Effects of stocking density on survival, food intake and growth of giant gourami (Osphronemus goramy) larvae reared in a recirculating aquaculture system

Otong Zenal Arifin, Vitas Atmadi Prakoso, Jojo Subagja, Anang Hari Kristanto, Simon Pouil, Jacques Slembrouck

1 **Effects of stocking density on survival, food intake and growth of giant gourami**

2 (***Osphronemus goramy***) **larvae reared in a recirculating aquaculture system**

3

4 Otong Zenal Arifin[1], Vitas Atmadi Prakoso[1], Jojo Subagja[1], Anang Hari Kristanto[1], Simon

5 Pouil[2], Jacques Slembrouck[2*]

6

7 [1] Research Institute for Freshwater Aquaculture and Fisheries Extension (RIFAFE), Bogor,

8 Indonesia

9 [2] ISEM, IRD, Université de Montpellier, CNRS, EPHE, Montpellier, France

10

11 * Corresponding author: Jacques Slembrouck

12   Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD)

13   Graha Kapital Lantai 4, Jl. Kemang Raya n°4

14   12730 Jakarta, Indonesia

15   E-mail: jacques.slembrouck@ird.fr

16   Phone: +62 (0) 21 71 79 46 51

17

Abstract

The influence of stocking density on survival, food intake, and larval growth was assessed in giant gourami (*Osphronemus goramy*) larvae reared in an indoor recirculating aquaculture system. Larvae aged eight days post-hatching were arbitrarily divided into six stocking density treatments (A: 0.6, B: 1.2, C: 2.4, D: 4.8, E: 9.6, F: 19.2 individuals L^{-1}; four replicates per treatment) and reared for three weeks. Tubifex worms, used as food, were kept continuously available for larvae. Samples of larvae were collected at days 0, 7, 14 and 21. Performance indicators - including survival rate (%), food intake (% and g ind^{-1}), total length (cm), body weight (g), specific growth rate (g day^{-1}), biomass gain (g L^{-1}), feed conversion ratio (FCR), condition factor (K) and coefficients of variation (%) - were measured. Water quality was checked throughout the experiment and parameters were maintained below critical thresholds for fish. The results showed no effect of stocking density on survival (> 98%) or size heterogeneity, although growth significantly decreased with increasing stocking density. At the end of the 21-day experiment, mean individual body weights were 563.2 ± 64.3, 461.0 ± 28.6, 288.8 ± 19.3, 170.2 ± 13.8, 113.6 ± 6.9 and 81.9 ± 2.3 mg, for groups A, B, C, D, E and F, respectively. Decreased growth may be due to reduction in food intake in larvae stocked at the highest densities. The consequences of intensification of larval rearing should be further investigated in the nursery and grow-out phases.

Keywords: Early life stages, Growth metrics, Rearing practices, Start-feeding, Tropical fish

1. Introduction

The giant gourami (*Osphronemus goramy*; Lacepède, 1801) is one of the main freshwater fish of economic importance in Indonesia. Pond aquaculture of giant gourami in Indonesia is a very old practice (Cuvier and Valenciennes, 1831; Pouil et al., 2019). Its annual production was over 119,000 t in 2014 and, had grown exponentially over the previous 15 years. Yet, for the first time in 2015, Indonesian production of this species, which is pursued by approximately 100,000 fish farmers mainly located on Java Island (79%; Badan Pusat Statistik, 2013), dropped slightly to 113,400 t (FAO, 2017). Nevertheless, knowledge on several aspects of giant gourami biology remains largely incomplete, particularly for the young life-stages.

The reliability of giant gourami aquaculture depends on fry availability, which is a limiting factor for fish farmers. Thus, as for many species, increasing survival during the larval phase should be one of the research priorities (Slater et al., 2018). Nevertheless, studies on rearing giant gourami larvae are scarce in the international literature (Ebrahimi et al., 2010; Amornsakun et al., 2014a, 2014b). Currently, larvae are typically produced under non-optimal conditions (i.e., outdoor ponds, stagnant water, etc.). For this reason, the quantity and the quality of giant gourami juveniles produced are generally low and highly variable (Etoh et al., 2011; Arifin et al., 2013; Nafiqoh and Nugroho, 2013; Budi and Supriyadi, 2015). Improvement of giant gourami juvenile production requires identifying and addressing the factors behind the variability observed in larvae production.

The success of larval production depends on environmental conditions, feeding strategies and rearing practices (Cowan et al., 2000; Kestemont et al., 2003). Among these factors, larval stocking density is known to affect larval performance. Effects of stocking density have been studied in young stages of several freshwater aquaculture species (e.g., El-Sayed, 2002; Sahoo et al., 2004; Keer et al., 2018). Nonetheless, the effects of stocking density on survival and growth may be variable or even contradictory (Niazie et al., 2013), depending on the species,

rearing conditions and age of the fish (Saoud et al., 2008). For example, some studies have

demonstrated that survival rate and growth are negatively affected by an increase in stocking

density (El-Sayed, 2002; Keer et al., 2018; Sahoo et al., 2004) while other studies (Kaiser et al.,

1995; Niazie et al., 2013) did not find any effects of stocking density on survival or growth rate.

These results highlight the importance of better characterising the effects of stocking density

on survival and growth of giant gourami larvae.

In this context, the objective of this study was to assess zootechnical performance through the

assessment of survival, food intake, and growth of the larvae of giant gourami reared in a closed

recirculating aquaculture system (RAS) at six stocking densities. The range of larval densities

(from 0.6 to 19.2 larvae L^{-1} i.e., 150 to 4600 larvae m^{-2}) was selected in accordance with the

recommendations of the "BPPSIGN" Centre (West Java Centre for the Development of Giant

Gourami Culture) for the lower densities (0.6 to 1.2 larvae L^{-1}, i.e. 150-300 larvae m^{-2}) and

extended according to a gradient of increasing production intensification.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Origin of larvae

Giant gourami broodfish (3-4 years old), belonging to the local "Galunggung" strain (Arifin et

al., 2017), were reared in a 200-m^2 outdoor pond at the Research and Development Installation

of Germplasm for Freshwater Aquaculture (RIFAFE, Cijeruk, West Java, Indonesia). The

broodfish were fed leaves of giant taro (*Alocasia macrorrhiza*) and commercial floating fish

feed pellets (32% proteins, 5% lipids) distributed at a daily feeding rate of 1-2% of fish biomass,

respectively. The broodfish pond was divided by net into 10 compartments of 16 m^2. Each

compartment contained one male and three females. Bamboo nest supports and palm tree fibres

were provided so that broodfish could build nests. The natural spawning event occurs once the

male has chosen one ready female. After spawning, the male closes the nest and protects the

88 eggs. Nests were monitored every two days, and eggs were removed one night after the

89 spawning event. Thus, the larvae used in this experiment came from a single broodfish pair,

90 allowing us to obtain a homogeneous response to the different stocking density conditions.

