



HAL
open science

Wastewater bioremediation by mangrove ecosystems impacts crab ecophysiology: In-situ caging experiment

Dimitri Theuerkauff, Georgina A. Rivera-Ingraham, Sophia Lambert, Yann Mercky, Mathilde Lejeune, Jehan-Herve Lignot, Elliott Sucre

► To cite this version:

Dimitri Theuerkauff, Georgina A. Rivera-Ingraham, Sophia Lambert, Yann Mercky, Mathilde Lejeune, et al.. Wastewater bioremediation by mangrove ecosystems impacts crab ecophysiology: In-situ caging experiment. *Aquatic Toxicology*, 2020, 218, pp.105358. 10.1016/j.aquatox.2019.105358 . hal-03411066

HAL Id: hal-03411066

<https://hal.umontpellier.fr/hal-03411066>

Submitted on 21 Dec 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 **Wastewater bioremediation by mangrove ecosystems impacts crab ecophysiology: *in-***
2 ***situ* caging experiment**

3
4
5
6

7 Dimitri Theuerkauff^{1,2*}, Georgina A. Rivera-Ingraham^{1†}, Sophia Lambert², Yann Mercky^{1,2},
8 Mathilde Lejeune^{1,2}, Jehan-Hervé Lignot¹ and Elliott Sucre^{1,2}

9

10 ¹⁾ UMR MARBEC (University of Montpellier, CNRS, IFREMER, IRD), Montpellier, France

11

12 ²⁾ Centre Universitaire de Mayotte, Route Nationale 3, BP 53, 97660 Dembeni, Mayotte,
13 France

14

15 [†]Present address: Laboratoire Environnement de Petit Saut, Hydreco-Guyane. BP 823, 97388.
16 Kourou, French Guiana

17

18 ^{*}) Address for correspondence: dimitri.theuerkauff@univ-mayotte.fr

19

20

21 **Abstract**

22 Mangroves are tidal wetlands that are often under strong anthropogenic pressures, despite the
23 numerous ecosystem services they provide. Pollution from urban runoffs is one such threats,
24 yet some mangroves are used as a bioremediation tool for wastewater (WW) treatment. This
25 practice can impact mangrove crabs, which are key engineer species of the ecosystem. Using
26 an experimental area with controlled WW releases, this study aimed to determine from an
27 ecological and ecotoxicological perspective, the effects of WW on the red mangrove crab
28 *Neosarmatium africanum*. Burrow density and salinity levels (used as a proxy of WW
29 dispersion) were recorded, and a 3-week caging experiment was performed. Hemolymph
30 osmolality, gill Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase (NKA) activity and gill redox balance were assessed in anterior
31 and posterior gills of *N. africanum*. Burrow density decreased according to salinity decreases
32 around the discharged area. Crabs from the impacted area had a lower osmoregulatory capacity
33 despite gill NKA activity remaining undisturbed. The decrease of the superoxide dismutase
34 activity indicates changes in redox metabolism. However, both catalase activity and oxidative
35 damage remained unchanged in both areas but were higher in posterior gills. These results
36 indicate that WW release may induce osmoregulatory and redox imbalances, potentially
37 explaining the decrease in crab density. Based on these results we conclude that WW release
38 should be carefully monitored as crabs are key players involved in the bioremediation process.

39

40 **Keywords:** Mangrove, Bioturbation, Wastewater, Ecophysiology, Oxidative stress,

41 Osmoregulation

42

43 **1 Introduction**

44

45 Mangroves are critically threatened by human activities worldwide (Duke et al., 2007; Polidoro
46 et al., 2010) although their ecological and socioeconomic importance are now well documented
47 (Lee et al., 2014). They cover 137,760 km² in 118 tropical and subtropical countries and
48 territories (Giri et al., 2011) and are characterized by being a unique coastal forest between land
49 and sea.

50 Among the different possible threats, mangroves receive nutrients and pollutants from urban
51 runoffs (Fusi et al., 2016; Lesirma, 2016; MacDonnell et al., 2017). However, mangrove trees
52 are capable of absorbing excess in nutrient load (Reef et al., 2010) and are thus used in many
53 tropical countries as a bioremediation tool for wastewater treatment (Ouyang and Guo, 2018).
54 Whether natural or constructed mangrove wetlands (mesocosm), they are used for treating WW
55 from aquaculture, sewage or others sources (Leung et al., 2016; Ouyang and Guo, 2016). WW
56 is often a complex mixture of many pollutants, the most notable of which are the excess of
57 organic matter and nutrients (mainly ammonium and phosphorus) but may also contain
58 pharmaceutical residues, pesticides, heavy metals, etc. Moreover, recent studies in China
59 showed that natural mangroves are the optimal paradigm under three scenarios of municipal
60 WW treatment (Ouyang and Guo, 2018). In addition to eliminating excess nutrient and organic
61 load, mangrove plants may bioaccumulate metals (Analuddin et al., 2017), and even immobilize
62 some wastewater-borne pollutants, such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and
63 polybrominated diphenyl ethers in the shape of iron plaques formed on their roots (Pi et al.,
64 2017). They can also even mitigate different antibiotics (Li et al., 2016a; Liu et al., 2016). While
65 most of these studies focus on plant or microbial communities, previous studies looking at the
66 impact of WW on mangrove macrofauna showed contradictory effects (Bartolini et al., 2009;
67 Cannicci et al., 2009; Capdeville et al., 2018; Fusi et al., 2016; Penha-Lopes et al., 2009b; Yu

68 et al., 1997). Thus, further focusing on the specific physiological consequences for macrofauna
69 is still needed.

70 Among the macrofauna, burrowing mangrove crabs such as *Neosarmatium africanum*
71 (Sesarmidae, de Man, 1887), previously known as *Neosarmatium meinerti* in Mayotte
72 (Theuerkauff et al., 2018a), are engineer species playing a key role in the mangrove ecosystem:
73 their bioturbation and organic matter degradation activities are crucial in element transfer within
74 the matter cycle and allow the maintenance of sediment biochemical heterogeneity (Emmerson
75 and McGwynne, 1992; Kristensen, 2008; Lee, 1998). They are also involved in the
76 bioremediation process since their burrows allow WW to enter the sediment and to be absorbed
77 by mangrove trees. Crab burrows also increase the air-sediment layer up to 400% and thus,
78 impact microbial and geochemical processes (Kristensen and Kostka, 2013).

79 In the context of mangrove use for biofiltering, it is therefore crucial, to determine if these crabs
80 are impacted by WW discharge. If this is the case, it could induce in the long term profound
81 and global modifications of the ecological functioning of the mangrove ecosystem. Regular
82 wastewater discharges may decrease crab abundance as shown for juvenile blue crabs *Portunus*
83 *pelagicus* along a pollution gradient from the outfall of a secondary treated effluent (Wear and
84 Tanner, 2007). Moreover, previous studies showed that WW may also impact crab community
85 structures (Capdeville et al., 2018) or even their bioturbation activities (Bartolini et al., 2011).
86 Previous results obtained by our group under laboratory conditions showed that WW exposure
87 induces a burst of crab oxygen consumption as well as osmotic and redox imbalances
88 (Theuerkauff et al., 2018a). This study aims to compare the aforementioned laboratory results
89 (in which crabs were directly exposed to domestic WW for 5h) with field experimentation using
90 the same WW discharge. To do this, we here merge the use of ecological markers (e.g. crab
91 burrow density) with physiological indicators of cell homeostasis (e.g. osmoregulation and

92 energy-redox parameters) carried out in encaged crabs during 3 weeks to adequately evaluate
93 the efficiency and challenges of using mangroves as biofilters.

