

Evaluation of Self-Assembly Pathways to Control Crystallization-Driven Self-Assembly of a Semicrystalline P(VDF-co-HFP)-b-PEG-b-P(VDF-co-HFP) Triblock Copolymer

Enrique Folgado, Matthias Mayor, Vincent Ladmiral, M. Semsarilar

▶ To cite this version:

Enrique Folgado, Matthias Mayor, Vincent Ladmiral, M. Semsarilar. Evaluation of Self-Assembly Pathways to Control Crystallization-Driven Self-Assembly of a Semicrystalline P(VDF-co-HFP)-b-PEG-b-P(VDF-co-HFP) Triblock Copolymer. Molecules, 2020, 25 (17), pp.4033. 10.3390/molecules25174033. hal-02954797

HAL Id: hal-02954797 https://hal.umontpellier.fr/hal-02954797v1

Submitted on 15 Nov 2020 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



Article

Evaluation of Self-Assembly Pathways to Control Crystallization-Driven Self-Assembly of a Semicrystalline P(VDF-*co*-HFP)-*b*-PEG-*b*-P(VDF-*co*-HFP) Triblock Copolymer

Enrique Folgado ^{1,2}, Matthias Mayor ², Vincent Ladmiral ^{1,*} and Mona Semsarilar ^{2,*}

- ¹ ICGM, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, ENSCM, Montpellier, France
- ² IEM, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, ENSCM, Montpellier, France
- * Correspondence: vincent.ladmiral@enscm.fr (V.L.); mona.semsarilar@umontpellier.fr (M.S.); Tel.: +33-467-147-250 (V.L.); +33-467-149-122 (M.S.)

Academic Editors: Vito Capriati, Yurii Yagupolskii and Derek J. McPhee Received: 5 August 2020; Accepted: 2 September 2020; Published: 3 September 2020



Abstract: To date, amphiphilic block copolymers (BCPs) containing poly(vinylidene fluoride-*co*-hexafluoropropene) (P(VDF-*co*-HFP)) copolymers are rare. At moderate content of HFP, this fluorocopolymer remains semicrystalline and is able to crystallize. Amphiphilic BCPs, containing a P(VDF-co-HFP) segment could, thus be appealing for the preparation of self-assembled block copolymer morphologies through crystallization-driven self-assembly (CDSA) in selective solvents. Here the synthesis, characterization by ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopies, GPC, TGA, DSC, and XRD; and the self-assembly behavior of a P(VDF-*co*-HFP)-*b*-PEG-*b*-P(VDF-*co*-HFP) triblock copolymer were studied. The well-defined ABA amphiphilic fluorinated triblock copolymer was self-assembled into nano-objects by varying a series of key parameters such as the solvent and the non -solvent, the self-assembly protocols, and the temperature. A large range of morphologies such as spherical, square, rectangular, fiber-like, and platelet structures with sizes ranging from a few nanometers to micrometers was obtained depending on the self-assembly protocols and solvents systems used. The temperature-induced crystallization-driven self-assembly (TI-CDSA) protocol allowed some control over the shape and size of some of the morphologies.

Keywords: PVDF; fluoropolymer; self-assembly; CDSA

1. Introduction

The ability of block copolymers (BCPs) to spontaneously organize in solution into different morphologies has attracted a great deal of attention due to the potential use of these morphologies in the development of nanomaterials with controlled structures and tunable properties [1,2]. The self-assembly of non-crystalline (coil–coil) BCPs in solution is well-established [3]. Selective solvation gives rise to the formation of structures with a core consisting of the insoluble block surrounded by a corona formed by the soluble block [4]. The resulting morphologies depend on the intrinsic molecular parameters of the BCP, such as the solvent affinity of the blocks, the relative volume fraction, and the length of the blocks [3]. However, the complexity of the self-assembly process increases when one of the blocks is able to crystallize.

The formation of semicrystalline BCP micelles can be viewed as a two-step self-assembly process. Micelles will form first by minimizing their contact with the solvent, and then start to crystallize in a second step, giving rise to the final micellar structure. As crystallization takes place in the insoluble micellar core, the initial morphology is either preserved, or a morphological transformation into a novel structure is triggered [4].



In 1966, Lotz et al. first found that poly(ethylene glycol)-*b*-polystyrene (PEO-*b*-PS) block copolymers (BCP) could form square-shaped platelets through crystallization from ethyl benzene solutions [5]. Since then, the preparation of micelles from crystalline-coil BCPs by crystallization-driven self-assembly (CDSA) has been gaining momentum [4,6–13].

Cylinders and lamellar architectures are the most commonly observed morphologies [8,14–16]. However, modifying the interactions between the two blocks and the solvent, and the interplay between the crystallization of the core-forming block and the corona chain stretching, gives access to more complex structures that may incorporate desired properties [13,17–19]. In solvents able to solubilize both blocks, the BCP remains as unimers undergoing a slower crystallization process and can form larger defect-free crystals (platelets, for example) [13,20,21].

Various polymeric architectures have been obtained by the crystallization-driven self-assembly (CDSA) approach. Arno et al. recently reported the preparation of poly(ε -caprolactone)-*b*-poly(methyl methacrylate)-*b*-poly(*N*,*N*-dimethylacrylamide) (PCL-*b*-PMMA-*b*-PDMA) biocompatible and biodegradable 1D cylindrical and 2D platelet micelles via CDSA. Interestingly, they were able to control the dimensions and dispersity of the self-assembled nanostructures [6]. Li et al. have reported a poly(*L*-lactide)-based diblock glycopolymer that assembled into 1D cylinders and 2D diamond-shaped platelets [13]. Qiu et al. reported the preparation of cylindrical micelles from poly(ferrocene dimethylsilane)-*b*-poly(dimethylsiloxane) (PFS-*b*-PDMS) BCPs. The sequential, alternate addition of PFS-*b*-P2VP (P2VP = poly(2-vinylpyridine)) or PFS-b-PDMS BCPs and PFS homopolymer blends led to concentric rectangular platelet block co-micelles thanks to the PFS crystallizable blocks [19]. The most common crystalline blocks in these structures are poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO) [22], poly(ε -caprolactone) (PCL) [6,17], polyethylene (PE) [23], and poly(ferrocenyldimethylsilane) (PFS) [6,14,15,24,25].

