



**HAL**  
open science

# Effects of stocking density on survival, food intake and growth of giant gourami (*Osphronemus goramy*) larvae reared in a recirculating aquaculture system

Otong Zenal Arifin, Vitas Atmadi Prakoso, Jojo Subagja, Anang Hari Kristanto, Simon Pouil, Jacques Slembrouck

## ► To cite this version:

Otong Zenal Arifin, Vitas Atmadi Prakoso, Jojo Subagja, Anang Hari Kristanto, Simon Pouil, et al.. Effects of stocking density on survival, food intake and growth of giant gourami (*Osphronemus goramy*) larvae reared in a recirculating aquaculture system. *Aquaculture*, 2019, 509, pp.159-166. 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.05.010 . hal-03125224

**HAL Id: hal-03125224**

<https://hal.umontpellier.fr/hal-03125224v1>

Submitted on 22 Oct 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1           **Effects of stocking density on survival, food intake and growth of giant gourami**  
2           **(*Osphronemus goramy*) larvae reared in a recirculating aquaculture system**

3

4   Otong Zenal Arifin<sup>1</sup>, Vitas Atmadi Prakoso<sup>1</sup>, Jojo Subagja<sup>1</sup>, Anang Hari Kristanto<sup>1</sup>, Simon  
5   Pouil<sup>2</sup>, Jacques Slembrouck<sup>2\*</sup>

6

7   <sup>1</sup> Research Institute for Freshwater Aquaculture and Fisheries Extension (RIFAFE), Bogor,  
8   Indonesia

9   <sup>2</sup> ISEM, IRD, Université de Montpellier, CNRS, EPHE, Montpellier, France

10

11   \* Corresponding author:                   Jacques Slembrouck

12   Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD)

13   Graha Kapital Lantai 4, Jl. Kemang Raya n°4

14   12730 Jakarta, Indonesia

15   E-mail: [jacques.slembrouck@ird.fr](mailto:jacques.slembrouck@ird.fr)

16   Phone: +62 (0) 21 71 79 46 51

17

18 **Abstract**

19 The influence of stocking density on survival, food intake, and larval growth was assessed in  
20 giant gourami (*Osphronemus goramy*) larvae reared in an indoor recirculating aquaculture  
21 system. Larvae aged eight days post-hatching were arbitrarily divided into six stocking density  
22 treatments (A: 0.6, B: 1.2, C: 2.4, D: 4.8, E: 9.6, F: 19.2 individuals L<sup>-1</sup>; four replicates per  
23 treatment) and reared for three weeks. Tubifex worms, used as food, were kept continuously  
24 available for larvae. Samples of larvae were collected at days 0, 7, 14 and 21. Performance  
25 indicators - including survival rate (%), food intake (% and g ind<sup>-1</sup>), total length (cm), body  
26 weight (g), specific growth rate (g day<sup>-1</sup>), biomass gain (g L<sup>-1</sup>), feed conversion ratio (FCR),  
27 condition factor (K) and coefficients of variation (%) - were measured. Water quality was  
28 checked throughout the experiment and parameters were maintained below critical thresholds  
29 for fish. The results showed no effect of stocking density on survival (> 98%) or size  
30 heterogeneity, although growth significantly decreased with increasing stocking density. At the  
31 end of the 21-day experiment, mean individual body weights were 563.2 ± 64.3, 461.0 ± 28.6,  
32 288.8 ± 19.3, 170.2 ± 13.8, 113.6 ± 6.9 and 81.9 ± 2.3 mg, for groups A, B, C, D, E and F,  
33 respectively. Decreased growth may be due to reduction in food intake in larvae stocked at the  
34 highest densities. The consequences of intensification of larval rearing should be further  
35 investigated in the nursery and grow-out phases.

36

37 Keywords: Early life stages, Growth metrics, Rearing practices, Start-feeding, Tropical fish

## 38 **1. Introduction**

39 The giant gourami (*Osphronemus goramy*; Lacepède, 1801) is one of the main freshwater fish  
40 of economic importance in Indonesia. Pond aquaculture of giant gourami in Indonesia is a very  
41 old practice (Cuvier and Valenciennes, 1831; Pouil et al., 2019). Its annual production was over  
42 119,000 t in 2014 and, had grown exponentially over the previous 15 years. Yet, for the first  
43 time in 2015, Indonesian production of this species, which is pursued by approximately 100,000  
44 fish farmers mainly located on Java Island (79%; Badan Pusat Statistik, 2013), dropped slightly  
45 to 113,400 t (FAO, 2017). Nevertheless, knowledge on several aspects of giant gourami biology  
46 remains largely incomplete, particularly for the young life-stages.

47 The reliability of giant gourami aquaculture depends on fry availability, which is a limiting  
48 factor for fish farmers. Thus, as for many species, increasing survival during the larval phase  
49 should be one of the research priorities (Slater et al., 2018). Nevertheless, studies on rearing  
50 giant gourami larvae are scarce in the international literature (Ebrahimi et al., 2010;  
51 Amornsakun et al., 2014a, 2014b). Currently, larvae are typically produced under non-optimal  
52 conditions (i.e., outdoor ponds, stagnant water, etc.). For this reason, the quantity and the quality  
53 of giant gourami juveniles produced are generally low and highly variable (Etoh et al., 2011;  
54 Arifin et al., 2013; Nafiqoh and Nugroho, 2013; Budi and Supriyadi, 2015). Improvement of  
55 giant gourami juvenile production requires identifying and addressing the factors behind the  
56 variability observed in larvae production.

57 The success of larval production depends on environmental conditions, feeding strategies and  
58 rearing practices (Cowan et al., 2000; Kestemont et al., 2003). Among these factors, larval  
59 stocking density is known to affect larval performance. Effects of stocking density have been  
60 studied in young stages of several freshwater aquaculture species (e.g., El-Sayed, 2002; Sahoo  
61 et al., 2004; Keer et al., 2018). Nonetheless, the effects of stocking density on survival and  
62 growth may be variable or even contradictory (Niazie et al., 2013), depending on the species,

63 rearing conditions and age of the fish (Saoud et al., 2008). For example, some studies have  
64 demonstrated that survival rate and growth are negatively affected by an increase in stocking  
65 density (El-Sayed, 2002; Keer et al., 2018; Sahoo et al., 2004) while other studies (Kaiser et al.,  
66 1995; Niazie et al., 2013) did not find any effects of stocking density on survival or growth rate.  
67 These results highlight the importance of better characterising the effects of stocking density  
68 on survival and growth of giant gourami larvae.

69 In this context, the objective of this study was to assess zootechnical performance through the  
70 assessment of survival, food intake, and growth of the larvae of giant gourami reared in a closed  
71 recirculating aquaculture system (RAS) at six stocking densities. The range of larval densities  
72 (from 0.6 to 19.2 larvae L<sup>-1</sup> i.e., 150 to 4600 larvae m<sup>-2</sup>) was selected in accordance with the  
73 recommendations of the “BPPSIGN” Centre (West Java Centre for the Development of Giant  
74 Gourami Culture) for the lower densities (0.6 to 1.2 larvae L<sup>-1</sup>, i.e. 150-300 larvae m<sup>-2</sup>) and  
75 extended according to a gradient of increasing production intensification.

