Endosymbiont diversity in natural populations of Tetranychus mites is rapidly lost under laboratory conditions

Flore Zélé, Inês Santos, Margarida Matos, Mylène Weill, Fabrice Vavre, Sara Magalhaes

Endosymbiont diversity in natural populations of *Tetranychus* mites is rapidly lost under laboratory conditions

Flore Zélé[1], Inês Santos[1], Margarida Matos[1], Mylène Weill[2], Fabrice Vavre*[3], Sara Magalhães*[1]

* joint last authors

[1] Centre for Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Changes (cE3c), Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Edificio C2, Piso-3 Campo Grande, 1749016 Lisbon, Portugal

[2] Institut des Sciences de l'Evolution (CNRS-Université de Montpellier-IRD-EPHE), 34095 Montpellier, CEDEX 5, France

[3] Université de Lyon, Université Lyon 1, CNRS, Laboratoire de Biométrie et Biologie Evolutive UMR 5558, F-69622 Villeurbanne, France

Corresponding author: Flore Zélé; email: fezele@fc.ul.pt

RUNNING TITLE

Endosymbiont diversity: from the field to the lab

ABSTRACT

Although the diversity of bacterial endosymbionts in arthropods is well documented, whether and how such diversity is maintained remains an open question. We investigated the temporal changes occurring in the prevalence and composition of endosymbionts after transferring natural populations of *Tetranychus* spider-mites from the field to the laboratory. These populations, belonging to three different *Tetranychus* species (*T. urticae*, *T. ludeni* and *T. evansi*) carried variable infection frequencies of *Wolbachia*, *Cardinium*, and *Rickettsia*. We report a rapid change of the infection status of these populations after only 6 months of laboratory rearing, with an apparent loss of *Rickettsia* and *Cardinium*, while *Wolbachia* apparently either reached fixation or was lost. We show that *Wolbachia* had variable effects on host longevity and fecundity, and induced variable levels of cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI) in each fully infected population, despite no sequence divergence in the markers used and full CI rescue between all populations. This suggests that such effects are largely dependent upon the host genotype. Subsequently, we used these data to parameterize a theoretical model for the invasion of CI-inducing symbionts in haplodiploids, which shows that symbiont effects are sufficient to explain their dynamics in the laboratory. This further suggests that symbiont diversity and prevalence in the field are likely maintained by environmental heterogeneity, which is reduced in the laboratory. Overall, this study highlights the lability of endosymbiont infections and draws attention to the limitations of laboratory studies to understand host-symbiont interactions in natural populations.

KEYWORDS

Reproductive manipulation; cytoplasmic incompatibility; life-history traits; spider-mites; haplodiploids; microbial invasions; diversity loss.

INTRODUCTION

Vertically transmitted bacterial symbionts are extremely widespread in arthropods (Gibson and Hunter, 2010). While some symbiont-arthropod associations are essential for host survival and can persist for millions of years, others are facultative and are erratically distributed (reviewed in Moran *et al*, 2008). The maintenance of infection polymorphism of diverse facultative endosymbionts in host populations is thought to hinge mainly upon balancing selection between the costs and benefits of infection (Oliver *et al*, 2014). Such costs and benefits usually translate into changes in fecundity and longevity in the host. Moreover, some intracellular maternally inherited symbionts (e.g; *Wolbachia*, *Rickettsia*, *Cardinium*, *Arsenophonus* and *Spiroplasma*; Duron *et al*, 2008; Weinert *et al*, 2015), are able to manipulate the reproduction of their hosts to enhance their own transmission (Engelstadter and Hurst, 2009), which has important consequences for their infection dynamics. Phenotypes of reproductive manipulation include feminization, induction of thelytokous parthenogenesis, male-killing, and (the most common and best studied) cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI; Engelstadter and Hurst, 2009).

In diploid species, CI leads to the embryonic mortality of part or all of the offspring resulting from crosses between infected males and uninfected females (or females infected by an incompatible strain). In contrast, crosses between infected females and both uninfected and infected males are fully viable, hence these females have a reproductive advantage relative to uninfected ones. This phenomenon thus allows the rapid spread of CI-inducing symbionts, as shown by many laboratory and field studies. For instance, only five generations were enough for the CI-inducing endosymbiotic bacteria *Wolbachia* to invade population cages of *Drosophila melanogaster* (Reynolds and Hoffmann, 2002), or of the mosquito *Aedes albopictus* (Dobson *et al*, 2002). This bacterium has also been shown to spread rapidly in field populations of different host species (e.g. Turelli and Hoffmann, 1995; Kriesner *et al*, 2013; Bakovic *et al*, 2018).

Despite the fact that such reproductive manipulation favors the spread of *Wolbachia*, stable infection polymorphisms are typical in nature, with some populations being fully infected, others

fully uninfected or infected with a different symbiont strain, and others harbouring intermediate symbiont frequencies (e.g. Vavre *et al*, 2002; Keller *et al*, 2004; Zhang *et al*, 2013b; Hamm *et al*, 2014). This infection polymorphism may be associated with variation in the level of CI, the rate of maternal transmission and the relative fecundity of infected females compared to uninfected ones, which determines the threshold at which a given CI-inducing symbiont can invade a population (Hoffmann *et al*, 1990; Turelli and Hoffmann, 1995). Moreover, variability in infection frequencies between and within regions indicates benefits and costs of infection that vary across temporal and spatial gradients (e.g. Weeks *et al*, 2002; Oliver *et al*, 2014; Cass *et al*, 2016). However, the factors responsible for such variability remain largely elusive. In particular, the relative importance of environmental heterogeneity (e.g. Barton and Turelli, 2011; Hancock and Godfray, 2012; Schmidt *et al*, 2017), host diversity and biotic interactions (e.g. within-host interaction with other pathogens or parasites; reviewed in Oliver *et al*, 2014; Hopkins *et al*, 2017) in the maintenance of symbiont diversity remains poorly understood.

Laboratory studies may allow to disentangle the effect of the environment and of the host genetic background on symbiont diversity. However, drift and lab adaptation can also deeply impact natural variation. While this has been repeatedly demonstrated regarding nuclear variation (e.g. Hoffmann *et al*, 2001; Fragata *et al*, 2014; Francuski *et al*, 2014; Hoffmann and Ross, 2018), few studies have analyzed how laboratory acclimation affects symbiont diversity. Spider-mites are good candidates to investigate potential changes in infection polymorphism under laboratory conditions, as they often carry several endosymbiotic bacteria, usually maternally-inherited, with variable prevalence among natural populations. Among them, *Wolbachia* is the most prevalent (e.g. Liu *et al*, 2006; Gotoh *et al*, 2007b; Zhang *et al*, 2013b; Zhang *et al*, 2016; Zélé *et al*, 2018a) and induces variable levels of CI, ranging from no CI to complete CI (Vala *et al*, 2002; Gotoh *et al*, 2007b; Xie *et al*, 2011; Suh *et al*, 2015). In some cases, in spider-mites as in other haplodiploid species, CI involves a loss of the paternal set of chromosomes and diploid zygotes arising from incompatible matings may survive as haploid males (Male development - MD-CI; Perrot-Minnot *et al*, 2002; Gotoh *et al*, 2003).

In most cases, however, fertilized eggs from incompatible crosses fail to hatch as in diploid species, which leads to embryonic mortality of the females only (Female mortality - FM-CI; Breeuwer, 1997; Perrot-Minnot *et al*, 2002; Vala *et al*, 2002; Gotoh *et al*, 2003; Suh *et al*, 2015). Population-specific fitness effects of *Wolbachia* on spider-mite life history traits have also been reported, with costs (Perrot-Minnot *et al*, 2002; Suh *et al*, 2015), no effect (Breeuwer, 1997; Perrot-Minnot *et al*, 2002; Vala *et al*, 2002; Gotoh *et al*, 2007b), or benefits (Vala *et al*, 2002; Gotoh *et al*, 2007b; Xie *et al*, 2011) on spider-mite fecundity, but also variable effects on longevity and development time (Xie *et al*, 2011). Note, however, that none of these studies (with the exception of Gotoh *et al*, 2007b) tested for coinfection with other endosymbionts, which may have confounding effects. Indeed, herbivorous spider-mites are often (co-)infected with *Cardinium* (Liu *et al*, 2006; Ros *et al*, 2012; Zhang *et al*, 2016), which can also cause FM-CI (Gotoh *et al*, 2007a; Ros and Breeuwer, 2009; Xie *et al*, 2010; Zhu *et al*, 2012) without clear effect on other spider-mite life history traits reported to date (but see Zhao *et al*, 2013a; Zhao *et al*, 2013b; for *Wolbachia-Cardinium* coinfections); and occasionally with *Rickettsia* (e.g. Zhang *et al*, 2016; Zélé *et al*, 2018a) or *Spiroplasma* (e.g. Enigl and Schausberger, 2007; Staudacher *et al*, 2017), whose effects in spider-mites are still unknown.

