

Tracking spoilage bacteria in the tuna microbiome

Elsa Gadoin, Christelle Desnues, Thierry Bouvier, Emmanuelle Roque d'Orbcastel, Jean-Christophe Auguet, Sandrine Crochemore, Antoinette Adingra, Yvan Bettarel

► To cite this version:

Elsa Gadoin, Christelle Desnues, Thierry Bouvier, Emmanuelle Roque d'Orbcastel, Jean-Christophe Auguet, et al.. Tracking spoilage bacteria in the tuna microbiome. FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 2022, 98 (10), pp.fiac110. 10.1093/femsec/fiac110. hal-03860695

HAL Id: hal-03860695 https://hal.umontpellier.fr/hal-03860695

Submitted on 5 Dec 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Tracking spoilage bacteria in the tuna necrobiome

Elsa Gadoin, Christelle Desnues, Thierry Bouvier, Emmanuelle Roque d'Orbcastel, Jean- Christophe Auguet, Sandrine Crochemore, Adingra Antoinette, Yvan Bettarel

► To cite this version:

Elsa Gadoin, Christelle Desnues, Thierry Bouvier, Emmanuelle Roque d'Orbcastel, Jean-Christophe Auguet, et al.. Tracking spoilage bacteria in the tuna necrobiome. FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 2022. hal-03869406

HAL Id: hal-03869406 https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03869406

Submitted on 24 Nov 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Tracking spoilage bacteria in the tuna necrobiome
2	
3	Elsa Gadoin ¹ , Christelle Desnues ² , Thierry Bouvier ¹ , Emmanuelle Roque d'Orbcastel ¹ , Jean-
4	Christophe Auguet ¹ , Sandrine Crochemore ¹ , Adingra Antoinette ³ , Yvan Bettarel ^{1*}
5	
6	¹ MARBEC, Université de Montpellier, CNRS, Ifremer, IRD, Montpellier, France
7	² Institut Méditerranéen d'Océanologie (MIO), Aix-Marseille Université, Université de Toulon, CNRS,
8	IRD, Marseille, France
9	³ Centre de Recherche Océanologique, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire
10	
11	

12 Abstract

13 Like other seafood products, tuna is highly perishable and sensitive to microbial spoilage. Its 14 consumption, whether fresh or canned, can lead to severe food poisoning due to the 15 presence of histamine-producing bacteria and other specific spoilage organisms (SSOs) 16 found in the tuna microbiome. Such bacteria generally develop in dead fish after their 17 capture if conservation conditions are deficient. However, many grey areas persist regarding 18 their ecology, their conditions of emergence and proliferation, and their distribution within 19 different organs. In this study, we used 16S rDNA barcoding to investigate post-mortem 20 changes in the tuna necrobiome until the advanced stages of decomposition (i.e. 120 h). The 21 analyses were performed on fresh and brine-frozen yellowfin tuna (Thunnus albacares) 22 captured in the tropical Atlantic Ocean. The results revealed that despite standard 23 refrigeration storage conditions (i.e. 4°C), a diverse and complex spoilage bacteriome 24 continued to develop in the gut and liver. In general, the relative abundance of SSOs 25 increased rapidly in both organs, representing 82% of the bacterial communities in fresh 26 yellowfin tuna, and less than 30% in brine-frozen ones. Interestingly, Photobacterium was 27 identified as a major bacterial genus, and its temporal dynamics were positively correlated 28 with histamine concentrations, which ultimately, in fresh tunas, exceeded the recommended 29 sanitary level of 50 ppm established by the United States Food and Drug Administration. 30 Finally, the study of the tuna necrobiome shows that the sanitary risks associated with the 31 consumption of this widely eaten fish is strongly influenced by the post-capture storage 32 conditions.

33

- 35 microorganisms
- 36
- 37
- 38 *corresponding author

³⁴ Keywords: necrobiome, tuna, histamine, Photobacterium, microbiome, spoilage

1 Introduction

2 Like other living organisms, fish live in close association with a diverse assemblage of 3 microorganisms, including bacteria, viruses, archaea and microeukaryotes, which constitute 4 their microbiome. Increasing attention has been paid to the fish microbiome in recent years, 5 and we now know that it ensures a number of essential functions for the health and fitness 6 of the host (Egerton et al. 2018; Sehnal et al. 2021). It has also been shown to be highly 7 heterogeneous in the body, with specific microbial signatures in different fish organs, 8 including the gut, gills, skin, liver, etc. (Apprill 2017; Egerton et al. 2018; Ross et al. 2019; 9 Gadoin et al. 2021). Numerous studies have reported that the composition of the fish microbiome depends on various factors such as species (Chiarello et al. 2015, 2018; Givens 10 et al. 2015; Larsen et al. 2013), stage of development (Hansen & Olafsen 1999), sex 11 12 (Dhanasiri et al. 2011), diet (Cordero et al. 2015; Parata et al. 2019), geographical location 13 (Chiarello et al. 2019; Xavier et al. 2020) or captive state (Dhanasiri et al. 2011; Parata et al. 14 2019). However, little is known about the evolution of this microbiome in the different 15 organs after the death of the fish, which nevertheless partly conditions its sanitary quality 16 for consumption. After a fish dies, numerous physical and chemical alterations take place in 17 the body (i.e. decrease in pH, cellular lysis), inducing taxonomic and functional shifts in the 18 bacterial community initially present in the organism (Boziaris & Parlapani 2017; Duarte et al. 2020; Gram & Huss 1996). The microbial assemblage that grows in a dead fish and leads 19 to its decomposition is known as the necrobiome, from the Greek word nekrós for 'death'. 20

21 In the last three decades, numerous studies have analysed the diversity and activity of 22 spoilage microorganisms in many seafood products, mainly using a culture-based approach (reviewed in Boziaris & Parlapani 2017; Gram & Huss 1996; Gram & Dalgaard 2002). These 23 24 microorganisms, referred to as specific spoilage organisms (SSOs), typically belong to the 25 bacterial genera Aeromonas, Vibrio, Photobacterium, Shewanella or Enterobacteriaceae, to 26 cite a few, and they are commonly found in the flesh of fish and seafood products (Boziaris 27 & Parlapani 2017; Gram & Dalgaard 2002). In general, most SSOs are known to produce specific metabolites (trimethylamine oxide, ammonia, biogenic amines, organic acids, 28 29 acetate and sulphur) leading to the organoleptic rejection of the seafood product during quality control checks (Boziaris & Parlapani 2017; Gram & Dalgaard 2002). Among SSOs, 30 31 several species such as Shewanella spp., Vibrio spp., Salmonella and Listeria monocytogenes

are also human pathogens (Parlapani 2021). The levels of these SSOs in the host organism 1 2 are mainly dependent on storage conditions and the previous fish evisceration (Huss 1995). 3 Chilling, freezing and vacuum storage can reduce the production of degrading metabolites 4 by SSOs and thus increase the shelf-life of seafood products (Dawson, Al-Jeddawi & 5 Remington 2018; Ghaly 2010; Sivertsvik, Jeksrud & Rosnes 2002). The diversity of SSOs also 6 varies according to the fish species (Parlapani et al. 2013; Parlapani et al. 2018; Reynisson et al. 2010), the geographical location (Parlapani et al., 2018), and the composition of the initial 7 8 microbiome (Boziaris & Parlapani 2017; Gram & Dalgaard 2002).

