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The layered siloxene and germanane, derived from CaSi2 and CaGe2, respectively, have shown very promising results as anodes for Lithium-ion batteries. Their delivered capacities, capacity retention and high rate cycling are superior compared to bulk Si and Ge. These positive features are most probably related to the layered morphology that buffers the volume changes and improves the kinetics. Despite numerous recently published studies regarding their electrochemical properties, very little is known about their electrochemical mechanism. In this work, we have used a combination of different characterization techniques to study the processes taking place during the lithiation of siloxene and germanane and compared with Si and Ge. Our results suggest a slightly different pathway for the lithiation of siloxene and germanane: their initial layered morphology is preserved after cycling, the crystalline Li1.5Si4 and Li1.5Ge4 characteristic of an alloying mechanism are absent and possibly different lithiated intermediates are formed. We provide then, an initial assessment of the involved Li,Si and Li,Ge phases and propose the hypothesis of a reversible Li intercalation in the siloxene and germanane layers.
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Silicon and germanium have emerged as potential candidates for LIB due to their high theoretical capacities, 3579 mAh g−1 (Li1.5Si4) and 1384 mAh g−1 (Li1.5Ge4). Nevertheless, the high Li uptake brings up challenges such as volumetric expansion and/or unstable SEI layer, which are detrimental to the electrode and leads to capacity fade. Different strategies have been proposed in the literature to overcome these issues, from the structural modification to the electrode and electrolyte formulation.1 Likewise, it has been demonstrated that the use of a layered material can buffer the volume changes while improving the overall kinetics of the system.2 Silicon and germanium present various compounds with layered structure, among them the siloxene and germanane, obtained after the topotactic deintercalation of Ca2+ from CaSi2 and CaGe2, respectively.3,4 These compounds share a basic Si or Ge backbone composed of Si8 or Ge8 rings interconnected to form planes with Ca2+ intercalated for CaSi2 and CaGe2, –OH–H for siloxene and only –H for germanane. These two latter ones have found great applications in the field of optoelectronics due to their luminescence properties and only recently have attracted attention in the battery domain. We have previously reported their electrochemical performance as anode for Lithium-ion batteries (LIB), with improved results compared to bulk Si and Ge, in terms of delivered capacities, capacity retention and high rate cycling.3,4 Other studies have also considered siloxene and germanane or their derivates as electrode materials with very promising results5–10 but very little attention has been given to their electrochemical mechanism. Hence, the reasons behind their improved performance or the role of the layered morphology in the electrochemistry remain unknown. Indeed, it has been demonstrated that the structure, particle morphology and cycling conditions have a key role in the lithiated species formed during the cycling of Si and Ge and we believe that this might be the case for siloxene and germanane as well.11,11 In this work we combine a series of characterization techniques such as, X-ray diffraction (XRD), Scanning and transmission electron microscopy (SEM and TEM), Raman and IR spectroscopy, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and 9Li Magic Angle Spinning Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (MAS NMR) to gather information about the lithiation of siloxene and germanane and compare the results with previous reports for Si and Ge. Our findings suggest a different behavior for the lithiation of siloxene and germanane compared to bulk Si and bulk Ge. Particularly, the characteristic features for the alloying reaction are almost absent and evidence points to the preservation of the initial layered morphology. This information led us to propose the hypothesis of a possible intercalation of Li in the siloxene/germanane layers, although further experiments are needed to confirm it. This work, then, sets the basics for the understanding of the processes taking place in layered siloxene and germanane in order to unfold their true potential as energy storage materials.

Experimental

Electrode preparation.—The electrodes were prepared with a weight ratio was 1:1:1 of active material (siloxene/germanane), C45 conductive additive and CMC (carboxymethyl cellulose) binder (CMC-DS = 0.9, Mw = 700 000 Aldrich). The active material was pre-mixed with the carbon additive by SPEX for 10 min using 1 ball per 100 mg of total mass. Following, the resulting powder was mixed with the binder using a mortar and 1 ml of distilled water with 0.1% of Triton X dispersant. The slurry was magnetically stirred for one night and casted either onto a Cu or a Mylar foil (self-supported electrode—to rule out the contribution of the Cu current collector to the different characterizations), using a Doctor Blade (100 μm thickness), dried under ambient conditions for one day and vacuum dried at 70 °C overnight.

In situ XRD.—The diffractograms were recorded in a Bruker D8 Advanced diffractometer with Cu radiation (λ1 = 1.54056 Å, λ2 = 1.54439 Å), using a specifically designed cell with Be window.12 The cell was assembled with a self-supported electrode, using 1 M LiPF6 in EC/DMC with 1% FEC, a Whatman glass fiber separator.
and Li metal as a counter electrode. To ensure a complete stabilization of the intermediates, the cycling was performed at C/40 (C/n = 1Li/n h) vs Li/Li+3. The diffraction patterns were recorded at a set potential, in a 2θ range of 8°–40° for one hour.

