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Chiral superstructures from homochiral Zn\(^{2+}\), Co\(^{2+}\), Fe\(^{2+}\)-2,6 -bis(aryl ethylicine)pyridine complexes

Abstract: We report hierarchical supramolecular organization of metallolosupramolecular homochiral complexes 1-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-M\(^{2+}\) / 1-∆-(R,R,R,R)-M\(^{2+}\) and 2-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-M\(^{2+}\) / 2-∆-(R,R,R,R)-M\(^{2+}\) of M\(^{2+}\) = Co\(^{2+}\); Fe\(^{2+}\); Zn\(^{2+}\) metal ions with chiral pseudo-terpyridine-type ligands: 1-(S,S) or 1-(R,R) = 2.6-bis(naphthyl ethylicine)pyridine and 2-(S,S) or 2-(R,R) = 2.6-bis(phenyl-ethylcine)pyridine. Circular dichroism measurements in solution were used to confirm the enantiomeric nature of all twelve complexes. For crystal structures of 1-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-M\(^{2+}\) or 1-∆-(R,R,R,R)-M\(^{2+}\) complexes, absolute configurations (Λ-or P, Λ(or M)) were confirmed by refinement of the Flack parameter x = -0.0075 ± 0.011 for the single-crystals of 1-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-M\(^{2+}\) / 1-∆-(R,R,R,R)-M\(^{2+}\); 2-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-Fe\(^{2+}\) and 2-∆-(R,R,R,R)-Co\(^{2+}\).
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Introduction

Chiral symmetry breaking and transfer of chiral information from molecular toward supramolecular level through non-covalent interactions are topics of great interest. Molecular and supramolecular chirality may be both used as tools to assemble systems into dissymmetric crystalline architectures based on selective chiral packing.1 Chiral metallosupramolecular complexes are of considerable interest due to their important applications as stereodynamic probes for chiral sensing,2-5 for the preparation of chiral catalysts,4,5 and for the development of multifunctional materials.6 In the design and the synthesis of chiral ligands that, upon coordination with metal ions, can induce high stereoselectivity at a supramolecular level, Schiff bases with stereogenic centres in their backbones have been extensively used as powerful tools for the spontaneous generation of chiral superstructures. Typically, these chiral Schiff bases are obtained by condensation between aldehydes and chiral primary amines, and, among the most frequently used chiral amines, one can include enantiomeric pairs of R-1/- S-1-phenylethylamine and R-1/- S-1-naphthylethylamine.7,41

Herein, we report six enantiomeric pairs of M\(^{2+}\) = Zn\(^{2+}\), Co\(^{2+}\), Fe\(^{2+}\) mononuclear complexes: 1-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-M\(^{2+}\) / 1-∆-(R,R,R,R)-M\(^{2+}\) and 2-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-M\(^{2+}\) / 2-∆-(R,R,R,R)-M\(^{2+}\), where 1-(S,S) or 1-(R,R) are 2.6-bis(naphthylethylamine)pyridine and 2-(S,S) or 2-(R,R) = 2.6-bis(phenyl-ethylcine)pyridine. Schiff bases containing two stereogenic centers. The metal ions are used to template the Schiff base formation from R-1/- S-1-phenylethylamine, R-1/- S-1-naphthylethylamine and 2.6-pyridine-dicarbox-aldehyde (Scheme 1). The resulted pseudoterpyridines ligands orthogonally wrap around the metal ion centres, positioning their four stereogenic centers, such that the metal ions are overally surrounded by chiral coordination centres, as revealed by X-ray crystal structures and circular dichroism (CD) spectra.

The metal ion coordination by chiral molecular ligands results in the formation of highly compact chiral supramolecular homodimers: 1-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-M\(^{2+}\), and 1-∆-(R,R,R,R)-M\(^{2+}\) stabilized by strong internal π-π stacking interactions between lateral aromatic arms and central pyridine moiety. Further self-assembly in the resolved solid state homochiral metallosupramolecular domains is observed in some cases with the formation of unique double-stranded monohelices with single handedness. The solid-phase homochirality is determined by a subtle interplay of four directional orthogonal-pseudo-terpyridine coordination geometry and "locked" by weak interactions π-π / CH...π interactions between peripheral aryl rings.6,42-44

Usually, such helical metallosupramolecular complexes crystallize in distinct alternative P and M columns or layers of Λ or Λ mirror enantiomers, but overall the crystals are racemic. Intermolecular crystal packing is usually not discriminating: a system of enantiomeric complexes evolves towards solid-phase homochirality if homochiral interactions between molecules are more stable than heterochiral interactions,45 but the greater stability of homochiral versus heterochiral interactions is a necessary but not a sufficient condition for establishing solid-phase homochirality.

