



HAL
open science

Similar trait structure and vulnerability in pelagic fish faunas on two remote island systems

Madeline Steinberg, Jean-Baptiste Juhel, Virginie Marques, Clara Péron, Régis Hocdé, Andrea Polanco Fernandez, Loic Pellissier, Sébastien Villéger, David Mouillot, Tom B. Letessier

► To cite this version:

Madeline Steinberg, Jean-Baptiste Juhel, Virginie Marques, Clara Péron, Régis Hocdé, et al.. Similar trait structure and vulnerability in pelagic fish faunas on two remote island systems. *Marine Biology*, 2022, 169 (1), 10.1007/s00227-021-03998-6 . hal-03566643

HAL Id: hal-03566643

<https://hal.umontpellier.fr/hal-03566643>

Submitted on 6 Oct 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Similar trait structure and vulnerability in pelagic fish faunas on two remote island**
2 **systems**

3 Madeline Steinberg^{1,2}, Jean-Baptiste Juhel³, Virginie Marques^{3,4}, Clara Péron^{3,5}, Régis
4 Hocdé³, Andréa Polanco F.⁶, Loïc Pellissier^{7,8}, Sébastien Villéger³, David Mouillot³, Tom B
5 Letessier^{1,9*}

6

7 Running title: Pelagic species composition and functional traits

8

9

10 [1] Institute of Zoology, Zoological Society of London, London NW1 4RY, UK

11 [2] School of Biological and Chemical Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, London
12 E1 4NS, UK

13 [3] MARBEC, Univ. Montpellier, CNRS, Ifremer, IRD, Montpellier, France

14 [4] CEFE, Univ. Montpellier, CNRS, EPHE-PSL Univ., IRD, Univ. Paul Valéry Montpellier,
15 Montpellier, France

16 [5] Laboratoire de Biologie des Organismes et Ecosystèmes Aquatiques (BOREA), Muséum
17 National d'Histoire Naturelle, IRD, SU, CNRS, UA, UCN, 43, rue Cuvier, 75005 Paris, France.

18 [6] Museo de Historia Natural Marina de Colombia (MHNMC), Biodiversity and Marine
19 Ecosystems Program, Instituto de Investigaciones Marinas y Costeras-INVEMAR, Calle 25
20 No. 2 – 55 Playa Salguero, Santa Marta, Colombia.

21 [7] Landscape Ecology, Institute of Terrestrial Ecosystems, Department of Environmental
22 Systems Science, ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland

23 [8] Unit of Land Change Science, Swiss Federal Research Institute WSL, Birmensdorf,
24 Switzerland

25 [9] School of Biological Sciences and The UWA Oceans Institute, University of Western
26 Australia, (M092), Crawley, Australia

27

28 *Corresponding Author

29 **Abstract**

30 The link between biodiversity and ecosystem functioning has been the topic of
31 considerable research, but it remains unclear how biodiversity decline is compromising
32 ecosystem functionality, particularly in the pelagic realm. Here, we explore how pelagic fish
33 species diversity relates to functional diversity by sampling two locations, which, on the
34 basis of biogeography, environmental conditions, and human pressures, were expected to
35 host pronounced differences in species composition and abundances, and therefore
36 functionality. Strings of five drifting mid-water Baited Remote Underwater Video Systems
37 were used to survey pelagic vertebrate diversity and abundance in two isolated oceanic
38 island systems, the Malpelo Fauna and Flora Sanctuary – a large, 25-year-old marine
39 protected area – and an unprotected area in Cape Verde. Functional diversity, which offers
40 insight into a community’s resilience against disturbance, was analysed using six key
41 functional traits of marine fishes. Abundance was recorded as MaxN, the maximum
42 number of individuals of a given species in a single frame during the 2-hour deployment
43 time. Cape Verde showed high overall abundance (Total MaxN 873) and low biomass (3,559
44 kg), with a predominance of smaller fishes. Malpelo showed high biomass (7,839 kg) but
45 lower abundance (Total MaxN 465), with a predominance of large species. Species and
46 functional diversity were marginally different between locations. Multivariate analysis of
47 species relative abundances showed significant divergence between locations, although
48 community functional traits overlapped strongly, suggesting that both communities share
49 a similar structure and vulnerability. The existence of a common functional ‘backbone’ in
50 diverging species communities across the oceans, under different productivity regimes,
51 and under different protection levels, suggests that although pelagic communities may
52 differ considerably in terms of species composition, this does not translate into a differing
53 functional structure and resilience potential. Whether this vulnerability is a common
54 feature of pelagic communities and how this contrasts with benthic systems warrants
55 further research.

