



**HAL**  
open science

## **Root suckering in a Triassic conifer from Antarctica: Paleoecological and evolutionary implications**

Anne-Laure Decombeix, Edith L. Taylor, Thomas N. Taylor

### ► **To cite this version:**

Anne-Laure Decombeix, Edith L. Taylor, Thomas N. Taylor. Root suckering in a Triassic conifer from Antarctica: Paleoecological and evolutionary implications. *American Journal of Botany*, 2011, 98 (7), pp.1222-1225. <10.3732/ajb.1100028>. <hal-03037329>

**HAL Id: hal-03037329**

**<https://hal.umontpellier.fr/hal-03037329v1>**

Submitted on 12 Oct 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

Decombeix et al. Root suckers in Antarctic Triassic conifer.

1  
2  
3 **Root suckering in a Triassic conifer from Antarctica: paleoecological and evolutionary**  
4 **implications<sup>1</sup>.**  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

10 Anne-Laure Decombeix<sup>2</sup>, Edith L. Taylor, and Thomas N. Taylor  
11

12 *Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, and Natural History Museum and Biodiversity*  
13  
14

15 *Institute, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS 66045-7600, U.S.A.*  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

<sup>1</sup>Manuscript received \_\_\_\_\_; revision accepted \_\_\_\_\_.

1 The authors thank Ignacio Escapa (CONICET & Museo Paleontológico Egidio Feruglio) for  
2  
3 discussions on conifer phylogeny, Rudolph Serbet (University of Kansas), and two anonymous  
4  
5 reviewers for their comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by funds from the  
6  
7 National Science Foundation (grant OPP-0635477 to ELT and TNT).  
8  
9

10  
11 <sup>2</sup> Author for correspondence ([aldecomb@ku.edu](mailto:aldecomb@ku.edu))  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## Abstract

1  
2 • *Premise of the study:* Although root suckering and other types of sprouting are well studied in  
3  
4 extant woody plants, little is known about the distribution of these traits at a macroevolutionary  
5  
6 scale. Anatomically preserved fossil plants represent an excellent but understudied source of  
7  
8 information of the distribution of sprouting behavior through time and across taxa.  
9

10  
11  
12  
13  
14 • *Methods:* A block of silicified peat collected in the Middle Triassic Fremouw Formation at the  
15  
16 Fremouw Peak locality, Central Transantarctic Mountains, Antarctica, contains a group of  
17  
18 anatomically preserved roots of the fossil conifer *Notophytum krauselii* that bear young shoots. The  
19  
20 specimen was prepared using the standard acetate peel technique and studied in reflected and  
21  
22 transmitted light.  
23  
24

25  
26  
27 • *Key results:* Young sucker shoots bearing well-preserved leaves are produced in groups in some  
28  
29 areas of the *Notophytum* roots.  
30  
31

32  
33  
34 • *Conclusions:* The production of root suckers in *Notophytum* indicates that some of the trees  
35  
36 growing in polar forests during the Triassic could respond to environmental stresses by regenerating  
37  
38 their vegetative structures and had the potential to reproduce vegetatively. The specimens also  
39  
40 represent the first anatomical evidence of root suckering in any fossil seed plant, and its occurrence  
41  
42 in an early putative podocarp supports the idea that this trait might be ancestral in at least some  
43  
44 extant conifer families.  
45  
46  
47

48  
49  
50 **Key-words:** conifer; Middle Triassic; sprouting; polar forest; root anatomy; vegetative reproduction  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## INTRODUCTION

1 Numerous modern woody plants produce root suckers. These shoots can increase the photosynthetic  
2  
3 capacity of the plant and in some cases develop into new trunks (Del Tredici, 2001). They can be  
4  
5 produced after environmental stresses or be part of the normal development in clonal species. Like  
6  
7 all types of sprouting, the production of root suckers is common in angiosperms, but has been  
8  
9 reported in a small number of conifer species (Del Tredici, 2001; Bond and Midgley, 2003). The  
10  
11 only detailed anatomical description is that of buds developed on injured roots of hoop pine  
12  
13 (*Araucaria cunninghamii*, Araucariaceae) (Burrows, 1990). Production of root suckers has also  
14  
15 been documented for *Araucaria araucana* (Grosfeld et al., 1999) and *Agathis robusta* (Haley,  
16  
17 1957), one Podocarpaceae, and one Taxodiaceae (Bond and Midgley, 2003). Other conifer taxa are  
18  
19 known to produce root suckers, but in the absence of detailed anatomical investigations, the  
20  
21 distinction between collar and root sprouts often remains ambiguous. For example, the so-called  
22  
23 root suckers of *Pinus echinata* are probably produced from the collar zone (Stone and Stone, 1954).  
24  
25 Similarly, the Wollemi pine (*Wollemia nobilis*, Araucariaceae) produces basal suckers but it is  
26  
27 unclear if these are borne on the trunk or the roots (Hill, 1997).  
28  
29