**Ex situ characterizations.**—Self-supported electrodes were cycled in Swagelok cells, with 1 M LiPF6, EC/DMC + 1% FEC, a Whatman glass fiber separator and Li metal as a counter electrode, at a rate of C/20 (C/n = 1Li/n h) between 0.001–2.0 V vs Li/Li+. The electrodes were recovered inside an Ar-filled glove box, washed with DMC and dried under vacuum.

The cycled electrodes were characterized by Raman spectroscopy using a DOR 2 Raman Microscope spectrometer (Thermo-Fisher Scientific, 532-nm excitation). In order to avoid contact with air, the samples were placed in a glass lid and sealed with Kapton foil. The Fourier Transformed Infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) of the intermediates and differences between the electrochemical lithiation mechanism was performed using a DXR 2 Raman Microscope spectrometer (Thermo-Fisher Scientific). The Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) was performed in a TEM-FEI Tecnai F20 S-TWIN, operating at 200 kV and equipped with EDX (X INCA Oxford). The Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM), Scanning Transmission Electron Microscopy (STEM) and Energy Loss Spectra (EELS) were performed in a TEM-FEI Tecnai F20 S-TWIN, operating at 200 kV fitted with a Gatan Image Filter Tridiem in post column. The electron diffraction patterns were obtained with Selected Area Electron Diffraction (SAED). The EELS was performed with $\text{T}_{\text{W}}$ fi and $\text{O}_{\text{N}}$ fi $\text{I}_{\text{G}}$ fi expressed in a.u. for comparison purpose.

**Results and Discussion**

Previously, we have reported the synthesis and electrochemical properties of siloxene and germanane. Their galvanostatic cycling suggested a different profile compared to bulk Si and bulk Ge. Indeed, their electrochemical mechanism is related to their structure and cycling conditions, meaning that different crystalline or amorphous lithiated phases can be stabilized. By a combination of different characterization techniques we have identified the similarities and differences between the electrochemical lithiation mechanisms of siloxene and germanane with previous reports on bulk Si and Ge.

Firstly, an in situ XRD experiment was performed on siloxene and germanane film cycled vs Li+/Li+3, to identify the possible presence of any Li$_x$X$_y$ -type (X = Si, Ge) crystalline phase, characteristic of the alloying reaction with Li (Fig. 1). The experiments were performed at a low C rate of C/40, in order to allow the stabilization of the different phases. Figure 1a shows the lithiation of siloxene, most of the XRD patterns are mainly amorphous and only a very huge hump is observed centered at 15°. At potentials lower than 100 mV, no crystalline phase is observed, contrary to the findings for bulk Si where the crystallization of Li$_{15}$Si$_4$ takes place, instead, a second hump appears at around 22.5°. These observations are consistent with Gao et al., who after lithiation identified broad and intense siloxene XRD peaks, accompanied by two broad humps at 15° and 22.5°. Figure 1b presents the in situ XRD of germanane, here the increased number of electrons in Ge allowed a stronger diffraction and it was possible to observe the (002) Bragg reflection. This peak is visible until $\approx$1.5 V, following there is an amorphous region until $\approx$0.4 V, where humps appear at around 23° and 40°. These humps increase in intensity as the potential decreases and then reach the end of discharge they have transformed into very broad peaks that shift towards lower angles and later to higher angles before the end of discharge. These broad peaks are not related to the presence of Li$_x$Ge$_y$ or Li$_x$Ge$_z$ and might correspond to weakly crystallized Li$_x$Ge phases with different lithiation degrees. As we have previously reported, Ge is particularly sensitive to the cycling conditions and different stoichiometries can be stabilized during the lithiation. We believe that germanane is not the exception and more than one weakly crystallized lithiated phase can be present at a given stage.

Raman spectroscopy was used as a complementary technique to study the system. Figure 2a show the Raman spectra for Si$_2$Ge, siloxene and lithiated/delithiated siloxene. All of them present the same basic structure of Si-Si bonds in Si-planes defined by an intense peak centered between 492–507 cm$^{-1}$ and the second order TA and TO at 283 and 900 cm$^{-1}$. Upon Ca deintercalation from CaSi$_2$ to form siloxene, new bands appear at 635, 735 and

ascribed to the Si–H vibrations. These bands disappear upon electrochemical (de)lithiation. The Infrared spectroscopy results (Fig. S1 is available online at stacks.iop.org/JES/168/010510/mmedia) corroborate these findings and show a decrease in intensity of the –OH bands, particularly for the delithiated siloxene. Interestingly, the Si planes Raman vibration modes and the Si–O–Si, H–Si–O IR bands are preserved, possibly indicating no structural degradation or/and formation of Li-silicates upon cycling. Additional Raman bands emerge at 1330/1600 cm$^{-1}$ corresponding to the disordered (D) $A_{1g}$ breathing mode of defects/vibrations and the graphitized (G) $E_{2g}$ vibration of the C–C plane from the conductive carbon$^{16}$ and IR bands at 1445/1310 cm$^{-1}$, ascribed to the electrolyte decomposition products ($1650$ (C=O), $1398$ (C–H), $1300$ (C=O) cm$^{-1}$).$^{16}$