94

95 **2 Materials and methods**

96

97 *2.1 Study area*

98 To assess the impact of WW on mangrove crabs, two areas were selected in the Malamani
99 mangrove (Boueni's bay, Mayotte island, 12°55'1"S, 45°9'23"E) located in the Comoros
100 Archipelago (Fig. 1A, B, C). This is an experimental site which has been used since 2008 to
101 evaluate the capacities of the mangrove ecosystem for natural WW treatment (Herteman et al.,
102 2011). Briefly, the WW produced by the nearby village of Malamani (400 population
103 equivalent), is collected, stored and decanted in a pre-treatment (Imhoff) tank. About 10 m³ of
104 this pretreated WW is discharged daily 1h before low tide approximately every 24h (i.e. a low
105 tide on two) through pierced pipes (Fig. 1E) on three 45m x 15m plots. In this study, only the
106 plot dominated by *Cerriops tagal* and oriented perpendicular to the main coastline was
107 considered (Fig. 1B,C). This area was designated as the "impacted area". A second area that
108 was not subjected to WW discharge was used as a control site (Fig. 1 C). This area is located
109 in the same mangrove belt and is also dominated by *Cerriops tagal* and was chosen as it did not
110 differ from the impacted area before the start of WW discharge (Herteman, 2010). The chemical
111 composition of the pretreated WW, surface water and porewater from impacted and control
112 areas are reported in Table 1. More *in-situ* physicochemical parameters are reported in
113 Capdeville (2018).

114

115 *2.2 Salinity and crab burrow mapping*

116 A field survey was conducted in March 2015 to collect data on WW dispersion and crab burrow
117 density in the Malamani mangrove. Four 80 m-long transects covering the impacted area as
118 well as the control zone were established parallel to the shore. For each transect, 8 plots (1 m²,
119 located approximately 10 m from each other) were monitored after one day without rain. Thirty
120 two plots were divided in 3 areas: one subjected to WW release and two control areas (one
121 northern and one southern area, located respectively on each side of the impacted area). These
122 plots were in all cases established on flat bottoms only, since it is where WW flows and
123 infiltrates the sediment through the crab burrows. The geographical coordinates of these plots
124 were recorded using a GPS system (Garmin GPSMAR®78S). Osmolality variation (used as a
125 measure of salinity) around the WW discharge was used as a proxy of WW dispersion (as WW
126 salinity is close to freshwater), ensuring that control areas were not impacted by wastewater
127 release. Salinity was measured from 1.5 ml samples collected with a 3 ml plastic pipette from
128 the interstitial water in burrows. The osmotic pressure (OP) of these samples was measured in
129 duplicate by freezing point depression osmometry using an Advanced™ Micro-Osmometer,
130 model 3300 (Advanced Instruments, Inc.) using 20 µl per sample. The number of crab burrows
131 in a 1 m² quadrat was also counted for each plot and used as a an estimation of the crab density
132 (Mouton and Felder, 1996).

133

134 *2.3 Animal collection, caging and sampling*

135 Twenty adult *N. africanum* (Fig. 2) in intermolt stage C3 (as confirmed through epipodite
136 examination) were collected in September 2015 from an undisturbed area of the Malamani
137 mangrove at low tide. Specimens identified before as *N. meinerti* in Mayotte belong in fact to
138 *N. africanum* species (Ragionieri et al., 2012), as recently confirmed by DNA sequencing
139 (Ragionieri, pers. com.). Immediately after collection, ten crabs were individually introduced
140 in artificial burrows (Fig. 1D) in the impacted and control area (Fig. 1C). We chose this caging

141 approach due to the fact that long-term tagging of individuals is not possible in crustaceans due
142 to molting. Each artificial burrow consisted of a closed grid cylinder of 6 cm wide and 50 cm
143 long inserted into a perforated PVC pipe ensuring adequate water flow. These artificial burrows
144 were partially buried in the sediment with an angle of 45° (Fig. 1D). After 3 weeks, in October
145 2015, the crab and some water from each burrow were collected during low tide outside of the
146 WW discharge period and was individually taken to the field laboratory located about 350 m
147 (4-5 minutes walk) from the experimental site. For each crab, a hemolymph sample (without
148 any anticoagulant) was obtained using a 0.5-ml syringe by inserting the needle between the
149 cephalothorax and the first pereopod. This sample was directly used for OP determination
150 using 20 µl per sample in duplicate as described above. Crabs were then weighed and
151 euthanized on ice for gill sampling. Gill pairs were divided in 2 groups according to their
152 function (Neufeld et al., 1980; Theuerkauff et al., 2018b): anterior pairs (1 to 4) being
153 respiratory gills, and posterior pairs (5 to 8) with both a respiratory and osmoregulatory
154 function. Anterior and posterior gills were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, stored separately into
155 a container filled with liquid nitrogen (Voyageur 5, Air liquide). After sampling completion,
156 frozen samples were transported to the University Center of Mayotte and then preserved at -80
157 °C until further analyses (see details in sections 2.4 and 2.5). Crab molting stages were re-
158 checked *post-mortem* to avoid handling-associated stress and only animals in intermolt stage
159 (C3) were considered.

160

161 *2.4 Assessments of antioxidant defenses and oxidative damage in gills*

162 For each crab, antioxidant defenses were quantified as the activities of superoxide dismutase
163 (SOD) and catalase (CAT) and measured spectrophotometrically in anterior and posterior gills
164 (right-sided gills 3 and 5). All frozen samples were diluted in a 50 mmol l⁻¹ KPi buffer with 120
165 mmol l⁻¹ KCl (pH=7.0) to 1:40 and 1:10 (w:v) for SOD and CAT measurements, respectively.

166 Tissue homogenization was achieved using 3 steel balls (Retsch, n°. FR0120) in a Mixer Mill
167 MM 400 (Retsch GmbH, Haan, Germany; 30s at 30 beats s⁻¹). SOD activity was determined in
168 supernatants after centrifugation (3min, 13000 rpm, 4°C) using the protocol of McCord and
169 Fridovich (1969) and modified by Livingstone et al. (1992). CAT activity was measured in
170 supernatants following the decomposition of H₂O₂ in a 50 mmol l⁻¹ KPi buffer according to the
171 assay developed by Aebi (1984). All measurements were carried out at least in triplicate using
172 a microplate reader (Tecan Infinite M200, TECAN, Männendorf, Switzerland). Samples were
173 preserved on ice at all times and results were expressed per mg of proteins and body weight.
174 Protein were quantified in triplicates according to Bradford (1976) with BSA (Sigma-Aldrich,
175 St Louis, MO, USA) as standard. Oxidative damage was estimated as the concentration of
176 malondialdehyde (MDA), a product resulting from lipid peroxidation, in right-sided anterior
177 and posterior gills (gills 4 and 6, respectively). MDA was quantified through measurements of
178 thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) using the protocol originally described by
179 Uchiyama and Mihara (1978) and further modified by Abele et al. (2002).

