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RESEARCH ARTICLE

The 20S proteasome activator PA28γ controls the compaction of chromatin

Didier Fesquet1,*, David Lières2,†, Charlotte Grimaud3, Cristina Viganò1,‡, Francisca Méchali1, Séverine Boulon1, Olivier Coux1, Catherine Bonne-Andrea1 and Véronique Baldin1,§

ABSTRACT

PA28γ (also known as PSME3), a nuclear activator of the 20S proteasome, is involved in the degradation of several proteins regulating cell growth and proliferation and in the dynamics of various nuclear bodies, but its precise cellular functions remain unclear. Here, using a quantitative FLIM-FRET based microscopy assay monitoring close proximity between nucleosomes in living human cells, we show that PA28γ controls chromatin compaction. We find that its depletion induces a decompaction of pericentromeric heterochromatin, which is similar to what is observed upon the knockdown of HP1β (also known as CBX1), a key factor of the heterochromatin structure. We show that PA28γ is present at HP1β-containing repetitive DNA sequences abundant in heterochromatin and, importantly, that HP1β on its own is unable to drive chromatin compaction without the presence of PA28γ. At the molecular level, we show that this novel function of PA28γ is independent of its stable interaction with the 20S proteasome, and most likely depends on its ability to maintain appropriate levels of H3K9me3 and H4K20me3, histone modifications that are involved in heterochromatin formation. Overall, our results implicate PA28γ as a key factor involved in the regulation of the higher order structure of chromatin.
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INTRODUCTION

In eukaryotic cells, the differential organization of chromatin into euchromatin and heterochromatin determines genome compaction and activity in the nucleus. Whereas euchromatin is a relaxed state that is generally transcriptionally active, heterochromatin exhibits a dense organizational state throughout interphase, with relatively low transcription levels and an enrichment of repetitive DNA sequences such as satellite repeats, transposable elements and ribosomal DNA (Lippman et al., 2004; Nishibuchi and Nakayama, 2014; Saksouk et al., 2015; Janssen et al., 2018). Heterochromatin is paramount to the stability of eukaryotic genomes. Indeed, loss of control over these repetitive DNA sequences, including mutations produced by the integration or excision of transposable elements and recombination between repeats, can lead to transcriptional perturbation and DNA recombination, all of which events are at the root of oncogenic transformation (Ayarpadikannan and Kim, 2014; Klement and Goodarzi, 2014).

Multiple pieces of evidence from genetic and cell biology studies point to an important involvement of the heterochromatin protein-1 (HP1) family of chromodomains (Maison and Almouzni, 2004; Verschure et al., 2005) and trimethylation of histone H3 K9 (H3K9me3) (Martin and Zhang, 2005; Saksouk et al., 2015) and histone H4 K20 (H4K20me3) (Schotta et al., 2004; Oda et al., 2009; Beck et al., 2012; Bosch-Preségue et al., 2017) in establishing and maintaining heterochromatin states. These histone methylation marks serve as molecular anchors for HP1 proteins, notably HP1β (also known as CBX1), which are required for heterochromatin compaction and silencing (Lachner et al., 2001; Thiru et al., 2004; Dambacher et al., 2013; Bosch-Preségue et al., 2017; Machida et al., 2018). However, the mechanism by which HP1β folds chromatin-containing H3K9me3–H4K20me3 into higher-order structures has not been fully elucidated.

Proteasome-mediated protein degradation is a central pathway that controls the stability and function of numerous proteins in most cellular processes (Collins and Goldberg, 2017). Proteasomes comprise a family of protein complexes resulting from the association of different regulators/activators with the catalytic core, called the 20S proteasome (Rechsteiner and Hill, 2005; Coux et al., 2020). Among their many functions, it is now well established that proteasome complexes are associated with chromatin and enriched at specific sites in the genome (Geng and Tansey, 2012; Kito et al., 2020), thereby suggesting a direct role for chromatin-associated proteasome complexes in genomic processes (McCann and Tansey, 2014).

Among the nuclear 20S proteasome regulators, the homoeptamer PA28γ (also known as PSME3, REGγ, 11Sγ or KI antigen) (Ma et al., 1992; Wilk et al., 2000; Mao et al., 2008) promotes the proteasomal degradation of growth-related proteins including the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors p21 (CDKN1A), p19 (CDKN2D) and p16 (CDKN2A) and c-Myc (Chen et al., 2007; Li et al., 2007, 2015), as well as several important regulatory proteins including steroid receptor coactivator 3 (SRC-3; also known as NCOA3), SirT7 and p53 (Li et al., 2006; Sun et al., 2016; Zhang and Zhang, 2008). Consistent with this, and despite the fact that PA28γ–20S proteasome complexes constitute only a minor fraction (less than 5%) of the whole proteasome population (Fabre et al., 2014), PA28γ is important for cell growth and proliferation. Indeed, PA28γ-knockout mice show a decrease in body size (Murata et al., 1999; Barton et al., 2004), and derived mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF) cells display reduced growth and proliferation, increased apoptosis and a slower G1 to S-
phase transition. Besides its role in the degradation of growth-related proteins, PA28γ contributes to the control of cell nuclear architecture, since it is involved in the regulation of the dynamics of various nuclear bodies, including Cajal bodies (CBs) (Ciocca et al., 2006; Jonik-Nowak et al., 2018), nuclear speckles (NSs) (Baldin et al., 2008) and promyelocytic leukemia bodies (PMLs) (Zannini et al., 2009). PA28γ has also been linked to chromosome stability (Zannini et al., 2008) and DNA repair (Levy-Barda et al., 2011), suggesting a potential role of this proteasome activator in the regulation of chromatin structure.

In this study, we highlight an unsuspected, likely proteasome-independent, function of PA28γ in the control of chromatin compaction. Our investigations reveal that PA28γ is associated with chromatin, notably with repetitive DNA sequences abundant in heterochromatin, and importantly, is required to sustain HP1β-dependent chromatin compaction. Furthermore, we show that PA28γ is necessary to maintain the levels of the H3K9me3 and H4K20me3 heterochromatic marks, thereby establishing PA28γ as an important new regulator of heterochromatin structure.

RESULTS

PA28γ controls chromatin compaction in living cells

The involvement of PA28γ in the organization of intra-nuclear structures and the maintenance of chromosome stability suggests that PA28γ could also play a key role in the regulation of chromatin structure. To explore this hypothesis, we performed quantitative fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy-Förster resonance energy transfer (FLIM-FRET) measurements of chromatin compaction at the nanometer-scale in living HeLa cells inactive or not for PA28γ. For this, we established a stable CRISPR/Cas9 PA28γ-knockout HeLa cell line (Fig. 1A), expressing either H2B-GFP alone (denoted HeLaH2B-GFP-KO-PA28γ) or both H2B–GFP and mCherry–H2B (denoted HeLaH2B-2FP-KO-PA28γ). In these cell lines, PA28γ depletion affected neither H2B–GFP nor mCherry–H2B expression levels, as analyzed by immunoblot and microscopy approaches (Fig. S1A,B). FRET was measured between the fluorophore-tagged histones incorporated into the chromatin; in this assay an increase in FRET efficiency corresponds to an increase in the occurrence of close proximity (<10 nm) between nucleosomes (Lleres et al., 2009). In wild-type (WT) HeLaH2B-2FPs cells, a heterogeneous FRET efficiency map was apparent throughout interphase nuclei on representative images made with continuous pseudocolors (Fig. 1B). We found that the areas associated with the highest FRET values (red-orange population) decreased in KO-PA28γ cells (Fig. 1B). This effect was confirmed by the determination of the mean FRET efficiency percentage, which shows a substantial reduction in the level of chromatin compaction compared to the WT cells (Fig. 1C). As a positive control for chromatin decompaction, we treated HeLaH2B-2FPs cells with Trichostatin A (TSA), an inhibitor of histone deacetylases used to induce large-scale chromatin decompaction (Lleres et al., 2009; Otterstrom et al., 2019). As expected, after 24 h of TSA treatment, the mean FRET efficiency percentage dropped drastically, consistent with a massively decompacted interphase chromatin (Fig. S1C). By extracting the FRET efficiency distribution curves related to the FRET efficiency map of individual nuclei in both WT and KO-PA28γ cell lines, we found that the loss of PA28γ mainly caused a marked reduction of the high FRET population corresponding to high levels of chromatin compaction (Fig. 1D, black curve versus blue curve). In contrast, the low-FRET population corresponding to chromatin regions with the lowest degree of chromatin compaction remained poorly affected.