The main Si–Si planes Raman band position appears to be related with the cation type; for CaSi$_2$ it is centered at 493 cm$^{-1}$ and at 497 cm$^{-1}$ once the Ca is replaced by –H and –OH in siloxene. Upon lithiation it shifts to 505 cm$^{-1}$ and after delithiation to 492 cm$^{-1}$. This shift could be related with a change in the interlayer separation, for CaSi$_2$ only one Ca$^{2+}$ is intercalated, for siloxene there are –H/OH above and below the planes, which are probably substituted by Li in the lithiated siloxene. These changes increase the interlayer distance and result in a Raman shift. Note that several experiments were performed in order to rule out possible interferences due to sample inhomogeneity, in all cases the trend was similar. This phenomenon was further investigated by in situ Raman spectroscopy (Fig. S2). Despite the poor signal/noise result caused by the cell configuration it can be observed that the Si–Si band is maintained all along the discharge, yet with low intensity at the end of discharge.

Following, the Raman spectra of the lithiated siloxene was compared with different lithiated references prone to be formed during the lithiation (Li$_{12}$Si, Li$_{22}$Si, Li$_{12}$Si) (Fig. 2e). Only the LiSi spectrum resembles the one of lithiated siloxene, although the main bands are centered at different wavelengths ($511$ cm$^{-1}$-LiSi and $505$ cm$^{-1}$-Li-siloxene).$^{17}$ The LiSi has several layered poly-morphs, one of them with the same Si$_6$ rings planes as in siloxene.$^{18}$ Its theoretical capacity is 954 mAh g$^{-1}$ which is lower than the capacity values obtained for siloxene (2300 mAh g$^{-1}$),$^{4}$ meaning that possibly both structures resemble but somehow the siloxene is able to store more lithium, or other LixSi phases are involved.

The Raman spectrum for the pristine germanane (Fig. 2b) shows only one peak centered at 302 cm$^{-1}$, assigned to the Ge–Ge bonds in the planes. Upon lithiation/delithiation, this peak shifts to 290 cm$^{-1}$, and the D and G bands from the carbon additive appear at 1330 and 1600 cm$^{-1}$. Contrary to the siloxene this Raman shift is not reversible after Li deinsertion. The redshift of the Ge–Ge peak suggests a change in the interlayer distance that could be also related with the presence of mainly Ge–H bonds and few/no Ge–OH, as germanane has a preferential bonding with −H (Fig. 2b).$^{20}$ similar findings have been reported in the literature, where a broad band at $269$ cm$^{-1}$ was attributed to the amorphization of germanane, caused by the oxidation and dehydrogenation after cycling.

To further investigate the preservation of the siloxene and germanane planes, SEM and TEM imaging was performed on the electrodes after Li-ion extraction and insertion (Figs. 3, S3, S4). The particles have an inhomogeneous size distribution with several

---

**Figure 2.** Raman spectra of (a) CaSi$_2$, siloxene and lithiated/delithiated siloxene and (b) CaGe$_2$, germanane and lithiated/delithiated germanane and (c) lithiated siloxene compared with LiSi, Li$_{12}$Si, Li$_{22}$Si, Li$_{12}$Si.

**Figure 3.** TEM images of the lithiated electrode of (a) siloxene and (b) germanane.
lateral sizes formed of various stacked layers surrounded by the carbon conductive additive and covered by a decomposition layer. In all cases, remains of the layered morphology are observed, in agreement with the reports from Pazhamalai et al.\textsuperscript{21} For germanane some of the layers are exfoliated and the edges are smoothed, probably due to the formation of a passivation layer on the surface, which appear to be thicker compared to siloxene. A deeper analysis of the particles surface revealed some differences; in siloxene two different morphologies consisting of multiple nanocrystals and a smooth layer are found (Fig. 4a). The first one is ascribed to the presence of polycrystalline LiF based on the electron diffraction and EELs results (Fig. 4b), while the second one has an amorphous character. The germanane decomposition layer is also composed of multiple particles, which are amorphous (Fig. 4c) and correspond mainly to the presence of Li$_2$O as observed by EELs (Figs. 4c, 4d). Possibly, the preferential bonding of germanane with –H over –OH
has an impact on the different decomposition products formed upon cycling. Interestingly, in siloxene, the amorphous compound is found in the areas in which the sharpness of the layers is preserved. An EELs study in these areas (Fig. 5) revealed the presence of various signals corresponding to Li₂O (Li K-edge double band at 60.1 and 64.5 eV), lithium-carbonate type Li₂CO₃ or methyl lithium carbonate (LiC₂O₃H₃) (double band at 62.3 and 67.5 eV) and a mixture of multiple contributions type lithium oxide, lithium carbonate and lithium fluoride. An additional contribution present in all the spectra is possibly related to the Li in the siloxene layer. Unfortunately, due to the penetration depth the EELS and the significant thickness of the SEI layer, an analysis of the bulk of the layers was not possible. The composition of the SEI layer appears then to be inhomogeneous with local variations and areas with non-lithiated compounds, as previously observed for other Si-based electrodes.

