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Highlights

- Films with varying Mw chitosan and CDP were prepared and heat-treated;
- Functional and structural properties of films were modified by thermal treatment;
- Antioxidant activities of the films were improved due to MR products development.
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Abstract

This work focused on studying the physicochemical and antioxidant properties changes of varying molecular weight (Mw) chitosan-depolymerization products (CDP)-based films occurring after crosslinking by heat-treatment and Maillard reaction (MR). Based on color properties and browning index, an enhancement of films properties was observed after treatment at 90 °C with a reduction in their water content, solubility and contact angle. Brown MR products were developed in heated films containing glucose thus improving their barrier properties. This effect was more pronounced in lower Mw-CDP based films. In addition, according to TGA, EAB and TS analyses an improvement in heat-treated films thermal stability and mechanical properties was detected and further confirmed through FTIR, X-ray and SEM analyses. The evaluation of the antioxidant potential through four different assays allowed to conclude that glucose addition, thermal treatment and the use of low Mw-CDP highly enhanced the MR-modified films antioxidant capacity. Consequently, MR crosslinked chitosan-based films could be potentially used as an alternative for bioactive and functional packaging effective in food oxidation inhibition, especially using low Mw chitosan derivatives.
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1. Introduction

Increasing environmental concerns led to a growing interest on research and development of biodegradable films based on renewable resources as alternative to synthetic packaging traditionally used in biomedical and food industries (Fernández-de Castro et al., 2016; Ruban et al., 2009). For this purpose, biopolymers, including proteins and polysaccharides are increasingly being used to prepare composite films and coating (Etxabide, Urdanpilleta, Gómez-Arriaran, de la Caba, & Guerrero, 2017; Kchaou, Benbetaieb, Jridi, Nasri, & Debeaufort, 2019). Among the most studied biopolymers, chitosan, a natural polysaccharide derived from chitin and composed of D-glucosamine and N-acetylglucosamine units, deserves special attention due its biological properties, such as biocompatibility, non-toxicity, biodegradability and good film-forming ability (Affes et al., 2020a; Hajji, Younes, Affes, Boufi, & Nasri, 2018). Such characteristics of chitosan depended on its acetylation degree, distribution of acetyl groups, viscosity and especially its molecular weight (Mw). Chitosan derivatives with attractive characteristics, such as low Mw, reduced viscosity and improved antioxidant and antimicrobial potentials, were produced through depolymerization of chitosan by physical, chemical or enzymatic hydrolysis methods (Affes et al., 2019; Aljbour, Beg, & Gimbun, 2019; Sun et al., 2017).

Some studies have been made to characterize chitosan or chitosan depolymerization products (CDP)-based films as alternative to protect food from drying and oxidation (Fernández-de Castro et al., 2016). In this context, chitosan or CDP-based films properties, such as water resistance, can be improved by enzymatic, chemical and physical modifications to extend their application fields (Leceta, Guerrero, Ibarburu, Dueñas, & de la Caba, 2013b). There are not many reports concerning non-enzymatic cross-linking methods of chitosan films. As two kinds of different cross-linking browning methods, caramelisation is caused by direct heating of carbohydrate, while Maillard reaction (MR) refers to the condensation
reactions between nitrogen-containing compounds and carbonyl group of reducing sugars (Li, Lin, & Chen, 2014). Subsequently, heat treatment is a physical method that had a noticeable effect on improvement of film properties, especially water solubility, thermal stability and mechanical and barrier properties (Fernández-de Castro et al., 2016; Leceta et al., 2013b; Rivero, Garía, & Pinotti, 2012). Whereas, crosslinking through MR is a chemical process involving three stages in which the initial products are called shift bases that form Amadori products via rearrangement, which undergo further reactions to form irreversible advanced glycation end products (Etxabide et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2017). This method generates fluorescent, brown MR products able to improve chemical, sensory, antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of chitosan films. To control the extension of MR, in order to obtain the properties required for a specific application, various factor should be analyzed, including temperature, time, pH, water activity and concentration, type and ratio of used carbonyl group compounds and reducing sugar (Gullón et al., 2016).

The purpose of this work was to study changes undergone, by crosslinking and Maillard reaction development, in varying Mw chitosan or CDP-based films by monitoring their physical, functional and microstructural properties before and after heat-treatment at 90 °C and with and without glucose addition.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

Chitosan (Ch) was prepared from shrimp shells chitin and hydrolyzed using the chitosanolytic preparation from Bacillus licheniformis strain as described in our previous study (Affes et al., 2020b). After incubation of the chitosan solution at 50 °C in the presence of the chitosanolytic preparation, samples were withdrawn at 1 and 24 h, heated at 100 °C for 10 min, neutralized to pH 8.0 and centrifuged for 30 min at 8,000 x g. The insoluble part at 1 and 24 h were freeze-dried and referred as chitosan depolymerization products (CDP) C1 and C24,
respectively. The average molecular weight (Mw), the intrinsic viscosity, the acetylation degree and the crystallinity index of Ch, C1 and C24 were determined by SEC-HPLC, a semi-automatic Ubbelohde viscometer, the first derivative UV-spectrophotometric method and using on an X’Pert SW X-ray diffractometer (Philips), respectively.

Chitosan, C1 and C24 were employed as biopolymers for films preparation. D (+) anhydrous-glucose (Glu) (C₆H₁₂O₆; 180 g mol⁻¹) was used as reducing sugars to initiate the Maillard reaction (MR) in chitosan-based films. Anydrous glycerol was purchased from Fluka (98% purity, Fluka Chemical, Germany) and used as plasticizer for the films. All other reagents were of analytic grade.

2.2. Films preparation

Chitosan or CDP-based films were prepared according to the casting technique. A mother film-forming solution (FFS) was firstly prepared by dissolving the used polymer (10 mg/ml) in acetic acid (1%, v/v) and stirred continuously at room temperature to obtain homogeneous solution. Then, two films categories were prepared. First, chitosan or CDP-based films were obtained by adding glycerol to the FFS, at a concentration of 15% (w/w polymer), and stirring for 30 min. Second, polymer-glucose-containing films were prepared to promote MR development. Glucose (0.5 mg/ml) was added to the FFSs containing 15% (w/w polymer) of glycerol. Subsequently, a volume of 34.0 ml of each mixture with or without glucose was cast in Petri dishes (13.5 x 13.5 cm) and left to dry for 48 h at 25 °C, until the total evaporation of the solvent.

After peeling, a first half of all films, referred as F1, F2 and F3 (using chitosan, C1 and C24, respectively) for films without glucose and F1-Glu, F2-Glu and F3-Glu for films containing glucose, were considered as controls. Then to favour MR, the second half of all the films was heated in an oven at 90 ± 2 °C for 24 h. Heated films without glucose were named F1-90, F2-90 and F3-90, while, heated films containing glucose were referred as F1-Glu-90, F2-Glu-90 and F3-Glu-90.
F2-Glu-90 and F3-Glu-90. All prepared films were then conditioned at 25 °C and 50% relative humidity (RH) before analyses, except for FTIR, XRD, TGA and DSC measurements, films were equilibrated at 0% RH.

2.3. Physical and structural characterization of the prepared films

2.3.1. Color properties and browning index

Color of the films was performed using a CR-5 colorimeter Konica Minolta (Sensing Europe B.V) and recorded using the color parameters CIE L*, a* and b*. L* was expressed as lightness/brightness, a* is a measure of greenness/redness and b* was expressed as blueness/yellowness values. The total color changes (ΔE1* and ΔE2*) of the blend films were calculated according to the following equation:

$$\Delta E = \sqrt{(L^* - L_0^*)^2 + (a^* - a_0^*)^2 + (b^* - b_0^*)^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Eq (1)}$$

where $L_0^*$, $a_0^*$, $b_0^*$ are the colorimetric parameters of the standard ($\Delta E_1^*$ was measured using the film F1 as standard, while, $\Delta E_2^*$ was calculated using the parameters of each control film F1, F2 and F3 as standard in the different Mw-chitosan based films) and $L^*$, $a^*$, $b^*$ are the values of the tested films.

