

Identification and quantification of chemical reactions in a coastal aquifer to assess submarine groundwater discharge composition

Tybaud Goyetche, Linda Luquot, Jesus Carrera, Laura Martínez-Pérez,

Albert Folch

▶ To cite this version:

Tybaud Goyetche, Linda Luquot, Jesus Carrera, Laura Martínez-Pérez, Albert Folch. Identification and quantification of chemical reactions in a coastal aquifer to assess submarine ground-water discharge composition. Science of the Total Environment, 2022, 838 (1), pp.155978. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155978. hal-03796089

HAL Id: hal-03796089 https://hal.umontpellier.fr/hal-03796089v1

Submitted on 17 Oct 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Title: Identification and quantification of chemical reactions in a coastal aquifer to assess submarine groundwater discharge composition

- 1 Tybaud Goyetche^{1,2,3*}, Linda Luquot⁴, Jesus Carrera^{1,2}, Laura Martínez Pérez^{1,2,3}, Albert
- 2 Folch^{2,3}
- ³ ¹ Institute of Environmental Assessment and Water Research (IDAEA), CSIC, Jordi Girona 18,
- 4 08034 Barcelona, Spain
- ² Associated Unit: Hydrogeology group (UPC-CSIC)
- ⁶ ³ Department of Civil and Environment Engineering, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya (UPC),
- 7 Jordi Girona 1-3, 08034 Barcelona, Spain
- 8 ⁴ Géoscience Montpellier, Université de Montpellier, CNRS, Montpellier, France
- 9 *Corresponding author:
- 10 Tybaud Goyetche
- 11 Institute of Environmental Assessment and Water Research (IDAEA), CSIC, Jordi Girona 18,
- 12 08034 Barcelona, Spain
- 13 e-mail: tgoyetche@gmail.com

Graphical abstract



Highlights

15	•	Coastal aquifers deliver large nutrient quantities to the sea through SGD.
16	•	FW and SW mixing promotes numerous chemical reactions.
17	•	Chemical reaction quantification is essential to assess GW quality SGD composition.

• Coastal aquifers play a significant role in contamination reduction.

18

Abstract

19 In coastal aquifers, two opposite but complementary processes occur: Seawater 20 intrusion (SWI) and Submarine groundwater discharge (SGD). SWI may salinize 21 freshwater in exploited aquifers while SGD transports essential chemical elements to the 22 sea. Aquifers are expected to be chemically reactive, both because they provide abundant 23 surfaces to catalyze reactions and because mixing the very different Fresh Water (FW) 24 and SW should promote numerous reactions. Characterizing and quantifying these 25 reactions are essential to assess the composition of both aquifer water quality and 26 submarine groundwater discharge. Indeed, sampling SGD is difficult, so that its 27 composition is usually uncertain. We propose a reactive mixing methodology based on 28 principal component analysis to (i) identify the sources of water and possible reactions 29 occurring in the aquifer, and (ii) quantify mixing ratios and the extent of chemical 30 reactions. We applied this methodology to the Argentona coastal aquifer located North of 31 Barcelona. The aquifer contains fluvial sediments of granitic origin and overlies 32 weathered granite. Identification of end members (FW and SW) and the spatial 33 distribution of their mixing ratios illustrate the application procedure. The extent of 34 reactions and their spatial distribution allow us to distinguish reactions that occur as a 35 result of SWI. This is relevant for recirculated saltwater SGD. The most important 36 reaction is cation exchange, especially between Ca and Na, which promotes other

37 reactions such as gypsum and fluorite precipitation. Iron and Manganese are mobilized in 38 the SW portion but oxidized and precipitated in the mixing zone, so that Fe (up to 15 39 μ Eq/L) and Mn (up to 10 μ Eq/L) discharge is restricted to SW SGD. Nitrate is reduced 40 in the mixing zone. The actual reaction amounts are site specific, but the processes are 41 not, which leads us to conjecture the importance of these reactions to understand the SGD 42 discharge elsewhere.

Keywords

43 Grounwater; Coastal aquifer; Submarine Groundwater Discharge; Mixing model;
44 EMMA Analysis; Chemical reactions.

1. Introduction

45 Population density in coastal areas is almost three times higher than the global 46 average (Small & Nicholls, 2003). The impact of high population on freshwater (FW) 47 demand is very strong. One of its consequences is the intensification of seawater (SW) 48 intrusion (SWI) (Alfarrah & Walraevens, 2018). SWI is a natural coastal process that is 49 intensified where there is a significant extraction of freshwater. At the same time, coastal 50 aquifers discharge continental FW, largely mixed with SW in the aquifer, but also 51 recirculated SW (Burnett et al., 2003) towards the sea through Submarine Groundwater 52 Discharge (SGD). Some authors argue that the quantified volumes of SGD resulting from 53 either recirculated SW (i.e. SW exchange resulting from sea level fluctuations), mixed 54 FW and SW, or FW, are many times greater than the river discharge (Taniguchi et al., 55 2019). SGD carries high solute and nutrients concentrations into the sea (Slomp & Van 56 Cappellen, 2004). Those elements may be important to submarine ecosystems (Luijendijk 57 et al., 2020), which lends an added relevance to the hydrochemistry of coastal aquifers.

58 The hydrochemistry of coastal aquifers depends not only on the nature of the 59 types of groundwater (end-members) that mix in these areas but also on their ensuing 60 interactions and reactions with the solid phases (Moore, 1999). Numerous studies indicate 61 the occurrence of chemical reactions in coastal aquifers including ion exchange, redox 62 reactions related to organic matter biodegradation, and mineral dissolution and 63 precipitation. Wigley and Plummer (1976) and Hanshaw and Back (1980) observed that 64 the mixing between freshwater (FW) and seawater (SW), both in equilibrium with calcite, 65 may tend to dissolve calcite, thus favoring coastal karst formation (Back et al., 1986; 66 Fratesi, 2013). The fact that calcite dissolution has been reported with only 2% of SW 67 (Magaritz et al., 1980), together with the fact that transport dynamics favor dissolution 68 on the freshwater side of the mixing zone (Rezaei et al., 2005), not only explain karst 69 development features, but also highlight the high reactivity that results from mixing so 70 different waters.

71 Cation exchange, driven by the invasion of SW, is frequently reported as a leading 72 geochemical process. A significant deviation of cations from conservative mixing 73 (increases in calcium and decreases in sodium, magnesium, and potassium) is usually 74 observed (Appelo & Willemsen, 1987; Giménez-Forcada, 2010; Gomis-Yagües et al., 75 2000; Martínez & Bocanegra, 2002; Pulido-Leboeuf, 2004). Russak et al. (2016) used 76 field data and column experiments to study the effect of salinization and freshening cycles on other minor cations Li⁺, B⁻, Mn²⁺ and Ba²⁺. Cation exchange, together with the 77 78 dissolution of some minerals, can promote the precipitation of others. This is the case for 79 dolomite and, especially, gypsum. In fact, a depletion in sulphate concentrations is 80 observed in a majority of works (Andersen et al., 2005; A. P. Barker et al., 1998; 81 Custodio, 1992; Gomis-Yagües et al., 2000). Sulphate reduction, which has also been 82 proposed, requires anoxic conditions and significant amounts of electron donors, which

may be caused by naturally ocurring organic matter at the seabed or from polluted FW.
In fact, iron and manganese reduction has been described in the mixing zone where
microbial iron reduction has been proposed to account for most of the anaerobic
degradation of natural organic matter (Snyder et al., 2004).

87 These biogeochemical reactions explain that the composition of submarine 88 groundwater discharge differs from the one predicted by simple mixing of FW and SW 89 (Moore, 2010). They also explain that saline water returning to the sea due to sea-aquifer 90 exchange may be quite different from sea-water, which may help understanding sea 91 chemical balances and SGD. For instance, the global calcium balance in the ocean has 92 traditionally missed a significant input (Milliman, 1993; Wilkinson & Algeo, 1989), 93 estimated between 40 and 120% of the fluvial inflow (Sawyer et al., 2016). Most research 94 on SGD chemistry focuses on nutrients, which control primary production (Grzelak et al., 95 2018; Liu et al., 2021; Valiela et al., 1990). It has been found that commercial fish, 96 aquaculture and lobster yields are positively correlated with terrestrial nutrients 97 discharged into coastal waters (Peng et al., 2021; Sutcliffe Jr, 1972). Nutrients, which are 98 essential elements for photosynthetic organisms and the ensuing trophic chains, may 99 become harmful for submarine ecosystems and lead to algal blooms when in excess 100 (Anderson et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2020; Luo & Jiao, 2016). Major ions cycles (Cl-, SO_4^{2-} , Na^+ , K^+ , Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , and HCO_3^-) attract relatively less attention because they are 101 102 not limiting. Yet, they can exert a significant control on the chemical forcing in coastal 103 areas and stimulate primary production (Kłostowska et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2017; Santos 104 et al., 2008).

105 The large number and interdepence of chemical reactions in coastal aquifers 106 makes their hydrochemistry difficult to explain. Hydro-chemical studies in coastal

107 aquifers are usually qualitative: describing groundwater composition and conjecturing the 108 reactions that may lead to measured concentrations. We suggest that quantifying such 109 potential reactions is useful to confirm or discard conceptual models, strengthen the 110 analysis, and help to build numerical models. The quantification is traditionally achieved 111 through models, which also help to assess the response of the system to changing 112 conditions. But reactive transport models are conceptually difficult, because of 113 difficulties of transport and density dependent flow, and practically complex, because 114 they require a large amount of data and long observation time-series. To simplify the 115 numerical model implementation, it is mandatory to identify the most important reactions.

116 A preliminary approximation to the concentrations of chemical species can be 117 achieved using mixing models. These are based on writing the concentration of any 118 species *i* in a sample *j* (C_{ij}) as (Christophersen et al., 1990; Hassen et al., 2018; Jurado et 119 al., 2015) :

$$C_{ij} = \sum_{e} \lambda_{ej} C_{ei} \tag{1}$$

120 where C_{ei} is the concentration of species *i* in the end-member e and λ_{ej} is the proportion 121 of end-member *e* in the sample *j*. λ_{ej} must satisfy the following constraints:

$$0 \le \lambda_{ej} \le 1 \tag{2}$$

$$\sum_{e} \lambda_{ej} = 1 \tag{3}$$

Mixing ratios can be obtained from the samples and end-members concentrations using these equations. The solution is trivial in the frequent cases where only two endmembers (FW and SW) are present. In these cases, the fraction of seawater in sample *j* ($\lambda_{SW}i$) is classically calculated from Cl concentration (Appelo & Postma, 2005):

$$\lambda_{SWj} = \frac{C_{Cl,j} - C_{Cl,FW}}{C_{Cl,SW} - C_{Cl,FW}} \tag{4}$$

126 The large difference between Cl⁻ concentrations or similar salinity indicators, such as 127 electrical conductivity, in FW and SW makes Eq. 4 quite robust and, thus, widely used. 128 Still, attention must be paid to measurement errors, which can be identified if other 129 species are used for the calculation of mixing ratios. For example, Shin et al. (2020) 130 reported some underestimations using Br ions compared with Cl ions. Therefore, the 131 chemical element chosen to calculate λ_{SWi} may be important. Conservative chemical 132 elements such as stable isotopes, or metals are used as SWI and/or SGD tracers (Long & 133 Valder, 2011; Nakaya et al., 2007). Other tracers (i.e. Sr and Ra isotopes) may help in 134 computing mixing ratios but require specific sampling protocols and additional costs to 135 regular monitoring campaigns. Moreover, the use of these tools can be hindered when the 136 mixing between end-members is not clear, end-members composition vary with time or 137 when they are not identified (Kendall & Caldwell, 1998, Cerdà-Domènech et al., 2017).

138 Identification of end-members is a conceptual problem. Often, they result from a 139 good hydrogeological characterization of the system. Nevertheless, identification can be 140 non-trivial in complex sites with multiple candidates for end-members. Identification is 141 greatly aided by End Member Mixing Analysis (EMMA, Christophersen et al. (1990); 142 Hooper (2003); Hooper et al. (1990); Vázquez-Suñé et al. (2010)). EMMA is a powerful 143 statistical method based on principal component analysis (PCA) that aims at explaining 144 the variability of a data set by reducing the dimensions of the problem by grouping 145 correlated variables. To do so, eigenvalues are calculated and then projected into a low-146 dimensional space (2 or 3) by selecting the eigenvectors explaining most of the 147 variability. End-members should encircle all the other projected observation points, 148 which together with conceptual understanding helps in their identification. Once the end149 members have been identified, their mixing ratios in each sample can be evaluated.
150 EMMA is an adequate technique, but cannot be directly applied to species that undergo
151 some reaction. Therefore, a fairly widespread EMMA rule is to keep only the conservative
152 species in the analysis (Li et al., 2016; Tubau et al., 2014), which is a limiting factor when
153 many reactions occur and affect most species. This is particularly the case FW and SW
154 mix, even if both are in equilibrium with the host sediments such as in coastal aquifers.