There are few previous examples of direct crystallization of enantiopure helical supramolecular single crystals,6-46 more often the crystal is racemic since homochiral layers of opposite chirality could be present and connected via different chirality inverting interactions. Examples of homochiral supramolecular helices were reported by us, in our previous work49 on complexes of Zn\(^{2+}\), Co\(^{2+}\), Fe\(^{2+}\), Pb\(^{2+}\) metal ions with bis(aren e imine)pyridines ligands, but these examples are exclusively based on achiral ligands and the resulted solid state chirality is promoted by constitutional chiral affinity of supramolecular helices of the same handedness, interacting via their van der Waals hypersurfaces.49a
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Materials and Methods

R-(+)-1-S(-)-1-phenylethylamine, R-(+)-1-S(-)-1-naphthylethylamine, 2,6-pyridinemethanol, MnO₂, Zn(CF₃SO₃)₂, Fe(BF₄)₂·2H₂O, Co(BF₄)₂·6H₂O, and CD₃CN were purchased from Aldrich and used as received. All other reagents were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. All organic solutions were routinely dried over molecular sieves 4Å. 2,6-pyridinedicarboxaldehyde was prepared by oxidation of 2,6-pyridinemethanol with activated MnO₂, according to the procedure described in the literature.³⁰

³¹H-NMR spectra were recorded on DRX 400 MHz Bruker Avance spectrometer, in CD₃CN, with the use of the residual solvent peak as reference. Mass spectrometric studies were performed in the positive ion mode using a quadrupole mass spectrometer (Micromass, Platform 2+). Samples were dissolved in acetonitrile and were continuously introduced into the mass spectrometer at a flow rate of 10 mL/min through a Waters 616HPLC pump. The temperature (80°C), the extraction cone voltage (V_e=5-10V) was usually set to avoid fragmentations. The notations used for the assignments of the ³¹H-NMR signals are given below.

UV-vis absorbance spectra were recorded using a Kontron Instruments Uvikon 923 spectrometer, in acetonitrile 10⁻¹⁰⁻⁶M, with acetonitrile as a reference. CD spectra were measured on a Jasco J-810 spectrometer, with a DC150 W xenon lamp. Measurements were collected using a 1 mm path-length quartz cuvette and the standard parameters used were: bandwidth 2 nm, response time 1 s, wavelength scan range 190–600 nm, data pitch 0.2 nm, scanning speed 50 nm-min⁻¹, and accumulation 5.

X-ray Single Crystal Diffraction Structure solution and refinement. (Table 1) Crystal evaluation and data collection were performed on a Rigaku Oxford-Diffraction Xcalibur-I or a Gemini-S diffractometer with sealed-tube Mo-Kα radiation using the CrysAlis Pro program.⁵¹ The same program was used for the integration of the data using default parameters, for the empirical absorption correction using spherical harmonics employing symmetry-equivalent and redundant data, and for the correction for Lorentz and polarization effects. The crystal structures were solved using the ab-initio iterative charge flipping method with parameters described elsewhere⁵² using the Superflip program and they were refined using full-matrix least-squares procedures as implemented in CRYSTALS on all independent reflections with β-2θ(θ). Special attention was given to the determination of the absolute structure of each compound. The compounds 1-(R,R,R,R)-Co²⁺ and 1-(S,S,S,S)Co²⁺ crystallize each in an enantiomorphic space group. The structure solution was therefore done in each space group of the enantiomorphic pair and the space group was chosen on the basis of having the Flack parameter close to 0.00. The other compounds crystallize in non-enantiomorphic Sohncke space groups and the structure was inverted if the Flack parameter was found to be close to 1.0. All final Flack and Hooft parameters are very close to 0.00. Following an analysis based on maximum likelihood estimation and Bayesian statistics the chance having an enantiopure material is in all cases 100%.⁵⁶ The H atoms were all located in a difference map, but repositioned geometrically. They were initially refined with soft restraints on the bond lengths and angles to regularize their geometry (C–H in the range 0.93-0.98 Å) and Uiso(H) (in the range 1.2-1.5 times Uiso of the parent atom), after which the positions were refined with riding constraints.⁵⁷ In some cases thermal similarity restraints were used especially for solvent molecules. In one case an acetonitrile solvent molecule was refined as a rigid group. CCDC 1910805-1910812 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures

General procedure for the synthesis of homonuclear complexes: ¹H-Momchical complexes 1-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-M²⁺:¹H-[(R,R,R,R)-M]²⁺ and 2-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-M²⁺:²∆-(R,R,R,R)-M]²⁺ = Zn²⁺, Fe²⁺, Co²⁺ have been obtained by template reaction between 2,6-pyridinedicarboxaldehyde (0.148 mmol) and corresponding chiral amines R-(+)-1- or S-(−)-1-phenylethylamine and R-(+)-1- or S-(−)-1-naphthyl-ethylamine in the presence of stoichiometric amounts of Zn(CF₃SO₃)₂, Fe(BF₄)₂·6H₂O, Co(BF₄)₂·6H₂O in acetonitrile, in the molar ratio of aldehyde:amine:metal salt = 1:2:0.5. The reactions were performed typically on a 10 mg scale of ligand/mL solvent. The reactants were dissolved in CD₃CN (1 mL) and stirred overnight at 60°C. These solutions were monitored by ¹H-NMR and ESI-mass spectrometries. Layering the solutions of complexes in acetonitrile with isopropyl ether at room temperature resulted in a unique set of single crystals suitable for X-ray single-crystal experiments.