56

57

58 Key words: Biodiversity, Functional diversity, Pelagic fish, Islands, Mid-water BRUV

59 **Introduction**

60 The pelagic realm is the world's largest habitat, covering 71% of Earth's surface (1368.10⁶
61 km²), and providing millions of tons of fish biomass annually (Chassot et al. 2010). Pelagic
62 systems have a massive influence on global nutrient cycling, food production, and climate
63 change, each of which may be influenced by the abundance and composition of pelagic
64 communities (Duffy & Stachowicz 2006, Sala et al. 2021). Yet, the vast pelagic area with
65 dynamic environmental conditions (Breitburg et al. 2018)) and heterogeneous distribution
66 of animals (Denderen et al. 2018) make it difficult to monitor and understand pelagic
67 faunas (Briscoe et al. 2016), challenging the design of marine protected areas (Sala et al.
68 2021) and the appropriate management of fisheries (Pons et al. 2018).

69 Pelagic ecosystems hold unique characteristics compared to the benthos, with likely
70 implication for vulnerability and resilience. While pelagic diversity is considered relatively
71 low compared with that of demersal fish communities (Tittensor et al. 2010), pelagic
72 communities may show high local diversity, as a function of biogeography, bathymetry, and
73 productivity (Bouchet et al. 2020). Oceanic islands, banks, and seamounts are notable
74 hotspots of species richness (Letessier et al. 2019), which predictably aggregate fish
75 biomass, including mobile predators, by acting as navigation points and reliable feeding
76 grounds (Hosegood et al. 2019). Because prey density in the open ocean is generally low,
77 predators need to forage over a wide range to fulfil their energetic requirements, leading
78 to resource translocation from other habitats and promoting food web connectivity
79 (Heupel et al. 2014).

80 Ecosystem functioning and resilience are increasingly assessed using trait-based analyses
81 (McLean et al. 2019), an approach that determines which functional roles are being filled
82 and by which species (Mouillot et al. 2013). At the community level, functional traits explain
83 differences of vulnerability between species: for example, predators and large individuals
84 may be preferentially targeted by fishing (Mbaru et al. 2020). In the face of increasing
85 fisheries pressure and environmental change, a trait-based approach may capture novel
86 aspects of pelagic community vulnerability missed by classic community-based analyses.
87 However, it remains unclear to which degree different pelagic systems are similarly

88 vulnerable, due in part because of limited pelagic sampling methodologies (Letessier et al.
89 2017).

90 Here, we use mid-water Baited Remote Underwater Video Systems (BRUVS, Bouchet &
91 Meeuwig 2015) to assess how pelagic fish communities differ taxonomically and
92 functionally. Our study took place in Malpelo Island and in Cape Verde, two remote tropical
93 island systems located in different biogeographical provinces (Kulbicki et al. 2013), which
94 are exposed to contrasting levels of both environmental conditions and human pressures.
95 We hypothesise that species community differences in taxonomy and relative abundance
96 at each location may lead to trait dissimilarity, with implication for resilience and
97 vulnerability.

98 Our objectives were to 1) describe and contrast species communities on each island using
99 mid-water BRUVS and multivariate analyses, and 2) assess the extent to which any species
100 dissimilarity translates into functional dissimilarity, using multivariate trait-based analyses,
101 and then (3) make general inference concerning pelagic vulnerability.

102

103 **Materials and Methods**

104 *Study locations*

105 Our study aimed to target features such as islands and seamounts known to provide key
106 habitat for top predators and migratory species. In Malpelo Island, BRUVS were
107 deployment at four sites around the island, located within 3°57'5.184" to 4°1'46.704" N,
108 and 81°40'8.256" to 81°35'47.4" W, with seabed depths ranging from 25 m to 1500 m (Fig.
109 1). In Cape Verde, BRUVS deployments focusing on the areas southwest of the island of
110 Boa Vista, and on the João Valente Bank seamount between 15°47'40.452" to 16°0'25.2"
111 N, and 23°12'17.316" to 22°56'11.148" W, with seabed depths ranging from 25 to 500 m
112 (Fig. 1).

113 Malpelo is a remote oceanic island located in the Tropical Eastern Pacific, approximately
114 500 km west of Buenaventura, Colombia. The interaction of multiple seasonal currents
115 results in distinct cold and warm water seasons at Malpelo (Bessudo et al. 2011). The survey
116 at Malpelo was conducted between 18 and 28 March 2018, 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM local time,

117 during the cold season, which occurs between January and April and is characterized by a
118 shallow thermocline – around 15 m depth – decreased visibility due to high primary
119 production caused by upwelling, and an average sea surface temperature of about 25° C
120 (Soler et al. 2013). An area of 8,575 km² surrounding Malpelo is designated as the Malpelo
121 Flora and Fauna Sanctuary, a marine protected area (MPA) since 1995 (UNESCO 2019).
122 Though it is a no-take MPA, some illegal, unreported, and unregulated (IUU) fishing activity
123 is believed to occur (Bessudo et al. 2011).

