-0.31))}{0.172}$$
 with  $z = \frac{a^* + 1.75 (L^*)}{5.645 (L^*) + (a^*) - 3.012 (b^*)}$  Eq. (2)

#### 184 **2.4.2. UV-visible light spectroscopy**

The films were cut into rectangles (1.0 x 3.0 cm) and placed in the test cell of an UVvisible (UV-vis) spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-2401PC). Light transmission of the films was determined in the wavelength range from 200 to 800 nm. An empty test cell was used as a reference.

#### 189 **2.4.3.** FTIR-ATR analysis

FTIR spectra of the studied films were assessed using a spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, Cary 630 series) equipped with an attenuated reflection accessory (ATR) containing a diamond/ZnSe crystal, at 25 °C. Data analysis and treatment was carried out by using the OMNIC spectra software (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

194

## 2.4.4. Water content and solubility

The water content (WC) of the films (g <sub>moisture</sub>/100 g <sub>film</sub>), was determined by measuring the weight loss of each film sample (100 mg) after drying in an oven at 105 °C until constant weight was reached according to the following equation:

198 WC (%) = 
$$\frac{(m_i - m_f)}{m_i} \ge 100$$
 Eq. (3)

where m<sub>i</sub> is the initial film weight (g) and m<sub>f</sub> is the final is the final film dry weight (g). Three
samples of each formulation were analyzed.

The solubility of the films in water (WS) was studied according to the Gennadios et al.
(1998) method. WS was calculated as follows:

203 WS (%) = 
$$\frac{[(m_i \times (100 - MC)) - m_f]}{(m_i \times (100 - MC))} \times 100$$
 Eq. (4)

where  $m_i$  is the initial film weight (g),  $m_f$  is the final dry film weight (g) and WC is the water content of each film sample (%). All tests were carried out in triplicate.

#### 206 2.4.5. Water contact angle

The contact angle measurements were measured using the sessile drop method on a GBX equipment (Digidrop, Romans, France), equipped with an image analysis software (Visiodrop). Six measurements per films were carried out. All the tests were conducted in an environmental chamber at 25 ( $\pm$  2) °C and with a relative humidity of 50 ( $\pm$  1) %.

211 **2.4.6.** Film thickness

Film thickness was measured using a micrometer (Digimatic IP65, Mitutoyo, France). 212 Six measurements at different positions were done for each film sample. The mean value was 213 taken into account for mechanical properties parameters calculation. 214

215

#### 2.4.7. Mechanical properties

Tensile strength (TS, MPa) and elongation at break (EAB, %) of the films were 216 determined using a rheometer apparatus (Physica MCR, Anton Paar, GmbH, France) equipped 217 218 with a mechanical properties measuring geometry. Rheoplus software was used for the estimation of TS and EAB, corresponding respectively to the maximum load and the final 219 220 extension at break from the tensile stress vs. strain curves. Six measurements for each films formulation were carried out at 25 °C. 221

#### 2.4.8. Thermal stability analysis 222

The thermal stability of the film was studied, at a temperature range from 30 to 600 °C, 223 using a thermogravimetric analysis (TGA, Q500 High Resolution, TA Istruments). The 224 temperature of maximum degradation ( $T_{max}$ , °C), the weight loss ( $\Delta w$ , %) and final residue at 225 600 °C (%) values were determined using TA Universal Analysis 2000 software (Version 4.5 226 A, TA instruments). 227

#### 2.4.9. X-ray diffraction analysis 228

The crystallinity of the selected films was performed by x-ray diffraction analysis, at  $2\theta$ 229 from 7 to 40°, using a Philips diffractometer equipped with monochromatic Cu K<sub>a</sub> radiation 230 231 source.

#### 232 2.4.10. Films microstructure

The microstructure of the films was assessed by surface and cross-section observations 233 using a scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Hitachi S4800), at different magnifications. 234

10

#### 235 **2.5.** Antioxidant activity

The capacity of film samples (10 mg) to scavenge 2,2'-Azino-bis (3ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS<sup>+</sup>) and 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radicals was studied according to the methods of Re et al. (1999) and Bersuder, Hole, & Smith (1998), respectively. The absorbances of the final solutions were mesured at 734 and 517 nm, after 10 min and 24 h of incubation in the dark, respectively for DPPH and ABTS scavenging activity.

The ability of films (10 mg) to reduce iron (III) was evaluated according to the method described by Yidirim, Mavi, & Kara (2001) with slight modification of the incubation time at 50 °C during 3 h. The absorbance of the final solutions was measured at 700 nm.

The total antioxidant ability of the films (10 mg) to reduce Mo (VI) to Mo (V) and to form a green phosphate/Mo (V) complex at acidic pH was studied as reported by Prieto, Pineda, & Aguilar, (1999). The absorbance was measured at 695 nm. All the experiments were carried out in triplicate.

#### 249 **2.6. Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics v.20. Normal distribution and equality of variance were tested with the Shapiro-Wilk and Levene's tests respectively. Statistically significant differences were then calculated using the Kruskal-Wallis test for nonnormal distributed data with the dunn's post hoc test. Differences were considered significant at p value < 0.05. Standard deviation errors were used to compare all experiments.

- 255 3. Results and discussion
- 256 **3.1. Effect of Maillard reaction on films optical and spectral properties**
- 257 **3.1.1. Films color change**

To evaluate the effect of MR on chitosan-based films, different reducing sugars including 258 glucose and chito-oligosaccharides (COS1 and COS2) were added to the FFS. Films were 259 incubated at 90 and 110 °C for 0, 6 and 24 h to perform MR. During reaction, reducing sugars 260 reacted with amino acid residues of chitosan to form the final MR products (MRP). The final 261 stage of MR was evaluated by color change measurement. Films color was measured using 262 (darkness/whiteness), CIELab scale and L\* a\* (greenness/redness) and b\* 263 (blueness/yellowness) parameters were used to calculate difference in color ( $\Delta E^*$ ) and 264 browning index (BI). 265

Results illustrated in Table 1 showed that L\* values of the control unheated films F1, F3 266 and F4 are similar (p > 0.05). However, it decreased significantly in the film F2 containing 267 glucose (Glu). Further, after thermal treatment of the films, L\* values decreased significantly 268 indicating that these films turn darker. For all the formulations, this decrease was more 269 noticeable after heating at 110 °C, as compared to 90 °C and after incubation time during 24 h 270 in comparison with those incubated for 6 h. Furthermore, the decrease of L\* values was more 271 pronounced in the films containing glucose followed by the films containing COS1 and COS2 272 273 as compared to the films of chitosan. After heating at 90 °C, there are no significant decrease in L\* values of chitosan films without reducing sugar, however, negligible change of L\* values 274 was observed after heating at 110 °C. Darker color of the films indicate the development of 275 276 MR, due to the interaction between chitosan and reducing sugars (Glu or COS), allowing to the production of dark MRP. These brown products produced during the final stage of MR are 277 melanoidins (Affes et al., 2020c). 278

Interestingly, thermal treatment of all the films decreased their a\* values and increased their b\* values, as compared to the control unheated films, turning to green and yellow color region, respectively (p < 0.05). These variations were more significantly pronounced as temperature and heating time increased and in the films containing glucose followed by thefilms containing COS1 and COS2 as compared to chitosan films.

Moreover,  $\Delta E^*$  values, obtained by comparing the color of the films with the film F1, showed a slight difference between the control films more pronounced in the film F2 (3.6 ± 0.90). However, a high significant change of color was obtained in the MR-crosslinked films, which is correlated with the increase of the heating time and temperature and differed toward the used reducing sugar. Indeed, this effect was highest at 110 °C and after 24 h of treatment and when glucose was added as reducing sugar (18.80 ± 0.22), followed by COS1 (11.30 ± 0.34) and COS2 (8.34 ± 0.36) comparing to chitosan film (4.20 ± 0.34).

Besides, the BI, which give an overall evaluation of the progress of the MR according to the development of colored compounds, was measured and results are illustrated in **Table 1**. In all the heated films, the BI values were higher as temperature and incubation time were higher. Further, low BI values were obtained in the treated free-reducing sugar chitosan-based films (2.30  $\pm$  0.39 for F1-110-24 h). However, similarly to the trend of  $\Delta E$ , the BI of heated films conjugated with Glu (120.85  $\pm$  5.27 for F2-110-24 h) was higher than that of chitosan/COS1 (33.79  $\pm$  0.91 for F3-110-24 h) and chitosan/COS2 films (18.01  $\pm$  1.87 for F4-110-24 h).