Here, we analyzed the temporal changes occurring in the prevalence and composition of endosymbionts after transferring spider-mite populations from the field to the laboratory. We observed very rapid changes in symbiont diversity, with an apparent loss of *Rickettsia* and *Cardinium*, while *Wolbachia* apparently reached fixation or was lost, after only 6 months (approximately 15 generations) of laboratory rearing. To understand fixation of *Wolbachia*, we measured its effects on spider-mite life history traits and the level of CI it induces in each fully infected population. Then, we used these data to parametrize a theoretical model for the invasion process of CI-inducing symbionts in haplodiploids. Finally, we discuss the potential factors that may explain the maintenance of symbiont diversity in the field compared to the laboratory.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Spider-mite populations and rearing

Sixteen populations of Tetranychid mites were collected from September to December 2013 in the region of Lisbon, and adult spider-mite females from all populations were subsequently individually analyzed for species identification and for the presence of reproductive manipulators (Zélé *et al*, 2018a). Three of these populations (Assaf, CVM and Alval) belonged to *Tetranychus ludeni*, three to *T. evansi* (GRA, GH and QL), and ten to the red form of *T. urticae* (AlRo, AlBe, FR, DF, LOU, COL, AMP, RF, DC and CH). The prevalence of five maternally-inherited endosymbiotic bacteria was previously estimated using genus-specific PCRs on 11-16 individual females per population (Zélé *et al*, 2018a). While *Wolbachia*, *Cardinium* and *Rickettsia* infection frequencies varied across populations (Fig. 1A), *Arsenophonus* and *Spiroplasma* were absent in all populations. These populations started with variable numbers of foundresses (AlBe: 25; FR: 30; AMP: 65; CH and GH: 80; COL: 100; Alval: 160; AlRo: 200; LOU and CVM: 300; DC: 400; DF, RF and QL: 500; Assaf: 600). They were then maintained in the laboratory under standard conditions (25 ± 2°C, 60% RH, 16/8 h L/D) at very high numbers (c.a. 500-1000 females per cage) in insect-proof cages containing either bean cv. Contender seedlings (obtained from Germisem, Oliveira do Hospital, Portugal) for *T. urticae* and *T. ludeni*, or tomato cv. Money Maker seedlings (obtained from Mr. Fothergill's Seeds, Kentford, UK) for the solanaceae specialist *T. evansi*.

Screening for infection by endosymbionts and *Wolbachia* strain identification following laboratory rearing

Six months after collection from the field (ca. 15 generations), infection by *Wolbachia*, *Cardinium* and *Rickettsia* was checked anew using 15-16 individual females per population (except for the population GRA that was lost during laboratory rearing) using the multiplex PCR described in Zélé *et al* (2018c). Subsequently, pools of 100 female per population were also checked for infection by these endosymbionts roughly 6, 12, 18 and 24 months after collection from the field (Fig. S1).

Previous sensitivity tests revealed that multiple symbionts can be detected in a single pool, even at low infection frequencies (up to 1/100 infected females; Zélé *et al*, 2018a). Finally, as the *wsp* gene was identical for all *Wolbachia* infecting these populations (Zélé *et al*, 2018a), we characterized the *Wolbachia* infections remaining in laboratory cultures six months after collection using a multilocus sequence typing (MLST; Baldo *et al*, 2006). MLST gene sequences were amplified from DNA extracted from a pool of 100 females per population using standard primers and PCR protocols (Baldo *et al*, 2006; Zélé *et al*, 2018a). Chromatograms were checked manually using MEGA version 5.1 beta (Tamura *et al*, 2011) and we found no evidence for multiple infections within populations (as indicated by the absence of multiple peaks). All MLST sequences were then compared to entries in the PubMLST *Wolbachia* MLST database (available at http://www.pubmlst.org/wolbachia/) and novel sequences were submitted to the database curators for inclusion as new alleles. Each unique combination of MLST sequences was designated as an isolate, submitted to the PubMLST database, and assigned a unique ID number. Isolates with five-locus profiles that did not match an existing strain type were assigned a new strain type (Baldo *et al*, 2006).

Antibiotic treatments

Roughly three months after collection from the field, a tetracycline solution (0.1 %, w/v) was used to treat mites (n=30 adult females initially) from each population for three successive generations (Breeuwer, 1997) to obtain uninfected populations. During the treatment, mites were maintained in petri dishes containing bean (or tomato for *T. evansi*) leaf fragments placed on cotton with the solution. At each generation, 50 adult mated daughters were transferred to a new petri dish containing fresh leaf fragments and solution. At the third generation after treatment, 14 individual females and a pool of 100 females per population were checked by PCR to confirm that they were uninfected. These populations were maintained in a mass-rearing environment without antibiotics for a minimum of five generations before performing experiments, to avoid potential side effects of antibiotic treatment (e.g. Ballard and Melvin, 2007; Zeh *et al*, 2012).

171

172 **Experiment 1: Effects of *Wolbachia* on *T. urticae* life-history traits and CI induction**

173 To test the effects of *Wolbachia* in each population that was still infected six months after field
174 collection (all from *T. urticae*), the four possible crosses between Tetracycline-treated (T) and –
175 untreated (W, *Wolbachia* infected) females and males were performed (i.e. TxT, TxW, WxT and WxW
176 female x male crosses). An additional population (FR), fully uninfected (U) by *Wolbachia* after 6
177 months, was also included as a control for the effect of the tetracycline treatment. Roughly two
178 weeks prior to the experiment, age cohorts were created for each population by collecting ca. 100
179 females from each mass culture, allowing them to lay eggs during five days on detached bean (or
180 tomato) leaves placed on water-soaked cotton. The offspring from these cohorts was used in the
181 experiments.

182 Two days prior to the onset of this experiment, quiescent virgin females with similar age
183 were randomly collected from each cohort and placed separately on a leaf fragment to allow
184 emergence while remaining virgin. Males were isolated from the same cohort one day before the
185 beginning of the experiment to avoid potential sperm depletion. On the first day of the experiment
186 (d0), 10 adult virgin females were placed with 10 males on a 9cm^2 bean leaf disc to allow mites to
187 mate in panmixia. This procedure was chosen to increase potential conflicts over sex ratio between
188 *Wolbachia* and its female host. Indeed, while *Wolbachia* always benefits from a higher proportion of
189 daughters (i.e. due to its maternal mode of transmission; Hurst *et al*, 1996; Werren and Beukeboom,
190 1998), the optimal sex ratio for female spider-mites depends on the number of foundresses in a
191 patch, being more male biased as this number increases (Hamilton, 1967; Macke *et al*, 2011).

192 Three days later (d3), the daily female oviposition was estimated taking into account their
193 daily mortality (daily oviposition per female over 3 days = total number of eggs laid on each leaf disc
194 after 3 days / total number of alive females over the three days), and males were discarded. To
195 determine the effect of *Wolbachia* on spider-mite longevity, females were transferred to new leaf
196 discs every three days until death and their daily survival was recorded. To determine the type of CI

induced by *Wolbachia* in this system (i.e. MD-CI and/or FM-CI; Vavre *et al*, 2000), the number of unhatched eggs and of adult offspring (F_1 females + F_1 males) obtained over the first three days of the experiment were counted 5 and 15 days after removing the parents, respectively (d8 and d18). This allowed computing the relative proportions of unhatched eggs (number of unhatched eggs / total number of eggs), dead juveniles ([total number of eggs - number of unhatched eggs - number of F_1 adults] / total number of eggs), males (number of F_1 males / total number of eggs), and females (number of F_1 females / total number of eggs) in all populations.

Finally, as we found that *Wolbachia* induces FM-type of CI in all tested populations (cf. Results) we determined the level of CI induced by *Wolbachia*, as the proportion of embryonic death of females in incompatible crosses (CI_{obs} = number of unhatched eggs/[number of F_1 females + number of unhatched eggs]). To account for variation in background embryonic mortality (not related to CI and including both sons and daughters embryonic mortality), we used a corrected index of CI (Poinsot *et al*, 1998; Cattel *et al*, 2018) calculated as follows: $CI_{corr} = [(CI_{obs} - CCM)/(1 - CCM)]$, where CCM is the mean embryonic mortality observed in the control crosses (i.e. calculated as CI_{obs}). To control for an effect of infection on the background embryonic mortality, TxT and WxT crosses were used as controls for TxW and WxW crosses, respectively.

The entire experiment was done in three consecutive blocks, each including four replicates of each cross combination for each mite population, except for "DF", for which all replicates were done in block three, due to contaminations detected in the previous blocks (i.e. these data were discarded).

Experiment 2: CI rescue across *Wolbachia*-infected *T. urticae* populations

To test whether *Wolbachia* infecting one population can rescue the CI induced by *Wolbachia* infecting another population, we performed all possible crosses between *Wolbachia*-infected populations. The experimental procedure was the same than for intra-populations crosses except that 20 adult virgin females were placed individually with one male on a $2cm^2$ bean leaf disc.

Subsequently, both males and females were discarded and the number of eggs per individual disc was counted. The relative proportions of unhatched eggs, dead juveniles, males, and females were subsequently measured as previously described. To avoid biases arising from low number of eggs in proportion data, all females that laid less than five eggs within the first three days of the experiment were removed from statistical analyses (cf. final sample sizes in Table S3). Subsequently, CI_{corr} was calculated as above, using each intra-population cross as control for a given female population when crossed with males from all other populations.

All experiments were conducted in a growth chamber under standard conditions (25 ± 2°C, 60% RH, 16/8 h L/D).

Statistical analyses

Analyses were carried out using the R statistical package (v. 3.6.0). The different statistical models built to analyse the phenotypic effects of *Wolbachia* in both intra- and inter-population crosses are described in the Supplementary materials, Table S1. The general procedure for building the statistical models was as follows: the status of females and their mates (i.e. treated with tetracycline or not in the first experiment, and the populations the individuals belonged to in the second experiment), were fit as fixed explanatory variables, whereas blocks (and leaf discs for survival analyses) were fit as random explanatory variables.