30  
31 Given the limited fossil data, our understanding of root suckering and other types of sprouting in  
32  
33 gymnosperms and angiosperms at the macroevolutionary scale is currently based only on extant  
34  
35 taxa (Bond and Midgley, 2003). Within the conifers, some authors (e.g., (Hill, 1997) have suggested  
36  
37 that limited or absent sprouting is plesiomorphic.  
38  
39

40  
41 Here, we document the production of young sucker shoots on roots of *Notophytum kraussellii*, a  
42  
43 Middle Triassic (245–230 Ma) conifer from Antarctica (Meyer-Berthaud and Taylor, 1991). The  
44  
45 exceptional preservation of specimens in silicified peat allows for the observation of specific  
46  
47 anatomical details, including the vascular connection between the sucker shoots and parent root,  
48  
49 and the organization of young shoot apices. The Antarctic specimens not only provide new  
50  
51 information on the biology of trees growing at high latitudes (70–75° S) during the Middle Triassic,  
52  
53 but also represent the first anatomical evidence of root suckering in an extinct conifer.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

1  
2  
3 *Notophytum krausellii* was initially described from stems and roots with a podocarpaceous type of  
4  
5 wood preserved in silicified peat from the Middle Triassic Fremouw Peak locality (Fremouw  
6  
7 Formation), Central Transantarctic Mountains, Antarctica (Meyer-Berthaud and Taylor, 1991).  
8  
9 Additional information on the *Notophytum* plant comprises leaf anatomy, including cuticle  
10  
11 characters, and possibly ovulate cones and a seed with a well-preserved embryo (Axsmith et al.,  
12  
13 1998; Escapa et al., 2010; Schwendemann et al., 2010). The present description is based on a  
14  
15 silicified peat block (#11,160) from the Fremouw Peak locality containing several well-preserved  
16  
17 *Notophytum* roots producing sucker shoots. Serial acetate peels were made using standard  
18  
19 techniques (Galtier and Phillips, 1999). Selected zones of the peels were mounted with Eukitt® on  
20  
21 microscope slides for observation and imaging. Images were taken using a Leica (Leica  
22  
23 Microsystems GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany) DC500 digital camera attachment on a Leica MZ16  
24  
25 stereomicroscope and on a Leica DM5000 B compound microscope. The peat block, corresponding  
26  
27 peels and slides are deposited in the Division of Paleobotany, Natural History Museum and  
28  
29 Biodiversity Institute, University of Kansas collections (KUPB), under specimen number 11,160,  
30  
31 slide numbers 24,016 – 24,021 and 26,556 – 26,587.  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41

## DESCRIPTION

42  
43  
44 The permineralized peat block contains a group of large decorticated *Notophytum* roots  
45  
46 characterized by diarch protosteles and a significant amount of secondary xylem with numerous  
47  
48 growth rings (figure 1a). Lateral roots of various sizes occur around the main roots (figure 1a). Two  
49  
50 types of vascular traces to lateral organs occur in the secondary xylem of the roots. The first type  
51  
52 are small, diarch, and protostelic root traces (figure 1b). The other type has a conspicuous eustele  
53  
54 with a parenchymatous pith about 0.4–1.3 mm in diameter; this configuration corresponds to the  
55  
56 vascular supply of sucker shoots (figure 1a, 1c). These traces occur in groups in some zones of the  
57  
58 roots (figure 1a), have an oblique-to-horizontal course in the secondary xylem of the parent root,  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 and cross several growth rings (figure 1a, 1d). Although the bark of parent roots is absent, some  
2 sucker shoots remained attached by their vascular tissues and protrude into the surrounding matrix.  
3 Apical portions of shoots are also anatomically preserved and these show the organization of young  
4 leaves in a compact spiral (figure 1d-f). The youngest leaves are about 1 x 0.3 mm in longitudinal  
5 section and partly enclose the apical meristem (figure 1e-f). The mesophyll of young leaves is made  
6 up of mostly round to isodiametric parenchyma cells. Older leaves are sometimes displaced or not  
7 preserved (figure 1e-f), but the vascular tissues are differentiated and form a central strand (figure  
8 1g). Leaf epidermal patterns are similar to mature leaves of *Notophytum* (Axsmith et al., 1998),  
9 with a single layer of rounded cells usually filled with dark contents (figure 1e-g). Isolated  
10 *Notophytum* buds occur around the roots (figure 1g) and most likely correspond to the apices of  
11 sucker shoots produced at a lower level.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