The obtained CIE Lab values were then used to calculate the browning index (BI) as mentionned in equation 2:

$$\text{BI} = \frac{100 \times (z - 0.31)}{0.172} \quad \text{with} \quad z = \frac{a^* + 1.75 (L^*)}{5.645 (L^*) + (a^*) - 3.012 (b^*)}$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Eq (2)}$$

2.3.2. Ultraviolet-visible barrier

Ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectroscopy of the films was performed by using an UV-vis recording spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-2401PC) in the wavelength range from 200 to 800 nm. The films were cut into rectangle (1.0 x 3.0 cm) and placed in the test cell of the spectrophotometer. An empty test cell was used as a reference.

2.3.3. Water content and solubility
To determine the moisture content (WC) of the films (g moisture/100 g film), 100 mg of each film sample were dried in an oven at 105 °C until constant weight was reached. The weights before and after drying were measured and the water content was calculated as follows:

\[ \text{WC} \% = \left( \frac{m_i - m_f}{m_i} \right) \times 100 \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{Eq (3)}

where \( m_i \) and \( m_f \) are the initial and the final film weight (g), respectively. Three replicates for each film were performed.

The water solubility of the films was determined according to the Gennadios, Handa, Froning, Weller, & Hanna (1998) method. Film samples (2.0 x 5.0 cm) were weighed and transferred to centrifuge tube containing 30 ml of distilled water with 0.1% (w/v) sodium azide as antimicrobial agent. The mixture was shaken at 200 rpm speed at 25 °C during 24 h and then centrifuged at 8000 rpm at 25 °C for 10 min. The undissolved debris were dried at 105 °C for 24 h to determine the remaining pieces of films. Water solubility (WS) was calculated according to the following equation:

\[ \text{WS} \% = \left( \frac{(m_i \times (100-\text{WC}) - m_f)}{m_i \times (100-\text{WC})} \right) \times 100 \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{Eq (4)}

where \( m_i \) and \( m_f \) are the initial and final film weights (g), respectively and WC is the water content of each film sample (%).

2.3.4. Water contact angle

The contact angle measurements were carried out using the sessile drop method on a goniometer (Drop Shape Analyzer 30 from Kruss GmbH), equipped with an image analysis software (ADVANCE). First, films were fixed in a glass plate. Then, a droplet of water (3 µl) was deposited on the film surface with a precision syringe. The method is based on image processing and curve fitting for contact angle measurement from a theoretical meridian drop profile, determining contact angle between the baseline of the drop and the tangent at the drop boundary. Six measurements per films were carried out. All the tests were conducted in an...
environmental chamber with a constant environment at a temperature of 25 (± 2) °C and a relative humidity of 50 (± 1) %.

### 2.3.5. Films thickness

The thickness of the prepared films was measured using a micrometer (Digimatic IP65, Mitutoyo, France). Six random locations around each film sample were used for average thickness determination. The mean value was considered for mechanical properties parameters calculation.

### 2.3.6. Films mechanical properties

The films mechanical properties were performed based on the determination of the tensile strength (TS, MPa) and elongation at break (EAB, %) parameters by using a rheometer apparatus (Physica MCR, Anton Paar, GmbH, France) equipped with a mechanical property measuring geometry. Prior to analysis, all the film samples were equilibrated at 25 °C and 50% RH for a week and their thickness was measured. Then, rectangular films (1.0 x 4.5 cm) were cut to get tensile test piece with an accurate width and parallel sides throughout the entire length. Based on the ISO standard, equilibrated films samples, retained in the extension grips of the measuring system, were subjected to a uniaxial tensile test, with a deformation rate of 5 mm/min until breaking. Rheoplus software was used for the estimation of TS and EAB, corresponding respectively to the maximum load and the final extension at break from the stress-strain curves. Measurements were carried out at 25 °C and six samples for each formulation were tested.

### 2.3.7. Thermal stability analysis

The thermal stability of the film samples was carried out using a thermogravimetric analysis (TGA, Q500 High Resolution, TA Instruments). This technique allows the continuous weighting of the film sample mass in percentage (%) as a function of the temperature rise in a controlled nitrogen atmosphere. The film samples were heated from 30 to 600 °C at a heating
rate of 20 °C/min. Weight loss (Δw, %), temperature of maximum degradation (T\text{max}, °C) and final residue at 600 °C (%) values were determined using TA Universal Analysis 2000 software (Version 4.5 A, TA instruments).

2.3.8. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) analysis

The FTIR spectra of film samples were determined using a spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, Cary 630 series) equipped with an attenuated reflection accessory (ATR) containing a diamond/ZnSe crystal, at 25 °C. 32 scans were collected with 4 cm\(^{-1}\) resolution in 500-4000 cm\(^{-1}\) wavelength range. Prior to analysis, calibration was performed using background spectrum recorded from the clean and empty diamond. Data analysis and treatment were carried out by using the OMNIC spectra software (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

2.3.9. X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis

XRD analysis of the prepared films was carried out on a Philips diffractometer using a Cu K\(\alpha\) radiation source. The samples were scanned continuously at a voltage of 40 kV and a current of 30 mA with the ranging 2θ from 7 to 40 °. Where θ is the incidence angle of the X-ray beam on the sample.

2.3.10. Films microstructure

The surface and cross-section morphology of the films were assessed using a scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Hitachi S4800). The cross-section observations were performed at an angle of 90 ° to the surface and using different magnifications. Prior to imaging, samples were cryo-fractured by immersion in liquid nitrogen, cut and fixed on the SEM support using double side adhesive tape under an accelerating voltage of 2 kV and an absolute pressure of 60 Pa, after sputter coating with a 5 nm thick gold.

2.4. Films antioxidant potential

2.4.1. ABTS\(^+\) radical-scavenging activity
The ABTS$^+$ radical-scavenging capacity of the films was determined according to the method of Re et al. (1999). This test is based on the ability of antioxidant molecules to quench the long-lived ABTS$^+$ species. The ABTS$^+$ radical was generated by mixing 7 mM ABTS$^+$ solution with 2.45 mM potassium per sulphate. This solution was then diluted with ethanol to adjust the absorbance to approximately 0.7 at 734 nm. 100 µl of distilled water containing 10 mg film samples were added to 900 µl of diluted ABTS$^+$ solution. A solution without samples was recorded as control. The mixtures were incubated at 25 °C for 10 min. The absorbance was then determined at 734 nm and ABTS$^+$ radical-scavenging capacity was computed using the following equation:

\[
\text{ABTS}^+ \text{ radical scavenging activity (}) \times 100 = \frac{A_C - A_R}{A_C} \times 100 \quad \text{Eq (5)}
\]

where \(A_C\) is the absorbance of the control ABTS$^+$ solution; \(A_R\) is the absorbance of film sample with ABTS$^+$ solution and \(A_B\) is the absorbance of blank tubes containing sample without addition of ABTS$^+$ solution. The values are presented as the means of triplicate analyses.

**2.4.2. DPPH radical-scavenging assay**

The ability of the elaborated films to scavenge DPPH radical was determined according to Bersuder, Hole, & Smith (1998). Firstly, the films were cut into small pieces (m = 10 mg) and immersed in 500 µl of disillited water. 500 µl of each film sample were added to 375 µl of 99.5% ethanol and 125 µl of 0.02% DPPH (in 99.5% ethanol). Then, the mixtures were incubated in the dark for 24 h at 25 °C. The control was conducted in the same manner, expect that distilled water was used instead of film sample. Finally, the absorbance of the solutions was mesured at 517 nm, using a UV-visible spectrophotometer. In fact, in its radical form, DPPH has an absorption band at 517 nm which disappears upon reduction by antiradical compounds. DPPH radical-scavenging activity was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{DPPH radical scavenging activity (}) \times 100 = \frac{A_C - A_R}{A_C} \times 100 \quad \text{Eq (6)}
\]
where $A_C$ is the absorbance of the control reaction, $A_R$ and $A_B$ are the absorbance of film sample in the reaction mixture and without addition of DPPH solution, respectively. The assay was carried out in triplicate.