76

## 77 **2. Materials and methods**

### 78 **2.1. Origin of larvae**

79 Giant gourami broodfish (3-4 years old), belonging to the local "Galunggung" strain (Arifin et  
80 al., 2017), were reared in a 200-m<sup>2</sup> outdoor pond at the Research and Development Installation  
81 of Germplasm for Freshwater Aquaculture (RIFAFE, Cijeruk, West Java, Indonesia). The  
82 broodfish were fed leaves of giant taro (*Alocasia macrorrhiza*) and commercial floating fish  
83 feed pellets (32% proteins, 5% lipids) distributed at a daily feeding rate of 1-2% of fish biomass,  
84 respectively. The broodfish pond was divided by net into 10 compartments of 16 m<sup>2</sup>. Each  
85 compartment contained one male and three females. Bamboo nest supports and palm tree fibres  
86 were provided so that broodfish could build nests. The natural spawning event occurs once the  
87 male has chosen one ready female. After spawning, the male closes the nest and protects the

88 eggs. Nests were monitored every two days, and eggs were removed one night after the  
89 spawning event. Thus, the larvae used in this experiment came from a single broodfish pair,  
90 allowing us to obtain a homogeneous response to the different stocking density conditions.  
91 The buoyant giant gourami eggs were incubated in an experimental room in a 20-L plastic basin  
92 for  $\pm 20$  hours at a temperature of  $29.0 \pm 0.6^\circ\text{C}$  (equivalent  $\sim 24$  degrees-day). After hatching,  
93 larvae (BW:  $5.6 \pm 0.3$  mg and TL:  $4.9 \pm 0.1$  mm,  $n=30$ ) were kept unfed in the incubation basin  
94 (following the typical fish farming practice), until the beginning of the experiment (i.e., 8 days  
95 post-hatching, dph).

96

## 97 **2.2. Live prey maintenance**

98 In this study, tubifex worms (*Tubifex tubifex*) were used as food according to local and  
99 traditional practices for giant gourami larval production described by the Standard National  
100 Indonesian (SNI, 2000). The benefits of tubifex worms for growth and survival rate of giant  
101 gourami were demonstrated by Lucas et al. (2015). Here, tubifex worms were used as the  
102 primary food for the larvae and throughout the fish nursery period between 8 and 30 days of  
103 age (i.e., first-feeding started before the yolk-sac depletion, Morioka et al., 2013). New batches  
104 of live tubifex worms were purchased weekly and stored in the experimental room (100-L  
105 aquarium; daily water change, temperature:  $29.0 \pm 0.6^\circ\text{C}$ ; light/dark cycle: 12:12 h) and kept  
106 unfed. To assess their nutritional quality, proximate analyses of tubifex worms were conducted  
107 after 3, 10 and 17 days of the experiment according to the procedures described in Cunniff  
108 (1999). Moisture was determined by weight loss upon drying at  $105^\circ\text{C}$  for 3 h. Crude protein  
109 was determined using the standard Kjeldahl procedure (Foss Tecator Kjeltex 8400 and Kjeltex  
110 Bucchi); lipid content after acid hydrolysis using the Weibull-Stoldt method (Slembrouck et  
111 al., 2018); crude ash by determining residue after heating at  $550^\circ\text{C}$  for 4-5 h in a muffle furnace,  
112 and crude fibre was determined as follows: macrophytes were extracted with 1.25%  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  and

113 1.25% NaOH, then dried and samples were weighed, incinerated and reweighed. Results are  
114 summarised in Table 1.

115

116 [Table 1 is here]

117

## 118 **2.3. Stocking density experiment**

### 119 2.3.1. Experimental design

120 The experiment was conducted indoor under natural light (daylight intensity 60-4500 lux, night  
121 light intensity < 11 lux). Larvae were individually counted (n=4536) and measured (n=30, mean  
122 body weight:  $14.4 \pm 0.8$  mg; mean total length:  $10.1 \pm 0.4$  mm) and then arbitrarily assigned to  
123 24 glass aquaria covered by transparent plastic sheets (30-L capacity; 40 x 30 x 30 cm, L x W  
124 x H) in a RAS. The 21-day experiment was started at 8 dph, a few days after mouth opening  
125 (Morioka et al., 2013) and when the ability of larvae to feed on tubifex worms was confirmed.  
126 To determine potential effects of stocking density on the zootechnical performance of *O.*  
127 *goramy* larvae, survival, food intake and growth, were evaluated at six different stocking  
128 densities as summarised in Table 2. The experiment was conducted as a completely randomized  
129 design with four replicates.

130

131 [Table 2 is here]

132

### 133 2.3.2. Feeding protocol and water quality monitoring

134 Larvae were fed every day except on the sampling days. The same quantity of live tubifex  
135 worms, carefully drained, was spread in the bottom of each aquarium twice a day at 8:00 and  
136 16:00. Daily food quantities were in large excess for all treatments (Fig. 1) to promote non-  
137 limiting food conditions for larvae and to facilitate accurate estimation of ingestion. Through

138 the entire experiment, the total amount of tubifex worms distributed in each aquarium was 465  
139 g. Since tubifex worms are benthic and live on the bottom of the aquaria, they were continuously  
140 available for larvae without any degradation of water quality (see Table 3).

141

142 [Fig. 1 is here]

143

144 Prior to each larval feeding, tubifex worms were collected, rinsed and drained on a 50- $\mu\text{m}$  mesh  
145 and weighed (to the nearest 0.1 g). To determine food intake, unconsumed tubifex worms were  
146 collected from each aquarium and weighed before the addition of the new ration of worms. The  
147 quantity distributed was kept constant in each aquarium. In the RAS, the filtration system  
148 consisted of filtration foams as the mechanical filtration medium and BioBall® carriers as  
149 bacterial support. Water flow into the rearing tanks was maintained at 33 L h<sup>-1</sup> for the first four  
150 days of the experiment and then at 78 L h<sup>-1</sup>. Water was added every day to compensate for  
151 evaporation and losses when aquaria were cleaned (approx. 5-7% of volume). Water quality  
152 was monitored in each aquarium once a week with direct measurements using a multi-parameter  
153 probe (Hanna HI 9829) for dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, total dissolved solids (TDS) and  
154 turbidity, and then by spectrophotometry analysis (Hanna HI 83399) for N-NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, N-NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and  
155 N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. Temperature was monitored twice a day (at 08:00 and 16:00). Since no statistical  
156 differences were observed between the six experimental treatments for any of the parameters,  
157 data were pooled and are presented in Table 3. All the values indicate that water quality did not  
158 deteriorate and corresponded to appropriate rearing conditions for tropical freshwater fishes  
159 (Svobododá et al., 1993; Aryani et al., 2017).

160

161 [Table 3 is here]

162



### 163 2.3.3. Observations and measurements of larvae

164 Larvae from the experimental treatments (n=40-240 depending on the tested stocking density)  
165 were sampled at 15 dph (day 7), 22 dph (day 14) and 29 dph (day 21) during the experiment.  
166 The sample size and sampling frequency were selected in order to sample at least 10% of the  
167 total number of larvae at each stocking density while taking into account time needed and  
168 technical constraints of sampling procedure as well as stress for the larvae caused by handling.  
169 Sampled larvae were anaesthetised and their total body length (TL, mm) was measured under  
170 a stereomicroscope with a micrometre (accuracy ranging from 0.05 to 0.1 mm, depending on  
171 fish size and magnification). Body weight (BW, mg) was measured using a digital scale with  
172 an accuracy of 0.1 mg. After individual measurements, fish were returned to their respective  
173 aquarium. No mortality was observed following samplings. At 29 dph, all the aquaria were  
174 emptied and living larvae were counted to calculate survival rates.