91 The buoyant giant gourami eggs were incubated in an experimental room in a 20-L plastic basin

92 for ± 20 hours at a temperature of 29.0 ± 0.6°C (equivalent ~24 degrees-day). After hatching,

93 larvae (BW: 5.6 ± 0.3 mg and TL: 4.9 ± 0.1 mm, n=30) were kept unfed in the incubation basin

94 (following the typical fish farming practice), until the beginning of the experiment (i.e., 8 days

95 post-hatching, dph).

96

97 **2.2. Live prey maintenance**

98 In this study, tubifex worms (*Tubifex tubifex*) were used as food according to local and

99 traditional practices for giant gourami larval production described by the Standard National

100 Indonesian (SNI, 2000). The benefits of tubifex worms for growth and survival rate of giant

101 gourami were demonstrated by Lucas et al. (2015). Here, tubifex worms were used as the

102 primary food for the larvae and throughout the fish nursery period between 8 and 30 days of

103 age (i.e., first-feeding started before the yolk-sac depletion, Morioka et al., 2013). New batches

104 of live tubifex worms were purchased weekly and stored in the experimental room (100-L

105 aquarium; daily water change, temperature: 29.0 ± 0.6°C; light/dark cycle: 12:12 h) and kept

106 unfed. To assess their nutritional quality, proximate analyses of tubifex worms were conducted

107 after 3, 10 and 17 days of the experiment according to the procedures described in Cunniff

108 (1999). Moisture was determined by weight loss upon drying at 105°C for 3 h. Crude protein

109 was determined using the standard Kjeldahl procedure (Foss Tecator Kjeltec 8400 and Kjeltec

110 Bucchi); lipid content after acid hydrolysis using the Weibull-Stoldt method (Slembrouck et

111 al., 2018); crude ash by determining residue after heating at 550°C for 4-5 h in a muffle furnace,

112 and crude fibre was determined as follows: macrophytes were extracted with 1.25% H_2SO_4 and

113 1.25% NaOH, then dried and samples were weighed, incinerated and reweighed. Results are

114 summarised in Table 1.

115

116 [Table 1 is here]

117

118 **2.3. Stocking density experiment**

119 2.3.1. Experimental design

120 The experiment was conducted indoor under natural light (daylight intensity 60-4500 lux, night

121 light intensity < 11 lux). Larvae were individually counted (n=4536) and measured (n=30, mean

122 body weight: 14.4 ± 0.8 mg; mean total length: 10.1 ± 0.4 mm) and then arbitrarily assigned to

123 24 glass aquaria covered by transparent plastic sheets (30-L capacity; 40 x 30 x 30 cm, L x W

124 x H) in a RAS. The 21-day experiment was started at 8 dph, a few days after mouth opening

125 (Morioka et al., 2013) and when the ability of larvae to feed on tubifex worms was confirmed.

126 To determine potential effects of stocking density on the zootechnical performance of *O.*

127 *goramy* larvae, survival, food intake and growth, were evaluated at six different stocking

128 densities as summarised in Table 2. The experiment was conducted as a completely randomized

129 design with four replicates.

130

131 [Table 2 is here]

132

133 2.3.2. Feeding protocol and water quality monitoring

134 Larvae were fed every day except on the sampling days. The same quantity of live tubifex

135 worms, carefully drained, was spread in the bottom of each aquarium twice a day at 8:00 and

136 16:00. Daily food quantities were in large excess for all treatments (Fig. 1) to promote non-

137 limiting food conditions for larvae and to facilitate accurate estimation of ingestion. Through

138 the entire experiment, the total amount of tubifex worms distributed in each aquarium was 465

139 g. Since tubifex worms are benthic and live on the bottom of the aquaria, they were continuously

140 available for larvae without any degradation of water quality (see Table 3).

141

142   [Fig. 1 is here]

143

144 Prior to each larval feeding, tubifex worms were collected, rinsed and drained on a 50-μm mesh

145 and weighed (to the nearest 0.1 g). To determine food intake, unconsumed tubifex worms were

146 collected from each aquarium and weighed before the addition of the new ration of worms. The

147 quantity distributed was kept constant in each aquarium. In the RAS, the filtration system

148 consisted of filtration foams as the mechanical filtration medium and BioBall® carriers as

149 bacterial support. Water flow into the rearing tanks was maintained at 33 L h^{-1} for the first four

150 days of the experiment and then at 78 L h^{-1}. Water was added every day to compensate for

151 evaporation and losses when aquaria were cleaned (approx. 5-7% of volume). Water quality

152 was monitored in each aquarium once a week with direct measurements using a multi-parameter

153 probe (Hanna HI 9829) for dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, total dissolved solids (TDS) and

154 turbidity, and then by spectrophotometry analysis (Hanna HI 83399) for $N-NH_4^+$, $N-NO_2^-$ and

155 $N-NO_3^-$. Temperature was monitored twice a day (at 08:00 and 16:00). Since no statistical

156 differences were observed between the six experimental treatments for any of the parameters,

157 data were pooled and are presented in Table 3. All the values indicate that water quality did not

158 deteriorate and corresponded to appropriate rearing conditions for tropical freshwater fishes

159 (Svobododá et al., 1993; Aryani et al., 2017).

160

161   [Table 3 is here]

162

163   2.3.3. Observations and measurements of larvae

164   Larvae from the experimental treatments (n=40-240 depending on the tested stocking density)

165   were sampled at 15 dph (day 7), 22 dph (day 14) and 29 dph (day 21) during the experiment.

166   The sample size and sampling frequency were selected in order to sample at least 10% of the

167   total number of larvae at each stocking density while taking into account time needed and

168   technical constraints of sampling procedure as well as stress for the larvae caused by handling.

169   Sampled larvae were anaesthetised and their total body length (TL, mm) was measured under

170   a stereomicroscope with a micrometre (accuracy ranging from 0.05 to 0.1 mm, depending on

171   fish size and magnification). Body weight (BW, mg) was measured using a digital scale with

172   an accuracy of 0.1 mg. After individual measurements, fish were returned to their respective

173   aquarium. No mortality was observed following samplings. At 29 dph, all the aquaria were

174   emptied and living larvae were counted to calculate survival rates.

175

176   **2.4. Performance metrics**

177   The effects of stocking density on zootechnical performance were determined by calculating

178   the following parameters for each experimental treatment. Survival rates (SR), expressed as a

179   percentage, were calculated by comparing the final number (N_f) with the initial number of larvae

180   (N_i): $SR\ (\%) = [(N_f / N_i) \times 100]$.