2.4.3. Reducing power assay

The capacity of the different films to reduce iron (III) was performed according to the method described by Yildirim, Mavi, & Kara (2001). 500 µl of distilled water containing 10 mg of each film were added to 1.25 ml of 0.2 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.6) and 1.25 ml of 1% (w/v) potassium ferricyanide. After incubation at 50 °C for 3 h, 1.25 ml of 10% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid were added to the mixture which was then centrifuged. 1.25 ml of the supernatant of each sample were mixed with 1.25 ml of distilled water and 0.25 ml of 0.1% (w/v) ferric chloride. After incubation at room temperature for 10 min, the absorbance of the final solutions was measured at 700 nm. Higher absorbance of the reaction mixture showed higher reducing power. The experiments were carried out in triplicate.

2.4.4. Total antioxidant activity

This test is based on the reduction of Mo (VI) to Mo (V) by the sample and the subsequent formation of a green phosphate/Mo (V) complex at acidic pH (Prieto, Pineda, & Aguilar, 1999). 100 µl of distilled water containing 10 mg of film sample were homogenized with 1 ml of reagent solution (0.6 M sulphuric acid, 28 mM sodium phosphate and 4 mM ammonium molybdate) and incubated at 90 °C for 90 min. The absorbance was measured at 695 nm against a control solution, containing 100 µl distilled water instead of sample. The total antioxidant activity was expressed as $\alpha$-tocopherol equivalents using the following equation:

$$A = 0.011 \times C + 0.0049; \quad R^2 = 0.987 \quad \text{Eq (7)}$$

where $A$ is the absorbance at 695 nm and $C$ is the concentration expressed as $\alpha$-tocopherol equivalents (µmol/ml).
2.5. Statistical analysis

Experiments were carried out in triplicate, except films water contact angle, thickness and mechanical properties analyses, which were repeated six times, and average values with standard deviation errors are reported. Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS software package ver. 17.0 professional edition (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) using ANOVA analysis and differences were considered significant at $p$ value < 0.05.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Polymer characterization and films preparation

The physicochemical characterization of chitosan (Ch) and its high molecular weight (Mw) depolymerization products (CDP) C1 and C24 was carried out. The average Mw, the intrinsic viscosity and the crystallinity index were lower in the CDP as compared to the native chitosan Ch. However, all of them exhibited the same acetylation degree ($p > 0.05$) (Table 1).

Then, to evaluate the influence of chitosan and its derivatives (Ch, C1 and C24), as amino ($-\text{NH}_2$) group donor on the physical, structural and antioxidant properties of CDP-based films, glucose as carbonyl ($-\text{C}=\text{O}$) group donor was added to promote Maillard reaction (MR).

Table 1: Physicochemical characterization of chitosan and its derivatives obtained by enzymatic hydrolysis of chitosan using the bacterial crude chitosanase from $B.\ licheniformis$ strain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>Molecular weight (kDa)</th>
<th>Intrinsic viscosity (dl/g)</th>
<th>Acetylation degree (%)</th>
<th>Crystallinity index (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch</td>
<td>1244.70</td>
<td>7.8 ± 0.21$^\text{A}$</td>
<td>7.60 ± 0.54$^\text{A}$</td>
<td>74.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>482.03</td>
<td>1.81 ± 0.02$^\text{B}$</td>
<td>8.12 ± 0.03$^\text{A}$</td>
<td>61.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C24</td>
<td>163.56</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.02$^\text{C}$</td>
<td>8.83 ± 0.33$^\text{A}$</td>
<td>51.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means with different letters (A-C) and within a column indicate significant difference ($p < 0.05$).
3.2. Effect of MR on Films color and light barrier properties

Among the basic properties of a film to be applied in the food packaging areas, optical features, including color, are considered a key factor affecting the appearance of coated products as well as the consumer’s acceptability.

3.2.1. Films color parameters

The change in film’s color is considered an important indicator of the occurrence and extent of MR (Kchaou et al., 2019). As shown by visual observation, the unheated films, supplemented or not with glucose, were colorless, transparent and homogenous, whereas, a narrow change to yellow was noted regarding the color of the heated glucose-free films (Fig. S1). However, the color of the MR crosslinked films changed visually turning toward dark yellow. This variation was more pronounced in the film F3-Glu-90 followed by F2-Glu-90 and F1-Glu-90, implying that the generation of MRP was more induced for these systems probably since there was less stearic hindrance when lower Mw chitosan depolymerization products (CDP) were used (Leceta et al., 2013b).

The final stage of MR was further evaluated by color measurement using CIELab scale and L* (whiteness/darkness), a* (greenness/redness) and b* (blueness/yellowness) values parameters were used to calculate total color change (ΔE*) and browning index (BI) values. Results are given in Table 2. Interestingly, L* values decreased significantly in the heated films containing glucose, indicating that these films turn darker. Further, this decrease was more noticeable in the films containing the lowest Mw-CDP (F3-Glu-90 and F2-Glu-90) as compared to F1-Glu-90. However, negligible change of color has been observed for free-glucose heated films, showing that the thermal treatment was not the main factor affecting the films color. Darker films with lowering lightness (L*) are advantageous to prevent oxidative deterioration by coating sensitive to light foods (Yang et al., 2015). The development of the dark yellowish color is related to the production of dark products after 24 h of heating at 90 °C due to the
interactions between chitosan/CDP and glucose through MR. Indeed, conversely to $a^*$ values variations, $b^*$ values increased significantly in the heated films as compared to the non-heated ones toward the green and yellow regions, respectively, for $a^*$ and $b^*$ coordinates and the most significant effect was obtained with the glucose-containing heated films, especially F3-Glu-90 ($a^* = -1.50 \pm 0.03$, $b^* = 9.15 \pm 0.27$). Such increase in $b^*$ values was related to the higher reducing end content of low Mw-CDP. Results are in accordance with those of Leceta, Guerrero, & de la Caba (2013a).

To better understand the above-mentioned differences between blank and MR-treated films, $\Delta E^*$ was determined. $\Delta E_1^*$ values, obtained by comparing the control films with the film F1, showed a weak increase to slightly yellowish color for the films F2 and F3 due to the use of low Mw-CDP. Further, the addition of glucose slightly increased the total color change in all the control films. Furthermore, $\Delta E_2^*$ values were measured, taking the control films (F1, F2 and F3) as a point of reference for each Mw-chitosan-based film, in order to assess the observed differences between heated films and the non-heated ones. Results showed that $\Delta E_2^*$ increased slightly in the free-glucose heated films, but increased significantly in the heated glucose containing films to 9.75, 13.54 and 14.31 for F1-Glu-90, F2-Glu-90 and F3-Glu-90, respectively. This variation pointed that color change was inversely proportional to the Mw of used CDP, generating more colored films when lowest Mw-CDP were used. Similar behavior of higher color change for heated lower Mw-chitosan-based films as compared to unheated ones and to heated higher Mw-chitosan-based films, was reported by Leceta et al. (2013a). Such results of $\Delta E_1^*$ and $\Delta E_2^*$ values indicated that MR resulted films are dark-colored and have stronger barrier ability in the visible region than that of non-heated films. This color change of the films conjugated with glucose could imply that the film structure also changed as a result of thermal treatment during 24 h at 90 °C.
Additionally, the BI is a good indicator of the changes in color due to the MRP (Matiacevich & Pilar Buera, 2006). As shown in Table 2, low BI values were obtained in the free-glucose treated films. However, similarly to the trend of ΔE*, the BI of heated films conjugated with glucose increased significantly and proportionally to the decrease of the Mw of CDP and reached 12.49 ± 0.12, 50.13 ± 0.18 and 71.33 ± 3.05 for the films F1-Glu-90, F2-Glu-90 and F3-Glu-90, respectively.