The EELS spectra at the Si-edge (Fig. S5) has been also analyzed for pristine siloxene. It presents the characteristic signal from Si⁴⁺. For the discharged siloxene electrode, the shoulder at low energy that accompanied the peak at 110 eV disappears and is replaced by a shoulder at high energy. This change in profile could be an indicator of the presence of Li in the siloxene layers or could also come from a decomposition product type-LiₓSiOₓ. The analysis also showed the presence of areas without lithium, depicting an inhomogeneous lithiation process.

In order to further investigate this decomposition layer and gather information about the presence of Li inside the siloxene layers, X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) was performed. In general, the Si₂p states of siloxene can be resolved into two main components: Si-Si and Si-O bonding. A comparison with the as-prepared electrode reveals changes in the profile after the electrode preparation (Fig. S6); Si-O bonds increased due to the aqueous electrode formulation with CMC binder while the Si⁺ and Si⁴⁺ peak intensity decreases revealing a loss in the fraction of the Si-H bonds. The former most probably comes from the formation of Si₂O₃ suboxides and their disproportionation reaction while the latter is an indicator of an oxidation process. Note that the Si-O binding energies are slightly different compared to bulk SiO₂ (104 eV), suggesting the presence of different compounds, which are likely highly influenced by the siloxene surface activity. All these variations induced by the electrode preparation have been reported for other Si-based electrodes using CMC and water as a solvent and can be attributed to bonding between the CMC and the siloxene surface. Table SI summarizes the peak position and atomic concentration for the as-prepared electrode.

Following, the lithiated and delithiated siloxene electrodes were analyzed (Fig. 6). Note that the significantly thick decomposition layer (as observed in TEM) hinders the access of the X-ray beam. After the lithiation (Fig. 6c), the Si⁰ and Si⁺ peaks are no more visible and only the Si³⁺ peak is preserved, in line with previous reports on Si based electrodes. The Si³⁺ peak can be attributed to the transformation of SiO₂ into LiₓSi₂O₃, or to lithiated electrolyte decomposition products. The Si⁺ observed after delithiation could be originated from LiₓSi₂O₃ or Si-OH. The ratio between these peaks and their position depend on the morphology, surface reactivity, interactions between Si and the decomposition products.
products and degree of lithiation/delithiation. Further analysis must thus be performed, e.g. sputtering the surface to remove the SEI layer but this could induce some degradation of the lithiated phases. For comparison, a mechanically synthesized Li15Si4 reference was analyzed (Fig. 6b, Table SII and showed the presence of Si7+, Si6+, Si5+ and Si4+, and an additional peak at 98.95 eV, ascribed to a LiSi phase. For the delithiation (Fig. 6d), the Si5+ and Si4+ peaks are observed. Note that experiments performed with an Ag Lα source at 2984.2 eV, enabling probe about two times depth, performed on the lithiated siloxene, did not reveal any LiSi peak, indicating that the SEI is very thick.

Finally, MAS NMR was performed in order to access the bulk of the lithiated/delithiated siloxene and germanane. 6Li NMR was preferred over 7Li due to its higher resolution, despite its lower abundance and longer relaxation times. Its smaller quadrupole and gyromagnetic moments compared to 7Li typically result in spectra of different depth of discharge/charge and the LiSi and Li15Si4 references synthesized by ball milling.