Including reactions in mixing calculations has been addressed by several researchers. Tubau et al. (2014) and Jurado et al. (2015) considered reactions as endmembers by adding, for every reaction, an artificial end-member with the species participating in the reaction. Unfortunately, this approach does not properly represent mixing in the aquifer since the calculated mixing ratios do not add up to one (Eq. 3) and the identification of actual reactions remains unclear. Pelizardi et al. (2017) present a methodology to formalize mixing ratio calculations, which can be rewritten as:

$$C_{ij} = \sum_{e} \lambda_{ej} C_{ei} + \sum_{e} S_{ir}^{t} R_{rj}$$
⁽⁵⁾

where S_{ir} is the stoichiometric coefficient of species *i* in reaction *r* and R_{rj} is the reaction 162 163 extent of reaction r in sample j. That is, R_{rj} should be understood as the amount 164 (expressed in moles or equivalents per liter) of reaction r reactants that have gone 165 products in sample *j*. The method consists of several steps: (i) use the EMMA to identify 166 the species participating in reactions and determine the associated reactions, (ii) define 167 conservative components *u* associated with the reactions identified in the previous step 168 (De Simoni et al., 2005), (iii) repeat the EMMA process with the conservative 169 components for the identification of end-members and (iv) calculate the mixing ratios in 170 each sample. The method is appealing because it formalizes the gist of hydrology (e.g., 171 Davis and DeWiest (1966); Hurrell et al. (2013)): understanding water exchanges among

172	compartments (first term in Eq, 5) and the processes it undergoes (second term). Still, the
173	method does not specify how to quantify the reactions (R_{rj}) , and the methodology needs
174	refinements on how to identify the reactions to be applied to real data (Pelizardi et al.
175	(2017) examples are synthetic).

The objective of this work is double. First, we want to refine the reactive EMMA methodology and apply it to a complex real case influenced by SWI (the Argentona site, Martínez-Pérez et al. (2022)). Second, we want to identify and quantify hydrochemical processes at this coastal site to understand the chemistry of SGD.

2. Materials and methods

180 **2.1.Study site, sampling, and analytical techniques**

We use chemical data from the well-instrumented Argentona Experimental Site (Folch et al. 2020) located 30 km north of Barcelona (Spain), at the mouth of the Argentona ephemeral stream (Figure 1). This site is characterized by a dynamic SWI and SGD, which leads to an active mixing zone (Martínez-Pérez et al., 2022). The site climate is Mediterranean, with dry summers and mild, wet winters. Rainfall (some 600 mm/year) concentrates mainly during autumn and spring. It controls the Argentona stream flow and the alluvial aquifer recharge.



194	The aquifer system is formed by alluvial deposits with an alternation of gravel,
195	sands, and silty layers over a weathered granitic substratum. Mineralogy composition was
196	measured through XRD at different depths and indicates a majority of silicates as Quartz
197	(13–37%, SiO ₂), Microcline (10–34%, KAlSi ₃ O ₈), Albite (21–46%, NaAl Si ₃ O ₈) and Fe
198	rich Mg-hornblende (3–7%). Some clay minerals, such as Illite, are also observed with a
199	fairly wide range depending on the depth (3-38%) (see details in Martínez-Pérez et al.
200	(2022)). The anthropogenic impact in the watershed is quite significant with urban,
201	agricultural, and industrial areas that can have an impact on groundwater quality (Rufí-
202	Salís et al., 2019). Both the geological background and human activities in the watershed
203	may foster reactions associated with the above minerals such as silicate alterations, cation
204	exchange, and some organic matter degradation.



205

Figure 1: Experimental site of Argentona: (a) location, (b) borehole distribution, and (c) aquifer
system (Modified from Martínez-Pérez et al. (2022))

208 Groundwater is sampled using submersible pumps (Gigant Submersible Pumps, 209 Van Walt). A purging step is first applied by pumping at least three times the volume of 210 the piezometer. Groundwater samples are then collected following a strict protocol and 211 stored in pre-sanitized bottles. Sampling bottles had been previously washed with diluted 212 nitric acid and rinsed with distilled water in the laboratory. Then in the field, each bottle 213 is rinsed three times with groundwater before sampling and every other precaution is 214 taken (use of gloves, handling one sample at a time, cleaning of utensils between taking 215 each sample...) to avoid contamination. Electrical conductivity (EC), pH, temperature, 216 Eh, and dissolved oxygen are measured on-site with a YSI multiparameter probe,

217 previously calibrated with standard solutions. These measurements are made through a 218 flow cell to directly pass groundwater across sensors to avoid contact with ambient air 219 and prevent degasification or mineral precipitation. Alkalinity (as HCO_3^-) is analyzed 220 manually by tritration evaluation with sulfuric acid, accounting for the pH of the sample.

Table 1 summarizes the species analyzed for this study and the analytical method and laboratory. The water samples used for this study were collected during the field campaign of winter 2016 (presented in Martínez-Pérez et al. (2022)). As rains mainly occurs in autumn, we expect high FW content in groundwater samples. See supplementary materials for the full chemical dataset.

226 As the selected site is a coastal aquifer affected by SWI and SGD, the expected 227 end-members for the mixing zone are, at least, one freshwater end-member representing 228 the global aquifer water and seawater end-member (for the SWI part). As a representation 229 of such end-members, we considered a well located on the Argentona watershed upper 230 part for the freshwater (F1) and seawater (SW) from the nearby coast. To constrain all the 231 possible water inflows in the Argentona site and to be sure to not exclude any potential 232 end-members, we also sampled the ephemeral stream during a rainfall event (STREAM) 233 and the wastewater treatment plant effluent (SEWAGE) that discharges in the sea near 234 the experimental site.

235

Table 1 : Chemical species considered, analysis method, and laboratories of analysis.

Chemical species	Symbol	Analysis Method	Laboratory
Chloride	Cl-	Ion	
Sulphate	<i>SO</i> ₄ ²⁻	Chromatography	Catalan Institute for Water
Nitrate	NO_3^-	(IC)	Research (ICRA)
Calcium (Ca)	Ca ²⁺		
Sodium (Na)	Na ⁺		
Magnesium (Mg)	Mg^{2+}		
Potassium (K)	K^+		
Manganese (Mn)	Mn^{2+}	Inductively	Institute of Environmental
Iron (Fe)	Fe^{2+}	Coupled Plasma –	Assessment and Water Studies
Fluorine (F)	F^{-}	Mass Spectrometry	(IDAEA)
Silicon (Si)	Si ⁴⁺	(ICP-MS)	
Barium (Ba)	Ba ²⁺		
Bromine (Br)	Br^{-}		
Aluminium (Al)	Al^{3+}		
Lithium (Li)	Li ⁺		
$\delta^{18}O$ and δD	$\delta^{18}O$ and δD		University of Barcelona (UB).
Alkalinity (as HCO_3^-)	HCO_3^-	Titration-based	Field measurement
Electrical	FC	YSI multiparameter	Field measurement
conductivity	LC	meter	i lola mousurement
Dissolved Oxygen	O ₂	YSI multiparameter meter	Field measurement

237 **2.2.Identification and quantification of chemical reactions**

- 238 We propose a three step procedure for the identification and quantification of
- 239 chemical reactions using reactive mixing calculations:
- 240 (1) Reactive EMMA for identification of reactions and end-members
- 241 (2) Mixing calculations
- 242 (3) Quantification of chemical reactions
- 243 These steps are detailed below.

244 **2.2.1.** Step 1: Reactive EMMA for identification of reactions and end-members

Interpretation and representation of hydrogeochemical data may be complex because of the large number of compounds and their time evolution. To simplify the analysis, the whole chemical data set is presented as a concentration matrix (X) ($n_s \times n$, where n_s is the number of chemical species and n is the number of samples). We used the application of EMMA-MIX (Carrera et al., 2004) to select end-members, evaluate the mixing ratios between different end-members and quantify the reactions occurring in the coastal aquifer of Argentona.

The identification of chemical reactions and end-members follows an iterative process, summarized in Figure 2:

Preliminary analysis: EMMA (Carrera et al., 2004) is applied to the original matrix X
 resulting from raw concentration data.

256 Step a: Propose candidate reactions: this is done by (1) conceptual analysis (some 257 reactions, e.g., cation exchange may be expected to occur), (2) identification of 258 species possibly participating in reactions by checking differences from expected 259 conservative mixing behaviour. For example, the eigenvector associated to FW-SW mixing typically display contributions of around $1/\sqrt{n_s}$ for conservative species 260 261 but not for those affected by chemical reactions, which tend to display a reduced 262 contribution. Another typical example is the case of eigenvectors without clear end-263 members, but involving few species, which might come from a reaction (e.g., an eigenvector with large contributions to Ca^{2+} and SO_4^{2-} can indicate gypsum 264 265 dissolution-precipitation).

266 Step b: Build the stoichiometric matrix of the proposed reactions. This matrix 267 $(n_r \times n_s, \text{ where } n_r \text{ is the number of proposed reactions})$ includes the stoichiometric 268 coefficient associated to species participating in the reactions.

269 Step c: Derive components (i.e., combinations of species that remain unchanged by the 270 proposed reactions). This involves (1) computing the components matrix $U(n_s - 1)$ 271 $n_r \times n_s$) from the stoichiometric matrix (see details in Pelizardi et al. (2017) and 272 Molins et al. (2004)), and (2) multiplying the vector of concentrations of all species in each sample by the components matrix. This leads to a new data matrix, $X_u =$ 273 274 $U \cdot X$. In practice, this reduced data matrix results from eliminating the species 275 involved in the reactions and replacing them with the corresponding components u, 276 while keeping the species that do not participate in any reaction.

277 **Step d: Conservative EMMA analysis:** EMMA is repeated using X_u . In case new 278 reactions are identified, the procedure is repeated until a significant percentage of 279 the variance (say, more than 90%) can be explained by a few eigenvectors and the 280 data projections are encircled by conceptually reasonable end-members.

In our analysis, described in detail in section 3.1, we identified 12 potential reactions in agreement with the geological context and human activities around the experimental site affecting our system:

$Ca^{2+} + SO_4^{2-} + 2H_2O \Leftrightarrow CaSO_4. 2H_2O(s)$	Gypsum precipitation	(R_1)
$Na^+ + 0.5X_2Ca \Leftrightarrow 0.5Ca^{2+} + XNa$	Na – Ca Cation exchange	(R ₂)
$K^+ + 0.5X_2Ca \Leftrightarrow 0.5Ca^{2+} + XK$	K – Ca Cation exchange	(R ₃)
$Mg^{2+} + X_2Ca \Leftrightarrow Mg^{2+} + X_2Mg$	Mg – Ca Cation exchange	(R ₄)
$CH_2O + O_2 \rightarrow HCO_3^- + H^+$	Aerobic respiration	(R ₅)
$CH_2O + 0.8NO_3^- \rightarrow 0.4N_2 + HCO_3^- + 0.2H^+ + 0.4H_2O$	Denitrification	(R_6)
$2 CH_2O + 4MnO_2 + 6H^+ \rightarrow 2HCO_3^- + 4Mn^{2+} + 4H_2O$	Manganese reduction	(R ₇)
$CH_2O + 4FeO(OH) + 7H^+ \rightarrow HCO_3^- + 4Fe^{2+} + 6H_2O$	Iron reduction	(R_8)
$Ca^{2+} + 2F^- \Leftrightarrow CaF_2$	Fluorite precipitation.	(R ₉)
$Ba^{2+} + SO_4^{2-} \Leftrightarrow BaSO_4$	Barite precipitation	(R_{10})

$KAlSi_3O_8 \to 3Si^{4+} + K^+ + Al^{3+}$	Feldspar weathering	(R_{11})
$Al^{3+} + 3H_20 \Leftrightarrow Al(OH)_3 + 3H^+$	Gibbsite precipitation.	(R_{12})

284 The 2 conservative components resulting from these reactions are:

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = [Ca^{2+}] - [SO_4^{2-}] + 0.5[Na^+] + 0.5[K^+] + [Mg^{2+}] - 0.5[F^-] + [Ba^{2+}] + 1/6[Si^{4+}]$$
(6)

$$u_2 = [HCO_3^-] + [DO] + 1.25[NO_3^-] + 0.5[Mn^{2+}] + 0.25[Fe^{2+}] (7)$$

where u_1 corresponds to the reactions associated to cation exchange and minerals dissolution-precipitation affecting $[Ca^{2+}]$ while u_2 is associated to $[HCO_3^-]$ and redox reactions. So, X_u is the matrix (8 × *n*) containing the two above components and the remaining species not participating in reactions ($[\delta^{18}O]$, $[\delta D]$, [EC], $[Br^-]$, $[Li^+]$ and $[Cl^-]$).