180

181 *2.5 Assessment of Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase (NKA) activity*

182 NKA activity was determined on right-sided anterior and posterior gills (2 and 7, respectively)
183 by homogenizing tissues separately as described above in a buffer composed of 250 mM
184 sucrose, 5 mM MgCl₂ (pH= 7.4) in a 1:6 (w:v) ratio. After centrifugation (3min, 13000 rpm,
185 4°C), protein concentration in supernatants was determined as described above, and all samples
186 were diluted to achieve equal protein concentrations. The specific NKA ouabain-sensitive
187 activity in these supernatants, was measured using a protocol originally by Flik et al. (1983)
188 and adapted from Lorin-Nebel et al. (2013) and Tsai and Lin (2007). Briefly, specific NKA
189 activity was measured in triplicate as the difference of ATP hydrolysis in two different media:
190 both were composed of 100 mM NaCl, 30 mM imidazole, 0,1 mM Na₂EDTA and 5 mM MgCl₂,

191 3 mM Na-ATP and pH 7.4 but while one contained 14 mM KCl, the second contained 2.8 mM
192 ouabain. After a 30 min incubation period at 37°C, the reaction was stopped by adding an ice-
193 cold solution composed of TCA 5%, 0.33 mM FeSO₄, 0.66 M H₂SO₄ and 9.2 mM ammonium
194 molybdate. The amount of inorganic phosphates released in presence or absence of ouabain was
195 colorimetrically measured after 10 min and using Na₂HPO₄ as standard (Sigma, France). The
196 enzyme specific activity was expressed in $\mu\text{mol Pi mg protein}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$.

197

198 2.6 Statistics

199 All statistical analyses were performed in R version 3.3.2 (R Core Team, 2015) with RStudio
200 Version 0.99.891 (RStudio, Inc). The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to test normality and the
201 Bartlett test was used to test the homogeneity of variances. If data did not meet the assumptions
202 for parametric statistical techniques, they were log transformed. A one-way ANOVA was
203 performed on crab density and a Kruskal-Wallis test followed by a Nemenyi *post hoc*
204 comparison test on surface water salinity. The relationship between crab burrow salinity and
205 crab burrow density was determined using a linear regression. A two-way ANOVA was
206 performed on crab body weight (sex and treatment), oxidative damage, antioxidant defenses
207 and NKA activities according to gill position and treatment. All factors were considered as
208 orthogonal and fixed. All ANOVA tests were followed by a Tukey's HSD *post hoc* comparison
209 test and significance level was $p < 0.05$. Hemolymph osmotic pressures are reported with the
210 osmotic curve previously published for *N. africanum* (Theuerkauff et al., 2018b). The residuals
211 between hemolymph osmotic pressures and those given by the osmotic curve are compared by
212 a Student t-test.

213

214 3 Results

215

216 3.1 Surface water salinity and crab burrow density

217 Salinity values of the crab burrow residual water ranged from 64 mOsm·kg⁻¹ to 1360 mOsm·kg⁻¹.
218 ¹. Due to the effect of the WW effluent, salinity values significantly decreased in the impacted
219 area (Kruskal-Wallis test, $p < 0.001$) (Fig. 3A). The crab burrow density demonstrates a similar
220 pattern since lower density was found in the impacted area (Fig. 3B; one-way ANOVA; df error
221 = 29; $F = 45.5$; $p < 0.001$) and the variations in crab density are correlated (Fig. 3C) with the
222 variations in salinity ($r^2 = 0.58$, $p < 0.001$).

223

224 3.2 Crab size

225 Crab body weight was significantly different between males and females ($47.4\text{g} \pm 8.9\text{g}$ and
226 $31.1\text{g} \pm 2.6\text{g}$, respectively) but not between treatments (two-way ANOVA; df error = 16; sex F
227 = 34.2; df = 1; $p < 0.001$; treatment $F = 1.3$; df = 1; interaction: $F = 2.9$; df = 1). Except for
228 weight, no sex-related differences were recorded and thus, only pooled results are shown. No
229 mortality was recorded throughout the experiment.

230

231 3.3 Osmotic pressure and NKA activity

232 Hemolymph OP of crabs collected from the impacted area was significantly lower compared to
233 the OP collected from crabs of the control area (Fig. 4A, Student t-test, $p < 0.01$). OP of the
234 water collected from the artificial burrows was also lower in the impacted area (Student t-test,
235 $p < 0.01$). However, when considering the residuals (Fig.3 B) between hemolymph OP observed
236 on the field and hemolymph OP (predicted at the same salinity using the osmotic curve
237 published by Theuerkauff et al., 2018), a significant decrease is observed for crabs collected
238 from the impacted area (one-sided Student t-test, $p < 0.01$). However, differences were not
239 significant for those crabs caged in the control area (2-sided Student t-test, $p = 0.94$) showing
240 that there is not only an effect of decreased salinity but also of WW exposure. Gill NKA activity

241 (Fig. 4C) showed no significant difference between crabs of the two areas but NKA activity
242 was 2.8 higher in posterior gills compared to anterior gills (two-way ANOVA, table 2A).

243

244 3.4 Oxidative stress

245 SOD activity (Fig. 5A) did not differ between anterior and posterior gills (two-way ANOVA,
246 table 2B) unlike CAT activity (Fig. 5B, two-way ANOVA, table 2C). However, for both gill
247 types, SOD activity was significantly lower in crabs collected from the impacted area compared
248 to crabs from the control area. Branchial MDA concentration (Fig. 5C) was the same for
249 impacted and control crabs but differed according to gill type (two-way ANOVA, table 2D).
250 For both impacted and control animals, the MDA content of posterior gills was 1.9 times higher
251 compared to the anterior gills (two -way ANOVA, table 2D).

252

253 **4 Discussion**

254 To our knowledge, this study corresponds to the first *in-situ* ecotoxicological assessment of the
255 effects of regular and controlled urban WW discharges on crab physiology in a natural
256 mangrove. This new approach using a caging experimental protocol in a natural mangrove
257 forest is complementary to previous studies that focused on artificial mesocosms (Bartolini et
258 al., 2009; Penha-Lopes et al., 2012, 2009a) or to *in-situ* studies using sampled crabs in polluted
259 mangroves (Amaral et al., 2009; Penha-Lopes et al., 2009b). As already discussed in Capdeville
260 (2018), the response of the crab community and the individual physiological response may be
261 linked to WW discharge but also to the induced environmental modifications. Indeed, different
262 feedback effects are possible since WW discharges induce major changes in mangrove plants
263 (Herteman et al., 2011) and microbial communities (Bouchez et al., 2013), which are the food
264 source for most of the mangrove crab species.

265

266 4.1 Decrease of crab burrow density as a consequence of WW release

267 As already demonstrated, eutrophication occurs in the impacted area (Bouchez et al., 2013;
268 Capdeville et al., 2018; Herteman et al., 2011). However, this increase in nutrient concentration
269 is highly variable spatially and is mainly due to the unequal dispersion of the WW in the
270 impacted area (different microrelief conditions). WW flows on the soil according to surface
271 roughness and infiltrates the sediment through the crab burrows. Therefore, flat bottoms are
272 highly exposed to WW runoffs, while mounds surrounding mangrove trees are likely to be
273 preserved from direct exposure to the effluent water. Consequently, in this study, visual
274 countings only considered the flat bottom areas. This methodological choice may explain why
275 a clear decrease in crab density was observed in the impacted area, differing from previous
276 results provided by Capdeville (2018). In their study, they did not record differences in crab
277 abundance between impacted and control areas in 2012 and 2014, but crab burrows were
278 counted from 1 m² areas containing 50% of flat bottoms and 50% tree mounds (Capdeville,
279 pers. com.). Even if crabs could take refuge on the tree mounds, the decrease in crab burrow
280 density reported for flat bottoms should limit WW infiltration and alter the bioremediation
281 processes as well as their engineering role. This decrease in burrow density strongly correlates
282 with the salinity decrease and is consistent with previous observations (Herteman, 2010)
283 showing that WW dispersion induces a decrease in salinity around the impacted area. Our
284 results contrast with those obtained in other similar mangrove forests in Kenya and
285 Mozambique by Cannicci et al. (2009) and Bartolini et al. (2011). In these studies, an increase
286 in sesarimid and fiddler crab biomass was observed in a peri-urban mangrove receiving WW.
287 However, these authors also found a negative effect of the effluent on ecosystem functionality
288 marked by a decrease in crab bioturbation activity, which is a cryptic ecological degradation
289 according to Dahdouh-Guebas et al. (2005). Nevertheless, it is difficult to compare these

290 different studies since WW composition is highly variable both in time and space (local
291 dispersion and WW origin).