Complex 1-∆-(R,R,R,R)-Zn²⁺: Yellow crystals. ¹H-RMN (400 MHz, CD₃CN-d₆, Δ) 8.22 (s, 4H; CH=N), 7.82-7.80 (d, J = 8 Hz, 4H; H²), 7.70-7.64 (m, J = 7.2 Hz, J = 8 Hz, 4H; H²), 7.62-7.60 (t, J = 8 Hz, 4H; H³), 7.56-7.52 (t, J = 8 Hz, 4H; H³), 7.27-7.25 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 4H; H⁴), 7.07-7.03 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 4H; H⁴), 6.54-6.52 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, J = 7.2 Hz, 4H; H⁴), 4.76-4.72 (q, J = 6.8 Hz, 4H; -NCH₃), 1.04-1.03 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 12H; CH₂). UV-vis (acetonitrile 7.425·10⁻⁵M): max= 282 nm, 220 nm; MS (ESI, m/z): 473.36 (100) [Zn(1-∆-(R,R,R,R))][CF₃SO₃]²⁻.

Complex 1-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-Zn²⁺: Yellow crystals. ¹H-RMN (400 MHz, CD₃CN-d₆, Δ) 8.22 (s, 4H; CH=N), 7.82-7.80 (d, J = 8 Hz, 4H; H²), 7.70-7.64 (m, J = 7.2 Hz, J = 8 Hz 10H; H²-H⁴), 7.62-7.60 (t, J = 8 Hz, 4H; H³), 7.56-7.52 (t, J = 8 Hz, 4H; H³), 7.27-7.25 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 4H; H⁴), 7.07-7.03 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 4H; H⁴), 6.54-6.52 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, J = 7.2 Hz, 4H; H⁴), 4.76-4.72 (q, J = 6.8 Hz, 4H; -NCH₃), 1.04-1.03 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 12H; CH₂). UV-vis (acetonitrile 7.425·10⁻⁵M): max= 282 nm, 220 nm; MS (ESI, m/z): 473.36 (100) [Zn(1-Λ-(S,S,S,S))[CF₃SO₃]²⁻].
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>formula</td>
<td>C₂₀H₂₂CoFe₂N₂</td>
<td>C₂₀H₂₂CoFe₂N₂</td>
<td>C₂₀H₂₂Fe²⁺F₂N₂</td>
<td>C₂₀H₂₂Fe²⁺F₂N₂</td>
<td>C₂₀H₂₂Fe₂⁺N₂</td>
<td>C₂₀H₂₂Fe₂⁺N₂</td>
<td>C₂₀H₂₂CoFe₂N₂</td>
<td>C₂₀H₂₂CoFe₂N₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>moiety</td>
<td>C₂₀H₂₂CoFe₂N₂(2BF₆)</td>
<td>C₂₀H₂₂CoFe₂N₂(2BF₆)</td>
<td>C₂₀H₂₂Fe²⁺F₂N₂(2BF₆)</td>
<td>C₂₀H₂₂Fe²⁺F₂N₂(2BF₆)</td>
<td>C₂₀H₂₂Fe₂⁺N₂</td>
<td>C₂₀H₂₂Fe₂⁺N₂</td>
<td>C₂₀H₂₂CoFe₂N₂(2BF₆)</td>
<td>C₂₀H₂₂CoFe₂N₂(2BF₆)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T(K)</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spacegroup</td>
<td>P6₃22</td>
<td>P6₃22</td>
<td>P2₃22</td>
<td>P2₃22</td>
<td>P22-2</td>
<td>P22-2</td>
<td>P22-2</td>
<td>P22-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crystal system</td>
<td>hexagonal</td>
<td>hexagonal</td>
<td>orthorhombic</td>
<td>orthorhombic</td>
<td>orthorhombic</td>
<td>orthorhombic</td>
<td>orthorhombic</td>
<td>orthorhombic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Chirality**

**TABLE 1 Crystallographic information on data collection and structure refinement.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V(Å³)</td>
<td>8531.7(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a(Å)</td>
<td>12.8190(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b(Å)</td>
<td>12.8190(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c(Å)</td>
<td>59.951(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α(°)</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β(°)</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ(°)</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ(g/cm³)</td>
<td>1.303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M(ring)</td>
<td>1115.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ(mm⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θmax(°)</td>
<td>33.593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>resolution</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Complex 1-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-Fe²⁺**: Violet crystals. UV-vis (acetonitrile 7.425·10⁻⁵M): λ_max = 381 nm (35.57 cm⁻¹, MLCT d→π*). 484 nm (20.67 cm⁻¹), 608 nm (16.45 cm⁻¹). MS (ESI, m/z): 469.46 (100) [Fe(1-Δ-(R,R,R,R),2)][BF₆]⁺.