To ascertain that the observed chromatin decompaction was due to the absence of PA28γ, we re-expressed (knocked in; KI) PA28γ in PA28γ-KO cell lines (two different clones named KO/KI-WT#6 and #8 were selected) at a level comparable to that of the endogenous protein (Fig. 1E). Remarkably, for KO/KI-WT#6 and #8 clones, the FRET efficiency was restored to values similar to WT cells (Fig. 1F) indicating the re-establishment of normal chromatin compaction. Thus, these results show that PA28γ plays an important role in regulating the compaction of chromatin in interphase cells, with a particular impact on the most condensed chromatin regions.

PA28γ controls chromatin compaction independently from its interaction with the 20S proteasome

Given that PA28γ has functions that are both proteasome binding dependent (Li et al., 2007; Levy-Barda et al., 2011) and proteasome binding independent (Zannini et al., 2008; Zhang and Zhang, 2008), we asked whether the role of PA28γ in the regulation of chromatin compaction requires its interaction with the 20S proteasome. For this purpose, a mutant of PA28γ deleted of its C-terminal 14 amino acids (named ΔC), which is unable to bind and to activate the 20S proteasome (Ma et al., 1993; Förster et al., 2005; Zhang and Zhang, 2008; Zannini et al., 2008), was stably expressed at a physiological level in HeLaH2B-2FPs-KO-PA28γ cells (named KO/KI-ΔC) (Fig. 2A). The inability of this PA28γ mutant to bind the 20S proteasome was confirmed by co-immunoprecipitation experiments from cell extracts treated or not with the proteasome inhibitor MG132, which is known to increase the association between PA28γ and the 20S proteasome (Welk et al., 2016). As shown in Fig. 2B and Fig. S2, the 20S proteasome was detected by the presence of its α6 subunit (also known as PSMAT) in PA28γ immunoprecipitations from HeLaH2B-2FP WT and KO/KI-WT cell extracts, but not in KO/KI-ΔC and KO-PA28γ cells. Chromatin compaction was then analyzed by FLIM-FRET in living asynchronous cells. We found that expression of the PA28γ-ΔC mutant restored the level of chromatin compaction in PA28γ-KO cells to a FRET efficiency value (24.7%) similar to that observed in WT cells (23.03%) (Fig. 2C). These results demonstrate that compaction of chromatin requires PA28γ, but not its stable interaction with the 20S proteasome.

PA28γ controls pericentromeric heterochromatin compaction

Since the loss of PA28γ mainly affects chromatin regions with a high FRET efficiency (Fig. 1D), we hypothesized that PA28γ might play a role in the regulation of highly compacted heterochromatin. To explore this possibility further, we used a previously described U2OS cell clone (F4228) carrying lacO DNA repeats stably integrated within constitutive heterochromatin, at a pericentromeric region described as one of the most compacted chromatin domains in the nucleus (Jegou et al., 2009). This lac episome array forms a single heterochromatic locus that can be visualized in cells following the transient expression of a GFP–LacI construct. The GFP signal allows us to measure the area occupied by the lac locus and thus to quantify the variations of its accessibility and compaction state. As a control of measurable heterochromatin decompaction, we first examined the effect of the depletion of a known regulator of heterochromatin, HP1β (Maison and Almouzni, 2004; Bosch-Preseguré et al., 2017), on lac episome array compaction. These cells were transfected with siRNAs directed against HP1β (si-HP1β) or luciferase (si-Luc), and with a GFP–LacI-expressing construct. The efficiency of si-HP1β was verified by immunoblotting (Fig. 3A, upper panel), and changes in heterochromatin compaction state
were monitored 48 h post-transfection by fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 3A, lower panel). The LacO locus appeared as a small dot with a surface area that was not significantly affected by the transfection of si-Luc (0.390±0.045 µm² vs 0.370±0.052 µm² in control cells). Upon HP1β knockdown, we observed a significant increase of the GFP-LacI dot surface area (0.730±0.069 µm²). This corresponds to an expansion of the surface area occupied by the lacO DNA repeats due to heterochromatin decompaction (Fig. 3B). Then, we examined the effect of PA28γ knockdown (Fig. 3C,D). Upon PA28γ-depletion we observed a significant increase in the GFP–LacI dot surface area (0.636±0.014 µm² vs 0.370±0.052 µm² and 0.443±0.011 µm² in control cells and si-Luc-treated cells.

Fig. 1. See next page for legend.
Fig. 1. PA28γ controls chromatin compaction. (A) Immunoblot analysis of PA28γ expression level in total extracts from parental (WT) and PA28γ-knockout (KO-PA28γ) HeLaαL208-2FPs cells. Tubulin was used as a loading control. (B) FRET analysis in asynchronous interphase parental (WT) and PA28γ-knockout (KO-PA28γ) HeLaαL208-2FPs cells. FLIM-FRET measurements were performed and the spatial distribution of the FRET efficiency is represented in a continuous pseudocolor scale ranging from 0 to 30%. Scale bars: 10 µm. (C) Statistical analysis of the mean FRET efficiency percentage in WT and KO-PA28γ HeLaαL208-2FPs nuclei, presented as box-and-whisker plots. The thick line represents median, the boxes correspond to the mean FRET values from the 25–75th percentiles of the median, with the whiskers covering the 10th–90th percentile range. The mean FRET value is indicated by a cross in each box. Data are from 4–6 independent experiments, the total number of cells analyzed is n=154 nuclei (WT) and n=132 nuclei (KO-PA28γ). ****P<0.0001 (two-tailed unpaired Student’s t-test). (D) Spatial distribution of the FRET efficiency (percentage) in representative WT and KO-PA28γ HeLaαL208-2FPs nuclei. The FRET percentage distribution is depicted in a continuous pseudocolor scale ranging from 0 to 30% (left panel). Scale bars: 10 µm. FRET distribution graph shows distinct populations of FRET efficiency in WT and KO-PA28γ cells (blue and black curves, respectively) (right panel). (E) Immunoblot analysis of PA28γ expression level in total extracts from parental (WT), PA28γ-knockout (KO-PA28γ) HeLaαL208-2FPs cells and two independent clones of HeLaαL208-2FPs cells knocked out for PA28γ in which wild-type PA28γ was stably re-expressed (KO/KI-WT #6, KO/KI-WT #8)). Tubulin was used as a loading control. (F) Spatial distribution of the FRET efficiency (percentage) in representative WT, KO-PA28γ and KO/KI-WT #6, KO/KI-WT #8 HeLaαL208-2FPs nuclei. The FRET percentage distribution is depicted as in D. Scale bars: 10 µm. Quantification of the mean FRET efficiency was represented as box-and-whisker plots as in C. Data represent are from 3 independent experiments, the total number of cells analyzed is n=102 nuclei (WT), n=90 (KO-PA28γ), n=53 (KO/KI-WT #6), n=54 (KO/KI-WT #8), n.s., not significant, ****P<0.0001 (two-tailed unpaired Student’s t-test).