290 2

2.2.2. Step 2: Mixing calculations

291 Once the end-members for each sample are identified using EMMA, mixing ratios 292 can be calculated using the MIX code (Carrera et al., 2004). A feature of this code is that 293 it acknowledges that the uncertainty of end-member compositions may be greater than 294 that of the actual samples. In essence, it is assumed that the measured concentrations, 295 C_{mij} , of species in sample *j* results from conservative mixing of n_e end-members (Eq. 1) 296 plus a measurement error and that the measured concentrations of end-members, C_{mei} , 297 also contain errors. That is,

$$C_{mij} = \sum_{e=1}^{n_e} \lambda_{ej} C_{ei} + \varepsilon_{mij} \qquad i = 1, n_s; j = 1, n_m$$
(8a)

$$C_{mei} = C_{ei} + \varepsilon_{ei} \qquad i = 1, n_s; e = 1, n_m$$
(8b)

where ε_{mij} and ε_{ei} are the measurement errors of concentrations of mixtures (samples) and end-members, respectively. Mixing ratios, λ_{ej} , and end-member concentrations, C_{ei} , are obtained by minimizing the objective function:

$$F_{obj} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_s} \sum_{j=1}^{n_m} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{mij}}{\sigma_{ij}}\right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_s} \sum_{e=1}^{n_e} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{ei}}{\sigma_{ei}}\right)^2 \tag{9}$$

where σ_{ij} and σ_{ei} are the standard deviations of ε_{mij} and ε_{ei} respectively. These are the 301 302 only data that needs to be specified, in addition to actual measurements, to run MIX. Here 303 we have assigned by default a standard deviation of 0.1 times the measured concentration $(\sigma_{ij} = 0.1C_{ij})$, except for very small concentrations $(C_{mij} < 0.1\sigma_{si})$, where σ_{si} is the 304 standard deviation of species *i* in all measurements), in which case $\sigma_{ij} = 0.01\sigma_{si}$. The 305 306 same criterion was initially applied to end-members. Variances can be adjusted to broaden 307 or restrict the concentration calculations. To this end, it is convenient to verify the 308 deviation of both end member and sample concentrations from the raw data to ensure that 309 the projections of calculated end-members over the selected eigenvectors using EMMA 310 (Figure 2e) encircle the samples. Otherwise, σ_{ei} can be adjusted so that the concentrations 311 of the end-members do not deviate too much from conceptual expectations and the 312 distribution is preserved.

In our case, we had to adjust σ_{ei} for the F1 sample for Cl^- and u_1 . The initial values are respectively: 7.210 mmol/L ± 51.98 mmol/L and 1.881 mmol/L ± 528.59 mmol/L.

316 **2.2.3.** Step 3 : Quantification of chemical reactions

317 Once the *e* end-member proportions in sample *j* (λ_{ej} , Eq. 1) are calculated, we 318 can quantify the extent of reaction R_{rj} in sample *j* (Step 3, Figure 2) from the concentration of the secondary species associated to the reaction r (Eq. 4). We take advantage of the fact that we have built the stoichiometric matrices in such a way that a secondary species can only result from specific reaction. Therefore, the reaction extent R_{rj} of reaction r in sample j is equal to considering conservative mixing, the deviation from the measured concentration of species i ($C_{i_{Meas.}}$) from the conservative mixing concentrations (C_{rim}) can be defined as (Eq. 5).

$$R_j = \left[C_{i_{Cons.}}\right] - \left[C_{i_{Meas.}}\right] \tag{0-10}$$

where $[C_{i_{Cons.}}]$ is calculated using λ_{ej} according to Eq. 1, as if there were no reactions (conservative mixing).



Figure 2: EMMA reactive flow chart for the end-member identification and chemical reactions
 quantification

3. Results and discussion

330 3.1.Step 1: Chemical reactions for end-member identification

In this section, we detail how we obtained the final chemical system applying the EMMA. We start (iteration 0) by consider all chemical species in Table 1The goal is to reduce the set of species and components through invoking reactions so as to explain. 334 Second, an iterative process begins until the variance by the least number of eigenvectors.

335 Moreover, end-members must enclose the chemical composition of our system. Table 2

336 presents the parameters for each iteration and the results of the variance explained by EG1

337 and EG2.

Table 2 : Parameters varying in each iteration to identify chemical reactions using EMMA. Where n_r is the number of reactions considered, R_n the reaction identifier, n_s is the number of species considered, n_u is the number of conservative components, $W = \sqrt{1/(n_s + n_u)}$ is the theoretical contribution of each species, if all species and components were equally weighted, and n_e is the number of potential end-members identified.

Iteration number	0	1	2	3	4
n _r	0	4	8	11	12
R _n	-	R_1 to R_4	R_5 to R_8	R_9 to R_{11}	R ₁₂
n_s	20	15	10	6	6
n_u	-	1	2	3	2
W	0.22	0.25	0.29	0.33	0.35
n_e	>4	4	4	3	2
EG1 contribution (%)	67.47	62.87	73.03	87.12	96.73
EG2 contribution (%)	11.53	13.96	11.50	10.43	2.10

343 Iteration 0 or preliminary analysis starts by applying the EMMA to the original chemical data without reactions (i.e., 20 x 18 matrix, both n_r and n_u are set to zero in 344 345 Table 2). The two first eigenvectors explain 79% of the variance (Table 2). As shown in 346 Figure 3a, EG1 represents the mixing between a freshwater end-member (FW) and the 347 saline end-member (SW). However, we observe that many chemical species are affected 348 by other processes than mixing. That is, their contribution is not equal to the theoretical contribution W of each species and components (with $W = \sqrt{1/(n_s + n_u)}$) if all were 349 350 equally weighted (the values are shown in Table 2 and indicated in Figure 3, top row). 351 Moreover, results show that more than two end-members are needed to explain a significant portion of the data variability ($n_e > 4$, see Figure 3c). The results from this preliminary iteration (Iteration 0) imply that we must acknowledge chemical reactions to interpret groundwater hydrochemistry.

355 To acknowledge reactions, the first reactive EMMA (EMMA-reactive 356 hereinafter) iteration (Iteration 1) includes cation exchange reactions (R2 to R4, Na^+ , K^+ and Mg^{2+} with Ca^{2+}) as they represent the main type of reaction occurring in non-357 358 karstic coastal aquifers (Russak & Sivan, 2010). We also included gypsum precipitation 359 (R1) because it is frequently observed and because groundwater composition at the Argentona experimental site is depleted in SO_4^{2-} (Martínez-Pérez et al., 2022). Note, 360 361 however, that results from the preliminary analysis (Iteration 0) did not suggest that the species participating in cation exchange or gypsum precipitation Na^+ , K^+ , Mg^{2+} , 362 SO_4^{2-} and Ca^{2+} , (indicated by a square in Figure 3a) are affected by any chemical reaction. 363 364 Despite this, we have decided to include R1 to R4 in iteration 1 to highlight the importance 365 of the conceptual model and the robustness of EMMA, allowing us to analyze and discuss 366 the validity of chemical reactions.

367 Reacting species are eliminated from the data matrix X during EMMA-reactive 368 iterations. Instead, conservative components (i.e. combinations of reactive species that 369 remain unaffected by reactions) are added to X. In iteration 1, the conservative component resulting from cation exchange and gypsum precipitation is: $u_1 = Ca^{2+} - SO_4^{2-} +$ 370 $0.5Na^+ + 0.5K^+ + Mg^{2+}$ (see Supplementary Material). Results of iteration 1 371 372 demonstrate two things: (i) the variance explained by the first two eigenvectors is reduced 373 to 77%, with a reduction of the EG1 relative contribution (see the difference with iteration 374 0 in Table 2); and (ii) we are still not able to identify end-members (Figure 3f). This lack 375 of improvement reflects that we are not following the EMMA recommendations (i.e. 376 reduced contribution to the eigenvector representing mixing) when considering chemical 377 reactions. In our case, EMMA suggests that we should consider other reactions first and 378 possibly add R1 to R4 in a later iteration. Consequently, we added redox reactions 379 affecting DO, NO_3^- , Mn^{2+} , and Fe^{2+} (indicated in Figure 3d) for iteration 2.

380 Adding redox reactions (R5 to R8) makes the chemical system more complex, 381 with 8 chemical reactions ($n_r = 8$, Table 2) that lead to a second conservative component $(u_2 = HCO_3^- + DO + 1.25NO_3^- + 0.5Mn^{2+} + 0.25Fe^{2+})$ besides u_1 , obtained from the 382 383 previous iteration (see Supplementary Material). With two eigenvectors we are able to 384 explain up to 85% of the variance (i.e., a of 6% gain over iteration 0). Nevertheless, it 385 remains difficult to identify end-members (Figure 3i). New reactions are suggested by EG1, affecting Al^{3+} , F^- , Ba^{2+} , and Si^{4+} (see Figure 3g). These species and the mineral 386 387 composition of the Argentona site, suggest adding fluorite, barite and feldspar mineral 388 dissolution-precipitation reactions (R9 to R11). This leads to a third conservative component that $u_3 = Al^{3+} + 1/3 Si^{4+}$ (see Supplementary Material). u_3 represents the 389 390 weathering of the granitic minerals present in the Argentona aquifer. Note that, since 391 calcium and sulfate are already part of u_1 , fluorite and calcite precipitation imply treating F^- and Ba^{2+} as secondary species and modifying u_1 , which now reads : $u_1 = Ca^{2+} - Ca^{2+}$ 392 $SO_4^{2-} + 0.5Na^+ + 0.5K^+ + Mg^{2+} - 0.5F^- + Ba^{2+} + 1/6Si^{4+}$ and u_2 is unchanged. 393

The iterative process is repeated for a third time. According to this chemical system with 11 chemical reactions ($n_r = 11$), we explain 98% with 2 eigenvectors. This iteration gives better results than all the previous ones (+19% compared to iteration 0, Table 2). However, end-members identification remains unclear since it would be necessary to include 3 end-members to explain the data (F1, SW, and N1-25 as suggested in Figure 31). The presence of 3 end-members in a case of seawater intrusion is not 400 unusual and has been described in several cases of study in the literature considering 401 different freshwater sources or some fossil seawater (Chatton et al., 2016; Eissa, 2018; 402 Kim et al., 2017; Sivan et al., 2005; Wicks & Herman, 1996). In our system, we see that EG2 is mainly controlled by u_3 which corresponds to the granitic weathering. The fact is 403 that if R11, takes place, for each mole of weathered potassic feldspar, 3 moles of Si^{4+} and 404 1 mole of Al^{3+} should be added to the solution. But Al^{3+} is unstable in solution at pH > 405 406 5, which suggests that Aluminium should be precipitating. The questionable nature of the third end-member and the excess of Al^{3+} prompted us to perform a 4th iteration by adding 407 408 Gibbsite (Aluminium hydroxide) precipitation (R12), (see Supplementary Material).



409

Figure 3 : Results of the EMMA iterative process for the identification of chemical reactions and end-members. Columns represent the iterations from left to right, going from 0 to 4, with the increasing number of reactions included in the chemical system. (1) species relative contribution to Eigenvector 1; (2) species relative contribution to Eigenvector 2 and (3) EMMA projection of concentration data defined by eigenvectors 1 and 2. Yellow vertical bands represent the number of conservative components involved in each iteration process.

415 This iteration proved to be the last one as it improved results, with 99% of the variance 416 explained by the first 2 eigenvectors. But the greatest improvement is seen in Eigenvector 1 417 (EG1, Figure 3m), which explains almost 97%. Furthermore, we observe that almost all the species contribute equally with a relative weight of 0.35 ($\approx \sqrt{1/(n_s + n_u)}$), except u_2 which 418 419 is anticorrelated (-0.35). As we indicated before, the EG1 direction is controlled by the mixing 420 between freshwater and seawater. Accordingly, the u_2 anticorrelation with EG1 is quite consistent since the chemical species constituting u_2 (HCO₃⁻, DO, NO₃⁻, Mn²⁺, Fe²⁺, F⁻) are 421 422 representative of the freshwater with respect to other species. Moreover, in this last iteration, 423 the relative contribution of Eigenvector 2 is reduced to 2% (see the reduction from iteration 0 424 to 4 in Table 2). EG2 is mainly characterized by the conservative component u_2 with a relative weight of 0.79. We consider the relative contribution of the remaining eigenvectors irrelevant 425 426 since EG2 represents only 2% of the variance. From these results, the end-members are 427 identifiable in the projection of sampling points using the first two eigenvectors. We observe 428 an alignment of the points in the mixing line between F1 (freshwater end-member) and SW 429 (Figure 3o).

430

3.2.Step 2: Mixing ratios and recalculated end members

Based on the iteration process, the model with 2 end-members (Iteration 4, Table 2) has
been chosen for our data set. End-members exact composition of end-members is recalculated
using the MIX code (Carrera et al., 2004) together with mixing ratios for each sample (Step 3,
Figure 2). Table 3 presents the recalculated composition of end-members.

For F1_r, the code tends to reduce concentrations, more specifically for *Li*, *Br*, u_1 , and *EC*. Changes are respectively: -100% (irrelevant concentration 1.44.10⁻⁶ mmol/L), -63%, -61%, -31%. This reduction suggests that the actual end-member might be closer to rainfall than any of our samples. In reality, MIX changes the concentrations to ensure that in this way, F1_r and SWr encircle all the other samples in the projection of EG1 and EG2 (not presented here as it overlays with the initial end-members). In the same way, to bring F1 closer to wells Nx-15 in EG1 and EG2 projections, a slight increase in $\delta^{18}O$ and δD with respectively 3.6% and 2.4%. While for SWr, the most impacted concentrations are *Li* and u_2 . The code tends to lower them respectively by 58% and 48%. Furthermore, the EC rises from 53.00 mS/cm to 56.89 mS/cm.

The MIX code takes into account the variance indicated by the user to recalculate the end-members. As mentioned before, as we were quite in agreement with the end-members initial position, we had to reduce the degree of freedom for two species in F1: u_2 and Cl^- . So that the code does not change too much the concentrations. In the case of totally unknown endmembers, this variance can be increased to assess the composition.

