

Combining ionic diode, resistive pulse and membrane for detection and separation of anti-CD44 antibody

Imad Abrao-Nemeir, Oumaima Zaki, Nathan Meyer, Mathilde Lepoitevin,

Joan Torrent, Jean-Marc Janot, Sebastien Balme

▶ To cite this version:

Imad Abrao-Nemeir, Oumaima Zaki, Nathan Meyer, Mathilde Lepoitevin, Joan Torrent, et al.. Combining ionic diode, resistive pulse and membrane for detection and separation of anti-CD44 antibody. Journal of Membrane Science, 2022, 649, pp.120391. 10.1016/j.memsci.2022.120391. hal-03929840

HAL Id: hal-03929840 https://hal.umontpellier.fr/hal-03929840v1

Submitted on 9 Jan 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Combining ionic diode, resistive pulse and membrane for
2	detection and separation of anti-CD44 antibody.
3	
4	Imad Abrao-Neimer ^a , Oumaima Zaki ^a , Nathan Meyer ^{a,b} , Mathilde Lepoitevin ^c , Joan Torrent ^b , Jean-Marc
5	Janot ^a , Sebastien Balme* ^a
6	
7	^a Institut Européen des Membranes, UMR5635 University of Montpellier ENCSM CNRS, Place Eugène
8	Bataillon, 34095 Montpellier cedex 5, France.
9	^b INM, University of Montpellier , INSERM, Montpellier, France
10	^c Institut des Matériaux Poreux de Paris (IMAP), UMR 8004 CNRS, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Paris,
11	Ecole Supérieure de Physique et de Chimie Industrielles de Paris, PSL Université, 75005 Paris, France
12	
13	Abstract
14	The need for antibody protein is growing for both diagnosis and therapy. In this work, we combine
15	two strategies based on single nanopore sensing and multipore membrane to separate, detect
16	and identify antibodies. This proof of concept was done for the anti-CD44. In a first step, a single
17	nanopore with a bullet shape were designed using track-etching of polymer film and
18	functionalized, with a PEG spacer and CD44 antigen. The detection of anti-CD44 was evidenced
19	by an inversion of the current rectification. After demonstrating the ability to specifically detect
20	the antiCD44 in a single nanopore, a multipore membrane with cylindrical nanopore was designed
21	following the same protocol and used to elute a solution of anti-CD44. In a second step, the elution
22	product was analysed using a single SiN nanopore showing that the anti-CD44 and CD44 antigen
23	are extracted from the membrane. The analysis of the amplitude of the current blockade shows
24	that the complex is dissociated.
25	

26 **1. Introduction**

The increasing need for the identification of proteins as biomarkers as well as their synthesis as therapeutic agents requires the development of innovative solutions for their analysis, production and identification [1,2]. The protein extraction from complex biological matrices is usually done using numerous methods including dialysis, centrifugation, electrophoresis, chromatography, 2D 31 gel or immunoprecipitation [3–5]. More recently, microfluidic techniques met a great interest 32 because of the possibility of multiplexing channels and low volume required (10⁻⁹ to 10⁻¹⁸ L) [6,7]. 33 This interest is emphasized by proteomic advances. They require that the separation is connected 34 to a detector with a high sensitivity, providing information about the presence of the target 35 protein [8]. Membrane chromatography for protein separation has emerged several decades ago 36 for analysis [9,10] and more recently for production [11–14]. However, they stayed as a concept 37 for protein analysis due to numerous bottlenecks such as low surface volume ratio, limiting the 38 quantity of protein bonding [15,16]. This makes impossible to detect the eluted analyte directly 39 from the membrane to common detection devices.

40 On the other hand, the sensing technology based on single polymers and solid-state nanopore 41 has demonstrated numerous achievements in the area of protein detection, discrimination, and 42 identification of assembly [17]. There are basically two ways to detect protein using such 43 nanopore. The first one is based on ionic diode properties [18,19]. Such properties are usually 44 obtained in asymmetrical (conical or bullet-like shape) nanopore made in polymer film using 45 track-etching technique [20–23]. Because of the asymmetrical shape and the surface charge, the 46 current-voltage (I-V) response is not linear [24–26]. Thus, when the target binds to the probe 47 grafted inside the nanopore, it induces a modification of inner surface wall properties that is 48 immediately followed by a modification of the ionic transport generating a change in the ionic 49 diode signal [27,28]. This type of nanopore was previously used to detect several proteins such as 50 lysozyme [29–34], antibodies[35], and biopolymers [36]. Despite the possibility to specifically 51 detect a target, the ionic diode is not suitable for a fine characterization of proteins or provide 52 information on their possible structural modification [17]. To do so, a second way can be used 53 involving resistive pulse sensing (RPS) [37,38]. The RPS consists of applying a constant voltage 54 through a single nanopore and record the ionic current. The passage to an analyte induces a 55 current perturbation characterized by an amplitude and duration. The resistive pulse has already 56 been used for protein or antibody analysis [39–43]. The current perturbations provide a 57 fingerprint of the protein or protein assembly [44–52]. The RPS sensing can be done at protein 58 concentrations in the nM range and does not require labelling. This technique is also gaining 59 interest since the development of dielectric breakdown manufacturing that allows single 60 nanopore fabrication, making it more accessible than electrons or ions beam that require 61 expensive facilities [53,54].

62 Combining these different single nanopore and classical membrane approaches could be an 63 interesting way to detect, separate and characterize a protein with minimum steps and a go/no-64 go information during the separation step. It is interesting to note that the investigations 65 combining single and multipore membranes mainly deal with osmotic energy production. This 66 combined approach provides a fundamental understanding of ionic transport at the single 67 nanopore scale and evaluation of the efficiency on multipore membranes [55,56]. Conceptually 68 for proteins, the separation and detection steps will involve the use of a track-etched membrane 69 that is scalable from a single nanopore membrane and high density of nanopore membrane (10⁵ 70 to 10^{11} pores/cm²). Placed in paralleled, the single nanopore membrane "sensor" validate 71 whether the analyte is present in the solution while multipore the membrane separates the 72 analyte from the matrix. These two singles and multipore membranes can be produced and 73 functionalized with a probe following exactly the same conditions [23,57], and thus the sensor 74 have the same physico-chemical properties as separation membrane. In the second step, the 75 target protein separated by the multipore membrane will be analysed using a single SiN nanopore 76 by resistive pulse sensing.

