



HAL
open science

Elaboration of a new ceramic membrane support from Cameroonian clays, coconut husks and eggshells: application for *Escherichia coli* bacteria retention

P. Kamgang-Syapnjeu, D. Njoya, E. Kamseu, L. Cornette de Saint Cyr, A.
Marcano-Zerpa, Sebastien Balme, Mikhael Bechelany, L. Soussan

► To cite this version:

P. Kamgang-Syapnjeu, D. Njoya, E. Kamseu, L. Cornette de Saint Cyr, A. Marcano-Zerpa, et al..
Elaboration of a new ceramic membrane support from Cameroonian clays, coconut husks and eggshells:
application for *Escherichia coli* bacteria retention. *Applied Clay Science*, 2020, 198, pp.105836.
10.1016/j.clay.2020.105836 . hal-03241190

HAL Id: hal-03241190

<https://hal.umontpellier.fr/hal-03241190>

Submitted on 28 May 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Elaboration of a new ceramic membrane support from Cameroonian clays,**
2 **coconut husks and eggshells: application for *Escherichia coli* bacteria**
3 **retention**

4
5 **P. Kamgang-Syapnjeu¹, D. Njoya¹, E. Kamseu², L. Cornette de Saint Cyr³, A. Marcano-**
6 **Zerpa³, S. Balme³, M. Bechelany³, L. Soussan^{3*}**

7
8 ¹Laboratory of Applied Inorganic Chemistry, University of Yaounde 1, PO. Box 812 Yaounde, Cameroon.

9 ²Laboratory of Materials Analysis, MIPROMALO, PO. Box 2396, Yaounde, Cameroon.

10 ³Institut Européen des Membranes, IEM – UMR 5635, ENSCM, CNRS, Univ. Montpellier, Montpellier, France

11 *Corresponding author: Laurence.Soussan@umontpellier.fr

12
13 **Abstract**

14 In this work, the feasibility to elaborate a membrane support for water
15 treatment from Cameroonian clays, coconut husks and eggshells was assessed.
16 Twenty-five plastic formulations with different percentage of raw materials were
17 tested and consolidated by thermal treatment to get the membrane supports. Mercury
18 porosimetry allowed to select five supports potentially eligible for water filtration since
19 their porosities were higher than 50%. Mechanical resistance and water absorption
20 studies then allowed to choose the best ceramic membrane support S1510 (made
21 from clays 75%, coconut husks 15% and eggshells 10% after sintering at 900°C).
22 EDX, XRD, TGA/DSC, FTIR and SEM characterizations techniques were used to
23 characterize the raw materials and selected membrane support. The selected
24 support has 52% of porosity, a mean pore diameter of 0.08 µm and a water
25 permeability of 14 013 L/h/m²/bar. The ability of the support to retain *E. coli* bacteria
26 present in a contaminated water was finally assessed. Retention tests showed 90%

27 of *E. coli* removal, making this membrane support interesting for microfiltration
28 purpose.

29 **Keywords:** Membrane support; Clays; Coconut husks; Eggshells; *E. coli* retention.

30

31 **1. Introduction**

32 The main microbial risks on health are generally associated with the ingestion of
33 water contaminated by human or animal (including birds) feces which are a source of
34 pathogenic bacteria, viruses, protozoa and helminths (WHO, 2008). Among
35 pathogenic microorganisms present in water, *E. coli* is the most common indicator for
36 faecal contamination in drinking water (Ashbolt, 2015). Chemical disinfection is
37 effective against many pathogens (especially bacteria). But the use of physical
38 barriers such as membranes to remove pathogens is particularly interesting since it is
39 efficient and lowers the chemical demand (EPA, 2012). Membrane limitations lie
40 nevertheless on damages that can occur during water treatment and thus alter their
41 retention performances. Compared to polymeric membranes, inorganic porous
42 membranes are more robust. They are commonly used to filter colloidal suspensions,
43 to remove natural organic matter and pathogenic microorganisms contained in
44 surface waters (Burggraaf and Cot, 1996).

45 Ceramic membranes are a class of inorganic materials which have specific
46 properties such as chemical stability, thermal and mechanical resistance, wide
47 diversity of microstructures, porosities and accessible geometries (Burggraaf, 1996).
48 They are prepared by deposition of one or several active layer of desired materials
49 (TiO_2 , ZrO_2 , ZnAl_2O_4 , zeolite, etc.) on an inorganic membrane support which could be
50 elaborated with mineral clays (Saffaj et al., 2004; Achiou et al., 2018). Nowadays,
51 various membrane supports have been elaborated for microfiltration and ultrafiltration

52 using different local mineral clays from Moroccan (Saffaj et al., 2006; Saja et al.,
53 2018; Majouli et al., 2011), Tunisia (Masmoudi et al., 2007; Khemakhem et al., 2009),
54 China (Kumar et al., 2019), Algeria (Bouzerara et al., 2006) with specific properties
55 (porosity and pores diameter). These works have used Methocel™ as a plasticizer
56 agent, gelatin as a gelling agent as well as amijel, amidon and calcium carbonate as
57 pore-forming agents. The porosity can also be generated by using porogens arisen
58 from natural wastes, which makes the membrane conception environmentally friendly
59 (Burggraaf and Cot, 1996). That is the case of ashes obtained from animal bones,
60 rice husk wastes (Hubadillah et al., 2018) and sugarcane bagasse (Jamalludin et al.,
61 2018) or banana peel powders (Mouiya et al., 2019) that are added to the mineral
62 clays to create porosity and then make membrane supports used for microfiltration
63 and ultrafiltration purposes.