9 The majority of studies on the spoilage microbiome in fish have been conducted on flesh 10 (Antunes-Rohling et al. 2019; Chytiri et al. 2004; Eliasson et al. 2019; Taliadourou et al. 2003; 11 Wang et al. 2017; Zotta et al. 2019), while the viscera such as the gut and liver have received 12 less attention. Yet the latter are recognized as important microbial reservoirs: the digestive 13 tract of fish is known to host specific bacterial taxa that play key roles in the digestion, immunity and fitness of the host (Egerton et al. 2018; Ghanbari, Kneifel & Domig 2015). 14 15 More recently, diverse microbial communities have also been discovered in the liver of 16 several fish species, including tuna, mullet, sardinella and Randall's threadfin bream (Gadoin 17 et al. in rev.; Meron et al. 2020), showing the importance of including this organ in 18 microbiome studies on marine organisms.

19 Of the main fish species consumed worldwide, tuna show one of the highest risks of food poisoning (Hungerford 2010; Tortorella et al. 2014). From a microbiological perspective, 20 21 tuna, like other members of the Scombridae family, is an interesting study model, as the 22 consumption of this species can lead to histamine poisoning (Hungerford 2010, 2021). 23 Histamine is produced by specific SSOs (Gram & Dalgaard 2002; Jørgensen et al. 2000) called 24 histamine-producing bacteria (HPB), from a precursor amino acid (histidine) present in high 25 concentrations in Scombridge, that HPB catalyse with the enzyme histidine decarboxylase 26 (HDC) (Prester 2011). It has been clearly established that storage temperature is a major 27 factor influencing the production of histamine by HPB (Economou et al. 2007; Guizani et al. 28 2005; Hungerford 2021; Mahusain et al. 2017; Silva et al. 1998). Yet, while these histamine-29 producing bacteria have been identified and the production mechanisms of this biogenic 30 amine are relatively well known, the ecology and development of HPB within the post-31 mortem microbiome of tuna remain poorly understood.

In this study, we chose to conduct our investigations on a particular species: the yellowfin 1 2 tuna (Thunnus albacares), which is found in tropical waters worldwide and is the second 3 most consumed tuna species in the world (FAO 2020). Our objective was to understand how 4 the necrobiome of this key species evolves after fish capture/death by examining two major 5 bacterial reservoirs: the gut and the liver. We used a metabarcoding approach depict the 6 dynamics of the whole bacterial community as well as the emergence of more specific SSOs and HPBs. The results are discussed in the light of fish conditioning process by comparing the 7 8 development of the necrobiome in fresh and brine-frozen tuna fished by artisanal and 9 industrial techniques, respectively.

10

11 Material and methods

12 Sampling

13 The yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*) were captured using two different fishing techniques 14 and post-capture storage conditions: (1) artisanal fishing with immediate storage on ice of 15 fresh individuals, and (2) industrial fishing followed by immediate brine-freezing treatment. 16 For freshly caught yellowfin, 12 individuals were captured around fish-aggregating devices 17 (FADs) located in the Gulf of Guinea (Ivory Coast, N04°55'00", W03°42'19.97") on 20-21 18 November 2019. The capture and euthanasia of the fish were performed by professional fishermen. The tuna were individually placed in plastic bags and kept on ice until they 19 20 reached the laboratory, less than 5 h after death. The mean fork length of the individuals 21 was 49.5 cm (min 45.7 cm – max 52.3 cm) and the average weight was 2.1 kg (min 1.7 kg – max 2.6 kg). 22

23 For the brine-frozen yellowfin tuna, 12 individuals were collected at the Abidjan tuna port 24 (Ivory Coast) by the Exploited Tropical Pelagic Ecosystem Observatory (IRD, Ob7, certified 25 ISO 9001:2015) within the framework of multiannual European fishery data collection (DCF, 26 financed by the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund, Article 77). All individuals were 27 caught by purse seine vessels between May and December 2019 in the Eastern Atlantic 28 Ocean (Gulf of Guinea and off the coast of Senegal) and immediately chilled brine to lower 29 their temperature to around -15°C. The fish remained frozen in the tanks until their landing 30 in the Port of Abidjan and were then thawed at 4°C in the laboratory, 24 hours before the 1 beginning of the experiment (Fig. 1). The mean fork length of these individuals was 63.4 cm

2 (min 58.0 cm - max 70.0 cm) and the average weight was 4.4 kg (min 3.1 kg - max 5.9 kg).

3 Experimental design

4 For each fresh and brine frozen lots, three yellowfin individuals were dissected and sampled 5 at the beginning of the experiment (T_0) to analyse their liver and gut microbiota, as well as 6 the histamine concentration (see sampling procedure below) (Fig. 1). For brine-frozen tuna, 7 T₀ corresponded to 24 h after thawing at 4°C, which is considered as the standard 8 temperature for home-storage. For fresh tuna, T₀ corresponded to the time of death of the 9 fish since they were dissected directly onboard. The 12 remaining fish in each batch were kept at 4°C in temperature-controlled refrigerators. Every 48 h until the end of the 120-h 10 11 experiment (i.e. T₁₂₀), three individuals from each batch were randomly selected to sample 12 their hepatic and intestinal microbiota (Fig. 1).

13

14 Sampling the gut and liver microbiota

15 Gut

The tuna were dissected, extracting the gastrointestinal tract after cutting from below the stomach to the rectum using sterile tools. Each gut was opened, squeezed, and its inner surface entirely rubbed to expel the contents (minimum volume of 5 mL) on a sterile surface. The contents were homogenized before sampling (Gadoin et al. 2021).

20 Liver

A 2 x 0.2 x 2 cm (L x W x H) piece was trimmed from the right lobe of each tuna liver using
sterile tools. Liver samples were rinsed with distilled water filtered through a 0.2 μm filter to
avoid any contamination from other internal organs or fluids.

All the gut and liver samples were placed in 5-mL sterile cryovials, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C in the laboratory until the extraction of bacterial nucleic acid.

26

27 Bacterial DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing

The bacterial DNA was extracted from 250 ± 0.5 mg of the gut (n= 30) and liver (n= 30) samples. All extractions were performed with the PowerSoil DNA Isolation Kit (Qiagen[®], Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions. DNA quality and quantity were assessed by spectrophotometry (NanoDrop[®], Wilmington, DE, USA). The V3-V4 region of the 1 16S rDNA gene was amplified using universal bacterial primers modified for Illumina sequencing: 343F (5'- ACGGRAGGCAGCAG) (Economou et al. 2007; Guizani et al. 2005; 2 3 Hungerford 2021; Mahusain et al. 2017) and 784R (5'- TACCAGGGTATCTAATCCT) (Andersson et al. 2008). The reaction mixture consisted of 12.5 µL of 2X Phusion Mix (New England 4 Biolabs[®], Ipswich, MA, USA), 1 µL of each primer at 10 µM (Eurofin[®], Luxembourg), 10 ng of 5 DNA template and enough molecular-grade H_2O (Qiagen[®]) to reach a final volume of 25 μ L. 6 All samples were amplified in triplicate to avoid PCR bias in the taxonomic diversity of the 7 8 community (Perreault et al. 2007). Successfully amplified samples (n= 30) were sequenced 9 on the Illumina platform using 2x250 bp MiSeq chemistry.