All of these results indicates that MR was more pronounced for chitosan/Glu films 298 followed by chitosan/COS1 and chitosan/COS2 films, comparing with chitosan film, as 299 300 evidenced by the intensity of the change of color to dark-yellowish. This fact could indicate that severer reactions occurred in the chitosan films conjugated with Glu than in the films 301 containing COS, allowing to the generation of higher amount of melanoidins. Similarly, Xu et 302 303 al. (2019) illustrated that milder color change was obtained for soybean protein isolate (SPI) films conjugated with COS as compared to Glu, implying that COS was less susceptible to the 304 MR with SPI than Glu. Moreover, it appeared that color change was higher in the films 305 containing COS1 than those containing COS2, this fact can be attributed to the difference in 306

depolymerisation degree (DP) allowed by the different method of chitosan depolymerization
despite the higher AD of COS1 (18.20%) than COS2 (10.63%).

309 Furthermore, results demonstrate that the development of MR was more significant when temperature and heating time increased. In this context, Leceta et al. (2013a) reported that color 310 parameters changed notably for chitosan-based films after being heat-treated at 105 °C for 24 311 h, which could mean that the film structure changed. Kchaou et al. (2019) reported that the 312 color change of heated gelatin-glucose films increased as a function of heating temperature 313 indicating better extent of MR of these films at higher temperature. Further, Etxabide et al. 314 (2015) and Kchaou et al. (2018) proved that color change of gelatin-lactose and gelatin-glucose 315 films, respectively, was more pronounced as heating time increased, turning toward dark yellow 316 317 at longer times, due to the production of higher amount of brown melanoidins (Affes et al., 2020c). Darker films, generated after MR development, have stronger barrier ability in the 318 visible region and are advantageous to prevent oxidative deterioration by coating sensitive to 319 light foods (Yang et al., 2015). 320

#### 321 **3.1.2.** Ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) spectroscopy

UV-vis spectroscopy was carried out in the range 200-800 nm in order to analyze the effect of crosslinking as a function of heating time and temperature and using different reducing sugars. Spectra, presented in **Fig. 1A**, **1B**, **1C**, **1D**, showed that the control unheated films had poor barrier properties to light in the UV region, being the preventive effect slightly better for films conjugated with reducing sugars, particularly with glucose.

Further, as can be seen, the absorbance of all the heated films highly increased as function of temperature and heating time. Interestingly, in all cases, the absorbance was higher when the films were heated at 110 °C than 90 °C and after 24 h of reaction time than 6 h. Indeed, the conditions 110 °C - 24 h were more efficient than 110 °C - 6 h followed by 90 °C - 24 h and 90 °C - 6 h to develop high UV absorbance compounds. Furthermore, when chitosan films without reducing sugar were heated a slight increase was obtained, mainly after heating at 110 °C, as compared to F1. This modification was attributed to the caramelisation reaction due to heating of chitosan (Li et al., 2014). Moreover, there was a sharp increase in absorbance (A) in the range of 200 - 500 nm for the heated films F2, F3 and F4, as compared to the control unheated films. This increase was clearly higher in the films F2 conjugated with Glu followed by the films containing COS1 and the films conjugated with COS2. These results are in line with the color change.

The concomitant rise of absorption of the heated films containing reducing sugars indicate 339 the formation of MRP generated by the development of MR, which is a condensation reaction 340 between nitrogen-containing compounds of chitosan and carbonyl group of reducing sugars (Li 341 et al., 2014). The interactions between functional amino and carbonyl groups, present in 342 chitosan and glucose or COS, respectively, are associated to the development of intermediate 343 colorless compounds (Amadori) absorbing at 294 nm, prior to the generation of brown pigments 344 (melanoidins), absorbing at 420 nm, as final MRP (Kchaou et al., 2018; Gullón et al., 2016). 345 346 Results showed that in all cases, the values of absorbance at 294 nm (A<sub>294 nm</sub>) were significantly 347 higher than those obtained at 420 nm (A<sub>420 nm</sub>). Similarly, Kosaraju, Weerakkody, & Augustin, (2010) proved that the thermal treatment of chitosan with the addition of glucose found higher 348 rates of intermediate browning products than final browning products. In addition, they were 349 350 greater when temperature and heating time were higher. Moreover, the absorbance at 280 and 420 nm of the heated films conjugated with glucose were higher than those of the heated films 351 containing COS1 and COS2 comparing to heated chitosan films. This means much more 352 intermediate compounds of the MR and darker color. These results indicated that temperature, 353 incubation time and the type of reducing sugar affected crosslinking by MR extension. In the 354 same context, Gullón et al. (2016) reported that reaction conditions, especially temperature and 355 reaction time, affect the formation of chitosan/glucose derivatives by MR. 356

#### 357 **3.1.3.** Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)

Chemical changes of chitosan film structure, due to reducing sugars addition and MR 358 development at different heating times and temperatures, were studied using FTIR 359 spectroscopy. FTIR spectra presented in Fig. 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D showed that similar characteristic 360 peaks were obtained for all the films. All films spectra revealed the presence of the stretching 361 vibrations of hydroxyl group (O-H), CH<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub> groups, amide I (C=O in the NH-COCH<sub>3</sub> 362 group), amide II (N-H) and C-H bending vibration of CH<sub>2</sub> groups obtained at 3370, 2875, 1630, 363 1550, and 1450 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. These three latter absorption bands showed a shift to a lower 364 wavenumber as compared to chitosan powder, which were 1650, 1562 and 1420 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 365 respectively as described in our previous study (Affes et al., 2019). This shift was due to the 366 367 relaxation of the chains additionally to the effect of glycerol addition and its interaction with 368 chitosan (Affes et al., 2020a ; Fernández-de Castro et al., 2016). Furthermore, for the control films, the intensity of the N-H band obtained at 1550 cm<sup>-1</sup> was higher than the intensity of the 369 band of the carbonyl groups C=O at 1630 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The higher intensity of the amine groups is a 370 result of the presence of activated amine groups (-NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>) generated during the films evaporation 371 372 (Fernández-de Castro et al., 2016).

Furthermore, small shifts of the amide I and amide II peaks from 1630 and 1550 cm<sup>-1</sup> to around 1615 and 1536 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, were observed for all MR-crosslinked films. Indeed, the shift of the absorption peak of the Amide I to 1615 cm<sup>-1</sup> suggests the formation of Schiff base (C=N) between the reducing sugar termination and the chitosan amino groups (Affes et al., 2020c ; Kchaou et al., 2018). The shift of the characteristic peak of the Amide II of MRcrosslinked films was ascribed to the chitosan conformational transformations occurring during the crosslinking reaction between chitosan and reducing sugars.

380 Nevertheless, after thermal treatment of all the films, the intensity of the different bands381 of the spectra decreased as compared to unheated films. This decrease was more pronounced in

the films containing glucose, especially F2-110-24 h, and when the films were heated during higher time (24 h) and at higher temperature (110 °C). These results were in accordance with WS values variation between control and heated films. As well, the difference in the intensity between the 1630 and 1550 cm<sup>-1</sup> absorption bands became smaller. Similarly, Gullón et al. (2016) stated that the intensity of chitosan polymer powder decreased after thermal treatment at 60 °C during 32 h.

All these results proved the crosslinking of the films due to MR development between carbonyl and amine groups thanks to heating treatment. This reaction was more noticeable when reducing sugars were added, especially glucose. These results corroborate with the study of Fernández-de Castro et al. (2016) for heated chitosan and chitosan/COS films. Leceta et al. (2013b) demonstrated also the change in the chemical structure of chitosan films after heat treatment by FTIR spectra variation analysis.

#### 394 **3.2.** Water resistance features of chitosan based films modified by MR

Water sensitivity of the films, which is an important factor in the shelf life of packaging materials, was studied by measurement of moisture content (WC), water solubility (WS) and water contact angle (WCA).