124 The Republic of Cape Verde is an archipelago nation located around 600 km west of
125 Senegal, with a population of approximately 550,000 (United Nations 2019). It falls within
126 the Tropical Eastern Atlantic biogeographic region and is subject to the seasonal influences
127 of both the Canary Current and the North Equatorial Countercurrent (Wirtz et al. 2008).
128 The survey in Cape Verde took place from 27 September to 1 October 2017, 9:00 AM to
129 4:30 PM local time, in the warmer months, during which average sea-surface temperature
130 is around 27°C (Stobberup et al. 2004). Domestic artisanal and commercial fishing occur
131 throughout the area, including vessels flagged from the European Union and China (Medina
132 et al. 2015). Substantial IUU fishing also contributes to overall fisheries landings (Medina
133 et al. 2015). Pelagic target species include tuna, billfish and mackerel scad, with sharks as
134 important bycatch (Santos et al. 2013).

135 *Sampling protocol*

136 The pelagic community in each location was assessed using drifting mid-water BRUVS
137 (Bouchet & Meeuwig 2015). Each rig consisted of a metal frame with two GoPro cameras
138 in underwater housings mounted on a bar perpendicular to an arm supporting a bait
139 canister filled with 1 kg of crushed fish (tuna and mackerel). The two cameras were
140 intended to be used for stereo measurements, but could not be calibrated in the field, so
141 only footage from the right-hand camera of each rig was used for analysis. The rigs were
142 suspended from buoys at a depth of 10 m (Bouchet & Meeuwig 2015). BRUVS rigs were
143 deployed in groups of five – hereafter referred to as “strings” – with each rig connected to
144 the next one by a 200 m line. This distance was a trade-off between field practicalities and
145 maximising the distance between rigs (Bouchet et al. 2018). The strings were allowed to

146 drift for approximately two hours, an effective duration for mid-water BRUVS (Bouchet et
147 al. 2018).

148 *Data analyses*

149 The BRUVS footage was analysed using the video analysis software VidSync (Neuswanger
150 et al. 2016). Analysis began when the camera settled underwater and ended when the
151 duration of the recording reached two hours. Species were identified to the lowest possible
152 taxonomic level using information and photographs available from reference books and
153 FishBase (Froese & Pauly 2019, Humann & DeLoach 2004, Humann & DeLoach 2014).
154 Where species-level identifications could not be made, genus or family names were used.
155 For each species, we recorded MaxN, the maximum number of individuals present in a
156 single frame, thereby preventing double-counting (Priede et al. 1994). Due to the proximity
157 between each rig, abundance for each species was reported at the level of the string, using
158 the maximum MaxN value across each rig (Bouchet et al. 2018). We opted for this approach
159 as 200 m separation between rigs is probably not sufficient to guarantee independence,
160 certainly not for large sharks, which can cover more than this distance during the 2-hour
161 soak time. In the absence of stereo measurements, the biomass of each species was
162 computed using common lengths and Bayesian length-weight coefficients available from
163 FishBase and scaled by abundance (Table S1). These biomass estimates are speculative
164 since they are based on calculations from FishBase rather than true measurements. The
165 conclusions on biomass patterns from this study are therefore rough estimates. Future
166 studies should include stereo measurements to more accurately assess patterns in fish
167 biomass.

168 Total abundance and biomass by deployment or site were analysed using PERMANOVA.
169 Biomass was reported by species, but was not analysed further. The differences between
170 species communities at each site were tested with an analysis of similarities (ANOSIM) on
171 Bray-Curtis dissimilarity between all pairs of communities. A similarity percentage (SIMPER)
172 analysis using Bray-Curtis dissimilarity and 1,000 permutations were used to compare
173 species groups by site. Species community abundance were illustrated using non-metric
174 multidimensional scaling (NMDS).

175 Each species was functionally described using a set of 6 complementary traits describing
176 their key ecological features (Mouillot et al. 2014, Villéger et al. 2017). The traits were size,
177 mobility, period of activity, schooling, position in water column, and diet (Table S2). Values
178 for the adult stage of each species were gathered from FishBase and other literature
179 (Supplementary material). A multidimensional functional space was calculated following
180 the methods of Maire et al. (2015). First, a dissimilarity matrix quantifying the functional
181 distance between species was computed using Gower's distance, which is able to
182 accommodate categorical traits. A Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCoA) was performed
183 with this matrix, and the mean squared deviation (mSD) was used to select the best quality
184 functional space. The mSD quantifies the "mean squared deviation between the initial
185 functional distance and the scaled distance in the functional space" and – when using
186 Gower's distance – ranges from 0 to 1, the closest value to zero indicating the most robust
187 functional space (Maire et al. 2015). The four-dimensional space – or the space using the
188 first four axes of the PCoA – was the highest quality space with an mSD of 0.0026 (Fig. S1).