10

11 Bacterial sequence processing and analysis

A total of 16,277,785 reads were obtained. Raw reads were processed with RStudio (R 12 version 3.5.3) using the DADA2 package (v1.10.1) (Callahan et al. 2016) following the 13 14 authors' tutorial (https://benjjneb.github.io/dada2/tutorial.html). The quality of forward 15 and reverse reads was analysed before removing adaptors and primers based on their 16 length. Using the DADA2 tutorial with default parameters, reads were then filtered, trimmed 17 and merged into 8312 amplicon sequence variants (ASVs). Chimaeras were removed, and 18 sequences were aligned to the SILVA 123 database (Quast et al. 2012) to access their 19 taxonomy. Analyses were performed on a random subsample of 2337 sequences per 20 sample, corresponding to the sample with the smallest number of sequences after trimming 21 and quality processing. Using the phyloseq package (McMurdie & Holmes 2013), final taxonomic and ASV tables were linked to sample metadata (including biological 22 23 compartment, sampling time and conservation conditions). The relative abundance of ASVs in each sample were assessed by *phyloseq*, and ASVs assigned to non-prokaryotes, archaea, 24 chloroplasts and mitochondria were removed. Using the *phyloseq* and *qqplot2* packages, the 25 26 composition and diversity of bacterial communities were then represented at the class level, 27 based on the relative abundance of ASVs in each sample. Referring to the literature, a list of putative histamine-producing bacteria (HPB) genera was established, and their 28 29 presence/absence in our samples was assessed by comparing the list of HPB to our 30 taxonomy table.

- 31
- 32

1 Histamine concentration

2 In all the gut and liver samples, histamine concentration was assessed by enzyme-linked 3 immunosorbent assays (ELISA) using the Veratox[®] kit for tuna histamine (Neogen[®], Lansing, 4 MI, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. Samples were suspended in distilled 5 water, filtered and diluted 10X prior to the ELISA tests. Assays were performed under sterile 6 conditions and the optical density was measured at 650 nm using a TECAN Infinite M200 Pro 7 (Tecan[®], Männedorf, Switzerland). The optical densities of the six standards available in the 8 kit allowed us to trace the standard curve against which the optical density of a sample was 9 plotted to calculate its histamine concentration in parts per million (ppm).

10

11 Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed with *R*Studio. The effect of time and post-catch storage conditions on the composition of hepatic and intestinal bacterial communities was determined by single-factor and multiple-factor PERMANOVA with 999 permutations on the Bray–Curtis dissimilarity matrix, using the "adonis" function of the *vegan* package (Dixon 2003). Correlations between histamine concentration and the relative abundance of potential HPB were evaluated using a Spearman correlation test performed in *R*Studio.

18

19 **Results**

20 Short-term dynamics of the tuna necrobiome

The results revealed that the composition of the tuna necrobiome changed significantly overtime in both the gut and liver (Tab. 1).

23 The gut microbiome

In fresh tuna, the initial enteric microbiome was comprised of numerous taxa that included the genera *Cutibacterium, Enhydrobacter, BD1-7 clade* and *Neorickettsia*, as well as several potential SSO genera such as *Photobacterium, Shewanella, Pseudomonas, Novosphingobium* and *Vibrio*. Over the 120-h period, the abundance of *Photobacterium* then rapidly increased to reach almost 90% of the total abundance of bacteria, while most of the other genera decreased (Fig. 2).

In brine-frozen yellowfin, significant changes in the composition of the gut necrobiome werealso observed during the experiment (Fig. 2). In addition, in these fish, the presence of

several potential SSOs that were not found in fresh tuna were identified (Lactococcus, 1 2 Lactobacillus, Psychrobacter, Psychrilyobacter and Proteus). The occurrence of certain SSOs 3 such as *Psychrobacter, Lactococcus* and *Shewanella* increased throughout the experiment. At T₉₆, Photobacterium, Lactobacillus, BD1-7 clade and Mycoplasma were the most 4 5 abundant bacterial genera, but taxa with a relative abundance of less than 2% represented 6 more than 25% of the community. Their proportion increased at T₁₂₀, when the potential genera 7 SSO Shewanella, Psychrobacter, Proteus, Pseudomonas, Photobacterium, 8 Lactobacillus and Psychrilyobacter were detected and together represented 22.6% of the 9 bacterial community.

10

11 The liver microbiome

12 The composition of the bacterial community in the liver was highly diverse and was significantly different from that of the intestine (Fig. 2, Permanova, p = 0.003). At T₀, the 13 14 microbiome in fresh tuna was mostly comprised of Enhydrobacter, Micrococcus, Neorickettsia and Massilia. In contrast with gut samples, the liver of fresh yellowfin initially 15 16 hosted few SSOs, but these proliferated rapidly over time. The only SSO genus observed in 17 liver samples at the beginning of the experiment was Pseudomonas, but at T₄₈ the relative 18 abundance of other SSO genera such as Photobacterium, Shewanella, Psychrobacter and 19 Vibrio began to increase. By T₉₆, SSO genera were dominant within the liver necrobiome, 20 representing 76% of the bacterial community. They remained the major component of the 21 liver microbiota until the end of the experiment, when other genera such as Salegentibacter, 22 Sporosarcina, Enhydrobacter and Cutibacterium were also detected.

23 The storage conditions greatly impacted the composition of the necrobiome in this organ. 24 The liver-associated bacteria in brine-frozen tuna evolved in a different way than in fresh 25 tuna (Tab. 1, Fig. 2). For example, the genus Photobacterium, which was highly dominant in 26 the liver microbiome of fresh fish, was much less abundant in brine-frozen fish. Generally, although the relative abundance of SSO genera increased over time, their occurrence 27 28 remained lower in brine-frozen than in fresh samples. At the beginning of the experiment, 29 hepatic bacterial communities were composed of Enhydrobacter, Cutibacterium, 30 Brachybacterium, Macrococcus, Halomonas, Acinetobacter and Methylobacterium, as well as 31 two main SSO genera (Photobacterium and Pseudomonas), and potential pathogens such as Staphylococcus and Corynebacterium. At the end of the experiment (T₁₂₀), the liver
 microbiome hosted several other potential SSO genera including *Proteus, Psychrobacter, Photobacterium, Shewanella* and *Psychrilyobacter,* which together represented 29% of the
 bacterial community.

5

6 Diversity of histamine-producing bacteria and histamine concentrations

In general, the relative abundance of HPB was much higher in fresh than in brine-frozen
tuna. *Photobacterium* ASVs were generally dominant in gut samples, while other HPB genera
(*Pseudomonas* and *Acinetobacter*) were also present in the liver in equivalent proportions
(Fig. 3). Interestingly, the genus *Proteus* was only detected at the late stage of fish
decomposition (T₁₂₀) and exclusively in brine-frozen samples.

12 In fresh yellowfin, the temporal dynamics of *Photobacterium* ASVs were significantly 13 correlated with histamine concentration in both gut and liver samples (Pearson, p < 0.05). 14 Other potential HPB genera such as *Pseudomonas, Vibrio, Acinetobacter* and *Enterobacter* 15 were also detected, but at low levels (Fig. 3).

Fresh and brine-frozen tuna exhibited contrasting patterns of histamine concentration. In fresh fish, histamine concentration increased abruptly after T_{48} to reach a maximum at T_{96} in the gut (mean = 676 ppm) and at T_{120} in the liver (mean = 59 ppm), thus exceeding the 50 ppm sanitary threshold established by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA 2021)(Fig. 3). Conversely, in brine-frozen fish, histamine concentrations remained below that threshold throughout the experiment.