Subsequently, various cells were stopped at different depth of discharge/charge (Fig. 8). At the beginning of the lithiation (0.28 V), the LiSi reference presents three relatively sharp bands at 19, 7 and 2 ppm while the Li13Si4 is composed of a sharp peak at 2 ppm and a very broad signal centered between 5–10 ppm. For LiSi the resonance at 19 ppm (40%) is assigned to the presence of Li in Si clusters, like Li12Si3 (composed of Si6 rings and Si5 stars) or other poorly lithiated phases and the one at 7 ppm is likely originated by a more lithiated environment. Two additional broad signals are found at 4.5 ppm (Si4+ ions (Li13Si4)) and 11.8 ppm (LiSi29 or Li15Si4).32,33 The LiSi phase has a peculiar structure for a monosilicide: while NaSi and KSi have only isolated Si4+ ions, the LiSi presents different polymorphs, among them, one composed Si sheets of interconnected Si6 rings like in silicene or siloxene.18 The different polymorphs can be stabilized depending on the synthesis conditions and various Li environments are expected. Probably, the different resonances observed in the NMR spectra at 11.8 and 7 ppm, could be also related with the presence of a certain polymorphs. For Li13Si4, the peak at 5–10 ppm can be deconvoluted into two contributions, one at 5–6 ppm (78%) corresponding mainly to isolated Si4+ ions (Li13Si4)32–36 and the other one at 9 ppm (16%) to both isolated Si4+ ions and small Si clusters (dumbbells), like in Li15Si4. Indeed, Li13Si4 has been previously identified as a decomposition product of the metastable Li15Si4.33 Both compounds present a resonance at 2 ppm, ascribed to a non-identified lithiated phase. This resonance has been also observed in the case of lithiated nanosilicon electrodes and assigned to a Li-rich phase.34 A comparison of the Li-Si from siloxene with the references suggests that both Li13Si4 and LiSi resonances can be comprised in the lithiated siloxene, but other phases with similar Li environments may coexist at this stage.

The LiSi reference presents three relatively sharp bands at 19, 7 and 2 ppm while the Li13Si4 is composed of a sharp peak at 2 ppm and a very broad signal centered between 5–10 ppm. For LiSi the resonance at 19 ppm (40%) is assigned to the presence of Li in Si clusters, like Li12Si3 (composed of Si6 rings and Si5 stars) or other poorly lithiated phases and the one at 7 ppm is likely originated by a more lithiated environment. Two additional broad signals are found at 4.5 ppm (Si4+ ions (Li13Si4)) and 11.8 ppm (LiSi29 or Li15Si4).32,33 The LiSi phase has a peculiar structure for a monosilicide: while NaSi and KSi have only isolated Si4+ ions, the LiSi presents different polymorphs, among them, one composed Si sheets of interconnected Si6 rings like in silicene or siloxene.18 The different polymorphs can be stabilized depending on the synthesis conditions and various Li environments are expected. Probably, the different resonances observed in the NMR spectra at 11.8 and 7 ppm, could be also related with the presence of a certain polymorphs. For Li13Si4, the peak at 5–10 ppm can be deconvoluted into two contributions, one at 5–6 ppm (78%) corresponding mainly to isolated Si4+ ions (Li13Si4)32–36 and the other one at 9 ppm (16%) to both isolated Si4+ ions and small Si clusters (dumbbells), like in Li15Si4. Indeed, Li13Si4 has been previously identified as a decomposition product of the metastable Li15Si4.33 Both compounds present a resonance at 2 ppm, ascribed to a non-identified lithiated phase. This resonance has been also observed in the case of lithiated nanosilicon electrodes and assigned to a Li-rich phase.34 A comparison of the Li-Si from siloxene with the references suggests that both Li13Si4 and LiSi resonances can be comprised in the lithiated siloxene, but other phases with similar Li environments may coexist at this stage.

Finally, MAS NMR was performed in order to access the bulk of the lithiated/delithiated siloxene and germanane. 6Li NMR was preferred over 7Li due to its higher resolution, despite its lower abundance and longer relaxation times. Its smaller quadrupole and gyromagnetic moments compared to 7Li typically result in spectra of different depth of discharge/charge and the LiSi and Li15Si4 references synthesized by ball milling.

Subsequently, various cells were stopped at different depth of discharge/charge (Fig. 8). At the beginning of the lithiation (0.28 V), the LiSi reference presents three relatively sharp bands at 19, 7 and 2 ppm while the Li13Si4 is composed of a sharp peak at 2 ppm and a very broad signal centered between 5–10 ppm. For LiSi the resonance at 19 ppm (40%) is assigned to the presence of Li in Si clusters, like Li12Si3 (composed of Si6 rings and Si5 stars) or other poorly lithiated phases and the one at 7 ppm is likely originated by a more lithiated environment. Two additional broad signals are found at 4.5 ppm (Si4+ ions (Li13Si4)) and 11.8 ppm (LiSi29 or Li15Si4).32,33 The LiSi phase has a peculiar structure for a monosilicide: while NaSi and KSi have only isolated Si4+ ions, the LiSi presents different polymorphs, among them, one composed Si sheets of interconnected Si6 rings like in silicene or siloxene.18 The different polymorphs can be stabilized depending on the synthesis conditions and various Li environments are expected. Probably, the different resonances observed in the NMR spectra at 11.8 and 7 ppm, could be also related with the presence of a certain polymorphs. For Li13Si4, the peak at 5–10 ppm can be deconvoluted into two contributions, one at 5–6 ppm (78%) corresponding mainly to isolated Si4+ ions (Li13Si4)32–36 and the other one at 9 ppm (16%) to both isolated Si4+ ions and small Si clusters (dumbbells), like in Li15Si4. Indeed, Li13Si4 has been previously identified as a decomposition product of the metastable Li15Si4.33 Both compounds present a resonance at 2 ppm, ascribed to a non-identified lithiated phase. This resonance has been also observed in the case of lithiated nanosilicon electrodes and assigned to a Li-rich phase.34 A comparison of the Li-Si from siloxene with the references suggests that both Li13Si4 and LiSi resonances can be comprised in the lithiated siloxene, but other phases with similar Li environments may coexist at this stage.