Table 2: Color parameters (L*, a* and b*), total color change (ΔE1* and ΔE2*) and browning index (BI) of the different Mw-chitosan based films with and without glucose and thermal treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Films</th>
<th>L*</th>
<th>a*</th>
<th>b*</th>
<th>ΔE1*</th>
<th>ΔE2*</th>
<th>BI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>28.36 ± 1.09 A</td>
<td>-0.45 ± 0.05 A</td>
<td>-0.46 ± 0.07 I</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1-90</td>
<td>27.30 ± 0.85 AB</td>
<td>-0.60 ± 0.03 B</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.04 G</td>
<td>1.68 ± 0.36 B</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.11 E</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1-Glu</td>
<td>27.95 ± 0.19 AB</td>
<td>-0.60 ± 0.01 B</td>
<td>-0.35 ± 0.09 I</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.15 D</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.15 B</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1-Glu-90</td>
<td>19.18 ± 0.90 C</td>
<td>-0.67 ± 0.04 BC</td>
<td>2.78 ± 0.06 C</td>
<td>9.75 ± 0.82 A</td>
<td>12.49 ± 0.12 C</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>28.29 ± 0.05 A</td>
<td>-0.74 ± 0.03 CD</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.05 H</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.02 D</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2-90</td>
<td>27.90 ± 0.50 AB</td>
<td>-0.87 ± 0.03 E</td>
<td>1.75 ± 0.14 D</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.01 B</td>
<td>3.98 ± 0.50 DE</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2-Glu</td>
<td>27.92 ± 0.05 AB</td>
<td>-0.80 ± 0.03 DE</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.04 FG</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.01 C</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.01 C</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2-Glu-90</td>
<td>16.89 ± 0.10 D</td>
<td>-1.17 ± 0.01 G</td>
<td>7.48 ± 0.02 B</td>
<td>13.54 ± 0.07 A</td>
<td>50.13 ± 0.18 B</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>27.87 ± 0.18 AB</td>
<td>-1.01 ± 0.03 F</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.16 EF</td>
<td>1.83 ± 0.09 B</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3-90</td>
<td>26.48 ± 0.08 B</td>
<td>-1.19 ± 0.03 G</td>
<td>2.39 ± 0.02 C</td>
<td>1.84 ± 0.05 B</td>
<td>5.82 ± 0.13 D</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3-Glu</td>
<td>26.89 ± 0.08 AB</td>
<td>-1.21 ± 0.01 G</td>
<td>1.29 ± 0.27 E</td>
<td>2.36 ± 0.15 A</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.04 C</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3-Glu-90</td>
<td>15.99 ± 0.05 D</td>
<td>-1.50 ± 0.03 H</td>
<td>9.15 ± 0.27 A</td>
<td>14.31 ± 0.1 A</td>
<td>71.33 ± 3.05 A</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± standard deviation (n = 3). Means with different letters (A-J) and within a column indicate significant difference (p < 0.05). ΔE1* was calculated regarding to F1 and ΔE2* was the change of color measured as compared to each control film (F1, F2 and F3).

3.2.2. Ultraviolet-visible light spectroscopy
An effective packaging intended to food applications should demonstrate light barrier behavior to protect the packaged food from the degradative effects of light, particularly UV-light, which generates chemical reactions catalyzation, accelerating the deterioration of food and thus affecting ultimately the food quality as well as the consumer acceptance. Therefore, the UV-visible spectroscopy was investigated in the range of 200-800 nm in order to study the effect of chitosan/CDP supplementation in the extent of MR in film matrix, by analyzing their light barrier properties, and to correlate color changes with the formation of MRP at different stages. As it can be seen in Fig. 1, the spectra of the different Mw chitosan-based films showed good barrier properties to light in the UV region, with a slightly better effect in the film F3, containing the lower Mw-CDP, followed by F2 as compared to F1. Further, a slight increase of the UV absorption of the heated glucose-free films (F1-90, F2-90 and F3-90) was detected, as compared to the control unheated ones (F1, F2 and F3). This slight modification is probably due to the caramelization reaction caused by direct heating of carbohydrates (Li et al., 2014) and it was more pronounced in the film F3-90, followed by F2-90, as compared to F1-90. Such results were similar to those reported by Leceta et al. (2013b) who reported the same trend of better barrier properties in low Mw chitosan-based films before and after treatment at 105 °C, as compared to higher Mw chitosan films.

In contrast, after glucose addition, a significant change of absorbance was observed when the films were heated, as compared to the unheated ones, especially when lower Mw-CDP were used. Whereas, the absorbance (A) increases noticeably, in the range of 250-450 nm, in the films F3-Glu-90 followed by F2-Glu-90 and F1-Glu-90. This obvious modification is a result of MR which is a condensation reaction between nitrogen-containing compounds of chitosan and its derivatives and the carbonyl group of reducing sugars (glucose) (Li et al., 2014). Such reaction is a cross-linking process which follows a complex mechanism with three major stages. In the initial stage, the sugar-amine conjugation allowed to the development of Amadori
colorless products via rearrangement. The reaction became yellow with high UV-absorbance at the intermediate stage. Whereas, brown compounds are formed in the final stage from aldol condensation and aldehyde amide polymerization along with the formation of heterocyclic nitrogen compounds (Gullón et al., 2016). Consequently, the color of conjugated products may be a direct and easy indication of MR progress. UV-absorbance ($A_{294 \text{ nm}}$) and brown color ($A_{420 \text{ nm}}$) are typical indicators of colorless intermediate compounds and final browning compounds, respectively. The changes of $A_{294 \text{ nm}}$ and $A_{420 \text{ nm}}$ of MR-crosslinked CDP-based films were shown in Fig. 1. It could be found that, in all cases, the values of $A_{294 \text{ nm}}$ were higher than those obtained at 420 nm, which is characteristic index of the formation of intermediate compounds of the MR. Similarly, Kosaraju et al. (2010) stated that the thermal treatment of glucose-added chitosan (Mw of 810 kDa) resulted in higher rates of intermediate browning products than final browning products. Moreover, the $A_{280 \text{ nm}}$ and $A_{420 \text{ nm}}$ of the film F3-Glu-90 were higher than those of the film F2-Glu-90 and especially F1-Glu-90, suggesting that MR rate was influenced by the Mw of CDP-based film. This difference to induce MR regarding the Mw of chitosan/CDP is due to the fact that high Mw-chitosan chains are more static, owing to its higher length and compact structure, which prevent the proximity between amino and carbonyl groups to react through MR (Leceta et al., 2013b). Therefore, MRP-containing films, especially F3-Glu-90 followed by F2-Glu-90, have excellent light barrier properties, suggesting their potential effect on the retardation of product oxidation induced by UV-light. These findings agreed with color measurement which indicates that color change was more pronounced for lower Mw CDP-based films.
3.3. Effect of MR on films functional properties

The functional properties of CDP-based films as influenced by MR, including water content (WC), water solubility (WS) and water contact angle (WCA) were evaluated and results are illustrated in Table 3 and Fig. S2.