22

23 Discussion

24 Modifications in animal's microbiome composition are normal phenomena following their 25 death, resulting from physical and chemical changes, as well as the loss of immune response 26 (Benbow, Receveur & Lamberti 2020). In fish, however, the evolution of the post-mortem 27 bacteriome over time has been poorly studied. In this study, we explored the tuna necrobiome by examining the dynamics of the main SSOs together with the production of 28 29 histamine in two major bacterial reservoirs: the gut and the liver. We compared the 30 incidence of post-capture storage conditions (fresh and brine-frozen individuals) on the 31 development of these spoilage bacteria.

1 Occurrence and diversity of SSOs

2 As expected, the proportion of potential specific spoilage organisms (SSOs) increased 3 significantly throughout the experiment in the gut and the liver (Fig. 2). This trend was 4 particularly marked in fresh tunas. In both organs, we observed an increase in abundance of Psychrobacter, Pseudomonas, Proteus, Aeromonas, Lactobacillus, Shewanella and 5 6 Photobacterium, which have all been previously detected in the flesh of various fish species such as haddock, Atlantic salmon, gilthead sea bream, European sea bass and yellowfin tuna 7 8 (Dalgaard et al. 2006; Fogarty et al. 2019; Jääskeläinen et al. 2019; Parlapani et al. 2018; 9 Reynisson et al. 2010; Syropoulou et al. 2020) (Fig. 2). The development of SSOs in fish and 10 seafood products is well documented, and their proliferation typically depends on 11 conservation conditions (Boziaris & Parlapani 2017). Several studies have demonstrated that 12 SSO diversity in the flesh of different fish species varies between chilling, vacuum packaging or thawing temperature (Antunes-Rohling et al. 2019; Odeyemi et al. 2018; Reynisson et al. 13 14 2010; Syropoulou et al. 2021). Bacteria from the Shewanella, Photobacterium and 15 *Pseudomonas* genera are known for their ability to produce high quantities of H_2S , 16 trimethylamine and volatile nitrogenous compounds respectively (Boziaris & Parlapani 2017; 17 Carrascosa et al. 2014; Chinivasagam et al. 1998), while species such as S. putrefaciens, 18 Proteus mirabilis and P. damselae are bacteria potentially pathogenic to humans (Gennari, 19 Tomaselli & Cotrona 1999; Ozogul et al. 2020; Speranza et al. 2013).

20 It should be noted that the spoilage activity of SSOs is a relatively complex mechanism that 21 has multiple determinants. The production of spoiling metabolites is clearly species-22 dependent and varies according to the storage conditions, such as temperature (Antunes-23 Rohling et al. 2019; Parlapani & Boziaris 2016), atmosphere conditions (Emborg, Laursen & 24 Dalgaard 2005; Silbande et al. 2016; Sivertsvik et al. 2002), as well as microbial interactions 25 between communities (Joffraud et al. 2006; Zotta et al. 2019). Various analyses such as the detection of spoilage genes, the growth of SSOs on selective media and the quantification of 26 spoilage metabolites are usually required to assess the spoilage potential of SSOs (Fu et al. 27 2018; Syropoulou et al. 2020; Tang et al. 2019). Although these analyses were not performed 28 29 in this study, the taxonomic identification of SSO genera in the two digestive organs raises 30 questions about their dispersion from the viscera to the flesh after fish death (Shen & Wang 2020). 31

1

2 The effect of storage conditions on the tuna necrobiome

3 One of the main findings of this study was that the relative abundance and dynamics of SSOs 4 greatly varied according to the initial storage conditions (Fig. 2). At the end of the 5 experiment, they represented on average (for the two organs) 82% of the bacterial 6 community in fresh tuna, in contrast to less than 30% in brine-frozen samples (Fig. 2). The 7 influence of storage conditions on the composition of the fish microbiome has long been 8 investigated (Ghaly 2010; Zhuang et al. 2021). For example, a delayed development of SSOs 9 was reported in frozen fillets of Atlantic cod, mackerel and salmon compared to fresh 10 samples (Fagan, Ronan Gormley & Mhuircheartaigh 2003; Sørensen et al. 2020). While low-11 temperature chilling is known to decrease the growth of microorganisms, freezing between -12 18 and -30°C kills between 10% and 60% of viable bacteria (Berkel, Boogaard & Heijnen 2004; Rahman 1999). In addition, the presence of sodium chloride is also known to 13 14 inactivate autolytic enzymes in fish, as well as to negatively impact the growth of several 15 spoilage bacteria (Ghaly 2010; Henney et al. 2010; Mejlholm, Devitt & Dalgaard 2012; Turan 16 & Erkoyuncu 2012). This may partially explain why brine-frozen yellowfin exhibited a limited 17 abundance of SSOs in the gut and liver microbiota compared to fresh tuna.

18

19 SSOs and histamine production in tuna

20 Among the potential SSO genera detected in the tuna necrobiome, histamine-producing 21 bacteria (HPB) are of particular interest, as they have been implicated in cases of food 22 poisoning worldwide (Hungerford 2010, 2021). We identified several HPB genera in the gut and liver samples, including Acinetobacter, Enterobacter, Morganella, Proteus, Pseudomonas 23 24 and Vibrio, but Photobacterium was the most abundant, especially in fresh fish, where it rapidly dominated the bacterial community in both organs (Fig. 3). The genus 25 26 Photobacterium is ubiquitous in marine environments and is composed of several species 27 (Thyssen & Ollevier 2005). It has been described as commensal in various fish species 28 (Egerton et al. 2018; Estruch et al. 2015; Givens et al. 2015), but some Photobacterium 29 species such as P. damselae and P. piscicida are known as fish and human pathogens (Rivas, 30 Lemos & Osorio 2013; Romalde 2002). Photobacterium has also been identified as an SSO in 31 Atlantic cod (Kuuliala et al. 2018), haddock (Reynisson et al. 2010) and Atlantic salmon 32 (Jääskeläinen et al. 2019). Indeed, several Photobacterium species are able to synthetize

histamine, including P. angustum, P. aquimaris, P. kishitanii, P. damselae and P. 1 2 phosphoreum, which are designated as high histamine producers (> 200ppm) (Bjornsdottir-3 Butler et al. 2018). While histamine-production capacity has been demonstrated to vary 4 across different *Photobacterium* species, this capacity is also influenced by temperature 5 (Bjornsdottir-Butler et al. 2018; Morii & Kasama 2004; Takahashi et al. 2015). Insufficiently 6 cold temperatures are known to favour the production of histamine and maintaining the cold chain is essential to prevent its formation (Hungerford 2010, 2021). Some 7 8 psychrotrophic HPB, such as *P. phosphoreum* and *Morganella psychrotolerans*, are able to 9 synthetize histamine at temperatures between 0° and 5°C (Bjornsdottir-Butler et al. 2018; 10 Emborg et al. 2005; Kanki et al. 2004; Wang et al. 2020). Although our data did not allow us 11 to identify the potential HPB down to the species level, we can consider that the 12 Photobacterium taxa observed in both the gut and liver of fresh yellowfin tuna were HPB, as 13 their temporal dynamics were positively correlated with the increase in histamine 14 concentration in the different incubations (Fig. 3). As early as 96h after their capture, 15 histamine concentration in the gut and liver of fresh yellowfin exceeded the United States 16 Food and Drug Administration (FDA 2021) recommendations of 50 ppm.