The effect of PA28γ depletion on heterochromatin compaction prompted us to investigate whether PA28γ might associate with chromatin comprising repetitive sequences characteristic of heterochromatin, such as interspersed (HERV-K), pericentromeric (satellite II and α satellite) and major satellite (LINE-1) DNA repetitive sequences (Padoken et al., 2015). For this, quantitative chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP-qPCR) experiments were performed on parental (WT) versus KO-PA28γ U2OS cells, which had been previously characterized (see Fig. S3A and Jonik-Nowak et al., 2018). Since ChIP-qPCR experiments were normalized to the level of histone H3, we first verified that PA28γ depletion did not affect histone H3 expression levels by immunoblotting. As shown in Fig. S3B,C, no variation in expression level of histone H3 was observed in U2OS-KO-PA28γ cells. The same results were obtained for histone H1 and HP1β proteins. ChIP-qPCR experiments revealed that PA28γ was enriched at all four heterochromatin sequences tested (Fig. 3E), as was HP1β (Fig. S3D, Table S2). By comparison, we analyzed the PA28γ association with sequences located in the promoter of four actively transcribed genes (GAPDH, PSMB2, CCNA2 and CCNE2). Of the four euchromatin sequences tested, PA28γ was only detected at the promoter of the cyclin E2 gene, albeit at a much lower level (Fig. 3E), suggesting that its binding is not restricted to heterochromatin regions. Taken together, these results show that PA28γ is a chromatin-binding protein controlling the state of heterochromatin compaction.

A fraction of PA28γ colocalizes with HP1β

The results described above led us to explore whether PA28γ colocalizes with regulators of heterochromatin establishment such as HP1β. To verify this, we performed immunostaining against endogenous HP1β and PA28γ proteins in U2OS cells. As both are very abundant nuclear proteins and PA28γ displays a diffuse nuclear distribution as well (Masson et al., 2003; Wójcik et al., 1998; Cioce et al., 2006; Baldin et al., 2008), the soluble protein fraction was pre-extracted before fixation by treating the cells with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS (Guillot et al., 2004). Analysis of images acquired with a wide-field microscope suggested a potential colocalization between HP1β and PA28γ in some discrete areas of the nucleus (Fig. S4A, left panel, merged image and higher magnifications). Further analysis of HP1β and PA28γ proteins immunostaining, using a confocal microscope with Airyscan detection and image acquisition in Z-stacks followed by 3D reconstruction (Fig. S4B, left panel) suggested that indeed a small fraction of PA28γ colocalizes with HP1β with ~32 colocalization sites per nucleus in U2OS cells (Fig. S4B, right panel).

To strengthen this result, we used the in situ proximity ligation assay (is-PLA), which allows the detection of the close proximity between two proteins within cells (less than 40 nm, i.e. likely to be an interaction) (Söderberg et al., 2006). Owing to the nuclear abundance of PA28γ and HP1β, we first verified the specificity of this approach by testing the signal between PA28γ and one of its known partners, the 20S proteasome, which is also highly abundant in the nucleus. Using antibodies raised against PA28γ and α4 (one subunit of the 20S proteasome), is-PLA revealed a characteristic dotted pattern throughout the nuclei of U2OS cells (Fig. S4C, upper panel). Quantification of the number of PLA dots per nucleus (see Materials and Methods) indicated less than 60 dots (Fig. S4C, bar graph), a number consistent with the low amount of 20S proteasome immunoprecipitated with PA28γ antibodies (see Fig. S2 and Jonik-Nowak et al., 2018), supporting the notion that this signal is specific. Then, using both PA28γ and HP1β antibodies (Fig. 4), is-PLA revealed on average 37 dots per nucleus (Fig. 4A, upper left panel and bar graph), a number in the same range as the number of colocalization sites (~32), as evidenced in Fig. S4B. Silencing of PA28γ expression with siRNAs (Fig. 4B), used as a negative control, abolished the PLA dots (Fig. 4A, lower panel and bar graph). Note that we also observed that a fraction of PA28γ colocalized in part with HP1α (also known as CBX5) by the is-PLA approach (Fig. S4D). Taken together, these results indicate that a small fraction of PA28γ is in close physical proximity (and thus is likely to interact either directly or indirectly) to a fraction of the heterochromatin-binding protein HP1β.

PA28γ is a chromatin compaction regulator as important as HP1β

As PA28γ ensures chromatin compaction and partially colocalizes with HP1β in cells, we wondered whether PA28γ might be as important in chromatin compaction as HP1β. To address this question, we performed siRNA-mediated depletion of PA28γ, HP1β or both proteins in HeLaαL208-2FPs cells (Fig. 5A) and compared the degree of chromatin compaction of these cells by FLIM-FRET approach (Fig. 5B,C). FRET measurements revealed a marked decompaction of chromatin upon PA28γ-knockdown that was even stronger than upon HP1β depletion (Fig. 5B). This decompaction was correlated with the clear disappearance of the most compacted states of the chromatin within nuclei (Fig. 5C, right panel). To complete these data, we extracted the FRET efficiency distribution curves related to the FRET efficiency map from individual nuclei (Fig. 5C, right panel). While siRNA-Luc only caused an increase in the high-FRET population (Fig. 5C, right panel, blue curve) as compared to parental cells (Fig. 1D, right panel, blue curve), the quantitative analysis of the FRET distribution
profiles revealed that PA28γ-knockdown by siRNA had a stronger effect than the PA28γ-knockout. This difference observed between PA28γ-knockdown and PA28γ-knockout might reflect potential compensatory mechanisms developed by the PA28γ-KO cell line to preserve cellular homeostasis and viability. Interestingly, as already observed in Fig. 1, these results confirm that even in the presence of HP1 proteins the lack of PA28γ results in a strong decompaction of chromatin. This analysis also revealed a less-pronounced decompaction compared to depletion of PA28γ alone (Fig. 5B and C, right panel, compare green and black curves). Altogether, these results strongly suggest that PA28γ is a key regulator of chromatin compaction that is as important as HP1β, and that these two proteins might be involved in a similar regulatory pathway.