189 Three complementary functional diversity indices were calculated using species position in
190 the multidimensional space: functional richness (FRic), functional evenness (FEve), and
191 functional divergence (FDiv) (Villéger et al. 2008). These metrics are favoured for functional
192 diversity analysis because they can work with multiple traits. FRic measures how much
193 functional space is filled by a species community and accounts only for species position in
194 the multidimensional range. FEve and FDiv account for species abundance and measure
195 regularity and variance within the occupied space, respectively (Villéger et al. 2008). To
196 further explore functional overlap between communities, functional beta-diversity and its
197 percentage due to species turnover were computed using Jaccard's dissimilarity index
198 (Villéger et al. 2013). All analyses were performed in R statistical software (R Core Team
199 2018), including the *mFD* package for computation of functional space and functional
200 diversity indices (<https://cmlmagneville.github.io/mFD/>).

201

202 **Results and discussion**

203 Eight strings, a total of 40 individual rigs (80 video hours in total), were deployed in Malpelo
204 and nine strings, a total of 44 individual rigs as one string had only 4 rigs (88 video hours in
205 total), were deployed in Cape Verde. In line with expectations, we observed distinct species
206 compositions between locations. BRUVS observations yielded 29 fish species, one juvenile
207 group (Carangidae) and one mammal (family Delphinidae), with 18 species present in
208 Malpelo and 19 species present in Cape Verde (Fig. 2A). Species ubiquitous to both sites
209 were wahoo (*Acanthocybium solandri*), silky shark (*Carcharhinus falciformis*), mackerel
210 scad (*Decapterus sp.*), amberjack (*Seriola sp.*), and juveniles of family Carangidae. Strings
211 recorded on average fewer species in Cape Verde (5.22 ± 2.11 SD per string) than in Malpelo
212 (6.25 ± 2.19 SD), albeit not significantly (PERMANOVA $F_{1,15} = 0.3011$, p-value = 0.773).
213 Abundance was higher in Cape Verde (873 individuals total, mean 97 ± 128.9 SD per string),
214 than in Malpelo (465 individuals total, mean 58.1 ± 59.9 SD per string), whereas biomass in
215 Malpelo (7,838 kg total, mean 979.8 ± 506.3 SD per string) far exceeded the biomass
216 observed in Cape Verde (3,558 kg total, mean 395.44 ± 563.1 SD per string, Fig. 2B). An
217 ANOSIM test revealed significantly dissimilar clusters between locations ($R = 0.5$, $p = 0.001$,
218 Fig. 3A and B). Fifteen species were responsible for 95% of the dissimilarity between sites
219 (Table S3, SIMPER). Mackerel scad (*Decapterus sp.*), rainbow runner (*Elagatis bipinnulata*),
220 yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*), and little tunny (*Euthynnus alletteratus*) cumulatively
221 contributed 55% of the difference in abundance between Cape Verde and Malpelo, while
222 rainbow runner, yellowfin tuna, scalloped hammerhead (*Sphyrna lewini*), and cornetfish
223 (*Fistularia sp.*) contributed significantly to ($p < 0.05$).

224 In contrast with expectations, communities from the two locations filled the same amount
225 of the functional space (functional richness Malpelo: 0.542, Cape Verde: 0.452), and species
226 abundance were similarly distributed in the filled space (functional divergence: Malpelo:
227 0.833, Cape Verde 0.913) in both locations. While the volume of the overall space occupied
228 by each location was relatively high, the majority of the species were densely distributed
229 in one area of the space, displaying low functional evenness (0.425 for Cape Verde, and
230 0.346 for Malpelo). The first two dimensions showed most species clustered on the right-
231 hand side (Fig. 3C), defined by mobility, whereas the outliers on the left side of the space
232 had a more restricted range (Fig. S2A). Highly mobile species, clustered into two groups,

233 one characterised by purely pelagic species of very small, and medium size, and with a
234 planktivorous or omnivorous diet and one by large or very large, benthopelagic species
235 living solitary or in small groups, and with either a piscivorous or invertivorous diet. The
236 third and fourth dimensions of the functional space showed some of the same stratification
237 observed on the first two dimensions. However, the species which diverged from the main
238 group were planktivores and invertivores which fed on mobile prey (Fig. 3D), with loose
239 grouping according to different daily activity patterns (Fig. S2B).