Results illustrated in Table 2 showed that WC values of the control unheated films 398 containing glucose (14.07%), COS1 (13.98%) and COS2 (14.15%) were significantly higher 399 than WC value of chitosan film (12.28%). This is probably due to the humectant character of 400 glucose and to the WC of COS1 and COS2. Additionally, the heat treatment of the different 401 films proved a high decrease of WC values as compared to the control films. This decrease was 402 higher as the temperature and the heating time were higher (p < 0.05). Indeed, heating 403 conditions of 110 °C for 24 h showed the lowest WC values followed by 110 °C-6 h, 90 °C-24 404 h and 90 °C-6 h, for all the films. Furthermore, the highest WC decrease was observed in the 405 406 heated films containing glucose, especially F2-110-24 h (from 14.07 to 9.60%). The decrease

of WC by thermal treatment is due to the interaction between the amino group of chitosan and
the carbonyl group of the different reducing sugars (glucose, COS1 or COS2) through MR.
Similarly, Kchaou et al. (2018) reported that WC values of gelatin films increased with the
addition of glucose and decreased gradually after heating at 90 °C with heating time and glucose
content. Rivero, García, & Pinotti (2012) stated that heating of chitosan film at 100, 160 and
180 °C decreased its moisture content value which is lower at higher temperature.

In addition to its importance to assess the extension of MR, it is crucial to control film solubility to be applied as food coatings, allowing several potential benefits. To this end, films WS was evaluated. As shown in **Table 2**, the addition of glucose, COS1 and COS2 to control unheated chitosan film lead to a significant increase of its WS from 12.12% to 16.19, 18.56 and 19.00%, respectively. The higher WS of chitosan/COS films is due to the total water solubility of the added COS as described in our previous studies (Affes et al., 2019; Affes et al., 2020b).

419 Contrarily, when the films were heat-treated, WS values decreased significantly, as compared to unheated films, and proportionally to the increase of temperature and heating time 420 421 indicating a change in the chemical structure of the films by thermal treatment. The high 422 decrease of WS values in the films containing reducing sugars, especially in the films containing glucose, is due to the development of interactions between amino and carbonyl 423 groups of chitosan and reducing sugars, respectively, induced by temperature, allowing to the 424 425 crosslink of the films through MR. The decrease of free amino groups in film matrix lead to obtain more compact structure less sensitive to water with WS values (Umemura & Kawai, 426 2007). Likewise, Fernández-de Castro et al. (2016) proved that chitosan/oligosaccharide film 427 showed higher WS value than chitosan film and that thermal treatment at 105 °C decreased 428 significantly WS by the development of brown compounds through MR. In addition, Umemura 429 & Kawai (2007) stated that the solubility in acetic acid of chitosan films conjugated with 430 glucose and cellobiose decreased after thermal treatment at 50 °C for 15 h. In this context, 431

Etxabide et al. (2015) reported that the WS of gelatin films decreased with the addition of lactose and that this reduction of WS is more pronounced at higher concentrations of lactose and after treatment at 105 °C for longer time.

Water contact angle (WCA) values are considered as a good indicators of the degree of 435 hydrophilicity of the film surface, being higher when hydrophilicity is lower. The final state of 436 a water drop informs about the surface wettability (Leceta et al., 2013a). The surface properties 437 of the films were evaluated by WCA measurements. Results depicted in Table 2 showed the 438 variation of WCA of chitosan films as a function of temperature, heating time and type of 439 reducing sugars. WCA values slightly decreased after 20 s (T<sub>20s</sub>) of depositing the drop as 440 compared to 10 s ( $T_{10s}$ ), due to water evaporation. Additionally, control chitosan film (F1) 441 showed the higher WCA values. However, the addition of glucose (85.09 °), COS1 (65.50 °) 442 and COS2 (59.20°) to chitosan films decreased significantly the WCA as compared to F1. The 443 hydrophilicity of these latter films was probably attributed to their higher WC that make them 444 more susceptible to absorb water molecules. After thermal treatment, WCA regress 445 446 significantly for all the films as compared to the unheated ones indicating that heating leads to 447 an increase of films hydrophilicity as a result of Maillard crosslinking reactions. This reduction was more important when temperature and heating time were higher. Further, control and 448 heated chitosan-based films without reducing sugar addition were considered as hydrophobic 449 450 films as they exhibit WCA values higher than 90 °. Similarly, Kchaou et al. (2018) stated that WCA of gelatin-glucose films decreased after thermal treatment at 90 °C as a function of 451 heating time. Furthermore, Leceta et al. (2013b) proved that the heat-treatment of chitosan films 452 453 containing 15% glycerol for 24 h at 105 °C caused a slight decrease in WCA values as compared to un-heated films. 454

#### 455 3.3. Thickness and mechanical properties changes of MR treated chitosan-based films

19

456 Results from **Table 2** show that overall films possess similar thickness of approximately 457  $31.0 \ \mu m \ (p > 0.05)$ . The mechanical properties, including tensile strenght (TS) and elongation 458 at break (EAB), of the different films were measured and displayed in **Table 2**, except for the 459 heated films containing glucose because they were too brittle to be tested.

Based on the tensile stress vs. strain curves, TS and EAB values of chitosan-based film 460 showed slight non-significant decrease when glucose was added to the control film F2. This 461 decrease was probably attributed to the high concentration of glucose (5 mg/ml) incorporated 462 in the film. Heating of this films generate slightly more tearable and brittle films, with not 463 significant differences. Similarly, Hamdi et al. (2020) demonstrated that no significant effect 464 on mechanical properties of control chitosan film was obtained with the addition of glucose, at 465 466 a concentration of 0.4 mg/ml, and that heating of chitosan/glucose film increase its mechanical behavior. 467

In addition, a non-significant decrease of TS and EAB was obtained with the addition of COS1 and COS2 for the control films F3 and F4. Further, mechanical parameters values of the film F4 were slightly higher than F3. This variation can be explained by the higher AD of COS1 (18.20%) than COS2 (10.63%). Similarly, Hamdi et al. (2019) reported that AD influences chitosan film-forming mechanical properties and that lower AD chitosan provides better film mechanical behavior.

Moreover, heat treatment of chitosan, chitosan/COS1 and chitosan/COS2 films slightly improve their mechanical properties. The film F3-110-24 h showed the highest increase of EAB as compared to F3 and the film F1-110-24 h showed the highest TS value (p < 0.05). Further, this increase of mechanical properties values was correlated with the thermal treatment conditions in the following order of reactivity: 110 °C-24 h > 110 °C-6 h > 90 °C-24 h > 90 °C-6h. Thus suggesting that higher temperature and heating time were more efficient to obtain more flexible and stretchable chitosan and chitosan/COS films.

The slight enhancement of mechanical properties of chitosan films by heating treatment, 481 especially for F1-110-24 h, is an accordance with results obtained by Leceta et al. (2013b) who 482 demonstrated that heating of chitosan film at 105 °C for 24 h increased its TS and EAB values. 483 Moreover, these results were in agreement on with those of Hamdi et al. (2020) who stated that 484 heat treatment at 90 °C for 24 h did not affect significantly the mechanical parameters of 485 chitosan blank film. The better flexibility and stretchability of heated films as compared to 486 control films was attributed to the formation of more compact network through MR crosslinking 487 (Park et al., 1999). 488

#### 489 3.4. Thermal properties of MR crosslinked chitosan-based films

The thermal stability was assessed by means of thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), in a range of temperature between 30 and 600 °C, in order to study the changes occurred by the effect of different heating conditions on chitosan-based films with and without reducing sugars addition. The thermal decomposition data in terms of corresponding weight loss ( $\Delta$ w), temperature of maximium degradation (T<sub>max</sub>) and final residual mass (R) of the films, measured from the curve of the derivate weight loss as fonction of temperature as shown in Fig. 1E, 1F, 1G, 1H, are detailed in Table S1.

On the TGA derivate weight curves of the control chitosan-based films F1, F2, F3 and F4 497 498 three transformation steps corresponding to the main stages of weight loss were distinguished. The first stage of transformation ( $\Delta w_1$ ) observed below 100 °C is related to the loss of free and 499 bound water.  $\Delta w_1$  values increased for the chitosan-based films conjugated with reducing 500 sugars F2, F3 and F4 as compared to F1, which is in line with the increase of WC values of 501 these films. Whereas,  $\Delta w_1$  values of overall heated films decreased comparing to control 502 unheated films. This decrease, that was further correlated with the decrease of WC of heated 503 films, was higher as temperature and heating time were higher. 504

A second narrow weight loss ( $\Delta w_2$ ) appeared at approximatly 140 - 230 °C and was probably attributed to the entrapped water through hydrogen bonds and the elimination reaction of NH<sub>3</sub> as suggested by Martins, Cerqueira, & Vicente (2012) or due to the evaporation of glycerol as considered by Leceta et al. (2013b).  $\Delta w_2$  values decreased for all the heated films and disappeared for chitosan films containing glucose heated at 110 °C (F2-110-6 h and F2-110-24 h).