Finally, MAS NMR was performed in order to access the bulk of the lithiated/delithiated siloxene and germanane. 6Li NMR was preferred over 7Li due to its higher resolution, despite its lower abundance and longer relaxation times. Its smaller quadrupole and gyromagnetic moments compared to 7Li typically result in spectra of different depth of discharge/charge and the LiSi and Li15Si4 references synthesized by ball milling.

Subsequently, various cells were stopped at different depth of discharge/charge (Fig. 8). At the beginning of the lithiation (0.28 V), the LiSi reference presents three relatively sharp bands at 19, 7 and 2 ppm while the Li13Si4 is composed of a sharp peak at 2 ppm and a very broad signal centered between 5–10 ppm. For LiSi the resonance at 19 ppm (40%) is assigned to the presence of Li in Si clusters, like Li12Si3 (composed of Si6 rings and Si5 stars) or other poorly lithiated phases and the one at 7 ppm is likely originated by a more lithiated environment. Two additional broad signals are found at 4.5 ppm (Si4+ ions (Li13Si4)) and 11.8 ppm (LiSi29 or Li15Si4).32,33 The LiSi phase has a peculiar structure for a monosilicide: while NaSi and KSi have only isolated Si4+ ions, the LiSi presents different polymorphs, among them, one composed Si sheets of interconnected Si6 rings like in silicene or siloxene.18 The different polymorphs can be stabilized depending on the synthesis conditions and various Li environments are expected. Probably, the different resonances observed in the NMR spectra at 11.8 and 7 ppm, could be also related with the presence of a certain polymorphs. For Li13Si4, the peak at 5–10 ppm can be deconvoluted into two contributions, one at 5–6 ppm (78%) corresponding mainly to isolated Si4+ ions (Li13Si4)32–36 and the other one at 9 ppm (16%) to both isolated Si4+ ions and small Si clusters (dumbbells), like in Li15Si4. Indeed, Li13Si4 has been previously identified as a decomposition product of the metastable Li15Si4.33 Both compounds present a resonance at 2 ppm, ascribed to a non-identified lithiated phase. This resonance has been also observed in the case of lithiated nanosilicon electrodes and assigned to a Li-rich phase.34 A comparison of the Li-Si from siloxene with the references suggests that both Li13Si4 and LiSi resonances can be comprised in the lithiated siloxene, but other phases with similar Li environments may coexist at this stage.
at 4.8 ppm with a very broad resonance at 11 ppm partially masked. These signals are accompanied by the shoulder at 0.8 ppm, assigned to SEI species. For the delithiation, at 0.4 V the 4.8 ppm peak disappears and leaves place to a broad signal comprised of signals at 2.2 ppm and most likely 0.8 ppm. At 2.0 V, a slight apparent shift can be observed towards the 0.8 ppm position indicating that the 2.2 ppm signal eventually fades and at the end of charge only the signal of the SEI is observed. During the second discharge, at 0.45 V, the spectrum is composed of a main resonance at ±0.8 ppm with a minor contribution (12%) at approx. 2 ppm, while at 0.43 and 0.25 V only the former is observed. At 0.10 V there is a broad signal, composed of contributions at 11, 4.8, 2.2 and 0.8 ppm and at the end of the second discharge, there are two peaks at 4.8 and 0.8 ppm. For the second charge, at 0.6 V, only a peak at 0.8 ppm is present and prevails until the end of charge. The peaks at 1.7, 2.2, 4.8 and 11 ppm can be attributed to the presence of Li$_x$Si phases and are discussed below.