Here, we demonstrate a new approach combining different single and multi-pore membrane
 technologies for separation, detection and analysis of antibodies. We selected the CD44 antigen

79 (AgCD44) and its associated antibodies (anti-CD44) due to their involvement in cancer [58] or as 80 a monoclonal antibody-based therapeutic agent [59]. For this purpose, single pores are produced 81 by track etching method and functionalized with AgCD44 as probe. Then, we demonstrate that 82 the AgCD44 grafting does not alter its specificity to detect anti-CD44 before producing multipore 83 membranes under the same conditions to selectively capture anti-CD44. In a second step, the 84 complex anti-CD44/AgCD44 is eluted from the multipore membrane and analysed by a single SiN 85 nanopore using the resistive pulse method. This second step will aim to demonstrate if the elution 86 process maintains the complex AgCD44/anti-CD44.

87 2. Materials and Method

88 **2.1.** Materials

89 The 13 μm thick PET films with biaxial orientations were purchased from Goodfellow (ES301130).

90 EDC (<u>≥99.0%, 0</u>3449), HCl (30721) NaOH (<u>≥98%</u>, 30620), MES (<u>≥99.5%</u>, M8250), NaCl (≥99.5%, ,

91 S7653), Ethylenediamine (≥99%, E26266), NiCl₂ (98%, 339350), ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid

92 (EDTA) (98.5-101.5%, E5513), CD44 Antigen (APREST83079), BSA (A9418), lysozyme (05281),

93 Avidin (A9275), Anti-avidin (B9655), Anti-BSA (SAB4301142), L-DOPA (≥98%, D9629) and PBS tab

94 buffer (P4417) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. NHS-PEG-NTA (228PG2-NSNT-2K) were

95 purchased from Nanocs. KCl (≥ 99.5%, POCL-00A) was purchased from Labkem. Dowfax 2A1 (lot

96 #TO20884587-02) was purchased from EZkem. CD44 antibody (ANT-242) was purchased from

97 PROSPEC.

98 **2.2. Membrane design**

99 **2.2.1.** Single and multipore track-etched membrane

100 The 13 µm thick PET films were irradiated by heavy swift ion Kr (9 MeV) at GANIL (Caen, France). 101 The single pores were obtained from a single track while the multipore membranes were obtained 102 with a fluency of 10⁸ ion/cm²[55]. To obtain a bullet-shaped nanopore (single and multipore 103 membranes), only one side of the film was exposed to UV at 312 nm for 26 hours avoiding 104 surfactant adsorption[60,61]. The chemical etching was done using a solution containing 6 M 105 NaOH and 0.05% Dowfax, heated at 60°C for 6 min. Then, the pore was rinsed with ultrapure 106 water into successive baths of 10 min, 15 min, 30 min, one hour and then overnight.. To obtain 107 cylindrical nanopore (multipore membrane only), the irradiated polymer films were activated by 108 12 h per side exposition to UV at 312 nm[62]. Then the chemical etching was done under NaOH 109 4M solution at 40°C for 4 min to obtain nanopore with a diameter around 100 nm.

110 **2.2.2.** Track-etched nanopore and membrane functionalization

111 Single and multipore PET membrane were functionalized using the same procedure. The 112 membrane (single or multipore) was immersed overnight in a solution of ethylenediamine (1:100) 113 in MES buffer 0.1 M containing 0.05 M of EDC (pH was adjusted to 4.5 ± 0.5 with HCl) [63]. Then, 114 a small amount of NHS-PEG-NTA was added to 1 mL of PBS for 12 hours. The membranes (single 115 and multipore) were then rinsed by Milli-Q water and incubated in a BSA solution at 1 mg/mL for 116 3 hours. Next, NiCl₂ solution 100 mM was added for 1 hour. Finally, CD44 antigen His-tag was 117 added to the tip side of the nanopore and incubated for 3 hours. This was followed by incubation 118 of the membrane with three different anti-CD44 (3 μ g/mL) for 1 hour each. The washing solution 119 was prepared with 500 mM imidazole and 100 mM EDTA, the pH was adjusted to 8.

120 **2.3.** Ionic diode measurement

The current-voltage (I-V) measurements were performed with an eONE XV amplifier. The current
 was measured by Ag/AgCl electrodes. One electrode is connected to the working electrode the

amplifier and the second one is connected to the ground. The working electrode and the ground were place on the tip and the base side of nanopore respectively (Figure 1e). The I-V curves were recorded using PBS solution 1X after each step of the functionalization and antibody detection.

126 **2.4.** Filtration

For the filtration, 1.3 cm diameter multipore membranes (pore density 10^8 pore/cm²) were functionalized and then placed into a filtration system made of Teflon (r= 0.6 cm) into which the sample is injected via a syringe. The filtration process was done using Harvard apparatus PHD 2000 perfusion, and consists of three steps: first, the passage of 250 µL of the anti-CD44 (3.37 µg/mL) at a flow rate of 167 µL/h. Next, the membrane was washed with PBS before to remove any molecules not bound to the immobilized receptors. Finally, 250 µL of the washing solution was passed through at a flow rate of 167 µL/hr to remove the complex AgCD44/Anti-CD44.

134

135 **2.5.** Resistive pulse sensing

136 **2.5.1.** SiN drilling and coating

The single nanopore was drilled inside stressless SiN, 12 nm thick (Norcada) by dielectric 137 138 breakdown using Northern Nanopore instruments. Briefly, the SiN film was washed in piranha 139 (H₂SO₄:H₂O₂, 3:1) solution at 90°C for 1 hour. Then, it was rinsed with Milli-Q water and dried 140 thoroughly using an air gun, before being placed in the microfluidic cell (Northern Nanopore). The 141 system was then flushed with 600 μ L of propan-2-ol before being filled with deionized and 142 degassed water. After that, the cell was filled with a solution of KCl (1 M)/ HEPES (8.3 mM), the 143 pH is then adjusted to 8 by KOH (1 M). Then a potential ramp from 0 to 5 V followed by a slower 144 ramp from 5 to 14 V was applied across the microchip until a pore opening is detected. Once the

145 nanopore is formed, the solution was changed to NaCl 1.5 M, HEPES 8.3 mM at pH 8 (adjusted 146 using NaOH 1 M) for the conditioning step. The latter consists to apply a voltage box from -3 V to 147 3 V for several cycles until the nanopore reached the desired diameter, here about 9.5 nm. After 148 nanopore opening and characterization by measurement of the conductance, the nanopore was 149 incubated with saturated L-DOPA (c = $10\mu g/ml$) solution in degassed deionized water for 2 hours. 150 Then the current was measured for 1 min using -300 mV and +300 mV in order to assess the noise 151 level of the nanopore.