64 In the specific context of Cameroon, the most abundant wastes available to generate
65 porogens for membrane elaboration from local clays are coconut husks and
66 eggshells. The eggshells contain about 94% of calcium carbonate and are similar to
67 the ceramic formed at low temperature (Nys et al., 2010). The addition of calcium
68 carbonate in clay also contributes to increasing its mechanical strength (Suresh and
69 Pugazhenth, 2016). In the same way, coconut husks were used to activate charcoal
70 which results in a good porosity (mixture of meso and micropores) and specific
71 surface areas between 500 m²/g and 1300 m²/g (Bamba et al., 2009). Considering
72 these specific properties, coconut husks and eggshells could be good candidates as
73 additives to create pores and to ensure a good mechanical resistance of the
74 composite material. This all the more relevant that these natural wastes accumulate
75 in nature which can induce environmental concerns. To the best of our knowledge,

76 none membrane support elaborated from a mixture of clays, coconut husks and
77 eggshells was reported yet.

78 The aim of this work was consequently to elaborate and characterize ceramic
79 membrane supports based on kaolinite clays from Cameroon, coconut husks and
80 egg shells. The obtained membrane supports were fully characterized using scanning
81 electron microscopy (SEM), Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX),
82 thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)/Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC), X-ray
83 diffraction (XRD) and mercury porosimetry. A non-pathogenic strain of *E. coli* was
84 chosen in this study as a model for bacterial contamination since its exhibit similar
85 morphology and biochemical structure than pathogenic *E. coli* strains (Ahmetagic and
86 Pemberton, 2011). The ability of the membrane support to retain *E. coli* bacteria from
87 water was finally assessed.

88

89 **2. Materials and methods**

90 **2.1 Raw materials**

91 Two kaolinite clays were used for this work. These clays were sampled in West-
92 Cameroon at a depth of 1.8 m using hand augers. The first clay was collected in
93 Mayouom and the second one in Koutaba. Coconut husks were collected nearby a
94 coconut market in Edea (Littoral-Cameroon) and eggshells were collected in several
95 cafeterias in Yaounde (Centre-Cameroon).

96

97 **2.2 Elaboration of porous ceramic supports**

98 Coconut husks and eggshells were firstly washed several times with distilled
99 water. They were then dried with mineral clays in an oven (VT5042 EK, Heraeus) at

100 100°C for 48 hours. Each sample was thereafter powdered in a porcelain mortar until
101 full passage through 100 µm mesh opening sieve.

102 25 plastic formulations with variable percentages of Mayouom clay (35–75%
103 w/w), coconut husks (0–25% w/w) and eggshells (0–25% w/w) were tested; each
104 formulation contained 25% of Koutaba clay and 15% of deionized water (i.e. 15 mL of
105 deionized water for 100 g of plastic powder).

106 Ceramic membrane supports were elaborated according to the following
107 sequence: (i) preparation of a plastic powder with different percentages of raw
108 materials; (ii) shaping the plastic powder (physical mixture) by a hydraulic press (FED
109 S. CARVER INC Menomonee Falls Wisconsin 53051) at 3.5 tons to obtain ceramic
110 disks of 4 cm diameter and 2 mm thickness; (iii) drying the supports obtained for 48 h
111 at room temperature to reach maturity and (iv) consolidation of the dried supports by
112 thermal treatment at different temperatures: 800°C, 900°C or 1000°C. The
113 implemented temperature program consisted in heating the supports from room
114 temperature to 500°C with a heating speed of 1°C min⁻¹, and then increasing to the
115 final temperature desired with a heating speed of 2°C min⁻¹. Finally, supports were
116 cooled until room temperature with a 5°C min⁻¹ cooling speed.

117

118 **2.3 Materials characterizations**

119 Different techniques were used to characterize raw materials and the
120 elaborated supports. X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were carried out on raw
121 powders with a Bruker D5000 X-ray powder diffractometer employing Cu-Kα
122 radiation of the wavelength of 1.5406 Å at room temperature at 40 kV and 30 mA in a
123 2θ range from 2 to 80° with scanning rate of 0.5/min and step of 0.02°. Energy
124 Dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis on powder using Zeiss EVO HD15 allowed to

125 determine the chemical composition. A Fourier transform infrared spectrophotometer
126 (FTIR) Nexus was used to identify the chemical function groups of clays.
127 Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) were
128 carried out under air with a temperature rise of 5°C.min⁻¹ up to 1000°C. α-Al₂O₃ was
129 used as a reference. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using a Hitachi S4800
130 was used to check the presence of possible defects in the prepared membrane
131 supports. XRD, EDX, FITR, TGA/DSC and SEM analyses were carried out once. The
132 porosity and the mean pore diameter were determined using a mercury porosimeter
133 (Auto Pore IV 9500 Micromeritics). Porosimetry analyses were done once, except for
134 the finally selected support whose measures were reproduced.

135 With the aim to use these membrane supports for water filtration, their
136 resistance to water and their water absorption were determined. To do so, the
137 supports were boiled in distilled water for 4 h and then let at room temperature for 24
138 h in distilled water. Water absorption (WA) was calculated according to **Eq. (1)**.

$$139 \quad WA = \frac{(M_f - M_i)}{M_f} \times 100 \quad \text{Eq. (1)}$$

140 where M_i is the initial mass of the support and M_f the mass after the treatment of the
141 membrane supports in water. WA measurements were reproduced (2 samples per
142 support tested).