Note that the lithiation of bulk Si proceeds via breaking of the Si crystalline network into small clusters, reflected in the NMR spectra by a continuous shift of the resonances to lower frequencies as the cluster size is reduced and the Li/Si ratio increased. Typically, Li environments with big Si clusters (lithium poor Li-Si alloys) give signals between 16–22 ppm, small Si clusters or dimers between 12–14 ppm, small clusters and isolated Si$^+$ ions between 8–10 ppm and only isolated Si$^+$ (lithium rich Li–Si–Alloys) between 3–6 ppm. An over-lithiated non-stoichiometric phase Li$_{15}$Si$_4$ has been detected at approx. −10 ppm.35,36 For the lithiated siloxene, no resonance above 15 ppm could be found, implying that no big cluster or low coordinated Li are involved. The process of over-lithiation of Li$_2$Si$_4$ into Li$_{15}$Si$_4$ was also not observed.38 Alternatively, three main signals are present at 11, 4.8 and 2.2 ppm. The resonance at 11 ppm is most likely related to LSI, while the one at 4.8 ppm with Li$_x$Si phases probably with isolated Si$^+$ ions in their structure but other Li environments are possible. Note that the siloxene presents a small amount of c-Si, thus a certain content of Li$_2$Si$_4$ is expected. The 2.2 ppm peak could be related with some Li on the surface, diamagnetic species or Li$_2$O species in the SEI layer (signal usually rising at 2.8 ppm and identified by our EELs analysis).38,39 Alternatively, it could be linked with Li next to a big cluster or with high coordination number. Indeed, the theoretical studies suggest that the most thermodynamically stable coordination site for Li in silicene (Si monosheet) is the hollow center of the Si$_6$ ring, meaning that Li would have a coordination number of six. A similar resonance has been identified as a rich Li$_x$Si alloy for the lithiation of nanosilicon and Si nanowires with crystalline core and amorphous shell.24

This information suggests first that the lithiation of siloxene is highly reversible. Second that siloxene undergoes a slightly different mechanism compared to Si with the formation of at least three different Li–Si species, some where the lamellar structure is preserved. The presence of the resonance at 4.8 ppm is commonly associated with isolated Si$^+$ ions, like in Li$_2$Si$_4$, but the broadness of the signal and the fact that this phase was not identified by other characterization techniques, indicate that other Li environments are possible. The formation of these phases does not seem to follow a specific pattern, and they can coexist at a certain voltage, e.g. 0.10 V (second discharge).

The germanicene was also analyzed by $^6$Li NMR (Fig. 9) during the first discharge/charge cycle at different voltages. During the first lithiation, at 0.40 V, the peak deconvolution suggests the presence of at least three resonances, close to 0 ppm, between 0.7 and −0.4 ppm. At 0.18 V, the peak is composed of signals at 6.7, 1.8 and the contribution close to 0 ppm already observed at 0.40 V. At the end of the lithiation two strongly overlapping signals are observed, one centered at 0 ppm and the other one appearing as a shoulder at 1.97 ppm, a last broad and intense signal at 7 ppm also emerges. Upon delithiation, the spectrum shows two main peaks at 1.8 ppm and −0.4 ppm. In order to assign the origins of these resonances it is worth to review the existing literature for the lithiation of germanicene. This process happens in a similar way compared to silicon, with the breaking of the crystalline network as Li is incorporated until the formation of isolated Ge$_4$$^–$. Signals between 20–25 ppm are related with Ge dumbbells (Li$_3$Ge$_4$ and Li$_4$Ge$_2$), 12–14 ppm to dumbbells and isolated Ge$^{2+}$ ions (Li$_2$Ge$_3$ and Li$_3$Ge$_4$), 0–10 ppm to isolated Ge ions (Li$_4$Ge$_2$) and from −24 to −21 ppm to the process of over-lithiation of Li$_{15}$Ge$_4$ into Li$_{15}$$^{+}$Ge$_4$.38,39 Nonetheless, the Li$_x$Ge intermediate phases are highly dependent on the cycling conditions, often they have similar formation energies and/or are metastable, thus multiple intermediates can be involved and be stabilized from their local structural resemblances rather than from the corresponding thermodynamic phases. For instance, Li$_2$Ge$_4$ is stabilized from the metastable Li$_3$Ge$_2$ and Li$_4$Ge$_2$ and not from the thermodynamic phases Li$_3$Ge$_3$ or Li$_3$Ge$_4$. As a consequence, multiple alternatives proposed for the lithiation mechanisms can be found in the literature. In the case of germanicene, all of the samples present a resonance close to 0 V which most probably correspond to lithiated species in the SEI layer and highly disordered phases or diamagnetic Li$^+$ components, which shift slightly as function of the state of charge.38,39 For instance, $^6$Li NMR signals for Li$_2$O, LiF and LiOH are typically rising at 2.8 ppm, −1.1 ppm and 0.4 ppm, respectively.39 Also, Li$^+$ located near a γ electron cloud is known to produce negative shifts.47 The other peaks at 0.7–2 ppm and 6.7–7 ppm are related to the presence of Li$_x$Ge species, the assignment of these resonances is complicated without further experiments, as they can be produced by several phases with similar formation energies and Li environments (Li$_2$Ge$_3$, Li$_3$Ge$_2$, Li$_4$Ge$_2$, Li$_5$Ge$_2$, Li$_6$Ge$_3$, Li$_7$Ge$_2$, Li$_{13}$Ge$_4$, Li$_{15}$Ge$_4$). Yet, the bands at 0.67–2 ppm are most probably related with Li in a shielded or high coordinated Li environment, like Li close to the germanicene layers, in analogy to the analysis performed for siloxene. Likewise, Schertl et al.38 have attributed the band at 2.1 ppm to Li$_2$Ge$_4$. Regarding the bands between 6.7–7 ppm, it could be produced by a phase with small Ge clusters and/or isolated Ge$_4$$^–$ or by a totally new phase. Since no evidence of crystalline Li$_2$Ge$_4$ (typical of an alloying mechanism) was found in our experiments, neither by XRD nor by $^6$Li NMR (carbon-Ge nanotubes 10 ppm, micrometric Ge −13 ppm),38,39 it is worth to consider also the hypothesis of a reversible Li intercalation in the germanicene layers. Indeed, it has been theoretically studied for germanicene (germanicene monolayer).38,49 The calculations indicate the
intercalation of 1 Li per Ge atom, forming LiGe. This phase has several polymorphs, one of them constituted of Ge$^-$ ions built up in puckered six-membered rings of distorted chairs, stacked above each other in a staggered fashion. Here, Li$^+$ lies in the centre of a three-connected Ge$^-$ hexagonal channels and is coordinated to other 8 Ge$^-$ and each Ge$^-$ is coordinated with 8 li$^+$ (8:8).51 Such type of structure could explain the resonances close to 2 ppm in germanane, corresponding to a highly shielded environment.