## 27 DISCUSSION

28 Anatomically preserved fossil plants such as the ones described here represent an exceptional  
29 source of information on sprouting behavior in extinct taxa. With tissues preserved, it is possible not  
30 only to look for the production of sprouts, but also to indicate where in the plant they were  
31 produced (trunk, branches, collar zone, roots). Nevertheless, information of this type in extinct seed  
32 plants and in their putative progymnosperm ancestors remains very limited. Epicormic sprouts have  
33 been reported on trunks of (1) *Archaeopteris* (Trivett, 1993), a Devonian progymnosperm that some  
34 phylogenetic analyses interpret as sister to the seed plants (Rothwell and Serbet, 1994), (2) a  
35 Permian glossopterid gymnosperm from Antarctica (Decombeix et al., 2010), and (3) the Permian-  
36 Triassic conifer *Woodworthia arizonica* (Creber and Collinson, 2006). Several Mesozoic cycads,  
37 including *Antarcticycas schopfii*, also from the Middle Triassic of Antarctica, produced bulbils  
38 (Smoot et al., 1985; Hermsen et al., 2009), adventitious buds that are part of normal development or  
39 a response to trunk damage. To the extent of our knowledge, the present paper is the first report of  
40 anatomically preserved root suckers in an extinct seed plant. The implications of this important  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

discovery are twofold.

1 First, these *Notophytum* roots indicate that some of the arborescent gymnosperms growing at high  
2  
3 latitudes during past episodes of greenhouse climate had the potential for vegetative regeneration,  
4  
5 even if there was severe damage to their above-ground parts. With this mechanism, they may have  
6  
7 had the capacity to spread vegetatively. This type of clonal growth is important in many extant  
8  
9 plants, not only in colonizing new environments, but also in regenerating after environmental  
10  
11 disturbances, even at high latitudes (e.g., Homma et al., 2003). Paleogeographic reconstructions  
12  
13 indicate that during the Middle Triassic the Central Transantarctic Mountains were located at about  
14  
15 70–75° S paleolatitude (Parrish, 1990). Although living under a highly seasonal light regime, the  
16  
17 fossil plants from Fremouw Peak were taxonomically diverse and represent almost every group of  
18  
19 seed plants known in the Mesozoic (see list in Taylor and Ryberg, 2007). Studies of tree rings  
20  
21 (Taylor and Ryberg, 2007) and of a contemporaneous *in situ* forest from nearby Gordon Valley  
22  
23 (Cúneo et al., 2003) show that trees grew faster than in extant boreal forests, and that tree density  
24  
25 was equivalent to that of some modern temperate forests. The presence of fusinized plant remains in  
26  
27 the permineralized peat (Hermsen et al., 2009), the depositional setting of the peat (Taylor et al.,  
28  
29 1989), and the presence of *phi* layers in roots (Millay et al., 1987) suggest the occurrence of both  
30  
31 fires and floods, disturbances that favors sprouters in extant ecosystems (Bond and Midgley, 2001).  
32  
33 It is unknown whether the unique environmental conditions experienced by the Triassic polar  
34  
35 forests might have given an advantage to sprouters, but the possibility that they were more abundant  
36  
37 than in extant high-latitude forests needs to be investigated at a larger scale and taken into account  
38  
39 when modeling the dynamics of past (and future) polar forests.

40 The presence of root suckers in *Notophytum* is also noteworthy from an evolutionary point of view.  
41  
42 It indicates that the ability to produce root suckers existed in some conifers during the Triassic,  
43  
44 close to the time when modern families arose (e.g., Kelch, 1998; Escapa et al., 2010). This supports  
45  
46 the idea that basal sprouting in conifers is probably not a recent adaptation as suggested by some  
47  
48 authors (Hill, 1997), but rather a primitive trait expressed in only a few extant taxa. While no  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 studies have investigated the distribution of sprouting in conifers on a large phylogenetic scale, it is  
2 also interesting that *Notophytum* displays several characteristics of the Podocarpaceae and was  
3 assigned to that family (Meyer-Berthaud and Taylor, 1991; Axsmith et al, 1998). Today,  
4 Podocarpaceae s.l. (i.e., including *Phyllocladus*; Kelch, 1998), along with Araucariaceae, are the  
5 conifer families in which basal sprouting is most frequent and the only two with well-documented  
6 root suckering. Future paleobotanical investigations of anatomically preserved plants will certainly  
7 provide additional information on the distribution of sprouters within extinct and extant seed plant  
8 clades and their importance in past ecosystems.  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