511 The third major stage of weight loss ( $\Delta w_3$ ), corresponding to  $\Delta w$  around 44.94 and 512 57.14%, displayed the degradation or the decomposition of chitosan and COS chains and were 513 higher for heated films comparing to control films (Martins et al., 2012).

Regarding T<sub>max</sub> values, no change was noted for the film F2 incorporated with glucose 514 (300 °C) as compared to F1 (297 °C). However, the addition of COS to control chitosan-based 515 films decreased their T<sub>max</sub> values, that were 277.3 and 267 °C for F3 and F4, respectively. After 516 thermal treatment, T<sub>max</sub> values of all heated films increased as compared to unheated films. This 517 increase was more pronounced when the films were heated at 110 °C comparing to 90 °C and 518 519 during 24 h as compared to 6 h. When comparing to the chitosan heated films, glucose 520 incorporation increased  $T_{max}$  values of heated films, however,  $T_{max}$  values of chitosan films conjugated with COS remains lower despite having increased. Similarly, the residual weight at 521 600 °C (R) was higher for all the heated films as compared to unheated films. 522

These variations of thermal stability behavior were attributed to the different extent of MR crosslinking, through creation of new bonds in film matrix, between the different films formulations and the different heating conditions. The increase of thermal stability of heated chitosan films containing reducing sugars is explained by the development MRP which could interact with chitosan chains to stabilize the film network leading to more thermally stable films with enhanced functional properties (Hamdi et al., 2020; Kchaou et al., 2019; Leceta et al.,

22

2013b). An increase of thermal stability was further stated for heated free-reducing sugarschitosan films by thermal treatment, but in a less extend than for MR.

#### 531 3.5. Effect of MR crosslinking on the structural properties of chitosan-based films

532 **3.5.1.** X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis

533 XRD analysis is a proven tool to study the changes of the molecular conformation of 534 chitosan-based films acquired by reducing sugar addition and thermal treatment. The XRD 535 patterns of the different films formulations before and after treatment at 90 and 110 °C for 24 536 h, were illustrated in Fig. 2E, 2F, 2G, 2H.

As shrimp chitosan is a semi-crystalline polysaccharide due to its regular chain it present 537 two peaks at 2  $\theta$  = 10 and 20 ° associated with its hydrated conformation (Affes et al., 2019). 538 Similarly, XRD chromatogram of chitosan film showed that it was in a partially crystalline state 539 and has two main characteristic peaks with a slight shift, at 2  $\theta$  around 12 and 20 °. Comparably 540 XRD chromatograms were obtained by Chang et al. (2019), Leceta et al. (2013) and Rivero et 541 al. (2012) for chitosan-based films. When glucose was added to chitosan film (F2), the same 542 peaks were observed but with slightly lower intensity as compared to F1. Whereas, it is clearly 543 shown that the addition of COS reduced the crystallization of chitosan films F3 and F4, where 544 the peak at 12 ° disappeared and the intensity of the peak at 20 ° decreased comparing to F1. 545 This change in the crystallinity open the incorporation of COS1 and COS2 was ascribed to the 546 non-crystalline structure of these two chitosan derivatives (Affes et al., 2019; Affes et al., 547 548 2020b).

After thermal treatment of the different films, the crystallinity decreases remarkably as a function of heating temperature as evidenced in the decrease in the intensity of the peak at 20 °. Indeed, films heating at 110 °C provides less crystalline structure than 90 °C. Further, the intensity of this peak (20 °) was lower in the films containing COS followed by glucose containing films, as compared to F1. As well, the peak at 12 ° disappeared in all the heated films. Similarly, diffractograms of chitosan films cured at different temperatures showed the disappearance of the peak located at 2  $\theta$  = 15 ° and demonstrated that films heating at higher temperature, 160 °C, leads to lower crystallinity than 100 °C (Rivero et al., 2012). Furthermore, Leceta et al. (2013b) stated that chitosan film structure was influenced by the effect of temperature. These results were in contradiction with those of Fernández-de Castro et al. (2016) who stated that chitosan and chitosan/COS (1:1) films heat treated and non-treated showed the same XRD patterns with amorphous behaviour and a single wide diffraction peak at 20.2 °.

#### 561 **3.5.2.** Films microstructure

In order to investigate the microstructural modifications in the elaborated chitosan-based films through MR, the final films microstructure was qualitatively visualized by surface electron microscopy (SEM) analysis. Crosslinked films through MR at 110 °C for 24 h were selected for the SEM analysis, and compared with control unheated films, except for heated film containing glucose where the film F1-90-6 h was tested because F1-110-24 h was too brittle and it is difficult to be fixed on SEM support.

Characteristic SEM micrographs of surface and cross-sections of films were shown in
Fig. 3. The surface of all the films was flat, smooth and homogenous without apparent porosity.
However, the films F2 and F2-90-6 h showed the appearance of some cracks more pronounced
in the heated film F2-90-6 h, due to the addition of glucose (5 mg/ml).

572 Moreover, cross-sectional images of the control films showed compact and homogenous 573 structure without any evidence of irregularities. Further, crosslinking provides and increases of 574 films cross-sections homogeneity and order, except of the film F2-90-6 h were some cracks 575 were visualized. Results were in accordance with mechanical properties values that increased 576 for heated chitosan and chitosan/COS films and decreased for heated chitosan/glucose films.

577 The more compact structure of the heated chitosan and COS films, as compared to control578 films, was attributed to the crosslinking through MR thanks to the action of temperature leading

to high interaction between chitosan and COS. Likewise, Fernández-de Castro et al. (2016) reported that chitosan and chitosan/oligosaccharides based films had homogenous microstructure with relatively roughness and that no irregularities, caused by crosslinking through heat treatment at 105 °C overnight, were observed in the heat treated films.

However, the less compact structure of heated chitosan/glucose films despite the high extent of MR in this films, was probably attributed to the very high concentration of glucose. Hamdi et al (2020) reported that the incorporation of glucose at concentrations from 0.5 to 2% (w/w chitosan) to chitosan film improves its microstructure.

#### 587 3.6. Antioxidant property of activated films by Maillard reaction

To evaluate the antioxidant activity of blank and MR-crosslinked chitosan-based films and to correlate antioxidant potential to films structural characteristics, differents *in vitro* antioxidant tests were assayed including DPPH and ABTS<sup>+</sup> radicals scavenging activities, reducing power test and the total antioxidant activity.

As shown in Fig. 4, for the four tested mechanisms, chitosan-based film F1 exhibited the 592 lowest antioxidant behavior. The addition of glucose increased slightly the antioxidant activities 593 values of the film F2 (p < 0.05). Further, when COS were incorporated in the control films, a 594 significant increase of the antioxidant potential, via the different tests, was noted which is more 595 596 pronounced for the film F3 than F4. The higher antioxidant activity of the film F3 than F4 was attributed to the better antioxidant activity of COS1 (Mw, < 4.4 kDa; AD,  $18.20 \pm 1.19\%$ ) than 597 COS2 (Mw, < 4.4 kDa; 10.63  $\pm$  0.17%) as shown in our previous studies (Affes et al., 2019; 598 Affes et al., 2020b). Thus can be a result of the difference in the degree of polymerization, ion 599 composition, and the presence of high units of D-glucosamine in the structure of COS1 despite 600 its high AD than COS2 (Anraku et al., 2018; Li, Liu, Xing, Qin, & Li, 2013). Therefore, for the 601 control films, F3 showed the better antioxidant behavior, followed by F4 and F2 as compared 602 603 to F1.