Conclusions

Siloxene and germanane are very promising candidates as anodes for different battery technologies, it is expected that their layered morphology buffers the volume variations during lithiation and allows fast Li ion diffusion, although before their commercialization several issues must be solved. In this study we have particularly inquired the processes taking place during lithiation/delithiation. Our findings suggest a different behavior compared to bulk Si or Ge. First, no evidence of crystalline Li$_3$Si/Li$_3$Ge$_4$, characteristic of the alloying with Li, has been found in siloxene nor germanane. The initial layered morphology is preserved as indicated by the different imaging techniques and Raman spectroscopy. A thick decomposition layer is deposited on the particle surface upon lithiation. This SEI layer presents local variations in the composition and different species are formed (Li$_2$O, LiF, Li-carbonates, Li-alkoxides, Li-esters) which are possibly related with the loss of the −H/OH bonding upon lithiation. The process of lithiation appears to be inhomogeneous and some particles do not participate in the reaction. At least three different Li$_x$Si$_y$Li$_z$Ge$_4$ phases are involved in the lithiation process, unfortunately, it was not possible to identify them. Evidence points out to the presence of a phase where the lamellar morphology is preserved, like LiSi and LiGe polymorphs with similar structure and environments to the basic Si/Ge backbone of siloxene and germanane. Theoretical calculations foresee that Li intercalation is achievable without kinetic limitations, structure degradation and volume expansion in a single layer of siloxene (silicene) and germanane (germanane)12,25.52 and probably this could be true for bulk siloxene and germane. The expected full lithiated state is 1 li per Si/1Ge atom, resulting in a smaller theoretical capacity compared to the experimental capacity values obtained for siloxene and germanane, hence, apart from LiSi and LiGe other phases might be involved as well, as indicated by the NMR results where more than one resonance was identified. The presence of the NMR signal commonly associated with Si$_4^{4+}$/Ge$_4^{4+}$-isolated ions, could refer to the formation of Li$_x$Si$_y$Si$_z$/Li$_x$Ge$_4$, but other unknown phases with similar Li-environment can produce similar signals. Based on this information, we have decided to consider the possibility of Li intercalation in the siloxene/germanane layers. Notwithstanding, an alloying mechanism could take partially place up to some extent if one considers the NMR signal associated with Si$_4^{4+}$/Ge$_4^{4+}$-isolated ions (Li$_x$Si$_y$Si$_z$/Li$_x$Ge$_4$).

Undoubtedly, further analysis must be performed on the system, possibly combining calculations for a better interpretation of the experimental findings. The lithiation of both Si and Ge have been proven to be highly influenced by factors such as particle morphology and cycling conditions. Particular attention must be given to the process of inhomogeneous lithiation that leaves place to the inhomogeneous and some particles do not participate in the reaction. The process of lithiation appears to be inhomogeneous and some particles do not participate in the reaction. There is a risk of degradation during the sample processing prior to the stabilization of metastable and/or non-thermodynamic phases. Also, the process of inhomogeneous lithiation that leaves place to the inhomogeneity and cycling conditions. Particular attention must be given to the process of inhomogeneous lithiation that leaves place to the inhomogeneous and some particles do not participate in the reaction. The process of lithiation appears to be inhomogeneous and some particles do not participate in the reaction.