143 The mechanical resistance of the supports was also assessed and measured
144 by the three points mechanical test (LLOYD Instrument) applied to sintered
145 parallelepiped test bars. The distance (L) separating the two extremities of the test
146 bar was 40 mm. Compressive strength (σ) was calculated using **Eq. (2)**.

$$147 \quad \sigma = \frac{3 PL}{2bh^2} \quad \text{Eq. (2)}$$

148 where: σ is the compressive strength (MPa), P the total charge applied to the flexural
149 fracture (N), L the distance between the two extremities of the test bar ($L = 40$ mm), b
150 the material width ($b = 40$ mm) and h the material thickness ($h = 9$ mm). **For each**
151 **support tested, compressive strengths were measured twice (2 samples per support).**

152

153 **2.4 Permeability of the membrane support**

154 Permeability is the ability of the membrane support to be crossed by a liquid
155 under pressure. A filtration system for flat sheet membranes was used for the flow
156 measurements that were performed in a dead-end mode and at a constant
157 transmembrane pressure (ΔP). The elaborated membrane support was used as a
158 membrane and three ΔP were implemented: 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3 bar. A filtration cell
159 (Millipore, France, Model 8050) without stirring and with a membrane surface S of
160 about 12.5 cm^2 was employed. **Fig. 1** illustrates the membrane system.

161

162 **Fig. 1.** Filtration system

163

164 This filtration cell was connected to a feed tank (1 L) containing either deionized
165 water or Phosphate-Buffered Saline (PBS) at 12.9 mM, $\text{pH} = 7.0 \pm 0.1$ to allow longer
166 filtration times. PBS is a water-based salt solution made of KH_2PO_4 1.06 g/L and
167 $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4 \cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 4.34 g/L.

168 For each ΔP , the permeate flow was monitored over time (**every 5 s**) with an
169 electronic balance until to reach a constant value. **For the support finally selected, the**
170 **permeate flow was measured twice independently; the data were then smoothed and**
171 **averaged.** The stabilized permeate flows were then normalized by the membrane
172 surface to get the corresponding flow densities ($\text{J}, \text{L/h/m}^2$). Flow densities were finally

173 reported against ΔP to obtain the mean support permeability (L_p , L/h/m²/bar)
174 according to Darcy's law (**Eq. (3)**).

$$175 \quad L_p = \frac{J}{\Delta P} \quad \text{Eq. (3)}$$

176

177 **2.5 Assessment of the bacterial retention**

178 **2.5.1 Preparation of the bacterial suspension**

179 A non-pathogenic Gram-negative *Escherichia coli* bacterium (K12 DSM 423,
180 from DSMZ, Germany) was used for the retention tests. A ready-to-use Lysogeny
181 Broth (LB) Miller culture medium was used for growth and counting (Sigma, France).
182 The bacterial cultures were prepared from frozen aliquots of *E. coli* stored at -20°C.
183 The aliquots were inoculated into fresh LB medium (4% v/v) and incubated for 18 h at
184 30°C under constant stirring (180 rpm), until the optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600nm})
185 of the bacterial culture reached nearly 5 (which corresponds approximately to 10⁹
186 CFU/mL). In these conditions, bacteria were in a stationary phase. Once prepared,
187 the bacterial culture was diluted by decades in PBS (12.9 mM, pH = 7.0 ± 0.1)
188 prepared in deionized water and exempt of nutriments to reach a bacterial
189 concentration of about 10² CFU/mL. PBS allows maintaining the bacteria cells in life
190 while avoiding their growth.

191

192 **2.5.2 Bacterial counting**

193 The bacterial concentrations in liquid samples were measured by the
194 conventional plaque assay method. For that, liquid samples (400 µL each) were
195 spread onto LB agar plates obtained by adding 15 g/L of microbiological agar
196 (Sigma, France) into LB solution. All plates were then incubated for 48 h at 37°C.
197 Once the cultivable bacteria had grown on plates, the colonies were counted,

198 knowing that each colony stemmed from one initial bacterium. The concentrations of
199 bacteria in the samples were calculated as the average number of the colonies
200 divided by the volume inoculated (i.e., 400 μ L). Each counting was duplicated. The
201 quantification limit was 3 CFU/mL. Negative controls (i.e., without bacteria) were
202 always run in parallel to check the sterility.

203

204 **2.5.3 Filtration of the bacterial suspension**

205 Filtration was carried out in the filtration cell presented in **Fig. 1**. Before
206 bacteria filtration, the whole filtration system without membrane was disinfected with
207 ethanol (70% in water) and then massively washed with sterile ultrapure water. A
208 bacterial suspension at about 10^2 CFU/mL, prepared according to section 2.5.1, was
209 used as the feed. The bacterial concentration of the suspension was initially
210 enumerated (section 2.5.2). Filtration was performed with a transmembrane pressure
211 ΔP fixed to 0.2 bar and the permeate flow (Q, L/h) was monitored over time with an
212 electronic balance. At the end of the filtration, the bacteria concentration was
213 measured in the permeate (**Fig. 1**) by the plaque assay method (section 2.5.2). **The**
214 **bacterial retention of the support that was finally selected was assayed twice.**

215

216 **3. Results and discussion**

217 **3.1 Raw materials characterizations**

218 Two natural clays were used in this study. Their crystalline phase was
219 investigated by X-ray diffraction. **Fig. 2** presents the XRD patterns of Mayouom and
220 Koutaba clays, either non-sintered or sintered at 900°C.

221

222 **Fig. 2.** XRD patterns of Mayouom (a) and Koutaba (b) clays, either non-sintered
223 (dark curves) or sintered at 900°C (red curves).