Survival data (models 1.0 to 1.8) were analysed using Cox proportional hazards mixed-effect models (coxme, kinship package). Hazard ratios (HR) were obtained from these models as an estimate of the difference between the rates of dying (i.e. the instantaneous rate of change in the log number of survivors per unit time; Crawley, 2007) between the control and the other crosses. All other response variables were analysed using generalized linear mixed models with the glmmTMB procedure (glmmTMB package; Brooks *et al*, 2017), which allows using a wide range of error distribution that are not implemented in the glmer procedure. Female daily oviposition was analysed with a gamma error distribution with a log link to account for heteroscedasticity (models 2.0 to 2.8).

Proportion data were computed using the function cbind, except for CI_{corr} (continuous variable bounded between 0 and 1) for which a "weights" argument was added in the model to account for the number of observations (i.e. number of unhatched eggs + number of adult daughters per disc). Proportion data were subsequently analysed with a binomial error distribution, or with a betabinomial error distribution to account for over-dispersed errors (models 3.0 to 12.0).

Maximal models, including all higher-order interactions, were simplified by sequentially eliminating non-significant terms and interactions to establish a minimal model, and the significance of the explanatory variables was established using chi-squared tests (Crawley, 2007). The significant X^2 values given in the text are for the minimal model (Crawley, 2007). When the variable "population" was found to interact significantly with other variables, each population was analysed separately to determine the effect of the status of both females and males, as well as their interactions. When a significant interaction between these explanatory variables was found, *a posteriori* orthogonal contrasts (Crawley, 2007) between crosses ("WxW", "WxT", "TxW" and "TxT") were carried out by aggregating factor levels together and by testing the fit of the simplified model using ANOVA. In the case of CI_{corr}, compatible and incompatible crosses were analysed separately to determine differences between populations.

Modeling *Wolbachia* invasion under laboratory conditions

To predict *Wolbachia* invasion in each population that was fully infected six months after collection, we used the data obtained for the phenotypic effects of *Wolbachia* to parameterize a mathematical model for FM-type CI (cf. Results) developed by Vavre *et al* (2000). This model allows estimating the value of the unstable equilibrium (i.e. the threshold for infection rates above which *Wolbachia* is expected to reach fixation, and below which it is predicted to go extinct; Hoffmann *et al*, 1990). The parameters of this model are the relative fecundity of infected versus uninfected females (F; this parameter is also weighted by the effect of *Wolbachia* on the female survival, so F = mean daily oviposition of infected females [incl. WxW and WxT crosses] over 3 days / mean daily oviposition of

uninfected females [incl. TxW and TxT crosses] over 3 days / hazard ratio of infection in females), the proportion of eggs that escape CI in the incompatible cross (H; i.e. the reverse of the CI level, so here $H = 1 - (CI_{corr}/100)$), and the proportion of uninfected eggs produced by infected females (μ; i.e. the reverse of the transmission rate). We assumed perfect maternal transmission as only a transmission rate of 100% may explain an observed infection frequency of 100% in females when CI is incomplete. Nevertheless, to account for potential inaccuracy of observed infection frequencies, we estimated the minimum transmission rate that can explain the maintenance of *Wolbachia* in each population (Table S5).

RESULTS

Changes in endosymbiont prevalence under laboratory conditions

The screen for endosymbiont infection following six months of laboratory rearing (c.a. 15 generations) revealed a drastic change in symbiont prevalence found after field collection (Fig. 1A and described in Zélé *et al*, 2018a). Indeed, neither *Cardinium* nor *Rickettsia* were detected in any of the populations tested (prevalence < 11% with 95% CIs; Jeffreys interval recommended for small *n* by (Brown *et al*, 2001), whereas all females were found infected by *Wolbachia* in seven *T. urticae* populations (prevalence > 88-89% with 95% CIs), and none of them in eight populations, belonging to *T. urticae*, *T. evansi* and *T. ludeni* (prevalence < 11% with 95% CIs; Fig. 1B). Moreover, diagnostic PCRs performed on pools of 100 females 6, 12, 18 and 24 months after field collection (Fig. S1) confirmed the loss (prevalence < 1%) of endosymbionts in these populations. In general, there is a good correlation between the symbiont frequency in the original population and the probability of infection loss or fixation. Indeed, *Wolbachia* was lost in the populations in which its initial frequency was lower than 50%, while it reached fixation in the other populations.

Wolbachia diversity in the laboratory

The MLST sequences were the same for all *Wolbachia* that reached fixation in *T. urticae* populations.

This confirms the results previously obtained using the *wsp* gene (i.e. only one *wsp* sequence was found accross all populations, GenBank: DQ910771; Zélé *et al*, 2018a) although we cannot rule out that diversity existed in field collected samples, and that the same (or a similar) *Wolbachia* variant reached fixation in all populations under our laboratory conditions. Most sequences found were already present in the PubMLST database (*gatB*: allele 9; *coxA*: allele 38; *hcpA*: allele 143, and *ftsZ*: allele 23), but we identified a new allele for *fbpA*: the allele 444, which presents one SNP with the existing allele 4. Consequently, we defined a new strain of *Wolbachia*, ST491, which is very similar to strain ST219 belonging to supergroup B and found in China by Zhang *et al* (2013a).

Experiment 1: Effects of *Wolbachia* on *T. urticae* life-history traits and CI induction

Effects of Wolbachia on spider-mite longevity

As all symbionts were lost in *T. evansi* and *T. ludeni*, the following results were obtained only in the *T. urticae* populations in which *Wolbachia* reached fixation in the laboratory. Daily female survival was significantly affected by the status (treated with tetracycline or not) of both the females and their mates, but in a population-specific manner (model 1.0 in Table S1, see also Table S2 for log hazard ratios and the significance of all fixed effects and their interactions; Fig. S2 for survival curves). Indeed, the independent analysis of each population showed that the tetracycline treatment did not affect longevity in the populations AMP, DF and the uninfected control FR (model 1.1 to 1.3) while in CH and COL *Wolbachia*-infected females had a ca. 1.5 and 1.3 times shorter lifespan than uninfected females, respectively (model 1.4, $X^2_1 = 16.34$, $p<0.0001$, and model 1.5, $X^2_1 = 6.40$, $p=0.01$, respectively). In addition, females mated with a *Wolbachia*-infected male survived 1.3 and 1.6 times less than those mated with an uninfected male in COL and LOU, respectively (model 1.5, $X^2_1 = 5.08$, $p=0.02$, and model 1.6, $X^2_1 = 17.81$, $p<0.0001$, respectively). Conversely, females mated with a *Wolbachia*-infected male survived 0.8 and 0.7 times longer than those mated with an uninfected male in DC and RF (model 1.7, $X^2_1 = 5.04$, $p=0.02$, and model 1.8, $X^2_1 = 11.98$, $p=0.0005$, respectively).

Effects of Wolbachia *on spider-mite fecundity*

The analysis of daily female oviposition over 3 days revealed no significant 3-way interaction between populations, female and male infection status (model 2.0, see Table S2 for the significance of all fixed effects and their interactions). Sequential removals of non-significant factors (including their interactions) from the model unveiled no significant interaction between female and male infection status and between population and male infection status, nor significant effect of male infection status. However, a significant interaction between population and female infection status was found (Fig. 2). The independent analysis of each population further revealed variable effects of *Wolbachia* infection in females depending on the population: decreased oviposition by 0.93 ± 0.45 in AMP (model 2.1, $X^2_1 = 5.84$, p=0.02), increased oviposition by 0.77 ± 0.36 in DF (model 2.2, $X^2_1 = 4.31$, p=0.04) and by 0.97 ± 0.54 in CH (model 2.3, $X^2_1 = 6.41$, p=0.01), but no significant effect of *Wolbachia* infection in the other populations, including the control (models 2.4 to 2.8, DC: $X^2_1 = 0.40$, p=0.52, RF: $X^2_1 = 0.54$, p=0.46, COL: $X^2_1 = 0.68$, p=0.41, LOU: $X^2_1 = 0.15$, p=0.70, FR: $X^2_1 = 0.36$, p=0.55).

Effects of Wolbachia *on offspring development*

Overall, the relative proportion of unhatched eggs varied according to the tested population and the infection status of both males and females (model 3.0, see Table S2 for the significance of all fixed effects and their interactions; Fig. 3A). Indeed, in all populations, except in the control FR, the proportion of unhatched eggs was higher in crosses between uninfected females mated with infected males than in other crosses, which indicates the induction of CI by *Wolbachia* (models 3.1 to 3.8; see Table S2 for the results of the contrasts analyses). The relative proportion of females also varied according to the tested population and the infection status of both males and females (model 5.0, Table S2), and in all populations, except in the control FR, the proportion of females was lower in incompatible than in compatible crosses (models 5.1 to 5.8; Table S2). Conversely, the relative proportion of males only differed between populations independently of *Wolbachia* infection in