Fluoropolymers are an interesting family of polymers with remarkable chemical and physical properties. Poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF), perhaps the most emblematic representative of this class of materials, is a highly crystalline fluoropolymer that has found numerous applications [26–28]. Only a few studies describe the self-assembly in solution of BCPs containing a fluoropolymer block [29–33]. Our team has been developing the Reversible Addition-Fragmentation chain Transfer (RAFT) polymerization of VDF over the last years [34–36], and has described several synthetic routes for fluoropolymer-based BCPs among other architectures [29–31,33,37–40]. Due to the relative rarity of such BCPs, only a few examples of their CDSA behavior have been reported. Guerre et al. reported the formation of crystalline structures, thought to be formed by CDSA of PVAc-b-PVDF diblock copolymer solutions in dimethyl carbonate (DMC), a solvent in which PVDF is soluble at moderate to high temperatures [30]. He also showed that PVDF-b-PDMAEMA (DMAEMA = 2-(dimethylamino)ethylmethacrylate) BCP could form cylindrical structures by self-assembly in water, likely via CDSA [31]. Folgado and coworkers prepared an amphiphilic PVDF-b-PEG-b-PVDF triblock copolymer and observed the formation of spindle-shaped crystalline structures in THF: ethanol mixtures [37]. They also showed that PVDF crystalized in its α -phase in such aggregates. To date, these are the only studies mentioning the CDSA behavior of fluoropolymer-containing BCPs.

An interesting variation of CDSA is the thermally controlled crystallization-induced self-assembly, a method in which the crystallization conditions could be tuned. The self-assembly procedure starts by dissolving the BCP in a selective solvent for the coil block at a temperature above the T_m of the semicrystalline block. When the polymer solutions are cooled down, below the T_c , crystallization occurs. This method allows for some degree of control on the micellar crystal development [4].

The CDSA approach in pure alcoholic solvents or water is not easily performed on PVDF-based BCPs due to the poor solubility of PVDF in these solvents, even at high temperatures. Also, due to the high melting temperature of PVDF ($T_m = 177$ °C), the thermally controlled CDSA approach is limited by the range of suitable solvents.

Copolymers of VDF and HFP present reduced crystallinity compared to PVDF, and thus, they have a higher solubility in organic solvents and lower T_m . Indeed, the crystallinity of the P(VDF-*co*-HFP) copolymer is largely affected by the molar fraction of the HFP [41,42] and can be tuned by controlling

the polymer composition. Copolymers with HFP content higher than 19 mol% are amorphous and have elastomeric behavior [41,43].

P(VDF-*co*-HFP) based block copolymers made by RAFT have not been reported to date. In this work, we report the preparation of an amphiphilic ABA P(VDF-*co*-HFP)-*b*-PEG-*b*-P(VDF-*co*-HFP) triblock copolymer. The P(VDF-*co*-HFP) copolymer with high end-group fidelity was synthesized by RAFT copolymerization of VDF, and the triblock copolymer was prepared using an efficient coupling method: a one-pot thia-Michael addition. Triblocks were chosen over diblocks in the framework of the studies we carried out on triblock fluoropolymers [38,44]. The characterization of the novel triblock BCP was performed using ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopies, GPC, TGA, DSC, and XRD. The self-assembly in various solvents as well, as the CDSA behavior of this BCP in different solvent mixtures, was studied by TEM.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Polymers Syntheses and Characterizations

A P(VDF₅₁-*co*-HFP₄)-XA (where XA designates the ethyl xanthate moiety) copolymer with moderate dispersity (D = 1.45) copolymer was obtained by RAFT. The molar fraction of HFP (7.4%), as well as the degree of polymerization of both VDF (51) and HFP (4), were estimated using NMR data (see Figures S3–S5 for details of these calculations). The P(VDF₅₁-*co*-HFP₄)-*b*-PEG₁₃₆-*b*-P(VDF₅₁-*co*-HFP₄) ABA triblock copolymer was synthesized via a one-pot aminolysis-thia Michael addition using two equivalents of P(VDF₅₁-*co*-HFP₄)-XA and one equivalent of PEG₁₃₆ diacrylate (PEGDA) with very narrow dispersity (D = 1.02; synthesized from commercial PEG) in the presence of excess hexylamine (to effect the aminolysis of the xanthate end-groups into thiols) and dimethylphenylphosphine in catalytic amount (for the nucleophilic catalysis of the thia-Michael addition). This protocol had proved its robustness and usefulness for the syntheses of PVDF-*b*-PEG-*b*-PVDF triblock copolymer [37,43], macromonomers [44,45], as well as cross-linkable star polymers [46] and co-networks [38]. The disappearance of the acrylate signals and xanthate end-group in ¹H NMR, as well as the shifts of the ¹⁹F NMR signals (–CF₂-CH₂-XA at –113.09 ppm to –CF₂-CH₂-S-CH₂-PEG at –113.78 ppm; see Figure S7), confirmed the success of the coupling reaction.

After purification by precipitation in chilled pentane, the successful synthesis and purity of $P(VDF_{51}-co-HFP_4)-b-PEG_{136}-b-P(VDF_{51}-co-HFP_4)$ ABA amphiphilic triblock copolymer was confirmed by ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR (Figures S6 and S7) and SEC using universal calibration. Despite the proportion of H-terminated dead chains in the P(VDF-*co*-HFP)-XA copolymer estimated to be 15 mol% (see Figure S8 for details of the calculations), the GPC chromatogram of the triblock copolymer (Figure 1) appears as a monomodal symmetrical peak devoid of shoulders or tailing.