#### 21 LITERATURE CITED

- 22  
23 AXSMITH, B. J., T. N. TAYLOR, AND E. L. TAYLOR. 1998. Anatomically preserved leaves of the  
24 conifer *Notophytum krauselii* (Podocarpaceae) from the Triassic of Antarctica. *American*  
25 *Journal of Botany* 85: 704-713.  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30 BOND, W. J., AND J. J. MIDGLEY. 2001 Ecology of sprouting in woody plants: the persistence niche.  
31 *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 16: 45-51.  
32  
33  
34  
35 BOND, W. J., AND J. J. MIDGLEY. 2003. The evolutionary ecology of sprouting in woody plants.  
36 *International Journal of Plant Sciences* 164: S103-S114.  
37  
38  
39  
40 BURROWS, G. E. 1990. The role of axillary meristems in coppice and epicormic bud initiation in  
41 *Araucaria cunninghamii*. *Botanical Gazette* 151: 293-301.  
42  
43  
44  
45 CREBER, G. T., AND M. E. COLLINSON. 2006. Epicormic shoot traces in the secondary xylem of the  
46 Triassic and Permian fossil conifer species *Woodworthia arizonica*. *IAWA Journal* 27: 237-  
47 241.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52 CUNEO, N. R., E. L. TAYLOR, T. N. TAYLOR, AND M. KRINGS. 2003. In situ fossil forest from the  
53 upper Fremouw Formation (Triassic) of Antarctica: paleoenvironmental setting and  
54 paleoclimate analysis. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 197: 239-261.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59 DECOMBEIX, A.-L., E. L. TAYLOR, AND T. N. TAYLOR. 2010. Epicormic shoots in a Permian  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

gymnosperm from Antarctica. *International Journal of Plant Sciences* 171: 772–782.

1 DEL TREDICI, P. 2001. Sprouting in temperate trees: A morphological and ecological review.  
2  
3 *Botanical Review* 67: 121-140.

4  
5  
6 ESCAPA, I. H., A.-L. DECOMBEIX, T. N. TAYLOR, AND E. L. TAYLOR. 2010. Evolution and  
7  
8 relationships of the conifer *Telemachus*: evidence from the Triassic of Antarctica.  
9  
10 *International Journal of Plant Sciences* 171: 560–573.

11  
12  
13 GALTIER, J., and T. L. PHILLIPS. 1999. The acetate peel technique. In T. P. Jones and N. P. Rowe  
14  
15 [eds.], *Fossil Plants and Spores: Modern Techniques*, 67-70. The Geological Society, London.

16  
17  
18 GROSFELD, J., D. BARTHELEMY, AND A. BRION. 1999. Architectural variations of *Araucaria*  
19  
20 *araucana* (Molina) K. Koch (Araucariaceae) in its natural habitat. In M. H. Kurmann and A.  
21  
22 R. Hemsley [eds.], *The evolution of plant architecture*, 109-122. Kew Royal Botanic Gardens,  
23  
24 UK.

25  
26  
27 HALEY, C. 1957. The present status of tree breeding work in Queensland. Seventh British  
28  
29 Commonwealth Forestry Conference, Australia and New Zealand. 23 pp. Department of  
30  
31 Forestry, Brisbane, Australia.

32  
33  
34  
35 HERMSEN, E. J., E. L. TAYLOR, E. L., AND T. N. TAYLOR. 2009. Morphology and ecology of the  
36  
37 *Antarcticycas* plant. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* 153: 108-123.

38  
39  
40 HILL, K. D. 1997. Architecture of the Wollemi Pine (*Wollemia nobilis*, Araucariaceae), a unique  
41  
42 combination of model and reiteration. *Australian Journal of Botany* 45: 817-826.

43  
44  
45 HOMMA, K., K. TAKAHASHI, T. HARA, V. P. VETROVA, M. P. VYATKINA, AND S. FLORENZEV. 2003.  
46  
47 Regeneration processes of a boreal forest in Kamchatka with special reference to the  
48  
49 contribution of sprouting to population maintenance. *Plant Ecology* 166: 25-35.