292

293 4.2 Osmoregulatory disruption

294 In natural conditions, *N. africanum* is a hypo-osmoregulator in SW but the osmotic pressure of
295 the hemolymph slightly decreases with decreased salinity (Theuerkauff et al., 2018b; this
296 work). These values were significantly lower in caged crabs in the impacted area, thus
297 experiencing a decreased osmoregulatory capacity even if gill NKA activities remained
298 unmodified. This has already been observed to occur in other mangrove crab species
299 maintained in laboratory conditions and exposed for 5h to the same WW effluent (Theuerkauff
300 et al., 2018a). This decrease in osmoregulatory capacity is representative of the physiological
301 condition of the animal (Lignot et al., 2000) and could be due to histological damage in the gill
302 epithelia (Theuerkauff et al., 2018a). Such morphological damage has already been described
303 when considering the toxic effect of ammonia (Leone et al., 2017), which can reach up to 3800
304 μM in the WW discharged in our study area (Capdeville, 2018). Given that NKA pumps are
305 also involved in the excretion of ammonia-N, as already discussed in Theuerkauff et al. (2018a),
306 this pattern may also reveal a trade-off between osmoregulation and ammonia excretion since
307 NH_4^+ can substitute and compete with K^+ in NKA and other K^+ channels (Weihrauch and
308 Donnell, 2017). Moreover, other pollutants present in the WW with a potential additive or
309 synergic effect (e.g. with salinity variation) may have also contributed to this osmoregulatory
310 disturbance. This could be the case of high nitrite or nitrate concentrations (Romano and Zeng,
311 2013), which can reach up to 3.7 and 22 μM in the wastewater from Malamani (Herteman,
312 2010). Since WW composition is highly variable, it is important to note that these potential
313 additive, synergic or antagonist responses to stressors may also vary. Therefore, WW
314 bioremediation in natural mangroves may require specific field impact studies and case-by-case

315 follow-ups by the competent authorities. It would also be very interesting to study the resilience
316 of the ecosystem and especially if crabs may recover physiological health when exposure stops.

317

318 4.3 Oxidative stress modulation

319 As previously observed in laboratory conditions, posterior gills maintain higher CAT activities
320 and MDA levels compared to anterior gills (Theuerkauff et al., 2018a). This pattern also occurs
321 in *Carcinus aestuarii* (Rivera-Ingraham et al., 2016) and is probably linked to the
322 osmoregulatory function of these gills (Rivera-Ingraham and Lignot, 2017). Osmoregulation is
323 an energy-demanding process as ATPase pumps are key players for active ion transport.
324 Therefore, in decapod crabs, posterior gills exhibit numerous mitochondria and a high
325 abundance and activity of NKA (Copeland and Fitzjarrell, 1968; Lignot and Charmantier, 2015;
326 Pequeux, 1995). This is also the case for *N. africanum* (Theuerkauff et al., 2018b; this work).
327 These pumps are located in specialized ionocytes (aka mitochondria-rich cells), and
328 mitochondria are considered as the major ROS producers in aquatic animals (Abele et al.,
329 2007). This may explain the higher MDA levels recorded in the posterior gills. Also, compared
330 to anterior gills, their high CAT activities may be an adaptation to maintain redox homeostasis
331 in these gills (Rivera-Ingraham et al., 2016). Long-term exposure under field conditions did not
332 induce oxidative damage in either anterior or posterior gills but a marked decrease in SOD
333 activity is observed in both tissues. This redox imbalance may be explained by a wide variety
334 of different stressor and/or molecules contained in WW (see reviews by Abele et al., 2012;
335 Lushchak, 2011). For example, such decrease has already been reported after exposure to heavy
336 metals (Jasinska et al., 2015) or even infections (Neves et al., 2000; Rameshthangam and
337 Ramasamy, 2006). Moreover, such changes may work in synergy with environmental factors,
338 such as temperature, as observed for the Cape River crab after exposure to silver nanoparticles
339 AgNP (Walters et al., 2016) or low levels of oxygen (Li et al., 2016b).

340

341 4.4 Oxidative stress and gender

342 Gender-related variability in the oxidative stress response has already been reported in the shore
343 crab *Carcinus maenas* (Pereira et al., 2009) and other invertebrates (Correia et al., 2003;
344 Espinosa and Rivera-Ingraham, 2016; Radhika et al., 1998), even if most papers on aquatic
345 organisms lack information about gender (Abele et al., 2012). Previous laboratory conditions
346 reported a gender difference in response to WW exposure (Theuerkauff et al., 2018a), which
347 could potentially lead to sex-dependent tolerance to a pathological environment (Fanjul-Moles
348 and Gonsebatt, 2012). However, these differences were not reported in this field study probably
349 because waters in the field are temporally and spatially diluted. Nevertheless, these gender-
350 related differences should be considered as an important factor when studying other species or
351 stress intensities.

352

353 **5 Conclusion**

354 This study demonstrates that mangrove crab abundance is significantly decreased in WW-
355 impacted areas, and encaged crabs forced to remain in such areas show both osmoregulatory
356 and redox disturbances. Many different compounds present in the WW, such as ammonium or
357 nitrite, could be responsible for the aforementioned results, and these compounds may
358 furthermore have potential additive, synergic or antagonist effects. Therefore, WW
359 bioremediation by natural mangroves should require specific field impact studies and case-by-
360 case follow-ups by competent authorities. This work emphasizes the need for a proper WW
361 management based on results from both laboratory and field analyses. Moreover, if the
362 observed effects on osmoregulation and oxidative stress could explain the decrease in crab
363 burrow density, crabs may also escape and/or avoid the discharged area. More behavioral
364 studies on crabs under WW discharge are so needed. Further studies should also look at the

365 digestive tract and especially the hepatopancreas which is involved in absorption and nutrient
366 storage, enzyme synthesis, lipid and carbohydrate metabolism, detoxification and absorption
367 processes (Wang et al., 2014). Finally, this study clearly indicates that mangrove crabs are
368 affected by WW exposure and are most likely impacted by uncontrolled WW discharges that
369 occur in mangrove systems in tropical countries across the world.

370

371 **Acknowledgements**

372 The authors are thankful to the *Syndicat Intercommunal d'Eau et d'Assainissement de Mayotte*
373 (SIEAM) and especially Kissimati Abdallah for her help on the field. This study was partly
374 funded through the Marie Curie Actions EU grant FP7-PEOPLE-2013-IEF (grant number
375 622087-“IAS-Life”) awarded to GRI and by the French National Agency for Water (ONEMA),
376 which is now part of the French Agency for Biodiversity (AFB).