181   The specific growth in body weight (SGR$_{BW}$, %) was calculated according to the following

182   equation: $SGR_{BW} = [(\ln BW_f - \ln BW_i) / 21) \times 100]$, where BW_i and BW_f are the initial and final

183   body weights of fish, and 21 is the duration of the experiment in days.

184   The specific growth in total length (SGR_{TL}, %) was calculated using the same approach, as

185    $SGR_{TL} = [(\ln LT_f - \ln LT_i) / 21] \times 100$.

186   Heterogeneity of fish size (in body weight or total length) was assessed using the coefficient of

187   variation (CV, %) calculated as: $CV_{BW} = SD_{BW} / BW$ and $CV_{TL} = SD / TL$, where SD is the standard

188   deviation for weight and BW is the average body weight (mg) and TL the average body length

189   (mm).

190   Fish biomass gain per liter (BG, g L^{-1}) was calculated following the equation: $BG = [(N_f\,BW_f -$

191    $N_i\,BW_i) / 30] / 1000$, where N_i and N_f are the initial number and the final number of larvae, BW_i

192   and BW_f are the initial average body weight (mg) and the final average body weight respectively,

193   and 30 is the volume of the aquarium in litres.

194   Total food ingestion per treatment (FI_{total}, %) was calculated as follows: FI_{total} = [(Food

195   distributed - Food remaining) / Food distributed] x 100, where food distributed and food

196   remaining are expressed in g.

197   Individual food intake (FI_{fish}, g ind^{-1}) was calculated according to the following equation: FI_{fish}

198   = (Food distributed - Food remaining) / N_f where N_f is the final number of fish.

199   Feed conversion ratio (FCR) was calculated using the following equation: $FCR = F / (N_f\,BW_f -$

200    $N_i\,BW_i$, where F is the total quantity of food intake in wet weight during the whole rearing

201   period. F was determined as the total amount of uneaten food subtracted from the total amount

202   of food provided.

203   The Fulton's condition factor (K) was calculated according to the relationship $K = BW_f / TL_f^3$

204   (Froese, 2006). The equation was multiplied by 100 to bring the value close to one. Fulton's

205   condition factor predicts that the weight of a fish is proportional to its length cubed, allowing a

206   direct comparison of nutritional conditions between individuals from the same species (Jin et

207   al., 2015; Allen et al., 2018).

208

209   **2.5. Statistical analysis**

210   To account account the heterogeneity of the variances between the six experimental treatments

211   due to the deliberately unbalanced sampling plan (see Section 2.3.3), Welch ANOVA

212   (McDonald, 2009) was used to determine significant differences among treatments for growth

213 (body weight and total length) and Fulton's condition factor. When significant differences were

214 detected, the Games-Howell test (McDonald, 2009) with Bonferroni correction was performed

215 to compare means.

216 For the other metrics used (see Section 2.4), data were first tested for normality (Shapiro's test)

217 and homogeneity of variance (Levene's test) and, where necessary, data were arcsine or log

218 transformed prior to analysis. One-way ANOVA was used to assess significance of differences

219 among treatments. When significant differences were detected, Tukey's test was performed to

220 compare means. The level of significance for statistical analyses was always set to $\alpha = 0.05$. All

221 statistics were performed using R freeware version 3.3 (R Development Core Team, 2016).

222

223 **3. Results**

224 **3.1. Survival rate**

225 The survival rates (*SR*) measured at the end of the experiment were very high (98.6-100 %,

226 Table 4) without any significant differences between the six stocking densities tested ($F = 1.31$,

227 $p = 0.304$). Regardless of the experimental stocking density, larvae exhibited no aggressive

228 behaviour throughout the experiment and no cannibalism was observed.

229

230 [Table 4 is here]

231

232 **3.2. Growth and size heterogeneity**

233 The growth of larvae reared at six different densities is indicated in Table 4. At the end of the

234 experiment, the average total length (TL_f) and body weight (BW_f) of larvae ranged from 17.5 ±

235 1.0 mm and 81.9 ± 12.3 mg in treatment F and 31.7 ± 1.6 mm and 563.2 ± 90.3 mg in treatment

236 A (Fig. 2). Growth was significantly less with increased stocking density ($F = 833.7$, $p < 0.0001$

237 and $F = 1382$, $p < 0.0001$ for BW_f and TL_f respectively), with the lowest growth observed for

the larvae reared at the highest density (Fig. 2). This trend was quantified by the specific growth

rate calculated for both body weight (SGR_{BW}) and total length (SGR_{TL}). Significant decreases in

SGR_{BW} ($F = 425.3$, $p < 0.0001$) and SRG_{TL} ($F = 267.6$, $p < 0.0001$) were observed when stocking

densities increased, with values varying from 17.5 ± 0.5 % for SGR_{BW} and 5.5 ± 0.2 % for SRG_{TL}

in treatment A (0.6 larvae L^{-1}) to 8.3 ± 0.1 % for SGR_{BW} and 2.6 ± 0.0 % SRG_{TL} in treatment F

(19.2 larvae L^{-1}). In this experiment, the commercial fry size ("Nguku", i.e., fish >2 cm in total

length) was reached after 14 days of rearing (22 dph) for larvae reared at the lowest stocking

densities (A: 24.0 ± 1.3 mm, B: 22.8 ± 1.24 mm and C: 21.5 ± 1.0 mm), whereas larvae from

treatments D and E reached fry commercial size only seven days later (TL_D: 22.5 ± 1.6 mm and

TL_E: 20.2 ± 1.1 mm). At the highest stocking density, the larvae had not reached the commercial

size (TL_F: 17.5 ± 1.0 mm) even after 21 days of culture (Fig. 2). Although growth was reduced

at high stocking densities, the biomass gain (BG), ranging from 0.33 ± 0.04 to 1.28 ± 0.04 g L^{-1},

increased significantly ($F = 155.3$, $p < 0.0001$) with increasing stocking density (Table 4).

Size heterogeneity as a function of stocking density was assessed at the end of the experiment

(i.e., 29 dph) by the coefficients of variation for body weight (CV_{BW}) and total length (CV_{TL}).

CV_{BW} ranged from 10.5 ± 3.0 to 19.9 ± 6.5% and CV_{TL} ranged from 3.9 ± 1.6 to 6.0 ± 2.0%. For

CV_{BW} and CV_{TL}, no significant differences were found between any of the groups ($F = 1.974$, p

$= 0.132$ and $F = 1.302$, $p = 0.307$) for CV_{BW} and CV_{TL} respectively (Table 4).