**Table 3:** Water content (WC), water solubility (WS), water contact angle (WCA at t = 10 and 20 s), thickness and mechanical properties (TS and EAB) of chitosan or CDP based films containing or not glucose before and after thermal treatment.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Films</th>
<th>WC (%)</th>
<th>WS (%)</th>
<th>WCA (°)</th>
<th>Thickness (µm)</th>
<th>EAB (%)</th>
<th>TS (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T_{10 s}</td>
<td>T_{20 s}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>12.28 ± 0.16</td>
<td>12.12 ± 1.01</td>
<td>108.60 ± 2.24 \textsuperscript{A}</td>
<td>106.93 ± 2.26 \textsuperscript{A}</td>
<td>0.027 ± 0.002 \textsuperscript{A}</td>
<td>15.26 ± 0.74 \textsuperscript{AB}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1-90</td>
<td>10.33 ± 0.33</td>
<td>9.33 ± 0.50</td>
<td>95.70 ± 0.62 \textsuperscript{B}</td>
<td>95.10 ± 0.94 \textsuperscript{B}</td>
<td>0.028 ± 0.004 \textsuperscript{A}</td>
<td>15.50 ± 0.85 \textsuperscript{A}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1-Glu</td>
<td>13.20 ± 0.18</td>
<td>14.48 ± 0.30</td>
<td>95.91 ± 1.71 \textsuperscript{B}</td>
<td>94.14 ± 1.49 \textsuperscript{B}</td>
<td>0.026 ± 0.003 \textsuperscript{A}</td>
<td>13.91 ± 0.01 \textsuperscript{BC}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1-Glu-90</td>
<td>10.91 ± 0.21</td>
<td>9.54 ± 0.71</td>
<td>87.16 ± 2.35 \textsuperscript{C}</td>
<td>84.26 ± 2.74 \textsuperscript{C}</td>
<td>0.027 ± 0.007 \textsuperscript{A}</td>
<td>15.52 ± 0.85 \textsuperscript{A}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>12.41 ± 0.37</td>
<td>17.93 ± 0.85</td>
<td>65.66 ± 3.37 \textsuperscript{D}</td>
<td>61.39 ± 2.35 \textsuperscript{D}</td>
<td>0.025 ± 0.002 \textsuperscript{A}</td>
<td>12.23 ± 0.52 \textsuperscript{DE}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2-90</td>
<td>11.08 ± 0.58</td>
<td>11.26 ± 0.64</td>
<td>61.86 ± 1.84 \textsuperscript{DE}</td>
<td>58.99 ± 1.78 \textsuperscript{DE}</td>
<td>0.026 ± 0.001 \textsuperscript{A}</td>
<td>13.31 ± 0.27 \textsuperscript{CD}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2-Glu</td>
<td>13.85 ± 0.35</td>
<td>20.93 ± 0.80</td>
<td>62.45 ± 2.50 \textsuperscript{DE}</td>
<td>59.54 ± 2.56 \textsuperscript{DE}</td>
<td>0.027 ± 0.008 \textsuperscript{A}</td>
<td>11.47 ± 0.01 \textsuperscript{E}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2-Glu-90</td>
<td>11.12 ± 0.38</td>
<td>10.12 ± 0.88</td>
<td>56.60 ± 2.86 \textsuperscript{EF}</td>
<td>53.46 ± 3.43 \textsuperscript{EF}</td>
<td>0.027 ± 0.003 \textsuperscript{A}</td>
<td>13.88 ± 0.4 \textsuperscript{BC}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>13.16 ± 0.09</td>
<td>20.26 ± 1.03</td>
<td>59.83 ± 3.17 \textsuperscript{DE}</td>
<td>57.62 ± 4.01 \textsuperscript{DE}</td>
<td>0.021 ± 0.003 \textsuperscript{A}</td>
<td>8.39 ± 0.19 \textsuperscript{G}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3-90</td>
<td>11.12 ± 0.32</td>
<td>15.98 ± 0.70</td>
<td>57.07 ± 1.92 \textsuperscript{EF}</td>
<td>53.43 ± 1.74 \textsuperscript{EF}</td>
<td>0.023 ± 0.005 \textsuperscript{A}</td>
<td>9.88 ± 0.17 \textsuperscript{FG}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3-Glu</td>
<td>14.28 ± 0.58</td>
<td>23.68 ± 0.30</td>
<td>50.24 ± 1.16 \textsuperscript{FG}</td>
<td>47.76 ± 1.19 \textsuperscript{FG}</td>
<td>0.027 ± 0.009 \textsuperscript{A}</td>
<td>8.35 ± 0.13 \textsuperscript{G}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3-Glu-90</td>
<td>11.29 ± 0.46</td>
<td>11.39 ± 0.51</td>
<td>45.26 ± 1.02 \textsuperscript{G}</td>
<td>41.50 ± 1.18 \textsuperscript{G}</td>
<td>0.027 ± 0.002 \textsuperscript{A}</td>
<td>10.71 ± 0.42 \textsuperscript{EF}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± standard deviation (n = 3). Means with different letters (A-G) and within a column indicate significant difference (p < 0.05).

### 3.3.1. Water content measurement

WC of the films as packaging material, which correspond to the total void volume occupied by water molecules, is an important factor affecting the shelf life of packaged food (Hazaveh, Mohammadi Nafchi, & Abbaspour, 2015). As shown in Table 3, the WC of all unheated films increased when glucose was added, as compared to free-glucose-based films. Similarly, Kchaou et al. (2018) reported an increase of WC in the non-heated gelatin films as a result of glucose addition. Further, the WC of the films containing the lowest Mw-CDP (C24) was slightly higher than those of the films containing the high Mw-CDP (C1) and the native chitosan. Such increase in WC could be explained by the well-known hygroscopicity of saccharides. After induction of the MR (heat treatment at 90 °C), the WC of all films decreased significantly as compared to the unheated ones. The decrease of WC of the films F1-Glu-90, F2-Glu-90 and F3-Glu-90, as compared to the glucose-based films may be explained by the interaction between the amino group of chitosan, C1 and C24, respectively, and the carbonyl group of glucose through MR.
3.3.2. Study of water solubility

WS, which provides insight into the behavior of the film in an aqueous environment, is considered a crucial feature in defining the applications of biopolymeric films. Table 3 shows the WS values of prepared films. Control CDP-based films (F2 and F3) showed significant higher WS values, as compared to the chitosan-based film (F1). Such difference may be attributed to the Mw variation among chitosan and CDP samples which thereby affects their WS, being 15.09, 30.3 and 34.79 % for chitosan, C1 and C24, respectively (Affes et al., 2020b).

Further, glucose addition in the control films increased significantly the WS, as compared to free-glucose films. This result was in agreement with Kchaou et al. (2018) who reported that glucose addition increased the WS of control fish gelatin films. However, when films were heat-treated at 90 °C, WS values decreased significantly ($p < 0.05$), indicating a change in their chemical structure. This decrease was more pronounced in the films containing glucose and especially in the lower Mw CDP-based films. Therefore, the cross-linking induced by heating and glucose addition through MR could be an effective method to control the WS of chitosan/CDP-based films, providing an important functional property of those films, as it was reported by other authors (Leceta et al., 2013b). Similarly, Fernández-de Castro et al. (2016) demonstrated that chitosan-oligosaccharides films showed higher WS values than chitosan films, when treated at 105 °C. In the same context, they stated that the decrease of soluble matter in thermally-treated films was related to the decrease of free amino groups, as compared to unheated films. In this context, Etxabide, Urdanpilleta, Guerrero, & de la Caba (2015) reported that lactose addition reduced significantly the solubility of fish gelatin film after heating at 105 °C.

3.3.3. Water contact angle assessment

The surface resistance of a film to water wetting and adhesion is an important property which is affected by its chemical composition and surface morphology (Bharathidasan,
Narayanan, Sathyanaryanan, & Sreejakumari, 2015). This property was studied by water contact angle (WCA) measurement which is an indicator of the degree of hydrophilicity/hydrophobicity of the film surface. The final state of a water drop informs about the surface wettability.