224

225 According to the International Centre for Diffraction Data (ICDD), non-sintered
226 Mayouom (**Fig. 2a**) and Koutaba (**Fig. 2b**) clays exhibit the peaks corresponding to
227 kaolinite (ICDD 01-083-0971), illite (ICDD 00-015-0603), quartz α (ICDD 00-005-
228 0490) and anatase (ICDD 01-071-1167). Goethite (ICDD 01-073-6522) appears only
229 for Koutaba clay (**Fig. 2b**).

230 After sintering at 900 °C, kaolinite and illite disappear to give mullite (ICDD-98-02-
231 8246), quartz α gives quartz β (ICDD-00-005-0490) and anatase gives rutile (ICDD-
232 01-076-0324) in both cases.

233

234 Chemical analyses of clays, coconut husks and eggshells were carried out by
235 EDX analysis (**Fig. S1**). The major phase in Mayouom clay and Koutaba clay
236 contains aluminum and silicon suggesting the presence of kaolinite. The presence of
237 silicon can be also attributed to quartz evidenced by DRX (**Fig. 2**). Coconut husks are
238 constituted exclusively of carbon and oxygen, reflecting organic matter. Eggshells
239 contain exclusively carbon, oxygen and calcium that can be attributed to calcium
240 carbonates.

241 FTIR spectra of Mayouom and Koutaba clays, either non-sintered or sintered at
242 900°C are presented in **Fig. 3**.

243

244 **Fig. 3.** FTIR spectra of Mayouom clay (a) and Koutaba clay (b), either non-sintered
245 (dark curves) or sintered at 900°C (red curves).

246

247 The FTIR spectra of raw Mayouom clay presented in **Fig. 3a** show absorption
248 bands located at 3693 cm^{-1} and 3620 cm^{-1} that can be attributed to the O-H bond
249 vibration of hydroxyl groups (El Qacimi et al., 2019).

250 According to Masmoudi et al. (2007) and Majouli et al. (2011), the bands located at
251 1003 cm^{-1} and 1088 cm^{-1} are attributed to symmetrical and asymmetrical elongation
252 vibrations of the Si-O-Si bond; the vibration band observed at 910 cm^{-1} corresponds
253 to the deformation of the Al-OH bond while the other one observed at 750 cm^{-1}
254 corresponds to the different modes of Si-O-Al bond (where Al is tetracoordinate).

255 The presence of O-H groups, Si-O-Si, Al-OH and Si-O-Al bonds can be referred to
256 the presence of kaolinite and illite shown by XRD patterns of Mayouom clay (**Fig.**
257 **2a**). The bands located at 675 cm^{-1} are attributed to Ti-O (El Qacimi et al., 2019)
258 which can be correlated to the presence of anatase.

259 After sintering Mayouom clay at 900°C (**Fig. 3a**), only two bands appear at 1055 cm^{-1}
260 and 773 cm^{-1} corresponding to Si-O-Si and Si-O-Al bonds respectively. These groups
261 can be attributed to the presence of mullite shown by XRD patterns of sintered clays.

262 The FTIR spectra of raw Koutaba clay presented in **Fig. 3b** exhibit similar
263 absorption bands as raw Mayouom clay. The bands located at 3690 cm^{-1} and 3620
264 cm^{-1} can be attributed to the O-H bond vibration of hydroxyl groups while the bands
265 located at 1010 cm^{-1} are attributed to Si-O-Si bond. The vibration band observed at
266 910 cm^{-1} corresponds to Al-OH bond and the bands observed at 777 cm^{-1}
267 correspond to Si-O-Al bond. The other ones located at 677 cm^{-1} can be attributed to
268 Fe-O and Ti-O bond. The presence of O-H groups, Si-O-Si, Al-OH, Si-O-Al, Ti-O and
269 Fe-O bonds can be correlated to the presence of kaolinite, illite and goethite seen by
270 XRD (**Fig. 2b**). After sintering Koutaba clay at 900°C (**Fig. 3b**), only two bands

271 appear at 1051 cm^{-1} and 779 cm^{-1} corresponding to Si-O-Si and Si-O-Al bonds
272 respectively, which can be linked to the presence of mullite shown by XRD (**Fig. 2b**).

273

274 TGA and DSC curves obtained for the raw materials are shown in **Fig. 4**.

275

276 **Fig. 4.** TGA/DSC curves for Mayouom clay (a), Koutaba clay (b), coconut husks (c)
277 and eggshells (d).

278

279 Mayouom clay curves (**Fig. 4a**) show an exothermic peak at 260°C with a mass loss
280 of 2% which corresponds to the organic matter decomposition (Khemakhem et al.,
281 2009). At 500°C , an endothermic peak is observed with a mass loss of 9%
282 corresponding to the deshydroxylation of kaolinite into metakaolinite (Saffaj et al.,
283 2006; El Qacimi et al., 2019).

284 Koutaba clay curves (**Fig. 4b**) show an endothermic peak at 70°C with a mass loss of
285 2% which corresponds to the elimination of the free water on the material surface
286 (Masmoudi et al., 2007; Majouli et al., 2011; El Qacimi et al., 2019). An exothermic
287 peak is observed at 260°C with a mass loss of 3% corresponding to the organic
288 matter decomposition (Khemakhem et al., 2009). At 460°C , an endothermic peak is
289 observed with a mass loss of 11% corresponding to the dehydroxylation of kaolinite
290 into metakaolinite. At 930°C , an exothermic peak is observed, without any mass loss,
291 corresponding to the structural reorganization of metakaolinite into spinel phase
292 (primary mullite) (Majouli et al., 2011; El Qacimi et al., 2019).