449 Table 3: Mix calculation chemical composition of the end-members. Units expressed in mmol/L for 450 chemical species and conservative components (u_1 and u_2) and mS/cm for EC.

	u ₁	u ₂	$\delta^{^{18}}O$	δD	EC	Br	Li	Cl		
Input end-members composition										
F1	6.972	7.210	-6.143	-37.527	0.980	0.011	0.011 0			
SW	289.085	2.423	0.700	7.500	53.000	0.898	0.028	612.162		
Outpu	t end-member	s compositio	n							
F1 _r	2.738	7.088	-5.92	-36.64	0.672	0.004	0	1.655		
SWr	287.983	1.262	0.684	6.627	56.894	0.883	0.012	552.43		

The calculation also yields the proportion of each end-member in the observation wells using (Table 4). Results are grouped by the proportion of FW. Group A (more than 90% FW) includes all shallow piezometers, which is insistent with FW floating on top of SW. Group B (between 70 and 90% FW) includes most intermediate depth wells. Finally, the remaining samples are those of the deep and close to the shore piezometers. In summary, the observed general trend is a decrease of the FW fraction with depth and from inland to the coast.

- 457 Table 4 : Calculated fraction of FW in Argentona experimental site from EC, *Cl*⁻ water molecule
- 458 isotopes and mixing calculations indicates wells located on the transect parallel to the coastline, **
- 459 indicates fully screened wells.

		% F1, in samples H2O H2O Mix code 99.7 99.9 98.6 99.7 99.4 99.6 98.5 99.6 98.8 99.1 93.9 97.4 96.1 96.6 89.0 93.7 96.1 96.6 89.0 93.7 96.1 96.6 89.0 93.7 93.9 95.0 90.2 92.7 80.6 83.4 84.4 88.2 63.2 68.2 75.4 78.3 76.7 80.2 82.4 85.9 72.7 76.8 75.2 77.8 26.5 30.0 34.1 33.3 13.1 20.7 25.9 24.5 11.0 18.1 19.8 18.4											
Group	Wells	EC	Cl	H₂O Isotopes	Mix code								
	N2-15	99.7	99.9	98.6	99.7								
	*N4-15	99.4	99.6	98.5	99.6								
А	N3-15	98.8	99.1	93.9	97.4								
	N1-15	96.1	96.6	89.0	93.7								
В	**PP15	93.9	95.0	90.2	92.7								
	N2-20	80.6	83.4	84.4	88.2								
	*N4-20	63.2	68.2	75.4	78.3								
В	N3-20	76.7	80.2	82.4	85.9								
	**PP20-A	72.7	76.8	75.2	77.8								
	N2-25	26.5	30.0	34.1	33.3								
	*PS-25	13.1	20.7	25.9	24.5								
	*N4-25	11.0	18.1	19.8	18.3								
С	N3-25	12.1	19.5	19.8	18.4								
	N1-25	25.2	30.3	34.3	34.9								
	N1-20	4.2	14.2	9.2	8.7								
	**PP20-B	15.4	23.9	23.7	22.7								

The contribution to the objective function (F_{0jb}) obtained by the MIX code after the mixing ratios end-members calculations are presented in Figure 4, classified by water sample (18), end-member (2), and chemical species (8). Note the largest contributions come from samples N1-15 (18%) and N3-15 (14%).

465 N1-15 is screened from 12.5 to 14.5 m, deeper than other boreholes belonging to the 466 same group (Group A, Nx-15, from ~10 to 12 m). However, N1-15 is shallower than boreholes 467 from Group B (Nx-20, from 15 to 17 m). Also, it is located just below a silt layer, identified at 468 12 m by Martínez-Pérez et al. (2022). Palacios et al. (2020) presents this silt layer as a hydraulic 469 barrier affecting the mixing zone dynamics. Despite this, N1-15 presents 93.7% of F1_r and a 470 small SWr ratio that is reflecting a low penetration of the saltwater wedge at this sampling 471 hydrologic condition.

MIX calculations for N3-15 pointed out a possible analytical error. $\delta^{18}O$ measured 472 473 concentration is higher (-5.475 ‰) than the calculated concentration (-5.745 ‰). This 474 calculated value is similar to another groundwater sampling campaign (-5.84 ‰), carried out one month later in the same well and with the same hydrological conditions. $\delta^{18}O$ is the 475 chemical species with the highest contribution to the objective function and represents 35% of 476 477 Fo_{ib} (Figure 4). The rest of the species have a low contribution to the objective function. The large contributions of stable isotopes to the objective function concentrate in the shallowest 478 479 (freshest) samples, suggests that the high variability of stable isotopes in rainfall and exchange 480 with surface water may not be well represented by a single freshwater end member.

		u1	u2	d180	dD	EC	Br	Li	ci	Total	Contribution to Fobi (%)
Normalize	d difference of	end-members									
F1 vs F1r		-0.01	-0.08	-0.39	-0.14	-0.01	-0.02	-0.04	-0.01	-0.70	11.67
SW vs SWr		-0.12	0.00	0.00	-0.01	0.00	0.00	-0.16	-0.01	-0.29	4.87
Normalize	d difference of	observation w	ells								
SEWAGE		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00
RIVER		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00
	N2-15	-0.001	-0.003	-0.001	-0.125	-0.01	-0.013	-0.011	0	-0.164	2.74
	*N4-15	0	-0.005	-0.013	-0.14	-0.016	-0.015	-0.073	0	-0.263	4.39
Α	N3-15	0	0.045	-0.575	-0.012	-0.039	-0.064	-0.008	-0.077	-0.82	13.69
	N1-15	-0.001 🛄	-0.097	-0.487	-0.149	-0.087	-0.076	-0.002	-0.187	-1.086	18.13
	**PP15	-0.001	-0.02	-0.125	0	-0.012	-0.007	-0.002	-0.042	-0.21	3.51
	N2-20	-0.006	-0.052	-0 195	-0.076	-0.058	-0.051	-0.009	-0.064	-0.511	8.53
	*N4-20	-0.023	-0.056	-0.1	-0.037	-0.065	-0.053	-0.002	-0.073	-0.409	6.83
в	N3-20	-0.01	-0.062	-0.1	-0.051	-0.059	-0.047	-0.055	-0.063	-0.446	7.44
	**PP20-A	-0.001	-0.004	-0.018	-0.019	-0.009	-0.003	-0.14	-0.009	-0.203	3.39
	N2-25	-0.033	-0.005	-0.002	-0.007	0	-0.002	-0.049	-0.01	-0.109	1.82
	*PS-25	-0.071	0	-0.009	-0.019	-0.002	-0.002	-0.001	-0.01	-0.114	1.90
	*N4-25	-0.001	0	-0.006	-0.007	0	0	-0.026	-0.005	-0.045	0.75
с	N3-25	-0.028	0	-0.006	-0.006	0	0	-0.019	-0.004	-0.063	1.05
	N1-25	-0.222	-0.005	-0.05	-0.023	-0.002	-0.003	-0.117	-0.012	-0.436	7.28
	N1-20	-0.064	0	0	-0.009	0	-0.001	-0.009	-0.001	-0.085	1.42
	**PP20-B	-0.028	0	-0.002	-0.002	0	0	0	-0.003	-0.036	0.60
T	Total	-0.619	-0.429	-2.081	-0.832	-0.373	-0.358	-0.726	-0.57	-5.991	
Contributi	ion to Fobj (%) 📗	10.33	7.16	34.74	13.89	6.23	5.98	12.12	9.51		

483 Figure 4: End-members and observation wells contribution to the objective function by species. Colored data bars are used to highlight the range of values, red

484 colors to highlight observations maiximum by species and grey is used for totals). A longer bar represents a higher value.

3.3.Step 3: Quantification of chemical reactions

487 Mixing calculations have been performed using components that, according to our 488 conceptual model, are conservative. We now use secondary species to calculate the extent of 489 chemical reactions (R_{ri} , Eq. 5) as the deviation between the measured and calculated by simple 490 mixing concentrations. The spatial distribution of R_{ri} expressed in mEq/L is presented in Figure 491 5 with positive (in red) and negative (in blue) values indicating the reaction direction, while 492 wheat color represents weak or no reaction. As a reference, Figure 5 displays the measured 493 electrical conductivity to identify the SWI distribution in the aquifer. Bear in mind that these 494 reaction amounts do not reflect the local reaction rate but the integrated reactions that have 495 occurred during GW transport since the end-member was sampled. As a result, one may 496 conjecture that the extents computed for the most saline (nearly SW) samples reflect reactions 497 that occurred shortly after sea water entered the aquifer.

498 Cation exchange reactions are the reactions with highest extent at the site. Specifically, R2 presents the highest extent of all reactions (up to 60 mEq/L). This implies that a lot of Ca^{2+} 499 500 is desorbed to leave free sites for Na^+ . This reaction is identified throughout the aquifer but 501 probably occurs immediately after SW enters in contact with exchange sites. In fact, the extent 502 of cation exchange (Na-Ca) is small in the inland and shallow part of the aquifer, where the fraction of SW is small. Note, however, that highest Na^+ sorption does not occur at the most 503 504 saline sample, but at the deepest part of the aquifer, in the seawater intrusion front, which we 505 attribute to either kinetic control (the deepest samples are probably the oldest ones), to transport across weathered granite at the base of the aquifer, or to transient fluctuations of the SW front 506 507 (see discussion for Magnessium below).

508 R3 displays lower extent values than R₂, and a maximum of 6 mEq/L of K^+ is 509 exchanged with Ca^{2+} . This reaction is also found mainly in the salty part of the aquifer (> 45

mS/cm). The last cation exchange reaction considered is Mg - Ca exchange (R4), which is 510 found in the two directions, with some Mg^{2+} sorption (R > 0) up to 5.5 mEq/L and a strong 511 512 desorption (R < 0) up to 30 mEq/L. Changes in magnesium concentration can only be attributed 513 to sorption/desorption reactions as the Mg-rich minerals at the site, are a Mg-rich-hornblende and some biotite identified as trace and could not explain up to 30 mEq/L excess of Mg^{2+} by 514 dissolution processes. Strong magnesium desorption is somewhat unexpected as $[Mg^{2+}]$ is 515 already high in seawater. Mg^{2+} desorption occurs in the deep and middle aquifer portion in the 516 517 inland part. At this same level, we observe the highest Na^+ sorption values. Accordingly, we attribute the desorption of Mg^{2+} to transient exchange with Na^+ . That is, Mg^{2+} that was sorbed 518 519 in a previous freshening event may be desorbed when the SW front advances. In fact, salty 520 samples often display more magnesium than would be expected from seawater (Kouzana et al., 521 2009; Mahlknecht et al., 2017; Shin et al., 2020). The release of chemical elements can be 522 directly correlated with the ionic strength of the solution and the selectivity of a material for certain cations. Jiao and Post (2019) defined the selectivity sequence as $Na^+ > K^+ > Mg^{2+} >$ 523 Ca²⁺, which coincides with the cation exchange sequence observed in Argentona. Na⁺ sorption 524 in the front of the SWI, followed by the K^+ in the salty part and the desorption of the Mg²⁺ a 525 526 posteriori. Still, the most salient feature is the high sensitivity to salinity, which coupled to transient fluctuations explains anomalously high values of Mg^{2+} and Ca^{2+} . 527

 R_1 displays positive values indicating that the release of calcium promotes the precipitation of gypsum. The large quantity of Ca²⁺ released during SW penetration, together with the high SO_4^{2-} in seawater (2907 mg/L) promotes the precipitation of gypsum. In this way, the area where gypsum will precipitate the most will be the area where Ca²⁺ is released, which explains why gypsum precipitation patterns are similar to Ca²⁺ desorption patterns. We observe that FW boreholes exhibit values close to 0 mEq/L of gypsum precipitation. This observation is in good agreement with Gomis-Yagües et al. (2000) that demonstrated the possibility of gypsum precipitation at the salinity front during seawater intrusion. Note that
some sulfate concentration abatement could also be attributed to sulfate-reduction as mentioned
by Canfield (2001), a possibility we have not explored here.

538 For the discussion of redox reaction amounts, it is important to recall that Figure 5 539 should not be interpreted as a map of the place where reactions take place, but of the reaction 540 amounts required to explain the observed chemistry. Actual reactions may have occurred 541 anywhere along the way. The values associated to aerobic respiration reflect that we assume 542 SW to be initially fully oxygenated ($\sim 10 \text{ mg/l}$) as it is in equilibrium with the atmosphere while 543 freshwater dissolved oxygen is reduced due to aerobic reactions in the aquifer. We measured 544 low DO in deep boreholes (ranging from 0.1 to 1.5 mg/l) such as in PS25 and N4-25 which 545 imply that aerobic respiration (R5, Figure 5) has occurred. Nevertheless, we consider that this 546 reaction is probably not occurring in these boreholes but near the seafloor where organic matter 547 from dead marine biota is usually available.

548 Denitrification is also occurring as R6 > 0 (Figure 5). The reaction is mainly localized 549 in the middle and deep part of the aquifer section (Nx-20 and Nx-25 level). Unlike R5, where oxygen comes from the sea, nitrate in R6 comes from FW, which explains why denitrification 550 551 is most apparent in the mixing zone. Mn reduction (R7) and Fe reduction (R8) display similar patterns, with small reaction extents. Here, negative values indicate that Mn²⁺ and Fe²⁺ are 552 553 released to groundwater (probably reflecting reduction of ferric oxydes or dissolution of pyrite). 554 This occurs only in the deepest part of the aquifer, where O₂ is absent. Elsewhere, Mn and Fe 555 are oxidized. However, it appears that N3-25 stands out from other boreholes located at the 556 same depth. It forms a localized zone with reducing conditions, which has been observed by 557 various studies on other places (Brown et al., 1999; Chapelle & Lovley, 1992). For this well, a 558 higher proportion of clay was measured and a slower response to tidal fluctuations (Martínez559 Pérez et al., 2022). This may favor redox reactions due to lower groundwater circulation and/or 560 higher concentrations of iron oxides. In all, Mn^{2+} and Fe^{2+} appear to be mobilized during 561 intrusion but oxidized and precipitated in the mixing zone.