240 Functional divergence and functional evenness are indices of the variance and regularity of
241 species' distribution within the functional space, respectively, weighted by abundance
242 (Villéger et al. 2008). A highly divergent and minimally even community is one in which
243 some functional roles are much better represented and insured than others, which leaves
244 points of exposure to disturbance, particularly when – as was the case here - dominant and
245 common species are sensitive (McLean et al. 2019). The most dominant species overall
246 across either location, the Atlantic horse mackerel (*Trachurus trachurus*) is listed as
247 Vulnerable (Smith-Vaniz et al. 2015). The most abundant by weight, yellowfin tuna
248 (*Thunnus albacares*), was at the time of sampling listed as Near Threatened, although has
249 since been listed as Least Concern (Collette et al. 2021). Furthermore, ecologically
250 important top predators like the silky shark and scalloped hammerhead that were
251 ubiquitous in both locations are listed as Vulnerable (Rigby et al. 2017) and Critically
252 Endangered (Rigby et al. 2019), respectively.

253 Overall functional beta-diversity between the locations was 0.6, to which species turnover
254 contributed 90.3%. The remaining proportion arose from distinct trait combinations, often
255 between confamilials like the triggerfishes (mobile in Malpelo, and reef-associated in Cape
256 Verde), surgeonfishes (large in Malpelo and small in Cape Verde) and jacks (large and
257 piscivorous in Malpelo, and medium and invertivore in Cape Verde). Fishing remains the
258 primary threat to pelagic elasmobranchs and teleosts (Pacoureau et al. 2021). Although the
259 bulk of the functional space was similar between locations, the presence of such unique
260 trait combinations suggests that some nuanced differences in sensitivity may still render
261 certain locations more or less resilient (Villéger et al. 2013). Future research should aim to
262 identify pelagic systems which overperform compared to expectations, in order to identify

263 unique resilient traits associated with either positive or negative ecosystem outcomes
264 (Cinner et al. 2016).

265 Our survey included different biogeographical provinces and covers contrasting ends of
266 environmental and human pressure gradients, although -due to time constraints, is limited
267 to a snap-shot view of the species diversity and abundance. Nevertheless our results
268 presents preliminary evidence that pelagic ecosystems may share a common ‘backbone’ of
269 functional traits related to mobility and predatory diet. Such a backbone of 21 common
270 traits has already been documented to exist within global reef ecosystems (McLean et al.
271 2021). Since ecological disturbance is likely to affect species with identical functional traits
272 in similar ways (Mouillot et al. 2013), low trait diversity within pelagic ecosystems may
273 make the pelagic faunas particularly vulnerable to disturbance. A lack of fish length stereo-
274 measurements means our biomass estimates must be interpreted with caution. We
275 propose that further study specifically aims to determine whether this is a consistent
276 feature of the pelagic realm, and the degree to which it may affect the resilience of mid-
277 water communities.

278 **Acknowledgements**

279 We thank Monaco Explorations for supporting the fieldwork and The Bertarelli Foundation
280 for TBL’s time and salary in the field. We are grateful to the Master and Crew of the RV
281 Yersin for supporting sampling activities. We thank Dr. Christophe Eizaguirre for his support
282 as a teacher and adviser to MS.

283 **Declarations**

284

285 **Fundings**

286 Field activities were funded by Monaco Explorations. The Bertarelli Foundation funded
287 TBL’s salary

288

289 **Conflict of interest**

290 We declare no conflict of interest

291

292 **Ethics approval**

293 As none of the authors are resident in Cape Verde, research there was conducted under
294 authorization No. 08/GP-CA.AMP/2017.

295

296 **Data/Code availability**

297 All code is referenced in the text

298

299 Author's contribution

300 MS conceived and conducted the analysis, and as part of her MSc thesis. TBL supervised
301 and managed the study, and co-wrote the first draft together with MS. TBL and JB
302 coordinated the BRUVS deployment, with assistance from RH, LP, CP, AP, and VM. DM and
303 SV advised on the functional analysis. DM is the PI of the Monaco Exploration 'Megafauna'
304 team. All authors helped revise the manuscript.


305

306

307



308
309

Figures




310

311 **Fig. 1** Sampling locations and deployment sites. Sampling locations (A) and BRUVS
312 deployment sites in Malpelo (B) and Cape Verde (C, D). Line segments indicate BRUVS
313 trajectories and are color-coded by string, with each line representing an individual rig.