Regarding thermally treated films, values were significantly higher in heated films than 604 in the blank films, even by heating of the film F1, due to the development of active MRP. This 605 increase more noticeable after heating at 110 °C for 24 h followed by 110 °C- 6h, 90 °C-24 h 606 607 and 90 °C-6 h allowing to conclude that heating at higher temperature (110 °C) and incubation times (24 h) generates better antioxidant films. Further, the heated film F1 showed the lowest 608 increase of antioxidant values which is negligible as compared to heated reducing sugar 609 containing films. However, heated glucose containing films, especially F2-110-24 h showed 610 the better increase of antioxidant potential comparing to the blank film F2. In addition, the 611 heated films containing COS1 (F3 group) exhibited the better antioxidant activities, for all the 612 tests, followed by F2 and F4. Where, the film F3-110-24 h reached 100% for ABTS and DPPH 613 radical scavenging activities, 2.44  $\pm$  0.07 for reducing power test and 179,325  $\pm$  1.65  $\alpha$ -614 tocopherol (µmol/ml) for the total antioxidant activity. 615

616 The antioxidant activity was enhanced subsequently to the development of MR. This increase was directly correlated to the incorporated reducing sugars in the film matrix, the 617 618 temperature and the heating time. Different mechanisms could explain the antioxidant activity 619 of MRP. The high scavenging activity of crosslinked films could be a result of the reduction of reactive amino groups, through MR development, generating intermediate and final brown 620 melanoidins that were able to donate hydrogen atoms (Akar, Küçük, & Doğan Akar, 2017; Li 621 622 et al., 2014; Maillard, Billaud, Chow, Ordonaud, & Nicolas, 2007). Moreover, Chen et al. (2019) and Li et al. (2014) reported that primary MRP and finally brown heterocyclic 623 compounds were responsible to the improved reducing power of the MR crosslinked films. 624 625 Furthermore, Kchaou et al. (2018) suggested that MRP could react with Mo (VI) to convert it to more stable molecules, Mo (V) by donating electrons, in total antioxidant activity test. 626

#### 627 4. Conclusion

Different reducing sugars types (glucose and COS), temperature and heating times were 628 studied to induce Maillard reaction (MR) crosslinking of chitosan-based films. The rate of MR 629 was better at higher temperature and exposure times and for glucose containing films, followed 630 631 by films conjugated by COS1 and COS2, as shown by browning index values, Ultraviolet and Fourier-transform infrared spectra, water resistance properties and thermal stability 632 degradation. However, crosslinked films mechanical properties were enhanced for 633 chitosan/COS films and reduced for chitosan/glucose films, which is correlated with SEM 634 results. Moreover, MR development enhanced significantly the antioxidant potential, via four 635 different mechanisms, of all the crosslinked films as compared to blank films thanks to the 636 formation of highly active MR products. Among all treated films, the most actives were 637 chitosan/COS1 crosslinked films, followed by chitosan/glucose and chitosan/COS2 films. 638 These films possess interesting functional and antioxidant properties that were suitable for food 639 640 packaging and biomedical applications.

#### 641 Acknowledgement

The "Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research", Tunisia, funded this work. 642 The authors wish to express their thanks for financial support provided from the framework of 643 PHC-Utique program financed by CMCU project, grant N°: 19G0815. The authors gratefully 644 acknowledge Doctor Arie Van Der Lee and Doctor Thierry Thami, from European Institute of 645 Membranes, University of Montpellier, France, for x-ray diffraction analyses and water contact 646 angles measurements, respectively. The authors would like to thank also Professor Ahmed 647 Rebaï and Doctor Rania Abdelhedi from Laboratory of Molecular and Cellular Screening 648 Processes, Centre of Biotechnology of Sfax, University of Sfax, Tunisia, for there helpful aid 649 regarding the statistical analysis. 650

#### 651 <u>References</u>

27

Affes, S., Aranaz, I., Hamdi, M., Acosta, N., Ghorbel-Bellaaj, O., Heras, Á., Nasri, M., &
Maalej, H. (2019). Preparation of a crude chitosanase from blue crab viscera as well as its
application in the production of biologically active chito-oligosaccharides from shrimp
shells chitosan. *International Journal of biological macromolecules, 15, 558–569.*

- Affes, S., Maalej, H., Aranaz, I., Acosta, N., Kchaou, H., Heras, Á., & Nasri, M. (2020a).
  Controlled size green synthesis of bioactive silver nanoparticles assisted by chitosan and
  its derivatives and their application in biofilm preparation. *Carbohydrate Polymers, 236*,
  116063.
- Affes, S., Maalej, H., Aranaz, I., Acosta, N., Heras, Á., & Nasri, M. (2020b). Enzymatic
  production of low-*Mw* chitosan-derivatives: Characterization and biological activities
  evaluation. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 144, 279–288.
- Affes, S., Nasri, R., Li, S., Van Der Lee, A., Nasri, M., & Maalej, H. (2020c). Effect of glucoseinduced Maillard reaction on physical, structural and antioxidant properties of chitosan
  derivatives-based films. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 255, 117341.
- Affes, S., Aranaz, I., Acosta, N., Heras, Á., Nasri, M., & Maalej, H. (2021). Chitosan
  derivatives-based films as pH-sensitive drug delivery systems with enhanced antioxidant
  and antibacterial properties. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules, 182,*730–742.
- 670 Akar, Z., Küçük, M., & Doğan, H. (2017). A new colorimetric DPPH• scavenging activity
- 671 method with no need for a spectrophotometer applied on synthetic and natural antioxidants
- and medicinal herbs. *Journal of Enzyme Inhibition and Medicinal Chemistry*, *32*, 640–647.
- Anraku, M., Gebicki, J. M., Lohara, D., Tomida, H., Uekama, K., Maruyama, T., Hirayama, F.,
- 674 & Otagiri, M. (2018). Antioxidant activities of chitosans and its derivatives in *in vitro* and
  675 *in vivo* studies. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, *199*, 141–149.

- Aranaz, I., Acosta, N., Civera, C., Elorza, B., Mingo, J., Castro, C., de los Llanos, Gandía, M.,
  & Caballero, A.H. (2018). Cosmetics and Cosmeceutical Applications of Chitin, Chitosan
  and Their Derivatives. *Polymers*, *10*, 213.
- 679 Bersuder, P., Hole, M., & Smith, G. (1998). Antioxidants from a heated histidine-glucose model
- 680 system. I: Investigation of the antioxidant role of histidine and isolation of antioxidants by
- high-performance liquid chromatography. *Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society*,
  75, 181–187.
- Chang, W., Liu, F., Sharif, H. R., Huang, Z., Goff, H. D., & Zhong, F. (2019). Preparation of
  chitosan films by neutralization for improving their preservation effects on chilled meat.
- 685 *Food Hydrocolloids, 90, 50–61.*
- 686 Chen, K., Yang, X., Huang, Z., Jia, S., Zhang, Y., Shi, J., Hong, H., Feng, L., & Luo, Y. (2019).
- Modification of gelatin hydrolysates from grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idellus*) scales by
  Maillard reaction: Antioxidant activity and volatile compounds. *Food Chemistry*, 295, 569–
  578.
- Etxabide, A., Urdanpilleta, M., Guerrero, P., & de la Caba, K. (2015). Effects of cross-linking
  in nanostructure and physicochemical properties of fish gelatins for bio-applications. *Reactive and Functional Polymers*, 94, 55–62.
- Etxabide, A., Urdanpilleta, M., Gómez-Arriaran, I., de la Caba, K., & Guerrero, P. (2017).
  Effect of pH and lactose on cross-linking extension and structure of fish gelatin films. *Reactive and Functional Polymers, 117,* 140–146.
- 696 Fernández-de Castro, L., Mengíbar, M., Sánchez, A., Arroyo, L., Villarán, M.C., de Apodaca,
- 697 E.D., & Heras, Á. (2016). Films of chitosan and chitosan-oligosaccharide neutralized and
- 698 thermally treated: Effects on its antibacterial and other activities. *LWT Food Science and*
- 699 *Technology*, *73*, 368–374.