562 Finally, R9 to R10 are dissolution-precipitation processes or weathering of minerals. Fluorite dissolution-precipitation is driven by the Calcium released by cation exchange. 563 564 Therefore, it is virtually absent in the FW portion and highest where calcium is highest. Both 565 R10 and R11, display negative values which indicate mineral dissolution. Barite dissolution 566 (R10) is very low (max 0.0046 mEq/L) and does not show a specific pattern. In the freshwater 567 part of the aquifer, R presents higher values (boreholes N2-15, N3-15, and N4-15). Feldspar 568 weathering (R11) is following the SWI shape with more alteration near the coast and increasing 569 with depth (up to 1 mEq/L). Note that the granite is less weathered at depth, and, thus, ready 570 for further alteration. As a consequence of feldspar alteration, Al tends to precipitate in the same 571 areas and in these pH conditions (pH>5, which destabilizes aluminum). We quantify Al 572 precipitation as gibbsite precipitation (R12) which is consistent with pH variability. Still, some 573 clay precipitation might also act as an Al sink.



575 Figure 5: Chemical reaction amounts at the site samples. Note that the maps do not represent where the reactions take place, but the amount (mEq/L) needed to 576 explain the observed concentrations. EC is displayed in the upper left as a SWI reference and dotted lines represent EC contours (15, 20, 25, 35, 45 mS/cm) in 577 other subfigures.

3.4.Two end-members vs Three end-members accuracy

579 As presented in Section 3.1, the EMMA requires 3 end-members (Figure 31) to explain 580 97.55% of the variance in iteration 3. Figure 6 presents a comparison between the reaction 581 extent using 2 end-members (as presented in Figure 5) and 3 end-members (F1, SW, and N1-582 25, Figure 31). We observe that, for most reactions, the reaction extent is reduced with respect 583 to the case with 2 end-members. An average of 49% less gypsum (Figure 6a) and fluorite 584 (Figure 6i) precipitate because calcium desorption (R2 to R4, Figure 6b, c, d) is reduced by an 585 average of 31%. This is related to the 3rd end-member composition (recalculated based on N1-586 25 concentrations), which contributes with more Mg and less Na than seawater. Therefore, no 587 competition between Na and Mg for exchange sites occurs, avoiding Mg desorption as it was 588 occurring with 2 end-members (Figure 6d). The reductions of dissolved oxygen (Figure 6e) and 589 denitrification (Figure 6f) are much lower (46% and 30% less) because they are already low in 590 the 3rd end-member. So that the concentration reduction is caused by dilution instead redox 591 reactions. We observe that using 3 end-members can lead to the opposite reaction as for R6 592 where negative R values are observed. With 2 end-members, Mn and Fe reduction (R7 and R8) 593 were only affecting the deepest wells, and to a lesser extent Mn reduction was occurring at 594 intermediate depths (Nx-20). However, if we consider three end-members, this manganese 595 reduction is not observed and both Mn and Fe reduction are lower (40 and 50% less 596 respectively). In the same way, considering a third end-member the barite dissolution and 597 feldspar weathering are reduced by 37% and 57% respectively since the chemical compounds 598 such as SO4, Ba, Si, and Al are modified by mixing processes. In conclusion, adding a third 599 end-member minimizes the occurrence of chemical reactions.

These results illustrate the nature of our calculations. Computed reactions amounts reflect what is needed to explain derivations from mixing calculations. If an end-member has already suffered some reactions, the corresponding extents will implicitly accounted for by this

35

- 603 end member. In our case, both the 2 and 3 end-members models are valid representations of the
- 604 chemical system. But we prefer the 2 member calculation for parsimony, because it represents
- 605 two true end members (the third one is really a reacted mixture of the other two), and because
- 606 it represents better the actual reaction extents.



608 Figure 6: Comparison of reactions extent considering 2 end-members (gray) and 3 end-members (white)

3.5.Implications for SGD

610 It is well known that nutrients and pollutants are delivered into the coastal zone 611 through SGD via submarine springs and seeps (B. Burnett, 1999; William C. Burnett, 612 1996; William C. Burnett et al., 2001; Monastersky, 1996). Yet, SGD contribution is often 613 ignored for the assessment of biogeochemical cycles at the sea, mostly due to the lack of 614 chemical data (Duque et al., 2020). Indeed, SGD samplings are difficult, except in karst 615 environments, where the high flow rate of the submarine springs facilitate identification 616 and sampling (Fleury et al., 2007). Elsewhere, SGD tend to be diffuse, which hinders 617 sampling. This is especially true in alluvial aquifers and further complicated the spatio-618 temporal fluctuations of the discharge. Our method could address the limitations of direct 619 sampling by determining how chemical reactions in the change the composition of SW 620 and the easy to sample FW. The application to the Argentona site illustrates the high 621 reactivity that can be found in coastal aquifers. It is worth stressing that we have identified 622 reactions that occur to the three types of SGD: FW (Group A), mixed (Group B) and 623 recirculated SW (Group C). FW is easy to characterize by direct sampling. We were not 624 surprised by the high reactivity of the mixing zone, which is expected to result from 625 mixing two widely different waters (e.g., Wigley and Plummer, 1976; Rezaei et al., 2005). 626 In hindsight, we should also have expected a high reactivity in the recirculated SW zone 627 to result from SW-rock interactions.

For example, as mentioned previously, the simple mixing of end-members cannot explain the Ca^{2+} concentrations sampled in coastal aquifers since much more calcium is measured (Figure 7). At the Argentona experimental site, measured Ca^{2+} concentrations can reach 4 times the concentration measured in seawater. We attributed this concentration to desorption processes (i.e. cation exchange), attenuated by some gypsum and fluoride precipitation limiting Ca^{2+} concentration increase. We estimated that the

ratio of Ca²⁺ desorption-precipitation decreases from inland to the sea in groups B and C 634 635 (Table 4.5), with an average of $8\% \pm 4\%$ and $19\% \pm 8\%$ respectively. This confirms that calcium will build up in SW immediately upon entrance in the aquifer. Ca²⁺ concentration 636 in the sea is not very high (some 400 mg / L) and the oversaturation in calcite and other 637 carbonate minerals means that the availability of Ca^{2+} is usually not a limiting element 638 639 for the biological activity (Morse & Berner, 1995). Still, in laggons and/or closed areas, 640 the calcium discharge can affect the carbonates balance. For example, Gattuso et al. 641 (1998) demonstrated that coral calcification increases nearly 3-fold when aragonite 642 saturation increases from 98% to 390%. Yet, the SW pH reduction associated to 643 anthropogenic release of CO2 into the atmosphere is reducing carbonate concentration 644 and the saturation states of biologically important calcium carbonate minerals (Barker & Ridgwell, 2012). Increased atmospheric CO2 is making Ca^{2+} inflows critical. 645

646 Nitrogen is a limiting element for photosynthetic organisms (plants or algae) in 647 most oceans (~75%, Bristow et al., 2017). The N cycle has been dramatically altered by 648 industrially fixed nitrogen by humans and wastewater discharge. We have seen that the 649 aquifer plays an important role in the reduction of nitrates, through denitrification. 650 Problems may appear when the nitrate rich FW discharges into the sea and denitrification 651 is not sufficient to eliminate the nitrate overload, which may cause local eutrophication. 652 No safe level of nitrate has been established for aquatic animals (Scott & Crunkilton, 653 2000; U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1986). The only existing limitation is for 654 seawater culture with a maximum concentration of 20 mg NO3-N / 1 (Spotte (1979), 655 indicated by a dotted line on Figure 7b). In the case of Argentona, if there were no 656 reactions and nitrate concentration would be reduced by dilution only, this limit would be 657 exceeded at all the shallow wells (N2-15, N3-15, and N4-15) where there is less than 5% 658 SW, which may be cause eutrophication in closed lagoons (e.g., Velasco et al., 2006). But

denitrification reduces significantly nitrate concentrations (Figure 7), a limiting nutrientin oligotrophic seas. In either, denitrification is relevant.

661 Finally, seawater is characterized by low Fe (below 0.5 nM, Liu and Millero, 662 2002) because it tends to precipitate under aerobic conditions, so that river inflow is 663 restricted to iron suspended particles. Yet, iron is an oligoelement that limits primary 664 production in large portions of the ocean. For example, Fe loading from SGD has been shown to stimulate primary production in the South Atlantic Ocean (Windom et al., 665 666 2006). Fe requires reducing conditions to promote Fe mobility. The highest 667 concentrations were measured in N1-25, N2-25 and N3-25 (0.61, 0.48 and 0.41 mg/L 668 respectively), where we identified the highest iron reduction. Not surprisingly, these wells 669 are deep and depleted in oxygen and nitrates, which has also been observed in Indonesia 670 (Rusydi et al., 2021). In the rest of the wells, we observe that the concentrations are much 671 lower than the conservative mixing line. Since our measurement of Fe in SW is 672 anomalously high, we place little emphasis on Fe depletion in the saline portion. Instead, 673 it is clear that some iron has been mobilized in the saline portion of the aquifer, and that 674 this iron has been removed upon mixing with FW, which probably reflects oxidation and 675 precipitation. A very similar comment can be made about Mn, which is "linked to nearly 676 all other elemental cycles and intricately involved in the health, metabolism and function 677 of the ocean's microbiome" (Hansel, 2017) and diplays very low concentrations in SW 678 (less than 1 ppb, van Hulten et al., 2016). In short, both Fe and Mn may display significant 679 concentrations in SW recirculated SGD, but probably not FW or mixed SGD. The fact 680 that both elements are relatively abundant in the crust leads us to conjecture that SGD 681 may be a significant source of Fe and Mn into the ocean.



Figure 7: Production or loss of key elements (a: Ca²⁺; b: NO₃; c: Fe) to SGD as a consequence of
geochemical reactions induced by mixing between FW and SW

4. Conclusion

End Member Mixing Analysis (EMMA), which has been traditionally associated to the calculation of mixing ratios, is a promising tool to identify and quantify hydrochemical processes occurring in both coastal and inland aquifers. In this work, we described a detailed methodology to do so, and applied it to an alluvial coastal aquifer (Argentona experimental site). We have deduced chemical reactions in the fresh, saline, and mixing zone groundwater samples.

691 The complexity and high activity of coastal aquifers makes interpretation of 692 groundwater chemistry difficult. Application of the proposed reactive EMMA has 693 facilitated this task. Reactive EMMA reduces the dimension of the chemical system by 694 the use of conservative components instead of reactive chemical species, but removes the 695 dispersion caused by chemical reactions. At the Argentona site, this led to an increase of 696 the EMMA performance (reaching up to 97% of the variance explained by a single 697 eigenvalue, i.e., two end-members). This has facilitated computation of mixing ratios and 698 led to an overall robust performance. This easy methodology can be extended and 699 strongly recommended to other aquifers to understand processes taking place in each 700 geological/hydrogeological context (affecting compound released to the sea by SGD) and 701 also include it in temporal monitoring (i.e., progradation of carbonate dissolution in 702 karstic systems, trace contaminants discharge/retention and sources).

The EMMA has allowed us not only to obtain a consistent and simple identification of end-members, but also to identify chemical reactions and to quantify their extent. Chemical reaction identification and quantification for each sample facilitates representing and understanding the spatial distribution of chemical processes within the aquifer. Quantifying the reactions and where they occur allows not only to know in which elements will SGD be enriched, but also to identify the composition of each type of SGD (FW ouflow, SW recirculation, or mixed FW and SW).

710 We found that the highest reaction extent corresponds to cation exchange (up to 711 60 mEq of Ca²⁺ exchanged with Na⁺). Calcium is a good example of the interdependence 712 of chemical reactions (involved directly or indirectly in 7 chemical reactions in our 713 system). This indicates that at least 8 species would have been removed from the chemical 714 system if we had adopted the traditional EMMA (only conservative species). On the other 715 extreme, we deduced other reactions, such as feldspar weathering or gibbsite 716 precipitation, which are probably more specific to our site but still relevant to understand 717 the origin of our samples and the processes undergone by GW.

While our quantitative results are site specific, we conjecture that many can be expected elsewhere. Specifically, reducing conditions should be expected below the seabed virtually everywhere due to dead biota. This will favor the mobilization of Fe and Mn, which are important for ocean biochemical cycles. Cation exchange is ubiquitous and should be expected in most places.