**Complex 1-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-Co²⁺**: Brown crystals. UV-vis (acetonitrile 7.425·10⁻⁵M): λ_max = 281 nm (35.57 cm⁻¹, MLCT d→π*). MS (ESI, m/z): 470.88 (100) [Co(1-Δ-(R,R,R,R),2)²⁺], 1028.78 [Co(1-Δ-(R,R,R,R),2)][BF₆]⁺.
Complex 1-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-Co^{2+}. Brown crystals. UV-vis (acetonitrile 7.425·10^{-4} M): $\lambda_{max} = 281$ nm (35.57 cm^{-1}, MLCT d-\pi*). MS (ESI, $m/z$): 470.88 (100) [Co(1-Λ-(S,S,S,S))]^{2+}, 1028.78 [Co(1-Λ-(S,S,S,S))2][BF4]^{-}.

Complex 2-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-Zn^{2+}. Yellow crystals. 1H-RMN (400 MHz, CD3CN-d4, δ): 8.56-8.52 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 8H, 2H; Hq), 8.30 (s, 4H; CH=N), 8.05-8.03 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 4H; Hq), 1.14-1.13 (d, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 12H; CH3). MS (ESI, $m/z$): 373.24 (100) [Zn(2-Δ-(R,R,R,R))]^{2+}, 895.69 [Zn(2-Δ-(R,R,R,R))2][CF3SO3]^{-}.

Complex 2-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-Zn^{2+}. Yellow crystals. 1H-RMN (400 MHz, CD3CN-d4, δ): 8.56-8.52 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 8H, 2H; Hq), 8.30 (s, 4H; CH=N), 8.05-8.03 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 4H; Hq), 7.06-7.02 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 8H; Hq), 6.59-6.57 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 8H, Hq), 4.37-4.32 (q, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 4H; CH3). UV-vis (acetonitrile 7.425·10^{-4} M): $\lambda_{max} = 317$ nm, 210 nm; MS (ESI, $m/z$): 373.24 (100) [Zn(2-Λ-(S,S,S,S))]^{2+}, 895.69 [Zn(2-Λ-(S,S,S,S))2][CF3SO3]^{-}.

Complex 2-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-Co^{2+}. Brown crystals. UV-vis (acetonitrile 7.425·10^{-4} M): $\lambda_{max} = 325$ nm (30.76 cm^{-1}, MLCT d-\pi*). 480 nm (20.83 cm^{-1}), 603 nm (16.58 cm^{-1}), 709 nm (14.09 cm^{-1}). MS (ESI, $m/z$): 396.27 (100) [Fe(2-Δ-(R,R,R,R))]^{2+}, 825.67 [Fe(2-Δ-(R,R,R,R))2][BF4]^{-}.

Complex 2-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-Fe^{2+}. Violet crystals. UV-vis (acetonitrile 7.425·10^{-4} M): $\lambda_{max} = 325$ nm (30.76 cm^{-1}, MLCT d-\pi*). 480 nm (20.83 cm^{-1}), 603 nm (16.58 cm^{-1}), 709 nm (14.09 cm^{-1}). MS (ESI, $m/z$): 396.27 (100) [Fe(2-Λ-(S,S,S,S))]^{2+}, 825.67 [Fe(2-Λ-(S,S,S,S))2][BF4]^{-}.

Complex 2-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-Co^{2+}. Brown crystals. UV-vis (acetonitrile 7.425·10^{-4} M): $\lambda_{max} = 300$ nm (33.32 cm^{-1}, MLCT d-\pi*). MS (ESI, $m/z$): 370.76 (100) [Co(2-Δ-(R,R,R,R))]^{2+}, 828.46 [Co(2-Δ-(R,R,R,R))2][BF4]^{-}.

Complex 2-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-Co^{2+}. Brown crystals. UV-vis (acetonitrile 7.425·10^{-4} M): $\lambda_{max} = 302$ nm (33.06 cm^{-1}, MLCT d-\pi*). MS (ESI, $m/z$): 370.76 (100) [Co(2-Λ-(S,S,S,S))]^{2+}, 828.77 [Co(2-Λ-(S,S,S,S))2][BF4]^{-}.

Results and Discussion

Mononuclear complexes 1-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-M^{2+}: 1-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-M^{2+} and 2-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-M^{2+}/ 2-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-M^{2+} were obtained via template reactions, in the concentration range of 10 mg ligand / 1 mL acetonitrile, from 2,6-pyridinedicarboxaldehyde (1 eq), R-(-)-1-S(+) -1-phenylethylamine and R-(-)-1-S(+) -1-naphthyl ethylamine (2 eq) and the corresponding metal ions, Zn^{2+}, Fe^{2+}, Co^{2+} (0.5 eq). The Zn^{2+}, Fe^{2+}, Co^{2+} metal ions used to obtain complexes with in situ generated bis(aryl) (aryl)pyridine ligands 1 and 2 are ions that prefer octahedral coordination geometry and determine the orthogonal orientation of the ligands similar to that found in the mononuclear metal complexes with the terpyridine ligands. The octahedral complexes of the Zn^{2+}, Fe^{2+} and Co^{2+} ions are labile, suffer rapid equilibria in solution, and their stability can be correlated with their electronic configuration. On the other hand, the Schiff bases, bis(aryl)pyridine ligands 1 and 2, are strong field generators, π-acceptors, with low energy antibonding orbitals (LUMO, π*) and they form complexes with metal ions stabilized by charge transfer interactions metal(d)-to-ligand(π*) MLCT.