175

### 176 **2.4. Performance metrics**

177 The effects of stocking density on zootechnical performance were determined by calculating  
178 the following parameters for each experimental treatment. Survival rates (*SR*), expressed as a  
179 percentage, were calculated by comparing the final number ( $N_f$ ) with the initial number of larvae  
180 ( $N_i$ ):  $SR (\%) = [(N_f / N_i) \times 100]$ .

181 The specific growth in body weight ( $SGR_{BW}$ , %) was calculated according to the following  
182 equation:  $SGR_{BW} = [(\ln BW_f - \ln BW_i) / 21] \times 100$ , where  $BW_i$  and  $BW_f$  are the initial and final  
183 body weights of fish, and 21 is the duration of the experiment in days.

184 The specific growth in total length ( $SGR_{TL}$ , %) was calculated using the same approach, as  
185  $SGR_{TL} = [(\ln LT_f - \ln LT_i) / 21] \times 100$ .

186 Heterogeneity of fish size (in body weight or total length) was assessed using the coefficient of  
187 variation (*CV*, %) calculated as:  $CV_{BW} = SD_{BW} / BW$  and  $CV_{TL} = SD / TL$ , where *SD* is the standard

188 deviation for weight and BW is the average body weight (mg) and TL the average body length  
189 (mm).

190 Fish biomass gain per liter ( $BG$ ,  $g L^{-1}$ ) was calculated following the equation:  $BG = [(N_f BW_f -$   
191  $N_i BW_i) / 30] / 1000$ , where  $N_i$  and  $N_f$  are the initial number and the final number of larvae,  $BW_i$   
192 and  $BW_f$  are the initial average body weight (mg) and the final average body weight respectively,  
193 and 30 is the volume of the aquarium in litres.

194 Total food ingestion per treatment ( $FI_{total}$ , %) was calculated as follows:  $FI_{total} = [(Food$   
195 distributed - Food remaining) / Food distributed] x 100, where food distributed and food  
196 remaining are expressed in g.

197 Individual food intake ( $FI_{fish}$ ,  $g ind^{-1}$ ) was calculated according to the following equation:  $FI_{fish}$   
198 = (Food distributed - Food remaining) /  $N_f$  where  $N_f$  is the final number of fish.

199 Feed conversion ratio ( $FCR$ ) was calculated using the following equation:  $FCR = F / (N_f BW_f -$   
200  $N_i BW_i)$ , where  $F$  is the total quantity of food intake in wet weight during the whole rearing  
201 period.  $F$  was determined as the total amount of uneaten food subtracted from the total amount  
202 of food provided.

203 The Fulton's condition factor ( $K$ ) was calculated according to the relationship  $K = BW_f / TL_f^3$   
204 (Froese, 2006). The equation was multiplied by 100 to bring the value close to one. Fulton's  
205 condition factor predicts that the weight of a fish is proportional to its length cubed, allowing a  
206 direct comparison of nutritional conditions between individuals from the same species (Jin et  
207 al., 2015; Allen et al., 2018).

208

## 209 **2.5. Statistical analysis**

210 To account account the heterogeneity of the variances between the six experimental treatments  
211 due to the deliberately unbalanced sampling plan (see Section 2.3.3), Welch ANOVA  
212 (McDonald, 2009) was used to determine significant differences among treatments for growth

213 (body weight and total length) and Fulton's condition factor. When significant differences were  
214 detected, the Games-Howell test (McDonald, 2009) with Bonferroni correction was performed  
215 to compare means.

216 For the other metrics used (see Section 2.4), data were first tested for normality (Shapiro's test)  
217 and homogeneity of variance (Levene's test) and, where necessary, data were arcsine or log  
218 transformed prior to analysis. One-way ANOVA was used to assess significance of differences  
219 among treatments. When significant differences were detected, Tukey's test was performed to  
220 compare means. The level of significance for statistical analyses was always set to  $\alpha = 0.05$ . All  
221 statistics were performed using R freeware version 3.3 (R Development Core Team, 2016).

222

### 223 **3. Results**

#### 224 **3.1. Survival rate**

225 The survival rates (*SR*) measured at the end of the experiment were very high (98.6-100 %,  
226 Table 4) without any significant differences between the six stocking densities tested ( $F = 1.31$ ,  
227  $p = 0.304$ ). Regardless of the experimental stocking density, larvae exhibited no aggressive  
228 behaviour throughout the experiment and no cannibalism was observed.

229

230 [Table 4 is here]

231

#### 232 **3.2. Growth and size heterogeneity**

233 The growth of larvae reared at six different densities is indicated in Table 4. At the end of the  
234 experiment, the average total length ( $TL_f$ ) and body weight ( $BW_f$ ) of larvae ranged from  $17.5 \pm$   
235  $1.0$  mm and  $81.9 \pm 12.3$  mg in treatment F and  $31.7 \pm 1.6$  mm and  $563.2 \pm 90.3$  mg in treatment  
236 A (Fig. 2). Growth was significantly less with increased stocking density ( $F = 833.7$ ,  $p < 0.0001$   
237 and  $F = 1382$ ,  $p < 0.0001$  for  $BW_f$  and  $TL_f$  respectively), with the lowest growth observed for

238 the larvae reared at the highest density (Fig. 2). This trend was quantified by the specific growth  
239 rate calculated for both body weight ( $SGR_{BW}$ ) and total length ( $SGR_{TL}$ ). Significant decreases in  
240  $SGR_{BW}$  ( $F = 425.3, p < 0.0001$ ) and  $SGR_{TL}$  ( $F = 267.6, p < 0.0001$ ) were observed when stocking  
241 densities increased, with values varying from  $17.5 \pm 0.5 \%$  for  $SGR_{BW}$  and  $5.5 \pm 0.2 \%$  for  $SGR_{TL}$   
242 in treatment A ( $0.6 \text{ larvae L}^{-1}$ ) to  $8.3 \pm 0.1 \%$  for  $SGR_{BW}$  and  $2.6 \pm 0.0 \%$   $SGR_{TL}$  in treatment F  
243 ( $19.2 \text{ larvae L}^{-1}$ ). In this experiment, the commercial fry size (“Nguku”, i.e., fish  $>2 \text{ cm}$  in total  
244 length) was reached after 14 days of rearing (22 dph) for larvae reared at the lowest stocking  
245 densities (A:  $24.0 \pm 1.3 \text{ mm}$ , B:  $22.8 \pm 1.24 \text{ mm}$  and C:  $21.5 \pm 1.0 \text{ mm}$ ), whereas larvae from  
246 treatments D and E reached fry commercial size only seven days later ( $TL_D$ :  $22.5 \pm 1.6 \text{ mm}$  and  
247  $TL_E$ :  $20.2 \pm 1.1 \text{ mm}$ ). At the highest stocking density, the larvae had not reached the commercial  
248 size ( $TL_F$ :  $17.5 \pm 1.0 \text{ mm}$ ) even after 21 days of culture (Fig. 2). Although growth was reduced  
249 at high stocking densities, the biomass gain ( $BG$ ), ranging from  $0.33 \pm 0.04$  to  $1.28 \pm 0.04 \text{ g L}^{-1}$ ,  
250 <sup>1</sup>, increased significantly ( $F = 155.3, p < 0.0001$ ) with increasing stocking density (Table 4).  
251 Size heterogeneity as a function of stocking density was assessed at the end of the experiment  
252 (i.e., 29 dph) by the coefficients of variation for body weight ( $CV_{BW}$ ) and total length ( $CV_{TL}$ ).  
253  $CV_{BW}$  ranged from  $10.5 \pm 3.0$  to  $19.9 \pm 6.5\%$  and  $CV_{TL}$  ranged from  $3.9 \pm 1.6$  to  $6.0 \pm 2.0\%$ . For  
254  $CV_{BW}$  and  $CV_{TL}$ , no significant differences were found between any of the groups ( $F = 1.974, p$   
255  $= 0.132$  and  $F = 1.302, p = 0.307$ ) for  $CV_{BW}$  and  $CV_{TL}$  respectively (Table 4).  
256 The Fulton’s condition factors ( $K$ ) estimated at the end of the experiment ranged from 1.38 to  
257 1.77. Statistical analysis revealed significant decrease in  $K$  with increased stocking density ( $F$   
258  $= 131.18, p < 0.0001$ ). Nevertheless, no statistical difference was found between treatments C,  
259 D and F (Table 4).