42

Supplementary materials

723 A. Hydrochemical data for EMMA analysis

n_s	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Chemical species	Alk	AI	Са	SO4	Na	к	Mg	DO	NO3	Mn	Fe	F	Ва	Si	d180	dD	EC	Br	Li	Cl
Units	mmol/L	mmol/L	mmol/L	mmol/L	mmol/L	mmol/L	mmol/L	mmol/L	mmol/L	mmol/L	mmol/L	mmol/L	mmol/L	mmol/L	‰	‰	mS/cm	mmol/L	mmol/L	mmol/L
F1	5.279	0.005	3.668	1.072	4.703	0.064	1.943	0.035	1.516	0.001	0.004	0.010	0.000	0.329	-6.143	-37.527	0.980	0.011	0.000	1.881
SW	2.098	0.008	10.233	30.259	489.071	9.890	59.659	0.324	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.057	0.000	0.004	0.700	7.500	53.000	0.898	0.028	612.162
N1-25	1.901	0.030	42.429	16.037	265.893	3.916	48.146	0.025	0.037	0.004	0.011	0.004	0.001	0.354	-1.700	-8.100	39.900	0.621	0.016	427.055
SEWAGE	4.918	0.000	0.158	0.015	0.110	0.009	0.016	0.032	0.006	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.285	-6.185	-37.804	0.600	0.000	0.000	0.047
RIVER	4.918	0.000	0.818	0.068	0.482	0.345	0.582	0.032	0.105	0.000	0.018	0.004	0.003	0.285	-6.154	-37.599	0.880	0.000	0.000	0.241
PP15	4.902	0.006	10.882	1.837	11.733	0.146	4.616	0.036	1.102	0.000	0.001	0.009	0.001	0.336	-5.310	-33.522	4.140	0.061	0.001	32.558
PP20A	4.602	0.017	19.060	6.929	87.109	0.720	16.297	0.047	1.171	0.000	0.001	0.014	0.001	0.313	-4.500	-26.700	15.190	0.215	0.001	143.759
N1-15	5.502	0.003	8.067	1.714	9.757	0.134	3.120	0.022	1.154	0.000	0.000	0.017	0.001	0.330	-5.252	-32.992	2.990	0.043	0.001	22.537
N3-15	5.102	0.003	4.108	1.462	5.414	0.145	1.535	0.028	1.310	0.000	0.000	0.013	0.000	0.338	-5.475	-35.235	1.580	0.015	0.001	7.210
N4-15	5.502	0.001	3.317	1.561	4.125	0.049	1.121	0.007	1.379	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.000	0.332	-5.933	-37.330	1.290	0.013	0.001	4.042
N2-15	4.802	0.000	2.921	1.480	3.661	0.050	0.979	0.046	1.500	0.000	0.001	0.012	0.000	0.360	-5.890	-37.350	1.120	0.012	0.000	2.499
PP20B	3.101	0.019	31.176	20.956	338.865	2.950	48.337	0.016	0.219	0.000	0.000	0.009	0.001	0.272	-0.800	-3.300	45.000	0.680	0.009	466.107
N1-20	2.701	0.016	24.268	24.768	420.467	3.437	51.880	0.025	0.027	0.001	0.000	0.008	0.001	0.268	0.100	3.300	50.800	0.768	0.010	525.340
N3-20	4.602	0.016	27.094	4.783	57.205	0.431	15.226	0.053	0.663	0.000	0.001	0.009	0.002	0.311	-5.100	-30.000	13.090	0.184	0.001	122.992
N4-20	4.101	0.015	39.368	7.255	83.429	0.634	23.050	0.016	0.539	0.001	0.001	0.009	0.002	0.292	-4.600	-26.800	20.100	0.288	0.003	195.685
N2-20	4.802	0.018	26.207	3.607	35.699	0.336	12.883	0.053	0.734	0.000	0.000	0.011	0.001	0.299	-5.300	-30.900	11.080	0.158	0.001	103.385
N3-25	2.801	0.019	24.821	20.760	366.816	3.914	51.161	0.048	0.155	0.005	0.007	0.008	0.001	0.298	-0.500	-1.500	46.700	0.723	0.012	493.298
N4-25	2.401	0.016	29.363	21.385	356.742	3.636	52.437	0.014	0.010	0.002	0.001	0.007	0.001	0.287	-0.500	-1.500	47.300	0.734	0.013	502.001
N2-25	2.501	0.024	38.779	14.683	269.921	3.267	53.693	0.032	0.010	0.003	0.009	0.006	0.002	0.323	-1.500	-8.000	39.200	0.628	0.012	428.945
PS25	1.891	0.022	30.061	21.048	320.761	2.628	48.101	0.003	0.046	0.002	0.001	0.007	0.001	0.279	-0.900	-4.300	46.200	0.710	0.010	485.614

B. Iteration 1: Stoichiometric and component matrix for cation exchange and gypsum precipitation

We start from a chemical system governed by four chemical reactions ($n_r = 4$), with cation exchange (Na-Ca, K-Ca and Mg-Ca) and gypsum precipitation. We defined SO₄, Na, K and Mg as secondary species. Such that the stoichiometric matrix can be writen as: $S = (S_1|-I)$. The secondary species have to coincides with the opposite of the identity matrix, *I*. For this first chemical system the stoichiometric matrix is:|

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Stoichiometric matrix		Alk	AI	Ca	DO	NO3	Mn	Fe	F	Ba	Si	SO4	Na	к	Mg
R1 Ca - yeso = SO4	R1	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0
R2 Na + 0.5X-Ca =X-Na + 0.5Ca	R2	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0
R3 K + 0.5X-Ca =X-K + 0.5Ca	R3	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0
R4 Mg + X-Ca = Ca - X-Mg	R4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1
					Secondary species										

733

The component matrix (U) is then obtained by transforming the stoichiometric matrix as

follows $U = (I|S_u^t)$. The obtained component matrix for iteration 1 is:

Components matrix		Alk	AI	Ca	DO	NO3	Mn	Fe	F	Ba	Si	SO4	Na	к	Mg
Alk	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
AI	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
u1 Ca-SO4+0.5Na+0.5K+Mg	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0.5	0.5	1
DO	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NO3	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mn	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fe	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ba	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Si 1	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0

736

737 In this first iteration, one conservative component is obtained such that: $u_1 = Ca^{2+} - SO_4^{2-} + 0.5Na^+ + 0.5K^+ + Mg^{2+}$. Then the same process is repeated for each chemical system, where new chemical reactions are included in the stoichiometric matrix.

740 C. Iteration 2: Stoichiometric and component matrix for redox reactions

741 The stoichiometric matrix is:

			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
	Stoichiometric matrix		Alk	AI	Ca	F	Ba	Si	SO4	Na	к	Mg	DO	NO3	Mn	Fe
	R1 Ca - yeso = SO4	R1	0	0	-1	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	R2 Na + 0.5X-Ca =X-Na + 0.5Ca	R2	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	R3 K + 0.5X-Ca =X-K + 0.5Ca	R3	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0
	R4 Mg + X-Ca = Ca - X-Mg	R4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0
	R5 Redox O2	R5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0
	R6 Redox NO3	R6	1.25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0
	R7 Redox Mn	R7	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0
	R8 Redox Fe	R8	0.25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1
				P	rima	rv si	neci	es		c	arr	nda	rvs	noci	<u>م</u> د	
742					ma	195	peer	CJ				mua	iry 5	peci	63	
/ 72																
743	The component matrix is:															
743	The component matrix is: Components matrix		Alk	AI	Ca	F	Ba	Si	SO4	Na	к	Mg	DO	NO3	Mn	Fe
743	The component matrix is: Components matrix u2 Alk+D0+1.25N03+0.5Mn+0.25Fe	1	Alk 1	AI 0	Ca	F	Ba 0	Si 0	SO4	Na 0	<u>к</u>	Mg 0	DO 1	NO3	Mn 0.5	Fe 0.25
743	The component matrix is: Components matrix u2 Alk+D0+1.25N03+0.5Mn+0.25Fe Al	1	Alk 1 0	Al 0	Ca 0 0	F 0	Ba 0 0	Si 0 0	SO4 0 0	Na 0 0	к 0 0	Mg 0 0	DO	NO3	Mn 0.5 0	Fe 0.25
743	The component matrix is: Components matrix u2 Alk+D0+1.25NO3+0.5Mn+0.25Fe Al u1 Ca-SO4+0.5Na+0.5K+Mg	1 2 3	Alk 1 0	Al 0 1 0	Ca 0 0	F 0 0	Ba 0 0	Si 0 0	SO4 0 0 -1	Na 0 0	к 0 0.5	Mg 0 0	DO 1 0	NO3	Mn 0.5 0	Fe 0.25 0
743	The component matrix is: Components matrix u2 Alk+D0+1.25NO3+0.5Mn+0.25Fe Al u1 Ca-SO4+0.5Na+0.5K+Mg F	1 2 3 4	Alk 1 0 0	Al 0 1 0 0	Ca 0 0 1 0	F 0 0 0	Ba 0 0 0	Si 0 0 0	SO4 0 0 -1	Na 0 0.5 0	к 0 0.5 0	Mg 0 0 1	DO 1 0 0	NO3	Mn 0.5 0 0	Fe 0.25 0 0
743	The component matrix is: Components matrix u2 Alk+D0+1.25NO3+0.5Mn+0.25Fe Al u1 Ca-SO4+0.5Na+0.5K+Mg F Ba	1 2 3 4 5	Alk 1 0 0 0 0 0	Al 0 1 0 0	Ca 0 0 1 0 0	F 0 0 0 1	Ba 0 0 0 0	Si 0 0 0 0	SO4 0 -1 0	Na 0 0.5 0	к 0 0.5 0	Mg 0 0 1 0	DO 1 0 0 0	NO3 1.25 0 0 0 0 0	Mn 0.5 0 0 0	Fe 0.25 0 0 0 0
743	The component matrix is: Components matrix u2 Alk+D0+1.25NO3+0.5Mn+0.25Fe Al u1 Ca-SO4+0.5Na+0.5K+Mg F Ba Si	1 2 3 4 5 6	Alk 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Al 0 1 0 0 0 0	Ca 0 1 0 0 0	F 0 0 1 0 0	Ba 0 0 0 0 1	Si 0 0 0 0 0	SO4 0 -1 0 0 0	Na 0 0.5 0 0 0	к 0 0.5 0 0 0	Mg 0 0 1 0 0 0	DO 1 0 0 0 0 0	NO3 1.25 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Mn 0.5 0 0 0 0	Fe 0.25 0 0 0 0 0 0
743 744	The component matrix is: Components matrix u2 Alk+D0+1.25NO3+0.5Mn+0.25Fe Al u1 Ca-SO4+0.5Na+0.5K+Mg F Ba Si	1 2 3 4 5 6	Alk 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Al 0 1 0 0 0 0	Ca 0 1 0 0 0	F 0 0 1 0 0	Ba 0 0 0 0 1	Si 0 0 0 0 1	SO4 0 -1 0 0 0	Na 0 0.5 0 0 0 0	K 0 0.5 0 0 0	Mg 0 1 0 0 0	DO 1 0 0 0 0 0	NO3 1.25 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Mn 0.5 0 0 0 0	Fe 0.25 0 0 0 0 0 0
743 744 745	The component matrix is: Components matrix u2 Alk+D0+1.25N03+0.5Mn+0.25Fe Al u1 Ca-S04+0.5Na+0.5K+Mg F Ba Si A new conservative con	1 2 3 4 5 6 mpon	Alk 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Al 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 5	Ca 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	F 0 0 1 0 0	Ba 0 0 0 1 0	5i 0 0 0 0 1 : <i>u</i> ₂	SO4 0 -1 0 0 0 0	Na 0 0.5 0 0 0 0	к 0 0.5 0 0 0 0	Mg 0 1 0 0 0 0	DO 1 0 0 0 0	NO3	Mn 0.5 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 <i>NO</i>	Fe 0.25 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
743 744 745	The component matrix is: Components matrix u2 Alk+D0+1.25N03+0.5Mn+0.25Fe Al u1 Ca-S04+0.5Na+0.5K+Mg F Ba Si A new conservative com	1 2 3 4 5 6 mpon	Alk 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	AI 0 0 0 0 0 1 5	Ca 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	F 0 0 1 0 0	Ba 0 0 0 1 0	si 0 0 0 1 ∶ u ₂	SO4 0 -1 0 0 0 0	Na 0 0.5 0 0 0 0	к 0 0.5 0 0 0 0	Mg 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	DO 1 0 0 0 0 0	NO3 1.25 0 0 0 0 1.25	Mn 0.5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Fe 0.25 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
743 744 745 746	The component matrix is: Components matrix u2 Alk+D0+1.25N03+0.5Mn+0.25Fe Al u1 Ca-S04+0.5Na+0.5K+Mg F Ba Si A new conservative con $0.5Mn^{2+} + 0.25Fe^{2+}$.	1 2 3 4 5 6 mpon	Alk 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Al 0 0 0 0 0 is	Ca 0 1 0 0 0 0	F 0 0 1 0 0	Ba 0 0 0 1 0	5i 0 0 0 1 ∶ u ₂	504 0 0 -1 0 0 0	Na 0 0.5 0 0 0 0 HCO	к 0 0.5 0 0 0 0	Mg 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	DO 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	NO3	Mn 0.5 0 0 0 0 0 5 NO	Fe 0.25 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

747 D. Iteration 3: Stoichiometric and component matrix for minerals dissolution 748 precipitation reactions

749 The stoichiometric matrix is:

			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
	Stoichiometric matrix		Alk	AI	Ca	SO4	Na	к	Mg	DO	NO3	Mn	Fe	F	Ba	Si
R1	Ca - yeso = SO4	R1	0	0	-1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R2	Na + 0.5X-Ca =X-Na + 0.5Ca	R2	0	0	0.5	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R3	K + 0.5X-Ca =X-K + 0.5Ca	R3	0	0	0.5	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R4	Mg + X-Ca = Ca - X-Mg	R4	0	0	1	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R5	Redox O2	R5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0
R6	Redox NO3	R6	1.25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0
R7	Redox Mn	R7	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0
R8	Redox Fe	R8	0.25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0
R9	F + 1/2Ca = 1/2CaF3	R9	0	0	-0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0
R10	Ba + SO4 = BaSO4	R10	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0
R11	Si = 1/3Feldspath Alteration - 1/3K - 1/3 Al	R11	0	0.33	0.17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1
		Pr	imaı	y sp	ecie	es					S	eco	ndar	y sp	ecie	s

750

752

751 The component matrix is:

			Alk	Al	Ca	SO4	Na	к	Mg	DO	NO3	Mn	Fe	F	Ba	Si
u,	2 Alk + DO +1.25NO3 + 0.5Mn + 0.25Fe	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1.25	0.5	0.25	0	0	0
u.	3 Al + 0.33 Si	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.33
u)	Ca - SO4 + 0.5Na + 0.5K + Mg -0.5F + Ba + 1/6Si	3	0	0	1	-1	0.5	0.5	1	0	0	0	0	-0.5	1	0.17

753 A new conservative component is obtained : $u_3 = Al^{3+} + 1/3Si^{4+}$ and the 754 conservatives components u_1 and u_2 now reads as follows: $u_1 = Ca^{2+} - SO_4^{2-} +$ 755 $0.5Na^+ + 0.5K^+ + Mg^{2+} - 0.5F^- + Ba^{2+} + 1/6Si^{4+}$. u_2 is identical to the previous 756 iteration: $u_2 = HCO_3^- + DO + 1.25NO_3^- + 0.5Mn^{2+} + 0.25Fe^{2+}$.