152

2.5.2. Protein detection and analysis

153 Protein detection through SiN nanopore (diameter about 9.5 nm) was performed by resistive 154 pulse using electrolyte solution NaCl 2 M, PBS 1X, pH 7.4. The solution of AgCD44, anti-CD44 and 155 complex AgCD44/anti-CD44 use for calibration was prepared at 20 nM. The protein solution was 156 placed on the half-cell connected to the working electrode, the ground was placed on the 157 opposite side (figure 1) then applying a voltage of -300 mV for 5 min for each protein solution. 158 The ionic current was recorded at sampling rate 200 kHz with a Bessel filter at 10 kHz. The data 159 acquisition was performed with a HEKA EPC800 amplifier coupled with a LIH 8+8 acquisition card 160 using patchmaster software (HEKA electronics, Germany). The current drop induced by the 161 proteins were detected using a custom-made LabVIEW software "Peak Nano Tools". The current 162 traces were filtered at 5 kHz with a Butterworth filter. The threshold for event detection was 163 determined as 6 times the standard deviation after correction of baseline fluctuations by a 164 Savitzky–Golay filter. The current drop call events were characterized by a dwell time, and an 165 amplitude of the blockade of the current as $\Delta I/I_0$.

166 Fluorescence measurement

167 The fluorescence correlation spectroscopy was performed using lab-made confocal 168 spectrometer previously described [42]. Anti-CD44 was labelled with the alexa-fluor 640 under 169 buffer NaCl 500 mM, Tris 5 mM, pH 7.5 at a concentration around 1 nM.

170 **3. Results and discussion**

171 **3.1.** Track etched design and functionalization

172 Single and multipore nanopore membranes were obtained by the track-etched technique on PET 173 films (13 µm) To obtain the sensors, we designed a single asymmetric nanopore for their ionic 174 diode properties. To this end, bullet-like shape nanopore was achieved by the addition of Dowfax 175 2:1 surfactant in the etching solution thanks to the different etching rate due to the exposure or 176 not of the PET surface to UV light [64] (Figure 1e). The diameters d_{tip} and D_{base} were determined 177 by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) on multipore membranes with high pore density obtained 178 under the same conditions (Figure 1a-b). For an etching time of 6 minutes at a temperature of 60 179 °C, the histogram of measured d_{tip} and D_{base} are centred at 130 +/- 20 nm and 320 +/- 50 nm 180 respectively (Figure 1c-d). Because it exists variability in nanopore size, each single nanopore was 181 characterized by ionic conductance measurements. After the chemical etching, the I-V curves 182 recorded in PBS 1X are non-linear due to the negative charge of the carboxylate moieties. The 183 origin of this current rectification is the non-homogeneous distribution of ions along the nanopore 184 as previously reported[65,66]. It depends on the electrolyte concentration and the nanopore 185 shape and surface charge. Thereby, a weak modification of the nanopore surface state due to a 186 functionalization step or the binding of an analyte induce a modification of I-V curve shape that 187 can be characterized by a change of the rectification factor (eq 1)

188
$$R_f = \log \left| \frac{I_{(+1.5V)}}{I_{(-1.5V)}} \right|$$
 (1)

189 Under our conditions, a positive value of R_f reflects a global negative charge of the nanopore 190 surface. On the contrary, an inversion of R_f toward negative values is induced by a global positive 191 surface charge of the nanopore. The functionalization of the single nanopore requires 4 steps 192 (Figure 2a) before binding the AgCD44. Due to the variability of the nanopore, the rectification 193 factors are given by the average value and the error bars correspond to the standard errors 194 obtained on 8 independent single nanopores. For each I-V curve, at least 10 measurements were 195 performed and averaged. The first functionalization step consists of adding ethylenediamine to 196 the carboxylate moieties. The success of this step is evidenced by a decrease or an inversion of 197 the R_f value due to a partial conversion of the COO⁻ of the PET by positive charges of the NH₃⁺ 198 moieties. In the second step, the NHS-PEG-NTA is grafted in the presence of EDC. We observe that 199 the current rectification increases due to the replacing of NH_3^+ moieties by negative charge of 200 carboxylate moieties of PET-NTA. This confirms that the functionalization was successful. The next 201 steps consist of the addition of the AgCD44 with a histidine tag after addition of Ni²⁺. It is obvious 202 that the addition of PEG as a spacer will not avoid the non-specific adsorption of AgCD44. Indeed, 203 the reported density for carboxylic groups inside a PET nanopore is about 0.2 COOH/nm² [67]. In 204 addition, the successive functionalization steps do not have a 100 % yield. Considering that, there 205 are spaces between the PEG-NTA chains where the AgCD44 can be adsorbed. This could lead to a 206 modification of the nanopore surface and thus play a role in the R_f value.

There are several strategies to reduce non-specific adsorption [68]. The most effective is high density PEG grafting. This option is not suitable as it is limited to the number of grafting points in the nanopore, in our case, that would be the carboxylate moieties' density. Another solution is to adsorb BSA in the free spaces. We used this second strategy. After adsorption of BSA on the nanopore using a solution at 1 mg/mL in PBS, we notice a decrease in the R_f . This confirms the presence of free space between the PEG-NTA. We determined an optimal incubation time of 3

hours as after the measured rectification factor stays constant. Once BSA was adsorbed, Ni²⁺ was added for 3h. The chelation of Ni²⁺ on the carboxylate moieties and tertiary amine of NTA functions induces an inversion of the rectification factor due to an addition of positive charge in the nanopore. We notice that the values of the current and the thus the R_f are not strictly identical for all the single nanopores. This is due to the variability in the size of the nanopore and the functionalization rates. However, the trends are identical regardless the nanopore.