**PA28γ contributes to the maintenance of heterochromatin marks**

Besides the key role of HP1 proteins, methylation of histone H3 on K9 (H3K9me) (Maison and Almouzni, 2004; Grewal and Jia, 2007) and histone H4 on K20 (H4K20me) (Schotta et al., 2004; Shoai et al., 2018) have been shown to be important for maintaining the ground state of chromatin structure. We therefore set out to investigate whether PA28γ could regulate the chromatin compaction state through H3K9 and H4K20 methylation in cells. To achieve this, we first examined, by western blotting, whether the loss of PA28γ might affect the steady-state levels of these epigenetic modifications. As shown in Fig. S5A, no significant change in the levels of H3K9me3 was observed in U2OS-KO-PA28γ cells compared to WT cells. By contrast, PA28γ depletion led to a decrease (≈20%) in the steady-state level of H4K20me3 (Fig. S5B). This was accompanied by a significant decrease (≈40%) in H4K20me1 (Fig. S5B), which is a prerequisite for establishment of the H4K20me3 state (Tardat et al., 2007). These results led us to examine the variation of H3K9me3 and H4K20me3 at specific heterochromatin sequences. To this end, we carried out ChIP assays on parental (WT) and KO-PA28γ U2OS cells using antibodies against H3K9me3 and H4K20me3, and performed quantitative qPCR using the same primers as in Fig. 3E. We observed a significant decrease in H3K9me3 precipitation levels (≥50%) at the specific heterochromatin sequences (Fig. 6A) that was not detected by immunoblot analyses on total cell extract (Fig. S5A). Note that the difference observed between immunoblot and ChIP-qPCR assay could result from a difference of sensitivity of both techniques using H3K9me3 antibodies. Since H3K9me3 serves as a molecular anchor against HP1β, we checked its presence on these specific DNA sequences by ChIP-qPCR assay. Surprisingly, no obvious variation was observed for the sequences tested, except for the LINE-1 sequence (Fig. S5C), suggesting that either this decrease of H3K9me3 level is not sufficient to destabilize HP1β binding and/or the involvement of other HP1β domains, such as its chromoshadow domain (CSD) (Zeng et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2017; Kumar and Kono, 2020), would facilitate its binding when chromatin is decondensed. We also
Fig. 3. See next page for legend.
Fig. 3. PA28γ depletion induces a decompaction of pericentromeric heterochromatin and PA28γ is present on heterochromatin DNA sequences. (A) U2OS-LacO cells, treated or not with si-HP1β or si-Luc, were transiently transfected with the GFP–LacI construct the same day and were recovered 48 h later. Proteins were analyzed by immunoblotting. The relative abundance of HP1β in the extracts was quantified using ImageJ software and normalized to tubulin (upper panel). Cells on coverslips were immunostained with anti-HP1β (red) and the GFP signal was imaged in parallel (green). DNA was stained with DAPI (cyan). Representative fluorescence and immunofluorescence images of Z-stack projections of U2OS-LacO cells are shown. Magnified views of GFP–LacI spot (arrows) are shown in inserts. Scale bars: 10 µm. (B) Quantitative analysis of the decompaction of the LacO array. Z-stack images were acquired on U2OS-LacO cells treated as in A, and the area of the GFP–LacI signal was quantified on a Z-projection using ImageJ software (see Materials and Methods). Data represent the means±s.d. from three biological repeats, numbers of analyzed nuclei with anti-HP1β (red) and the GFP signal was imaged in parallel (green). DNA was stained with DAPI (cyan). Representative fluorescence and immunofluorescence images of Z-stack projections of U2OS-LacO cells are shown. Magnified views of GFP–LacI spot (arrows) are shown in inserts. Scale bars: 10 µm. (D) Quantitative analysis of the decompaction of the LacO array. Z-stack images were acquired on U2OS-LacO cells treated as in C, and the area of the GFP–LacI signal was quantified as in B. Data represent the means±s.d. from three biological repeats, numbers of analyzed nuclei with anti-HP1β (red) and the GFP signal was imaged in parallel (green). DNA was stained with DAPI (cyan). Representative fluorescence and immunofluorescence images of Z-stack projections of U2OS-LacO cells are shown. Magnified views of GFP–LacI spot (arrows) are shown in inserts. Scale bars: 10 µm. (E) ChIP-qPCR analysis of PA28γ levels at different repetitive elements located in heterochromatin or in the promoter of actively transcribed genes as indicated on the x-axis in wild-type (WT) versus KO-PA28γ U2OS cells (right panel). Data are presented as relative enrichment of PA28γ antibody versus histone H3 control, as shown on the y-axis. Data are means±s.e.m. (n=5). ns, not significant (P=0.42531, P=0.18682, P=0.2395 for GAPDH, PSMB2 and CCNA2, respectively), **P<0.01 (P=0.0046, LINE-1), ***P<0.001 (P=0.0001, SAT II), ****P<0.0001 (P=2.09×10⁻⁷, P=5.15×10⁻⁶ and P=2.08×10⁻⁷ for HERV-K, α-Sat and CCNE2, respectively) (two-tailed unpaired Student’s t-test).

confirmed, by ChIP-qPCR assay, the substantial decrease of H4K20me3 (≥60%) on the same heterochromatin DNA sequences in KO-PA28γ versus WT U2OS cells (Fig. 6B).

We also investigated whether the loss of PA28γ induced a change of H3K4me3, a modification considered as an epigenetic biomarker of transcription activation (Hove et al., 2017). No significant variation in H3K4me3 levels was detected by immunoblot analyses on total cell extracts (Fig. SS5). This absence of variation was confirmed by ChIP-qPCR assay using primers on which a significant decrease of H3K9me3 and/or H4K20me3 was observed (Fig. S5E), suggesting that PA28γ depletion has no significant impact on the transcription of the sequences tested. These results are in line with previous data showing that PA28γ knockdown has no impact on the global transcription level (Cioce et al., 2006; Baldini et al., 2008), and our results indicating no variation in the transcription of the heterochromatin DNA sequences by RT-qPCR (data not shown).

The importance of H4K20me1, H4K20me3 and chromatin compaction in cell cycle progression and in the regulation of DNA replication (Brustel et al., 2017; Shoaib et al., 2018) prompted us to examine whether the loss of PA28γ might impact on cell cycle progression. To this end, parental (WT) and PA28γ-depleted (KO-PA28γ) U2OS cells were synchronized with a double-thymidine block and then released from the G1/S transition before analysis for cell cycle progression by DNA content analysis using flow cytometry (Fig. 6C). Our data indicate that cells lacking PA28γ entered into early S-phase at the same time after release as parental U2OS cells, but progressed faster and exited S-phase earlier compared to the wild-type U2OS cells (Fig. 6C, left panel). Consistent with this, KO-PA28γ cells showed an earlier entrance into G2 phase (Fig. 6C, right panel). This shortening of S-phase (~1 h) in KO-PA28γ cells was confirmed by immunoblotting using cell cycle markers including cyclin E (a marker of G1 to S-phase transition) and the phosphorylation of histone H3 on serine 10 (a mitosis marker) (Fig. S6). Altogether, these results suggest that the chromatin decompaction and alterations in the levels of heterochromatin histone marks upon loss of PA28γ are not toxic per se, but accelerate S-phase progression, likely by favoring accessibility of the most-compact chromatin regions to the replication machinery.

DISCUSSION
This study provides several pieces of evidence that PA28γ, which is known as a nuclear activator of the 20S proteasome, is also an essential regulator of chromatin structure.

We demonstrate that PA28γ plays a key role in the process of chromatin compaction by showing that the depletion of PA28γ, by knockout and/or knockdown approaches, (1) induces a decompaction of the highly structured fraction of the chromatin, even in the presence of HP1 proteins, as visualized in living cells with our quantitative chromatin compaction assay, and (2) causes the decompaction of lacO DNA repeats integrated into a pericentromeric heterochromatin domain. As summarized in Fig. 7, we show that PA28γ is present on chromatin regions enriched for HP1β and contributes to the maintenance of heterochromatin features, such as H3K9 and H4K20 trimethylation.