Results illustrated in Fig. S2 and Table 3 show the variation of WCA as a function of chitosan or CDP-Mw, glucose addition and thermal treatment for the different films. Firstly, in all films, as compared to T_{10 s}, a slight decrease of WCA was obtained at T_{20 s} due to the evaporation of the water drop. Further, chitosan-based film (F1) showed the highest WCA values above 108.60 and 106.93 ° at T_{10 s} and T_{20 s}, respectively. These values agree with the results obtained by Leceta et al. (2013b) and de Britto & Assis (2007) for chitosan-based films (around 105 and 100 °, respectively). Except F1-Glu-90, Chitosan-based films were considered as hydrophobic as they exhibit WCA values higher than 90 °. However, there is a significant decrease in WCA values in the films F2 and F3 containing lower Mw-CDP, as compared to F1, probably related to the higher moisture contents of these films, thus indicating more ability to absorb water and allowing to higher hydrophilicity. This result was in contradiction with that of Leceta et al. (2013a) who stated that the Mw of chitosan did not affect significantly WCA values. Furthermore, in all cases, WCA decreased slightly in the control films conjugated with glucose. This variation is probably explained by the great affinity of free glucose, not yet involved in MR, towards water. After thermal treatment, WCA tends to decrease significantly for all the films as compared to the control ones, indicating thereby that heating leads to an increase of chitosan or CDP films hydrophilicity. Similarly, Leceta et al. (2013b) and Kchaou et al. (2019) reported that the heat-treatment of chitosan and gelatin films, respectively, caused a slight decrease in WCA values, due to changes in the conformation of molecules and to the exposure of the hydrophilic groups toward the surface.

3.4. Films thickness and mechanical properties of CDP-based MR-treated films
Maintaining their integrity is very important for coating applied for food packaging, to endure the distribution, treatment and storage occurring stress. In order to have information about flexibility and stretchability of the different films, their mechanical properties, regarding tensile strength (TS) and elongation at break (EAB), were evaluated. Firstly, results from Table 3 show that all films had similar thickness, around 0.026 µm ($p > 0.05$).

Further, as can be seen, among all film samples, the group of chitosan-based films showed the highest TS and EAB ($p < 0.05$) values than CDP-based films groups, thus indicating that the decrease in Mw of CDP leads to a notably decrease in films mechanical properties. According to the literature, comparison of mechanical properties of chitosan-based films is difficult related to the variations in Mw, acetylation degree, concentration of chitosan and plasticizer, as well as film preparation and test conditions. Similarly, Leceta et al. (2013a) reported that films mechanical properties were related to chitosan physicochemical characteristic and contrarily to our results, they demonstrate that low Mw chitosan-based films exhibited lower TS but higher EAB than higher Mw chitosan films. Furthermore, the addition of glucose resulted in a decrease of both TS and EAB of the three unheated different Mw-chitosan-based films. This decrease is in contradiction with results of Kchaou et al. (2018) who stated that the addition of glucose in gelatin-based films did not affect the mechanical parameters of unheated films. However, a significant increase of TS and EAB values was observed after thermal treatment of the films F1-90, F2-90 and F3-90, as compared to the free-glucose control films, and after MR in the films F1-Glu-90, F2-Glu-90 and F3-Glu-90, regarding to control glucose-containing films. The best properties were observed in the film F1-90, followed by F1-Glu-90 and F2-90 ($p < 0.05$). Our results disagreed with those reported by of Hosseini, Razavi, & Mousavi (2009) and Affes et al. (2020a) who suggested that the increase in the EAB of the films can be ascribed to the increased WC values. According to the literature, the improvement of mechanical properties of heated films is highly dependent on the
distribution and density of both intermolecular and intramolecular interactions in the network created in chitosan films, thus leading to the formation of more compact structure induced by crosslinking through MR (Park et al., 1999).

3.5. Effect of MR crosslinking on the thermal behavior of CDP-based films

The thermal stability of chitosan-based films crosslinked or not with glucose was assessed by TGA analysis, in a temperature range of 30-600 °C, in order to study the changes promoted by the effects of thermal treatment and chitosan Mw variation on the interactions between polar groups. The weight loss, temperature of maximum degradation ($T_{\text{max}}$) and final residual mass of the films, determined from TGA thermograms (Fig. 2), are illustrated in Table 4.

DTGA curves of control chitosan or CDP-based films with and without glucose indicated three steps of transformations corresponding to the main stages of weight loss. The first stage observed from 30 to 140 °C was related to the loss of free and bound water. In this stage, the weight loss ranged from 9 to 12% with a slight higher values in the films containing glucose as compared to the free-glucose films. However, for the heated films, the weight loss values decreased slightly after 24 h of thermal treatment at 90 °C and ranged from 6 to 9%. Such variations correlate with the results of WC (Table 2) which indicates that glucose-containing films (F1-Glu, F2-Glu and F3-Glu) possess higher WC values then the free ones and the treated films present lower WC than the non-heated ones. A second small weight loss (about 10%) was observed at approximately 140-240 °C. It is probably related to entrapped water through hydrogen bonds and the elimination reaction of NH$_3$, as mentioned by Martins, Cerqueira, & Vicente (2012) or to the evaporation of glycerol, as suggested by Leceta et al. (2013b). In the case of the heated films, the weight loss in this stage decreased in the chitosan-based films (F1-90 and F1-Glu-90) and disappeared in the films containing low Mw-CDP (C1 and C24), thus indicating a change in the structure of films after heat treatment.
The third stage corresponds to the degradation or the decomposition of chitosan and CDP chains (Martins et al., 2012). This transformation revealed the main stage of weight loss, between 45 and 49% for unheated films. Higher weight loss values were obtained for heated films from 51 to 57%. Regarding the $T_{\text{max}}$, relative values showed that the glucose incorporation does not affect the temperature of degradation of control chitosan or CDP-based films. However, thermal treatment allows to a slight increase in the $T_{\text{max}}$ of the films with and without glucose. The better thermal resistance in treated free-glucose films could be due to the generation of new interactions between chitosan chains. Whereas, the development of MRP in heated chitosan-glucose-based films may explain the increase of their thermal stability. Further, chitosan-based films revealed higher $T_{\text{max}}$ values, about 300 °C, as compared to those containing CDP-C24 and CDP-C1, around 280 and 270 °C, respectively. The residual weight at 600 °C was higher when low Mw-CDP were used and for the heated films regarding the unheated ones. These results confirm that thermal treatment and MR modified the structure of the films leading to a more thermally stable matrix which enhance the films functional properties (Leceta et al., 2013b), as shown by the decrease of WS values.
**Figure 2:** DTGA thermograms of chitosan (A) and chitosan derivatives, CDP-C1 (B) and CDP-C24 (C), based films with and without glucose before and after thermal treatment at 90 °C.

**Table 4:** Weight loss, maximal degradation temperature ($T_{\text{max}}$) and residue as function of degradation temperatures, based on the TGA thermograms of chitosan or CDP based films conjugated or not with glucose through Maillard reaction (MR) at 90 °C as function of time (0 and 24 h).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Films</th>
<th>Temperature range for weight loss at different stages (°C)</th>
<th>Weight loss (%)</th>
<th>Residual weight (%) at 600 °C</th>
<th>$T_{\text{max}}$ (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>30.0 - 135.1</td>
<td>9.67</td>
<td>68.57</td>
<td>31.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>135.1 - 241.6</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>241.6 - 600</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1-90</td>
<td>30.0 - 131.4</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>67.80</td>
<td>32.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>131.4 - 240</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>240 – 600.0</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1-Glu</td>
<td>30.0 – 127.31</td>
<td>11.71</td>
<td>69.63</td>
<td>30.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>127.31 – 228.59</td>
<td>12.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>231.3 – 600.0</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1-Glu-90</td>
<td>30.0 - 128.4</td>
<td>6.95</td>
<td>66.90</td>
<td>33.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>128.4 - 231.3</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>231.0 – 600.0</td>
<td>51.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>30 – 106.0</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>68.37</td>
<td>31.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>106.0 – 208.3</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>208.3 – 600.0</td>
<td>44.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2-90</td>
<td>30.0 – 139.3</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>63.92</td>
<td>36.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>139.3 – 600.0</td>
<td>54.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2-Glu</td>
<td>30.0 – 115.7</td>
<td>12.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>115.7 – 193.8</td>
<td>10.88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>193.8 – 600.0</td>
<td>44.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2-Glu-90</td>
<td>30.0 – 128.4</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>128.4 – 600.0</td>
<td>55.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>30.0 - 112.1</td>
<td>9.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>112.1 - 186.5</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>186.5 – 600.0</td>
<td>49.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3-90</td>
<td>30 -119.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>119.3 - 600.0</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3-Glu</td>
<td>30 - 113.3</td>
<td>9.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>113.3 - 187.7</td>
<td>9.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>187.7 - 600.0</td>
<td>48.68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3-Glu-90</td>
<td>30 – 130.2</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>130.2 – 600.0</td>
<td>56.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.6. Infrared spectroscopic analysis