Figure 1. Normalized SEC chromatograms (viscometer detector) of P(VDF-*co*-HFP)-XA (black trace), PEGDA (red trace), P(VDF-*co*-HFP)-*b*-PEG-*b*-P(VDF-*co*-HFP) (blue trace), and chemical structure of the precursor polymers and final triblock copolymer.

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) of the BCP and of its precursors revealed an exothermic peak corresponding to the crystallization of P(VDF-*co*-HFP) at 119.2 °C (Figures S9 and S10). As expected, the melting and crystallization temperatures of the P(VDF-*co*-HFP) segment are lower than those of a PVDF homopolymer segment (103.1 °C and 133.5 °C, respectively) of similar molar mass and linked to the same PEG central block [37]. P(VDF-*co*-HFP) copolymers are less crystalline than PVDF, but remain semicrystalline and behave as thermoplastics up to 19 mol% of HFP. At higher HFP contents, these copolymers become elastomers [41].

The presence of HFP also induces decreases in the melting and crystallization temperatures. Here, relatively low HFP content was chosen to slightly reduce the polymer crystallinity, and thus improve its solubility in organic solvents. The resulting triblock copolymer was highly soluble in DMF, DMSO, acetone, and THF, whereas a similar PVDF-based triblock copolymer was much less soluble in acetone and barely soluble in THF [37].

DSC thermograms were also used to assess the degree of crystallinity of the P(VDF-*co*-HFP) (10.2%) and of the PEG (88.1%) segments in the triblock copolymer (see Figure S11 for details on these calculations). These results are in agreement with the signals observed by XRD (Figure S12a), where the PEG appears to be much more crystalline than the P(VDF-*co*-HFP) segments.

In our previous work, we showed that the morphologies self-assembled in solution adopted by a PVDF-*b*-PEG-*b*-PVDF are highly path- and solvent-dependent due to the non-ergodicity of such systems [37]. Thus, in the present work, we focused the investigation on the study of the different morphologies that could be accessed from the self-assembly of $P(VDF_{51}-co-HFP_4)$ -*b*-PEG₁₃₆-*b*-P(VDF_{51}-co-HFP_4) triblock copolymer using different self-assembly protocols or by adjusting parameters such as solvent/non-solvent selectivity and ratio, and crystallization conditions (i.e., annealing temperature).

2.2. Self-Assembly

Self-assembly takes place without the intervention of external forces because the process leads to a lower Gibbs free energy; thus, self-assembled structures are thermodynamically more stable than single, unassembled components. Different self-assembling protocols with diverse benefits and drawbacks could be used. Depending on the nature of the blocks of the self-assembling BCP, the nano-structures formed could be thermodynamically stable or kinetically trapped. Thin-film rehydration allows the formation of polymer nanoparticles in pure solvent; however, it is time-consuming, not suitable for all systems, and often requires the use of extrusion to obtain aggregates of even size [47]. The micellization technique requires the use of at least two solvents, and is also time-consuming. However, due to the slow exchange of solvents, the resulting aggregates usually have relatively even sizes and shapes. The nanoprecipitation method also requires at least two solvents but allows the preparation of nanoparticles in seconds. Nevertheless, the fast mixing times of the solvents often provoke the formation of metastable or kinetically trapped structures. Finally, TI-CDSA (Temperature-induced crystallization-driven self-assembly), a more recently developed approach, allows the preparation of different structures from kinetically trapped ones by heating the solutions above the melting temperature of the crystalline core-forming block of the copolymer aggregates, thus providing enough chain mobility for the self-assembled structures to evolve. The following sections describe the results obtained by using these self-assembly protocols with the P(VDF₅₁-co-HFP₄)-b-PEG₁₃₆-b-P(VDF₅₁-co-HFP₄) triblock copolymer.

2.2.1. Thin-Film Rehydration

The thin-film rehydration method is established as the formation of a thin layer of an amphiphile on a surface by solvent evaporation followed by redispersion in pure water. External forces such as stirring or sonication are required to enhance the film hydration of amphiphilic block copolymers. Here, a thin film of P(VDF-*co*-HFP)-*b*-PEG-*b*-P(VDF-*co*-HFP) triblock copolymer was prepared in a round bottom flask, then hydrated with pure water, and stirred for one week. The structures formed are shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. TEM images of the P(VDF-*co*-HFP)-*b*-PEG-*b*-P(VDF-*co*-HFP) triblock copolymer aggregates formed by thin-film rehydration with water after one week in pure water. Images (**a**) and (**b**) show the aggregates at two different magnifications.

The roughly spherical aggregates of diameters ranging from 100 to 180 nm (average hydrodynamic diameter of 160 nm by DLS; see Figure S13a) observed were also accompanied by very small aggregates or BCP film debris. This approach, commonly used for the preparation of vesicles and liposomes from BCP, does not seem suitable for the formation of higher order morphologies in the case of this triblock copolymer (whose core forming block is the semicrystalline P(VDF-*co*-HFP) copolymer), at least under the conditions the film rehydration process was performed.

2.2.2. Micellization

The formation of ordered structures through self-assembly is governed by thermodynamics. However, if sufficient energy or time is not given to a self-assembling system for it to reach the most stable thermodynamic structures, the resulting morphologies are said to be kinetically frozen. The micellization protocol (i.e., the dissolution of the block copolymer in a good solvent for both blocks, followed by the slow addition of a selective solvent for one of the blocks), is likely to deliver thermodynamically more stable self-assembled structures than nanoprecipitation due to the slower solvent change, thus giving more time for the polymers to self-organize [37,48,49]. However, in the case of BCP containing a semicrystalline block such as P(VDF-co-HFP), the exchange between self-assembled morphologies can be very slow or inexistent due to the crystallization of the fluoropolymer segment which locks the polymer chain in the crystalline domains [50,51]. In such systems, the exchange of polymer chains in solutions and in the self-assembled structures cannot exist. Such systems are said to be non-ergodic. Below the fusion temperature of the core-forming polymer (T_{m,PVDF-co-HFP} in the present case), the crystal structure prevents the equilibrium between self-assembled and dissolved polymer chains as well as the exchanges between self-assembled morphologies. In consequence, the self-assembly of the P(VDF-co-HFP)-b-PEG-b-P(VDF-co-HFP) triblock copolymer will likely lead to kinetically trapped structures [52,53]. Three common solvents (DMF, acetone, and THF) and two PEG selective solvents (ethanol and water) were chosen to study the self-assembly behavior of this triblock copolymer. The obtained structures are shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3. TEM images of self-assembled structures obtained by micellization of 1 mg mL⁻¹ solutions of the P(VDF-*co*-HFP)-*b*-PEG-*b*-P(VDF-*co*-HFP) triblock copolymer in (**a**) DMF, (**b**) acetone, employing ethanol as a selective solvent for PEG; and (**c**) DMF, (**d**) acetone, employing water as the selective solvent for PEG. Final concentration of all samples = 0.14 mg mL⁻¹, solvent: selective solvent final ratio = 1:6.