378 **Bibliography**

- 379 Abele, D., Heise, K., Pörtner, H.O., Puntarulo, S., 2002. Temperature-dependence of
380 mitochondrial function and production of reactive oxygen species in the intertidal mud
381 clam *Mya arenaria*. *J. Exp. Biol.* 205, 1831–1841.
382 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2011.04.007>
- 383 Abele, D., Philipp, E., Gonzalez, P.M., Puntarulo, S., 2007. Marine invertebrate mitochondria
384 and oxidative stress. *Front. Biosci.* 12, 933–946. <https://doi.org/10.2741/2115>
- 385 Abele, D., Vázquez-Medina, J.P., Zenteno-Savín, T., 2012. Oxidative Stress in Aquatic
386 Ecosystems, *Oxidative Stress in Aquatic Ecosystems*. Wiley-Blackwell.
387 <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444345988>
- 388 Aebi, H., 1984. Catalase in Vitro. *Methods Enzymol.* 105, 121–126.
389 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879\(84\)05016-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879(84)05016-3)
- 390 Amaral, V., Penha-Lopes, G., Paula, J., 2009. RNA/DNA ratio of crabs as an indicator of
391 mangrove habitat quality. *Aquat. Conserv. Mar. Freshw. Ecosyst.* 19, S56–S62.
392 <https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc>
- 393 Analuddin, K., Sharma, S., Jamili, X., Septiana, A., Sahidin, I., Rianse, U., Nadaoka, K.,
394 2017. Heavy metal bioaccumulation in mangrove ecosystem at the coral triangle
395 ecoregion, Southeast Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 0–1.
396 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.07.065>
- 397 Bartolini, F., Cimò, F., Fusi, M., Dahdouh-Guebas, F., Lopes, G.P., Cannicci, S., 2011. The
398 effect of sewage discharge on the ecosystem engineering activities of two East African
399 fiddler crab species: Consequences for mangrove ecosystem functioning. *Mar. Environ.*
400 *Res.* 71, 53–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2010.10.002>
- 401 Bartolini, F., Penha-Lopes, G., Limbu, S., Paula, J., Cannicci, S., 2009. Behavioural responses
402 of the mangrove fiddler crabs (*Uca annulipes* and *U. inversa*) to urban sewage loadings :
403 Results of a mesocosm approach. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 58, 1860–1867.
404 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2009.07.019>
- 405 Bouchez, A., Pascual, N., Chardon, C., Bouvy, M., Cecchi, P., Lambs, L., Herteman, M.,
406 Fromard, F., Got, P., Leboulanger, C., 2013. Mangrove microbial diversity and the
407 impact of trophic contamination. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 66, 39–46.
408 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.11.015>
- 409 Bradford, M.M., 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram
410 quantities of protein using the principle of protein dye binding. *Anal. Biochem.* 72, 248–
411 254. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697\(76\)90527-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(76)90527-3)
- 412 Cannicci, S., Bartolini, F., Dahdouh-Guebas, F., Fratini, S., Litulo, C., Macia, A., Mrabu, E.J.,
413 Penha-Lopes, G., Paula, J., 2009. Effects of urban wastewater on crab and mollusc
414 assemblages in equatorial and subtropical mangroves of East Africa. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf*
415 *Sci.* 84, 305–317. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2009.04.021>
- 416 Capdeville, C., 2018. Évaluation des capacités de résistance et de résilience de l'écosystème
417 mangrove en réponse à des apports d' eaux usées domestiques prétraitées. Université de
418 Toulouse.

- 419 Capdeville, C., Abdallah, K., Buffan-Dubau, E., Lin, C., Azemar, F., Lambs, L., Fromard, F.,
420 Rols, J.-L., Leflaive, J., 2018. Limited impact of several years of pretreated wastewater
421 discharge on fauna and vegetation in a mangrove ecosystem. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 129,
422 379–391. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.02.035>
- 423 Copeland, D.E., Fitzjarrell, A.T., 1968. The salt absorbing cells in the gills of the blue crab
424 (*Callinectes sapidus* rathbun) with notes on modified mitochondria. *Zeitschrift für*
425 *Zellforsch.* 92, 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00339398>
- 426 Correia, A.D., Costa, M.H., Luis, O.J., Livingstone, D.R., 2003. Age-related changes in
427 antioxidant enzyme activities, fatty acid composition and lipid peroxidation in whole
428 body *Gammarus locusta* (Crustacea: Amphipoda). *J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol.* 289, 83–101.
429 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0981\(03\)00040-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0981(03)00040-6)
- 430 Dahdouh-Guebas, F., Hettiarachchi, S., Lo Seen, D., Batelaan, O., Sooriyarachchi, S.,
431 Jayatissa, L.P., Koedam, N., 2005. Transitions in ancient inland freshwater resource
432 management in Sri Lanka affect biota and human populations in and around coastal
433 lagoons. *Curr. Biol.* 15, 579–586. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2005.01.053>
- 434 Duke, N.C., Meynecke, J.-O., Dittmann, S., Ellison, A.M., Anger, K., Berger, U., Cannicci,
435 S., Diele, K., Ewel, K.C., Field, C.D., Koedam, N., Lee, S.Y., Marchand, C., Nordhaus,
436 I., Dahdouh-Guebas, F., 2007. A World Without Mangroves? *Science* (80-). 317, 41–
437 42. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.317.5834.41b>
- 438 Emmerson, W.D., McGwynne, L.E., 1992. Feeding and assimilation of mangrove leaves by
439 the crab *Sesarma meinerti* de Man in relation to leaf-litter production in Mgazana, a
440 warm-temperate southern African mangrove swamp. *J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol.* 157, 41–53.
441 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981\(92\)90073-J](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(92)90073-J)
- 442 Espinosa, F., Rivera-Ingraham, G.A., 2016. Subcellular evidences of redox imbalance in well-
443 established populations of an endangered limpet. Reasons for alarm? *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*
444 109, 72–80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.06.019>
- 445 Fanjul-Moles, M.L., Gonsebatt, M.E., 2012. Oxidative Stress and Antioxidant Systems In
446 Crustacean Life Cycles, in: Abele, D., Vazquez-Medina, J.P., Zenteno-Savin, T. (Eds.),
447 *Oxidative Stress in Aquatic Ecosystems*. Abele, Doris, Oxford, pp. 208–223.
- 448 Flik, G., Wendelaar Bonga, S.E., Fenwick, J.C., 1983. Ca²⁺-dependent phosphatase and
449 ATPase activities in eel gill plasma membranes-I. Identification of Ca²⁺-activated
450 ATPase activities with non-specific phosphatase activities. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B*
451 76, 745–754. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-0491\(83\)90388-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-0491(83)90388-7)
- 452 Fusi, M., Beone, G.M., Suci, N.A., Sacchi, A., Trevisan, M., Capri, E., Daffonchio, D., Din,
453 N., Dahdouh-Guebas, F., Cannicci, S., 2016. Ecological status and sources of
454 anthropogenic contaminants in mangroves of the Wouri River Estuary (Cameroon). *Mar.*
455 *Pollut. Bull.* 109, 723–733. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.06.104>
- 456 Giri, C., Ochieng, E., Tieszen, L.L., Zhu, Z., Singh, A., Loveland, T., Masek, J., Duke, N.,
457 2011. Status and distribution of mangrove forests of the world using earth observation
458 satellite data. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 20, 154–159. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2010.00584.x)
459 [8238.2010.00584.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2010.00584.x)
- 460 Herteman, M., 2010. Evaluation des capacités bioremédiatrices d'une mangrove impactée par
461 des eaux usées domestiques. Application au site pilote de Malamani, Mayotte. Université
462 Paul Sabatier - Toulouse III.