**NMR spectroscopy:** Only Zn^{2+} ions, $d^{10}$ configuration, form diamagnetic complexes that can be characterized by 1H-NMR spectroscopy. The 1H-NMR spectra of the 1-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-Zn^{2+}/1-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-Zn^{2+} and 2-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-Zn^{2+}/2-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-Zn^{2+} homonuclear complexes (Fig. 1S-2S, Supporting Information) consist of a series of well-defined peaks characteristic to the 1:2 symmetric metal-ligand complex. In these spectra the Λ pyridine protons signals of the ligand appear shifted to the weaker magnetic field than the signals corresponding to these protons in similar free bis(aryl)pyridine pyridine ligands.49 Trivalent metal ion coordination determine the ligands to adopt during the template synthesis a cisoid conformation, corresponding to a terpyridine (terpy) type coordination site. The conversion of the all-transoid conformer into the energetically disfavored all-cisoid one upon metal complexation occurs at the cost of conformational energy, which is overcompensated by the interaction energy resulting from metal ion binding.49

**ESI-MS spectrometry.** Electrospray ionisation conditions (T = 80°C, extraction cone voltage Vc = 10V, 100% acetonitrile) were set to avoid the fragmentation (dissociation) of the complexes. ESI-mass spectra of complexes in acetonitrile solutions (10⁻⁴ M) showed the formation of double charged complex ions of [M(1-∆-(R,R,R,R))]²⁺/[M(1-Λ-(S,S,S,S))]²⁺ and [M(2-∆-(R,R,R,R))]²⁺/[M(2-Λ-(S,S,S,S))]²⁺ at MW/2 for all metal ions (Zn²⁺, Fe²⁺, Co²⁺). The primary coordination sphere for metal ions (CN = 6, [M(N)]₆) remains intact in the solution; no substitution reactions with solvent molecules or anions present in the solution occur.

**Electronic spectra.** The information provided by the mass spectra, that in solution are present only the [M(1-∆-(R,R,R,R))]²⁺/[M(1-Λ-(S,S,S,S))]²⁺ and [M(2-∆-(R,R,R,R))]²⁺/[M(2-Λ-(S,S,S,S))]²⁺ complexes, namely the primary coordination sphere of the metal ion is formed exclusively from the nitrogen atoms of the tridentate ligands, allow assignment of the d-d transitions for Fe²⁺ and Co²⁺ complexes (Table 2) from electronic UV-Vis spectra in solution. In octahedral coordination geometry, Fe²⁺ metal ions can present two spin states, depending on the strength of the ligand field, with the fundamental spectral terms: ¹A₁g (LS) and ³T₂g (HS). For the Co²⁺ metal ion, in octahedral symmetry, the fundamental spectral terms are ⁴E (LS) and ⁴T₁g (HS).

The UV-Vis spectra of the 1-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-Fe²⁺/1-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-Fe²⁺ and 2-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-Fe²⁺/2-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-Fe²⁺ complexes have absorption maxima specific to the low-spin (LS) distorted octahedral geometries for Fe²⁺ ions. In these spectra, ¹A₁g → ³T₁g and ¹A₁g → ³T₂g are assigned to the spin-allowed transitions. The weaker bands at 608 nm, 705 nm were assigned to spin forbidden transitions to triplet terms (³T₁g, ³T₂g). The bis(arylethylamino)pyridine ligands, 1 and 2, are strong field generators, π acceptors, with antibonding orbitals (LUMO, π*) low in energy, and they form complexes stabilized by metal-to-ligand interactions (MLCT). MLCT bands for octahedral Fe²⁺ ion, d⁶ occur in the low-energy domain ~30 Kk and are very characteristic to the red-violet LS complexes of Fe²⁺ with ligands similar to bis(arylethylamino)pyridines: bypyridine (bpy) and phenanthroline (1,10-phen), [Fe(bpy)]²⁺, Fe(1,10-phen)]²⁺.

Similar shifting of these MLCT bands and the brown color in 1-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-Co²⁺ / 1-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-Co²⁺ and 2-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-Co²⁺ / 2-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-Co²⁺ complexes are observed and are due to the strong field behavior of bis(arylethylamino)pyridine ligands, 1 and 2, in a similar manner to other Co²⁺-complexes with related ligands: [Co(bpy)]²⁺ and [Co(1,10-phen)]²⁺. For all Co²⁺-complexes, the spin-allowed transitions are obscured by MLCT bands.