A striking result of our study is that the chromatin structural role of PA28γ and its impact on the compaction of heterochromatin is as important as HP1β, which is considered as a key regulator of heterochromatin domains and maintenance. We find that a small fraction of PA28γ colocalizes with HP1β in the nucleus, and the difficulty of detecting this colocalization suggests that it could occur in dynamic and/or transient structures. Interestingly, recent studies show that HP1 proteins have the capacity to form liquid-like droplets (also called condensates) resulting from a liquid–liquid phase separation (LLPS) mechanism (Larson et al., 2017; Strom et al., 2017). This property facilitates the enrichment of transient complexes that could be rapidly assembled and disassembled, and the exchange of various proteins required for heterochromatin compaction. Although recent results suggest that heterochromatin maintenance is independent of liquid droplet formation of HP1α in mouse chromocenters and rather involves collapsed chromatin globules, HP1 proteins form transient droplets in the cells that could participate in the structure of chromatin subcompartments (Erdel et al., 2020). Considering this point, it is important to underline the fact that PA28γ is detected in various membraneless compartments such as NS, CB and PML bodies, considered to be liquid-like protein droplet organelles (Erdel and Rippe, 2018; Sawyer et al., 2019). Although the mechanism by which PA28γ is recruited into these nuclear bodies still remains to be unraveled, the interaction of PA28γ with specific proteins present in these condensates plays a crucial role in the control of their dynamics (Cioce et al., 2006; Zannini et al., 2009; Jonik-Nowak et al., 2018). For example, the interaction of PA28γ with Chk2, a cell cycle checkpoint kinase that localizes in PML bodies, is required for the control of PML body number (Zannini et al., 2009). In this context, the capacity of HP1
proteins to form condensates that could participate in the transient enrichment of PA28γ in specific domains of the chromatin might facilitate the establishment of the PA28γ interaction with proteins required for chromatin compaction.

How PA28γ, which has no known enzymatic activity, could favor proper maintenance of chromatin structure is still an open question. Our results suggest that the function of PA28γ function in chromatin compaction is likely independent of its proteasome-regulatory function, since a PA28γ mutant with its C-terminal portion deleted still promotes chromatin compaction. Indeed, the binding of the C-terminal extremity of PA28 activators to the 20S α-ring is the first essential step for complex formation and activation of the proteasome (Förster et al., 2005). Although we cannot at this stage exclude the possibility of a transient interaction of PA28γ mutant with the 20S proteasome in cells, a direct regulation of chromatin compaction by a PA28γ-dependent proteolysis event seems unlikely. Therefore, since PA28γ depletion induces a significant decrease of H3K9me3 (≥50%), H4K20me1 (≥40%) and H4K20me3 (≥60%), it is conceivable that PA28γ acts either by facilitating the function of the lysine methyltransferases Suv39h, PR-Set7 or Suv4-20h responsible for H3K9 tri-methylation, H4K20 monomethylation and H4K20 trimethylation, respectively, or by inhibiting specific histone demethyltransferases, or other protein complexes involved in chromatin remodeling. It is interesting to note that the PA28γ interactome contains two major interactors, BRD9 and SMARCA4 (BRG1) (Jonik-Nowak et al., 2018), which are two subunits of a newly defined ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling complex (Alpsoy and Dykhuizen, 2018). However, the physiological significance of these interactions in the new function of PA28γ in chromatin compaction remains to be determined.

Chromatin alterations occurring upon loss of PA28γ neither impact cell viability nor induce a strong phenotype, as observed upon HP1β depletion in MEF cells (Bosch-Presegué et al., 2017) or in HP1-triple knockout in hepatocytes (Saksouk et al., 2020). However, our data reveal a change in cell cycle progression with a decrease in S-phase duration, suggesting that the accessibility and/or the progression of the replication machinery could be facilitated by the decompaction of the most condensed chromatin domains.

It is noteworthy that previous studies have reported the consequences of PA28γ depletion on chromatin-related processes, such as centromere maintenance and chromosomal stability (Zannini et al., 2008) and DNA repair (Levy-Barda et al., 2011). Our present observations suggest that the role of PA28γ in the regulation of chromatin structure could be the common mechanism that links these processes to PA28γ. Indeed, alterations in H3K9 methylation, as observed in PA28γ-KO cells, results in an increase in chromosome segregation errors, which have been linked to a role of pericentromeric heterochromatin in the proper assembly of centromeres (Peters et al., 2001; Peng and Karpen, 2009). The reported increase of aneuploidy under PA28γ knockdown (Zannini et al., 2008) could also result from the decrease of H3K9me3 observed in our study. In the same vein, PA28γ depletion does not spontaneously induce DNA damage, but leads to an increase of cellular radiomimetic sensitivity and a substantial delay in DNA double-strand-break (DSBs) repair (Levy-Barda et al., 2011). This effect could also result from the contribution of PA28γ towards maintaining appropriate levels of H3K9me3 and H4K20me1/3 since these histone modifications have been involved in promoting or inhibiting the recruitment of specific repair proteins, which directly affect DNA damage repair efficiency (Price and D’Andrea, 2013).

Altogether, our data reveal that PA28γ is a novel and crucial factor in the regulation of chromatin compaction. Although much remains to be understood regarding its exact contribution to this process, our findings undoubtedly open new avenues of research for a deeper understanding of the complex mechanisms that control chromatin organization.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plasmids**

For Cas9-mediated gene disruption, guide RNA (5′-GGAAGTGAAGCTC-AAGGTAAGCGG-3′) targeting PA28γ (PSME3) was selected using ChopChop (https://chopchop.cbu.ubc.ca/) and oligonucleotides were subcloned into pMLM3636 (Addgene plasmid #43860, deposited by Keith Joung) and
pUC57-U6 (a gift from Dr E. Bertrand’s laboratory, IGMM, Montpellier, France). For rescue experiments, PA28γ ORF WT or minus the C-terminal 14 amino acids (ΔC) were cloned into pSBbi-Pur (Addgene plasmid #60523, deposited by Eric Kowarz) according to Kowarz et al. (2015). The resulting vector was co-transfected with pCMV(CAT)T7-SB100 (Addgene plasmid #34879, deposited by Zsuzsanna Izsvak) into recipient cells, and puromycin-resistant single colonies were selected for re-expression of PA28γ WT or ΔC proteins. pEGF-LacI (Jegou et al., 2009) was a generous gift from Prof. Karsten Rippe (DKFZ, Heidelberg, Germany).

Antibodies

The following antibodies were used at 1:1000 dilution, except where noted, for immunoblotting and 1–3 µg/ml for immunoprecipitation: anti-PA28γ (rabbit polyclonal BML-PW8190, ENZO Life Sciences), anti-α4 (1:2000; mouse monoclonal BML-PW8120, ENZO Life Sciences); anti-PA28γ (mouse monoclonal, 611180, BD Transduction); anti-HP1α (rabbit polyclonal, 2616S, Cell Signaling); anti-HP1β [rabbit monoclonal (D2F2), 8676S, Cell Signaling and mouse monoclonal (1MOD-1A9) 39979, Active Motif]; anti-GFP (mouse monoclonal, clone 7.1, 11814460001, Roche, Sigma); anti-RFP (rat monoclonal, 5F8, Chromotek); anti-β-actin (rabbit monoclonal, 13E5, Cell Signaling); anti-H3K9me3 (mouse monoclonal, clone 2AG-6F12-H4, 39285, Active Motif); anti-H3K4me3 (mouse monoclonal, clone 2AG-6F12-H4, 39285, Active Motif); anti-histone H3 (rabbit polyclonal, ab1791, Abcam); anti-H4K20me1 (rabbit polyclonal, #9724, Cell Signaling Technology); anti-H4K20me3 (rabbit monoclonal, #5737, Cell Signaling Technology); anti-histone H1 (rabbit polyclonal, PAB-30055, Thermo Fisher); and anti-α-tubulin (mouse monoclonal, T9026, Sigma-Aldrich, 1:6000). Fluorescent secondary antibodies conjugated either to Alexa Fluor 488 or 594 (1:1000), or to DyLight 680 or 800 (1:10,000) were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific. Secondary antibodies conjugated to HRP were purchased from Bio-Rad SA (1:10,000).