757 E. Iteration 4: Stoichiometric and component matrix for gibbsite precipitation

			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
	Stoichiometric matrix		Alk	Ca	SO4	Na	к	Mg	DO	NO3	Mn	Fe	F	Ba	Si	AI
	Ca - yeso = SO4	R1	0	-1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Na + 0.5X-Ca =X-Na + 0.5Ca	R2	0	0.5	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	K + 0.5X-Ca =X-K + 0.5Ca	R3	0	0.5	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mg + X-Ca = Ca - X-Mg	R4	0	1	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Redox O2	R5	1	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Redox NO3	R6	1.25	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Redox Mn	R7	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0
	Redox Fe	R8	0.25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0
	F + 1/2Ca = 1/2CaF3	R9	0	-0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0
	Ba + SO4 = BaSO4	R10	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0
	Si = 1/3Feldspath Alteration - 1/3K - 1/3 Al	R11	0	0.17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0
	Al> Gibbsite	R12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1
59		Prim	ary s	peci	ies				Se	cond	ary s	peci	es			
60	The component matrix is:															
				_	Alk	Ca	504	Na	к	Mg D	O NO	3 Mn	Fe	F	Ba	Si
	u2 Alk + DO +1.25NO3 + 0.5Mn + 0.25Fe			1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1 1.2	5 0.5	5 0.2	5	0 0)
61	u1 Ca - SO4 + 0.5Na + 0.5K + Mg - 0.5F + Ba -	+ 1/6Si		2	0	1	-1	0.5	0.5	1	0	0 ()	0 -0.	5 1	L 0.1

758 The stoichiometric matrix is:

762	During this iteration, u_3 is removed and only and the conservatives components u_1 and
763	u_2 remain unchanged.

764

Acknowledgements:

This work has been funded by the Spanish Government through MEDISTRAES
III projects (grant nos. PID2019-110212RB-C22 and PID2019-110311RB-C21), LOGIC
project (grant no. RTC2019-007484-5) and IDAEA-CSIC Center of Excellence Severo
Ochoa (Grant CEX2018-000794-S). This work is also part of the project TerraMar (grant
no. ACA210/18/00007) of the Catalan Water Agency. We also acknowledge the Spanish
Ministry of Economy, Industry and Competitiveness for the PhD fellowship (BES-2017080028) from the FPI Program awarded to T. Goyetche. The author A. Folch is a Serra

Húnter Fellow.

Bibliography

- Alfarrah, N., & Walraevens, K. (2018). Groundwater overexploitation and seawater
 intrusion in coastal areas of arid and semi-arid regions. *Water*, 10(2), 143.
- Andersen, M. S., Nyvang, V., Jakobsen, R., & Postma, D. (2005). Geochemical processes
 and solute transport at the seawater/freshwater interface of a sandy aquifer.

- 777GeochimicaetCosmochimicaActa,69(16),3979-3994.778doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2005.03.017
- Anderson, D. M., Glibert, P. M., & Burkholder, J. M. (2002). Harmful algal blooms and
 eutrophication: nutrient sources, composition, and consequences. *Estuaries*,
 25(4), 704-726.
- 782 Appelo, C. A. J., & Postma, D. (2005). Geochemistry. Groundwater and pollution, 536.
- Appelo, C. A. J., & Willemsen, A. (1987). Geochemical calculations and observations on
 salt water intrusions, I. A combined geochemical/minxing cell model. *Journal of Hydrology*, 94(3-4), 313-330.
- Armstrong, F. A. J. (1957). The iron content of sea water. Journal of the Marine
 Biological Association of the United Kingdom, 36(3), 509-517.
- Back, W., Hanshaw, B. B., Herman, J. S., & Van Driel, J. N. (1986). Differential
 dissolution of a Pleistocene reef in the ground-water mixing zone of coastal
 Yucatan, Mexico. *Geology*, 14(2), 137-140.
- Barker, A. P., Newton, R. J., Bottrell, S. H., & Tellam, J. H. (1998). Processes affecting
 groundwater chemistry in a zone of saline intrusion into an urban sandstone
 aquifer. *Applied Geochemistry*, 13(6), 735-749.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(98)00006-7
- Barker, S., & Ridgwell, A. (2012). Ocean acidification. *Nature Education Knowledge*, 3(10), 21.
- Bristow, L. A., Mohr, W., Ahmerkamp, S., & Kuypers, M. M. M. (2017). Nutrients that
 limit growth in the ocean. *Current Biology*, 27(11), R474-R478.
- Brown, C. J., Coates, J. D., & Schoonen, M. A. A. (1999). Localized Sulfate-Reducing
 Zones in a Coastal Plain Aquifer. *Groundwater*, *37*(4), 505-516.
- Burnett, B. (1999). Offshore springs and seeps are focus of working group. *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union*, 80(2), 13-15.
- Burnett, W. C. (1996). Tracing groundwater flow into surface waters using natural super
 (222) RN. LOICZ Reports Studies(8), 22-36.
- Burnett, W. C., Bokuniewicz, H., Huettel, M., Moore, W. S., & Taniguchi, M. (2003).
 Groundwater and pore water inputs to the coastal zone. *Biogeochemistry*, 66(1),
 3-33.
- Burnett, W. C., Taniguchi, M., & Oberdorfer, J. (2001). Measurement and significance
 of the direct discharge of groundwater into the coastal zone. *Journal of Sea Research*, 46(2), 109-116.
- 811 Canfield, D. (2001). Biogeochemistry of sulfur isotopes. *Reviews in mineralogy and* 812 geochemistry, 43(1), 607-636.
- 813 Carrera, J., Vázquez-Suñé, E., Castillo, O., & Sánchez-Vila, X. (2004). A methodology
 814 to compute mixing ratios with uncertain end-members. *Water Resources*815 *Research*, 40(12).
- 816 Cerdà-Domènech, M., Rodellas, V., Folch, A., & Garcia-Orellana, J. (2017).
 817 Constraining the temporal variations of Ra isotopes and Rn in the groundwater
 818 end-member: Implications for derived SGD estimates. Science of the total
 819 environment, 595, 849-857.
- Custodio, E. (1992). Coastal aquifer salinization as a consequence of aridity: the case of
 Amurga phonolitic massif, Gran Canaria Island. *Study and Modelling of Salwater Intrusion, CIMNE-UPC, Barcelona*, 81-98.
- Chapelle, F. H., & Lovley, D. R. (1992). Competitive exclusion of sulfate reduction by
 Fe (lll)-reducing bacteria: a mechanism for producing discrete zones of high-iron
 ground water. *Groundwater*, 30(1), 29-36.

- Chatton, E., Aquilina, L., Pételet-Giraud, E., Cary, L., Bertrand, G., Labasque, T., . . .
 Pauwels. (2016). Glacial recharge, salinisation and anthropogenic contamination
 in the coastal aquifers of Recife (Brazil). *Science of The Total Environment, 569-*570, 1114-1125. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.06.180
- Chen, X., Cukrov, N., Santos, I. R., Rodellas, V., Cukrov, N., & Du, J. (2020). Karstic
 submarine groundwater discharge into the Mediterranean: Radon-based nutrient
 fluxes in an anchialine cave and a basin-wide upscaling. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 268, 467-484.
- Christophersen, N., Neal, C., Hooper, R. P., Vogt, R. D., & Andersen, S. (1990).
 Modelling streamwater chemistry as a mixture of soilwater end-members—a step
 towards second-generation acidification models. *Journal of Hydrology*, *116*(1-4),
 307-320.
- Church, P. E., & Granato, G. E. (1996). Bias in ground-water data caused by well-bore
 flow in long-screen wells. *Groundwater*, *34*(2), 262-273.
- Bavis, S. N., & DeWiest, R. J. M. (1966). Hydrogeology John Wiley Sons New York
 NY.
- Be Simoni, M., Carrera, J., Sanchez-Vila, X., & Guadagnini, A. (2005). A procedure for
 the solution of multicomponent reactive transport problems. *Water Resources Research*, 41(11).
- Buque, C., Russoniello, C. J., & Rosenberry, D. O. (2020). History and evolution of
 seepage meters for quantifying flow between groundwater and surface water: Part
 2–Marine settings and submarine groundwater discharge. *Earth-Science Reviews*,
 204, 103168.
- Eissa, M. A. (2018). Application of multi-isotopes and geochemical modeling for
 delineating recharge and salinization sources in Dahab Basin aquifers (South
 Sinai, Egypt). *Hydrology*, 5(3), 41.
- Fleury, P., Bakalowicz, M., & de Marsily, G. (2007). Submarine springs and coastal karst
 aquifers: a review. *Journal of Hydrology*, *339*(1-2), 79-92.
- Folch, A., del Val, L., Luquot, L., Martínez-Pérez, L., Bellmunt, F., Le Lay, H., . . .
 Carrera, J. (2020). Combining fiber optic DTS, cross-hole ERT and time-lapse
 induction logging to characterize and monitor a coastal aquifer. *Journal of Hydrology*, 588, 125050. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.125050
- Fratesi, B. (2013). Hydrology and geochemistry of the freshwater lens in coastal karst
 Coastal karst landforms (pp. 59-75): Springer.
- Gattuso, J. P., Frankignoulle, M., Bourge, I., Romaine, S., & Buddemeier, R. W. (1998).
 Effect of calcium carbonate saturation of seawater on coral calcification. *Global and Planetary Change*, 18(1-2), 37-46.
- 867 Giménez-Forcada, E. (2010). Dynamic of sea water interface using hydrochemical facies
 868 evolution diagram. *Groundwater*, 48(2), 212-216.
- Gomis-Yagües, V., Boluda-Botella, N., & Ruiz-Beviá, F. (2000). Gypsum
 precipitation/dissolution as an explanation of the decrease of sulphate
 concentration during seawater intrusion. *Journal of Hydrology*, 228(1-2), 48-55.
- Grzelak, K., Tamborski, J., Kotwicki, L., & Bokuniewicz, H. (2018). Ecostructuring of
 marine nematode communities by submarine groundwater discharge. *Marine environmental research*, *136*, 106-119.

- Hanshaw, B. B., & Back, W. (1980). Chemical mass-wasting of the northern Yucatan
 Peninsula by groundwater dissolution. *Geology*, 8(5), 222-224.
- Hassen, I., Hamzaoui-Azaza, F., & Bouhlila, R. (2018). Establishing complex
 compartments-aquifers connectivity via geochemical approaches towards
 hydrogeochemical conceptual model: Kasserine Aquifer System, Central Tunisia. *Journal of Geochemical Exploration, 188*, 257-269.
- Hooper, R. P. (2003). Diagnostic tools for mixing models of stream water chemistry. *Water Resources Research*, 39(3).
- Hooper, R. P., Christophersen, N., & Peters, N. E. (1990). Modelling streamwater
 chemistry as a mixture of soilwater end-members—An application to the Panola
 Mountain catchment, Georgia, USA. *Journal of Hydrology*, *116*(1-4), 321-343.
- Hurrell, J. W., Holland, M. M., Gent, P. R., Ghan, S., Kay, J. E., Kushner, P. J., ...
 Lindsay, K. (2013). The community earth system model: a framework for
 collaborative research. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 94(9),
 1339-1360.
- Hutchins, S. R., & Acree, S. D. (2000). Ground water sampling bias observed in shallow,
 conventional wells. *Groundwater Monitoring & Remediation*, 20(1), 86-93.
- Jiao, J. J., & Post, V. (2019). *Coastal Hydrogeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University
 Press.
- Jurado, A., Vázquez-Suñé, E., Carrera, J., Tubau, I., & Pujades, E. (2015). Quantifying
 chemical reactions by using mixing analysis. *Science of The Total Environment*,
 502, 448-456. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.09.036
- Kendall, C., & Caldwell, E. A. (1998). Chapter 2 Fundamentals of Isotope
 Geochemistry. In C. Kendall & J. J. McDonnell (Eds.), *Isotope Tracers in Catchment Hydrology* (pp. 51-86). Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Kim, J.-H., Kim, K.-H., Thao, N. T., Batsaikhan, B., & Yun, S.-T. (2017). Hydrochemical assessment of freshening saline groundwater using multiple end-members mixing modeling: A study of Red River delta aquifer, Vietnam. *Journal of Hydrology*, 549, 703-714. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.04.040
- Kłostowska, Ż., Szymczycha, B., Lengier, M., Zarzeczańska, D., & DzierzbickaGłowacka, L. (2020). Hydrogeochemistry and magnitude of SGD in the Bay of
 Puck, southern Baltic Sea. *Oceanologia*, 62(1), 1-11.
 doi:10.1016/j.oceano.2019.09.001
- Kouzana, L., Mammou, A. B., & Felfoul, M. S. (2009). Seawater intrusion and associated
 processes: Case of the Korba aquifer (Cap-Bon, Tunisia). *Comptes Rendus Geoscience*, 341(1), 21-35. doi:10.1016/j.crte.2008.09.008
- Liu, X., & Millero, F. J. (2002). The solubility of iron in seawater. *Marine Chemistry*,
 77(1), 43-54.
- Li, P., Wu, J., & Qian, H. (2016). Preliminary assessment of hydraulic connectivity
 between river water and shallow groundwater and estimation of their transfer rate
 during dry season in the Shidi River, China. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 75(2).
 doi:10.1007/s12665-015-4949-7
- Liu, Y., Jiao, J. J., Liang, W., & Kuang, X. (2017). Hydrogeochemical characteristics in
 coastal groundwater mixing zone. *Applied Geochemistry*, 85, 49-60.
 doi:10.1016/j.apgeochem.2017.09.002
- Liu, Y., Jiao, J. J., Liang, W., Santos, I. R., Kuang, X., & Robinson, C. E. (2021).
 Inorganic carbon and alkalinity biogeochemistry and fluxes in an intertidal beach aquifer: Implications for ocean acidification. *Journal of Hydrology*, 595, 126036.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.126036