The Fulton's condition factors (K) estimated at the end of the experiment ranged from 1.38 to

1.77. Statistical analysis revealed significant decrease in K with increased stocking density (F

$= 131.18$, $p < 0.0001$). Nevertheless, no statistical difference was found between treatments C,

D and F (Table 4).

[Fig. 2 is here]

3.3. Food intake

263   The proportion of the total distributed tubifex worms (FI_{total}, %) effectively ingested in each

264   aquarium was not affected by the stocking density ($F = 0.627$, $p = 0.681$) and remained similar

265   in the six treatments (61-67%, Table 5). Furthermore, the minimum quantities of tubifex worms

266   remaining at the end of each feeding period were never less than 6%. On the other hand, the

267   total individual food intake (FI_{fish}, g ind^{-1}) during the 21 day the experiment was greatly affected

268   by the stocking density (F=1857, p < 0.0001; Table 5 and Fig. 1). For the entire experiment, the

269   highest individual food intake was 17.2 ± 0.82 g ind^{-1} for treatment A and only 0.60 ± 0.02 g

270   ind^{-1} for treatment F. Similarly, the feed conversion ratio (FCR) was the highest at the lowest

271   stocking density (i.e. treatment A, 31.6 ± 4.3) and decreased significantly ($F = 79.29$, $p <$

272   0.0001), with lowest values (8.3 ± 0.3) for the highest density treatment (F). The relationship

273   between FCR and stocking densities was fitted using a single-component exponential model

274   (R^2=0.94, p < 0.0001): y = 24.14 x$^{-0.403}$ (Fig. 3).

275

276   [Fig. 3 is here]

277   [Table 5 is here]

278

279   **4. Discussion**

280   **4.1. Effects of stocking density on survival rate and growth**

281   There are few studies testing the influence of stocking density on survival and growth of giant

282   gourami larvae. Moreover, the density ranges tested were often narrow (e.g. 0.3 to 0.7 fish L^{-1},

283   Ebrahimi et al., 2010; and 2.5 to 10 fish L^{-1}, Sarah et al., 2009). The present study provides

284   quantification of the effects of stocking density on larvae considering a wider range of density

285   (0.6 to 19.2 fish L^{-1}). The lowest stocking density treatments (i.e., 150-300 larvae m^{-2} or 0.6-

286   1.2 fish L^{-1}) were based on the current recommendations from the "BPPSIGN" Centre.

287   Increasing intensification was applied until reaching densities 6-fold higher than what is

288   observed among the fish farmers.

289   First, we compare our results to larval production reported in an on-farm survey of 39 small-

290   scale farms and two training centers that produced "Nguku" in West Java province (mainly

291   located in Bogor and Tasikmalaya districts) carried out in November 2016. Overall, the growth

292   of the larvae reared in our recirculating aquaculture system (RAS) was higher than those

293   reported from small-scale farms, where 22 to 90 days were needed to reach the 2-cm

294   commercial size at stocking densities ranging from 111 to 714 larvae m^{-2} in small, stagnant

295   outdoor ponds based on the farmer's responses ($n = 20$, unpublished data). In addition, we

296   observed very high survival rates for all the stocking densities (>98%) much higher than those

297   reported by Javanese fish farmers (0-98% and 50% on average, $n = 23$ farmers) or in previous

298   experiments (e.g., Verawati et al., 2015). Regardless of the experimental stocking density,

299   larvae exhibited no aggressive behaviour throughout the experiment and no cannibalism was

300   observed.

301   Overall, we observed high growth rates for giant gourami. Indeed, although we found similar

302   results for higher stocking densities, Sarah et al. (2009) observed about 50% lower growth when

303   larvae were maintained at 5 fish L^{-1} compared to our findings. Altogether, our results suggest

304   that (1) larvae were reared under appropriate environmental conditions, and (2) RAS is a

305   suitable method for improving juvenile production in giant gourami aquaculture.

306   We found that increasing stocking density had negative effects on the growth of giant gourami

307   larvae. These results are in accordance with those of Sarah et al. (2009). Conversely, Ebrahimi

308   et al. (2010) reported that very low stocking densities (< 0.7 fish L^{-1}) had no effect on the growth

309   of young-stage giant gourami. These findings suggest that the lowest density tested in our study

310   (0.6 fish L^{-1}) was the minimum value to detect effects of stocking density.

Several interpretations have been offered to explain the effects of stocking density on growth and survival in fish. In Reba carp *Cirrhinus reba* fry, lower survival rates observed at high stocking densities were attributed to stronger competition for food and space as well as increased stress (Keer et al., 2018). On the other hand, stocking density showed no negative effect on survival and growth in marbled spinefoot *Siganus rivulatus* juveniles, a result credited to the maintenance of water quality within the tolerance range for this species (Saoud et al., 2008). European perch *Perca fluviatilis* and European seabass *Dicentrarchus labrax* showed contrasting results for growth and survival depending on the life-stage considered (larvae and post-larvae) with regard to the occurrence of cannibalism (Kestemont et al., 2003). In the present study, water quality remained constant throughout the experiment and was not affected by stocking density. Survival was very high and did not vary significantly between stocking densities tested. Not surprisingly for a non-aggressive fish such as the giant gourami, no cannibalism was observed, likely contributing to the homogeneity of larval size at each stocking density (i.e. $CV_{TL} = 4\text{-}6\%$; $CV_{BW} = 13\text{-}20\%$). These results accord with those of a previous study on European perch *P. fluviatilis* (Król and Zieliński, 2015) larvae. However, the effects of stocking density on survival and growth are species-dependent (Huang and Chiu,1997; Szkudlarek and Zakęś, 2007), and results can vary for a given species (e.g., Baras et al., 2003; Król and Zieliński, 2015). At the end of the experiment, the Fulton's condition factors (*K*) decreased significantly for the four highest densities tested, suggesting that the larvae stocked in these experimental treatments were under poorer nutritional conditions. All together, these results indicate that, in the giant gourami, the decrease in larval growth due to stocking density is very likely related to lower food intake.

4.2. Effects of density on food intake and FCR

335 The effects of stocking density on food intake were assessed using tubifex worms as living

336 prey. This live food source is commonly used to feed various fish species (Ravichandra Reddy

337 et al., 1977; Le Thanh et al., 1999; Malla and Banik, 2015). Due to their high levels of protein

338 and lipids (Bardach et al., 1972; Table 1) and their aquatic lifestyle, tubifex worms ensure an

339 adequate nutritional intake for fish larvae without causing significant effects on water quality.