219

220 Figure 1 : SEM of bullet shape multipore membrane open under the same condition that single

221 nanopore. (a) is the tip side and (b) the base and the associate histogram of diameter (c) and (d)

- 222 respectively. (e) Scheme of single bullet shape nanopore for the measurement of the current of
- 223 the ionic diode under an applied potential.
- **3.2. Anti-CD44 detection**
- Our aim is to detect the anti-CD44. For this, the probe selected is the AgCD44 with a histidine-tag

226 because the two amines of imidazole moieties specifically chelate the Ni²⁺ loaded on the PEG-NTA

227 grafted inside the nanopore. The nanopore incubates for 3 hours in the AgCD44-his-tag solution

228 and then the I-V curves are recorded. We observe an inversion of the R_f which is in good 229 agreement with a negative global charge of the AgCD44 at pH 7.2 (IEP 4.9 calculated from IPC). 230 We therefore attempted to detect the anti-CD44 in PBS solution at a concentration of 3 μ g/ml. 231 After incubation for 90 min, the R_f reverses toward negative values. We note at this point that the 232 successive inversion of the R_f recorded after the Ni⁺² addition, AgCD44 and antiCD44 occurred on 233 all single nanopores (n=8). This allows, despite the variability of the Rf values inherent to the 234 distribution of single nanopore size (as shown for multipore membrane on figure 1), to control of 235 the last steps of the functionalization and the detection of the antibody. We rinsed the nanopore 236 with an imidazole solution that contains EDTA In order to release the AgCD44/anti-CD44 complex. 237 After washing, the rectification reverses to reach a range close to the step before the addition of 238 Ni²⁺ suggesting that the complex is filtrated. However, this will be confirmed later by experiments 239 using multipore membranes and further analysis of the filtrate solution.



241 Figure 2 : (a) Illustration of the functionalization steps of the single and multipore nanopore

242 membranes (b) I-V curve obtained after each functionalization steps using PBS 1X (c) histogram

- 243 of mean R_f value obtained from 8 individual singles nanopores ($d_{tip} = 130 + -20$ nm and $D_{base} =$
- 244 320 +/- 50 nm). (d) Illustration of the detection and elution steps of the single and multipore
- 245 nanopore membranes (e) I-V curve obtained after each detection and washing steps (f)
- 246 histogram of mean R_f value obtained from 8 individual singles nanopore. The error bars are the
- 247 means error obtain from the 8 individual singles nanopore.

249 At this stage, we have to evaluate the selectivity of the single nanopore against antibodies and 250 other proteins. After 3h incubation with anti-BSA (n=6 independent nanopore), we did not 251 observe any inversion of the rectification factor (figure 3). This means that there is no BSA binding 252 site accessible in the nanopore from the coating. Similar results were obtained using anti-avidin 253 (n=3 independent nanopore). Regardless the antibody, a small variation of R_f may occur due to 254 non-specific interactions or adsorption. Nevertheless, this does not compromise the efficiency of 255 the nanopore sensor. Indeed, after incubation with anti-BSA or anti-avidin, the nanopore remains 256 functional to detect anti-CD44 as shown by the inversion of the current rectification (figure 3). We have also evaluated whether the addition of different proteins induces a disruption of the R_f 257 258 due to non-specific adsorption. For this purpose, a series of proteins was incubated in the AgCD44-259 functionalized nanopore. After washing with PBS, the I-V response remained the same, as the 260 rectification factor (Figure 3e). This means that the selected proteins do not modify the surface 261 properties of the nanopore and therefore their eventual adsorption can be neglected. After 262 incubating these proteins, the nanopore sensor remains functional. However, it should be noted 263 that without an optimal BSA coating, the R_f is modified after protein incubation. 264



Figure 3 : (a) Illustration of selectivity tests performed for single nanopore membranes against (a) antibodies and (b) proteins (c) histogram of mean R_f value obtained from n individual singles nanopores after incubation with (c) anti-BSA (n=6), (d) anti-avidin (n=3) and (e) various proteins (n=3). The error bars are the means error obtain from the n individual singles nanopore. The nanopore size are $d_{tip} = 130 + /-20$ nm and $D_{base} = 320 + /-50$ nm.

3.3. Separation by multipore membrane and sensing of eluted anti-CD44 at the single

272 molecule scale

273 We have previously demonstrated on a single nanopore membrane that functionalization with 274 AgCD44 was efficient in detecting anti-CD44. The washing with imidazole solution suggested that 275 the complex AgCD44/anti-CD44 should be removed from the pore. To verify this and thereby 276 validate our approach to antibody separation, we designed multipore membranes containing 277 cylindrical pores of 100 nm of +/- 20 nm diameter following strictly the same steps as the single 278 nanopore. After grafting the AgCD44, anti-CD44 solutions were filtered before washing with 279 imidazole\EDTA solution. The eluted solution obtained with unlabelled anti-CD44 was analysed 280 by single SiN nanopore of 9.7 nm diameter and 12 nm thickness obtained by dielectric breakdown. 281 In the first step, solutions of AgCD44, anti-CD44 and the complex anti-CD44/AgCD44 were 282 analysed by the nanopore. The current traces show for each sample current blockades that can 283 be assigned to the analyte. The amplitude of the current blockades for AgCD44 and anti-CD44 are 284 centred at 0.03 and 0.04 respectively. This may seem counter-intuitive given the difference in 285 volume of the two proteins (i.e.: 61 nm³ and 356 nm³ respectively). We notice, however, that the 286 size of anti-CD44 is larger than the nanopore and therefore only bumping events can be recorded. 287 This is confirmed by a shorter dwell time recorded for the anti-CD44 than the AgCD44 (Figure 4). 288 Conversely, the AgCD44 antigen can translocate into the nanopore. Based on the calculation 289 proposed by Yusko et al. [69], it is possible to obtain a theoretical value for the amplitude of the 290 current blockage by assuming a spheroidal oblate geometry of the AgCD44.