293 The coconut husks curve (**Fig. 4c**) shows an endothermic peak at 40°C with a mass
294 loss of 4% which corresponds to the elimination of the free water. At 320°C , an
295 exothermic peak is observed with a mass loss of 50% corresponding to the pyrolysis

296 of hemicellulose. Another exothermic peak is observed at 440°C with a mass loss of
297 46% corresponding to the pyrolysis of cellulose (Liyanage and Pieris, 2015).

298 Eggshells curves (**Fig. 4d**) show an exothermic peak at 340°C with a mass loss of
299 6% corresponding to the organic matter decomposition (Khemakhem et al., 2009). At
300 720°C, an endothermic peak with a mass loss of 42% is observed corresponding to
301 the decomposition of calcium carbonate into calcium oxide (Périnet, 1962). In fact,
302 the TGA/DSC curves of pure calcium carbonate exhibited an endothermic peak at
303 higher temperatures, i.e., between 900°C and 1000°C (Klosek-Wawrzyn et al., 2013).
304 This temperature difference could be explained by the presence of organic matter
305 residue on eggshells which act as an impurity.

306

307 **3.2 Elaboration and choice of the porous supports**

308 All supports were elaborated by the method described in section 2.2. After sintering
309 at 800°C, 900°C and 1000°C, all supports exhibit similar physical aspects for a same
310 sintering temperature (**Fig. 5**)

311

312 **Fig. 5.** General physical aspects of supports after sintering at 800°C, 900°C and
313 1000°C.

314

315 At 800°C and 1000°C, almost all supports were crumbled due to the non-grain
316 cohesion within the material. But at 900°C, all formulations exhibited a good physical
317 aspect. Supports sintered at 900°C were thus considered for the rest of the study. In
318 order to have supports with good water permeability, 5 membrane supports sintered
319 at 900°C were chosen among the 25 supports elaborated on the basis of their
320 porosity (**Table 1**). The 5 selected supports, marked in bold in **Table 1**, have

321 porosities higher than 50%. The formulation composition and particularly the
322 porogens content appear thus to be a key element regarding material porosity.

323

324 **Table 1.** Porosities and average pore size diameters of the elaborated membrane
325 supports.

326

327 Water absorption ability and mechanical properties of the 5 selected supports
328 sintered at 900°C were assessed. To do so, the supports were boiled in distilled
329 water during 4 h and then let at room temperature for 24 h in the same distilled water.

330 S1520, S2015 and S2020 crumbled while S1510 and S2010 kept their integrity even
331 after 48 h in distilled water. The water absorption (WA) of these both supports were
332 measured. It appears that WA is higher for S2010 (31.1 ± 0.2 %) than for S1510
333 (26.1 ± 0.3 %). It is worth noticing that the same values are reached after only
334 immersing 4 h the supports in distilled water at room temperature.

335 Mechanical tests were then performed on S1510 and S2010. The compressive
336 strength of support S1510 is twice as much as S2010 (2.04 ± 0.06 Mpa vs. $1.30 \pm$
337 0.03 Mpa). This induced that support S2010 broke when it was set up inside the
338 filtration cell making water flow measurements impossible with this support. For this
339 reason, the membrane support S1510 was selected as the most suitable for water
340 filtration applications.

341

342 **3.3 Characterizations of the selected support S1510**

343 **Fig. 6** shows the XRD patterns of the membrane support S1510 sintered at 900°C
344 and the TGA/DSC curves of the support before sintering.

345

346

347 **Fig. 6.** XRD patterns of the membrane support S1510 sintered at 900°C (a) and
348 TGA/DSC curves of the support before sintering (b).

349 According to the International Centre for Diffraction Data (ICDD) and **Fig. 6a**, peaks
350 corresponding to quartz β (ICDD 00-005-0490), mullite (ICDD 98-02-8246),
351 anorthite (ICDD 00-041-1486) and rutile ICDD 00-015-0603) are identified. The
352 formation of mullite at low temperature (900°C) is due to the presence of anorthite. In
353 fact, the heating of kaolinite (main phase of raw clays used) gives metakaolinite (**Fig.**
354 **4a and 4b**) which in presence of calcium oxide (**Fig. 4d**) gives anorthite. Then, the
355 heating of the overage of metakaolinite mixed to anorthite for a longer time at 900°C
356 promotes the formation of mullite (Traoré et al., 2003; Klosek-Wawrzyn et al., 2013).

357 Chemical analyses of selected membrane support S1510 were carried out by
358 EDX analysis (**Fig. S1**). The major phase contains aluminum, silicon and calcium
359 suggesting the presence of anorthite evidenced by XRD. The presence of aluminum
360 and silicon can be also attributed to mullite and to quartz.

361 TGA and DSC curves of the raw plastic powder formulation used to make the
362 membrane support are shown in **Fig. 6b**. At 50°C, an endothermic peak is observed
363 with a mass loss of 7% which corresponds to the elimination of free water on the
364 material surface (Masmoudi et al., 2007;Majouli et al., 2011). At 340°C, an
365 exothermic peak is observed with a mass loss of 15% which corresponds to the
366 decomposition of organic matter from eggshells and coconut husks (cellulose and
367 hemicellulose) (Liyanage and Pieris, 2015). At 500°C, an endothermic peak is
368 observed with mass losses of 6% corresponding to the dehydroxylation of kaolinite
369 into metakaolinite (Saffaj et al., 2006;El Qacimi et al., 2019). At 700°C, an

370 endothermic peak is observed with a mass loss of 4% which corresponds to the
371 transformation of metakaolinite mixed to calcium oxide (due to the decomposition of
372 eggshells) into anorthite (Périnet, 1962; Traoré et al., 2003).