Chemical bond modifications, following the establishment of interactions between functional groups in chitosan or CDP-based films due to glucose addition and MR induction, were studied using ATR–FTIR spectroscopy. FTIR spectra of the films at 0 and 24 h of heating at 90 °C are given in Fig. 3. As it can be seen, all spectra revealed the same characteristic peaks. A broad absorption peak was observed at 3370 cm\(^{-1}\) which indicates the stretching vibration of the hydroxyl groups (O–H) and the intramolecular hydrogen bonding of chitosan molecules. The characteristic signals of the CH stretching were detected at around 2875 cm\(^{-1}\). The peaks at around 1630, 1550, and 1450 cm\(^{-1}\) were attributed to C=O stretching (amide I), N–H bending (amide II) and C–CH\(_3\) distorting vibration, respectively. Further, glucose addition to the varying Mw-chitosan/CDP-based films did not cause significant difference in the spectra in terms of the location of the bands. Moreover, in the spectra of the control unheated films, the intensity of the band of amide I at 1630 cm\(^{-1}\) was always lower than that of the band of the amide II at 1550 cm\(^{-1}\), regardless of the Mw of chitosan/CDP, as a consequence of the presence of available
protonated amine groups (–NH$_3^+$) produced in the evaporation of solvent to form the films (Fernández-de Castro et al., 2016). However, thermal treatment of the films at 90 °C reduced the difference in the intensity of these two bands and the intensity of the band at 1550 cm$^{-1}$ become smaller, indicating the successful interaction, promoted by temperature, between carbonyl and amine groups in the same chitosan chain, as well as between the carbonyl group of glucose and amine group of chitosan for the films containing glucose, through crosslinking and MR. This is in agreement with the decrease of WS observed for heat-treated films. Results were consistent with those of Fernández-de Castro et al. (2016) and Gullón et al. (2016) in which the same behavior was observed when chitosan films and chitosan polymer sample, respectively, were thermally treated.
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Figure 3: FTIR spectra of chitosan (A), CDP-C1 (B) and CDP-C24 (C) films containing or not glucose, before and after heating at 90 °C during 24 h.

3.7. Structural properties of MR crosslinked CDP-based films

3.7.1. X-ray diffraction analysis

The X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was assessed in order to study the crystal lattice arrangements, the structural modifications and the molecular conformation changes of the prepared films caused by thermal treatment and MR. The X-ray diffractograms of chitosan and CDP-based films are shown in Fig. 4. Chitosan-based film (F1) exhibited a semi-crystalline structure with two main diffraction peaks at 2θ around 12 and 20 °. These characteristics peaks correspond to those of chitosan sample (2θ = 10 and 20 °) (Affes et al., 2020b), with a slight shift of the first peak position from 2θ = 10 to 12 °, corresponding to the hydrated polymorph structure of chitosan. Similar chitosan-based films pattern was obtained by Rivero et al. (2012).

The crystallinity of the CDP-based films F2 and F3 decreased, as compared to the film F1, showing a less intense peak at 2θ = 20 °, whereas, the peak at 12 ° highly decreased in the film F2 and disappeared in the film F3. The low crystallinity of these films containing low Mw-CDP was attributed to the amorphous structure (Affes et al., 2020b) and low crystallinity index values of CDP, as compared to native chitosan (Table 1). Further, the three control unheated glucose-containing films showed the same patterns as the free-glucose films.
For heated films at 90 °C, the diffractograms showed a decrease in the intensity of the peak at about 20 ° (2θ), as compared to the control films. Moreover, the intensity of this peak was lower in the heated films containing glucose regarding to free-glucose heated films, as well as in the heated films containing low Mw-CDP, as compared to those containing chitosan. However, the small peak at around 12 ° disappeared in all the thermally-treated films. Similarly, Leceta et al. (2013b) and Rivero et al. (2012) reported that the first peak of chitosan film disappeared by thermal treatment. From these diffractograms, it is obvious that chitosan films are more crystalline than CDP films and that heated films had lower crystallinity than non-heated films. The decrease of the crystallinity by thermal treatment could be related to the reduction of intermolecular interactions among chitosan chains due to the formation of cross-links through MR. Similarly, Leceta et al. (2013b) observed that chitosan film structure was influenced by the effect of temperature.
Figure 4: X-ray diffractograms of heat treated and non-treated chitosan (A) CDP-C1 (B) and CDP-C2 (C) based films with and without glucose.

3.7.2. Films microstructure

Surface electron microscopy (SEM) analysis was carried out in order to assess the microstructural modifications of the elaborated films, as a function of chitosan/CDP Mw, glucose addition and cross-linking reaction, allowing a better understanding of polymers film-forming behavior. SEM micrographs illustrated in Fig. 5 showed that, in all films, the surface was flat, compact, smooth and homogenous without apparent porosity. Further, cross-sectional images of the control films with and without glucose showed a stratified structure, with an increase of the homogeneity and order of films as the Mw of chitosan-based film was higher. Similarly, Fernández-de Castro et al. (2016) reported that chitosan and chitosan-oligosaccharides-based films had homogenous microstructure with relatively roughness. However, a more compact structure was illustrated in the cross-sections of the heated films (F1-Glu-90, F2-Glu-90 and F3-Glu-90), as compared to heated free-glucose films, being an indication of achievement of high interaction between chitosan and glucose due to thermal treatment, leading to the crosslinking through MR. Similarly, Etxabide et al. (2017) reported that a greater compact structure was observed for cross-sections of heated gelatin films with lactose, as compared to free-lactose films.
Figure 5: Surface and cross-section SEM micrographs of control chitosan (A), CDP-C1 (B) and CDP-C2 (C) based films with and without glucose and heated films containing glucose.
3.8. Antioxidant activity of CDP-based MR crosslinked films

Preventing oxidative damage in foods is known as a critical function of packaging to meet the challenge of preserving the quality of food products. Accordingly, antioxidant active packaging is emerging as a promising material to satisfy the demands. With the applications of antioxidant agents in food packaging materials, oxidation reactions are significantly reduced and thereafter the shelf-life of food products is considerably prolonged.

The antioxidant potential of chitosan and CDP-based MR-treated films was investigated through different *in vitro* antioxidant tests, including the free radical scavenging activity, using DPPH and ABTS⁺ radicals, the reducing power and the total antioxidant activity (Table 5).

Results in the present work reveal that for all antioxidant tests investigated, values were significantly (*p* < 0.05) higher in the heated-CDP-based MR crosslinked films (F1-Glu-90, F1-Glu-90, F1-Glu-90) than in the blank chitosan-based film.