340 In the present study, we showed that the proportion of the total distributed tubifex worms

341 effectively ingested in each aquarium did not vary with stocking density, indicating a large

342 decrease in the quantity ingested by individual larvae with increasing stocking density

343 (Table 5). Nevertheless, despite a reduction in individual food intake and slower growth at the

344 highest stocking densities, we found a significant negative relationship between *FCR* and

345 stocking density, indicating that the higher the larval density, the lower the *FCR*. Using a wider

346 range of stocking densities, we confirmed the findings of Sarah et al. (2009), who found a linear

347 decrease of *FCR* in giant gourami larvae with increasing stocking density ranging from 2.5 to

348 10 fish L^{-1}. Because ingested food quantities remained stable (61-67%) across experimental

349 treatments, the decrease in *FCR* can be explained by the significant increase in biomass gain

350 (BG) observed for the highest stocking densities.

351 Effects of stocking density on *FCR* in fish vary greatly. For instance, in marbled spinefoot *S.*

352 *rivulatus* juveniles fed commercial pellets, no significant effect of stocking density on *FCR* was

353 found (Saoud et al., 2008). However, Niazie et al. (2013) and Keer et al. (2018) reported

354 significant increases of *FCR* at higher stocking densities with juvenile Reba carp and goldfish

355 *Carassius auratus* fed compounded feed. Such findings suggest that effects of stocking density

356 are species-dependent. In addition, in the studies mentioned above, fish were fed using

357 calculated food rations throughout the experiment, but not until satiation. Furthermore, in most

358 of the studies, *FCR* calculations are based on the quantity of food distributed and not on actual

359 consumption (Saoud et al., 2008; Niazie et al., 2013; Keer et al., 2018); it can be difficult to

360 accurately estimate consumption using live prey or small inert food particles. Nevertheless,

361 indirect food consumption estimates can lead to experimental bias for the quantification of *FCR*

362 (Slembrouck et al., 2009) that may potentially explain the differences observed regarding the

363 effects of stocking density on the *FCR* and more generally the food intake in fish larvae.

364 In fish, decreased food intake often is associated with increased stress (Saoud et al. 2008;

365 Moradyan et al., 2012). When food is present in excess, water quality is affected, indirectly

366 causing the decrease in the growth and survival rates of farmed fish (e.g., Werner and Blaxter,

367 1980; Puvanendran and Brown, 1999). In the present study, although larvae were voluntarily

368 maintained in non-limiting, surplus-food conditions, water quality of the recirculating

369 aquaculture system remained satisfactory throughout the experiment. Our results suggest that

370 the water renewal (110 to 260% per hour) was sufficient to ensure no experimental bias due to

371 poor water quality. Although tubifex worms were constantly available, they clustered on the

372 bottom of the aquaria, which can limit food intake for some species of fish due the potential

373 difficulty of engulfing such large quantities of food, as was shown for walking catfish *Clarias*

374 *batrachus* (Dey et al., 2016). However, giant gourami larvae and juveniles have relatively small

375 mouths and ingest tubifex worms one by one; they did not appear to be bothered by the clusters

376 of tubifex worms and showed no aggressive behaviour. For these reasons, we assume that there

377 was no stress regarding food accessibility, contrary to reports in rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus*

378 *mykiss* (Ellis et al., 2002; North et al., 2006). Thus, in our experiment, increasing the number

379 of fish per unit volume led to the reduction of space availability for each individual and likely

380 acted as a direct stressor for larvae, limiting their ingestion of food. Nevertheless, measurements

381 of physiological indicators of stress (e.g., haematocrit, lysozyme activity or plasma cortisol;

382 Ellis et al., 2002; North et al., 2006) are needed to test this assumption.

383 Interestingly, we observed a drastic drop in *FCR* when the lowest density doubled from 0.6 to

384 1.2 fish L^{-1}. Similar findings were highlighted by in Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* fry fed

385 experimental feed to apparent satiation (El-Sayed, 2002). In that study, *FCR* was not

386 significantly affected by stocking density, except at the lowest densities, suggesting that the

387 decrease in *FCR* may have been due to: (1) the lack of competition for food, or (2) the

388 difficulties in catching food particles that were flushed out with the water outflow (thus leading

389 to biased estimation of *FCR*). However, in the present study, no evidence of competition for

390 food was shown at any stocking density. In addition, the *FCR* values calculated in the present

391 study were based on real consumption of food by larvae because tubifex worms aggregated on

392 the bottom of the aquarium, thereby avoiding loss of prey with water outflow. In our case, the

393 difference in biomass gain (BG) observed between the two lowest stocking density conditions

394 (± 60%) caused the drastic drop in *FCR*. Nevertheless, the reasons explaining this remarkable

395 difference in gain in biomass remain uncertain. Further investigations are needed to better

396 understand the growth dynamics of giant gourami larvae raised at low stocking densities, which

397 is likely to affect the commercial production of juveniles.

398

5. Conclusion

400 The production of giant gourami fry is highly segmented, and the "Nguku" stage is the best-

401 selling, although there are at least three intermediate stages that are also traded locally.

402 Currently, there are no clear and standardized production methods. This study provides new

403 information regarding the effects of stocking density on giant gourami larval production. The

404 experiment was performed in a recirculating aquaculture system, ensuring constant water

405 quality, easier control of feeding, and hence better control over the seedstock production

406 process than traditional practices. We showed that stocking density has no effect on larval

407 survival during the 8-29 dph period. Nevertheless, growth was strongly affected by stocking

408 density. Thus, for a given surface area, although larvae production at low density limits the

409 number of saleable fish, it reduces the time necessary to reach commercial size. On the other

410 hand, higher densities produced increased numbers of fish, but lengthened the duration of larval

411 rearing. Stocking density is therefore a key factor to take into account in the production of giant

412 gourami juveniles. Further investigations are necessary to determine: (1) the effects of these

413 strategies on the nursery and grow-out phases, and (2) why FCR decreases at low stocking

414 densities in order to provide objective recommendations for fish farmers.

415

416 **Acknowledgements**

420

421 **References**

422 Allen, H., Sabetian, A., Bader, M., Rowlands, T., 2018. Influence of age, size and condition

423 factor on lipid concentration in the snapper *Chrysophrys auratus*. New Zeal. J. Mar.

424 Fresh. 52, 295-307.

425 Amornsakun, T., Kullai, S., Hassan, A., 2014a. Some aspects in early life stage of giant

426 gourami, *Osphronemus goramy* (Lacepède) larvae. Songklanakarin J. Sci. Tech. 36,

427 493-498.

428 Amornsakun, T., Kullai, S., Hassan, A., 2014b. Feeding behavior of giant gourami,

429 *Osphronemus goramy* (Lacepède) larvae. Songklanakarin J. Sci. Tech. 36, 261-264.