17 The vast majority of studies investigating Scombroid (histamine) poisoning have been 18 conducted on tuna flesh or in processed products such as filets or canned tuna (Emborg et 19 al. 2005; Guizani et al. 2005; Kim et al. 2002; Kung et al. 2009; Silva et al. 2011). Our study 20 extends this by revealing the presence of histamine and HPB in both gut and liver samples. 21 These organs have been previously identified as important reservoirs of HPB in tuna (Bjornsdottir-Butler et al. 2015; Taylor & Speckhard 1983; Gadoin et al. 2021), but few 22 23 studies have considered the liver and gut in their investigations on histamine formation in 24 scombroid fish. Glória et al. (1999) observed that the intestinal wall of yellowfin tuna 25 contained a substantial concentration of histamine. Similarly, Fernández-Salguero & Mackie 26 (1979) reported significant histamine concentration in the liver of mackerel, in an even 27 greater proportion than in muscles.

Another key finding was that histamine was not detected in the gut or liver samples of brinefrozen tuna, despite the presence of potential HPB genera (Fig. 3). This suggests that the brine-freezing treatment may alter the capacity of HPB to produce histamine in these two organs. Freezing has been previously observed to limit the production of this biogenic amine in tuna fillets (Tahmouzi et al. 2013). In addition, brine immersion is known to inhibit the
activity of the histidine decarboxylase enzyme in HPB, and therefore to limit the synthesis of
histamine from its precursor histidine (Hwang et al. 2020; Morii & Kasama 2004; Tabanelli et
al. 2012). Overall, in line with previous studies, our results confirm the usefulness of applying
a brine-freezing treatment to tuna to prevent the formation of histamine, and thus reduce
the health risk associated with their consumption (Hungerford 2021).

7

8 Conclusion

9 Our results highlight the sanitary risks associated with the development of SSOs and of 10 histamine concentrations in tuna's digestive organs, reminding the importance of removing 11 their viscera prior to consumption. Such sanitary risks were much more elevated with fresh 12 than brine-frozen fishes, confirming the incidence of the storage conditions on the evolution 13 of the tuna necrobiome. Finally, this study confirms the need to take into account the gut 14 and liver in further investigations on the ecology of HPB in scombroid fish.

15

16 Acknowledgements

17 We thank the Montpellier University of Excellence (I-site MUSE; Project The MOME) and the 18 Insitute of Research for Development (JEAI; Project MOSANE) for their financial support. he 19 data used here was collected within the framework of the Data Collection Framework 20 program co-financed by the IRD and Measure 77 of the European Maritime and Fisheries 21 Fund (EMFF). We are grateful to Justin Aurélie Guillou for their assistance during sampling. 22 We would like to thank the members of the IRD's Exploited Tropical Pelagic Ecosystems 23 Observatory in Abidjan (ie, Aurélie, Guillou, Pascal Bach) for providing the brine frozen 24 tunas. We also grateful to the CRO staff for providing access to their laboratory and facilities. 25

- 26
- 27 28
- 29
- 30
- 31

1 **REFERENCES**

- Andersson, A. F., Lindberg, M., Jakobsson, H., Bäckhed, F., Nyrén, P., & Engstrand, L. (2008).
 Comparative analysis of korean human gut microbiota by barcoded pyrosequencing. *PLoS ONE*, 3(7), e2836.
- Antunes-Rohling, A., Calero, S., Halaihel, N., Marquina, P., Raso, J., Calanche, J., Beltrán, J. A., Álvarez,
 I., & Cebrián, G. (2019). Characterization of the Spoilage Microbiota of Hake Fillets Packaged
 Under a Modified Atmosphere (MAP) Rich in CO2 (50% CO2/50% N2) and Stored at Different
 Temperatures. *Foods*, 8(10), 489.
- 9 Apprill, A. (2017). Marine Animal Microbiomes: Toward Understanding Host-Microbiome 10 Interactions in а Changing Ocean. Frontiers in Marine Science, 4, 222. 11 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2017.00222
- Benbow, M. E., Receveur, J. P., & Lamberti, G. A. (2020). Death and Decomposition in Aquatic
 Ecosystems. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, *8*, 17.
- 14 Berkel, B. M., Boogaard, B. V., & Heijnen, C. (2004). *Preservation of Fish and Meat* (p. 78-80).
- Bjornsdottir-Butler, K., Abraham, A., Harper, A., Dunlap, P. V., & Benner, R. A. (2018). Biogenic Amine
 Production by and Phylogenetic Analysis of 23 Photobacterium Species. *Journal of Food Protection*, *81*(8), 1264-1274.
- Boziaris, I. S., & Parlapani, F. F. (2017). Specific Spoilage Organisms (SSOs) in Fish. In *The Microbiological Quality of Food* (p. 61-98). Elsevier.
- Callahan, B. J., McMurdie, P. J., Rosen, M. J., Han, A. W., Johnson, A. J. A., & Holmes, S. P. (2016).
 DADA2 : High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nature methods*, *13*(7),
 581.
- Carrascosa, C., Millán, R., Saavedra, P., Jaber, J. R., Montenegro, T., Raposo, A., Pérez, E., & Sanjuán,
 E. (2014). Predictive models for bacterial growth in sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) stored in ice.
 International Journal of Food Science & Technology, 49(2), 354-363.
- Chiarello, M., Auguet, J.-C., Bettarel, Y., Bouvier, C., Claverie, T., Graham, N. A. J., Rieuvilleneuve, F.,
 Sucré, E., Bouvier, T., & Villéger, S. (2018). Skin microbiome of coral reef fish is highly variable
 and driven by host phylogeny and diet. *Microbiome*, *6*(1), 147.
- Chiarello, M., Paz-Vinas, I., Veyssière, C., Santoul, F., Loot, G., Ferriol, J., & Boulêtreau, S. (2019).
 Environmental conditions and neutral processes shape the skin microbiome of European catfish
 (*Silurus glanis*) populations of Southwestern France. *Environmental Microbiology Reports*,
 11(4), 605-614.
- Chiarello, M., Villéger, S., Bouvier, C., Bettarel, Y., & Bouvier, T. (2015). High diversity of skin associated bacterial communities of marine fishes is promoted by their high variability among
 body parts, individuals and species. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, *91*(7), fiv061.
- Chinivasagam, H. N., Bremner, H. A., Wood, A. F., & Nottingham, S. M. (1998). Volatile components
 associated with bacterial spoilage of tropical prawns. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*,
 42), 45-55.
- Chytiri, S., Chouliara, I., Savvaidis, I. N., & Kontominas, M. G. (2004). Microbiological, chemical and
 sensory assessment of iced whole and filleted aquacultured rainbow trout. *Food Microbiology*,
 21(2), 157-165.
- 42 Cordero, H., Guardiola, F. A., Tapia-Paniagua, S. T., Cuesta, A., Meseguer, J., Balebona, M. C.,
 43 Moriñigo, M. Á., & Esteban, M. Á. (2015). Modulation of immunity and gut microbiota after
 44 dietary administration of alginate encapsulated *Shewanella putrefaciens* Pdp11 to gilthead
 45 seabream (Sparus aurata L.). *Fish & shellfish immunology*, *45*(2), 608-618.
- Dalgaard, P., Madsen, H. L., Samieian, N., & Emborg, J. (2006). Biogenic amine formation and
 microbial spoilage in chilled garfish (*Belone belone belone*)—Effect of modified atmosphere
 packaging and previous frozen storage. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 101(1), 80-95.
- Dawson, P., Al-Jeddawi, W., & Remington, N. (2018). Effect of Freezing on the Shelf Life of Salmon.
 International Journal of Food Science, 2018, 1-12.
- 51 Dhanasiri, A. K. S., Brunvold, L., Brinchmann, M. F., Korsnes, K., Bergh, Ø., & Kiron, V. (2011). Changes

- in the Intestinal Microbiota of Wild Atlantic cod Gadus morhua L. Upon Captive Rearing.
 Microbial Ecology, 61(1), 20-30.
- Dixon, P. (2003). VEGAN, a package of R functions for community ecology. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 14(6), 927-930.