Cell culture, transfections, cell synchronization and FACS analysis

U2OS (HTB-96) cells, obtained from ATCC, were grown in DMEM (Lonza) containing 4.5 g/l glucose, 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Biowest), 2 mM glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin and 10 µg/ml streptomycin (Lonza). U2OS-LacO (F42B8) cells (a generous gift of Prof. Karsten Rippe) were transfected with control si-Luc, si-PA28γ, si-HP1β or a mix of both siRNAs (si-PA28γ/HP1β) for 48 h. Immunoblot analysis of PA28γ and HP1β protein levels in HeLaH2B-2FPs following siRNA treatments were performed. Tubulin and anti-β actin antibodies were used as loading controls. The relative abundance of PA28γ and HP1β proteins in the extracts was quantified using ImageJ software. (B) Quantification of the mean FRET efficiencies were presented as box-and-whisker plots, where the thick line represents the median, from the 25–75th percentiles of the median, with the whiskers covering the 10th–90th percentile range. + indicates the mean FRET value. Data are from 4 independent experiments, the total number of analyzed cells is n=152 (si-Luc), n=85 (si-PA28γ), n=73 (si-HP1β), n=61 (si-PA28γ/HP1β); n.s, not significant, ***P<0.001, ****P<0.0001 (two-tailed unpaired Student’s t-test). (C) Representative images of the spatial distribution of the FRET efficiency (percentage) in representative control si-Luc, si-PA28γ, si-HP1β, or both si-PA28γ/HP1β treated HeLaH2B-2FPs (left panel). Scale bars: 10 µm. Mean FRET distribution graph showing distinct populations of FRET efficiency in si-Luc (blue curve), si-PA28γ (black), si-HP1β (red), or both si-PA28γ/HP1β (green) treated HeLaH2B-2FPs (right panel).
were grown in the same medium as U2OS cells but containing G418 (500 µg/ml) (Jegou et al., 2009). Establishment and characterization of parental HeLaH2B-GFP and HeLaH2B-2FPs (H2B–GFP and mCherry–H2B) cell lines were previously described (Lleres et al., 2009). Of note, after thawing, cells were cultured for 1 week before seeding, for all experiments. All cell lines were tested for contamination.

Fig. 6. See next page for legend.
For transient PA28γ and HP1β knockdown experiments, U2OS-LacO and/or HeLa (H2B-GFP or 2FPs) cells were transfected with 20 nM Luciferase targeting siRNA (si-Luc; 5′-CGTACC GGAAATACCTTGAGA-3′) used as negative control, or -PA28γ (PSME3), and -HP1β (CBX1) targeting siRNA (si-PA28γ: 5′-GAUAAC UAUUGUCACGUCC-3′; si-HP1β: 5′-AGGAAUAAUGUGGGGAAA-3′) purchased from Eurofins Genomics, using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and examined after 1 day. Stable U2OS (Jonik-Nowak et al., 2014) and HeLaH2B-GFP- and HeLa2FPs-KO-PA28 robustly grew at 37°C and were selected with puromycin (1 µg/ml). Single clones were then expanded and analyzed by western blotting using PA28γ antibodies. Synchronization of cells at the G1/S phase transition was performed by double thymidine block as described previously (Thomas et al., 2014). For fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis, cells were fixed with 70% ethanol and conserved at 20°C. Before analysis, cells were washed with PBS, resuspended in PBS at room temperature, and data were acquired on a FACSCalibur Cell Analyzer (Becton-Dickinson). For FACS analysis, cells were stained with 1% calf serum in PBS for 15 min. Incubation with primary antibodies (anti-PA28γ: 1:6000 for BML-PW8190 or 1:1000 for 611180; anti-HP1β: 1:1000, 2616S; anti-HP1β: 1:1000, 8676S and 1MOD-I9J) was carried out at 4°C for 4 h in a humidified atmosphere. After washes, cells were incubated with Alexa Fluor-conjugated secondary antibodies for 40 min at room temperature (RT). DNA was stained with 0.1 µg/ml DAPI (Sigma-Aldrich) solution min at RT, cells were washed twice in PBS and finally once in H2O. Coverslips were mounted on glass slides using ProLong Gold anti-fade reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific). For in situ proximity ligation assays (is-PLA), cells on coverslips were fixed and permeabilized as above. Coverslips were then blocked in a solution provided by the Duolink® kit (Sigma-Aldrich). Cells were then incubated with antibodies as described above. Duolink® In Situ PLA Probe Anti-Rabbit MINUS and Anti-Mouse PLUS and Duolink® In Situ Detection Reagents (Sigma-Aldrich) were used, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. In some specific experiments, cells were permeabilized prior to fixation with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 min at 4°C for the colocalization between endogenous PA28γ and HP1β in U2OS cells.

2D and Z-stack images were acquired with 63×/1.3 NA or 100×/1.4 NA oil immersion objective lenses using a DM 6000 microscope (Leica). Microphotographs were taken with a 12-bit CoolSNAP HQ2 camera. Images were acquired as TIFF files using MetaMorph imaging software (Molecular Devices). For quantitative analyses of PLA dots, Z-stacks were acquired every 0.3 µm (Z step) with a range of 6–7.5 µm. For endogenous detection, images (as a Z stack, slices every 200 nm) were also acquired on a Zeiss LSM 880 point scanning confocal microscope equipped with a 63× Plan-Apochromat 1.4NA oil immersion objective (Zeiss) and using the 488 nm and 561 nm laser lines with the Airyscan detector. The Zeiss Zen black software was used to process the Airyscan raw images. Colocalization in 3D, between PA28γ and HP1β, was analyzed using the Imaris (Bitplane) colocalization module.

2D and Z-stack images of PLA dots and the size of GFP–LacI dots were determined using ImageJ (1.49v). Custom macros (available upon request) were created to automatically quantify these different parameters. The script allows the creation of a mask of DAPI image to isolate the nucleus of each cell and create a maximum intensity projection (MIP) of the Z-stacks or the image. The mask is used in the MIP to count the number of PLA dots of each nucleus via an appropriate threshold. The ‘Analyze Particles’ tool of ImageJ was used to calculate the size of each GFP-LacI dot.

**FLIM-FRET microscopy**

FLIM-FRET data were acquired with a Zeiss LSM 780 laser scanning microscope coupled to a 2-photon Ti:Sapphire laser (Chameleon Ultra II tunable 680–1080 nm, Coherent) producing 150-femtosecond pulses at 80 MHz repetition rate and a time correlated single photon counting (TCSPC) electronics (SPC-830; Becker & Hickl GmbH) for time-resolved detection. Enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) and mCherry fluorophores were used as a FRET pair. The two-photon excitation laser was tuned to 890 nm for selective excitation of the donor fluorophore. The LSM780 microscope is equipped with a temperature- and CO2-controlled environmental black wall chamber. Measurements were acquired in live cells at 37°C, 5% CO2 with a 63×/1.4 oil Plan-Apochromat objective lens. A short-pass 760-nm dichroic mirror was used to separate the fluorescence signal from the laser light. Enhanced detection of the emitted photons was afforded by the use of the HPM-100 module (Hamamatsu R10467-40 GaAsP hybrid PMT tube). The FLIM data were processed using SPCimage software (Becker & Hickl GmbH).