430 Arifin, O.Z., Imron, I., Muslim, N., Hendri, A., Aseppendi, A., Yani, A., 2017. Karakteristik

431 fenotipe dan genotipe ikan gurami, *Osphronemus goramy*, strain galunggung hitam,

432 galunggung putih, dan hibridanya. Jurnal Riset Akuakultur 12, 99-110.

433   Arifin, O.Z., At-thar, M.H.F., Nafiqoh, N., 2013. Pengaruh induk dan heterosis karakter

434   pertumbuhan hasil persilangan intraspesifik gurame bastar dan bleuesafir

435   (*Osphronemus goramy*). Prosiding Forum Inovasi Teknologi akuakultur, Lombok,

436   Indonesia. (in Indonesian)

437   Aryani, N., Mardiah, A., Syandri, H., 2017. Influence of feeding rate on the growth, feed

438   efficiency and carcass composition of the giant gourami (*Osphronemus goramy*). Pak.

439   J. Zool. 49, 1775-1781.

440   Badan Pusat Statistik, 2013. Jumlah rumah tangga usaha budidaya bukan ikan hias menurut

441   wilayah dan jenis ikan utama.

442   https://st2013.bps.go.id/dev2/index.php/site/tabel?tid=57&wid=3200000000 (accessed

443   01 May 2018). (in Indonesian)

444   Baras, E., Kestemont, P., Mélard, C., 2003. Effect of stocking density on the dynamics of

445   cannibalism in sibling larvae of *Perca fluviatilis* under controlled conditions.

446   Aquaculture 219, 241-255.

447   Bardach, J.E., Ryther, J.H., McLarney, W.O., 1972. Culture of true eels (*Anguilla* sp.), in:

448   Bardach, J.E., Ryther, J.H., McLarney, W.O. (Eds.), Aquaculture: The Farming and

449   Husbandry of Freshwater and Marine Organisms. Wiley-Interscience, New-York, pp.

450   385-395.

451   Budi, D.S., Suprayudi, M.A., 2015. Growth response and feed utilization of giant gourami

452   (*Osphronemus goramy*) juvenile feeding different protein levels of the diets

453   supplemented with recombinant growth hormone. HAYATI J. Biosci. 22, 12-19.

454   Cowan, J.H., Rose, K.A., DeVries, D.R., 2000. Is density-dependent growth in young-of-the-

455   year fishes a question of critical weight? Rev. Fish Biol. Fisher. 10, 61-89.

456   Cunniff, P. 1999. Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International 16th ed.. AOAC,

457   Washington, DC.

458   Cuvier, G., Valenciennes, A., 1831. Histoire naturelle des poisons : Tome septième, Levrault

459   FG, Paris, France. (in French)

460   Dey, A., Ghosh, K., Hazra, N., 2016. Evaluation of preference of dry feed, bio-encapsulated

461   and bio-encapsulated live feed and survival of the walking catfish *Clarias batrachus*

462   (L.) juveniles. Int. J. Fish Aquat. Stud. 4, 545-549.

463   Ebrahimi, M.H., Imanpour, M.R., Adlou, M.N., 2010. Effects of stocking density on growth

464   factors, survival and blood and tissue parameters, in giant gourami (*Osphronemus*

465   *goramy* Lacepède, 1801). Journal of Fisheries 14, 97-105.

466   Ellis, T., North, B., Scott, A.P., Bromage, N.R., Porter, M., Gadd, D., 2002. The relationships

467   between stocking density and welfare in farmed rainbow trout. J. Fish Biol. 6, 493-

468   531.

469   El-Sayed, A.F.M., 2002. Effects of stocking density and feeding levels on growth and feed

470   efficiency of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* L.) fry. Aquac. Res. 33, 621-626.

471   Etoh, S., Putra, H.G.P., Carman, O., 2011. Growth and survival of giant gourami juvenile

472   immersed in different doses of recombinant growth hormone. Jurnal Akuakultur

473   Indonesia 10, 99-105.

474   FAO, 2017. FishStatJ: software for fishery statistical time series. Food and Agriculture

475   Organization, Rome, Italy.

476   Froese, R., 2006. Cube law, condition factor and weight–length relationships: history, meta-

477   analysis and recommendations. J. Appl. Ichthyol. 22, 241-253.

478   Huang, W.-B., Chiu, T.-S., 1997. Effects of stocking density on survival, growth, size

479   variation, and production of Tilapia fry. Aquac. Res. 28, 165-173.

480   Jin, S., Yan, X., Zhang, H., Fan, W., 2015. Weight–length relationships and Fulton's

481   condition factors of skipjack tuna (*Katsuwonus pelamis*) in the western and central

482   Pacific Ocean. PeerJ 3, e758.

483   Kaiser, H., Weyl, O., Hecht, T., 1995. The effect of stocking density on growth, survival and

484   agonistic behaviour of African catfish. Aquacult. Int. 3, 217-225.

485   Keer, N.R., Datta, M.K., Patel, A.B., Priyanka, R., Rathor, M.K., Das, S., 2018. Effect of

486   stocking density on growth and survival of *Cirrhinus reba* (Hamilton, 1822) during

487   spawn to fry nursing (outdoor). J. Entomol. Zool. Stud. 6, 640-645.

488   Kestemont, P., Jourdan, S., Houbart, M., Mélard, C., Paspatis, M., Fontaine, P., Cuvier, A.,

489   Kentouri, M., Baras E., 2003. Size heterogeneity, cannibalism and competition in

490   cultured predatory fish larvae: iotic and abiotic influences. Aquaculture 227, 333-356.

491   Król, J., Zieliński, E., 2015. Effects of stocking density and weaning age on cannibalism,

492   survival and growth in European perch *Perca fluviatilis* larvae. Pol. J. Natur. Sc. 30,

493   403-415.

494   Le Thanh, H., Tam, B.M., Cacot, P., Lazard, J., 1999. Larval rearing of the Mekong catfish,

495   *Pangasius bocourti* (Pangasiidae, Siluroidei): Substitution of *Artemia nauplii* with live

496   and artificial feed. Aquat. Living Resour. 12, 229-232.

497   Lucas, W.G.F., Kalesaran, O.J., Lumenta, C., 2015. Pertumbuhan dan kelangsungan hidup

498   larva gurami (*Osphronemus gouramy*) dengan pemberian beberapa jenis pakan. Jurnal

499   Budidaya Perairan 3, 19-28. (in Indonesian)

500   Malla S., Banik S., 2015. Production and application of live food organisms for freshwater

501   ornamental fish larviculture. Adv. Biores. 6, 159-167.