353 males and females (model 6.0; Table S2). As the increased proportion of unhatched eggs in
354 incompatible crosses led to a decrease in the production of females but not of males, these results
355 indicate that CI induced by *Wolbachia* does not lead to haploidization of fertilized eggs (MD-type of
356 CI) but to female early mortality (FM-type of CI) in all populations. Finally, the relative proportion of
357 dead juveniles differed between populations and was affected by *Wolbachia* infection in females,
358 with an overall decreased juvenile mortality of ca. 3% in the offspring of infected females, but no
359 significant interaction was found (model 4.0; Table S2).
360
361 *CI level induced by* Wolbachia *in each population*
362 Females were produced in all incompatible crosses showing that CI was incomplete. Moreover, the
363 analysis of the level of CI_{corr} in incompatible crosses showed a significant interaction between the
364 tested population and the infection status of both males and females (model 7.0, Table S2). While no
365 difference was found between compatible crosses of all populations (model 7.1, Table S2), a
366 significant difference was found between populations for incompatible crosses (model 7.2, Fig. 3B
367 and Table S2). The contrast analysis revealed no significant difference between AMP and DC (X^2_1
368 =1.74, p=0.19) and among RF, COL, DF, LOU and CH (X^2_4 =3.72, p=0.45), but a significantly lower level
369 of CI in the latter than in the former group of populations (on average 33% and 61%, respectively; X^2_1
370 =38.37, p<0.0001). All infected populations differed significantly from the control FR (X^2_1 =68.90,
371 p<0.0001).
372
373 **Experiment 2: CI rescue across *Wolbachia*-infected *T. urticae* populations**
374 The ability of *Wolbachia* infection in females from each population to rescue CI induced by
375 *Wolbachia* infection in males from all other populations was tested by crossing all infected
376 populations with each other. As previously, we summarized the effect of *Wolbachia* on the
377 development of *T. urticae* eggs by computing the relative proportions of unhatched eggs, dead
378 juveniles, males and females (Fig. 4A), as well as CI_{corr} (Fig. 4B) for each combination of crosses. For

all proportions, the statistical analyses did not reveal any significant interaction between females and males from different populations (models 8.0 to 12.0, see Table S3 for the significance of all fixed effects and their interactions). The proportions of unhatched eggs and of males were not significantly higher in inter-population crosses than in intra-population controls, indicating that CI induced by *Wolbachia*-infected males from any population is rescued by *Wolbachia* infection in females from any other population.

Consequences of the phenotypic effects of *Wolbachia* for its invasion under laboratory conditions

The data obtained for the phenotypic effects of *Wolbachia* allowed us to parameterize the model of Vavre *et al* (2000) to predict *Wolbachia* invasion in the populations in which it reached fixation (Fig. 5). The estimated values taken for the relative fecundity of infected versus uninfected females accounting for survival differences (F), and for the proportion of eggs that escape CI in the incompatible cross (H), are provided in Table S4. As we could not detect uninfected females in the infected populations, this should indicate that transmission is perfect when CI is incomplete. However, because this parameter is difficult to assess precisely and because the outcome of the model is very sensitive to its value, we estimated the minimum transmission rate under which *Wolbachia* should be lost. It was of 83.6% in DC, 91.9% in AMP, 90.3% in RF, 98.5% in COL, 80.9% in DF, 92.5% in LOU, and 98.4% in CH (Table S5). The population-specific effects of *Wolbachia,* ranging from costs to benefits, and its ability to exert different levels of cytoplasmic incompatibility affected the model predictions. Assuming perfect maternal transmission, *Wolbachia* is expected to invade in the populations DC, RF, DF and LOU, whatever its initial infection frequency (i.e., unstable equilibrium < 0), as no fecundity and longevity costs associated with infection were detected. For the populations AMP, COL and CH, the model predicts the existence of an unstable equilibrium above which infection should spread. Due to fitness costs of infection (on oviposition and/or longevity), this unstable equilibrium was relatively high, especially in the populations COL and CH in which it was above 50% (Fig. 5 and Table S4). As the initial frequency of *Wolbachia* infection in each of these

population was above their respective unstable equilibrium, the rapid invasion of *Wolbachia* observed in the laboratory is in accordance with theoretical predictions.

DISCUSSION

In a previous study conducted in southwest Europe on 16 natural populations of *Tetranychus* spider-mites, we detected *Wolbachia*, *Cardinium*, and *Rickettsia* with highly variable prevalence (Zélé *et al*, 2018a). Here, we report a rapid change of the infection status of these populations after only 6 months of laboratory rearing (ca. 15 generations of lab evolution), from an apparent loss of *Rickettsia* and *Cardinium* to apparent fixation or loss of *Wolbachia*. In the seven populations where *Wolbachia* remained (all from *T. urticae*), we found variable effects of infection on host traits.

Variability in *Wolbachia* effects and level of cytoplasmic incompatibility

Wolbachia affected differently the longevity of females from different populations, with either no effect or a cost of infection on survival. Moreover, we found variable effects of mating with *Wolbachia*-infected males on this trait, with both positive and negative effects, as previously found in *T. urticae* populations in China (Xie *et al*, 2011). *Wolbachia* also affected female fecundity differently depending on the population, ranging from no effect to costs or benefits, as in many spider-mite populations worldwide (Breeuwer, 1997; Perrot-Minnot *et al*, 2002; Vala *et al*, 2002; Gotoh *et al*, 2007b; Xie *et al*, 2011; Suh *et al*, 2015). These effects, although of relatively low amplitudes may still have important consequences for the invasion dynamics of *Wolbachia* (e.g. the existence of an invasion threshold when *Wolbachia* induces a fecundity or a longevity cost, independently of the level of CI it induces; Fig. 5).

The analysis of the proportions of unhatched eggs, daughters and sons in the brood revealed that *Wolbachia* induces a female mortality type of CI (FM-CI; Breeuwer, 1997; Vavre *et al*, 2000) in all populations. However, besides the sex ratio distortion observed in incompatible crosses due to CI, we did not find any effect of *Wolbachia* on the offspring sex ratio in compatible crosses. This

suggests that sex ratio distortion induced by *Wolbachia* in absence of CI, as observed by Vala *et al* (2003), is not a common feature of *Wolbachia* in spider-mites.

Finally, we found that the level of CI induced by *Wolbachia* also varies depending on the population (ca. 33% in the populations RF, COL, DF, LOU and CH, and c.a. 61% in AMP and DC), albeit *Wolbachia wsp* (Zélé *et al*, 2018a) and MLST sequences at the time of the experiment did not differ among populations. Such variability of FM-CI levels induced by *Wolbachia*, without clear association with different *Wolbachia wsp* sequences, has been previously reported in spider-mites (Vala *et al*, 2002; Gotoh *et al*, 2003; Gotoh *et al*, 2007b; Xie *et al*, 2011; Suh *et al*, 2015). However, although the use of *wsp* and of the MLST approach is a standard in the community of *Wolbachia* researchers, these genes may not be particularly suited to discriminate between closely related strains (Ishmael *et al*, 2009; Atyame *et al*, 2011; Conner *et al*, 2017), or to accurately reflect the properties of a *Wolbachia* strain (Bleidorn and Gerth, 2018) including different level of CI induction (Hamm *et al*, 2014; Kaur *et al*, 2017). In particular, genes responsible for CI induction (the *cidA-cidB* or *cifA-cifB*, and and *cinA-cinB* operons) have recently been identified in different *Wolbachia* strains infecting different hosts (Beckmann *et al*, 2017; LePage *et al*, 2017; Bonneau *et al*, 2018; Lindsey *et al*, 2018). It has been proposed that CI strength could be adjusted via the level of expression of these genes, or the ratio of *cifA* and *cifB* transcripts across development (Lindsey *et al*, 2018). Our populations could thus be infected with different but closely-related *Wolbachia* strains differing for these genes. Unfortunately, we failed to amplify the *cidA* and *cidB* genes of *Wolbachia* in *T. urticae* (see Box S1) and future work should focus on sequencing the entire genome of *Wolbachia* from spider-mites to improve our understanding of this system. Still, the absence of sequence divergence among *Wolbachia* from different populations is in agreement with our finding that all populations were compatible with each other (i.e. full CI-rescue between populations). Therefore, variations across *T. urticae* populations in fitness effects and in the strength of reproductive phenotypes may be due to the hosts specific genetic backgrounds as shown in some drosophila species (e.g. Reynolds and Hoffmann, 2002; Mercot and Charlat, 2004; Cooper *et al*, 2017), but also in *T. urticae* (Sun *et al*,

2016).

Loss or fixation of endosymbionts in the laboratory

We found contrasting evolutionary dynamics of invasion of *Wolbachia* across the sixteen populations, with rapid invasion leading to fixation in seven populations, and its loss in all others. *Cardinium* and *Rickettsia* were also lost in all populations. Stochastic effects (i.e. random genetic drift) may play an important role in the fate of endosymbionts in the laboratory, especially for low initial infection frequencies or small host population sizes (Jansen *et al*, 2008; Reuter *et al*, 2008; Oliver *et al*, 2014). In this study, founder effects may thus explain the loss of infection in some populations that were started from few individuals (e.g. AlBe and FR), or very low initial symbiont infection frequencies (Fig. 1A). However, most populations were founded with relatively high numbers of individuals, and all were subsequently maintained at very high numbers. Moreover, the deterministic model of Vavre *et al* (2000) parameterized with our data predicted a rapid invasion of *Wolbachia* in all populations in which we could study its effects, even from low or mid initial infection frequencies (e.g. in the populations COL, DF and LOU, and in the populations DC, AMP and RF, respectively). It suggests that the fixation of *Wolbachia* observed in the laboratory were mostly determined by CI, rather than by the fitness effects of this symbiont and/or by drift.