$$\frac{\Delta I}{I_0} = \frac{\Lambda \gamma}{\pi r_p^2 \left(l_p + 1.6r_p \right)} S\left(\frac{r_p}{2R_h}\right) \tag{2}$$

where Λ is the protein volume, γ is a scaling factor, $S\left(\frac{r_p}{2R_h}\right)$ correction factor that calculates the effective hydrodynamic radius R_h of the protein inside the nanopore (equation 4).

$$S\left(\frac{r_p}{2R_h}\right) = \frac{1}{1 - 0.8\left(\frac{R_h}{r_p}\right)^3} \tag{3}$$

In the equation 2, γ dependents on the shape of the protein, for a spherical one it is equal to 1.5. For a spheroid, the value of γ depends on the orientation (parallel || or perpendicular \perp) of the protein relative to the electrical field. Form factors relative to the orientation γ_{\parallel} and γ_{\perp} are given by equation 5 [69].

$$\gamma_{\parallel} = \frac{1}{1 - n_{\parallel}} \text{ and } \gamma_{\perp} = \frac{1}{1 - n_{\perp}}$$
⁽⁴⁾

297 Where n_{\parallel} and n_{\perp} are dependent on the ratio m of two hydrodynamic radii. The antibodies have 298 an oblate shape m=a/b. The form is given by the equation 5 and 7

$$n_{\parallel} = \frac{1}{1 - m^2} \left[1 - \frac{m}{\sqrt{1 - m^2}} \cos^{-1}(m) \right]$$
(5)

$$n_{\perp} = \frac{(1 - n_{\parallel})}{2} \tag{6}$$

299

300 Taking as radius a = 2 nm and b = 2.7 nm (from the PBD structure), the expected $\Delta I/I_0$ (according to 301 a parallel and perpendicular orientations 0.05 and 0.09 respectively) are larger than measured 302 one (centred to 0.03). The experimental values lower than expected could be due to a change in 303 the structure of the protein under the effect of the electric field in the pore [70]. We also observe 304 that the dwell time is ms scale is larger than the theoretical one as previously reported for the 305 experiment conducted at sampling rate of 200 kHz [42,71]. This was assigned to the ability to 306 detect only the protein that interacts with the nanopore [42]. The $\Delta I/I_0$ obtained for the 307 AgCD44/anti-CD44 complex are centred on 0.06 (Figure 4). This shift toward larger values can be 308 attributed to a larger volume of the complex than that of the anti-CD44, thus inducing a larger 309 blocking amplitude during the bump. Interestingly, the dwell time increase regarding the 310 antiCD44 that can be assigned to the larger volume of the complex and thus an increase of the 311 diffusion coefficient.

The eluted solution from the multipore membrane was then analysed by the same single SiN nanopore. To do so, we measured current blockade during 25 min with a frequency rate of 0.11 events/s. Even if this capture rate is low, it confirms that proteins are eluted from the membrane. The analysis of the $\Delta I/I_0$ reveals that the distributions are centred on 0.03. This corresponds well with the expected values for non-complexed anti-CD44 and AgCD44. In addition, the distribution of dwell time is spread suggesting that translocation and bumping are recorded. These results could be explained by the dissociation of AgCD44/anti-CD44 complex during the washing process. 319 In order to confirm this, filtration experiments with anti-CD44 labelled with alexa fluor 647 were 320 performed. In order to observe a fluorescence signal in the elution product, we used a confocal 321 system to perform fluorescence correlation spectroscopy measurements. First, a fluorescence 322 signal was observed for the filtration product confirming the presence of anti-CD44 in the elution 323 product. Self-correlation function analysis did not reveal a significant increase in the diffusion 324 coefficient of antiCD44 due to its complexation with AgCD44. This is consistent with the nanopore 325 analysis which suggests that the washing with imidazole\EDTA allow the elution of the anti-CD44 326 and AgCD44 but separates the two entities.



328 Figure 4 : a. Scheme of the filtration process through a multipore membrane. b. scanning

- 329 electron microscope image of the multipore membrane, c. Scheme of the detection process by a
- 330 solid state nanopore, d. Scheme of the resistive pulse showing an event having a current
- 331 blockade $\Delta I/I_0$ and dwell time Δt , e. Traces of each of the measured proteins (AgCD44 in blue,

anti-CD44 in orange, the complex in yellow) and the eluted solution in violet shown here by the
membrane trace, f. Boxplot for the logarithm of the dwell time of all the events obtained from
each protein and the filtered membrane solutions, e. boxplots for the current blockade of all the
protein and filtered solutions.

336 **4.** Conclusion

337 In summary, we designed single nanopores ionic diode sensors to detect anti-CD44 by a simple I-338 V curve measurement. The use of a bullet-like shape allows a good reproducibility, despite 339 variability inherent to the individual measurement. The multipore membrane obtained under 340 strictly the same conditions allowed the capture of the antibodies and their analysis by two 341 methods (nanopore and FCS). The elution product contains the uncomplexed antibody. Thus, we 342 show that it is possible to design a membrane based on single and multipore track-etched 343 technique for the separation of antibodies with an associated sensor allowing the parallel 344 detection of the antibody capture from the bulk solution. Next, using SiN nanopore sensors, 345 further analysis on the elution product allows to obtain information on the assembly of the two 346 entities antibody and antigens. We expect that the combination of a multipore membrane with 347 the ionic diode sensor in a microfluidic system could provide a novel versatile nanopore-based 348 device for an unprecedented fast preparation of analytical samples or the production of 349 antibodies. In addition, the parallel detection of traces of a target antibody could allow a pre-350 control of the sample before a deeper and costly analysis.