314
 315 **Fig. 2** Fish community composition in Malpelo and Cape Verde. Ranked abundance (A) and
 316 biomass (B) of species recorded in either location, and examples of species observed using
 317 BRUVS. Stills (C) through (E) are from Cape Verde: (C) *Acanthocybium solandri* – Wahoo;
 318 (D) *Coryphaena hippurus* – Common dolphinfish; (E) *Istiophorus platypterus* – Indo-Pacific
 319 sailfish [This identification reflects the increasingly common belief that there is only one
 320 pantropic species of sailfish (e.g Collette et al. 2011), rather than *I. albicans* in the Atlantic
 321 and *I. platypterus* in the Indo-Pacific]. Stills (F) through (H) are from Malpelo: (F)
 322 *Canthidermis maculata* – Rough triggerfish; (G) *Thunnus albacares* – Yellowfin tuna; (H)
 323 *Sphyrna lewini* – Scalloped hammerhead.



324

325 **Fig. 3** Species community differences and functional similarity in Malpelo and Cape Verde.
 326 Two-dimensional NMDS ordination plot with 95% confidence level ellipses based on
 327 ANOSIM results from samples (BRUVS strings) from Cape Verde and Malpelo (A). NMDS
 328 plot of samples with vectors showing species correlation (B). Distribution of species in the
 329 first and second (C) and third and fourth (D) dimensions of the functional space. Grey hull
 330 indicates overall volume of the functional space on the two axes shown. Red (Malpelo) and
 331 blue (Cape Verde) hulls contain positions of all species found at each site.

332

333 **References**

334

335 Bessudo S, Soler GA, Klimley AP, Ketchum JT, Hearn A, Arauz R (2011) Residency of the
336 scalloped hammerhead shark (*Sphyrna lewini*) at Malpelo Island and evidence of
337 migration to other islands in the Eastern Tropical Pacific. *Environ Biol Fishes* 91:165–
338 176

339 Breitburg D, Levin LA, Oschlies A, Grégoire M, Chavez FP, Conley DJ et al. (2018) Declining
340 oxygen in the global ocean and coastal waters. *Science*, 359(6371).

341 Briscoe DK, et al. (2016) Are we missing important areas in pelagic marine conservation?
342 Redefining conservation hotspots in the ocean. *Endanger. Species Res.* 29:229–237

343 Bouchet PJ, Meeuwig JJ (2015) Drifting baited stereo-videography: A novel sampling tool
344 for surveying pelagic wildlife in offshore marine reserves. *Ecosphere* 6:137

345 Bouchet PJ et al. (2018) Marine sampling field manual for pelagic stereo-BRUVS (Baited
346 Remote Underwater Videos). In: Przeslawski R, Foster S (eds) *Field Manuals for
347 Marine Science Sampling to Monitor Australian Waters*. National Environmental
348 Science Programme (NESP), p 105–132

349 Bouchet PJ, Letessier TB, Caley JM, Nichol SL, Hemmi JM, & Meeuwig, JJ (2020) Submerged
350 Carbonate Banks Aggregate Pelagic Megafauna in Offshore Tropical Australia.
351 *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 1–17.

352 Chassot E, Bonhommeau S, Dulvy NK, Mélin F, Watson R, Gascuel D, & Le Pape O (2010)
353 Global marine primary production constrains fisheries catches. *Ecology Letters*, 13(4),
354 495–505.

355 Cinner JE, Huchery C, MacNeil MA, Graham NAJ, McClanahan TR, Maina J et al. (2016)
356 Bright spots among the world’s coral reefs. *Nature*, 535, 416–419.
357 <http://doi.org/10.1038/nature18607>

358 Collette B, Acero A, Amorim AF, Boustany A, Canales Ramirez C, Cardenas G, Carpenter KE,
359 de Oliveira Leite Jr N, Di Natale A, Die D, Fox W, Fredou FL, Graves J, Guzman-Mora
360 A, Viera Hazin FH, Hinton M, Juan Jorda M, Minte Vera C, Miyabe N, Montano Cruz
361 R, Nelson R, Oxenford H, Restrepo V, Salas E, Schaefer K, Schratwieser J, Serra R, Sun
362 C, Teixeira Lessa RP, Pires Ferreira Travassos PE, Uozumi Y & Yanez
363 E (2011) *Istiophorus platypterus*. *The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species* 2011:
364 e.T170338A6754507. [https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2011-](https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2011-2.RLTS.T170338A6754507.en)
365 [2.RLTS.T170338A6754507.en](https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2011-2.RLTS.T170338A6754507.en). Downloaded on 06 November 2021.

366 Collette BB, Boustany A, Fox W, Graves J, Juan Jorda M, Restrepo V 2021 *Thunnus*
367 *albacares*. *The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species* 2021:
368 e.T21857A46624561. [https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2021-](https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2021-2.RLTS.T21857A46624561.en)
369 [2.RLTS.T21857A46624561.en](https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2021-2.RLTS.T21857A46624561.en). Downloaded on 06 November 2021.