260 [Fig. 2 is here]

261

### 262 **3.3. Food intake**

263 The proportion of the total distributed tubifex worms ( $FI_{total}$ , %) effectively ingested in each  
264 aquarium was not affected by the stocking density ( $F = 0.627$ ,  $p = 0.681$ ) and remained similar  
265 in the six treatments (61-67%, Table 5). Furthermore, the minimum quantities of tubifex worms  
266 remaining at the end of each feeding period were never less than 6%. On the other hand, the  
267 total individual food intake ( $FI_{fish}$ , g ind<sup>-1</sup>) during the 21 day the experiment was greatly affected  
268 by the stocking density ( $F=1857$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ ; Table 5 and Fig. 1). For the entire experiment, the  
269 highest individual food intake was  $17.2 \pm 0.82$  g ind<sup>-1</sup> for treatment A and only  $0.60 \pm 0.02$  g  
270 ind<sup>-1</sup> for treatment F. Similarly, the feed conversion ratio ( $FCR$ ) was the highest at the lowest  
271 stocking density (i.e. treatment A,  $31.6 \pm 4.3$ ) and decreased significantly ( $F = 79.29$ ,  $p <$   
272  $0.0001$ ), with lowest values ( $8.3 \pm 0.3$ ) for the highest density treatment (F). The relationship  
273 between  $FCR$  and stocking densities was fitted using a single-component exponential model  
274 ( $R^2=0.94$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ ):  $y = 24.14 x^{-0.403}$  (Fig. 3).

275

276 [Fig. 3 is here]

277 [Table 5 is here]

278

## 279 4. Discussion

### 280 4.1. Effects of stocking density on survival rate and growth

281 There are few studies testing the influence of stocking density on survival and growth of giant  
282 gourami larvae. Moreover, the density ranges tested were often narrow (e.g. 0.3 to 0.7 fish L<sup>-1</sup>,  
283 Ebrahimi et al., 2010; and 2.5 to 10 fish L<sup>-1</sup>, Sarah et al., 2009). The present study provides  
284 quantification of the effects of stocking density on larvae considering a wider range of density  
285 (0.6 to 19.2 fish L<sup>-1</sup>). The lowest stocking density treatments (i.e., 150-300 larvae m<sup>-2</sup> or 0.6-  
286 1.2 fish L<sup>-1</sup>) were based on the current recommendations from the “BPPSIGN” Centre.

287 Increasing intensification was applied until reaching densities 6-fold higher than what is  
288 observed among the fish farmers.

289 First, we compare our results to larval production reported in an on-farm survey of 39 small-  
290 scale farms and two training centers that produced “Nguku” in West Java province (mainly  
291 located in Bogor and Tasikmalaya districts) carried out in November 2016. Overall, the growth  
292 of the larvae reared in our recirculating aquaculture system (RAS) was higher than those  
293 reported from small-scale farms, where 22 to 90 days were needed to reach the 2-cm  
294 commercial size at stocking densities ranging from 111 to 714 larvae m<sup>-2</sup> in small, stagnant  
295 outdoor ponds based on the farmer’s responses ( $n = 20$ , unpublished data). In addition, we  
296 observed very high survival rates for all the stocking densities (>98%) much higher than those  
297 reported by Javanese fish farmers (0-98% and 50% on average,  $n = 23$  farmers) or in previous  
298 experiments (e.g., Verawati et al., 2015). Regardless of the experimental stocking density,  
299 larvae exhibited no aggressive behaviour throughout the experiment and no cannibalism was  
300 observed.

301 Overall, we observed high growth rates for giant gourami. Indeed, although we found similar  
302 results for higher stocking densities, Sarah et al. (2009) observed about 50% lower growth when  
303 larvae were maintained at 5 fish L<sup>-1</sup> compared to our findings. Altogether, our results suggest  
304 that (1) larvae were reared under appropriate environmental conditions, and (2) RAS is a  
305 suitable method for improving juvenile production in giant gourami aquaculture.

306 We found that increasing stocking density had negative effects on the growth of giant gourami  
307 larvae. These results are in accordance with those of Sarah et al. (2009). Conversely, Ebrahimi  
308 et al. (2010) reported that very low stocking densities (< 0.7 fish L<sup>-1</sup>) had no effect on the growth  
309 of young-stage giant gourami. These findings suggest that the lowest density tested in our study  
310 (0.6 fish L<sup>-1</sup>) was the minimum value to detect effects of stocking density.

311 Several interpretations have been offered to explain the effects of stocking density on growth  
312 and survival in fish. In Reba carp *Cirrhinus reba* fry, lower survival rates observed at high  
313 stocking densities were attributed to stronger competition for food and space as well as  
314 increased stress (Keer et al., 2018). On the other hand, stocking density showed no negative  
315 effect on survival and growth in marbled spinefoot *Siganus rivulatus* juveniles, a result credited  
316 to the maintenance of water quality within the tolerance range for this species (Saoud et al.,  
317 2008). European perch *Perca fluviatilis* and European seabass *Dicentrarchus labrax* showed  
318 contrasting results for growth and survival depending on the life-stage considered (larvae and  
319 post-larvae) with regard to the occurrence of cannibalism (Kestemont et al., 2003). In the  
320 present study, water quality remained constant throughout the experiment and was not affected  
321 by stocking density. Survival was very high and did not vary significantly between stocking  
322 densities tested. Not surprisingly for a non-aggressive fish such as the giant gourami, no  
323 cannibalism was observed, likely contributing to the homogeneity of larval size at each stocking  
324 density (i.e.  $CV_{TL} = 4-6\%$ ;  $CV_{BW} = 13-20\%$ ). These results accord with those of a previous study  
325 on European perch *P. fluviatilis* (Król and Zieliński, 2015) larvae. However, the effects of  
326 stocking density on survival and growth are species-dependent (Huang and Chiu, 1997;  
327 Szkudlarek and Zakęś, 2007), and results can vary for a given species (e.g., Baras et al., 2003;  
328 Król and Zieliński, 2015). At the end of the experiment, the Fulton's condition factors ( $K$ )  
329 decreased significantly for the four highest densities tested, suggesting that the larvae stocked  
330 in these experimental treatments were under poorer nutritional conditions. All together, these  
331 results indicate that, in the giant gourami, the decrease in larval growth due to stocking density  
332 is very likely related to lower food intake.