351

352 Acknowledgments

This work was founded by Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR-19-CE42-0006, NanoOligo) and
l'institut Carnot Chimie Balard Cirimat (ref16CARN000801). Single tracks have been produced in

- 355 GANIL (Caen, France) in the framework of an EMIR project. The authors thank E. Balanzat at
- 356 CIMAP Caen for support to produce tracked polymer film.
- 357

358 **References**

- J.K. Aronson, R.E. Ferner, Biomarkers-A General Review, Current Protocols in
 Pharmacology 76 (2017) 9.23.1-9.23.17.
- 361 [2] O. Yang, M. Qadan, M. Ierapetritou, Economic Analysis of Batch and Continuous
 362 Biopharmaceutical Antibody Production: A Review, J Pharm Innov 14 (2019) 1–19.
- 363 [3] S. Liu, Z. Li, B. Yu, S. Wang, Y. Shen, H. Cong, Recent advances on protein
 364 separation and purification methods, Advances in Colloid and Interface Science 284
 365 (2020) 102254.
- [4] Z. Zhu, J.J. Lu, S. Liu, Protein separation by capillary gel electrophoresis: a review,
 Analytica Chimica Acta 709 (2012) 21–31.
- [5] C.K. Larive, S.M. Lunte, M. Zhong, M.D. Perkins, G.S. Wilson, G. Gokulrangan, T.
 Williams, F. Afroz, C. Schöneich, T.S. Derrick, C.R. Middaugh, S. BogdanowichKnipp, Separation and analysis of peptides and proteins, Anal. Chem. 71 (1999)
 389R-423R.
- K.K.R. Tetala, M.A. Vijayalakshmi, A review on recent developments for
 biomolecule separation at analytical scale using microfluidic devices, Analytica
 Chimica Acta 906 (2016) 7–21.
- M. Sonker, V. Sahore, A.T. Woolley, Recent advances in microfluidic sample
 preparation and separation techniques for molecular biomarker analysis: A critical
 review, Analytica Chimica Acta 986 (2017) 1–11.
- 378 [8] H.J. Issaq, The role of separation science in proteomics research, Electrophoresis 22
 379 (2001) 3629–3638.
- R. Ghosh, Protein separation using membrane chromatography: opportunities and
 challenges, Journal of Chromatography A 952 (2002) 13–27.
- [10] S. AHMED, A. LUTES, T. BARBARI, Specific capture of target proteins by
 oriented antibodies bound to tyrosinase-immobilized Protein A on a polyallylamine
 affinity membrane surface, Journal of Membrane Science 282 (2006) 311–321.
- [11] D. YU, M. MCLEAN, J. HALL, R. GHOSH, Purification of monoclonal antibody
 from tobacco extract using membrane-based bioseparation techniques, Journal of
 Membrane Science 323 (2008) 159–166.
- [12] L. Wang, R. Ghosh, Fractionation of monoclonal antibody aggregates using
 membrane chromatography, Journal of Membrane Science 318 (2008) 311–316.
- J. Wang, E.W. Jenkins, J.R. Robinson, A. Wilson, S.M. Husson, A new multimodal
 membrane adsorber for monoclonal antibody purifications, Journal of Membrane
 Science 492 (2015) 137–146.
- 393 [14] A.L. Zydney, New developments in membranes for bioprocessing A review,
 394 Journal of Membrane Science 620 (2021) 118804.
- 395 [15] E. Klein, Affinity membranes: a 10-year review, Journal of Membrane Science 179
 396 (2000) 1–27.

- 397 [16] G. Brisson, M. Britten, Y. Pouliot, Electrically-enhanced crossflow microfiltration
 398 for separation of lactoferrin from whey protein mixtures, Journal of Membrane
 399 Science 297 (2007) 206–216.
- 400 [17] N. Meyer, I. Abrao-Nemeir, J.-M. Janot, J. Torrent, M. Lepoitevin, S. Balme, Solid401 state and polymer nanopores for protein sensing: A review, Advances in Colloid and
 402 Interface Science 298 (2021) 102561.
- [18] P.Y. Apel, I.V. Blonskaya, O.L. Orelovitch, S.N. Dmitriev, Diode-like ion-track
 asymmetric nanopores: Some alternative methods of fabrication, Nuclear
 Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with
 Materials and Atoms 267 (2009) 1023–1027.
- 407 [19] P.Y. Apel, I.V. Blonskaya, O.L. Orelovitch, P. Ramirez, B.A. Sartowska, Effect of
 408 nanopore geometry on ion current rectification, Nanotechnology 22 (2011) 175302.
- 409 [20] K.-J. Kim, P.V. Stevens, A.G. Fane, Porosity dependence of pore entry shape in
 410 track-etched membranes by image analysis, Journal of Membrane Science 93 (1994)
 411 79–90.
- [21] V. Chavan, C. Agarwal, A.K. Pandey, J.P. Nair, P. Surendran, P.C. Kalsi, A.
 Goswami, Controlled development of pores in polyethylene terepthalate sheet by
 room temperature chemical etching method, Journal of Membrane Science 471
 (2014) 185–191.
- [22] S. Dutt, P. Apel, N. Lizunov, C. Notthoff, Q. Wen, C. Trautmann, P. Mota-Santiago,
 N. Kirby, P. Kluth, Shape of nanopores in track-etched polycarbonate membranes,
 Journal of Membrane Science 638 (2021) 119681.
- [23] T. Ma, J.-M. Janot, S. Balme, Track-Etched Nanopore/Membrane: From
 Fundamental to Applications, Small Methods 4 (2020) 2000366.
- [24] I.V. Blonskaya, N.E. Lizunov, K. Olejniczak, O.L. Orelovich, Y. Yamauchi, M.E.
 Toimil-Molares, C. Trautmann, P.Y. Apel, Elucidating the roles of diffusion and
 osmotic flow in controlling the geometry of nanochannels in asymmetric tracketched membranes, Journal of Membrane Science 618 (2021) 118657.
- 425 [25] Z.S. Siwy, Ion-Current Rectification in Nanopores and Nanotubes with Broken
 426 Symmetry, Adv. Funct. Mater. 16 (2006) 735–746.
- [26] Z. Siwy, E. Heins, C.C. Harrell, P. Kohli, C.R. Martin, Conical-Nanotube IonCurrent Rectifiers: The Role of Surface Charge, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126 (2004)
 10850–10851.
- [27] M. Ali, B. Yameen, J. Cervera, P. Ramírez, R. Neumann, W. Ensinger, W. Knoll, O.
 Azzaroni, Layer-by-layer assembly of polyelectrolytes into ionic current rectifying
 solid-state nanopores: insights from theory and experiment, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132
 (2010) 8338–8348.
- 434 [28] G. Laucirica, Y.T. Terrones, V. Cayón, M.L. Cortez, M.E. Toimil-Molares, C.
 435 Trautmann, W. Marmisollé, O. Azzaroni, Biomimetic Solid-State Nanochannels for
 436 Chemical and Biological Sensing Applications, TrAC Trends in Analytical
 437 Chemistry (2021) 116425.
- 438 [29] M. Ali, S. Nasir, W. Ensinger, Bioconjugation-induced ionic current rectification in
 439 aptamer-modified single cylindrical nanopores, Chemical communications
 440 (Cambridge, England) 51 (2015) 3454–3457.