- 463 Herteman, M., Fromard, F., Lambs, L., 2011. Effects of pretreated domestic wastewater
464 supplies on leaf pigment content, photosynthesis rate and growth of mangrove trees: A
465 field study from Mayotte Island, SW Indian Ocean. *Ecol. Eng.* 37, 1283–1291.
466 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2011.03.027>
- 467 Jasinska, E.J., Goss, G.G., Gillis, P.L., Kraak, G.J. Van Der, Matsumoto, J., Souza, A.A. De,
468 Giacomini, M., Moon, T.W., Massarsky, A., Gagné, F., Servos, M.R., Wilson, J., Sultana,
469 T., Metcalfe, C.D., 2015. Assessment of biomarkers for contaminants of emerging
470 concern on aquatic organisms downstream of a municipal wastewater discharge. *Sci.*
471 *Total Environ.* 530–531, 140–153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.05.080>
- 472 Kristensen, E., 2008. Mangrove crabs as ecosystem engineers; with emphasis on sediment
473 processes. *J. Sea Res.* 59, 30–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2007.05.004>
- 474 Kristensen, E., Kostka, J.E., 2013. Macrofaunal Burrows and Irrigation in Marine Sediment:
475 Microbiological and Biogeochemical Interactions, in: *Interactions Between Macro- and*
476 *Microorganisms in Marine Sediments*. American Geophysical Union, pp. 125–157.
477 <https://doi.org/10.1029/CE060p0125>
- 478 Lee, S.Y., 1998. Ecological role of grapsid crabs in mangrove ecosystems: a review. *Mar.*
479 *Freshw. Res.* 49, 335–343. <https://doi.org/10.1071/MF99078>
- 480 Lee, S.Y., Primavera, J.H., Dahdouh-Guebas, F., Mckee, K., Bosire, J.O., Cannicci, S., Diele,
481 K., Fromard, F., Koedam, N., Marchand, C., Mendelssohn, I., Mukherjee, N., Record, S.,
482 2014. Ecological role and services of tropical mangrove ecosystems: A reassessment.
483 *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 23, 726–743. <https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12155>
- 484 Leone, F.A., Lucena, M.N., Garçon, D.P., Pinto, M.R., Mcnamara, J.C., 2017. Gill Ion
485 Transport ATPases and Ammonia Excretion in Aquatic Crustaceans, in: *Weihrauch, D.,*
486 *Donnell, M.O. (Eds.), Acid-Base Balance and Nitrogen Excretion in Invertebrates.*
487 Springer, pp. 61–107. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-39617-0>
- 488 Lesirma, S., 2016. Environment Impact Assessments in developing economies. *Int. J.*
489 *Environ. Sci.* 1, 41–53.
- 490 Leung, J.Y.S., Cai, Q., Tam, N.F.Y., 2016. Comparing subsurface flow constructed wetlands
491 with mangrove plants and freshwater wetland plants for removing nutrients and toxic
492 pollutants. *Ecol. Eng.* 95, 129–137. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2016.06.016>
- 493 Li, Y., Li, Q., Zhou, K., Sun, X.L., Zhao, L.R., Zhang, Y. Bin, 2016a. Occurrence and
494 distribution of the environmental pollutant antibiotics in Gaoqiao mangrove area, China.
495 *Chemosphere* 147, 25–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.12.107>
- 496 Li, Y., Wei, L., Cao, J., Qiu, L., Jiang, X., Li, P., Song, Q., Zhou, H., Han, Q., Diao, X.,
497 2016b. Oxidative stress, DNA damage and antioxidant enzyme activities in the pacific
498 white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) when exposed to hypoxia and reoxygenation.
499 *Chemosphere* 144, 234–240. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.08.051>
- 500 Lignot, J.-H., Charmantier, G., 2015. Osmoregulation and excretion, in: *Chang, E.S., Thiel,*
501 *M. (Eds.), The Natural History of the Crustacea. Vol 4: Physiology.* Oxford University
502 Press, New York, pp. 249–283.
- 503 Lignot, J.-H., Spanings-Pierrot, C., Charmantier, G., 2000. Osmoregulatory capacity as a tool
504 in monitoring the physiological condition and the effect of stress in crustaceans.
505 *Aquaculture* 191, 209–245. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486\(00\)00429-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(00)00429-4)

- 506 Liu, X., Liu, Y., Xu, J.R., Ren, K.J., Meng, X.Z., 2016. Tracking aquaculture-derived
507 fluoroquinolones in a mangrove wetland, South China. *Environ. Pollut.* 219, 916–923.
508 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.09.011>
- 509 Livingstone, D.R., Lips, F., Garcia Martinez, P., Pipe, R.K., 1992. Antioxidant enzymes in the
510 digestive gland of the common mussel *Mytilus edulis*. *Mar. Biol.* 112, 265–276.
- 511 Lorin-Nebel, C., Felten, V., Blondeau-Bidet, E., Grousset, E., Amilhat, E., Simon, G.,
512 Biagianti, S., Charmantier, G., 2013. Individual and combined effects of copper and
513 parasitism on osmoregulation in the European eel *Anguilla anguilla*. *Aquat. Toxicol.*
514 130–131, 41–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2012.11.018>
- 515 Lushchak, V.I., 2011. Environmentally induced oxidative stress in aquatic animals. *Aquat.*
516 *Toxicol.* 101, 13–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2010.10.006>
- 517 MacDonnell, C.P., Zhang, L., Griffiths, L., Mitsch, W.J., 2017. Nutrient concentrations in
518 tidal creeks as indicators of the water quality role of mangrove wetlands in Southwest
519 Florida. *Ecol. Indic.* 80, 316–326. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.05.043>
- 520 McCord, J.M., Fridovich, I., 1969. An enzymic function for erythrocyte (hemocuprein). *J.*
521 *Biol. Chem.* 244, 6049–6055.
- 522 Mouton, E.C., Felder, D.L., 1996. Burrow distributions and population estimates for the
523 fiddler crabs *Uca spinicarpa* and *Uca longisignalis* in a Gulf of Mexico salt marsh.
524 *Estuaries* 19, 51–61. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02690100>
- 525 Neufeld, G.J., Holliday, C.W., Pritchard, J.B., 1980. Salinity adaptation of gill Na, K-ATPase
526 in the blue crab *Callinectes sapidus*. *J. Exp. Zool.* 211, 215–224.
527 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.1402110210>
- 528 Neves, C.A., Santos, E.A., Bainy, A.C.D., 2000. Reduced superoxide dismutase activity in
529 *Palaemonetes argentinus* (Decapoda, Palaemonidae) infected by *Probopyrus ringueleti*
530 (Isopoda, Bopyridae). *Dis. Aquat. Organ.* 39, 155–158.
531 <https://doi.org/10.3354/dao039155>
- 532 Ouyang, X., Guo, F., 2018. Optimal selection of mangrove paradigms for municipal
533 wastewater treatment : an intuitionistic fuzzy analytical hierarchical processes.
534 *Chemosphere* 197, 634–642. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.12.102>
- 535 Ouyang, X., Guo, F., 2016. Paradigms of mangroves in treatment of anthropogenic
536 wastewater pollution. *Sci. Total Environ.* 544, 971–979.
537 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.12.013>
- 538 Penha-Lopes, G., Bartolini, F., Limbu, S., Cannicci, S., Kristensen, E., Paula, J.J., 2009a. Are
539 fiddler crabs potentially useful ecosystem engineers in mangrove wastewater wetlands?
540 *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 58, 1694–1703. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2009.06.015>
- 541 Penha-Lopes, G., Flindt, M.R., Ommen, B., Kristensen, E., Garret, P., Paula, J., 2012.
542 Organic carbon dynamics in a constructed mangrove wastewater wetland populated with
543 benthic fauna: A modelling approach. *Ecol. Modell.* 232, 97–108.
544 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2012.02.005>
- 545 Penha-Lopes, G., Torres, P., Narciso, L., Cannicci, S., Paula, J., 2009b. Comparison of
546 fecundity, embryo loss and fatty acid composition of mangrove crab species in sewage
547 contaminated and pristine mangrove habitats in Mozambique. *J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol.*
548 381, 25–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2009.08.009>