373 SEM pictures and pore size distribution of the S1510 membrane support are
374 presented in **Fig. 7**.

375
376 **Fig. 7.** SEM pictures at different magnifications (a, b, c, d) and pore size distribution
377 (e) of the support SN1510.

378
379 Both top and cross views of the membrane support S1510 show that the
380 grains are interconnected each other forming a compact structure (**Fig. 7a to d**). The
381 pore size distribution within the support was investigated by mercury porosimetry for
382 filtration purpose (**Fig. 7e**). The distribution shows that the membrane support
383 contains a mixture of macropores and mesopores with mean diameters of 2.32 μm
384 and 0.03 μm respectively which makes the support eligible for microfiltration.

385
386 **3.4 Permeability of the selected support SN1510**

387 **Fig. 8** shows the flow densities measured over time with deionized water (**Fig. 8a**)
388 and PBS (**Fig. 8b**) for different transmembrane pressures (ΔP), and the
389 corresponding permeability curves obtained with deionized water (**Fig. 8c**) and PBS
390 (**Fig. 8d**) for the selected support S1510.

391
392 **Fig. 8.** Flow density and permeability curves obtained with deionized water (a, c) and
393 PBS (b, d) respectively.

394

395 Prior to permeability tests, the support was conditioned by immersion in deionized
396 water for 4 h at room temperature to saturate the pores of the support with water.
397 Then, the flow densities were measured for different pressures (0.1; 0.2 and 0.3 bar).
398 Whatever the matrix (either deionized water or PBS), the flow density stabilized
399 quickly, i.e., after 2 min for each pressure. As expected, experiments showed that the
400 flow density through the support increased proportionally with the pressure (**Fig. 8a**
401 **and 8b**). Increasing the pressure increases indeed the convective driving force
402 across the membrane support (Mohamed Bazin et al., 2019). In addition, the
403 permeability tests showed that the flow densities obtained with deionized water (**Fig.**
404 **8a**) were significantly higher than the ones obtained with PBS (**Fig. 8b**). In fact, the
405 presence of salts in PBS may induce a concentration polarization near the membrane
406 support, i.e., a salt concentration gradient at the membrane/solution interface (laich
407 and Messaoudi, 2014). This concentration gradient of salts formed on the membrane
408 support becomes an additional resistance to the mass transfer that reduces the flow
409 density. As a consequence, the mean support permeability for PBS (7259 ± 774
410 $\text{L/h/m}^2/\text{bar}$, **Fig. 8d**) is lower than the one measured for deionized water ($14013 \pm$
411 $1251 \text{ L/h/m}^2/\text{bar}$, **Fig. 8c**). It is worth highlighting that the water permeability reached
412 with the elaborated support S1510 is higher than the water permeability reported in
413 other works for microfiltration ceramic membranes elaborated by natural mineral
414 clays (**Table 3**). ~~$867 \text{ L/h/m}^2/\text{bar}$, Khemakhem et al., 2009; $1434 \text{ L/h/m}^2/\text{bar}$, Saja et~~
415 ~~$\text{al.}, 2018$; $121 \text{ L/h/m}^2/\text{bar}$, El-Qacimi et al., 2019).~~

416

417 **Table 3.** Comparison with other works reported in literature.

418

419 **3.5 Bacterial retention of the selected support S1510**

420 In order to assess the ability of the selected support to retain bacteria, a
421 bacterial suspension at about 10^2 CFU/mL in PBS was filtrated at 0.2 bar in a dead-
422 end filtration cell (**Fig. 1**). PBS was chosen as a matrix to avoid osmosis phenomena
423 that could lyse bacteria cells; besides, this matrix is more representative of real water
424 in terms of ionic force. Bacteria were counted in the feed suspension and the
425 permeate (**Fig. 1**) by the plaque assay method (section 2.5.2). **Table 2** shows the
426 results obtained.

427

428 **Table 2.** Counted bacteria in the feed and permeate.

429

430 The concentration of bacteria in the permeate was reduced by a factor 10
431 compared to the feed (**Table 2**): 32 vs 307 CFU/mL corresponding to 8×10^3 vs. $8 \times$
432 10^4 CFU since 250 mL of bacterial suspension were filtrated. This means that the
433 selected ceramic support S1510 retains about 90% of bacteria, corresponding to 1
434 log-removal. The selected support allows thus a significant bacterial retention (WHO,
435 2008) **that is consistent with the bacterial retention reported by Kaetzi et al. (2020) for**
436 **Miscanthus-biochar filters (Table 3).** Knowing that the usual *E. coli* bacteria size is
437 about 0.6–4 μm (Sayato, 1989), retention can be explained by steric considerations
438 since the support pores range from 0.03 μm to 2.32 μm and even up to 0.01 μm (**Fig.**
439 **7e**). The pore size distribution also explains the presence of bacteria in the permeate
440 since the bacteria meeting the biggest pores can pass through the support.

441 In addition, it is worth noticing that the permeate flow density at 0.2 bar did not exhibit
442 any decrease during the filtration of the bacterial feed (**Fig. 9**). Besides, the flow
443 densities obtained with PBS alone (1384 L/h/m²) and with the bacterial feed prepared

444 in PBS (1198 L/h/m²) did not show significant difference. This means that little or no
445 fouling occurred during the filtration. Longer filtration time will be further implemented
446 to study the fouling.