50  
51  
52 KELCH, D. 1998. Phylogeny of Podocarpaceae: comparison of evidence from morphology and 18S  
53  
54 rDNA. *American Journal of Botany* 85: 986-996.

55  
56  
57 MEYER-BERTHAUD, B., AND T. N. TAYLOR. 1991. A probable conifer with podocarpacean affinities  
58  
59 from the Triassic of Antarctica. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* 67: 179-198.  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1 Antarctic. *IAWA Bulletin* 8: 191-201.
- 2
- 3 PARRISH, J. T. 1990. Gondwanan paleogeography and paleoclimatology. *In* T. N. Taylor and E. L.
- 4 Taylor [eds.], *Antarctic paleobiology: Its role in the reconstruction of Gondwana*, 15-26.
- 5
- 6 Springer-Verlag, NY.
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10 ROTHWELL, G. W., AND R. SERBET. 1994. Lignophyte phylogeny and the evolution of
- 11
- 12 spermatophytes: a numerical cladistic analysis. *Systematic Botany* 19: 443-482.
- 13
- 14
- 15 SCHWENDEMANN, A. B., T. N. TAYLOR, E. L. TAYLOR, AND M. KRINGS. 2010. Organization, anatomy,
- 16
- 17 and fungal endophytes of a Triassic conifer embryo. *American Journal of Botany* 97: 1873-
- 18
- 19 1883.
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23 SMOOT, E. L., T. N. TAYLOR, and T. DELEVORYAS. 1985. Structurally Preserved Fossil Plants from
- 24
- 25 Antarctica. I. *Antarcticycas*, gen. nov., a Triassic Cycad Stem from the Beardmore Glacier
- 26
- 27 Area. *American Journal of Botany* 72: 1410-1423.
- 28
- 29
- 30 STONE, E. L., AND M. H. STONE. 1954. Root collar sprouts in pine. *Journal of Forestry* 52: 487-491.
- 31
- 32
- 33 TAYLOR, E. L., AND P. E. RYBERG. 2007. Tree growth at polar latitudes based on fossil tree ring
- 34
- 35 analysis. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 255: 246-264.
- 36
- 37
- 38 TAYLOR, E. L., T. N. TAYLOR, AND J. W. COLLINSON. 1989. Depositional setting and paleobotany of
- 39
- 40 Permian and Triassic permineralized peat from the central Transantarctic Mountains.
- 41
- 42 *International Journal of Coal Geology* 12: 657-679.
- 43
- 44
- 45 TRIVETT, M. L. 1993. An architectural analysis of *Archaeopteris*, a fossil tree with
- 46
- 47 pseudomonopodial and opportunistic adventitious growth. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean*
- 48
- 49 *Society* 111: 301-329.
- 50
- 51
- 52
- 53
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60
- 61
- 62
- 63
- 64
- 65

**Figure 1.**

1 Anatomy of silicified *Notophytum krauselii* roots with root suckers from the Middle Triassic of  
2  
3 Antarctica (specimen 11,160).  
4

5 (a) Transverse section of a large root showing growth rings and vascular traces to lateral roots and  
6 sucker shoots. Scale bar = 1 cm. Peel Ctop#2.  
7

8  
9  
10 (b) Vascular trace to a lateral root. Scale bar = 0.5 mm. Slide 26,566 (peel A#21)  
11

12 (c) Vascular trace in the same root as (b), showing the parenchymatous pith of a sucker shoot. Scale  
13 bar = 0.5 mm. Slide 26,566 (A#21)  
14  
15

16  
17 (d) Transverse section of parent root (below, with growth rings) with longitudinal section of an  
18 emerging young sucker shoot, showing both the vascular connection and young leaves around the  
19 apex of the shoot. Scale bar = 2 mm. Slide 26,571 (Bbot#1α)  
20  
21

22 (e) Detail of the apex of the shoot illustrated in (d) Scale bar = 0.5 mm. Slide 26,571 (Bbot#1α)  
23  
24

25 (f) Vascular tissues of a sucker shoot (bottom) connected to the parent root (left); note shoot apex  
26 protruding into the peat (to the right of S). Scale bar = 1 mm. Slide 26,563 (A#15)  
27  
28

29 (g) Transverse section of an isolated bud found close to a large root. Note shoot in center with  
30 surrounding young leaves. Scale bar = 1 mm. Slide 26,586 (Ctop#4)  
31  
32

33  
34  
35  
36  
37 *Legend:* L: young leaves; LR: lateral root; R: main root; S: vascular traces to sucker shoot  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

**Figure 1**  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