370 van Denderen PD, Lindegren M, MacKenzie BR, Watson RA, & Andersen KH (2017). Global
371 patterns in marine predatory fish. *Nature* 1–6. [http://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-](http://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0388-z)

372 0388-zDuffy JE, Stachowicz JJ (2006) Why biodiversity is important to oceanography:
373 potential roles of genetic, species, and trophic diversity in pelagic ecosystem
374 processes. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 311:179–189

375 Froese R, Pauly D (2019) FishBase. www.fishbase.org (accessed 2 Feb 2019)

376 Heupel MR, Knip DM, Simpfendorfer CA, Dulvy NK (2014) Sizing up the ecological role of
377 sharks as predators. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 495:291–298

378 Hosegood PJ, Nimmo-Smith WAM, Proud R, Adams K, & Brierley AS (2019) Internal lee
379 waves and baroclinic bores over a tropical seamount shark “hot-spot.” *Progress in*
380 *Oceanography*, 172, 34–50.

381 Humann P, DeLoach N (2004) Reef Fish Identification: Baja to Panama. New World
382 Publications, Jacksonville

383 Humann P, DeLoach N (2014) Reef Fish Identification: Florida, Caribbean, Bahamas. New
384 World Publications, Jacksonville

385 Kulbicki M, Parravicini V, Bellwood DR, Arias-González E, Chabanet P, Floeter SR et al.
386 (2013) Global Biogeography of Reef Fishes: A Hierarchical Quantitative Delineation of
387 Regions. *PloS One*, 8(12), e81847.
388 <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0081847.s002>

389 Letessier TB, Mouillot D, Bouchet PJ, Vigliola L, Fernandes MC, Thompson C, et al. (2019)
390 Remote reefs and seamounts are the last refuges for marine predators across the
391 Indo-Pacific. *PLoS Biology*, 17(8), e3000366.

392 Letessier TB, Bouchet P, & Meeuwig JJ (2017) Sampling mobile oceanic fishes and sharks:
393 implications for fisheries and conservation planning. *Biological Reviews*, 92(2), 627–
394 646.

395 Maire E, Grenouillet G, Brosse S, Villéger S (2015) How many dimensions are needed to
396 accurately assess functional diversity? A pragmatic approach for assessing the quality
397 of functional spaces. *Glob Ecol Biogeogr* 24:728–740

398 Mbaru EK, Graham NAJ, McClanahan TR, & Cinner JE (2020) Functional traits illuminate the
399 selective impacts of different fishing gears on coral reefs. *Journal of Applied Ecology*,
400 57(2), 241–252. <http://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13547>

401 McLean M, Auber A, Graham NAJ, Houk P, Villéger S, Violle C, Thuiller W, Wilson SK,
402 Mouillot D (2019) Trait structure and redundancy determine sensitivity to
403 disturbance in marine fish communities. *Glob Chang Biol* 25: 3424– 3437

404 McLean M, Auber A, Graham NAJ, Houk P, Villéger S, Violle C et al. (2019) Trait structure
405 and redundancy determine sensitivity to disturbance in marine fish communities.
406 *Global Change Biology*, 25(10), 3424–3437.

407 McLean M, Stuart-Smith RD, Villéger S, Auber A, Edgar GJ, MacNeil MA, et al. (2021) Trait
408 similarity in reef fish faunas across the world's oceans oceans. *Proceedings of the*
409 *National Academy of Sciences*, 118(12), e2012318118.

410 Medina A, Gomes I, Araujo S, Lima L, Monteiro R (2015) Fifth National Report on the Status
411 of Biodiversity in Cabo Verde. Convention on Biological Diversity, Montreal

412 Mouillot D, Graham NAJ, Villéger S, Mason NWH, Bellwood DR (2013) A functional
413 approach reveals community responses to disturbances. *Trends Ecol Evol* 28:167–177

414 Mouillot D, Villéger S, Parravicini V, Kulbicki M, Arias-Gonzalez JE, Bender M, et al. (2014)
415 Functional over-redundancy and high functional vulnerability in global fish faunas on

416 tropical reefs. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(38), 13757–
417 13762. <http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1317625111>

418 Neuswanger JR, Wipfli MS, Rosenberger AE, Hughes NF (2016) Measuring fish and their
419 physical habitats: versatile 2D and 3D video techniques with user-friendly software.
420 *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 73:1861–1873

421 Pacoureau N, Rigby CL, Kyne PM, Sherley RB, Winker H, Carlson JK, et al. (2021) Half a
422 century of global decline in oceanic sharks and rays. *Nature*, 1–21.