- Galiano, F., Briceño, K., Marino, T., Molino, A., Christensen, K. V., & Figoli, A. (2018).
- Advances in biopolymer-based membrane preparation and applications. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 564, 562–586.
- 703 Gennadios, A., Handa, A., Froning, G. W., Weller, C. L., & Hanna, M. A. (1998). Physical
- properties of egg white-dialdehyde starch films. Journal of Agricultural and Food
- 705 *Chemistry, 46,* 1297–1302.
- Gullón, B., Montenegro, M. I., Ruiz-Matute, A. I., Cardelle-Cobas, A., Corzo, N., & Pintado,
   M. E. (2016). Synthesis, optimization and structural characterization of a chitosan-glucose
   derivative obtained by the Maillard reaction. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, *137*, 382–389.
- Hajji, S., Younes, I., Affes, S., Boufi, S., & Nasri, M. (2018). Optimization of the formulation
  of chitosan edible coatings supplemented with carotenoproteins and their use for extending
  strawberries postharvest life. *Food Hydrocolloids*, *83*, 375–392.
- Hamdi, M., Nasri, R., Hajji, S., Nigen, M., Li, S., & Nasri, M. (2019). Acetylation degree, a
  key parameter modulating chitosan rheological, thermal and film-forming properties. *Food Hydrocolloids*, *87*, 48–60.
- Hamdi, M., Nasri, R., Ben Azaza, Y., Li, S., & Nasri, M. (2020). Conception of novel blue crab
  chitosan films crosslinked with different saccharides via the Maillard reaction with
  improved functional and biological properties. *Carbohydrate Polymers, 241*, 116303.
- 718 Kchaou, H., Benbettaïeb, N., Jridi, M., Abdelhedi, O., Karbowiak, T., Brachais, C. H., Léonard,
- M. L., Debeaufort, F., & Nasri, M. (2018). Enhancement of structural, functional and
  antioxidant properties of fish gelatin films using Maillard reactions. *Food Hydrocolloids*,
  83, 326–339.
- Kchaou, H., Benbettaïeb, N., Jridi, M., Nasri, M., & Debeaufort, F. (2019). Influence of
   Maillard reaction and temperature on functional, structure and bioactive properties of fish
   gelatin films. *Food Hydrocolloids*, *97*, 105196.

- 725 Kosaraju, S. L., Weerakkody, R., & Augustin, M. A. (2010). Chitosan-glucose conjugates:
- Influence of extent of Maillard reaction on antioxidant properties. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemisrty*, *58*, 12449–12455.
- Leceta, I., Guerrero, P., & de la Caba, K. (2013a). Functional properties of chitosan based films.
   *Carbohydrate Polymers*, *93*, 339–346.
- Leceta, I., Guerrero, P., Ibarburu, I., Dueñas, M. T., & de la Caba, K. (2013b). Characterization
  and antimicrobial analysis of chitosan-based films. *Journal of Food Engineering*, *116*, 889–
- 732 899.
- Li, K., Liu, S., Xing, R., Qin, Y., & Li, P. (2013). Preparation, characterization and antioxidant
- activity of two partially N-acetylated chitotrioses. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, *92*, 1730–
  1736.
- Li, S. L., Lin, J., & Chen, X. M. (2014). Effect of chitosan molecular weight on the functional
  properties of chitosan-maltose Maillard reaction products and their application to freshcut *Typha latifolia* L. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, *102*, 682–690.
- Liu, J., Sun, L., Xu, W., Wang, Q., Yu, S., & Sun, J. (2019). Current advances and future
  perspectives of 3D printing natural-derived biopolymers. *Carbohydrate Polymers, 207,*297–316.
- Martins, J. T., Cerqueira, M. A., & Vicente, A. A. (2012). Influence of α-tocopherol on
  physicochemical properties of chitosan-based films. *Food Hydrocolloids*, *27*, 220–227.
- Maillard, M. N., Billaud, C., Chow, Y. N., Ordonaud, C., & Nicolas, J. (2007). Free radical
  scavenging, inhibition of polyphenoloxidase activity and copper chelating properties of
  model Maillard systems. *LWT-Food Science and Technology*, *40*, 1434–1444.
- Park, H. J., Jung, S. T., Song, J. J., Kang, S. G., Vergano, P. J., & Testin, R. F. (1999).
  Mechanical and barrier properties of chitosan-based biopolymer film. *Chitin and Chitosan Research*, *5*, 19–26.

750	Prieto, P., Pineda, M., & Aguilar, M., (1999). Spectrophotometric quantitation of antioxidant
751	capacity through the formation of a phosphomolybdenum complex: Specific application
752	to the determination of Vitamin E. Analytical Biochemistry, 269, 337-341.

- 753 Re, R., Pellegrini, N., Proteggente, A., Pannala, A., Yang, M., & Rice-Evans, C. (1999).
- Antioxidant activity applying an improved ABTS radical cation decolorization assay. *Free Radical Biology & Medicine, 26*, 1231–1237.
- Rivero, S., García, M. A., & Pinotti, A. (2012). Heat treatment to modify the structural and
  physical properties of chitosan-based films. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*,
  60, 492–499.
- Sun, T., Qin, Y., Xu, H., Xie, J., Hu, D., Xue, B., & Hua, X. (2017). Antibacterial activities and
   preservative effect of chitosan oligosaccharide Maillard reaction products on *Penaeus vannamei. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules, 105,* 764–768.
- 762 Umemura, K., & Kawai, S. (2007). Modification of chitosan by the Maillard reaction using
  763 cellulose model compounds. *Carbohydrate Polymers, 68,* 242–248.
- Xu, Z. Z., Huang, G. Q., Xu, T. C., Liu, L. N., & Xiao, J. X. (2019). Comparative study on the
- Maillard reaction of chitosan oligosaccharide and glucose with soybean protein isolate.
   *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, *131*, 601–607.

Yang, H., Li, J. G., Wu, N. F., Fan, M. M., Shen, X. L., Chen, M. T., Jiang, A. M., & Lai, L. S.
(2015). Effect of hsian-tsao gum (HG) content upon rheological properties of filmforming solutions (FFS) and physical properties of soy protein/hsian-tsao gum films. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 50, 211–218.

Yildirim, A., Mavi, A., & Kara, A. A. (2001). Determination of antioxidant and antimicrobial
activities of *Rumex crispus* L. extracts. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 49*,
4083–4089.

774

32

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

#### Credit authorship contribution statement

Sawsan Affes: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing - Review & Editing.

Hana Maalej: Supervision, Conceptualization, Resources, Writing - Review & Editing.

Suming Li: Project administration, Investigation.

Rania Abdelhedi: Statistical analysis.

Rim Nasri: Project administration, Investigation.

Moncef Nasri: Supervision, Resources, Visualisation, Writing - Review & Editing.

#### **Figures captions**

**Figure 1:** UV-vis spectra and DTGA profiles chitosan-based films, before and after (0, 6 and 24 h) thermal treatment through Maillard reaction at 90 °C and 110 °C, without (**A**, **E**) and with reducing sugars addition: chitosan-glucose (**B**, **F**), chitosan-COS1 (**C**, **G**) and chitosan-COS2 (**D**, **H**) based films, respectively.

Figure 2: FTIR spectra of chitosan (A) chitosan-glucose (B) chitosan-COS1 (C) and chitosan-COS2 (D) films before and after heating at different heating times and temperatures. X-ray diffractograms of control and MR-treated chitosan-based films, during 24 h at 90 and 110 °C, without (E) and with the addition of different reducing sugars: glucose (F), COS1 (G) and COS2 (H).

**Figure 3:** Section and surface SEM micrographs of control films and heated films at 110 °C during 24 h, except of the film F2 which is tested after treatment at 90 °C during 6 h.

**Figure 4:** Antioxidant activities of chitosan-based films conjugated or not with different reducing sugars through MR, as a function of temperature (90 and 110 °C) and heating time (0, 6, 24 h), by means of ABTS<sup>+</sup> (A) and DPPH (B) radicals-scavenging activities (%), reducing power (OD 700 nm) (C) and total antioxidant activity ( $\alpha$ -tocopherol ( $\mu$ mol/ml)) (D). Values are means  $\pm$  standard deviation (n = 3). Means with different letters (A-M) indicate significant difference (p < 0.05).