The spread of CI-inducing symbionts is predicted to be more likely than that of a comparable neutral genetic element, even in the face of an invasion threshold (Jansen *et al*, 2008). Therefore, the loss of endosymbionts in populations with high population density, and when the initial infection frequency was close to 50% (e.g. *Wolbachia* in CVM, Alval, GH and QL, or *Cardinium* in RF and CH), suggests that the lost symbionts did not induce high CI levels that could compensate for fitness costs (e.g. due to fitness costs of infection, the populations AMP, COL and CH are also expected to lose the infection for an initial infection frequency below 36%, 70% and 59%, respectively; Fig. 5) and/or drift effects. Indeed, not only variability in CI levels is a common feature in spider-mites, but several studies have also reported infections by non CI-inducing *Wolbachia* (Perrot-Minnot *et al*, 2002; Vala

et al, 2002; Gotoh *et al*, 2003; Gotoh *et al*, 2007b; Xie *et al*, 2011; Suh *et al*, 2015) and *Cardinium* (Gotoh *et al*, 2007a) strains in spider-mites. Moreover, although *Wolbachia* and *Cardinium* transmission rates were found to be often close to one in arthropods (e.g. Rasgon and Scott, 2003; Narita *et al*, 2007; Perlman *et al*, 2008), this might not be the case for all symbiont strains, and in all host species/populations. Unfortunately, the transmission rate of *Cardinium*, *Rickettsia*, and of *Wolbachia* infecting the populations in which they were lost is unknown here.

Hence, although the invasion by *Wolbachia* can easily be explained by its phenotypic effects on the host, its loss and that of *Cardinium* and *Rickettsia*, can be attributed to any factor (e.g. inefficient maternal transmission, absence or low CI induction, high fitness costs, stochastic effects).

What explains the maintenance of symbiont diversity in the field compared to the lab?

It should be noticed that we did not find an effect of collection date on the probability of infection by *Wolbachia* in these field populations (Zélé *et al*, 2018a). Moreover, another field collection of *T. urticae* populations, conducted two years later in the same region in Portugal, shows that the prevalence of the three endosymbionts remained relatively similar (Zélé *et al*, 2018b). Diversity and polymorphism thus seem stable in field populations. If symbionts in the lab rapidly reached fixation or extinction, then what maintains different prevalence levels between populations in the field and polymorphism within populations? A few, non-exclusive, hypotheses can be put forward.

Different prevalence levels between populations might be explained by spatial variation of environmental conditions in the field, which may impact the effects of endosymbionts on host fitness. For example, temperature is known to affect endosymbiont transmission, their fitness effects on hosts and the strength of reproductive manipulation (e.g. Clancy and Hoffmann, 1998; Anbutsu *et al*, 2008; Carrington *et al*, 2010; Bordenstein and Bordenstein, 2011; Ross *et al*, 2017b). In line with this, *Wolbachia* prevalence varies with temperature in the field (e.g. Toju and Fukatsu, 2011; Sumi *et al*, 2017; Ferguson *et al*, 2018). In spider-mites, *Wolbachia* prevalence is also associated with temperature: a field study shows that prevalence increases with temperature (e.g.

Zhu *et al*, 2018), but a too high temperature cures mites from *Wolbachia* (e.g. Van Opijnen and Breeuwer, 1999). Spatial variation in other environmental factors such as host nutrition (e.g. Clancy and Hoffmann, 1998), including the host plant of herbivorous arthropods (reviewed in Frago *et al*, 2012), and/or the presence of host pathogens or natural enemies (reviewed in Oliver *et al*, 2014; Hopkins *et al*, 2017), may affect the prevalence of symbionts and explain differences between populations. Similarly, temporal (seasonal and/or circadian) variations in all these factors may lead to temporal variations in endosymbiont prevalence within populations and, hence, may explain the maintenance of infection polymorphism at the population level.

Another possible means to maintain variation in prevalence levels between populations is spatial structure of different host genotypes (i.e. limited gene flow between populations), which may be more or less pervasive to CI or other fitness effect of the symbionts (see above). Many studies have shown the existence of population structure in spider-mites (reviewed in Sousa *et al*, 2019). Hence, migrations among populations with variable infection prevalence should blur differences in prevalence levels between populations. However, they may also allow the maintenance of infection polymorphism within populations. Indeed, several models predict that (positive) frequency-dependent selection on CI prevents stable coexistence of infected and uninfected hosts in a panmictic population, but enables it in structured populations, in which migration rate falls below a critical value (reviewed in Engelstadter and Telschow, 2009).

Finally, infection polymorphism within field populations may be maintained by horizontal transfers of symbiont between hosts from different populations or species. Evidences of horizontal transfers come from incongruences between phylogenies of host and symbionts in spider-mites (e.g. Yu *et al*, 2011; Ros *et al*, 2012), as in many other arthropod hosts (e.g. Vavre *et al*, 1999; Raychoudhury *et al*, 2009; Ahmed *et al*, 2016; Conner *et al*, 2017). If such horizontal transfers are frequent enough in field populations, they could play a role in the infection dynamics of the symbionts and allow the maintenance of some symbionts at low frequency.

Future directions

We observed a rapid loss of endosymbionts diversity following colonization in a laboratory environment. Such lability of endosymbionts can be particularly useful to develop and experimentally test theoretical models of symbiont invasion. However, such laboratory studies may also not reflect the processes at play in the field, thereby hampering a good understanding of host-symbiont interactions.

Important efforts have recently been developed to understand the effect of the transition from the laboratory to the field on the dynamic of *Wolbachia* within mosquito populations due to its implication for disease control (e.g. Hoffmann *et al*, 2014; Nguyen *et al*, 2015). In particular, our observations highlight the relevance of the new methods that are currently developed to minimize laboratory adaptation and, hence, to increase the relevance of laboratory experiments for the understanding of natural populations (Leftwich *et al*, 2016; Ross *et al*, 2017a).

Although some studies report rapid genetic changes in arthropods during a transition from the field to the laboratory (e.g. Hoffmann *et al*, 2001; Fragata *et al*, 2014; Francuski *et al*, 2014), changes in symbiotic communities are still largely understudied. This is at odds with the relevance they may have for implementing existing studies of host adaptation to novel environment (e.g. Matos *et al*, 2015; Fragata *et al*, 2016; Hoffmann and Ross, 2018). Whether the loss or fixation of particular symbionts (strains or species) under laboratory conditions is adaptive for the host, or whether it is a by-product of the host environment on the symbiotic community, remains elusive.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

Designed the project: FZ and SM, with discussions with MM, MW and FV. Designed experiments: FZ, SM; Population maintenance: IS; molecular analyses: FZ, MW; performed the experiments: FZ and IS; statistical analyses and model application: FZ; paper writing: FZ, FV and SM with input from all authors. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Joaquin Calatayud, Salomé Clémente, Diogo Godinho and Leonor Rodrigues for their help in collecting data from the intra-population crosses; to André Alves, Catarina Bota, Jéssica Paulo, José Leitão, Andreia Oliveira and Luís Silva for the inter-population crosses. We also thank Patrick Makoundou for his attempts to amplify *cidA* and *cidB* gene fragments from *T. urticae*. Finally, we thank Olivier Duron, Inês Fragata, Michael Turelli and Filipa Vala for useful discussions and suggestions. This work was funded by an FCT-ANR project (FCT-ANR//BIA-EVF/0013/2012) to SM and Isabelle Olivieri, and by an FCT-Tubitak project (FCT-TUBITAK/0001/2014) to SM and Ibrahim Cakmak. FZ was funded through an FCT Post-Doc fellowship (SFRH/BPD/125020/2016). Funding agencies did not participate in the design or analysis of experiments.

COMPETING INTERESTS

We declare that we do not have any conflict of interest.

DATA ARCHIVING

Full datasets have been deposited in the Dryad data repository (doi.org/ 10.5061/dryad.pk0p2ngjg).

REFERENCES

Ahmed MZ, Breinholt JW, Kawahara AY (2016). Evidence for common horizontal transmission of *Wolbachia* among butterflies and moths. *BMC Evol Biol* **16:** 118.

Anbutsu H, Goto S, Fukatsu T (2008). High and low temperatures differently affect infection density and vertical transmission of male-killing *Spiroplasma* symbionts in *Drosophila* hosts. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **74**(19)**:** 6053-6059.

Atyame CM, Delsuc F, Pasteur N, Weill M, Duron O (2011). Diversification of *Wolbachia* endosymbiont in the *Culex pipiens* mosquito. *Mol Biol Evol* **28**(10)**:** 2761-2772.

586 Bakovic V, Schebeck M, Telschow A, Stauffer C, Schuler H (2018). Spatial spread of *Wolbachia* in
587 *Rhagoletis cerasi* populations. *Biology Letters* **14**(5).
588 Baldo L, Hotopp JCD, Jolley KA, Bordenstein SR, Biber SA, Choudhury RR *et al* (2006). Multilocus
589 sequence typing system for the endosymbiont *Wolbachia pipientis*. *Appl Environ Microbiol*
590 **72**(11)**:** 7098-7110.
591 Ballard JWO, Melvin RG (2007). Tetracycline treatment influences mitochondrial metabolism and
592 mtDNA density two generations after treatment in *Drosophila*. *Insect Mol Biol* **16**(6)**:** 799-802.
593 Barton NH, Turelli M (2011). Spatial waves of advance with bistable dynamics: cytoplasmic and
594 genetic analogues of allee effects. *Am Nat* **178**(3)**:** E48-E75.
595 Beckmann JF, Ronau JA, Hochstrasser M (2017). A *Wolbachia* deubiquitylating enzyme induces
596 cytoplasmic incompatibility. *Nature Microbiology* **2**(5).
597 Bleidorn C, Gerth M (2018). A critical re-evaluation of multilocus sequence typing (MLST) efforts in
598 *Wolbachia*. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* **94**(1).
599 Bonneau M, Atyame C, Beji M, Justy F, Cohen-Gonsaud M, Sicard M *et al* (2018). *Culex pipiens*
600 crossing type diversity is governed by an amplified and polymorphic operon of *Wolbachia*. *Nat*
601 *Commun* **9**.
602 Bordenstein SR, Bordenstein SR (2011). Temperature affects the tripartite interactions between
603 bacteriophage WO, *Wolbachia*, and cytoplasmic incompatibility. *PLoS One* **6**(12)**:** 11.
604 Breeuwer JAJ (1997). *Wolbachia* and cytoplasmic incompatibility in the spider mites *Tetranychus*
605 *urticae* and *T. turkestani*. *Heredity* **79:** 41-47.
606 Brooks ME, Kristensen K, van Benthem KJ, Magnusson A, Berg CW, Nielsen A *et al* (2017). glmmTMB
607 balances speed and flexibility among packages for zero-inflated generalized linear mixed
608 modeling. *R Journal* **9**(2)**:** 378-400.
609 Brown LD, Cai TT, DasGupta A (2001). Interval estimation for a binomial proportion. *Statistical*
610 *science* **16**(2)**:** 101-117.