**Circular Dichroism -CD- spectra** The circular dichroism (CD) spectra measured in acetonitrile confirm the optical activity and enantiomeric nature of 1-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-M²⁺/1-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-M²⁺ and 2-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-M²⁺/2-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-M²⁺ chiral complexes (Figure 1): - in acetonitrile solution, the complexes retain their chirality as the enantiomeric pairs present the same absorption maxima with opposite signs. Their spectra show negative or positive Cotton effects depending on the sense of chirality; - the absorption bands in the spectra of the 2-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-M²⁺ / 2-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-M²⁺ complexes are weaker in intensity compared to those in the spectra of 1-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-M²⁺ / 1-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-M²⁺. This fact may be correlated with the better π-acceptor character of the ligand 1 and, consequently, with the ability to exert a stronger field in its complexes. In a series of ligands with aromatic constituents, the antibonding orbital (LUMO, π*) is at lower energy values as the conjugate system is more extended, therefore the LUMO orbitals are energetically closer to the metal orbital ³T₂g and the overlapping π metal-ligand is strong. The result of this stronger interaction with the π-acceptor ligand is an increase in transition energy. - the absorption bands with maxima situated around 485 nm are present both in DC and UV-Vis spectra of Fe²⁺-complexes (Fig.1c,d). These absorption bands were attributed to the spin-allowed transition ¹A₁g → ¹T₁g for Fe²⁺, d⁶, LS.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transitions (K)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.57 (281 nm)</td>
<td>35.57 (281 nm)</td>
<td>30.76 (325 nm)</td>
<td>30.76 (325 nm)</td>
<td>35.57 (281 nm)</td>
<td>33.32 (300 nm)</td>
<td>33.06 (303 nm)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1\(^{1})A(<em>{1g}) \rightarrow T(</em>{2g}) (MLCT d-s(^{-}))</td>
<td>1\(^{1})A(<em>{1g}) \rightarrow T(</em>{2g}) (MLCT d-s(^{-}))</td>
<td>1\(^{1})A(<em>{1g}) \rightarrow T(</em>{2g}) (MLCT d-s(^{-}))</td>
<td>1\(^{1})A(<em>{1g}) \rightarrow T(</em>{2g}) (MLCT d-s(^{-}))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.67 (484 nm)</td>
<td>20.67 (484 nm)</td>
<td>20.83 (480 nm)</td>
<td>20.83 (480 nm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1\(^{1})A(<em>{1g}) \rightarrow T(</em>{1g})</td>
<td>1\(^{1})A(<em>{1g}) \rightarrow T(</em>{1g})</td>
<td>1\(^{1})A(<em>{1g}) \rightarrow T(</em>{1g})</td>
<td>1\(^{1})A(<em>{1g}) \rightarrow T(</em>{1g})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.45 (608 nm)</td>
<td>16.45 (608 nm)</td>
<td>16.58 (603 nm)</td>
<td>16.58 (603 nm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1\(^{1})A(<em>{1g}) \rightarrow T(</em>{1g})</td>
<td>1\(^{1})A(<em>{1g}) \rightarrow T(</em>{1g})</td>
<td>1\(^{1})A(<em>{1g}) \rightarrow T(</em>{1g})</td>
<td>1\(^{1})A(<em>{1g}) \rightarrow T(</em>{1g})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.2 (705 nm)</td>
<td>14.09 (710 nm)</td>
<td>14.2 (705 nm)</td>
<td>14.2 (705 nm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1\(^{1})A(<em>{1g}) \rightarrow T(</em>{1g})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 2 Homochiral duplexes (top) and side view in stick (middle) and CPK (bottom) representation of the crystal packing of a) 1-A-(S,S,S,S)-Zn\\(^{2+}\) and b) 1-A-(R,R,R,R)Zn\\(^{2+}\) complexes. Green lines represent \(\pi-\pi\) interactions.

- for the Zn\\(^{2+}\)-complexes (Fig.1a,b), in the absence of Crystal Field Stabilisation Energy CFSE (d\(^{3}\)); the absorption bands are attributed to the allowed electronic transitions 1\\(^{1}\)La and 1\\(^{3}\)B\(_{2}\) from the chromophore moieties of the chiral ligands 1 and 2: 1\\(^{1}\)La=200 nm and 206 nm, 1\\(^{3}\)B\(_{2}\)=267-268 nm for phenyl chromophore, 1\\(^{1}\)La=234-235 nm, 1\\(^{3}\)B\(_{2}\)=348 and 336 nm for naphthyl chromophore.\(^{57}\) Compared to the CD spectra of the constituent chromophores of the ligands, the chiral amines: R-(-) -1-phenylethylamine and R-(-) -S-(-) -1-naphthylethylamine, these maxima are red-shifted (1\\(^{1}\)B\(_{2}\) = 269 nm, 261 nm phenyl, 1\\(^{3}\)B\(_{2}\) = 336 nm, 282 nm naphthyl), probably due to the electronic interactions (charge transfer or \(\pi-\pi\) stacking) chromophore-pyridine.\(^{58}\) The same behavior also occurs in the CD spectra of Fe\\(^{2+}\) (Fig.1c,d) and Co\\(^{2+}\) (Fig.1e,f) complexes.

X-ray Single Crystal Diffraction Structures. The crystal structures of the complexes 1-A-(S,S,S,S)-M\\(^{2+}\) / 1-A-(R,R,R,R)-M\\(^{2+}\), M-Co\\(^{2+}\), Fe\\(^{2+}\), Zn\\(^{2+}\), 2-A-(S,S,S,S)-Fe\\(^{2+}\) and 2-A-(R,R,R,R)-Co\\(^{2+}\) were determined from crystals obtained from the acetonitrile/propylether solutions at room temperature. Labelled asymmetric units, selected bond lengths and angles are described in Tables S1-S4 (Supporting Information). The molecular and the crystal packing structures are presented in Figures 2-5.