333

#### 334 **4.2. Effects of density on food intake and FCR**

335 The effects of stocking density on food intake were assessed using tubifex worms as living  
336 prey. This live food source is commonly used to feed various fish species (Ravichandra Reddy  
337 et al., 1977; Le Thanh et al., 1999; Malla and Banik, 2015). Due to their high levels of protein  
338 and lipids (Bardach et al., 1972; Table 1) and their aquatic lifestyle, tubifex worms ensure an  
339 adequate nutritional intake for fish larvae without causing significant effects on water quality.  
340 In the present study, we showed that the proportion of the total distributed tubifex worms  
341 effectively ingested in each aquarium did not vary with stocking density, indicating a large  
342 decrease in the quantity ingested by individual larvae with increasing stocking density  
343 (Table 5). Nevertheless, despite a reduction in individual food intake and slower growth at the  
344 highest stocking densities, we found a significant negative relationship between *FCR* and  
345 stocking density, indicating that the higher the larval density, the lower the *FCR*. Using a wider  
346 range of stocking densities, we confirmed the findings of Sarah et al. (2009), who found a linear  
347 decrease of *FCR* in giant gourami larvae with increasing stocking density ranging from 2.5 to  
348 10 fish L<sup>-1</sup>. Because ingested food quantities remained stable (61-67%) across experimental  
349 treatments, the decrease in *FCR* can be explained by the significant increase in biomass gain  
350 (BG) observed for the highest stocking densities.

351 Effects of stocking density on *FCR* in fish vary greatly. For instance, in marbled spinefoot *S.*  
352 *rivulatus* juveniles fed commercial pellets, no significant effect of stocking density on *FCR* was  
353 found (Saoud et al., 2008). However, Niazie et al. (2013) and Keer et al. (2018) reported  
354 significant increases of *FCR* at higher stocking densities with juvenile Reba carp and goldfish  
355 *Carassius auratus* fed compounded feed. Such findings suggest that effects of stocking density  
356 are species-dependent. In addition, in the studies mentioned above, fish were fed using  
357 calculated food rations throughout the experiment, but not until satiation. Furthermore, in most  
358 of the studies, *FCR* calculations are based on the quantity of food distributed and not on actual  
359 consumption (Saoud et al., 2008; Niazie et al., 2013; Keer et al., 2018); it can be difficult to



360 accurately estimate consumption using live prey or small inert food particles. Nevertheless,  
361 indirect food consumption estimates can lead to experimental bias for the quantification of *FCR*  
362 (Slembrouck et al., 2009) that may potentially explain the differences observed regarding the  
363 effects of stocking density on the *FCR* and more generally the food intake in fish larvae.

364 In fish, decreased food intake often is associated with increased stress (Saoud et al. 2008;  
365 Moradyan et al., 2012). When food is present in excess, water quality is affected, indirectly  
366 causing the decrease in the growth and survival rates of farmed fish (e.g., Werner and Blaxter,  
367 1980; Puvanendran and Brown, 1999). In the present study, although larvae were voluntarily  
368 maintained in non-limiting, surplus-food conditions, water quality of the recirculating  
369 aquaculture system remained satisfactory throughout the experiment. Our results suggest that  
370 the water renewal (110 to 260% per hour) was sufficient to ensure no experimental bias due to  
371 poor water quality. Although tubifex worms were constantly available, they clustered on the  
372 bottom of the aquaria, which can limit food intake for some species of fish due the potential  
373 difficulty of engulfing such large quantities of food, as was shown for walking catfish *Clarias*  
374 *batrachus* (Dey et al., 2016). However, giant gourami larvae and juveniles have relatively small  
375 mouths and ingest tubifex worms one by one; they did not appear to be bothered by the clusters  
376 of tubifex worms and showed no aggressive behaviour. For these reasons, we assume that there  
377 was no stress regarding food accessibility, contrary to reports in rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus*  
378 *mykiss* (Ellis et al., 2002; North et al., 2006). Thus, in our experiment, increasing the number  
379 of fish per unit volume led to the reduction of space availability for each individual and likely  
380 acted as a direct stressor for larvae, limiting their ingestion of food. Nevertheless, measurements  
381 of physiological indicators of stress (e.g., haematocrit, lysozyme activity or plasma cortisol;  
382 Ellis et al., 2002; North et al., 2006) are needed to test this assumption.

383 Interestingly, we observed a drastic drop in *FCR* when the lowest density doubled from 0.6 to  
384 1.2 fish L<sup>-1</sup>. Similar findings were highlighted by in Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* fry fed

385 experimental feed to apparent satiation (El-Sayed, 2002). In that study, *FCR* was not  
386 significantly affected by stocking density, except at the lowest densities, suggesting that the  
387 decrease in *FCR* may have been due to: (1) the lack of competition for food, or (2) the  
388 difficulties in catching food particles that were flushed out with the water outflow (thus leading  
389 to biased estimation of *FCR*). However, in the present study, no evidence of competition for  
390 food was shown at any stocking density. In addition, the *FCR* values calculated in the present  
391 study were based on real consumption of food by larvae because tubifex worms aggregated on  
392 the bottom of the aquarium, thereby avoiding loss of prey with water outflow. In our case, the  
393 difference in biomass gain (BG) observed between the two lowest stocking density conditions  
394 ( $\pm 60\%$ ) caused the drastic drop in *FCR*. Nevertheless, the reasons explaining this remarkable  
395 difference in gain in biomass remain uncertain. Further investigations are needed to better  
396 understand the growth dynamics of giant gourami larvae raised at low stocking densities, which  
397 is likely to affect the commercial production of juveniles.

398

## 399 **5. Conclusion**

400 The production of giant gourami fry is highly segmented, and the "Nguku" stage is the best-  
401 selling, although there are at least three intermediate stages that are also traded locally.  
402 Currently, there are no clear and standardized production methods. This study provides new  
403 information regarding the effects of stocking density on giant gourami larval production. The  
404 experiment was performed in a recirculating aquaculture system, ensuring constant water  
405 quality, easier control of feeding, and hence better control over the seedstock production  
406 process than traditional practices. We showed that stocking density has no effect on larval  
407 survival during the 8-29 dph period. Nevertheless, growth was strongly affected by stocking  
408 density. Thus, for a given surface area, although larvae production at low density limits the  
409 number of saleable fish, it reduces the time necessary to reach commercial size. On the other

410 hand, higher densities produced increased numbers of fish, but lengthened the duration of larval  
411 rearing. Stocking density is therefore a key factor to take into account in the production of giant  
412 gourami juveniles. Further investigations are necessary to determine: (1) the effects of these  
413 strategies on the nursery and grow-out phases, and (2) why FCR decreases at low stocking  
414 densities in order to provide objective recommendations for fish farmers.

415

#### 416 **Acknowledgements**

417 The authors acknowledge Imam Akhir Abdullah, technician at the Cijeruk research station, for  
418 his technical assistance throughout the experiment and Marc Legendre (IRD-ISEM) for his  
419 constructive input on this manuscript. This is publication ISEM 2019-090.

420

#### 421 **References**

422 Allen, H., Sabetian, A., Bader, M., Rowlands, T., 2018. Influence of age, size and condition  
423 factor on lipid concentration in the snapper *Chrysophrys auratus*. *New Zeal. J. Mar.*  
424 *Fresh.* 52, 295-307.

425 Amornsakun, T., Kullai, S., Hassan, A., 2014a. Some aspects in early life stage of giant  
426 gourami, *Osphronemus goramy* (Lacepède) larvae. *Songklanakarin J. Sci. Tech.* 36,  
427 493-498.

428 Amornsakun, T., Kullai, S., Hassan, A., 2014b. Feeding behavior of giant gourami,  
429 *Osphronemus goramy* (Lacepède) larvae. *Songklanakarin J. Sci. Tech.* 36, 261-264.

430 Arifin, O.Z., Imron, I., Muslim, N., Hendri, A., Aseppendi, A., Yani, A., 2017. Karakteristik  
431 fenotipe dan genotipe ikan gurami, *Osphronemus goramy*, strain galunggung hitam,  
432 galunggung putih, dan hibridanya. *Jurnal Riset Akuakultur* 12, 99-110.