**FLIM-FRET analysis**

FLIM-FRET experiments were performed in HeLa cells stably expressing H2B-GFP alone (HeLaH2B-GFP) or with mCherry-tagged histone H2B.
(HeLaH2B-2FPs). 5×10^4 cells were seeded in a FluoroDish 35 (FD35-100, World Precision Instruments). For siRNA experiments, 24 h after seeding, cells were transfected with 20 nM of siRNA (against Luciferase, PA28y or HP1b) and FLIM-FRET experiments were performed 48 h later. At 30 min prior to imaging, the culture medium was changed to complete DMEM without Phenol Red. An acquisition time of 90 s was set up for each FLIM experiment. The analysis of the FLIM measurements was performed by using SPCIimage software (Becker & Hickl, GmbH). Because FRET interactions cause a decrease in the fluorescence lifetime of the donor molecules (EGFP), the FRET efficiency was calculated by comparing the FLIM values obtained for the EGFP donor fluorophores in the presence (HeLaH2B-2FPs) and absence (HeLa-2FPs) of the mCherry acceptor fluorophores. FRET efficiency (E FRET) was derived by applying the following equation: 

\[ E_{\text{FRET}} = 1 - \frac{\tau_{DA}}{\tau_{D}} \]

\( \tau_{DA} \) and \( \tau_{D} \) are the fluorescence lifetimes of the donor (H2B–EGFP) in the presence of the acceptor mCherry–H2B in HeLa-2FPs cells and the mean fluorescence lifetime of the donor (H2B–EGFP) in the absence (of acceptor) in HeLa-2FPs cells. The FRET distribution curves from nuclei were displayed from the extracted associated matrix using SPCIimage and then normalized and graphically represented using Microsoft Excel and GraphPad Prism software. For each experiment, FLIM was performed on multiple cells from several independent experiments (see figure legends).

**Immunoprecipitation and immunoblotting**

For immunoprecipitation, cells were lysed in lysis buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 1% IGEPAL CA-630, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate (DOC), 0.1% SDS, 1 mM EDTA, 50 mM NaF and 1 mM Na3VO4] in the presence of complete EDTA-free protease inhibitors (DOC, 0.1% SDS, 1 mM DTT, 5 mM EDTA, 50 mM NaF). The amount of DNA in ChIP samples was extrapolated from standard curve for GAPDH (https://www.chipprimers.com/). P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001. and ****P<0.001.
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Figure S1. PA28γ-depletion does not alter the expression level of H2B-GFP or mCherry-H2B.

A. Immunoblot analysis of H2B-GFP and mCherry-H2B expression level in total extracts from parental (WT) and KO-PA28γ HeLaH2B-2FPs cells (left panel). Tubulin was used as a loading control. The relative abundance of H2B proteins was quantified using ImageJ software. Graphical representation of the relative abundance of H2B-GFP and mCherry-H2B, detected with an anti-GFP and anti-RFP, respectively, and normalized to tubulin (right panel). The mean ± SD is from four independent experiments. Statistical significance was evaluated based on Student’s t-test, ns = not significant (p = 0.2027 and 0.4024 for H2B-GFP and mCherry-H2B, respectively).

B. Quantification of the H2B-GFP and mCherry-H2B fluorescence intensities in WT and KO-PA28γ HeLaH2B-2FPs cells. The total number of cells analyzed is n = 172 (WT), n = 183 (KO-PA28γ). Statistical significance was evaluated with Student’s t-test, ns = not significant.

C. FRET analysis in WT, KO-PA28γ HeLaH2B-2FPs cells, and WT HeLaH2B-FPs cells treated with Trichostatin A (TSA, 200ng/ml, 24 h). The statistical analysis of the mean FRET efficiency percentage is presented as box-and-whisker plots. The thick line represents median, the boxes correspond to the mean FRET values above and below the median, with the whiskers covering the 10-90 percentile range. The total number of nuclei analyzed is n = 154 (WT), n = 132 (KO-PA28γ), and n = 33 (WT + TSA), **** p < 0.0001 (Student’s t-test).
Figure S2. PA28γ-ΔC-mutant does not interact with the 20S proteasome.
Whole-cell extracts from parental HeLa^{H2B-2Fps} (WT), PA28γ-knockout (KO-PA28γ) cells and KO cells re-expressing the wild-type (KO/KI-WT#8) form or the ΔC-mutant (KO/KI-ΔC) of PA28γ were subjected to immunoprecipitation using anti-PA28γ antibodies. Immunoblots of the pull-down (IP-PA28γ) and the supernatant (SN-IP, 1/10eme) from whole-cell extracts were probed with the antibodies indicated.
Figure S3

Panel A: Western blot analysis of HP1β and Tubulin levels in WT and KO-PA28γ cells.

Panel B: Quantification of Histone H3 levels normalized to Tubulin levels in WT and KO-PA28γ cells.

Panel C: Quantification of HP1β levels normalized to H3 levels in WT and KO-PA28γ cells.

Panel D: Enrichment of HP1β compared to H3 levels in WT and KO-PA28γ cells.

Legend:
- HP1β-siLuc
- HP1β-siHP1β
- MOCK-siLuc
- MOCK-siHP1β

Enrichment of HP1β to H3 levels are shown for HERV-K, sat II, α-sat, and LINE-1.
Figure S3. PA28γ-depletion does not affect H1, H3 or HP1β expression level and HP1β is present at the same repetitive elements than PA28γ.

A. Immunoblot of whole-cell extract (30 µg) from asynchronous parental (WT) and KO-PA28γ (KO-PA28γ) U2OS cells, using anti-PA28γ. Tubulin was used as a loading control.

B. Immunoblot analysis of histone H3 and H1 expression level in total extracts from WT and KO-PA28γ U2OS cells (left panel). Tubulin was used as a loading control. The relative abundance of histone H3 and H1 proteins was quantified using ImageJ software. Graphical representation of the relative abundance of histone H3 and H1 normalized to tubulin and histone H3, respectively (right panel). The mean ± SD is from four independent experiments. Statistical significance was evaluated based on Student’s t-test, ns = not significant. (p = 0.7560 and 0.92033 for H3 and H1, respectively).

C. Immunoblot analysis of HP1β expression level in total extracts from WT and KO-PA28γ U2OS cells (left panel). Histone H3 was used as a loading control. Graphical representation of the relative abundance of HP1β normalized to histone H3 (right panel). The mean ± SD is from three independent experiments. Statistical significance was evaluated based on Student’s t-test, ns = not significant (p = 0.99619).

D. Immunoblot analysis of HP1β expression level in total extracts from U2OS cells treated or not with si-HP1β (left panel). Tubulin was used as a loading control. The relative abundance of HP1β proteins was quantified using ImageJ software. ChIP-qPCR analysis of HP1β levels at different repetitive elements (as indicated on the x-axis) in U2OS cells treated with si-Luc or si-HP1β. Data are represented as relative enrichment of HP1β versus histone H3 control, as shown on the y-axis (right panel). Data are means +/- SEM (n = 5). Significance was calculated using Student’s t-test, ns = not significant, * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01 and *** p < 0.001. p-values are presented in Table S2.
Figure S4
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Figure S4
Figure S4. Co-localization of a fraction of PA28γ with HP1β and HP1α.

A. Asynchronously-growing wild-type (left panel) and KO-PA28γ (right panel) U2OS cells were pre-permeabilized with 0.5% Triton-X100 to extract soluble proteins before fixation and the detection of endogenous HP1β and PA28γ by indirect immunofluorescence using anti-HP1β and PA28γ antibodies. Representative merged images of HP1β (green) and PA28γ (red) are shown (right panels), higher-magnification views are shown for U2OS-WT cells. Scale bars, 10 µm.