- [30] M. Ali, P. Ramirez, M.N. Tahir, S. Mafe, Z. Siwy, R. Neumann, W. Tremel, W.
 Ensinger, Biomolecular conjugation inside synthetic polymer nanopores via
 glycoprotein-lectin interactions, Nanoscale 3 (2011) 1894–1903.
- 444 [31] M. Ali, M.N. Tahir, Z. Siwy, R. Neumann, W. Tremel, W. Ensinger, Hydrogen
 445 peroxide sensing with horseradish peroxidase-modified polymer single conical
 446 nanochannels, Analytical chemistry 83 (2011) 1673–1680.
- [32] M.N. Tahir, M. Ali, R. Andre, W.E.G. Müller, H.-C. Schröder, W. Tremel, W.
 Ensinger, Silicatein conjugation inside nanoconfined geometries through
 immobilized NTA-Ni(II) chelates, Chem. Commun. 49 (2013) 2210–2212.
- [33] M. Lepoitevin, M. Bechelany, E. Balanzat, J.-M. Janot, S. Balme, Non-Fluorescence
 label protein sensing with track-etched nanopore decorated by avidin/biotin system,
 Electrochimica Acta 211 (2016) 611–618.
- [34] M. Lepoitevin, B. Jamilloux, M. Bechelany, E. Balanzat, J.-M. Janot, S. Balme, Fast
 and reversible functionalization of a single nanopore based on layer-by-layer
 polyelectrolyte self-assembly for tuning current rectification and designing sensors,
 RSC advances 6 (2016) 32228–32233.
- [35] I. Duznovic, A. Gräwe, W. Weber, L.K. Müller, M. Ali, W. Ensinger, A. Tietze, V.
 Stein, Ultrasensitive and Selective Protein Recognition with NanobodyFunctionalized Synthetic Nanopores, Small (Weinheim an der Bergstrasse,
- 460 Germany) 17 (2021) e2101066.
- [36] T. Ma, E. Balanzat, J.-M. Janot, S. Balme, Single conical track-etched nanopore for
 a free-label detection of OSCS contaminants in heparin, Biosensors & bioelectronics
 137 (2019) 207–212.
- 464 [37] J. Li, D. Stein, C. McMullan, D. Branton, M.J. Aziz, J.A. Golovchenko, Ion-beam
 465 sculpting at nanometre length scales, Nature 412 (2001) 166–169.
- 466 [38] L. Xue, H. Yamazaki, R. Ren, M. Wanunu, A.P. Ivanov, J.B. Edel, Solid-state
 467 nanopore sensors, Nat Rev Mater 5 (2020) 931–951.
- [39] R. Hu, J.V. Rodrigues, P. Waduge, H. Yamazaki, B. Cressiot, Y. Chishti, L.
 Makowski, D. Yu, E. Shakhnovich, Q. Zhao, M. Wanunu, Differential Enzyme
 Flexibility Probed Using Solid-State Nanopores, ACS nano 12 (2018) 4494–4502.
- [40] J. Larkin, R.Y. Henley, M. Muthukumar, J.K. Rosenstein, M. Wanunu, Highbandwidth protein analysis using solid-state nanopores, Biophysical Journal 106
 (2014) 696–704.
- [41] P. Waduge, R. Hu, P. Bandarkar, H. Yamazaki, B. Cressiot, Q. Zhao, P.C. Whitford,
 M. Wanunu, Nanopore-Based Measurements of Protein Size, Fluctuations, and
 Conformational Changes, ACS nano 11 (2017) 5706–5716.
- 477 [42] S. Balme, P.E. Coulon, M. Lepoitevin, B. Charlot, N. Yandrapalli, C. Favard, D.
 478 Muriaux, M. Bechelany, J.-M. Janot, Influence of Adsorption on Proteins and
 479 Amyloid Detection by Silicon Nitride Nanopore, Langmuir 32 (2016) 8916–8925.
- [43] L. Reynaud, A. Bouchet-Spinelli, J.-M. Janot, A. Buhot, S. Balme, C. Raillon,
 Discrimination of α-Thrombin and γ-Thrombin Using Aptamer-Functionalized
 Nanopore Sensing, Anal. Chem. 93 (2021) 7889–7897.
- [44] E.C. Yusko, B.R. Bruhn, O.M. Eggenberger, J. Houghtaling, R.C. Rollings, N.C.
 Walsh, S. Nandivada, M. Pindrus, A.R. Hall, D. Sept, J. Li, D.S. Kalonia, M. Mayer,
- 485 Real-time shape approximation and fingerprinting of single proteins using a
- 486 nanopore, Nature Nanotech 12 (2017) 360–367.