433 Arifin, O.Z., At-thar, M.H.F., Nafiqoh, N., 2013. Pengaruh induk dan heterosis karakter  
434 pertumbuhan hasil persilangan intraspesifik gurame bastar dan bleuesafir  
435 (*Osphronemus goramy*). Prosiding Forum Inovasi Teknologi akuakultur, Lombok,  
436 Indonesia. (in Indonesian)

437 Aryani, N., Mardiah, A., Syandri, H., 2017. Influence of feeding rate on the growth, feed  
438 efficiency and carcass composition of the giant gourami (*Osphronemus goramy*). Pak.  
439 J. Zool. 49, 1775-1781.

440 Badan Pusat Statistik, 2013. Jumlah rumah tangga usaha budidaya bukan ikan hias menurut  
441 wilayah dan jenis ikan utama.  
442 <https://st2013.bps.go.id/dev2/index.php/site/tabel?tid=57&wid=3200000000> (accessed  
443 01 May 2018). (in Indonesian)

444 Baras, E., Kestemont, P., M elard, C., 2003. Effect of stocking density on the dynamics of  
445 cannibalism in sibling larvae of *Perca fluviatilis* under controlled conditions.  
446 Aquaculture 219, 241-255.

447 Bardach, J.E., Ryther, J.H., McLarney, W.O., 1972. Culture of true eels (*Anguilla* sp.), in:  
448 Bardach, J.E., Ryther, J.H., McLarney, W.O. (Eds.), Aquaculture: The Farming and  
449 Husbandry of Freshwater and Marine Organisms. Wiley-Interscience, New-York, pp.  
450 385-395.

451 Budi, D.S., Suprayudi, M.A., 2015. Growth response and feed utilization of giant gourami  
452 (*Osphronemus goramy*) juvenile feeding different protein levels of the diets  
453 supplemented with recombinant growth hormone. HAYATI J. Biosci. 22, 12-19.

454 Cowan, J.H., Rose, K.A., DeVries, D.R., 2000. Is density-dependent growth in young-of-the-  
455 year fishes a question of critical weight? Rev. Fish Biol. Fisher. 10, 61-89.

456 Cunniff, P. 1999. Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International 16<sup>th</sup> ed.. AOAC,  
457 Washington, DC.

458 Cuvier, G., Valenciennes, A., 1831. Histoire naturelle des poissons : Tome septième, Levrault  
459 FG, Paris, France. (in French)

460 Dey, A., Ghosh, K., Hazra, N., 2016. Evaluation of preference of dry feed, bio-encapsulated  
461 and bio-encapsulated live feed and survival of the walking catfish *Clarias batrachus*  
462 (L.) juveniles. Int. J. Fish Aquat. Stud. 4, 545-549.

463 Ebrahimi, M.H., Imanpour, M.R., Adlou, M.N., 2010. Effects of stocking density on growth  
464 factors, survival and blood and tissue parameters, in giant gourami (*Osphronemus*  
465 *goramy* Lacepède, 1801). Journal of Fisheries 14, 97-105.

466 Ellis, T., North, B., Scott, A.P., Bromage, N.R., Porter, M., Gadd, D., 2002. The relationships  
467 between stocking density and welfare in farmed rainbow trout. J. Fish Biol. 6, 493-  
468 531.

469 El-Sayed, A.F.M., 2002. Effects of stocking density and feeding levels on growth and feed  
470 efficiency of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* L.) fry. Aquac. Res. 33, 621-626.

471 Etoh, S., Putra, H.G.P., Carman, O., 2011. Growth and survival of giant gourami juvenile  
472 immersed in different doses of recombinant growth hormone. Jurnal Akuakultur  
473 Indonesia 10, 99-105.

474 FAO, 2017. FishStatJ: software for fishery statistical time series. Food and Agriculture  
475 Organization, Rome, Italy.

476 Froese, R., 2006. Cube law, condition factor and weight–length relationships: history, meta-  
477 analysis and recommendations. J. Appl. Ichthyol. 22, 241-253.

478 Huang, W.-B., Chiu, T.-S., 1997. Effects of stocking density on survival, growth, size  
479 variation, and production of Tilapia fry. *Aquac. Res.* 28, 165-173.

480 Jin, S., Yan, X., Zhang, H., Fan, W., 2015. Weight–length relationships and Fulton’s  
481 condition factors of skipjack tuna (*Katsuwonus pelamis*) in the western and central  
482 Pacific Ocean. *PeerJ* 3, e758.

483 Kaiser, H., Weyl, O., Hecht, T., 1995. The effect of stocking density on growth, survival and  
484 agonistic behaviour of African catfish. *Aquacult. Int.* 3, 217-225.

485 Keer, N.R., Datta, M.K., Patel, A.B., Priyanka, R., Rathor, M.K., Das, S., 2018. Effect of  
486 stocking density on growth and survival of *Cirrhinus reba* (Hamilton, 1822) during  
487 spawn to fry nursing (outdoor). *J. Entomol. Zool. Stud.* 6, 640-645.

488 Kestemont, P., Jourdan, S., Houbart, M., Mélard, C., Paspatis, M., Fontaine, P., Cuvier, A.,  
489 Kentouri, M., Baras E., 2003. Size heterogeneity, cannibalism and competition in  
490 cultured predatory fish larvae: iotic and abiotic influences. *Aquaculture* 227, 333-356.

491 Król, J., Zieliński, E., 2015. Effects of stocking density and weaning age on cannibalism,  
492 survival and growth in European perch *Perca fluviatilis* larvae. *Pol. J. Natur. Sc.* 30,  
493 403-415.

494 Le Thanh, H., Tam, B.M., Cacot, P., Lazard, J., 1999. Larval rearing of the Mekong catfish,  
495 *Pangasius bocourti* (Pangasiidae, Siluroidei): Substitution of *Artemia nauplii* with live  
496 and artificial feed. *Aquat. Living Resour.* 12, 229-232.

497 Lucas, W.G.F., Kalesaran, O.J., Lumenta, C., 2015. Pertumbuhan dan kelangsungan hidup  
498 larva gurami (*Osphronemus gouramy*) dengan pemberian beberapa jenis pakan. *Jurnal*  
499 *Budidaya Perairan* 3, 19-28. (in Indonesian)

500 Malla S., Banik S., 2015. Production and application of live food organisms for freshwater  
501 ornamental fish larviculture. *Adv. Biores.* 6, 159-167.

502 McDonald, J.H. 2009. Handbook of Biological Statistics Second Edition. Sparky House  
503 Publishing, Baltimore, MD.

504 Morioka, S., Vongvichith, B., Phommachan, P., Chantasone, P., 2013. Growth and  
505 morphological development of laboratory-reared larval and juvenile giant gourami  
506 *Osphronemus goramy* (Perciformes: Osphronemidae). Ichthyol. Res. 60, 209-217.

507 Moradyan, H., Karimi, H., Gandomkar, H.A., Sahraeian, M.R., Ertefaat, S., Sahafi, H.H.,  
508 2012. The effect of stocking density on growth parameters and survival rate of  
509 rainbow trout alevins (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). World J. Fish Mar. Sci. 4, 480-485.

510 Nafiqoh, N., Nugroho, E., 2013. Performa pertumbuhan ikan gurame pada tahap pendederan  
511 di hatcheri tertutup. Prosiding Forum Inovasi Teknologi Akuakultur, Lombok,  
512 Indonesia. (in Indonesian)

513 Niazie, E.H.N., Imanpoor, M., Taghizade, V., Zadmajid, V., 2013. Effects of density stress on  
514 growth indices and survival rate of goldfish (*Carassius auratus*). Glob. Vet. 10, 365-  
515 371.