B. A representative Airyscan confocal Z-projected image showing the co-detection of HP1β (green) and PA28γ (red) (left) in U2OS cells treated as in A. Co-localizations of both proteins along the cross are shown (left panel). Scale bars, 5 µm. Using the co-localization module of Imaris, a representative image of HP1β (green), PA28γ (red) corresponding to a 3D image (middle panel) is shown with the corresponding image showing only co-localization spots (white/grey, right panel). Scale bars, 5 µm.

C. In situ proximity ligation assay (is-PLA) was carried out in asynchronous U2OS cells using primary antibodies directed against PA28γ (rabbit polyclonal) and the α4 subunit of the 20S proteasome (mouse monoclonal) (CTL) or with α4 and without PA28γ antibodies (w/o anti-PA28γ) and DNA was stained with DAPI. Positive PLA signals appear as green dots and higher magnification views of a nucleus are shown (left panel). Scale bars, 10 µm. The number of PLA dots per nucleus in cells treated with both antibodies (CTL) or with only α4 antibodies (w/o anti-PA28γ) is shown on the bar graph (right panel). Data represent the mean ± SD from 3 independent experiments, the number of cells analyzed was n = 38 and n = 42 in control cells and cells treated without primary PA28γ antibody, respectively. The p-value was determined using Student’s t-test, ****p ≤ 0.0001.

D. Is-PLA was carried out in U2OS cells using primary antibodies directed against PA28γ (mouse monoclonal) and HP1α (rabbit polyclonal) (CTL) or with PA28γ and without HP1α antibodies (w/o anti-HP1α) and DNA was stained with DAPI. Positive PLA signals appear as green dots and a higher magnification view of a nucleus is shown (left panel). The number of PLA dots per nucleus in cells treated with both antibodies (CTL) or with only PA28γ antibodies (w/o anti-HP1α) is shown on the bar graph (right panel). Data represent the mean ± SD from 3 independent experiments, the number of cells analyzed was n = 40 and n = 41 in control cells and cells treated without primary HP1α antibody, respectively. The p-value was determined using Student’s t-test, **** p ≤ 0.0001).
Figure S5

A) Enrichment of HP1β to H3

B) H3K4me3 level / H3
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Figure S5. PA28γ loss has neither a global effect on H3K9me3, H4K20me3 and H3K4me3 protein level nor on HP1β-binding and H3K4me3 mark at repetitive DNA sequences and genes.

A. Representative immunoblots of whole-cell extracts from U2OS (WT and KO-PA28γ) cells, using anti-H3K9me3 antibodies. Histone H3 was used as loading control. Graphical representation of the relative abundance of the tri-methylation (H3K9me3) mark on histone H3 normalized to histone H3. The mean ± SD is from four independent experiments. The p-value was determined using a Student’s t-test, ns = not significant (p = 0.9354).

B. Immunoblots of whole-cell extracts from U2OS (WT and KO-PA28γ) cells, using anti-H4K20me3 and anti-H4K20me1 antibodies. Histone H3 was used as loading control. Graphical representation of the relative abundance of the mono-methylation (H4K20me1) and the tri-methylation (H4K20me3) marks on histone H4 normalized to histone H3. The mean ± SD is from four independent experiments. The p-value was determined using Student’s t-test, **** p ≤ 0.0001 (p = 2.091.74E-07 and p = 9.25E-05 for H4K20me1 and H4K20me3, respectively).

C. ChIP-qPCR analysis of HP1β levels at different repetitive elements and genes (as indicated on the x-axis) in WT versus KO-PA28γ U2OS cells. Data are represented as relative enrichment of HP1β antibody versus histone H3 control, as shown on the y-axis. Data are means ± SEM (n = 3). Significance was calculated by Student’s t-test, ns = not significant, (p = 0.9809, p = 0.4746, p = 0.5446 and p = 0.5554 for HERV-K, SatII, α-Sat and GAPDH respectively), *p < 0.05 (p = 0.01723 and p = 0.01763 for LINE-1 and PSMB2, respectively).

D. Representative immunoblots of whole-cell extracts from U2OS (WT and KO-PA28γ) cells, using anti-H3K4me3 antibodies. Histone H3 was used as loading control. Graphical representation of the relative abundance of the tri-methylation (H3K4me3) mark on histone H3 normalized to histone H3. The mean ± SD is from four independent experiments. The p-value was determined with a Student’s t-test, ns = not significant (p = 0.9354).

E. ChIP-qPCR analysis of H3K4me3 levels at different repetitive elements and genes (as indicated on the x-axis) in WT versus KO-PA28γ U2OS cells. Data are represented as relative enrichment of H3K4me3 versus histone H3 control as shown on the y-axis. Data are means +/- SEM (n = 3). Significance was calculated by Student’s t-test, ns = not significant (p = 0.8453, p = 0.8116, p = 0.1863 and p = 0.4721 for, LINE-1, GAPDH and PSMB2, respectively).
Figure S6. PA28γ depletion decreases the S phase duration.
Immunoblot of total cell extracts from asynchronous parental (WT) and KO-PA28γ U2OS cells (AS), and cells synchronized at the G1/S phase transition by a double thymidine block (0) and released for the times indicated. After SDS-PAGE, proteins were transferred to PVDF membrane. Each membrane (WT and KO-PA28γ) was cut just above the 36kDa molecular weight marker. Upper parts were first incubated with anti-CycE antibodies (mouse monoclonal, sc-247, Santa Cruz) and lower parts incubated with anti-PA28γ antibodies (mouse), and then revealed with a secondary goat anti-mouse antibody (DyLight 488). In a second step, lower parts were incubated with anti-phospho-Histone H3-S10 antibodies (rabbit mAb, #53348, Cell Signaling) and revealed with a secondary goat anti-rabbit antibody (DyLight 488). Finally, upper and lower parts of the membrane were incubated with anti-β-actin antibodies and revealed with a secondary goat anti-rabbit antibody (Dylight 800), β-actin was used as a loading control.
### Table S1: p values of the figure 6A,B (ChIP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T-test (H3K9me3/H3) U2OS vs KO PA28γ</th>
<th>T-test (H4K20me3/H3) U2OS vs KO PA28γ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HERV-K</td>
<td>6.47871E-06</td>
<td>0.009044059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sat II</td>
<td>8.6538E-06</td>
<td>1.37015E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-sat</td>
<td>0.00014585</td>
<td>0.001004765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LINE-1</td>
<td>2.80855E-05</td>
<td>0.002330735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>0.677649943</td>
<td>0.003366844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSMB2</td>
<td>0.000677637</td>
<td>0.121036886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCNA2</td>
<td>0.848275718</td>
<td>0.066976205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCNE2</td>
<td>0.803674003</td>
<td>0.835817889</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table S2: p values of the figure S3D (ChIP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T-test (HP1β) U2OS si-Luc vs si-HP1β</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HERV-K</td>
<td>0.057078569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sat II</td>
<td>0.066693611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-sat</td>
<td>0.005250998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LINE-1</td>
<td>0.000382203</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table S3: p values of the figure 6C (determined with the 2-way ANOVA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time after release (hours)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S-phase</td>
<td>0.6759</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>&gt; 0.9999</td>
<td>0.0377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2/M-phase</td>
<td>0.9946</td>
<td>0.0187</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0002</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>