Duarte, A. M., Silva, F., Pinto, F. R., Barroso, S., & Gil, M. M. (2020). Quality Assessment of Chilled and
 Frozen Fish—Mini Review. *Foods*, *9*(12), 1739.

- Economou, V., Brett, M. M., Papadopoulou, C., Frillingos, S., & Nichols, T. (2007). Changes in
 histamine and microbiological analyses in fresh and frozen tuna muscle during temperature
 abuse. Food Additives and Contaminants, 24(8), 820-832.
- Egerton, S., Culloty, S., Whooley, J., Stanton, C., & Ross, R. P. (2018). The Gut Microbiota of Marine
 Fish. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *9*, 873.
- Eliasson, S., Arason, S., Margeirsson, B., Bergsson, A. B., & Palsson, O. P. (2019). The effects of
 superchilling on shelf-life and quality indicators of whole Atlantic cod and fillets. *LWT*, 100, 426 434.
- Emborg, J., Laursen, B. G., & Dalgaard, P. (2005). Significant histamine formation in tuna (*Thunnus albacares*) at 2 °C—effect of vacuum- and modified atmosphere-packaging on psychrotolerant
 bacteria. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 101(3), 263-279.
- Estruch, G., Collado, M. C., Peñaranda, D. S., Tomás Vidal, A., Jover Cerdá, M., Pérez Martínez, G., &
 Martinez-Llorens, S. (2015). Impact of Fishmeal Replacement in Diets for Gilthead Sea Bream
 (*Sparus aurata*) on the Gastrointestinal Microbiota Determined by Pyrosequencing the 16S rRNA
 Gene. *PLOS ONE*, *10*(8), e0136389.
- Fagan, J. D., Ronan Gormley, T., & Mhuircheartaigh, M. U. (2003). Effect of freeze-chilling, in
 comparison with fresh, chilling and freezing, on some quality parameters of raw whiting,
 mackerel and salmon portions. *LWT Food Science and Technology*, *36*(7), 647-655.
- 25 FAO, The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2020. (2020).
- 26 FDA. (2021). Appendix 5 : FDA and EPA safety levels in regulations and guidance.
- Fernández-Salguero, J., & Mackie, I. M. (1979). Histidine metabolism in mackerel (Scomber scombrus). Studies on histidine decarboxylase activity and histamine formation during storage of flesh and liver under sterile and non-sterile conditions. *Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 14(2), 131-139.
- Fogarty, C., Whyte, P., Brunton, N., Lyng, J., Smyth, C., Fagan, J., & Bolton, D. (2019). Spoilage
 indicator bacteria in farmed Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) stored on ice for 10 days. *Food Microbiology*, 77, 38-42.
- Fu, L., Wang, C., Liu, N., Ma, A., & Wang, Y. (2018). Quorum sensing system-regulated genes affect
 the spoilage potential of *Shewanella baltica*. *Food Research International*, *107*, 1-9.
- Gadoin, E., Durand, L., Guillou, A., Crochemore, S., Bouvier, T., Roque, E. R., Dagorn, L., Auguet, J.-C.,
 Adingra, A., Desnues, C., & Bettarel, Y. (2021). Does the Composition of the Gut Bacteriome
 Change during the Growth of Tuna? *Microorganisms*, 9(6), 1157.
- Gadoin, E., Desnues, C., d'Orbcastel, E. R., Bouvier, T., Auguet, J.-C., Dagorn, L., Moroh, J.-L., Adingra,
 A., & Bettarel, Y. (2021). *Fishing for the Bacteriome of Tropical Tuna* [Preprint]. In Review.
 https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-586887/v1
- Gennari, M., Tomaselli, S., & Cotrona, V. (1999). The microflora of fresh and spoiled sardines (*Sardina pilchardus*) caught in Adriatic (Mediterranean) Sea and stored in ice. *Food microbiology*, *16*(1),
 15-28.
- Ghaly. (2010). Fish Spoilage Mechanisms and Preservation Techniques : Review. American Journal of
 Applied Sciences, 7(7), 859-877.
- Ghanbari, M., Kneifel, W., & Domig, K. J. (2015). A new view of the fish gut microbiome : Advances
 from next-generation sequencing. *Aquaculture*, 448, 464-475.
- Givens, C., Ransom, B., Bano, N., & Hollibaugh, J. (2015). Comparison of the gut microbiomes of 12
 bony fish and 3 shark species. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, *518*, 209-223.
- 51 Glória, M. B. A., Daeschel, M. A., Craven, C., & Hilderbrand, K. S. (1999). Histamine and Other
- 52 Biogenic Amines in Albacore Tuna. *Journal of Aquatic Food Product Technology*, 8(4), 55-69.