The ABTS⁺ radical scavenging activity showed that, prior to heat treatment and MR induction, chitosan film (F1) exhibited the lowest potential (56.50 ± 0.50%) followed by F2 (77.37 ± 1.33%) and F3 (84.55 ± 0.39%), which is correlated to the Mw of chitosan samples (the half-inhibition concentrations (IC₅₀) values were 1.61, 0.89 and 0.7 mg/ml, respectively) (Affes et al., 2020b). Additionally, when glucose was added to the control films, a slight increase without significant difference was obtained. Furthermore, thermal treatment of free-glucose films at 90 °C showed significant increase of the radical scavenging capacity. However, this effect is negligible, as compared to those of the heated-glucose-containing films. Interestingly, after thermal treatment of these latter films, a higher significant increase of antioxidant activity especially in the films containing lower Mw-CDP (96.04 ± 0.81 and 100.00%, using C1 and C24, respectively) was observed. Similarly, Kchaou et al. (2019) reported that ABTS radical scavenging activity of fish gelatin films conjugated with glucose was significantly improved after heating at 90 °C.
Regarding DPPH radical scavenging capacity, results showed that CDP-based films F2 and especially F3 exhibited higher effect (61.90 ± 1.05 and 70.10 ± 0.50%, respectively), as compared to chitosan film (F1) (50.92 ± 0.31%). This variation is attributed to the enhanced radical scavenging activity of chitosan-derivatives in comparison to the native chitosan, as shown in our previous study (IC₅₀ values were about 3.07, 2.78 and 1.75 mg/ml for Ch, C1 and C24, respectively) (Affes et al., 2020b). Further, glucose addition increased slightly the DPPH radical scavenging activity in three different Mw-chitosan unheated films. However, the activity of the heat-treated films increased significantly in comparison with non-heated ones, especially after glucose supplementation. Thus, the highest radical scavenging capacity, which reached 99.65 ± 0.35%, was reached for the films containing the lowest Mw-CDP (F3-Glu-90), followed by F2-Glu-90 (84.62 ± 1.05%) and F1-Glu-90 (99.65 ± 0.35%). Results demonstrate that MRPs generated in the heated glucose-films increased the capacity of the films to donate hydrogen atom, allowing to stabilize the free radicals. In this context, the ability of heat-induced MRP to scavenge DPPH radical has been previously reported (Kchaou et al., 2019; Li et al., 2014; Maillard, Billaud, Chow, Ordonaud, & Nicolas, 2007).

In addition, the films capacity to covert Fe³⁺ into Fe²⁺ was investigated. This test measures particularly the antioxidant ability of MRPs as their hydroxyl groups play a role in the reducing activity through their redox potential of transferring electrons (Vhangani & Van Wyk, 2013). Results depicted in Table 5 revealed that glucose addition enhanced the films reducing power capacity even without heating and this increase is dependent on the Mw of chitosan/CDP sample. Indeed, the highest optical absorbance at 700 nm was obtained for glucose-heated films F3-Glu-90 (1.78 ± 0.03), followed by F2-Glu-90 (1.37 ± 0.07) and F1-Glu-90 (1.02 ± 0.06). On the contrary, control unheated films showed the lowest antioxidant potential. Similarly, Li et al. (2014) found that low Mw-chitosan conjugated with maltose showed higher reducing
activity than high and medium Mw chitosan-maltose systems of MRP during treatment at 100 °C.

Subsequently, the total antioxidant activity of the films was further studied. Control films showed the lowest antioxidant ability (66.57 ± 1.23, 72.10 ± 1.30 and 87.20 ± 0.78 α-tocopherol (μmol/ml) for F1 F2 and F3, respectively). On the other hand, glucose addition resulted in a slight enhancement of the films antioxidant effect, while an interesting increase was observed once after thermal treatment at 90 °C. Therefore, results suggest that MRPs could react with Mo (VI) to convert it into more stable molecules, Mo (V) by donating electrons (Kchaou et al., 2018).

From these results, the heat treatment and especially MR development may be considered as useful methods to improve the antioxidant ability of chitosan and CDP-based films, allowing to conclude that glucose-containing films, especially, F3-Glu-90, could be used as an active packaging in order to protect foods against oxidation.

**Table 5:** ABTS⁺ and DPPH radicals-scavenging activities (%), reducing power (OD 700 nm) and total antioxidant activity (α-tocopherol (µmol/ml)) values of chitosan or CDP-based films conjugated or not with glucose and before and after thermal treatment at 90 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antioxidant</th>
<th>ABTS radical scavenging activity (%)</th>
<th>DPPH radical scavenging activity (%)</th>
<th>Reducing power (OD 700 nm)</th>
<th>Total antioxidant activity (α-tocopherol (µmol/ml))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>56.50 ± 0.50 H</td>
<td>50.92 ± 0.31 H</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.01 H</td>
<td>66.57 ± 1.23 I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1-90</td>
<td>61.00 ± 1.65 G</td>
<td>58.41 ± 0.50 G</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.01 DE</td>
<td>73.77 ± 0.54 GH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1-Glu</td>
<td>57.12 ± 0.84 H</td>
<td>53.12 ± 0.03 H</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.02 FG</td>
<td>72.27 ± 067 H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1-Glu-90</td>
<td>70.76 ± 0.88 F</td>
<td>68.59 ± 1.24 E</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.06 C</td>
<td>97.21 ± 1.01 C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>77.37 ± 1.33 E</td>
<td>61.90 ± 1.05 F</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.03 G</td>
<td>72.10 ± 1.30 H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2-90</td>
<td>83.69 ± 0.65 D</td>
<td>70.35 ± 0.70 E</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.01 D</td>
<td>80.51 ± 2.12 F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2-Glu</td>
<td>79.54 ± 1.03 E</td>
<td>64.22 ± 0.81 F</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.05 EF</td>
<td>76.47 ± 1.45 G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2-Glu-90</td>
<td>96.04 ± 0.81 B</td>
<td>84.62 ± 1.05 B</td>
<td>1.37 ± 0.07 B</td>
<td>122.18 ± 0.48 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>84.55 ± 0.39 D</td>
<td>70.10 ± 0.50 E</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.02 EF</td>
<td>87.20 ± 0.78 E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3-90</td>
<td>92.35 ± 0.84 C</td>
<td>81.34 ± 73.80 C</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.01 C</td>
<td>95.50 ± 0.56 CD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F3-Glu</td>
<td>F3-Glu-90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>85.82 ± 0.61</td>
<td>73.80 ± 0.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.05</td>
<td>92.24 ± 0.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>99.65 ± 0.35</td>
<td>147.40 ± 0.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± standard deviation (n = 3). Means with different letters (A-I) and within a column indicate significant difference (p < 0.05).

4. Conclusion

In this study, different Mw chitosan or chitosan depolymerisation products (CDP)-based films, conjugated or not with glucose, were prepared and thermally treated at 90 °C during 24 h. Films physicochemical properties were enhanced by crosslinking through heat treatment, as compared to unheated films, especially in the films containing glucose due to Maillard reaction (MR) development. Meanwhile, the most efficient rate of MR was obtained by lower Mw-CDP films as confirmed by higher color changes from transparent to brown and better light barrier properties. However, water resistance properties, thermal stability and mechanical behavior were found to be better in higher Mw chitosan based films. Furthermore, antioxidant potential of heated films assessed by four different mechanisms proved that low Mw-CDP based films and more precisely, crosslinked films through MR, showed strong antioxidant activities due to the reinforcement of more functional active groups. The obtained results promote to control the extension of crosslinking in order to select the appropriate conditions for each specific application. It can be concluded that MR development is a viable method that leads to generate bioactive compounds, which confer better functional and biological properties to chitosan and CDP-based films to be satisfactory for food applications, as potential packaging that ensure food safety and extend the shelf-life of packaged food.

Credit authorship contribution statement

Sawsan Affes: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing - Original Draft.
Hana Maalej: Supervision, Conceptualization, Resources, Writing - Review & Editing.

Suming Li: Project administration, Investigation.

Rim Nasri: Project administration, Investigation.

Moncef Nasri: Supervision, Resources, Visualisation, Writing - Review & Editing.

Acknowledgements

The “Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research”, Tunisia, funded this work.

The authors gratfully acknowledge financial support provided from the framework of PHC-Utiquate program financed by CMCU project , grant N°: 19G0815.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

References


**Supplementary data**
**Figure S1:** Color change of chitosan and CDP based films with and without glucose (5%) addition and before and after heating process during 24 h at 90 °C.
Figure S2: Shape and behavior of water droplets deposited on the surface of chitosan and CDP films conjugated or not with glucose and before and after thermal treatment, as a function of time (T = 10 and T = 20 s).