Carrington LB, Hoffmann AA, Weeks AR (2010). Monitoring long-term evolutionary changes following *Wolbachia* introduction into a novel host: the *Wolbachia* popcorn infection in *Drosophila simulans*. *Proc R Soc B* **277**(1690)**:** 2059-2068.

Cass BN, Himler AG, Bondy EC, Bergen JE, Fung SK, Kelly SE *et al* (2016). Conditional fitness benefits of the *Rickettsia* bacterial symbiont in an insect pest. *Oecologia* **180**(1)**:** 169-179.

Cattel J, Nikolouli K, Andrieux T, Martinez J, Jiggins F, Charlat S *et al* (2018). Back and forth *Wolbachia* transfers reveal efficient strains to control spotted wing drosophila populations. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **55**(5)**:** 2408-2418.

Clancy DJ, Hoffmann AA (1998). Environmental effects on cytoplasmic incompatibility and bacterial load in *Wolbachia*-infected *Drosophila simulans*. *Entomol Exp Appl* **86**(1)**:** 13-24.

Conner WR, Blaxter ML, Anfora G, Ometto L, Rota-Stabelli O, Turelli M (2017). Genome comparisons indicate recent transfer of *w*Ri-like *Wolbachia* between sister species *Drosophila suzukii* and *D. subpulchrella*. *Ecol Evol* **7**(22)**:** 9391-9404.

Cooper BS, Ginsberg PS, Turelli M, Matute DR (2017). *Wolbachia* in the *Drosophila yakuba* complex: Pervasive frequency variation and weak cytoplasmic incompatibility, but no apparent effect on reproductive isolation. *Genetics* **205**(1)**:** 333-+.

Crawley MJ (2007). *The R Book* John Wiley & Sons, Ltd: Chichester, England.

Dobson SL, Marsland EJ, Rattanadechakul W (2002). Mutualistic *Wolbachia* infection in *Aedes albopictus*: accelerating cytoplasmic drive. *Genetics* **160**(3)**:** 1087-1094.

Duron O, Bouchon D, Boutin S, Bellamy L, Zhou LQ, Engelstadter J *et al* (2008). The diversity of reproductive parasites among arthropods: *Wolbachia* do not walk alone. *BMC Biol* **6:** 27.

Engelstadter J, Hurst GDD (2009). The ecology and evolution of microbes that manipulate host reproduction. *Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst* **40:** 127-149.

Engelstadter J, Telschow A (2009). Cytoplasmic incompatibility and host population structure. *Heredity* **103**(3)**:** 196-207.

636 Enigl M, Schausberger P (2007). Incidence of the endosymbionts *Wolbachia*, *Cardinium* and
637 *Spiroplasma* in phytoseiid mites and associated prey. *Exp Appl Acarol* **42**(2)**:** 75-85.
638 Ferguson LV, Dhakal P, Lebenzon JE, Heinrichs DE, Bucking C, Sinclair BJ (2018). Seasonal shifts in the
639 insect gut microbiome are concurrent with changes in cold tolerance and immunity. *Functional*
640 *Ecology* **32**(10)**:** 2357-2368.
641 Fragata I, Lopes-Cunha M, Barbaro M, Kellen B, Lima M, Faria GS *et al* (2016). Keeping your options
642 open: Maintenance of thermal plasticity during adaptation to a stable environment. *Evolution*
643 **70**(1)**:** 195-206.
644 Fragata I, Simoes P, Lopes-Cunha M, Lima M, Kellen B, Barbaro M *et al* (2014). Laboratory selection
645 quickly erases historical differentiation. *PLoS One* **9**(5).
646 Frago E, Dicke M, Godfray HCJ (2012). Insect symbionts as hidden players in insect-plant interactions.
647 *Trends Ecol Evol* **27**(12)**:** 705-711.
648 Francuski L, Djurakic M, Ludoski J, Hurtado P, Perez-Banon C, Stahls G *et al* (2014). Shift in
649 phenotypic variation coupled with rapid loss of genetic diversity in captive populations of
650 *Eristalis tenax* (Diptera: Syrphidae): Consequences for rearing and potential commercial use. *J*
651 *Econ Entomol* **107**(2)**:** 821-832.
652 Gibson CM, Hunter MS (2010). Extraordinarily widespread and fantastically complex: comparative
653 biology of endosymbiotic bacterial and fungal mutualists of insects. *Ecol Lett* **13**(2)**:** 223-234.
654 Gotoh T, Noda H, Hong XY (2003). *Wolbachia* distribution and cytoplasmic incompatibility based on a
655 survey of 42 spider mite species (Acari : Tetranychidae) in Japan. *Heredity* **91**(3)**:** 208-216.
656 Gotoh T, Noda H, Ito S (2007a). *Cardinium* symbionts cause cytoplasmic incompatibility in spider
657 mites. *Heredity* **98**(1)**:** 13-20.
658 Gotoh T, Sugasawa J, Noda H, Kitashima Y (2007b). *Wolbachia*-induced cytoplasmic incompatibility in
659 Japanese populations of *Tetranychus urticae* (Acari : Tetranychidae). *Exp Appl Acarol* **42**(1)**:** 1-
660 16.
661 Hamilton WD (1967). Extraordinary sex ratios. *Science* **156**(3774)**:** 477-488.

Hamm CA, Begun DJ, Vo A, Smith CC, Saelao P, Shaver AO *et al* (2014). *Wolbachia* do not live by reproductive manipulation alone: infection polymorphism in *Drosophila suzukii* and *D. subpulchrella*. *Mol Ecol* **23**(19)**:** 4871-4885.

Hancock PA, Godfray HCJ (2012). Modelling the spread of *Wolbachia* in spatially heterogeneous environments. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface* **9**(76)**:** 3045-3054.

Hoffmann AA, Hallas R, Sinclair C, Partridge L (2001). Rapid loss of stress resistance in *Drosophila melanogaster* under adaptation to laboratory culture. *Evolution* **55**(2)**:** 436-438.

Hoffmann AA, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Callahan AG, Phillips B, Billington K, Axford JK *et al* (2014). Stability of the *w*Mel *Wolbachia* Infection following Invasion into *Aedes aegypti* populations. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* **8**(9).

Hoffmann AA, Ross PA (2018). Rates and patterns of laboratory adaptation in (mostly) insects. *J Econ Entomol* **111**(2)**:** 501-509.

Hoffmann AA, Turelli M, Harshman LG (1990). Factors affecting the distribution of cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Drosophila simulans*. *Genetics* **126**(4)**:** 933-948.

Hopkins SR, Wojdak JM, Belden LK (2017). Defensive symbionts mediate host-parasite interactions at multiple scales. *Trends Parasitol* **33**(1)**:** 53-64.

Hurst LD, Atlan A, Bengtsson BO (1996). Genetic conflicts. *Quarterly Review of Biology* **71**(3)**:** 317-364.

Ishmael N, Hotopp JCD, Ioannidis P, Biber S, Sakamoto J, Siozios S *et al* (2009). Extensive genomic diversity of closely related *Wolbachia* strains. *Microbiology-Sgm* **155:** 2211-2222.

Jansen VAA, Turelli M, Godfray HCJ (2008). Stochastic spread of *Wolbachia*. *Proc R Soc B* **275**(1652)**:** 2769-2776.

Kaur R, Siozios S, Miller WJ, Rota-Stabelli O (2017). Insertion sequence polymorphism and genomic rearrangements uncover hidden *Wolbachia* diversity in *Drosophila suzukii* and *D. subpulchrella*. *Sci Rep* **7**(1)**:** 14815.