447

448 **Fig. 9.** Permeate flow densities at 0.2 bar with PBS and bacterial feed.

449

450 **4. Conclusions**

451 A new ceramic membrane support was elaborated in this work from natural sources
452 including low-cost organic wastes. The formulation composition and the sintering
453 temperature were evidenced to impact the material porosity and mechanical
454 resistance. It was prepared from a plastic powder containing 75% of natural
455 Cameroonian clays, 15% of coconut husks and 10% of eggshells. The elaboration
456 protocol was quite simple since the powder was shaped (disk sheet) by pressing and
457 then sintering at 900°C. The structural properties of the ceramic support elaborated
458 are satisfying for microfiltration use in terms of porosity (52%) and pore distribution
459 (with a mean pore diameter of 0.08 µm). Its water and PBS permeabilities are
460 respectively 14013 L/h/m²/bar and 7258 L/h/m²/bar. Compared to other studies in
461 which local natural clays have been used with or without organic wastes to make
462 ceramic membranes, the resulting porosity and permeability were among the highest
463 ones reported, which could make possible an application at a larger scale. In
464 addition, this membrane support has the ability to retain 90% of *E. coli* bacteria and
465 can be considered for a preliminary water treatment. Work is in progress in order to
466 deposit an additional ceramic membrane layer to improve the bacteria retention.
467 Membrane fouling will also be further investigated. As a perspective, a multi-tubular

468 geometry of the support could be envisaged for the scale-up and to work in a parallel
469 flow mode to contribute to reduce the fouling during operation.

470

471 **Acknowledgements**

472 The French Embassy in Cameroon is thanked for supporting this work at the
473 European Institute of Membranes in Montpellier-France.

474

475 **References**

- 476 Achiou, B., Beqqour, D., Elomari, H., Bouazizi, A., Ouammou, M., Bouhria, M.,
477 Aaddane, A., Khiat, K., & Alami Younssi, S. (2018). Preparation of inexpensive
478 NaA zeolite membrane on pozzolan support at low temperature for dehydration
479 of alcohol solutions. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 6(4),
480 4429–4437. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2018.06.049>
- 481 Ahmetagic, A., & Pemberton, J. M. (2011). Antibiotic resistant mutants of Escherichia
482 coli K12 show increases in heterologous gene expression. *Plasmid*, 65(1), 51–
483 57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plasmid.2010.11.004>
- 484 Ashbolt, N. J. (2015). Microbial Contamination of Drinking Water and Human Health
485 from Community Water Systems. *Current Environmental Health Reports*, 2(1),
486 95–106. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40572-014-0037-5>
- 487 Bamba, D., Dongui, B., Trokourey, A., & Zoro, G. E. (2009). Etudes comparées des
488 méthodes de préparation du charbon actif , suivies d ' un test de dépollution d '
489 une eau contaminée au diuron Comparative studies of activated carbon
490 preparation methods , followed by depollution test of diuron contaminated water.

491 *J. Soc. Ouest-Afr. Chim (2009) 028, 028(October 2015), 41–52.*

492 Bouzerara, F., Harabi, A., Achour, S., & Larbot, A. (2006). Porous ceramic supports
493 for membranes prepared from kaolin and doloma mixtures. *Journal of the*
494 *European Ceramic Society, 26(9), 1663–1671.*
495 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2005.03.244>

496 Burggraaf, A. J. (1996). Chapter 2 Important characteristics of inorganic membranes.
497 *Membrane Science and Technology, 4(C), 21–34.*
498 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-5193\(96\)80005-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-5193(96)80005-2)

499 Burggraaf, A. J., & Cot, L. (1996). Chapter 1 General overview, trends and prospects.
500 *Membrane Science and Technology, 4(C), 1–20.* [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-5193(96)80004-0)
501 [5193\(96\)80004-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-5193(96)80004-0)

502 El Qacimi, N., El Baraka, N., Saffaj, N., Mamouni, R., Laknifli, A., Alami Younssi, S.,
503 Faouzi, A., & Zidouh, H. (2019). Preparation and characterization of flat
504 membrane support based on Sahara Moroccan clay: Application to the filtration
505 of textile effluents. *Desalination and Water Treatment, 143, 111–117.*
506 <https://doi.org/10.5004/dwt.2019.23516>

507 EPA (2012). "Edition of the Drinking Water Standards and Health Advisories." Office
508 of Water, United States Environmental Protection Agency 822-S-12-001.
509

510 Hubadillah, S. K., Othman, M. H. D., Ismail, A. F., Rahman, M. A., Jaafar, J.,
511 Iwamoto, Y., Honda, S., Dzahir, M. I. H. M., & Yusop, M. Z. M. (2018).
512 Fabrication of low cost, green silica based ceramic hollow fibre membrane
513 prepared from waste rice husk for water filtration application. *Ceramics*
514 *International, 44(9), 10498–10509.*

515 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2018.03.067>

516 Laich, S., and Messaoudi, L. (2014). Preparation of new ceramic supports macro-
517 porous for microfiltration and ultrafiltration membranes based Moroccan clay.
518 *IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering*, 11(2), 56–62.
519 <https://doi.org/10.9790/1684-11265662>

520 Jamalludin, M. R., Harun, Z., Othman, M. H. D., Hubadillah, S. K., Yunos, M. Z., &
521 Ismail, A. F. (2018). Morphology and property study of green ceramic hollow
522 fiber membrane derived from waste sugarcane bagasse ash (WSBA). *Ceramics*
523 *International*, 44(15), 18450–18461.
524 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2018.07.063>