The self-assembly protocol using DMF as good solvent and ethanol as the PEG selective solvent led to the formation of thin sharp-edged objects, most of them ovoidal, with size ranging from 200 nm to 500 nm (Figure 3a). In contrast, when water was used as the selective solvent for PEG, the triblock copolymer assembled into long fibers (several tens of µm in length), which aggregated into bundles (Figure 3c). When acetone was used as the common solvent, the triblock copolymer self-assembled into micrometer-long 2D strips both when ethanol (Figure 3b) or water (Figure 3d) were used as the PEG selective solvent.

Experiments carried out with THF/ethanol or THF/water solvent systems did not lead to the formation of organized structures (Figure S14).

2.2.3. Nanoprecipitation

Polymer nanoprecipitation is generally described as the precipitation as nanoscale particles of a dissolved polymer in a non-solvent that is miscible with the solvent. Recent literature describing the preparation of nanoparticles via nanoprecipitation often involve amphiphilic block copolymers [54–59]. Nanoprecipitation has already been employed for the preparation of fluoropolymer microspheres [60]. It is also a suitable method for drug encapsulation in polymer nanoparticles [54,59,61]. Nanoprecipitation (NP) is an easy and direct way to provoke self-assembly and is well-suited for block copolymers with relatively low molar masses and relatively short insoluble blocks. The use of this technique was recently reported for the preparation of polymeric aggregates from a PVDF-*b*-PEG-*b*-PVDF BCP with similar block lengths [37]. Despite the very

crystalline PVDF hydrophobic block, it was shown that NP allowed the preparation of well-defined ovoidal crystalline structures. The structures obtained by the NP approach with the present P(VDF-*co*-HFP)-*b*-PEG-*b*-P(VDF-*co*-HFP) triblock copolymer using different solvents (DMF, acetone, and THF) and PEG selective solvents (ethanol and water) are shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4. TEM images of self-assembled structures obtained by nanoprecipitation of 1 mg mL⁻¹ solutions of the P(VDF-*co*-HFP)-*b*-PEG-*b*-P(VDF-*co*-HFP) triblock copolymer in: (**a**) DMF, (**b**) acetone, (**c**) THF, employing ethanol as the selective solvent for PEG and (**d**) DMF, (**e**) acetone, (**f**) THF, employing water as the selective solvent for PEG. Final concentration of all samples = 0.09 mg mL⁻¹. Solvent: selective-solvent final ratio = 1:10.

Nanoprecipitation of DMF solutions into ethanol led to the formation of relatively ill-defined roughly spherical aggregates with sizes ranging from 20 to 200 nm according to TEM (Figure 4a), and average hydrodynamic diameters of around 195 nm (Figure S13b). In contrast, NP of the triblock copolymer from an acetone solution using the same selective solvent produced micrometric (up to 5 μ m) sheet-like structures (Figure 4b). The THF: ethanol system; however, induced the formation of well-defined square and rectangular aggregates with sizes comprised between 120 and 300 nm.

When water was employed as the selective solvent for PEG, the BCP self-assembled morphologies obtained using the NP protocol were micrometer-long fibers with diameters of about 60–250 nm (Figure 4d). In comparison, fibers (micron size), micrometric flat pebble-shaped aggregates, and clusters of spherical and ovoidal aggregates (up to 300 nm in size) (Figure 4f) were formed when DMF, acetone, and THF were used as the good solvents, respectively.

The self-assembly results of the micellization and nanoprecipitation protocols reveal the following trends: 1) the DMF: water system favors the formation of fiber-like structures, 2) the acetone system (both with ethanol and water as the selective solvent for PEG) produce sheet-like morphologies. The THF system only leads to defined aggregates when the NP approach was employed. Unsurprisingly, none of these systems and self-assembly protocols afforded any control over the size, length, or shape of the self-assembled aggregates.

2.2.4. Temperature-Induced Crystallization-Driven Self-Assembly (TI-CDSA)

Temperature-induced crystallization driven self-assembly appears to be interesting to gain some control over the preparation of aggregates formed from crystalline-coil BCPs in a selective solvent [18,19]. Samples leading to poorly defined structures (from the micellization and nanoprecipitation approaches) were, thus selected to study the influence of heating and ultrasound treatment followed by slow controlled cooling on the size and shape of the self-assembled morphologies.

Closed vials containing sharp-edge ovoids (Figure 3a), fibers (Figure 3c), or platelets (Figure 3d) were placed in oil baths and heated at 70 °C for 1 h under stirring then placed in an ultrasound bath at the same temperature for 10 min. The solutions were slowly cooled down to room temperature at $5 \text{ °C } \text{h}^{-1}$ and aged 12 h before the preparation of the TEM grids.

The higher temperature increased the solubility of the aggregates in the mixed solvent media (note that all the samples were in 1:6 solvent: selective solvent mixtures). The sonication also helped to solubilize the remaining aggregates (if there were any). The slow cooling step was used to induce the formation of BCP aggregates. The structures observed by TEM are shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5. TEM images of the self-assembled structures obtained after micellization and thermal annealing: Images (**a**–**c**) correspond to samples 3a, 3c, and 3d, respectively.