502 McDonald, J.H. 2009. Handbook of Biological Statistics Second Edition. Sparky House

503   Publishing, Baltimore, MD.

504 Morioka, S., Vongvichith, B., Phommachan, P., Chantasone, P., 2013. Growth and

505   morphological development of laboratory-reared larval and juvenile giant gourami

506   *Osphronemus goramy* (Perciformes: Osphronemidae). Ichthyol. Res. 60, 209-217.

507 Moradyan, H., Karimi, H., Gandomkar, H.A., Sahraeian, M.R., Ertefaat, S., Sahafi, H.H.,

508   2012. The effect of stocking density on growth parameters and survival rate of

509   rainbow trout alevins (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). World J. Fish Mar. Sci. 4, 480-485.

510 Nafiqoh, N., Nugroho, E., 2013. Performa pertumbuhan ikan gurame pada tahap pendederan

511   di hatcheri tertutup. Prosiding Forum Inovasi Teknologi Akuakultur, Lombok,

512   Indonesia. (in Indonesian)

513 Niazie, E.H.N., Imanpoor, M., Taghizade, V., Zadmajid, V., 2013. Effects of density stress on

514   growth indices and survival rate of goldfish (*Carassius auratus*). Glob. Vet. 10, 365-

515   371.

516 North, B.P., Turnbull, J.F., Ellis, T., Porter, M.J., Migaud, H., Bron, J., Bromage, N.R., 2006.

517   The impact of stocking density on the welfare of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus*

518   *mykiss*). Aquaculture 255, 466-479.

519 Pouil, S., Samsudin, R., Slembrouck, J., Sihabuddin, A., Sundari, G., Khazaidan, K.,

520   Kristanto, A.H., Pantjara, B., Caruso, D., 2019. Nutrient budgets in a small-scale

521   freshwater fish pond system in Indonesia. Aquaculture 504, 267-274.

522 Puvanendran, V., Brown, J.A., 1999. Foraging, growth and survival of Atlantic cod larvae

523   reared in different prey concentrations. Aquaculture 175, 77-92.

524 Ravichandra Reddy, S., Shakuntala, K., Rajagopal, K.V., 1977. Preliminary studies on the

525 conversion of *Tubifex tubifex* as food by elvers of *Anguilla nebulosa* (Gray and

526 Hardwicke). J. Fish Biol. 11, 279-281.

527 R Development Core Team, 2016. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R

528 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

529 Sahoo, S.K., Giri, S.S., Sahu, A.K., 2004. Effect of stocking density on growth and survival

530 of *Clarias batrachus* (Linn.) larvae and fry during hatchery rearing. J. Appl. Ichthyol.

531 20, 302-305.

532 Saoud, I.P., Ghanawi, J., Lebbos, N., 2008. Effects of stocking density on the survival,

533 growth, size variation and condition index of juvenile rabbitfish *Siganus rivulatus*.

534 Aquacult. Int. 16, 109-116.

535 Sarah, S., Widanarni, W., Sudrajat, A.O., 2009. Pengaruh padat penebaran terhadap

536 pertumbuhan dan kelangsungan hidup benih ikan gurame (*Osphronemus goramy* Lac.)

537 (in indonesian). Jurnal Akuakultur Indonesia 8, 199-207.

538 SNI, 2000. SNI 01-6485.3-2000: Produksi benih ikan gurame (*Osphronemus goramy*, Lac)

539 kelas benih sebar. Badan Standardisasi Nasional (SNI), Jakarta, Indonesia. (in

540 Indonesian)

541 Slater, M., D'Abramo, L., Engle, C.R., 2018. Aquaculture research priorities for the next

542 decade: A global perspective. J. World Aquac. Soc. 49, 3-6.

543 Slembrouck, J., Baras, E., Subagja, J., Hung, L.T., Legendre, M., 2009. Survival, growth and

544 food conversion of cultured larvae of *Pangasianodon hypophthalmus*, depending on

545 feeding level, prey density and fish density. Aquaculture 294, 52-59.

546   Slembrouck, J., Samsudin, R., Pantjara, B., Sihabuddin, A., Legendre, M., Caruso, D., 2018.

547   Choosing floating macrophytes for ecological intensification of small-scale fish

548   farming in tropical areas: A methodological approach. Aquat. Living Resour. 31:1-9.

549   Svobododá, Z., Lloyd, R., Máchová, J., Vykusová, B., 1993. Water quality and fish health.

550   European Inland Fisheries Advisory Commission (EIFAC), Food and Agriculture

551   Organization (FAO), Technical Paper, Roma, Italy.

552   Szkudlarek, M., Zakęś, Z., 2007. Effect of stocking density on survival and growth

553   performance of pikeperch, *Sander lucioperca* (L.), larvae under controlled conditions.

554   Aquacult. Int. 15, 67-81.

555   Verawati, Y., Muarifl, M., Mumpunil, F.S., 2015. Pengaruh perbedaan padat penebaran

556   terhadap pertumbuhan dan kelangsungan hidup benih ikan gurami *(Osphronemus*

557   *gouramy)* pada sisteivi resirkulasi. Jurnal Mina. Sains. 1, 6-12. (in Indonesian)

558   Werner, R.G., Blaxter, J.H.S., 1980. Growth and survival of larval herring (*Clupea harengus*)

559   in relation to prey density. Can. J. Fish Aquat. Sci. 37, 1063-1069.

560

Figure captions

562   **Figure 1.** Total food intake (g fish^{-1} wet wt) by giant gourami larvae reared at six different

563   stocking densities A-F (A=0.6, B=1.2, C=2.4, D=4.8, E=9.6, and F=19.2 fish L^{-1}; i.e. A=150,

564   B=300, C=600, D=1200, E=2400, and F=4800 fish m^{-2}, n=4) for 21 days. Bars show the

565   proportion of tubifex worms eaten by the larvae (white) and the uneaten fraction (black). Values

566   are means ± SD. Different letters denote significant differences between fish stocking densities

567   ($p < 0.05$).

568

569   **Figure 2.** Total length (a) and body weight (b) of giant gourami larvae (n=40-240) at 15, 22

570   and 29 days post-hatching (dph) in the six stocking density treatments A=0.6, B=1.2, C=2.4,

571   D=4.8, E=9.6, and F=19.2 fish L^{-1}; i.e., A=150, B=300, C=600, D=1200, E=2400, and F=4800

572   fish m^{-2}). Box-plots show the interquartile range, median (horizontal line), minimum and

573   maximum values (whiskers). Different letters denote significant differences between fish

574   stocking densities ($p < 0.05$).