1-A-(S,S,S,S)-Zn\\(^{2+}\) and 1-A-(R,R,R,R)-Zn\\(^{2+}\) complexes belong to the orthorhombic non-centrosymmetric space group P22\(_{1}\)2\(_{1}\)2\(_{1}\) (#18), and the crystallographic data are listed in Table S1. The asymmetric unit consists in both cases of [1-A-(S,S,S,S)-Zn\\(^{2+}\)] or [1-A-(R,R,R,R)-Zn\\(^{2+}\)] cations and two CF\(_{3}\)SO\(_{3}\) anions. The Zn\\(^{2+}\)-N\(_{pyridine}\) and Zn\\(^{2+}\)-N\(_{amine}\) distances vary between 2.002(4)-2.013(4) Å and 2.2587(17)-2.4055(17) Å, respectively.

1-A-(S,S,S,S)-Fe\\(^{2+}\) and 1-A-(R,R,R,R)-Fe\\(^{2+}\) complexes crystallize in the orthorhombic non-centrosymmetric space group P22\(_{1}\)2\(_{1}\)2\(_{1}\) (#19) (Table S2). The asymmetric unit consists in both cases of [1-A-(S,S,S,S)-Fe\\(^{2+}\)] or [1-A-(R,R,R,R)-Fe\\(^{2+}\)] cations, two BF\(_{4}\) anions, and two acetonitrile molecules. The geometric parameters imply that 1-A-(S,S,S,S)-Fe\\(^{2+}\) and 1-A-(R,R,R,R)-Fe\\(^{2+}\) complexes are low-spin at 175K and the Fe-N distances are of typical values for LS complexes of Fe\\(^{2+}\) with pyridine-type ligands. The average Fe\\(^{2+}\)-N\(_{pyridine}\) and Fe\\(^{2+}\)-N\(_{amine}\) distances are 1.8519(17)-1.880(2) Å and 1.9457(17)-2.1073(18) Å, respectively.
1-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-Co\textsuperscript{5+} and 1-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-Co\textsuperscript{5+} crystals belong to the enantiomorphic space group pair P6\textsubscript{1}22/P6\textsubscript{5}22. The asymmetric unit consists in both cases of \{1-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-Co\textsuperscript{5+} and 1-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-Co\textsuperscript{5+}\} cations and two BF\textsubscript{4} anions (Table S3). The Co-N distances, Co\textsuperscript{5+}-N\textsubscript{pyridine} = 1.848(3)/1.925(5) Å and Co\textsuperscript{5+}-N\textsubscript{maxse} = 2.017(3)/2.318(4) Å, indicate that 1-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-Co\textsuperscript{5+} and 1-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-Co\textsuperscript{5+} are, as expected, low-spin complexes at 175 K.

2-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-Fe\textsuperscript{2+} and 2-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-Co\textsuperscript{5+} crystallize in the orthorhombic non-centrosymmetric space group P2\textsubscript{1}2\textsubscript{1}2\textsubscript{1} (#19) (Table S4). Each Fe\textsuperscript{2+}/Co\textsuperscript{5+} ion binds two bis(phenylethylimine)pyridine ligands, 2, and has a pseudo-octahedral coordination geometry, but the complexes did not crystallize similarly: both complexes are solvates but 2-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-Co\textsuperscript{5+} crystallizes with only one acetonitrile solvent molecule, whereas two acetonitrile molecules are present in the structure of 2-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-Fe\textsuperscript{2+}.

In all duplex structures the M\textsuperscript{2+} metal ions are fully coordinated by two ligands arranged into orthogonal planes and present an octahedral coordination geometry. Each ligand in the all-cis configuration serves as a tridentate ligand and coordinates meridionally to the metal ion with one pyridine, and two imine nitrogen atoms. Continuous shape measurements analysis showed that all transition metal ions, M\textsuperscript{2+} = Zn\textsuperscript{2+}, Fe\textsuperscript{2+}, Co\textsuperscript{5+} display distorted octahedral coordination environments (Table S5, Figs S3-S4), and among these, Fe\textsuperscript{2+}-complexes (LS, d\textsubscript{0}) are the less distorted from ideal octahedron, whereas Co\textsuperscript{5+} (LS, d\textsubscript{0}) with one electron in the antibonding e\textsubscript{g} orbitals and Zn\textsuperscript{2+} (d\textsuperscript{10}) present greater degrees of distortion.