516 North, B.P., Turnbull, J.F., Ellis, T., Porter, M.J., Migaud, H., Bron, J., Bromage, N.R., 2006.  
517 The impact of stocking density on the welfare of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus*  
518 *mykiss*). Aquaculture 255, 466-479.

519 Pouil, S., Samsudin, R., Slembrouck, J., Sihabuddin, A., Sundari, G., Khazaidan, K.,  
520 Kristanto, A.H., Pantjara, B., Caruso, D., 2019. Nutrient budgets in a small-scale  
521 freshwater fish pond system in Indonesia. Aquaculture 504, 267-274.

522 Puvanendran, V., Brown, J.A., 1999. Foraging, growth and survival of Atlantic cod larvae  
523 reared in different prey concentrations. Aquaculture 175, 77-92.

524 Ravichandra Reddy, S., Shakuntala, K., Rajagopal, K.V., 1977. Preliminary studies on the  
525 conversion of *Tubifex tubifex* as food by elvers of *Anguilla nebulosa* (Gray and  
526 Hardwicke). J. Fish Biol. 11, 279-281.

527 R Development Core Team, 2016. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R  
528 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

529 Sahoo, S.K., Giri, S.S., Sahu, A.K., 2004. Effect of stocking density on growth and survival  
530 of *Clarias batrachus* (Linn.) larvae and fry during hatchery rearing. J. Appl. Ichthyol.  
531 20, 302-305.

532 Saoud, I.P., Ghanawi, J., Lebbos, N., 2008. Effects of stocking density on the survival,  
533 growth, size variation and condition index of juvenile rabbitfish *Siganus rivulatus*.  
534 Aquacult. Int. 16, 109-116.

535 Sarah, S., Widanarni, W., Sudrajat, A.O., 2009. Pengaruh padat penebaran terhadap  
536 pertumbuhan dan kelangsungan hidup benih ikan gurame (*Osphronemus goramy* Lac.)  
537 (in Indonesian). Jurnal Akuakultur Indonesia 8, 199-207.

538 SNI, 2000. SNI 01-6485.3-2000: Produksi benih ikan gurame (*Osphronemus goramy*, Lac)  
539 kelas benih sebar. Badan Standardisasi Nasional (SNI), Jakarta, Indonesia. (in  
540 Indonesian)

541 Slater, M., D'Abramo, L., Engle, C.R., 2018. Aquaculture research priorities for the next  
542 decade: A global perspective. J. World Aquac. Soc. 49, 3-6.

543 Slembrouck, J., Baras, E., Subagja, J., Hung, L.T., Legendre, M., 2009. Survival, growth and  
544 food conversion of cultured larvae of *Pangasianodon hypophthalmus*, depending on  
545 feeding level, prey density and fish density. Aquaculture 294, 52-59.



546 Slembrouck, J., Samsudin, R., Pantjara, B., Sihabuddin, A., Legendre, M., Caruso, D., 2018.  
547 Choosing floating macrophytes for ecological intensification of small-scale fish  
548 farming in tropical areas: A methodological approach. *Aquat. Living Resour.* 31:1-9.

549 Svobododá, Z., Lloyd, R., Máchová, J., Vykusová, B., 1993. Water quality and fish health.  
550 European Inland Fisheries Advisory Commission (EIFAC), Food and Agriculture  
551 Organization (FAO), Technical Paper, Roma, Italy.

552 Szkudlarek, M., Zakeś, Z., 2007. Effect of stocking density on survival and growth  
553 performance of pikeperch, *Sander lucioperca* (L.), larvae under controlled conditions.  
554 *Aquacult. Int.* 15, 67-81.

555 Verawati, Y., Muarifl, M., Mumpunil, F.S., 2015. Pengaruh perbedaan padat penebaran  
556 terhadap pertumbuhan dan kelangsungan hidup benih ikan gurami (*Osphronemus*  
557 *gouramy*) pada sisteivi resirkulasi. *Jurnal Mina. Sains.* 1, 6-12. (in Indonesian)

558 Werner, R.G., Blaxter, J.H.S., 1980. Growth and survival of larval herring (*Clupea harengus*)  
559 in relation to prey density. *Can. J. Fish Aquat. Sci.* 37, 1063-1069.

560

561 **Figure captions**


562 **Figure 1.** Total food intake (g fish<sup>-1</sup> wet wt) by giant gourami larvae reared at six different  
563 stocking densities A-F (A=0.6, B=1.2, C=2.4, D=4.8, E=9.6, and F=19.2 fish L<sup>-1</sup>; i.e. A=150,  
564 B=300, C=600, D=1200, E=2400, and F=4800 fish m<sup>-2</sup>, n=4) for 21 days. Bars show the  
565 proportion of tubifex worms eaten by the larvae (white) and the uneaten fraction (black). Values  
566 are means ± SD. Different letters denote significant differences between fish stocking densities  
567 (p < 0.05).

568

569 **Figure 2.** Total length (a) and body weight (b) of giant gourami larvae (n=40-240) at 15, 22  
570 and 29 days post-hatching (dph) in the six stocking density treatments A=0.6, B=1.2, C=2.4,  
571 D=4.8, E=9.6, and F=19.2 fish L<sup>-1</sup>; i.e., A=150, B=300, C=600, D=1200, E=2400, and F=4800  
572 fish m<sup>-2</sup>). Box-plots show the interquartile range, median (horizontal line), minimum and  
573 maximum values (whiskers). Different letters denote significant differences between fish  
574 stocking densities (p < 0.05).







575

576 **Figure 3.** Relationship between feed conversion ratio (*FCR*) and stocking densities of giant  
577 gourami larvae at 29 days post-hatching (dph) reared at six stocking density treatments (A=0.6,  
578 B=1.2, C=2.4, D=4.8, E=9.6, and F=19.2 fish L<sup>-1</sup>; i.e., A=150, B=300, C=600, D=1200,  
579 E=2400, and F=4800 fish m<sup>-2</sup>, n=4). Values are means ± SD.




580 Figure 1

581



582 **Figure 2**

583



584 Figure 3

585 **Table 1.** Proximate composition of tubifex worms throughout the 21-day experiment. Except  
586 for water content, data are expressed as percentage of dry matter (n=3). Values are means  $\pm$  SD.

Component	Value (%)
Water content	83.1 $\pm$ 1.1
Crude protein	54.0 $\pm$ 3.9
Crude lipid	23.5 $\pm$ 3.2
Ash	5.6 $\pm$ 2.8
Crude fibre	1.1 $\pm$ 0.1
NFE <sup>1</sup>	15.8 $\pm$ 2.5

587 <sup>1</sup>NFE: Nitrogen-free extract.

588 **Table 2.** Stocking densities of giant gourami larvae in the six experimental treatments.

Experimental treatment	Stocking density		Total number of larvae per aquarium
	Larvae L <sup>-1</sup>	Larvae m <sup>-2</sup>	
A	0.6	150	18
B	1.2	300	36
C	2.4	600	72
D	4.8	1200	144
E	9.6	2400	288
F	19.2	4800	576

589

590 **Table 3.** Summary of water quality parameters measured in the aquaria during experiment.