- Gram, L., & Dalgaard, P. (2002). Fish spoilage bacteria problems and solutions. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 13(3), 262-266.
- Gram, L., & Huss, H. H. (1996). Microbiological spoilage of fish and fish products. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 33(1), 121-137.
- Guizani, N., Al-Busaidy, M. A., Al-Belushi, I. M., Mothershaw, A., & Rahman, M. S. (2005). The effect
 of storage temperature on histamine production and the freshness of yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*). *Food Research International*, *38*(2), 215-222.
- Hansen, G. H., & Olafsen, J. A. (1999). Bacterial Interactions in Early Life Stages of Marine Cold Water
 Fish. *Microbial Ecology*, 38(1), 1-26.
- Henney, J. E., Taylor, C. L., Boon, C. S., & Intake, I. of M. (US) C. on S. to R. S. (2010). Preservation and
 physical property roles of sodium in foods. In *Strategies to reduce sodium intake in the United States*. National Academies Press (US).
- Hungerford, J. M. (2010). Scombroid poisoning : A review. *Toxicon*, *56*(2), 231-243.
- 14 Hungerford, J. M. (2021). Histamine and Scombrotoxins. *Toxicon*, S0041010121002245.
- 15 Huss, H. H. (1995). *Quality and quality changes in fresh fish* (Vol. 348). FAO Rome.
- Hwang, C.-C., Lee, Y.-C., Huang, C.-Y., Kung, H.-F., Cheng, H.-H., & Tsai, Y.-H. (2020). Effect of Brine
 Concentrations on the Bacteriological and Chemical Quality and Histamine Content of Brined
 and Dried Milkfish. *Foods*, 9(11), 1597.
- Jääskeläinen, E., Jakobsen, L. M. A., Hultman, J., Eggers, N., Bertram, H. C., & Björkroth, J. (2019).
 Metabolomics and bacterial diversity of packaged yellowfin tuna (Thunnus albacares) and
 salmon (Salmo salar) show fish species-specific spoilage development during chilled storage.
 International Journal of Food Microbiology, 293, 44-52.
- Joffraud, J.-J., Cardinal, M., Cornet, J., Chasles, J.-S., Léon, S., Gigout, F., & Leroi, F. (2006). Effect of
 bacterial interactions on the spoilage of cold-smoked salmon. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 112(1), 51-61.
- Jørgensen, L. V., Huss, H. H., & Dalgaard, P. (2000). The effect of biogenic amine production by single
 bacterial cultures and metabiosis on cold-smoked salmon. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*,
 89(6), 920-934.
- Kanki, M., Yoda, T., Ishibashi, M., & Tsukamoto, T. (2004). *Photobacterium phosphoreum* caused a
 histamine fish poisoning incident. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 92(1), 79-87.
- Kim, S. H., Price, R. J., Morrissey, M. T., Field, K. G., Wei, C. I., & An, H. (2002). Histamine Production
 by *Morganella morganii* in Mackerel, Albacore, Mahi-mahi, and Salmon at Various Storage
 Temperatures. *Journal of Food Science*, 67(4), 1522-1528.
- Klindworth, A., Pruesse, E., Schweer, T., Peplies, J., Quast, C., Horn, M., & Glöckner, F. O. (2013).
 Evaluation of general 16S ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and next-generation
 sequencing-based diversity studies. *Nucleic acids research*, 41(1).
- Kung, H.-F., Wang, T.-Y., Huang, Y.-R., Lin, C.-S., Wu, W.-S., Lin, C.-M., & Tsai, Y.-H. (2009). Isolation
 and identification of histamine-forming bacteria in tuna sandwiches. *Food Control*, 20(11), 10131017.
- Kuuliala, L., Al Hage, Y., Ioannidis, A.-G., Sader, M., Kerckhof, F.-M., Vanderroost, M., Boon, N., De
 Baets, B., De Meulenaer, B., Ragaert, P., & Devlieghere, F. (2018). Microbiological, chemical and
 sensory spoilage analysis of raw Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) stored under modified
 atmospheres. *Food Microbiology*, *70*, 232-244.
- Larsen, A., Tao, Z., Bullard, S. A., & Arias, C. R. (2013). Diversity of the skin microbiota of fishes :
 Evidence for host species specificity. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, *85*(3), 483-494.
- Mahusain, N. A. S., Bayoi, F., Karim, N. U., Zainol, M. K., & Danish-Daniel, M. (2017). Changes of
 histamine levels and bcterial growth in longtail tuna, *Thunnus tongsol* stored at different
 temperature. *Journal of Sustainability Science and Management*, *3*, 38-46.
- McMurdie, P. J., & Holmes, S. (2013). phyloseq : An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis
 and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. *PLoS ONE*, 8(4).
- 51 Mejlholm, O., Devitt, T. D., & Dalgaard, P. (2012). Effect of brine marination on survival and growth of 52 spoilage and pathogenic bacteria during processing and subsequent storage of ready-to-eat

- 1 shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*). *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 157(1), 16-27.
- Morii, H., & Kasama, K. (2004). Activity of Two Histidine Decarboxylases from *Photobacterium phosphoreum* at Different Temperatures, pHs, and NaCl Concentrations. *Journal of Food Protection*, 67(8), 1736-1742.
- Odeyemi, O. A., Burke, C. M., Bolch, C. C. J., & Stanley, R. (2018). Seafood spoilage microbiota and
 associated volatile organic compounds at different storage temperatures and packaging
 conditions. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 280, 87-99.
- 8 Ozogul, Y., Boğa, E. K., Akyol, I., Durmus, M., Ucar, Y., Regenstein, J. M., & Köşker, A. R. (2020).
 9 Antimicrobial activity of thyme essential oil nanoemulsions on spoilage bacteria of fish and food10 borne pathogens. *Food Bioscience*, *36*, 100635.
- Parata, L., Nielsen, S., Xing, X., Thomas, T., Egan, S., & Vergés, A. (2019). Age, gut location and diet
 impact the gut microbiome of a tropical herbivorous surgeonfish. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*,
 fiz179.
- 14 Parlapani, F. F. (2021). Microbial diversity of seafood. *Current Opinion in Food Science*, 37, 45-51.
- Parlapani, F. F., & Boziaris, I. S. (2016). Monitoring of spoilage and determination of microbial
 communities based on 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis of whole sea bream stored at various
 temperatures. *LWT Food Science and Technology*, *66*, 553-559.
- Parlapani, F. F., Meziti, A., Kormas, K. Ar., & Boziaris, I. S. (2013). Indigenous and spoilage microbiota
 of farmed sea bream stored in ice identified by phenotypic and 16S rRNA gene analysis. *Food Microbiology*, 33(1), 85-89.
- Parlapani, F. F., Michailidou, S., Anagnostopoulos, D. A., Sakellariou, A. K., Pasentsis, K.,
 Psomopoulos, F., Argiriou, A., Haroutounian, S. A., & Boziaris, I. S. (2018). Microbial spoilage
 investigation of thawed common cuttlefish (*Sepia officinalis*) stored at 2 °C using next generation
 sequencing and volatilome analysis. *Food Microbiology*, *76*, 518-525.
- Parlapani, F. F., Michailidou, S., Pasentsis, K., Argiriou, A., Krey, G., & Boziaris, I. S. (2018). A metabarcoding approach to assess and compare the storage temperature-dependent bacterial
 diversity of gilt-head sea bream (*Sparus aurata*) originating from fish farms from two
 geographically distinct areas of Greece. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 278, 36-43.
- Perreault, N. N., Andersen, D. T., Pollard, W. H., Greer, C. W., & Whyte, L. G. (2007). Characterization
 of the prokaryotic diversity in cold saline perennial springs of the Canadian high arctic. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *73*(5), 1532-1543.
- Prester, L. (2011). Biogenic amines in fish, fish products and shellfish : A review. Food Additives &
 Contaminants: Part A, 28(11), 1547-1560.
- Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., Peplies, J., & Glöckner, F. O.
 (2012). The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project : Improved data processing and web based tools. *Nucleic acids research*, *41*, 590-596.
- Rahman, S. F. (1999). Food Preservation by Freezing. In *Handbook of Food Preservation* (Marcel
 Dekker).
- Reynisson, E., Lauzon, H. L., Thorvaldsson, L., Margeirsson, B., Rúnarsson, Á. R., Þór Marteinsson, V.,
 & Martinsdóttir, E. (2010). Effects of different cooling techniques on bacterial succession and
 other spoilage indicators during storage of whole, gutted haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus*).
 European Food Research and Technology, 231(2), 237-246.
- Rivas, A. J., Lemos, M. L., & Osorio, C. R. (2013). Photobacterium damselae subsp. Damselae, a
 bacterium pathogenic for marine animals and humans. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 4.
- 45 Romalde, J. L. (2002). *Photobacterium damselae subsp. piscicida* : An integrated view of a bacterial
 46 fish pathogen. *International Microbiology*, 5(1), 3-9.
- 47 Ross, A. A., Rodrigues Hoffmann, A., & Neufeld, J. D. (2019). The skin microbiome of vertebrates.
 48 *Microbiome*, 7(1), 79.
- Sehnal, L., Brammer-Robbins, E., Wormington, A. M., Blaha, L., Bisesi, J., Larkin, I., Martyniuk, C. J.,
 Simonin, M., & Adamovsky, O. (2021). Microbiome composition and function in aquatic
 vertebrates : Small organisms making big impacts on aquatic animal health. *Frontiers in microbiology*, *12*, 358.