Fig. 3

Film	Surface	Secti	on
F1 F1-110-24 h	C-480.05	6450 42 50 C-450 2 50 C-450	C-400 110.
F2	cleon atos	C 485 12.24	Cress till a
F2-90-6 h	C-400 at 200	E ADOL 22000	Comment States
F3	5 4857 42.558	5000 d 20.	C-400 x10 a
F3-110-24 h	5-407-1204 BOOM	E-400 at 200	C480340.0X
F4	C-407-2208	C-4001-02.004	Central State
F4-110-24 h	C-409,402a		C-400 HIDA

Fig. 4





Films	L*	a*	b*	$\Delta E^*$	BI
F1 F1-90-6 h F1-90-24 h F1-110-6 h F1-110-24 h	$\begin{array}{l} 28.36 \pm 1.09 \ ^{AB} \\ 27.54 \pm 0.43 \ ^{ABCD} \\ 27.30 \pm 0.85 \ ^{ABCD} \\ 26.40 \pm 0.82 \ ^{CDE} \\ 24.54 \pm 0.43 \ ^{GH} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.45 \pm 0.05 \ ^{\rm A} \\ -0.55 \pm 0.02 \ ^{\rm AB} \\ -0.60 \pm 0.03 \ ^{\rm AB} \\ -0.77 \pm 0.05 \ ^{\rm BC} \\ -0.88 \pm 0.02 \ ^{\rm CD} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.46 \pm 0.07 \ ^{M} \\ 0.52 \pm 0.01 \ ^{L} \\ 0.64 \pm 0.04 \ ^{L} \\ 0.71 \pm 0.02 \ ^{L} \\ 1.22 \pm 0.12 \ ^{K} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} - \\ 1.32 \pm 0.26 \ ^{\rm H} \\ 1.68 \pm 0.51 \ ^{\rm H} \\ 2.35 \pm 0.70 \ ^{\rm H} \\ 4.20 \pm 0.34 \ ^{\rm G} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} - \\ 0.40 \pm 0.03 \ ^{\rm H} \\ 0.70 \pm 0.11 \ ^{\rm H} \\ 0.67 \pm 0.23 \ ^{\rm H} \\ 2.30 \pm 0.39 \ ^{\rm H} \end{array}$
F2 F2-90-6 h F2-90-24 h F2-110-6 h F2-110-24 h	$\begin{array}{c} 25.97 \pm 1.33 \\ 16.93 \pm 0.60 \\ ^{J} \\ 14.88 \pm 0.40 \\ ^{K} \\ 14.68 \pm 0.21 \\ ^{K} \\ 12.96 \pm 0.16 \\ ^{L} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.64 \pm 0.19 \ ^{B} \\ -1.11 \pm 0.05 \ ^{EF} \\ -1.29 \pm 0.08 \ ^{FGH} \\ -1.41 \pm 0.07 \ ^{GHI} \\ -1.68 \pm 0.08 \ ^{J} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.03 \pm 0.01 \ ^{J} \\ 6.20 \pm 0.14 \ ^{D} \\ 9.20 \pm 0.08 \ ^{B} \\ 9.36 \pm 0.14 \ ^{B} \\ 10.26 \pm 0.14 \ ^{A} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.60 \pm 0.90 \ ^{G} \\ 13.25 \pm 0.58 \ ^{C} \\ 16.61 \pm 0.38 \ ^{B} \\ 16.87 \pm 0.25 \ ^{B} \\ 18.80 \pm 0.22 \ ^{A} \end{array}$	$-38.65 \pm 2.65 ^{\rm C} \\ 81.60 \pm 4.03 ^{\rm B} \\ 84.94 \pm 3.53 ^{\rm B} \\ 120.85 \pm 5.27 ^{\rm A}$
F3 F3-90-6 h F3-90-24 h F3-110-6 h F3-110-24 h	$\begin{array}{l} 27.83 \pm 0.13 \ ^{ABC} \\ 24.78 \pm 0.65 \ ^{EFG} \\ 22.26 \pm 0.20 \ ^{H} \\ 22.30 \pm 0.07 \ ^{H} \\ 19.69 \pm 0.47 \ ^{I} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.95 \pm 0.02 \ ^{DE} \\ -1.07 \pm 0.05 \ ^{E} \\ -1.26 \pm 0.04 \ ^{FG} \\ -1.26 \pm 0.01 \ ^{FG} \\ -1.54 \pm 0.03 \ ^{IJ} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.41 \pm 0.02 \ ^{\rm K} \\ 3.90 \pm 0.05 \ ^{\rm G} \\ 5.46 \pm 0.04 \ ^{\rm E} \\ 5.48 \pm 0.01 \ ^{\rm E} \\ 6.70 \pm 0.03 \ ^{\rm C} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.00 \pm 0.09 \ ^{\rm H} \\ 5.68 \pm 0.66 \ ^{\rm F} \\ 8.54 \pm 0.14 \ ^{\rm E} \\ 8.53 \pm 0.08 \ ^{\rm E} \\ 11.30 \pm 0.34 \ ^{\rm D} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} - \\ 13.35 \pm 1.70 \ ^{\rm EF} \\ 22.77 \pm 0.03 \ ^{\rm D} \\ 22.85 \pm 0.90 \ ^{\rm D} \\ 33.79 \pm 0.91 \ ^{\rm C} \end{array}$
F4 F4-90-6 h F4-90-24 h F4-110-6 h F4-110-24 h	$28.68 \pm 0.23^{A}$ $26.57 \pm 0.04^{BCD}$ $24.47 \pm 0.02^{GH}$ $24.16 \pm 0.06^{G}$ $21.89 \pm 0.22^{H}$	$-1.35 \pm 0.01 \text{ GHI} \\ -1.39 \pm 0.02 \text{ GHI} \\ -1.47 \pm 0.01 \text{ HI} \\ -1.47 \pm 0.02 \text{ HI} \\ -1.51 \pm 0.01 \text{ JJ}$	$1.39 \pm 0.29^{\text{ K}}$ $2.58 \pm 0.02^{\text{ I}}$ $3.38 \pm 0.36^{\text{ H}}$ $3.42 \pm 0.13^{\text{ H}}$ $4.69 \pm 0.31^{\text{ F}}$	$2.10 \pm 0.22^{H} \\ 3.65 \pm 0.03^{G} \\ 5.56 \pm 0.26^{F} \\ 5.81 \pm 0.13^{F} \\ 8.34 \pm 0.36^{E} \\ \end{bmatrix}$	$5.99 \pm 0.15^{\text{H}}$ $9.84 \pm 1.58^{\text{FG}}$ $10.18 \pm 0.56^{\text{FG}}$ $18.01 \pm 1.87^{\text{DE}}$

**<u>Table 1:</u>** Color parameters (L\*, a\* and b\*), total color change ( $\Delta E^*$ ) and browning index (BI)

of the different films.

Values are means  $\pm$  standard deviation (n = 3). Means with different letters (A-M) and within

a column indicate significant difference (p < 0.05).  $\Delta E^*$  was the change of color measured as

compared to F1.

## Table 2: Water content (WC, %), water solubility (WS, %), water contact angle (WCA, °),

thickness (µm) and mechanical properties (TS, % and EAB, MPa) of the different films.