- Long, A. J., & Valder, J. F. (2011). Multivariate analyses with end-member mixing to
 characterize groundwater flow: Wind Cave and associated aquifers. *Journal of Hydrology*, 409(1), 315-327. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.08.028
- Luijendijk, E., Gleeson, T., & Moosdorf, N. (2020). Fresh groundwater discharge
 insignificant for the world's oceans but important for coastal ecosystems. *Nature Communications*, 11(1). doi:10.1038/s41467-020-15064-8
- Luo, X., & Jiao, J. J. (2016). Submarine groundwater discharge and nutrient loadings in
 Tolo Harbor, Hong Kong using multiple geotracer-based models, and their
 implications of red tide outbreaks. *Water research*, 102, 11-31.
- Magaritz, M., Goldenberg, L., Kafri, U., & Arad, A. (1980). Dolomite formation in the
 seawater–freshwater interface. *Nature*, 287(5783), 622-624.
- Mahlknecht, J., Merchán, D., Rosner, M., Meixner, A., & Ledesma-Ruiz, R. (2017).
 Assessing seawater intrusion in an arid coastal aquifer under high anthropogenic
 influence using major constituents, Sr and B isotopes in groundwater. *Science of The Total Environment*, 587, 282-295.
- Martínez-Pérez, L., Luquot, L., Carrera, J., Marazuela, M. A., Goyetche, T., Pool, M., . .
 Folch, A. (2022). A multidisciplinary approach to characterizing coastal alluvial aquifers to improve understanding of seawater intrusion and submarine groundwater discharge. *Journal of Hydrology*, 127510.
- Martínez, D., & Bocanegra, E. (2002). Hydrogeochemistry and cation-exchange
 processes in the coastal aquifer of Mar Del Plata, Argentina. *Hydrogeology Journal*, 10(3), 393-408.
- McMahon, P. B., Chapelle, F. H., & Bradley, P. M. (2011). Evolution of redox processes
 in groundwater *Aquatic Redox Chemistry* (pp. 581-597): ACS Publications.
- Milliman, J. D. (1993). Production and accumulation of calcium carbonate in the ocean:
 Budget of a nonsteady state. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 7(4), 927-957.
- Molins, S., Carrera, J., Ayora, C., & Saaltink, M. W. (2004). A formulation for decoupling
 components in reactive transport problems. *Water Resources Research*, 40(10).
- Monastersky, R. (1996). Seep and ye shall find: Hidden water flow. *Science News*, 245245.
- 954
 Moore, W. S. (1999). The subterranean estuary: a reaction zone of ground water and sea

 955
 water. Marine Chemistry, 65(1-2), 111-125. doi:10.1016/S0304-4203(99)00014

 956
 6
- Moore, W. S. (2010). The Effect of Submarine Groundwater Discharge on the Ocean.
 Annual Review of Marine Science, 2(1), 59-88. doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-120308-081019
- Morse, J. W., & Berner, R. A. (1995). What determines sedimentary C/S ratios? *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 59(6), 1073-1077.
- Nakaya, S., Uesugi, K., Motodate, Y., Ohmiya, I., Komiya, H., Masuda, H., & Kusakabe,
 M. (2007). Spatial separation of groundwater flow paths from a multi-flow system
 by a simple mixing model using stable isotopes of oxygen and hydrogen as natural
 tracers. *Water Resources Research*, 43(9).
- Palacios, A., Ledo, J. J., Linde, N., Luquot, L., Bellmunt, F., Folch, A., . . . Martínez, L.
 (2020). Time-lapse cross-hole electrical resistivity tomography (CHERT) for
 monitoring seawater intrusion dynamics in a Mediterranean aquifer. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 24(4), 2121-2139.
- Pelizardi, F., Bea, S. A., Carrera, J., & Vives, L. (2017). Identifying geochemical
 processes using End Member Mixing Analysis to decouple chemical components
 for mixing ratio calculations. *Journal of Hydrology*, 550, 144-156.

- Peng, T., Zhu, Z., Du, J., & Liu, J. (2021). Effects of nutrient-rich submarine groundwater
 discharge on marine aquaculture: A case in Lianjiang, East China Sea. Science of
 The Total Environment, 147388.
- Poulsen, D. L., Cook, P. G., Simmons, C. T., McCallum, J. L., & Dogramaci, S. (2019).
 Effects of intraborehole flow on purging and sampling long-screened or open wells. *Groundwater*, 57(2), 269-278.
- Pulido-Leboeuf, P. (2004). Seawater intrusion and associated processes in a small coastal
 complex aquifer (Castell de Ferro, Spain). *Applied Geochemistry*, 19(10), 15171527.
- Rezaei, M., Sanz, E., Raeisi, E., Ayora, C., Vázquez-Suñé, E., & Carrera, J. (2005).
 Reactive transport modeling of calcite dissolution in the fresh-salt water mixing
 zone. *Journal of Hydrology*, *311*(1-4), 282-298.
- Rosecrans, C. Z., Nolan, B. T., & Gronberg, J. M. (2017). Prediction and visualization of
 redox conditions in the groundwater of Central Valley, California. *Journal of Hydrology*, 546, 341-356.
- Rufí-Salís, M., Garcia-Orellana, J., Cantero, G., Castillo, J., Hierro, A., Rieradevall, J., &
 Bach, J. (2019). Influence of land use changes on submarine groundwater
 discharge. *Environmental Research Communications*, 1(3), 031005.
 doi:10.1088/2515-7620/ab1695
- Russak, A., & Sivan, O. (2010). Hydrogeochemical Tool to Identify Salinization or
 Freshening of Coastal Aquifers Determined from Combined Field Work,
 Experiments, and Modeling. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 44(11), 40964102. doi:10.1021/es1003439
- Russak, A., Sivan, O., & Yechieli, Y. (2016). Trace elements (Li, B, Mn and Ba) as
 sensitive indicators for salinization and freshening events in coastal aquifers. *Chemical Geology*, 441, 35-46.
- Rusydi, A. F., Onodera, S.-I., Saito, M., Ioka, S., Maria, R., Ridwansyah, I., & Delinom,
 R. M. (2021). Vulnerability of groundwater to iron and manganese contamination
 in the coastal alluvial plain of a developing Indonesian city. *SN Applied Sciences*,
 3(4), 1-12.
- Santos, I. R. S., Burnett, W. C., Chanton, J., Mwashote, B., Suryaputra, I. G. N. A., &
 Dittmar, T. (2008). Nutrient biogeochemistry in a Gulf of Mexico subterranean
 estuary and groundwater-derived fluxes to the coastal ocean. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 53(2), 705-718. doi:https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2008.53.2.0705
- Sawyer, A. H., Michael, H. A., & Schroth, A. W. (2016). From soil to sea: the role of
 groundwater in coastal critical zone processes. *WIREs Water*, 3(5), 706-726.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1157
- Scott, G., & Crunkilton, R. L. (2000). Acute and chronic toxicity of nitrate to fathead minnows (Pimephales promelas), ceriodaphnia dubia, and Daphnia magna. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 19(12), 2918-2922. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620191211
- Shalev, E., Lazar, A., Wollman, S., Kington, S., Yechieli, Y., & Gvirtzman, H. (2009).
 Biased Monitoring of Fresh Water-Salt Water Mixing Zone in Coastal Aquifers. *Groundwater*, 47(1), 49-56. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6584.2008.00502.x
- Shin, K., Koh, D.-C., Jung, H., & Lee, J. (2020). The Hydrogeochemical Characteristics
 of Groundwater Subjected to Seawater Intrusion in the Archipelago, Korea. *Water, 12*(6), 1542.
- 1021 Sivan, O., Yechieli, Y., Herut, B., & Lazar, B. (2005). Geochemical evolution and 1022 timescale of seawater intrusion into the coastal aquifer of Israel. *Geochimica et*

1023 Cosmochimica Acta, *69*(3), 579-592. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2004.07.023 1024 1025 Slomp, C. P., & Van Cappellen, P. (2004). Nutrient inputs to the coastal ocean through 1026 submarine groundwater discharge: controls and potential impact. Journal of Hydrology, 295(1), 64-86. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.02.018 1027 1028 Small, C., & Nicholls, R. J. (2003). A global analysis of human settlement in coastal 1029 zones. Journal of Coastal Research, 584-599. 1030 Snyder, M., Taillefert, M., & Ruppel, C. (2004). Redox zonation at the saline-influenced 1031 boundaries of a permeable surficial aquifer: effects of physical forcing on the biogeochemical cycling of iron and manganese. Journal of Hydrology, 296(1), 1032 1033 164-178. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.03.019 Spotte, S. (1979). Fish and invertebrate culture: water management in closed systems-2. 1034 1035 Sutcliffe Jr, W. H. (1972). Some relations of land drainage, nutrients, particulate material, and fish catch in two eastern Canadian bays. Journal of the Fisheries Board of 1036 1037 Canada, 29(4), 357-362. 1038 Taniguchi, M., Dulai, H., Burnett, K. M., Santos, I. R., Sugimoto, R., Stieglitz, T., . . . 1039 Burnett, W. C. (2019). Submarine groundwater discharge: updates on its 1040 measurement techniques, geophysical drivers, magnitudes, and effects. Frontiers 1041 in Environmental Science, 7, 141. Tubau, I., Vàzquez-Suñé, E., Jurado, A., & Carrera, J. (2014). Using EMMA and MIX 1042 analysis to assess mixing ratios and to identify hydrochemical reactions in 1043 groundwater. Science of The Total Environment, 470-471, 1120-1131. 1044 1045 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.10.121 U. S. Environmental Protection Agency. (1986). Quality criteria for water (EPA 440/5-1046 1047 86-0013). Retrieved from Washington, DC .: 1048 Valiela, I., Costa, J., Foreman, K., Teal, J. M., Howes, B., & Aubrey, D. (1990). Transport 1049 of groundwater-borne nutrients from watersheds and their effects on coastal 1050 waters. Biogeochemistry, 10(3), 177-197. doi:10.1007/BF00003143 1051 van Hulten, M., Dutay, J. C., Middag, R., de Baar, H., Roy-Barman, M., Gehlen, M., 1052 Tagliabue, A. & Sterl, A. (2016). Manganese in the world ocean: A first global 1053 model. Biogeosciences Discuss, 14, 1-38. 1054 Velasco, J., Lloret, J., Millán, A., Marin, A., Barahona, J., Abellán, P., & Sánchez-1055 Fernández, D. (2006). Nutrient and particulate inputs into the Mar Menor lagoon (SE Spain) from an intensive agricultural watershed. Water, Air, and Soil 1056 1057 Pollution, 176(1), 37-56. Vázquez-Suñé, E., Carrera, J., Tubau, I., Sánchez-Vila, X., & Soler, A. (2010). An 1058 1059 approach to identify urban groundwater recharge. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 14(10), 2085-2097. doi:10.5194/hess-14-2085-2010 1060 Wicks, C. M., & Herman, J. S. (1996). Regional hydrogeochemistry of a modern coastal 1061 mixing zone. Water Resources Research, 32(2), 401-407. 1062 1063 Wigley, T. M. L., & Plummer, L. N. (1976). Mixing of carbonate waters. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 40(9), 989-995. doi:10.1016/0016-7037(76)90041-7 1064 1065 Wilkinson, B. H., & Algeo, T. J. (1989). Sedimentary carbonate record of calcium-1066 magnesium cycling. American Journal of Science, 289(10), 1158-1194. 1067 Windom, H. L., Moore, W. S., Niencheski, L. F. H., & Jahnke, R. A. (2006). Submarine groundwater discharge: A large, previously unrecognized source of dissolved iron 1068 1069 Atlantic Ocean. Marine Chemistry, to the South 102(3), 252-266. 1070 doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2006.06.016 1071