Parameters <sup>1</sup>	Mean $\pm$ SD	Range
Temperature ( $^{\circ}$ C)	29.0 $\pm$ 0.6	28.3-30.0
DO (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	6.1 $\pm$ 0.9	4.7-7.1
pH	8.4 $\pm$ 0.3	7.8-8.8
TDS (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	78.4 $\pm$ 1.2	77-81
Turbidity (NTU)	0.1 $\pm$ 0.0	0.1-0.3
N-NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	0.23 $\pm$ 0.11	0.09-0.41
N-NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	0.03 $\pm$ 0.03	0.00-0.09
N-NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	3.1 $\pm$ 2.5	0.4-7.4

591 <sup>1</sup>DO: dissolved oxygen, TDS: total dissolved solids



592 **Table 4.** Effects of stocking density (A-F; see Table 2) on growth and survival of giant gourami  
 593 larvae reared in a closed recirculating system from 8 to 29 days post-hatching. Values are means  
 594  $\pm$  SD. Letters denote significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) between treatments.

Parameters <sup>1</sup>	A	B	C	D	E	F
<i>BG</i> (g L <sup>-1</sup> )	0.33 $\pm$ 0.04 <sup>a</sup>	0.53 $\pm$ 0.03 <sup>b</sup>	0.68 $\pm$ 0.07 <sup>c</sup>	0.74 $\pm$ 0.07 <sup>c</sup>	0.94 $\pm$ 0.07 <sup>d</sup>	1.28 $\pm$ 0.04 <sup>e</sup>
<i>BW<sub>i</sub></i> (mg)	14.4 $\pm$ 0.8	14.4 $\pm$ 0.8	14.4 $\pm$ 0.8	14.4 $\pm$ 0.8	14.4 $\pm$ 0.8	14.4 $\pm$ 0.8
<i>BW<sub>f</sub></i> (mg)	563.2 $\pm$ 90.3 <sup>a</sup>	461.0 $\pm$ 53.7 <sup>b</sup>	301.0 $\pm$ 49.9 <sup>c</sup>	170.2 $\pm$ 35.7 <sup>d</sup>	113.6 $\pm$ 18.8 <sup>e</sup>	81.9 $\pm$ 12.3 <sup>f</sup>
<i>CV<sub>BW</sub></i> (%)	12.8 $\pm$ 4.2 <sup>a</sup>	10.5 $\pm$ 3.0 <sup>a</sup>	13.2 $\pm$ 6.8 <sup>a</sup>	19.9 $\pm$ 6.5 <sup>a</sup>	15.7 $\pm$ 2.0 <sup>a</sup>	14.5 $\pm$ 3.0 <sup>a</sup>
<i>CV<sub>TL</sub></i> (%)	3.9 $\pm$ 1.6 <sup>a</sup>	3.9 $\pm$ 1.9 <sup>a</sup>	4.5 $\pm$ 1.9 <sup>a</sup>	6.0 $\pm$ 2.0 <sup>a</sup>	4.9 $\pm$ 0.7 <sup>a</sup>	5.7 $\pm$ 1.1 <sup>a</sup>
<i>K</i>	1.75 $\pm$ 0.13 <sup>a</sup>	1.70 $\pm$ 0.09 <sup>a</sup>	1.56 $\pm$ 0.11 <sup>b</sup>	1.48 $\pm$ 0.14 <sup>bc</sup>	1.37 $\pm$ 0.08 <sup>d</sup>	1.52 $\pm$ 0.11 <sup>bc</sup>
<i>SGR<sub>BW</sub></i> (% day <sup>-1</sup> )	17.5 $\pm$ 0.5 <sup>a</sup>	16.5 $\pm$ 0.3 <sup>b</sup>	14.5 $\pm$ 0.5 <sup>c</sup>	11.8 $\pm$ 0.4 <sup>d</sup>	9.8 $\pm$ 0.3 <sup>e</sup>	8.3 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>f</sup>
<i>SGR<sub>TL</sub></i> (% day <sup>-1</sup> )	5.5 $\pm$ 0.2 <sup>a</sup>	5.2 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>a</sup>	4.6 $\pm$ 0.2 <sup>b</sup>	3.8 $\pm$ 0.2 <sup>c</sup>	3.3 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>d</sup>	2.6 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>e</sup>
<i>SR</i> (%)	100.0 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>a</sup>	99.3 $\pm$ 1.4 <sup>a</sup>	98.6 $\pm$ 1.1 <sup>a</sup>	99.5 $\pm$ 0.7 <sup>a</sup>	99.2 $\pm$ 0.5 <sup>a</sup>	99.0 $\pm$ 1.1 <sup>a</sup>
<i>TL<sub>i</sub></i> (mm)	10.1 $\pm$ 0.4	10.1 $\pm$ 0.4	10.1 $\pm$ 0.4	10.1 $\pm$ 0.4	10.1 $\pm$ 0.4	10.1 $\pm$ 0.4
<i>TL<sub>f</sub></i> (mm)	31.7 $\pm$ 1.6 <sup>a</sup>	30.0 $\pm$ 1.3 <sup>b</sup>	26.8 $\pm$ 1.3 <sup>c</sup>	22.5 $\pm$ 1.6 <sup>d</sup>	20.2 $\pm$ 1.1 <sup>e</sup>	17.5 $\pm$ 1.0 <sup>f</sup>

595 <sup>1</sup>*BG*: biomass gain (n=4), *BW<sub>i</sub>*: initial body weight (n=30), *BW<sub>f</sub>*: final body weight (n=40-240),  
 596 *CV<sub>BW</sub>*: coefficient of variation for body weight (n=4), *CV<sub>TL</sub>*: coefficient of variation for total  
 597 length (n=4), *K*: condition factor (n=40-240), *SGR<sub>BW</sub>*: specific growth rate for body weight  
 598 (n=4), *SGR<sub>TL</sub>*: specific growth rate for total length (n=4), *SR*: survival rate (n=4), *TL<sub>i</sub>*: initial  
 599 total length (n=30), *TL<sub>f</sub>*: final total length (n=40-240).

600

601 **Table 5.** Food consumption expressed as total food ingested per treatment ( $FI_{total}$ , %, n=4),  
 602 individual food intake during the 21-day experiment ( $FI_{fish}$ , g ind<sup>-1</sup>, n=4) and feed conversion  
 603 ratio ( $FCR$ ) of gourami larvae reared in recirculating aquaculture system at six stocking  
 604 densities. Values are means  $\pm$  SD. For each parameter, different letters denote significant  
 605 differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) between treatments.

Parameters	A	B	C	D	E	F
$FI_{fish}$ (g ind <sup>-1</sup> )	17.2 $\pm$ 0.82 <sup>a</sup>	8.8 $\pm$ 0.29 <sup>b</sup>	4.3 $\pm$ 0.25 <sup>c</sup>	2.1 $\pm$ 0.11 <sup>d</sup>	1.1 $\pm$ 0.02 <sup>e</sup>	0.6 $\pm$ 0.02 <sup>e</sup>
$FI_{total}$ (%)	62.0 $\pm$ 0.03 <sup>a</sup>	63.1 $\pm$ 0.03 <sup>a</sup>	61.3 $\pm$ 0.04 <sup>a</sup>	62.4 $\pm$ 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	62.3 $\pm$ 0.02 <sup>a</sup>	66.6 $\pm$ 0.03 <sup>a</sup>
$FCR$	31.6 $\pm$ 4.3 <sup>a</sup>	19.7 $\pm$ 1.8 <sup>b</sup>	15.1 $\pm$ 2.0 <sup>c</sup>	14.0 $\pm$ 1.8 <sup>c</sup>	10.9 $\pm$ 0.9 <sup>d</sup>	8.3 $\pm$ 0.3 <sup>e</sup>

606