Thermal annealing had a significant effect on the self-assembled structures. The sharp-edged ovoids turned into smoother round edge rod-like aggregates. The crystallinity of these aggregates was confirmed by Selected Area Electron Diffraction (SAED) measurements carried out during TEM analysis (Figure S12b). However, the crystallinity of the fluoropolymer core could not be confirmed as the signals of the PEG crystals formed during the TEM grid preparation masked those of the P(VDF-*co*-HFP) copolymer (as mentioned earlier, the crystallinity of PEG is much higher than that of P(VDF-*co*-HFP)). The fibers initially formed by micellization in the DMF: water system got shorter (10 μ m) and isolated after the annealing process. The platelets formed in the acetone: water mixture were changed into rectangular flat rod-like structures with lengths of up to 5 μ m and widths between 180 and 500 nm after annealing. The SAED measurement did not detect any crystallinity in this case, probably because of fast amorphization under the microscope electron beam.

A second temperature treatment, above the melting temperature of both blocks, was also investigated. The melting of the core-forming block followed by slow cooling should also modify the self-assembled structures and may provide some control. Octanol and THF were chosen as the selective solvent for PEG and the common solvent, respectively. The nanoprecipitation protocol using this THF: octanol system led to the formation of well-defined small (10–25 nm) square aggregates (Figure 6a).



Figure 6. TEM images of self-assembled P(VDF-*co*-HFP)-*b*-PEG-*b*-P(VDF-*co*-HFP) triblock copolymer using nanoprecipitation in octanol from a 1 mg mL⁻¹ THF solution: (**a**) Final concentration 0.1 mg mL⁻¹. The solution was heated to 180 °C for 1 h and slowly cooled down to room temperature. Structures observed after: (**b**) 0 h, (**c**,**d**) 12 h, and (**e**,**f**) one week.

This suspension was then heated to 180 °C for 20 min and sonicated for 10 min to ensure the melting of the P(VDF-*co*-HFP) core-forming block. This hot triblock suspension was immediately examined by TEM, which revealed the presence of small ill-defined aggregates (Figure 6b), likely formed during the TEM grids preparation (due to the fast temperature drop and the drying). The triblock suspension was then cooled down to an ambient temperature at 5 °C h⁻¹, and TEM samples were prepared and observed after an aging period of 12 h (Figure 6c,d) and one week (Figure 6e,f). These TEM images showed that larger square-shaped aggregates started to form after 12 h, and well-defined square morphologies were formed after one week of aging. The analysis of 50 of these square morphologies allowed the determination of their average diagonal size: 764 ± 133 nm. Moreover, the TEM images suggest that these square structures grew from a square shape in agreement with the hypothesis that the unit cell (initial seed) dictates the shape of the crystalline aggregates as described by Han and co-workers [62]. However, since the SAED patterns (Figure S12c) of these aggregates only showed signals from the PEG segments, the determination of the unit cell dimensions was not possible.

Unlike other self-assembly processes, which mainly rely on the solvent affinity for the core-forming block, the formation of these nanostructures appears to be governed by the interplay between the crystallization of the P(VDF-*co*-HFP) core after annealing and the solubility of the BCP in the solvent mixtures at the annealing temperatures. Our hypothesis to understand these CDSA results is that when

good solubility is achieved, the block copolymers present as unimers dissolved in the solvent (1-octanol or water: acetone (1:6)) slowly crystallize during the slow cooling step. This slow crystallization reduces the number of crystal defects and ultimately promotes the formation of well-defined structures, such as those shown in Figures 5c and 6e,f. In contrast, when complete solubility is not attained (due to poor solubility or inefficient thermal annealing) such as in DMF/ethanol or DMF/water mixtures, the triblock copolymer forms bigger aggregates that eventually act as seeds for crystal growth (Figure 5a,b). These less defined ovoidal aggregates (Figure 5a) or fibers (Figure 5b) look similar to the structures obtained before thermal annealing (Figure 3a,c, respectively). In previous studies, such crystal growth from a well-defined initial seed is described as epitaxial growth where a unimer exchange process takes place akin to the well-established CDSA principle [16].

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Materials

All reagents were used as received unless otherwise stated. 1,1-Difluoroethylene (vinylidene fluoride, VDF) and hexafluoropropylene (HFP) were supplied by Arkema (Pierre-Bénite, France). *O*-Ethyl-*S*-(1-methoxycarbonyl) ethyldithiocarbonate (CTA_{XA}) was prepared according to the method described by Liu et al. [63] *tert*-Amyl peroxy-2-ethylhexanoate (Trigonox 121, purity 95%) was purchased from AkzoNobel (Chalons-en-Champagne, France). PEG₆₀₀₀, Ethanol (EtOH), 1-octanol, acetone, *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (DMF) tetrahydrofuran (THF), dimethyl carbonate (DMC), and pentane, were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Quentin Fallavier, FR). Deuterated solvents were purchased from Eurisotop.

3.2. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR)

The NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV III HD Spectrometer (300 or 400 MHz for ¹H and 282 or 376 MHz for ¹⁹F).

Coupling constants and chemical shifts are given in hertz (Hz) and parts per million (ppm), respectively. The experimental conditions for recording ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR spectra were as follows: flip angle, 30°; acquisition time, 4 s; pulse delay, 1 s; the number of scans, 16 (or 32 for ¹⁹F); and pulse widths of 9.25 (P [1] from Pulse) and 11.4 μ s for ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR, respectively.

3.3. Size-Exclusion Chromatography (SEC)

Size-exclusion chromatograms were recorded using a GPC system from Agilent Technologies with its corresponding Agilent software, dedicated to multi-detector GPC calculation. The system used two PL1113-6300 ResiPore 3 μ m 300 \times 7.5 mm columns with DMF as the eluent with a flow rate of 1 mL/min and toluene as flow rate marker. The detector suite comprised a PL0390-06034 capillary viscometer, and a 390-LC PL0390-0601 refractive index detector. The entire SEC-HPLC system was thermostated at 35 °C. Low dispersity PMMA standards were used for the calibration. The typical sample concentration was 10 mg/mL. The molar masses and dispersity of the polymers were measured using universal calibration.