423 Pons M, Melnychuk MC, & Hilborn R (2018). Management effectiveness of large pelagic
424 fisheries in the high seas. *Fish and Fisheries*, 19(2), 260–270.

425 Priede IG, Bagley PM, Smith A, Creasey S, & Merrett NR (1994) Scavenging deep demersal
426 fishes of the Porcupine Seabight, north-east Atlantic: observations by baited camera,
427 trap and trawl. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*,
428 74(03), 481. <http://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315400047615>

429 R Core Team (2019) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
430 for Statistical Computing, Vienna

431 Rigby CL, Sherman CS, Chin A & Simpfendorfer C (2017) *Carcharhinus falciformis*. *The IUCN*
432 *Red List of Threatened Species* 2017:
433 e.T39370A117721799. [https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2017-](https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2017-3.RLTS.T39370A117721799.en)
434 [3.RLTS.T39370A117721799.en](https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2017-3.RLTS.T39370A117721799.en).

435 Rigby CL, Dulvy NK, Barreto R, Carlson J, Fernando D, Fordham S, Francis MP, Herman K,
436 Jabado RW, Liu KM, Marshall A, Pacoureau N, Romanov E, Sherley RB & Winker, H
437 (2019) *Sphyrna lewini*. *The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species* 2019:
438 e.T39385A2918526. Downloaded on 01 November 2021.

439 Sala E, Mayorga J, Bradley D, Cabral RB, Atwood TB, Auber A et al. (2021) Protecting the
440 global ocean for biodiversity, food and climate. *Nature*, 1–15.

441 Santos IT, Monteiro CA, Harper S, Zyllich K, Zeller D, Belhabib D (2013) Reconstruction of
442 marine fisheries catches for the Republic of Cape Verde. In: Belhabib D, Zeller D,
443 Harper S, Pauly D (eds) *Marine Fisheries catches in West Africa, 1950-2010, part I*.
444 Fisheries Centre Research Reports, Vancouver, p 79–90

445 Smith-Vaniz WF, Sidibe A, Nunoo F, Lindeman K, Williams AB, Quartey R, Camara K,
446 Carpenter KE, Montiero V, de Morais L, Djiman R, Sylla M & Sagna A (2015). *Trachurus*
447 *trachurus*. *The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species* 2015:
448 e.T198647A43157137. [https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2015-](https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2015-4.RLTS.T198647A43157137.en)
449 [4.RLTS.T198647A43157137.en](https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2015-4.RLTS.T198647A43157137.en). Downloaded on 01 November 2021.

450 Soler G, Bessudo S, Guzman A (2013) Long term monitoring of pelagic fishes at Malpelo
451 Island, Colombia. *Lat. Am. J. Conserv.* 3:28–37.

452 Stobberup KA, Ramos VDM, Coelho ML (2004) Ecopath Model of the Cape Verde coastal
453 ecosystem. In: Palomares MLD, Pauly D (eds) *West African marine ecosystems:*

454 models and fisheries impacts. Fisheries Centre Research Reports, Vancouver, p 39–
455 56.

456 Tittensor DP, Mora C, Jetz W, Lotze HK, Ricard D, Berghe EV, & Worm, B. (2010) Global
457 patterns and predictors of marine biodiversity across taxa. *Nature*, 466(7310), 1098–
458 1101.

459 UNESCO. Malpelo Fauna and Flora Sanctuary - UNESCO World Heritage Centre 1992 - 2018.
460 whc.unesco.org/en/list/1216 (accessed 31 May 2019)

461 United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division (2019)
462 World Population Prospects 2019. Custom data acquired via website (accessed 20
463 May 2019)

464 Villéger S, Brosse S, Mouchet M, Mouillot D, Vanni MJ (2017) Functional ecology of fish:
465 current approaches and future challenges. *Aquat Sci* 79:783–801

466 Villéger S, Grenouillet G, Brosse S (2014) Functional homogenization exceeds taxonomic
467 homogenization among European fish assemblages. *Glob Ecol Biogeogr* 23:1450–
468 1460

469 Villéger S, Mason NWH, Mouillot D (2008) New multidimensional functional diversity
470 indices for a multifaceted framework in functional ecology. *Ecology* 89:2290–301.

471 Villéger S, Grenouillet G, Brosse S (2013) Decomposing functional β -diversity reveals that
472 low functional β -diversity is driven by low functional turnover in European fish
473 assemblages. *Glob Ecol Biogeogr* 22:671–681

474 Wirtz P, Fricke R, Biscoito MJ (2008) The coastal fishes of the Cape Verde Islands - New
475 records and an annotated check-list. *Zootaxa* 1715:1–26

476