		Water resistan	ce properties			Mechanical	properties
Films	WC (%)	WS (%)	WC.	A (°)	Thickness (µm)	TS (MPa)	EAB (%)
			T10 s	T20 s	-		
F1	$12.28\pm0.16\ ^{\rm B}$	$12.12\pm1.01~^{\text{CDE}}$	$108.60\pm2.24~^{\rm A}$	$106.93\pm2.26\ ^{\rm A}$	$29.4\pm1.5~^{\rm A}$	$17.99\pm0.25~^{\rm A}$	$15.26\pm0.74~^{\rm A}$
F1-90-6 h	$10.93\pm0.23~^{\rm E}$	$10.71\pm0.04~^{\rm HI}$	$96.54\pm1.78\ ^{\mathrm{B}}$	$94.18\pm1.73$ $^{\rm B}$	$28.00\pm2.0\ ^{\rm A}$	$18.29 \pm 0.01$ <sup>A</sup>	$15.35 \pm 0.26$ <sup>A</sup>
F1-90-24 h	$10.33\pm0.33~^{FG}$	$9.33\pm0.50\ ^{JK}$	$95.70\pm0.62\ ^{\rm B}$	$95.10\pm0.94~^{\rm B}$	$30.0\pm4.0~^{\rm A}$	$18.44\pm0.73$ <sup>A</sup>	$15.50 \pm 0.85$ <sup>A</sup>
F1-110-6 h	$10.13\pm0.38~^{G}$	$8.85\pm0.27~^{\rm KL}$	$95.30\pm1.98\ ^{\mathrm{B}}$	$94.11\pm1.77\ ^{\mathrm{B}}$	$30.0\pm2.0~^{\rm A}$	$18.49\pm0.23~^{\rm A}$	$15.71 \pm 0.12$ <sup>A</sup>
F1-110-24 h	$9.31\pm0.19~^{\rm H}$	$7.92\pm0.07~^{\rm L}$	$94.64\pm0.67\ ^{\rm B}$	$92.93\pm1.06\ ^{\rm B}$	$32.0\pm4.0\ ^{\rm A}$	$18.60\pm0.30\ ^{\rm A}$	$15.93\pm0.10\ ^{\rm A}$
F2	$14.07\pm0.04~^{\rm A}$	$16.19\pm0.32\ ^{\mathrm{B}}$	$85.09\pm3.06\ ^{\rm C}$	$82.38 \pm 3.16 \ ^{\rm C}$	$30.0\pm1.5~^{\rm A}$	$14.05 \pm 0.66$ <sup>A</sup>	$12.80\pm0.44~^{\rm A}$
F2-90-6 h	$11.45\pm0.16~^{\mathrm{CD}}$	$11.83\pm0.31~^{\text{DEFG}}$	$71.13\pm2.07~^{\rm D}$	$69.19\pm2.33~^{\rm D}$	$30.0\pm3.0~^{\rm A}$	-	-
F2-90-24 h	$11.06\pm0.06~^{\rm DE}$	$11.01\pm0.09~^{\mathrm{FGHI}}$	$70.49 \pm 0.91 \ ^{\rm D}$	$68.44\pm0.78~^{\rm D}$	$31.0 \pm 1.2$ <sup>A</sup>	-	_
F2-110-6 h	$10.15\pm0.05~^{G}$	$10.81\pm0.22~^{\rm GHI}$	$69.33 \pm 2.15 \ ^{\rm D}$	$68.24 \pm 2.43 \ ^{\rm D}$	$32.0\pm5.0$ <sup>A</sup>	-	_
F2-110-24 h	$9.60\pm0.10\ ^{\rm H}$	$9.97\pm0.23~^{IJ}$	$67.84\pm0.74~^{\rm D}$	$66.30\pm0.80\ ^{\rm D}$	$33.0\pm2.0~^{\rm A}$	-	-
F3	$13.98\pm0.08\ ^{\rm A}$	$18.56\pm0.06\ ^{\rm A}$	$65.50 \pm 2.25 \ ^{\rm D}$	$58.31 \pm 2.46$ <sup>E</sup>	$30.0\pm2.0~^{\rm A}$	$15.76 \pm 0.66$ <sup>A</sup>	$14.52\pm0.1~^{\rm A}$
F3-90-6 h	$12.60\pm0.10\ ^{\mathrm{B}}$	$12.69\pm0.18~^{\mathrm{CD}}$	$44.81 \pm 2.38$ <sup>F</sup>	$37.28 \pm 1.65 \ ^{G}$	$30.0\pm2.0~^{\rm A}$	$16.83\pm0.35~^{\rm A}$	$14.94\pm0.23~^{\rm A}$
F3-90-24 h	$11.70\pm0.10\ ^{\rm C}$	$11.97\pm0.03~^{\text{CDEF}}$	$42.84\pm1.78\ ^{\text{FG}}$	$36.69\pm1.91~\mathrm{GH}$	$31.0\pm3.0$ <sup>A</sup>	$17.19 \pm 0.12$ <sup>A</sup>	$16.05 \pm 0.05$ <sup>A</sup>
F3-110-6 h	$11.47\pm0.04~^{\rm CD}$	$11.82\pm0.08~^{\text{DEFG}}$	$39.74\pm2.59~^{FG}$	$34.35\pm2.20~^{\mathrm{GH}}$	$31.0\pm2.0$ <sup>A</sup>	$17.25 \pm 0.15$ <sup>A</sup>	$16.11 \pm 1.40$ <sup>A</sup>
F3-110-24 h	$10.75\pm0.01~{\rm EF}$	$11.37\pm0.54~^{\rm EFGH}$	$39.74 \pm 2.21 \ ^{FG}$	$33.63\pm2.96~^{\rm GH}$	$32.0\pm4.0~^{\rm A}$	$17.95\pm0.64~^{\rm A}$	$16.64 \pm 1.09$ <sup>A</sup>
F4	$14.15\pm0.05\ ^{\rm A}$	$19.00\pm0.23$ $^{\rm A}$	$59.20\pm2.04\ ^{\rm E}$	$50.10\pm2.54\ ^{F}$	$32.0\pm3.0~^{\rm A}$	$15.95\pm1.07~^{\rm A}$	$14.79 \pm 0.17$ <sup>A</sup>
F4-90-6 h	$12.73\pm0.03\ ^{\mathrm{B}}$	$13.01\pm0.11~^{\rm C}$	$42.47 \pm 2.27 \ ^{FG}$	$35.05\pm2.75~^{\mathrm{GH}}$	$32.0\pm1.0\ ^{\rm A}$	$16.09\pm1.28\ ^{\rm A}$	$14.98\pm0.07~^{\rm A}$
F4-90-24 h	$11.76\pm0.04~^{\rm C}$	$12.24\pm0.14~^{\text{CDE}}$	$40.93\pm1.43~^{FG}$	$34.69\pm1.24~^{\rm GH}$	$33.0\pm2.0~^{\rm A}$	$16.23 \pm 1.20$ <sup>A</sup>	$15.29 \pm 0.51$ <sup>A</sup>
F4-110-6 h	$11.54\pm0.04~^{\rm C}$	$12.15\pm0.25~^{\text{CDE}}$	$38.18\pm0.89\ ^{G}$	$32.45\pm0.77~^{\mathrm{GH}}$	$34.0\pm3.0~^{\rm A}$	$16.49 \pm 0.66$ <sup>A</sup>	$15.83 \pm 0.60$ <sup>A</sup>
F4-110-24 h	$10.87\pm0.10\ ^{\rm E}$	$11.91\pm0.09~\text{Def}$	$36.82\pm1.30\ ^{G}$	$30.40\pm0.30~^{\rm H}$	$34.0\pm2.0\ ^{\rm A}$	$16.87\pm0.93~^{\rm A}$	$16.24\pm0.01~^{\rm A}$

Values are means  $\pm$  standard deviation (n = 3). Means with different letters (A-L) and within a

column indicate significant difference (p < 0.05).

±

### Supplementary material

<u>**Table S1**</u>: Maximal degradation temperature ( $T_{max}$ , °C), weight loss ( $\Delta w$ , %), and residual weight at 600 °C (R, %) as function of degradation temperatures, based on the TGA thermograms of chitosan based films conjugated or not with glucose and COS through Maillard reaction (MR) at 90 °C and 110 °C and as function of time (0, 6 and 24 h).

Films	T <sub>max</sub> (°C)	W	R (%)		
		$\Delta w_1$	$\Delta w_2$	$\Delta w_3$	
F1	297.00	9.67	10.6	48.3	31.43
F1-90-6 h	298.09	7.61	10.54	50.57	31.28
F1-90-24 h	298.15	7.20	8.8	51.8	32.20
F1-110-6 h	303.33	7.33	8.06	52.21	32.40
F1-110-24 h	303.50	6.54	6.96	51.49	35.01
F2	300.00	12.18	12.41	44.94	30.47
F2-90-6 h	300.91	8.14	4.20	54.03	33.63
F2-90-24 h	301.00	7.89	4.12	54.52	33.41
F2-110-6 h	301.12	7.74	-	58.14	34.13
F2-110-24 h	301.10	7.35	-	58.03	34.63
F3	277.30	12.56	11.98	46.09	31.37
F3-90-6 h	279.72	11.76	9.91	45.2	33.13
F3-90-24 h	279.75	11.17	9.07	45.3	34.46
F3-110-6 h	282.14	10.58	8.82	46.14	34.47
F3-110-24 h	283.33	10.37	6.97	46.68	35.89
F4	267.62	13.86	5.42	47.95	31.78
F4-90-6 h	270.06	13.25	3.64	48.13	34.95
F4-90-24 h	271.09	12.64	3.13	49.00	35.30
F4-110-6 h	273.67	12.13	2.20	50.05	35.62
F4-110-24 h	274.00	12.07	2.17	50.10	35.66