3.4. Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)

DSC measurements were performed on 2–3 mg samples on a TA Instruments DSC Q20 equipped with an RCS90 cooling system. For all measurements, the following heating/cooling cycle was employed: cooling from 40 °C to -73 °C, isotherm at -73 °C for 5 min, the first heating ramp from -73 °C to 250 °C at 10 °C/min, isotherm at 250 °C for 5 min, cooling stage from 250 °C to -73 °C at 10 °C/min, isotherm plateau at -73 °C for 1 min, the second heating ramp from -73 °C to 250 °C at 10 °C/min, isotherm at 250 °C for 1 min, the second heating ramp from -73 °C to 250 °C at 10 °C/min, isotherm at 250 °C for 1 min, and the last cooling stage from 250 °C to 40 °C. The calibration of the instrument was performed with noble metals and checked before analysis with an indium sample. Melting points were determined at the maximum of the enthalpy peaks.

3.5. Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)

TGA analyses were carried out with a TA Instruments TGA G500 from 20 °C to 800 °C. A heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ was used under an air atmosphere with a flow rate of 60 mL min⁻¹. Dry samples weighing approximately 3 mg were used.

3.6. Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)

TEM studies were conducted using a JEOL 1400+ instrument equipped with a numerical camera, operating with a 120-kV acceleration voltage at 25 °C. To prepare TEM samples, a drop (10.0 μ L) of the micellar solution was placed onto a Formvar/Carbon coated copper grid for 60 s, blotted with filter paper, and dried under ambient conditions. All TEM grids were prepared from self-assembly experiment solutions without further dilution.

3.7. X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)

XRD powder patterns were carried out on a Philips X'pert Pro MPD diffractometer by using Ni-filtered CuK α 1 radiation (λ = 1.5406 Å) in Bragg–Brentano scanning mode with a 2 θ angle range from 5–60°, and a time per step of 50 s.

3.8. Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS)

DLS measurements of polymer solutions were carried out in a LitesizerTM 500 de Anton Paar using a quartz cuvette at 25 °C.

3.9. Synthesis

3.9.1. P(VDF₅₁-co-HFP₄)-XA Synthesis in Autoclave

The copolymerization of VDF and HFP was performed in a 100 mL Hastelloy Parr autoclave system (HC 276) equipped with a mechanical Hastelloy stirring system, a rupture disk (3000 PSI), inlet and outlet valves, and a Parr electronic controller to regulate the stirring speed and heating (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1. Synthesis of P(VDF-co-HFP) copolymer by RAFT.

A solution of Trigonox 121 (158 mg, 6.87×10^{-4} mol) and CTA-XA (1.30 g, 6.25×10^{-3} mol) in DMC (60 mL), was degassed by N₂ bubbling during 30 min. Prior to the reaction, the autoclave was pressurized with 30 bar of nitrogen to check for leaks. The autoclave was then put under vacuum (20×10^{-3} mbar) for 30 min to remove any trace of oxygen. The homogeneous DMC solution was introduced into the autoclave using a funnel, VDF gas (19.0 g, 2.97×10^{-1} mol) was transferred in the autoclave at a low temperature then HFP gas (8.0 g, 0.53×10^{-1} mol) was transferred following the same procedure, and the reactor was gradually heated to 73 °C. The reaction was stopped after 20 h. The autoclave was cooled down to room temperature (ca. 20 °C), purged from the residual monomers, and DMC was removed under vacuum. The crude product was dissolved in 30 mL of warm acetone (ca. 40 °C), and left under vigorous stirring for 30 minutes. This polymer solution was then precipitated from 400 mL of chilled pentane. The precipitated polymer (white powder) was filtered through a filter funnel and dried under vacuum (15×10^{-3} mbar) for two hours at 50 °C. The polymerization

¹H NMR (300 MHz (CD₃)₂CO, δ (ppm), Figure S1): 1.24 (d, -CH(CH₃)(C=O)-, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.1 Hz, 2.31 H), 1.32 (d, -CH(CH₃)(C=O)-, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.2 Hz, 0.67 H), 1.46 (t, -S(C=S)O-CH₂-CH₃, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.1 Hz, 3 H), 2.37 (m, -CF₂-CH₂-CH₂-CF₂-, VDF-VDF TT reverse addition, 3.2 H), 2.81 (s, -CH(CH₃)(C=O)-, 1 H), 2.84–3.50 (m, -CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-, VDF-VDF HT and VDF-HFP regular addition, 95.92 H), 3.67–3.70 (s, -(C=O)-O-CH₃), 3 H), 4.12 (t, -CF₂-CH₂-S(C=S)OEt, ³*J*_{HF} = 17.7 Hz, 2H), 4.74 (q, (-S(C=S)OCH₂-CH₃, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 6.06–6.53 (m, -CH₂-CF₂-H and -CF(CF₃)H).

¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz (CD₃)₂CO, δ (ppm), Figure S2): -183.65--183.75 (-CF₂CF(CF₃)-), -118.13 (-CF₂CF(CF₃)-), -115.65 (-CH₂-CF₂-CF₂-CH₂-CH₂-, VDF-VDF HH reverse addition), -115.00--114.00 (CH₂-CF₂-H), -113.36 (-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CH₂-, VDF-VDF HH reverse addition), -113.09 (CH₂-CF₂-CF₂-CH₂-S-), -112.67 (-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-S-), -109.92 (-CH₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-VDF-HFP regular addition), -103.01 (-CF₂-CH₃), -94.77 (-CH₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-, TT reverse addition), -93.50 (-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CH(CH₃)(C=O)-), -91.92 (-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂H), -91.43 (-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF₃)-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF(CF

3.9.2. PEG₁₃₆-DA Synthesis

Polyethylene glycol diacrylate (PEG-DA) synthesis was prepared following the protocol described elsewhere [37]. One equivalent of PEG_{6000} and an excess of ten equivalents of acryloyl chloride were dissolved in DCM in a round bottom flask at room temperature. Then, trimethylamine (4 eq.) was added dropwise, and the reaction was stirred. The reaction was complete in 60 h, and the product was filtered off on Celite, precipitated in cold diethyl ether and dried under vacuum.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, (CD₃)₂SO) δ (ppm)): 6.43 (d, -CH=CH₂, ³*J*_{HH} =17.3 Hz, 2 H), 6.16 (dd, -C=CH-C=O, ³*J*_{HH} = 17.4 Hz and 10.4 Hz, 2 H), 5.85 (d, -CH=CH₂, ³*J*_{HH} = 10.4 Hz, 2 H), 4.23 (m, -(C=O)-O-CH₂-CH₂-O, 2 H), 3.4–3.8 (m, -CH₂-CH₂-O).

3.9.3. P(VDF-co-HFP)-b-PEG-b-P(VDF-co-HFP) Synthesis

The aminolysis and subs*equent Michael addition were conducted using a one-pot protocol described by Guerre et al. [44]. P(VDF₅₁-*co*-HFP₄)-XA (5.000 g, 1.35 mmol) and PEGDA₁₃₆ (4.05 g, 0.67 mmol) were dissolved in DMF (115 mL). The mixture was degassed with N₂ for 10 min. A degassed mixture of hexylamine (0.546 g, 5.40 mmol), triethylamine (0.205 g, 2.15 mmol), and dimethylphenylphosphine (DMPP) (0.01 mL, 6.75×10^{-2} mmol) in 2 mL of DMF was injected into the reaction mixture. N₂ was bubbled into the reaction mixture for another 10 min. The mixture was stirred for 16 h at 25 °C until the reaction was complete and no unreacted acrylate could be detected by ¹H NMR. The product was then precipitated twice in cold diethyl ether, and dried under a high vacuum at 70 °C until constant weight to remove traces of DMF.

¹H NMR (400 MHz (CD₃)₂SO, δ (ppm), Figure S6): 1.13–1,18 (d, -CH(CH₃)(C=O)-), 2.17–2.33 (m, -CF₂-CH₂-CH₂-CF₂-, VDF-VDF TT reverse addition), 2.64–2.71 (m, -S-CH₂-CH₂(C=O)), 2.71–3.26 (t, -CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-, VDF-VDF HT regular addition), 3.40–3.65 (m, -O-CH₂-CH₂-), 3.61 (s, -(C=O)-O-CH₃), 3.66–3.72 (m, -C(C=O)-O-CH₂-CH₂) 4.08–4.19 (-C(C=O)-O-CH₂-CH₂).

¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz (CD₃)₂CO, δ (ppm), Figure S7): -183.38 (-CF₂CF(CF₃)-), -117.61 (-CF₂CF(CF₃)-), -115.15 (-CH₂-CF₂-CF₂-CH₂-CH₂-, VDF-VDF HH reverse addition), -113.78 (CH₂-CF₂-CF₂-CH₂-S-), -112.87 (-CH₂-CF₂-CF₂-CH₂-, VDF-VDF HH reverse addition), -112.25 (-CH₂-CF₂-CF₂-CH₂-S-), -109.34 (-CH₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CH₂-, VDF-HFP regular addition), -102.49 (-CF₂-CH₃), -93.82 (-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CH₂-, TT reverse addition), -92.77 (-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CH₂-CH₃)(C=O)-), -91.85 (-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CF₂-CH₂-CF

3.10. Self-Assembly

3.10.1. Preparation of the Block Copolymer Solutions

Stock solutions of 1 mg mL⁻¹ of block copolymer were prepared in DMF, acetone, or THF, and heated at 70 °C for 1 h under magnetic stirring to complete polymer dissolution.

3.10.2. Nanoprecipitation

Glass vials containing 2 mL of non-solvent (water, ethanol, or octanol) and magnetic bars were placed on stirring plates. To each vial, 0.1 mL of block copolymer solution (1 mg mL⁻¹) (in DMF, acetone, or THF) were added dropwise under vigorous stirring (maximum speed of the stirring plate). After 1 h of stirring, the TEM grids were prepared.

3.10.3. Micellization

Vials containing 0.5 mL of the stock solutions (1 mg mL⁻¹) in different solvents (DMF, acetone, and THF) were placed on a stirring plate. Non-solvent (water, ethanol, or octanol; 2, 3, or 4 mL) was added dropwise using a syringe pump at a fixed rate of 4 mL h⁻¹ under gentle stirring. Ten microliters were taken to prepare TEM samples at 1:4, 1:6, and 1:8 solvent/non-solvent ratios.

3.10.4. Thin Film Hydration

A thin film of BCP was formed in a 25 mL round bottom flask by rotary evaporation of a 5 mg mL⁻¹ BCP acetone solution. After the solvent was completely removed, water (5 mL) was added to the round bottom flask and the thin film detached and broke into smaller pieces by handshaking. The stirring was pursued on a stirrer plate (set at maximum stirring speed). TEM samples were prepared after one day, and one week.

3.10.5. Temperature-Induced Crystallization-Driven Self-Assembly (TI-CDSA)

Micellar samples obtained by micellization in DMF:ethanol (1:6), DMF:water (1:6), and acetone:water (1:6) and by nanoprecipitation in THF:octanol (1:20) were heated (at 70 °C for ethanol and water samples and at 180 °C for octanol) for 1 h. The samples were then sonicated for 10 min to help the solubilization of the BCP in the solvents mixtures. The vials were then slowly cooled down at 5 °C/h and aged 12 h before preparing TEM grids.