- 549 Pequeux, 1995. Osmotic Regulation in Crustaceans. *J. Crustac. Biol.* 15, 1–60.
550 <https://doi.org/10.1163/193724095X00578>
- 551 Pereira, P., de Pablo, H., Dulce Subida, M., Vale, C., Pacheco, M., 2009. Biochemical
552 responses of the shore crab (*Carcinus maenas*) in a eutrophic and metal-contaminated
553 coastal system (Óbidos lagoon, Portugal). *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 72, 1471–1480.
554 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2008.12.012>
- 555 Pi, N., Wu, Y., Zhu, H.W., Wong, Y.S., Tam, N.F.Y., 2017. The uptake of mixed PAHs and
556 PBDEs in wastewater by mangrove plants under different tidal flushing regimes.
557 *Environ. Pollut.* 231, 104–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.07.085>
- 558 Polidoro, B.A., Carpenter, K.E., Collins, L., Duke, N.C., Ellison, A.M., Joanna, C.,
559 Farnsworth, E.J., Fernando, E.S., Kathiresan, K., Koedam, N.E., Livingstone, S.R.,
560 Miyagi, T., Moore, G.E., Nam, V.N., Ong, J.E., Ellison, J.C., Farnsworth, E.J.,
561 Fernando, E.S., Kathiresan, K., Koedam, N.E., Livingstone, S.R., Miyagi, T., Moore,
562 G.E., Nam, V.N., Ong, J.E., Primavera, J.H., Salmo, S.G., Sanciangco, J.C., Sukardjo,
563 S., Wang, Y., Yong, J.W.H., 2010. The loss of species: Mangrove extinction risk and
564 geographic areas of global concern. *PLoS One* 5.
565 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0010095>
- 566 Radhika, M., Abdul Nazar, A.K., Munuswamy, N., Nellaiappan, K., 1998. Sex-linked
567 differences in phenol oxidase in the fairy shrimp *Streptocephalus dichotomus* Baird and
568 their possible role (Crustacea: Anostraca). *Hydrobiologia* 377, 161–164.
569 <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1003244318887>
- 570 Ragionieri, L., Fratini, S., Schubart, C.D., 2012. Revision of the *Neosarmatium meinerti*
571 species complex (Decapoda: Brachyura: Sesarmidae), with descriptions of three
572 pseudocryptic Indo–West Pacific species. *Raffles Bull. Zool.* 60, 71–87.
- 573 Rameshthangam, P., Ramasamy, P., 2006. Antioxidant and membrane bound enzymes
574 activity in WSSV-infected *Penaeus monodon* Fabricius. *Aquaculture* 254, 32–39.
575 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.10.011>
- 576 Reef, R., Feller, I.C., Lovelock, C.E., 2010. Nutrition of mangroves. *Tree Physiol.* 30, 1148–
577 1160. <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpq048>
- 578 Rivera-Ingraham, G.A., Barri, K., Boe l, M., Farcy, E., Charles, A.-L., Geny, B., Lignot, J.-
579 H., 2016. Osmoregulation and salinity-induced oxidative stress: is oxidative adaptation
580 determined by gill function? *J. Exp. Biol.* 219, 80–89. <https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.128595>
- 581 Rivera-Ingraham, G.A., Lignot, J.-H., 2017. Osmoregulation, bioenergetics and oxidative
582 stress in coastal marine invertebrates: raising the questions for future research. *J. Exp.*
583 *Biol.* 220, 1749–1760. <https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.135624>
- 584 Romano, N., Zeng, C., 2013. Toxic Effects of Ammonia, Nitrite, and Nitrate to Decapod
585 Crustaceans: A Review on Factors Influencing their Toxicity, Physiological
586 Consequences, and Coping Mechanisms. *Rev. Fish. Sci.* 21, 1–21.
587 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10641262.2012.753404>
- 588 Theuerkauff, D., Rivera-Ingraham, G.A., Mercky, Y., Lejeune, M., Lignot, J.H., Sucré, E.,
589 2018a. Effects of domestic effluent discharges on mangrove crab physiology: Integrated
590 energetic, osmoregulatory and redox balances of a key engineer species. *Aquat. Toxicol.*
591 196, 90–103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2018.01.003>

- 592 Theuerkauff, D., Rivera-ingraham, G.A., Roques, J.A.C., Bertini, M., Lejeune, M., Farcy, E.,
593 Lignot, J., 2018b. Salinity Variation in a Mangrove Ecosystem : A Physiological
594 Investigation to Assess Potential Consequences of Salinity Disturbances on Mangrove
595 Crabs. *Zool. Stud.* 57, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.6620/ZS.2018.57-36>
- 596 Tsai, J.-R., Lin, H.-C., 2007. V-type H⁺-ATPase and Na⁺,K⁺-ATPase in the gills of 13
597 euryhaline crabs during salinity acclimation. *J. Exp. Biol.* 210, 620–627.
598 <https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.02684>
- 599 Uchiyama, M., Mihara, M., 1978. Determination of malonaldehyde precursor in tissues by
600 thiobarbituric acid test. *Anal. Biochem.* 86, 271–278. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(78)90342-1)
601 [2697\(78\)90342-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(78)90342-1)
- 602 Walters, C.R., Cheng, P., Pool, E., Somerset, V., 2016. Effect of temperature on oxidative
603 stress parameters and enzyme activity in tissues of Cape River crab (*Potamonautes*
604 *perlatus*) following exposure to silver nanoparticles (AgNP). *J. Toxicol. Environ. Heal. -*
605 *Part A* 79, 61–70. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15287394.2015.1106357>
- 606 Wang, W., Wu, X., Liu, Z., Zheng, H., Cheng, Y., 2014. Insights into hepatopancreatic
607 functions for nutrition metabolism and ovarian development in the crab *Portunus*
608 *trituberculatus*: Gene discovery in the comparative transcriptome of different
609 hepatopancreas stages. *PLoS One* 9. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0084921>
- 610 Wear, R.J., Tanner, J.E., 2007. Spatio-temporal variability in faunal assemblages surrounding
611 the discharge of secondary treated sewage. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 73, 630–638.
612 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2007.03.008>
- 613 Weihrauch, D., Donnell, M.O., 2017. Acid-Base Balance and Nitrogen Excretion in
614 Invertebrates. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-39617-0>
- 615 Yu, R.Q., Chen, G.Z., Wong, Y.S., Tam, N.F.Y., Lan, C.Y., 1997. Benthic macrofauna of the
616 mangrove swamp treated with municipal wastewater. *Hydrobiologia* 347, 127–137.
617 <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1003027520750>
- 618

619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644

Table 1: Chemical characterization of the (undiluted) WW as well as the surface water and porewater for both the impacted and control sites (partly modified from Capdeville, 2018 and 2019). N.A. = data not available. For WW, mean values (\pm SE) were obtained from a total of 4 measurements made on two consecutive days. Surface water (residuals pools) and porewater (piezometers installed at approximately 1 m depth) data was collected at low tide and mean values (\pm SE) were obtained from two-day measurements under similar hydrological conditions, in the upper and lower parts of the areas (total of 4 measurements).