- Sheng, L., & Wang, L. (2020). The microbial safety of fish and fish products : Recent advances in understanding its significance, contamination sources, and control strategies. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 20(1), 738-786.
- Silbande, A., Adenet, S., Smith-Ravin, J., Joffraud, J.-J., Rochefort, K., & Leroi, F. (2016). Quality
 assessment of ice-stored tropical yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*) and influence of vacuum
 and modified atmosphere packaging. *Food Microbiology*, *60*, 62-72.
- Silva, C. C. G., Ponte, D. J. B., & Dapkevicius, M. L. N. E. (1998). Storage Temperature Effect on
 Histamine Formation in Big Eye Tuna and Skipjack. *Journal of Food Science*, 63(4), 644-647.
- Silva, T. M., Sabaini, P. S., Evangelista, W. P., & Gloria, M. B. A. (2011). Occurrence of histamine in
 Brazilian fresh and canned tuna. *Food Control*, *22*(2), 323-327.
- Sivertsvik, M., Jeksrud, W. K., & Rosnes, J. T. (2002). A review of modified atmosphere packaging of
 fish and fishery products—Significance of microbial growth, activities and safety. *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, *37*(2), 107-127.
- Sørensen, J. S., Ørnfeld-Jensen, O., Bøknæs, N., Mejlholm, O., Jessen, F., & Dalgaard, P. (2020).
 Thawed and chilled Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua L.*) from Greenland—Options for improved distribution. *LWT*, *131*, 109473.
- Speranza, B., Bevilacqua, A., Conte, A., Del Nobile, M. A., Sinigaglia, M., & Corbo, M. R. (2013). Use of
 Desirability Approach to Predict the Inhibition of *Pseudomonas fluorescens, Shewanella putrefaciens* and *Photobacterium phosphoreum* in Fish Fillets Through Natural Antimicrobials
 and Modified Atmosphere Packaging. *Food and Bioprocess Technology*, 6(9), 2319-2330.
- Syropoulou, F., Parlapani, F. F., Bosmali, I., Madesis, P., & Boziaris, I. S. (2020). HRM and 16S rRNA
 gene sequencing reveal the cultivable microbiota of the European sea bass during ice storage.
 International Journal of Food Microbiology, *327*, 108658.
- Syropoulou, F., Parlapani, F. F., Kakasis, S., Nychas, G.-J. E., & Boziaris, I. S. (2021). Primary Processing
 and Storage Affect the Dominant Microbiota of Fresh and Chill-Stored Sea Bass Products. *Foods*,
 10(3), 671.
- Tabanelli, G., Torriani, S., Rossi, F., Rizzotti, L., & Gardini, F. (2012). Effect of Chemico-Physical
 Parameters on the Histidine Decarboxylase (HdcA) Enzymatic Activity in *Streptococcus thermophilus* PRI60. *Journal of Food Science*, *77*(4), M231-M237.
- Tahmouzi, S., Ghasemlou, M., Aliabadi, F. S., Shahraz, F., Hosseini, H., & Khaksar, R. (2013). Histamine
 formation and bacteriological quality in Skipjack tuna (*Katsuwonus pelamis*): effect of defrosting
 temperature. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*, *37*(4), 306-313.
- Takahashi, H., Ogai, M., Miya, S., Kuda, T., & Kimura, B. (2015). Effects of environmental factors on
 histamine production in the psychrophilic histamine-producing bacterium *Photobacterium iliopiscarium. Food Control, 52*, 39-42.
- Taliadourou, D., Papadopoulos, V., Domvridou, E., Savvaidis, I. N., & Kontominas, M. G. (2003).
 Microbiological, chemical and sensory changes of whole and filleted Mediterranean aquacultured sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) stored in ice. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, *83*(13), 1373-1379.
- Tang, R., Zhu, J., Feng, L., Li, J., & Liu, X. (2019). Characterization of LuxI/LuxR and their regulation
 involved in biofilm formation and stress resistance in fish spoilers Pseudomonas fluorescens. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 297, 60-71.
- Thyssen, A., & Ollevier, F. (2005). Genus II. *Photobacterium*. In *Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology* (2^e éd., Vol. 1 2, p. 546-552). Springer.
- Tortorella, V., Masciari, P., Pezzi, M., Mola, A., Tiburzi, S. P., Zinzi, M. C., Scozzafava, A., & Verre, M.
 (2014). Histamine Poisoning from Ingestion of Fish or Scombroid Syndrome. *Case Reports in Emergency Medicine*, 2014, 1-4.
- Turan, H., & Erkoyuncu, İ. (2012). Salting technology in fish processing. *Progress in food preservation*,
 297 -13.
- 50 Wang, D., Yamaki, S., Kawai, Y., & Yamazaki, K. (2020). Histamine Production Behaviors of a 51 Psychrotolerant Histamine-Producer, *Morganella psychrotolerans*, in Various Environmental
- 52 Conditions. *Current Microbiology*, 77(3), 460-467.

- Wang, H., Liu, X., Zhang, Y., Lu, H., Xu, Q., Shi, C., & Luo, Y. (2017). Spoilage potential of three
 different bacteria isolated from spoiled grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idellus*) fillets during
 storage at 4 °C. *LWT Food Science and Technology*, *81*, 10-17.
- Xavier, R., Pereira, A., Pagan, A., Hendrick, G. C., Nicholson, M. D., Rosado, D., Soares, M. C., PerezLosada, M., & Sikkel, P. C. (2020). The effects of environment and ontogeny on the skin
 microbiome of two Stegastes damselfishes (*Pomacentridae*) from the eastern Caribbean Sea. *Marine Biology*, 167(7), 1-12.
- 8 Zhuang, S., Hong, H., Zhang, L., & Luo, Y. (2021). Spoilage-related microbiota in fish and crustaceans
 9 during storage : Research progress and future trends. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science* 10 *and Food Safety*, 20(1), 252-288.
- Zotta, T., Parente, E., Ianniello, R. G., De Filippis, F., & Ricciardi, A. (2019). Dynamics of bacterial
 communities and interaction networks in thawed fish fillets during chilled storage in air.
 International Journal of Food Microbiology, 293, 102-113.
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18

19 FIGURE LEGENDS

20

Figure 1. Experimental design to study the post-mortem microbiome of yellowfin tuna stored at 4°C. Gut and liver samples were collected in triplicate at the beginning of the experiment (T₀) and after 48, 96 and 120 hours, on fresh (A) and brine-frozen (B) individuals.

24

Figure 2. Temporal variation in the relative abundance of the main bacterial genera in the gut and liver samples of fresh and brine-frozen yellowfin tuna. The size of the dot is proportional to the relative abundance of each bacterial genus from T_{0h} to T_{120h} . Genera identified as potential specific spoilage organisms (SSOs) are coloured in red. Arrows represent the overall development of each bacterial genus during the experiment. Bacterial genera with a relative abundance inferior to 2% were grouped and designated as < 2% abund.

32

Figure 3. Dynamics of histamine concentration (ppm) (right abscissa) and relative abundance (left abscissa) of the main putative histamine-producing bacteria (HPB) found in the gut (A,B) and liver (C,D) of fresh (B,D) and brine-frozen (A,C) yellowfin tuna. The red horizontal bar represents the sanitary threshold of 50 ppm established by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA 2021).



Figure 1



Figure 2



Figure 3

Table 1: Results of permutational ANOVAS (PERMANOVA, 999 permutations) performed on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities matrices to test the variation of bacterial community composition with time and post-capture conservation conditions in gut and liver samples. Bold values indicate a significant effect of the tested factor (p < 0.05).

Community dissimilarity								
	Time			Conservation				
	p value	r²	df	p value	r²	df		
Gut	0.001	0.21	2	0.255	0.05	1		
Liver	0.023	0.13	2	0.003	0.09	1		