525 **Kaetzl, K., Lübken, M., Nettmann, E., Krimmler, S., & Wichern, M. (2020). Slow sand**
526 **filtration of raw wastewater using biochar as an alternative filtration media.**
527 ***Scientific reports*, 10(1), 1-11.**

528 Khemakhem, S., Larbot, A., & Ben Amar, R. (2009). New ceramic microfiltration
529 membranes from Tunisian natural materials: Application for the cuttlefish
530 effluents treatment. *Ceramics International*, 35(1), 55–61.
531 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2007.09.117>

532 Klosek-Wawrzyn, E., Malolepszy, J., & Murzyn, P. (2013). Sintering behavior of
533 kaolin with calcite. *Procedia Engineering*, 57, 572–582.
534 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2013.04.073>

535 Kumar, C. M., Roshni, M., & Vasanth, D. (2019). Treatment of aqueous bacterial
536 solution using ceramic membrane prepared from cheaper clays: A detailed
537 investigation of fouling and cleaning. *Journal of Water Process Engineering*,

538 29(December 2018), 100797. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2019.100797>

539 Liyanage, C. D., & Pieris, M. (2015). A Physico-Chemical Analysis of Coconut Shell
540 Powder. *Procedia Chemistry*, 16, 222–228.
541 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proche.2015.12.045>

542 Majouli, A., Younssi, S. A., Tahiri, S., Albizane, A., Loukili, H., & Belhaj, M. (2011).
543 Characterization of flat membrane support elaborated from local Moroccan
544 Perlite. *Desalination*, 277(1–3), 61–66.
545 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2011.04.003>

546 Masmoudi, S., Larbot, A., Feki, H. El, & Amar, R. Ben. (2007). Elaboration and
547 characterisation of apatite based mineral supports for microfiltration and
548 ultrafiltration membranes. *Ceramics International*, 33(3), 337–344.
549 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2005.10.001>

550 Mohamed Bazin, M., Ahmad, N., & Nakamura, Y. (2019). Preparation of porous
551 ceramic membranes from Sayong ball clay. *Journal of Asian Ceramic Societies*,
552 7(4), 417–425. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21870764.2019.1658339>

553 Mouiya, M., Bouazizi, A., Abourriche, A., Benhammou, A., El Hafiane, Y., Ouammou,
554 M., Abouliatim, Y., Younssi, S. A., Smith, A., & Hannache, H. (2019). Fabrication
555 and characterization of a ceramic membrane from clay and banana peel powder:
556 Application to industrial wastewater treatment. *Materials Chemistry and Physics*,
557 227, 291–301. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2019.02.011>

558 Nys, Y., Hincke, M. T., Hernandez-Hernandez, A., Rodriguez-Navarro, A. B., Gomez-
559 Morales, J., Jonchère, V., Garcia-Ruiz, J. M., & Gautron, J. (2010). Structure,
560 propriétés et minéralisation de la coquille de l'œuf Rôle de la matrice organique

561 dans le contrôle de sa fabrication. *Productions Animales*, 23(2), 143–154.

562 Périnet, G. (1962). La synthèse de l'anorthite au cours de la cuisson des céramiques.
563 *Bulletin de La Société Française de Minéralogie et de Cristallographie*, 85(2),
564 120–122. <https://doi.org/10.3406/bulmi.1962.5555>

565 Saffaj, N., Alami Younssi, S., Albizane, A., Messouadi, A., Bouhria, M., Persin, M.,
566 Cretin, M., & Larbot, A. (2004). Elaboration and properties of TiO₂-ZnAl₂O₄
567 ultrafiltration membranes deposited on cordierite support. *Separation and*
568 *Purification Technology*, 36(2), 107–114. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1383-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5866(03)00203-X)
569 [5866\(03\)00203-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5866(03)00203-X)

570 Saffaj, Nabil, Persin, M., Younsi, S. A., Albizane, A., Cretin, M., & Larbot, A. (2006).
571 Elaboration and characterization of microfiltration and ultrafiltration membranes
572 deposited on raw support prepared from natural Moroccan clay: Application to
573 filtration of solution containing dyes and salts. *Applied Clay Science*, 31(1–2),
574 110–119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2005.07.002>

575 Saja, S., Bouazizi, A., Achiou, B., Ouammou, M., Albizane, A., Bennazha, J., &
576 Younssi, S. A. (2018). Elaboration and characterization of low-cost ceramic
577 membrane made from natural Moroccan perlite for treatment of industrial
578 wastewater. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 6(1), 451–458.
579 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2017.12.004>

580 Sayato, Y. (1989). WHO Guidelines for Drinking-Water Quality. *Eisei Kagaku*, 35(5),
581 307–312. <https://doi.org/10.1248/jhs1956.35.307>

582 Suresh, K., & Pugazhenti, G. (2016). Development of ceramic membranes from low-
583 cost clays for the separation of oil–water emulsion. *Desalination and Water*

584 *Treatment*, 57(5), 1927–1939. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19443994.2014.979445>

585 Traoré, K., Kabré, T. S., & Blanchart, P. (2003). Gehlenite and anorthite
586 crystallisation from kaolinite and calcite mix. *Ceramics International*, 29(4), 377–
587 383. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-8842\(02\)00148-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-8842(02)00148-7)

588 WHO, 2008, Guidelines for drinking-water quality: incorporating 1st and 2nd
589 addenda, Recommendations.—3rd ed. Volume 1, Geneva, World Health
590 Organization.

591

592