Table 2: Results for the two-way ANOVAs on NKA activity (A), SOD activity (B), CAT activity (C) and TBARS concentration (D). Factors, df (degrees of freedom), MS (variance) and value of F ratio are shown. Statistically significant effects are indicated by asterisks: * ($p < 0.05$), ** ($p < 0.01$) and *** ($p < 0.001$).

Figure legends

Figure 1: Location of the Comoros archipelago and the study site in Mayotte (A); aerial view of the experimental setup in the mangrove of Malamani (B) and of the control and impacted areas (C); artificial burrow (D); pierced pipes discharging WW in the impacted area (E). *Neosarmatium africanum* as well as water samples used for chemical analyses were collected within the *Avicenia marina* and *Cerriops tagal* belts in non-impacted sites. In B, the experimental parcels 1 and 2 corresponds to the *Cerriops tagal* and *Avicenia marina* belts, respectively. 3 corresponds to the overflow discharging site. Only the parcel 1 was considered in this study. In C, artificial burrows (n=20) are indicated by red (impacted, n=10) and yellow (control north only, n=10) circles. In B and C, grey lines represent the WW transporting pipes and the white lines represent the WW discharging drains.

645 Figure 2: Dorsal (A, C) and ventral (B, D) view of *Neosarmatium africanum* female (A, B)
646 and male (C, D). Scale bars: A = 2.6 cm; B = 1.7 cm; C = 2.3 cm and D = 2.5 cm.

647

648 Figure 3: Surface water salinity (A), crab burrows density (B) and relationship between crab
649 density and salinity (C). Control south (CS, green, n = 11), Impacted area (I, red, n = 10),
650 Control north (CN, green, n = 11). Different letters represent statistically significant
651 differences at $p < 0.05$ according to Kruskal-Wallis test followed by a Nemenyi post hoc
652 comparison test (A) or a one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's HSD test (B). Boxplots show
653 medians (central crossbars), 25th and 75th percentiles (boxes) and whiskers which extent to the
654 most extreme data point which is no more than 1.5 times the interquartile, data point outside
655 this range are represented by an open circle.

656

657 Figure 4: Hemolymph osmotic pressure according to water (from artificial burrow) osmotic
658 pressure (A); OP residuals (B) and Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase activity in anterior (A, light green/red)
659 and posterior (P, dark green/red) gills (C). A: Black symbols and dotted lines correspond to
660 95% confidence interval of the osmotic curve of *N. africanum* (see Theuerkauff et al., 2018).
661 Different letters represent statistically significant differences at $p < 0.05$ from two-way
662 ANOVA followed by Tukey's HSD test. Boxplots show medians (central crossbars), 25th and
663 75th percentiles (boxes) and whiskers which extent to the most extreme data point which is no
664 more than 1.5 times the interquartile, data point outside this range are represented by an open
665 circle.

666

667 Figure 5: Redox parameters in anterior (A, light green/red) and posterior (P, dark green/red)
668 gills of *N. africanum*: SOD activity (A); CAT activity (B) and oxidative damage (C) measured
669 by TBARS concentration. Units (U). Different letters represent statistically significant

670 differences at $p < 0.05$ according to a two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's HSD test.
671 Boxplots show medians (central crossbars), 25th and 75th percentiles (boxes) and whiskers
672 which extent to the most extreme data point which is no more than 1.5 times the interquartile,
673 data point outside this range are represented by an open circle.

Table 1

	Wastewater	Control area		Impacted area	
		Surface Water	Porewater	Surface Water	Porewater
NH₄-N (μm)	3834 ± 134	37.97 ± 20.28	0.38 ± 0.23	294 ± 147	0.14 ± 0.09
NO₃⁻ (μm)	0.46 ± 0.03	1.05 ± 0.21	0.35 ± 0.20	1.28 ± 0.64	0.39 ± 0.22
NO₂⁻ (μm)	0.64 ± 0.25	0.61 ± 0.11	0.06 ± 0.01	4.20 ± 0.08	0.07 ± 0.00
PO₄³⁻ (μm)	186 ± 0.1	0.83 ± 0.01	5.93 ± 1.28	0.37 ± 0.15	10.79 ± 2.1
Temperature (°C)	28.1	23.2 ± 1.2	24.8 ± 0.2	22.8 ± 0.2	25.0 ± 0.0
Salinity (psu)	0.39 ± 0.02	41.1 ± 1.3	39.5 ± 1.7	35.3 ± 2.0	45.8 ± 0.2
pH	7.23 ± 0.00	7.58 ± 0.01	n.a.	7.45 ± 0.01	n.a.

Table 2

Factors	A NKA activity			B SOD activity			C CAT activity			D TBARS		
	df	MS	F	df	MS	F	df	MS	F	df	MS	F
Gill	1	25.3	40.20***	1	0.86	3.4778	1	1601	27.5***	1	119780	27.6***
Treatment	1	0.71	1.13	1	6.15	24.6805***	1	54	0.94	1	7133	1.64
Gill x Treatment	1	0.035	0.057	1	0.018	0.0749	1	28	0.49	1	121	0.028
Error	36	0.62		36	0.24		33	58		27	4330	

df: degrees of freedom; MS: variance; Statistically significant effects are indicated by asterisks: *** ($p < 0.001$).

Figure 1




Figure 2



Figure 3




Figure 4






Figure 5




Table 1

	Wastewater	Control area		Impacted area	
		Surface Water	Porewater	Surface Water	Porewater
NH₄-N (μm)	3834 ± 134	37.97 ± 20.28	0.38 ± 0.23	294 ± 147	0.14 ± 0.09
NO₃⁻ (μm)	0.46 ± 0.03	1.05 ± 0.21	0.35 ± 0.20	1.28 ± 0.64	0.39 ± 0.22
NO₂⁻ (μm)	0.64 ± 0.25	0.61 ± 0.11	0.06 ± 0.01	4.20 ± 0.08	0.07 ± 0.00
PO₄³⁻ (μm)	186 ± 0.1	0.83 ± 0.01	5.93 ± 1.28	0.37 ± 0.15	10.79 ± 2.1
Temperature (°C)	28.1	23.2 ± 1.2	24.8 ± 0.2	22.8 ± 0.2	25.0 ± 0.0
Salinity (psu)	0.39 ± 0.02	41.1 ± 1.3	39.5 ± 1.7	35.3 ± 2.0	45.8 ± 0.2
pH	7.23 ± 0.00	7.58 ± 0.01	n.a.	7.45 ± 0.01	n.a.

Table 2

Factors	A NKA activity			B SOD activity			C CAT activity			D TBARS		
	df	MS	F	df	MS	F	df	MS	F	df	MS	F
Gill	1	25.3	40.20***	1	0.86	3.48	1	1601	27.5***	1	119780	27.6***
Treatment	1	0.71	1.13	1	6.15	24.68***	1	54	0.94	1	7133	1.64
Gill x Treatment	1	0.035	0.057	1	0.018	0.075	1	28	0.49	1	121	0.028
Error	36	0.62		36	0.24		33	58		27	4330	

df: degrees of freedom; MS: variance; Statistically significant effects are indicated by asterisks: *** (p< 0.001).