687 Keller GP, Windsor DM, Saucedo JM, Werren JH (2004). Reproductive effects and geographical
688 distributions of two *Wolbachia* strains infecting the Neotropical beetle, *Chelymorpha alternans*
689 Boh. (Chrysomelidae, Cassidinae). *Mol Ecol* **13**(8)**:** 2405-2420.
690 Kriesner P, Hoffmann AA, Lee SF, Turelli M, Weeks AR (2013). Rapid sequential spread of two
691 *Wolbachia* variants in *Drosophila simulans*. *PLoS Pathog* **9**(9).
692 Leftwich PT, Bolton M, Chapman T (2016). Evolutionary biology and genetic techniques for insect
693 control. *Evolutionary Applications* **9**(1)**:** 212-230.
694 LePage DP, Metcalf JA, Bordenstein SR, On JM, Perlmutter JI, Shropshire JD *et al* (2017). Prophage
695 WO genes recapitulate and enhance *Wolbachia*-induced cytoplasmic incompatibility. *Nature*
696 **543**(7644)**:** 243-247.
697 Lindsey ARI, Rice DW, Bordenstein SR, Brooks AW, Bordenstein SR, Newton ILG (2018). Evolutionary
698 genetics of cytoplasmic incompatibility genes *cifA* and *cifB* in prophage WO of *Wolbachia*.
699 *Genome Biology and Evolution* **10**(2)**:** 434-451.
700 Liu Y, Miao H, Hong XY (2006). Distribution of the endosymbiotic bacterium *Cardinium* in Chinese
701 populations of the carmine spider mite *Tetranychus cinnabarinus* (Acari : Tetranychidae). *J Appl*
702 *Entomol* **130**(9-10)**:** 523-529.
703 Macke E, Magalhaes S, Bach F, Olivieri I (2011). Experimental evolution of reduced sex ratio
704 adjustment under local mate competition. *Science* **334**(6059)**:** 1127-1129.
705 Matos M, Simoes P, Santos MA, Seabra SG, Faria GS, Vala F *et al* (2015). History, chance and
706 selection during phenotypic and genomic experimental evolution: replaying the tape of life at
707 different levels. *Frontiers in Genetics* **6**.
708 Mercot H, Charlat S (2004). *Wolbachia* infections in *Drosophila melanogaster* and *D. simulans*:
709 polymorphism and levels of cytoplasmic incompatibility. *Genetica* **120**(1-3)**:** 51-59.
710 Moran NA, McCutcheon JP, Nakabachi A (2008). Genomics and evolution of heritable bacterial
711 symbionts. *Annu Rev Genet* **42:** 165-190.

712 Narita S, Nomura M, Kageyama D (2007). Naturally occurring single and double infection with
713 *Wolbachia* strains in the butterfly *Eurema hecabe*: transmission efficiencies and population
714 density dynamics of each *Wolbachia* strain. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* **61**(2)**:** 235-245.
715 Nguyen TH, Le Nguyen H, Nguyen TY, Vu SN, Tran ND, Le TN *et al* (2015). Field evaluation of the
716 establishment potential of *w*MelPop *Wolbachia* in Australia and Vietnam for dengue control.
717 *Parasite Vector* **8**.
718 Oliver KM, Smith AH, Russell JA (2014). Defensive symbiosis in the real world -advancing ecological
719 studies of heritable, protective bacteria in aphids and beyond. *Functional Ecology* **28**(2)**:** 341-
720 355.
721 Perlman SJ, Kelly SE, Hunter MS (2008). Population biology of cytoplasmic incompatibility:
722 maintenance and spread of *Cardinium* symbionts in a parasitic wasp. *Genetics* **178**(2)**:** 1003-
723 1011.
724 Perrot-Minnot MJ, Cheval B, Migeon A, Navajas M (2002). Contrasting effects of *Wolbachia* on
725 cytoplasmic incompatibility and fecundity in the haplodiploid mite *Tetranychus urticae*. *J Evol*
726 *Biol* **15**(5)**:** 808-817.
727 Poinsot D, Bourtzis K, Markakis G, Savakis C, Mercot H (1998). *Wolbachia* transfer from *Drosophila*
728 *melanogaster* into *D. simulans*: Host effect and cytoplasmic incompatibility relationships.
729 *Genetics* **150**(1)**:** 227-237.
730 Rasgon JL, Scott TW (2003). *Wolbachia* and cytoplasmic incompatibility in the california *Culex pipiens*
731 mosquito species complex: Parameter estimates and infection dynamics in natural populations.
732 *Genetics* **165**(4)**:** 2029-2038.
733 Raychoudhury R, Baldo L, Oliveira D, Werren JH (2009). Modes of acquisition of *Wolbachia*:
734 horizontal transfer, hybrid introgression, and codivergence in the *Nasonia* species complex.
735 *Evolution* **63**(1)**:** 165-183.
736 Reuter M, Lehmann L, Guillaume F (2008). The spread of incompatibility-inducing parasites in sub-
737 divided host populations. *BMC Evol Biol* **8**.

738 Reynolds KT, Hoffmann AA (2002). Male age, host effects and the weak expression or nonexpression
739 of cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Drosophila* strains infected by maternally transmitted
740 *Wolbachia*. *Genetical Research* **80**(2)**:** 79-87.
741 Ros VID, Breeuwer JAJ (2009). The effects of, and interactions between, *Cardinium* and *Wolbachia* in
742 the doubly infected spider mite *Bryobia sarothamni*. *Heredity* **102**(4)**:** 413-422.
743 Ros VID, Fleming VM, Feil EJ, Breeuwer JAJ (2012). Diversity and recombination in *Wolbachia* and
744 *Cardinium* from *Bryobia* spider mites. *BMC Microbiol* **12**(Suppl 1)**:** S13.
745 Ross PA, Axford JK, Richardson KM, Endersby-Harshman NM, Hoffmann AA (2017a). Maintaining
746 *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes infected with *Wolbachia*. *Jove-Journal of Visualized*
747 *Experiments*(126).
748 Ross PA, Wiwatanaratanabutr I, Axford JK, White VL, Endersby-Harshman NM, Hoffmann AA
749 (2017b). *Wolbachia* infections in *Aedes aegypti* differ markedly in their response to cyclical heat
750 stress. *PLoS Pathog* **13**(1)**:** 17.
751 Schmidt TL, Barton NH, Rasic G, Turley AP, Montgomery BL, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I *et al* (2017). Local
752 introduction and heterogeneous spatial spread of dengue-suppressing *Wolbachia* through an
753 urban population of *Aedes aegypti*. *PLoS Biol* **15**(5).
754 Sousa V, Zélé F, Rodrigues LR, Godinho DP, Charlery M, Magalhães S (2019). Rapid host-plant
755 adaptation in the herbivorous spider mite *Tetranychus urticae* occurs at low cost. *Curr Opin*
756 *Insect Sci* **36:** 82-89.
757 Staudacher H, Schimmel BCJ, Lamers MM, Wybouw N, Groot AT, Kant MR (2017). Independent
758 effects of a herbivore's bacterial symbionts on its performance and induced plant defences.
759 *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* **18**(1)**:** 182.
760 Suh E, Sim C, Park J-J, Cho K (2015). Inter-population variation for *Wolbachia* induced reproductive
761 incompatibility in the haplodiploid mite *Tetranychus urticae*. *Exp Appl Acarol* **65**(1)**:** 55-71.
762 Sumi T, Miura K, Miyatake T (2017). *Wolbachia* density changes seasonally amongst populations of
763 the pale grass blue butterfly, *Zizeeria maha* (Lepidoptera: Lycaenidae). *PLoS One* **12**(4)**:** 10.

764 Sun JX, Guo Y, Zhang X, Zhu WC, Chen YT, Hong XY (2016). Effects of host interaction with *Wolbachia*
765 on cytoplasmic incompatibility in the two-spotted spider mite *Tetranychus urticae*. *Biological*
766 *Journal of the Linnean Society* **119**(1)**:** 145-157.
767 Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S (2011). MEGA5: Molecular
768 Evolutionary Genetics Analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum
769 parsimony methods. *Mol Biol Evol* **28**(10)**:** 2731-2739.
770 Toju H, Fukatsu T (2011). Diversity and infection prevalence of endosymbionts in natural populations
771 of the chestnut weevil: relevance of local climate and host plants. *Mol Ecol* **20**(4)**:** 853-868.
772 Turelli M, Hoffmann AA (1995). Cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Drosophila simulans* - dynamics and
773 parameter estimates from natural-populations. *Genetics* **140**(4)**:** 1319-1338.
774 Vala F, Van Opijnen T, Breeuwer JAJ, Sabelis MW (2003). Genetic conflicts over sex ratio: Mite-
775 endosymbiont interactions. *Am Nat* **161**(2)**:** 254-266.
776 Vala F, Weeks A, Claessen D, Breeuwer JAJ, Sabelis MW (2002). Within- and between-population
777 variation for *Wolbachia*-induced reproductive incompatibility in a haplodiploid mite. *Evolution*
778 **56**(7)**:** 1331-1339.
779 Van Opijnen T, Breeuwer JAJ (1999). High temperatures eliminate *Wolbachia*, a cytoplasmic
780 incompatibility inducing endosymbiont, from the two-spotted spider mite. *Exp Appl Acarol*
781 **23**(11)**:** 871-881.
782 Vavre F, Fleury F, Lepetit D, Fouillet P, Bouletreau M (1999). Phylogenetic evidence for horizontal
783 transmission of *Wolbachia* in host-parasitoid associations. *Mol Biol Evol* **16**(12)**:** 1711-1723.
784 Vavre F, Fleury F, Varaldi J, Fouillet P, Bouletreau M (2000). Evidence for female mortality in
785 *Wolbachia*-mediated cytoplasmic incompatibility in haplodiploid insects: Epidemiologic and
786 evolutionary consequences. *Evolution* **54**(1)**:** 191-200.
787 Vavre F, Fleury F, Varaldi J, Fouillet P, Bouletreau M (2002). Infection polymorphism and cytoplasmic
788 incompatibility in Hymenoptera-*Wolbachia* associations. *Heredity* **88:** 361-365.

Weeks AR, Reynolds KT, Hoffmann AA, Mann H (2002). *Wolbachia* dynamics and host effects: what has (and has not) been demonstrated? *Trends Ecol Evol* **17**(6)**:** 257-262.