575

576   **Figure 3.** Relationship between feed conversion ratio (*FCR*) and stocking densities of giant

577   gourami larvae at 29 days post-hatching (dph) reared at six stocking density treatments (A=0.6,

578   B=1.2, C=2.4, D=4.8, E=9.6, and F=19.2 fish L^{-1}; i.e., A=150, B=300, C=600, D=1200,

579   E=2400, and F=4800 fish m^{-2}, n=4). Values are means ± SD.

Figure 1

582   **Figure 2**

583

$$y = 24.14x^{-0.403}$$
$$R^2 = 0.94$$
$$p < 0.0001$$

Figure 3

585   **Table 1.** Proximate composition of tubifex worms throughout the 21-day experiment. Except

586   for water content, data are expressed as percentage of dry matter (n=3). Values are means ± SD.

Component	Value (%)
Water content	83.1 ± 1.1
Crude protein	54.0 ± 3.9
Crude lipid	23.5 ± 3.2
Ash	5.6 ± 2.8
Crude fibre	1.1 ± 0.1
NFE[1]	15.8 ± 2.5

587   [1]NFE: Nitrogen-free extract.

588   **Table 2.** Stocking densities of giant gourami larvae in the six experimental treatments.

Experimental treatment	Stocking density		Total number of larvae per aquarium
	Larvae L^{-1}	Larvae m^{-2}	
A	0.6	150	18
B	1.2	300	36
C	2.4	600	72
D	4.8	1200	144
E	9.6	2400	288
F	19.2	4800	576

589

590 **Table 3.** Summary of water quality parameters measured in the aquaria during experiment.

Parameters[1]	Mean ± SD	Range
Temperature (°C)	29.0 ± 0.6	28.3-30.0
DO (mg L^{-1})	6.1 ± 0.9	4.7-7.1
pH	8.4 ± 0.3	7.8-8.8
TDS (mg L^{-1})	78.4 ± 1.2	77-81
Turbidity (NTU)	0.1 ± 0.0	0.1-0.3
N-NH$_4^+$ (mg L^{-1})	0.23 ± 0.11	0.09-0.41
N-NO$_2^-$ (mg L^{-1})	0.03 ± 0.03	0.00-0.09
N-NO$_3^-$ (mg L^{-1})	3.1 ± 2.5	0.4-7.4

591 [1]DO: dissolved oxygen, TDS: total dissolved solids

592 **Table 4.** Effects of stocking density (A-F; see Table 2) on growth and survival of giant gourami

593 larvae reared in a closed recirculating system from 8 to 29 days post-hatching. Values are means

594 ± SD. Letters denote significant differences (p < 0.05) between treatments.

Parameters[1]	A	B	C	D	E	F
BG (g L^{-1})	0.33 ± 0.04^a	0.53 ± 0.03^b	0.68 ± 0.07^c	0.74 ± 0.07^c	0.94 ± 0.07^d	1.28 ± 0.04^e
BW_i (mg)	14.4 ± 0.8	14.4 ± 0.8	14.4 ± 0.8	14.4 ± 0.8	14.4 ± 0.8	14.4 ± 0.8
BW_f (mg)	563.2 ± 90.3^a	461.0 ± 53.7^b	301.0 ± 49.9^c	170.2 ± 35.7^d	113.6 ± 18.8^e	81.9 ± 12.3^f
CV_{BW} (%)	12.8 ± 4.2^a	10.5 ± 3.0^a	13.2 ± 6.8^a	19.9 ± 6.5^a	15.7 ± 2.0^a	14.5 ± 3.0^a
CV_{TL} (%)	3.9 ± 1.6^a	3.9 ± 1.9^a	4.5 ± 1.9^a	6.0 ± 2.0^a	4.9 ± 0.7^a	5.7 ± 1.1^a
K	1.75 ± 0.13^a	1.70 ± 0.09^a	1.56 ± 0.11^b	1.48 ± 0.14^{bc}	1.37 ± 0.08^d	1.52 ± 0.11^{bc}
SGR_{BW} (% day^{-1})	17.5 ± 0.5^a	16.5 ± 0.3^b	14.5 ± 0.5^c	11.8 ± 0.4^d	9.8 ± 0.3^e	8.3 ± 0.1^f
SGR_{TL} (% day^{-1})	5.5 ± 0.2^a	5.2 ± 0.1^a	4.6 ± 0.2^b	3.8 ± 0.2^c	3.3 ± 0.1^d	2.6 ± 0.0^e
SR (%)	100.0 ± 0.0^a	99.3 ± 1.4^a	98.6 ± 1.1^a	99.5 ± 0.7^a	99.2 ± 0.5^a	99.0 ± 1.1^a
TL_i (mm)	10.1 ± 0.4	10.1 ± 0.4	10.1 ± 0.4	10.1 ± 0.4	10.1 ± 0.4	10.1 ± 0.4
TL_f (mm)	31.7 ± 1.6^a	30.0 ± 1.3^b	26.8 ± 1.3^c	22.5 ± 1.6^d	20.2 ± 1.1^e	17.5 ± 1.0^f

595 [1]BG: biomass gain (n=4), BW_i: initial body weight (n=30), BW_f: final body weight (n=40-240),

596 CV_{BW}: coefficient of variation for body weight (n=4), CV_{TL}: coefficient of variation for total

597 length (n=4), K: condition factor (n=40-240), SGR_{BW}: specific growth rate for body weight

598 (n=4), SGR_{TL}: specific growth rate for total length (n=4), SR: survival rate (n=4), TL_i: initial

599 total length (n=30), TL_f: final total length (n=40-240).

600

601 **Table 5.** Food consumption expressed as total food ingested per treatment (FI_{total}, %, n=4),

602 individual food intake during the 21-day experiment (FI_{fish}, g ind^{-1}, n=4) and feed conversion

603 ratio (*FCR*) of gourami larvae reared in recirculating aquaculture system at six stocking

604 densities. Values are means ± SD. For each parameter, different letters denote significant

605 differences (p < 0.05) between treatments.

Parameters	A	B	C	D	E	F
FI_{fish} (g ind^{-1})	17.2 ± 0.82[a]	8.8 ± 0.29[b]	4.3 ± 0.25[c]	2.1 ± 0.11[d]	1.1 ± 0.02[e]	0.6 ± 0.02[e]
FI_{total} (%)	62.0 ± 0.03[a]	63.1 ± 0.03[a]	61.3 ± 0.04[a]	62.4 ± 0.05[a]	62.3 ± 0.02[a]	66.6 ± 0.03[a]
FCR	31.6 ± 4.3[a]	19.7 ± 1.8[b]	15.1 ± 2.0[c]	14.0 ± 1.8[c]	10.9 ± 0.9[d]	8.3 ± 0.3[e]

606