- 487 [45] E.C. Yusko, P. Prangkio, D. Sept, R.C. Rollings, J. Li, M. Mayer, Single-Particle
 488 Characterization of Aβ Oligomers in Solution, ACS nano 6 (2012) 5909–5919.
- [46] H. Chae, D.-K. Kwak, M.-K. Lee, S.-W. Chi, K.-B. Kim, Solid-state nanopore
 analysis on conformation change of p53TAD-MDM2 fusion protein induced by
 protein-protein interaction, Nanoscale 10 (2018) 17227–17235.
- 492 [47] J. Li, D. Fologea, R. Rollings, B. Ledden, Characterization of Protein Unfolding
 493 with Solid-state Nanopores, PPL 21 (2014) 256–265.
- [48] M. Firnkes, D. Pedone, J. Knezevic, M. Döblinger, U. Rant, Electrically facilitated
 translocations of proteins through silicon nitride nanopores: conjoint and competitive
 action of diffusion, electrophoresis, and electroosmosis, Nano letters 10 (2010)
 2162–2167.
- 498 [49] D. Fologea, B. Ledden, D.S. McNabb, J. Li, Electrical characterization of protein
 499 molecules by a solid-state nanopore, Applied physics letters 91 (2007) 539011–
 500 539013.
- [50] J. Houghtaling, C. Ying, O.M. Eggenberger, A. Fennouri, S. Nandivada, M.
 Acharjee, J. Li, A.R. Hall, M. Mayer, Estimation of Shape, Volume, and Dipole
 Moment of Individual Proteins Freely Transiting a Synthetic Nanopore, ACS nano
 13 (2019) 5231–5242.
- 505 [51] L. Reynaud, A. Bouchet-Spinelli, C. Raillon, A. Buhot, Sensing with Nanopores and
 506 Aptamers: A Way Forward, Sensors (Basel, Switzerland) 20 (2020).
- 507 [52] Y.-L. Ying, R.-J. Yu, Y.-X. Hu, R. Gao, Y.-T. Long, Single antibody-antigen
 508 interactions monitored via transient ionic current recording using nanopore sensors,
 509 Chem. Commun. 53 (2017) 8620–8623.
- [53] M. Waugh, K. Briggs, D. Gunn, M. Gibeault, S. King, Q. Ingram, A.M. Jimenez, S.
 Berryman, D. Lomovtsev, L. Andrzejewski, V. Tabard-Cossa, Solid-state nanopore
 fabrication by automated controlled breakdown, Nat Protoc 15 (2020) 122–143.
- 513 [54] H. Kwok, K. Briggs, V. Tabard-Cossa, Nanopore fabrication by controlled dielectric
 514 breakdown, PloS one 9 (2014) e92880.
- 515 [55] S. Balme, T. Ma, E. Balanzat, J.-M. Janot, Large osmotic energy harvesting from
 516 functionalized conical nanopore suitable for membrane applications, Journal of
 517 Membrane Science 544 (2017) 18–24.
- 518 [56] T. Ma, E. Balanzat, J.-M. Janot, S. Balme, Nanopore Functionalized by Highly
 519 Charged Hydrogels for Osmotic Energy Harvesting, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 11
 520 (2019) 12578–12585.
- [57] M. Lepoitevin, T. Ma, M. Bechelany, J.-M. Janot, S. Balme, Functionalization of
 single solid state nanopores to mimic biological ion channels: A review, Advances in
 Colloid and Interface Science 250 (2017) 195–213.
- [58] H. Xu, M. Niu, X. Yuan, K. Wu, A. Liu, CD44 as a tumor biomarker and therapeutic
 target, Exp Hematol Oncol 9 (2020) 36.
- [59] H.A. Runnels, G.L. Weber, J. Min, E.M. Kudlacz, J.F. Zobel, C.B. Donovan, M.A.
 Thiede, J. Zhang, R.B. Alpert, M.A. Salafia, A.J. Milici, D. Burdette, R.R. Bell, J.S.
- 528 Beebe, X. Xu, PF-03475952: a potent and neutralizing fully human anti-CD44 529 antibody for therapeutic applications in inflammatory diseases, Adv Therapy 27
- 530 (2010) 168–180.

- 531 [60] P.Y. Apel, I.V. Blonskava, S.N. Dmitriev, O.L. Orelovitch, A. Presz, B.A. 532 Sartowska, Fabrication of nanopores in polymer foils with surfactant-controlled 533 longitudinal profiles, Nanotechnology 18 (2007) 305302. 534 [61] P.Y. Apel, I.V. Blonskaya, N.E. Lizunov, K. Olejniczak, O.L. Orelovitch, M.E. 535 Toimil-Molares, C. Trautmann, Osmotic Effects in Track-Etched Nanopores, Small 536 (Weinheim an der Bergstrasse, Germany) 14 (2018) e1703327. 537 [62] S. SIRIJARUKUL, E. BALANZAT, E. VASINA, P. DEJARDIN, Flat sheet 538 membrane with controlled variation of pore density and pore size in a direction 539 parallel to the surface☆, Journal of Membrane Science 296 (2007) 185–194. 540 [63] K. Kececi, L.T. Sexton, F. Buyukserin, C.R. Martin, Resistive-pulse detection of 541 short dsDNAs using a chemically functionalized conical nanopore sensor, 542 Nanomedicine (London, England) 3 (2008) 787-796. 543 [64] P.Y. Apel, I.V. Blonskaya, S.N. Dmitriev, O.L. Orelovich, B.A. Sartowska, Ion track 544 symmetric and asymmetric nanopores in polyethylene terephthalate foils for 545 versatile applications, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section 546 B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms 365 (2015) 409-413. 547 [65] Z.J. Grzywna, Z. Siwy, C.L. Bashford, Nonlinear theory for ionic transport through 548 track-etched nuclear membranes, Journal of Membrane Science 121 (1996) 261-549 269. 550 [66] D.Y. Butylskii, N.D. Pismenskaya, P.Y. Apel, K.G. Sabbatovskiy, V.V. Nikonenko, 551 Highly selective separation of singly charged cations by countercurrent 552 electromigration with a track-etched membrane, Journal of Membrane Science 635 553 (2021) 119449. 554 [67] T. Ma, N. Arroyo, J. Marc Janot, F. Picaud, S. Balme, Conformation of Polyethylene 555 Glycol inside Confined Space: Simulation and Experimental Approaches, 556 Nanomaterials (Basel, Switzerland) 11 (2021). 557 [68] D. Coglitore, J.-M. Janot, S. Balme, Protein at liquid solid interfaces: Toward a new 558 paradigm to change the approach to design hybrid protein/solid-state materials, 559 Advances in Colloid and Interface Science 270 (2019) 278-292. 560 [69] E.C. Yusko, J.M. Johnson, S. Majd, P. Prangkio, R.C. Rollings, J. Li, J. Yang, M. 561 Mayer, Controlling protein translocation through nanopores with bio-inspired fluid 562 walls, Nature Nanotech 6 (2011) 253–260. 563 [70] A. Carlsen, V. Tabard-Cossa, Mapping shifts in nanopore signal to changes in 564 protein and protein-DNA conformation, Proteomics (2021) e2100068. 565 [71] C. Plesa, S.W. Kowalczyk, R. Zinsmeester, A.Y. Grosberg, Y. Rabin, C. Dekker, 566 Fast translocation of proteins through solid state nanopores, Nano letters 13 (2013) 567 658-663.
- 568