Weinert LA, Araujo-Jnr EV, Ahmed MZ, Welch JJ (2015). The incidence of bacterial endosymbionts in terrestrial arthropods. *Proc Roy Soc London* **282**(1807)**:** 20150249.

Werren JH, Beukeboom LW (1998). Sex determination, sex ratios, and genetic conflict. *Annu Rev Ecol Syst* **29:** 233-261.

Xie RR, Chen XL, Hong XY (2011). Variable fitness and reproductive effects of *Wolbachia* infection in populations of the two-spotted spider mite Tetranychus urticae Koch in China. *Appl Entomol Zool* **46**(1)**:** 95-102.

Xie RR, Zhou LL, Zhao ZJ, Hong XY (2010). Male age influences the strength of *Cardinium*-induced cytoplasmic incompatibility expression in the carmine spider mite *Tetranychus cinnabarinus*. *Appl Entomol Zool* **45**(3)**:** 417-423.

Yu MZ, Zhang KJ, Xue XF, Hong XY (2011). Effects of *Wolbachia* on mtDNA variation and evolution in natural populations of *Tetranychus urticae* Koch. *Insect Mol Biol* **20**(3)**:** 311-321.

Zeh JA, Bonilla MM, Adrian AJ, Mesfin S, Zeh DW (2012). From father to son: transgenerational effect of tetracycline on sperm viability. *Sci Rep* **2:** 375.

Zélé F, Santos I, Olivieri I, Weill M, Duron O, Magalhães S (2018a). Endosymbiont diversity and prevalence in herbivorous spider mite populations in South-Western Europe. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* **94**(4).

Zélé F, Santos JL, Godinho DP, Magalhães S (2018b). *Wolbachia* both aids and hampers the performance of spider mites on different host plants. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* **94**(12).

Zélé F, Weill M, Magalhães S (2018c). Identification of spider-mite species and their endosymbionts using multiplex PCR. *Exp Appl Acarol* ***74*****:** 123-138.

Zhang YK, Chen YT, Yang K, Qiao GX, Hong XY (2016). Screening of spider mites (Acari: Tetranychidae) for reproductive endosymbionts reveals links between co-infection and evolutionary history. *Sci Rep* **6:** 27900.

Zhang YK, Ding XL, Zhang KJ, Hong XY (2013a). *Wolbachia* play an important role in affecting mtDNA variation of *Tetranychus truncatus* (Trombidiformes: Tetranychidae). *Environ Entomol* **42**(6)**:** 1240-1245.

Zhang YK, Zhang KJ, Sun JT, Yang XM, Ge C, Hong XY (2013b). Diversity of *Wolbachia* in natural populations of spider mites (genus *Tetranychus*): Evidence for complex infection history and disequilibrium distribution. *Microb Ecol* **65**(3)**:** 731-739.

Zhao DX, Chen DS, Ge C, Gotoh T, Hong XY (2013a). Multiple infections with *Cardinium* and two strains of *Wolbachia* in the spider mite *Tetranychus phaselus* Ehara: Revealing new forces driving the spread of *Wolbachia*. *PLoS One* **8**(1).

Zhao DX, Zhang XF, Hong XY (2013b). Host-symbiont interactions in spider mite *Tetranychus truncates* doubly infected with *Wolbachia* and *Cardinium*. *Environ Entomol* **42**(3)**:** 445-452.

Zhu LY, Zhang KJ, Zhang YK, Ge C, Gotoh T, Hong XY (2012). *Wolbachia* strengthens *Cardinium*-induced cytoplasmic incompatibility in the spider mite *Tetranychus piercei* McGregor. *Current Microbiology* **65**(5)**:** 516-523.

Zhu Y-X, Song Y-L, Zhang Y-K, Hoffmann AA, Zhou J-C, Sun J-T *et al* (2018). Incidence of facultative bacterial endosymbionts in spider mites associated with local environment and host plant. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **84**(6)**:** e02546-02517.

833 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

834

835 **Figure 1. Endosymbiont infection frequency in each spider-mite population following (a) 0-3**
836 **months, and (b) 6 months of laboratory rearing after collection in the field.** Each box represents a
837 population, and within each graph, columns represent the infection status by W: *Wolbachia* (red
838 cells); C: *Cardinium* (yellow cells); and R: *Rickettsia* (green cells). White cells represent uninfected
839 individuals. Coinfections within the same individuals are indicated by more than one shaded region
840 on the same horizontal plane.

841

842 **Figure 2. *Wolbachia* effects on oviposition of *T. urticae* females.** Orange boxes: untreated females,
843 white boxes: *Wolbachia*-free females. The statistical significances are given above bars: *p < 0.05;
844 ns, not significantly different at the 5% level. The population FR (blue box) lost *Wolbachia* in the
845 laboratory and is used here as control for the tetracycline treatment.

846

847 **Figure 3. Summary of the development of *T. urticae* eggs and cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI)**
848 **levels in intra-population crosses between *Wolbachia*-infected and uninfected mites.** (a) Relative
849 proportions of unhatched eggs (purple bars), dead juveniles (yellow bars), adult females (red bars)
850 and adult males (blue bars) for each type possible cross. Bar plots represent means ± s.e. (values
851 provided in Table S2). T: tetracycline-treated; W: *Wolbachia*-infected; U*:* naturally *Wolbachia*-
852 uninfected. The population FR lost *Wolbachia* in the laboratory and is used as control for tetracycline
853 treatment. (b) Boxplot of CI-related mortality estimated using the CI_{corr} index, which removes the
854 basal embryonic mortality (estimated in control crosses). Identical or absent superscripts indicate
855 nonsignificant differences at the 5% level among populations for crosses between tetracycline-
856 treated females and untreated males ("T x W/U"; orange boxes). No significant differences were
857 found between all other crosses ("T x T", "U/W x T", "U/W x U/W"; green boxes).

858

Figure 4. Summary of the development of *T. urticae* eggs and cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI) levels in inter-population crosses using *Wolbachia*-infected mites. (a) Relative proportions of unhatched eggs (purple bars), dead juveniles (yellow bars), adult females (red bars) and adult males (blue bars) for each type possible cross. Bar plots represent means ± s.e. (values provided in Table S3). (b) Boxplot of CI-related mortality estimated using the CI_{corr} index, which removes the basal embryonic mortality (estimated in control crosses). No significant differences were found among crosses (green boxes: intra-population crosses; orange boxes: inter-population crosses).

Figure 5. Expected invasion of *Wolbachia* based on its phenotypic effects in each population. We used the data obtained for the phenotypic effects of *Wolbachia* to parametrize the model for each population that fixed the infection under laboratory rearing (parameter values provided in Table S4). Dashed grey lines represent the course of infection frequencies through generations for initial infection frequencies ranging from 0.1 to 0.9. Green line: course of infection that took place in the laboratory following the prediction of the model; Dashed red line: threshold for invasion.


a
T. ludeni
T. evansi
T. urticae
Assaf (n=12)
CVM (n=13)
Alval (n=13)
GRA (n=11)
GH (n=14)
QL (n=16)
AlRo (n=14)
AlBe (n=13)
FR (n=15)
DF (n=14)
LOU (n=13)
COL (n=14)
AMP (n=14)
RF (n=13)
DC (n=16)
CH (n=14)
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
W C R
b
Assaf (n=16)
CVM (n=16)
Alval (n=16)
GRA (lost)
GH (n=16)
QL (n=16)
AlRo (n=16)
AlBe (n=16)
FR (n=16)
DF (n=15)
LOU (n=16)
COL (n=15)
AMP (n=16)
RF (n=16)
DC (n=16)
CH (n=15)
W: Wolbachia
C: Cardinium
R: Rickettsia


NS
*
NS
NS
*
NS
*
NS
Daily oviposition per female
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
DC
AMP
RF
COL
DF
LOU
CH
FR
Population
Wolbachia-infected
uninfected (Wolbachia lost)
uninfected (Tetracycline-treated)

a


Relative proportions of unhatched eggs, dead juveniles, adult females and adult males in the brood
100%
90%
80%
70%
60%
50%
40%
30%
20%
10%
0%
♀ x ♂
W x W
W x T
T x W
T x T
U x U
U x T
T x U
DC
AMP
RF
COL
DF
LOU
CH
FR
adult males
adult females
dead juveniles
unhatched eggs
Cross type / population

b


CI corr
100%
90%
80%
70%
60%
50%
40%
30%
20%
10%
0%
a
a
b
b
b
b
b
c
♀ x ♂
W x W
W x T
T x W
T x T
U x U
U x T
T x U
DC
AMP
RF
COL
DF
LOU
CH
FR
tetracycline-treated females x untreated males
all other crosses
Cross type / population

a


Relative proportions of unhatched eggs, dead juveniles, adult females and adult males in the brood
100%
90%
80%
70%
60%
50%
40%
30%
20%
10%
0%
♀ x ♂
DC
AMP
RF
COL
DF
LOU
CH
Male / Female population
adult males
adult females
dead juveniles
unhatched eggs

b


CI corr
100%
90%
80%
70%
60%
50%
40%
30%
20%
10%
0%
♀ x ♂
DC
AMP
RF
COL
DF
LOU
CH
Male / Female population
inter-population crosses
intra-population crosses


DC
AMP
RF
COL
DF
LOU
CH
Infection frequency
1.0
0.8
0.6
0.4
0.2
0.0
0
5
10
15
Generation time