For 1-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-M\textsuperscript{2+}/1-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-M\textsuperscript{2+}, whereas the average Fe\textsuperscript{2+}-N\textsubscript{pyridine} and Co\textsuperscript{5+}-N\textsubscript{pyridine} distances are similar: 1.852/1.848 Å, the average Zn\textsuperscript{2+}-N\textsubscript{pyridine} distance is much longer: 2.002 Å. The M\textsuperscript{2+}-N\textsubscript{maxse} distances are progressively increasing as following: Fe\textsuperscript{2+}-N\textsubscript{maxse} < Co\textsuperscript{5+}-N\textsubscript{maxse} < Zn\textsuperscript{2+}-N\textsubscript{maxse} distances of 1.946 Å, 2.017 Å and 2.258 Å, respectively. These fairly different geometrical parameters and the M\textsuperscript{2+} coordination behaviour (i.e. distorted symmetry for Fe\textsuperscript{2+}/Co\textsuperscript{5+} and the lack of CFSE for Zn\textsuperscript{2+}) lead to slight differences in the spatial disposition of lateral naphthyl arms. As an important consequence the double helix complexes are not isostructural: they crystallize in different space groups (P2\textsubscript{1}2\textsubscript{1}2\textsubscript{1}/P2\textsubscript{1}2\textsubscript{1}2\textsubscript{1}, respectively). This fact is more evident for the crystals of enantiomeric pairs 1-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-Fe\textsuperscript{2+}/1-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-Fe\textsuperscript{2+} and 1-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-Co\textsuperscript{5+}/1-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-Co\textsuperscript{5+} that are not isostructural, although they have been synthesized under identical conditions: same solvent system (CD\textsubscript{3}CN/propylether) and same counterion (BF\textsubscript{4}).

The methyl-CH\textsuperscript{3} chiral spacer in the structure of the ligands is important for holding and stabilizing the duplex formation by the internal π-π stacking. As a general rule, in the crystal the two ligands are strongly intertwined stabilizing the duplex superstructures by internal π-π stacking interactions. The relative position of the duplex ligands allows a partial (1-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-Fe\textsuperscript{2+}, 1-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-Fe\textsuperscript{2+}) or a total (1-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-Fe\textsuperscript{2+}, 1-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-Fe\textsuperscript{2+}) internal overlap between the naphthyl or phenyl moieties and the central pyridine moiety of a vicinal ligand via π-π stacking aromatic interactions with an average centroid-centroid distances of 3.4-3.7 Å, corresponding to van der Waals contacts: face-to-face π-π, heteroaromatic (py)-aromatic interactions and CH···π (y-interaction) (Fig. S5-S8).

FIGURE 3 Homochiral duplexes (top) and side view in stick (middle) and CPK (bottom) representation of the crystal packing of a) 1-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-Fe\textsuperscript{2+} and b) 1-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-Fe\textsuperscript{2+} complexes. Green lines represent π-π interactions.
FIGURE 4 Homochiral duplexes a) 1-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-Co²⁺ and b) 1-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-Co²⁺; c) side view and d) top view in stick representation of the crystal packing of complexes. Green lines represent π-π interactions.

Between peripheral aromatic rings (naphthyl for 1-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-M²⁺/1-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-M²⁺ or phenyl for 2-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-Fe²⁺ and 2-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-Co²⁺) intermolecular associations through CH···π (T-interaction) with average centroid-edge distances of 3.4-3.7 Å are established (Fig. S5–S8).

In the case of partial internal overlap, the external π-π stacking interactions are occurring between communicating duplex structures. It is resulting in the formation of left handed 1-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-Fe²⁺ (Fig. 3), 2-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-Fe²⁺ (Fig. 5) or right handed 1-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-Fe²⁺ (Fig. 3), and 2-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-Co²⁺ (Fig. 5), single helix superstructures that are present in the crystal structure.

In a different manner, in the crystals of 1-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-Zn²⁺, 1-Δ-(R,R,R,R)-Zn²⁺, 1-Λ-(S,S,S,S)-Co²⁺ and 1-Δ-(R,R,R,R)Co²⁺ complexes, the communication between duplexes which are mostly internally stacked is disrupted; each duplex being closely packed with two neighbouring ones by weak van der Waals contacts while the external π-π stacking aromatic interactions are completely suppressed in the frameworks. (Figs. 2, 4).
Conclusion

In conclusion we have demonstrated in this paper that the molecular chirality is transferred to chiral duplex superstructures that can be generated in solution and solid state single-crystals via a combination of metal-ion coordination and weak π-π stacking and van der Waals interactions. Hierarchical supramolecular organization is promoted by the formation of metallosupramolecular duplexes, stabilized by internal π-π stacking. The introduction of a chiral spacer between imine moiety and aryl groups, induce a spatial orientation of the lateral aromatic arms that is clearly important for internal holding and stabilization of the duplex formation by π-π stacking. When such internal interactions are dominant, the external π-π stacking communication is completely removed and non-communicating duplex structures are present in the crystal. Long-range 3D supramolecular structure propagation is favoured when both partial internal overlapping and external π-π stacking are present, leading to the formation of robust single-helical configurations or tubular packed architectures. The use of multiple supramolecular interactions provides a very powerful platform for the transfer of chiral information from molecular to supramolecular level. The internal robustness of the duplexes is mainly responsible for the transmission of the supramolecular homochiral order and is reminiscent with sliding biological processes along homochiral 3D hypersurfaces occur in the formation of chiral biological relevant species at the nanolevel..
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