4. Conclusions

An ABA P(VDF₅₁-*co*-HFP₄)-*b*-PEG₁₃₆-*b*-P(VDF₅₁-*co*-HFP₄) amphiphilic triblock copolymer was synthesized using an efficient one-pot aminolysis/thia-Michael addition of a P(VDF₅₁-*co*-HFP₄) prepared by RAFT polymerization and PEG diacrylate. This triblock copolymer was characterized by ¹H and, ¹⁹F-NMR spectroscopies, GPC, as well as TGA, DSC, and XRD. These characterizations proved that the coupling strategy was efficient to produce a relatively well-defined (low) triblock copolymer. The self-assembly behavior of this ABA triblock copolymer was then studied by TEM. This study demonstrated the strong impact of the self-assembly conditions on the self-assembled morphologies obtained. It also suggests that the Temperature-Induced Crystallization-Driven Self-Assembly (TI-CDSA) protocol applied to nanoparticles obtained under micellization conditions led to well-defined morphologies when the thermal annealing allowed complete dissolution of the aggregates and the slow crystallization of the self-assembled nanoobjects parameters such as size distribution or shape to guide further works involving semicrystalline amphiphilic fluoropolymers. Ultimately, such fluoropolymer-based self-assembled structures may find applications in membrane science or in organic electronic devices as nano/microactuators, for instance.

Supplementary Materials: The Supplementary Material file (pdf) available online include NMR spectra. Equations for % mol of HFP and VDF, DP and M_n determination. DSC thermograms. Calculations of degrees of crystallinity and other additional information associated with this paper.

Author Contributions: E.F.: Investigation, Writing-original draft preparation. M.M.: Investigation. V.L.: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing—review & editing, Funding acquisition. M.S.: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing—review and editing, Funding acquisition. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Institut Carnot Chimie Balard Cirimat, the LabEx CheMISyst (ANR-10-LABX-05-01), IEM, and ICGM.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank Arkema for providing VDF and HFP.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Kim, J.K.; Yang, S.Y.; Lee, Y.; Kim, Y. Functional nanomaterials based on block copolymer self-assembly. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* **2010**, *35*, 1325–1349. [CrossRef]
- Smart, T.; Lomas, H.; Massignani, M.; Flores-merino, M.V.; Perez, L.R.; Battaglia, G. Block copolymer nanostructures One of the most important classes of synthetic systems for creating. *Nanotoday* 2008, *3*, 38–46. [CrossRef]
- 3. Mai, Y.; Eisenberg, A. Self-assembly of block copolymers. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 5969–5985. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Crassous, J.J.; Schurtenberger, P.; Ballauff, M.; Mihut, A.M. Design of block copolymer micelles via crystallization. *Polymer* **2015**, *62*, A1–A13. [CrossRef]
- 5. Lotz, B.; Kovacs, A.J.; Bassett, G.A.; Keller, A. Properties of copolymers composed of one poly-ethylene-oxide and one polystyrene block. *Kolloid-Z. Z. Polym.* **1966**, *209*, 115–128. [CrossRef]
- Arno, M.C.; Inam, M.; Coe, Z.; Cambridge, G.; Macdougall, L.J.; Keogh, R.; Dove, A.P.; O'Reilly, R.K. Precision Epitaxy for Aqueous 1D and 2D Poly(ε-caprolactone) Assemblies. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2017, 139, 16980–16985. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tao, D.; Feng, C.; Cui, Y.; Yang, X.; Manners, I.; Winnik, M.A.; Huang, X. Monodisperse Fiber-like Micelles of Controlled Length and Composition with an Oligo(*p*-phenylenevinylene) Core via "Living" Crystallization-Driven Self-Assembly. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2017, *139*, 7136–7139. [CrossRef]
- 8. Yu, W.; Inam, M.; Jones, J.R.; Dove, A.P.; O'Reilly, R.K. Understanding the CDSA of poly(lactide) containing triblock copolymers. *Polym. Chem.* **2017**, *8*, 5504–5512. [CrossRef]
- Finnegan, J.R.; He, X.; Street, S.T.G.; Garcia-Hernandez, J.D.; Hayward, D.W.; Harniman, R.L.; Richardson, R.M.; Whittell, G.R.; Manners, I. Extending the Scope of "living" Crystallization-Driven Self-Assembly: Well-Defined 1D Micelles and Block Comicelles from Crystallizable Polycarbonate Block Copolymers. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 17127–17140. [CrossRef]
- 10. Shi, Z.; Wei, Y.; Zhu, C.; Sun, J.; Li, Z. Crystallization-Driven Two-Dimensional Nanosheet from Hierarchical Self-Assembly of Polypeptoid-Based Diblock Copolymers. *Macromolecules* **2018**, *51*, 6344–6351. [CrossRef]
- Shin, S.; Menk, F.; Kim, Y.; Lim, J.; Char, K.; Zentel, R.; Choi, T.L. Living Light-Induced Crystallization-Driven Self-Assembly for Rapid Preparation of Semiconducting Nanofibers. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2018, 140, 6088–6094. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Cha, Y.; Jarrett-Wilkins, C.; Rahman, M.A.; Zhu, T.; Sha, Y.; Manners, I.; Tang, C. Crystallization-Driven Self-Assembly of Metallo-Polyelectrolyte Block Copolymers with a Polycaprolactone Core-Forming Segment. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2019**, 835–840. [CrossRef]
- 13. Li, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Wu, L.; Yu, W.; Wilks, T.R.; Dove, A.P.; Ding, H.M.; O'Reilly, R.K.; Chen, G.; Jiang, M. Glyco-Platelets with Controlled Morphologies via Crystallization-Driven Self-Assembly and Their Shape-Dependent Interplay with Macrophages. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2019**, *8*, 596–602. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, Q.; He, Y.; Oliver, A.M.; Pearce, S.; Harniman, R.L.; Whittell, G.R.; Liu, Y.; Du, S.; Leng, J.; Manners, I. Low length dispersity fiber-like micelles from an A–B–A triblock copolymer with terminal crystallizable poly(ferrocenyldimethylsilane) segments via living crystallization-driven self-assembly. *Polym. Chem.* 2019. [CrossRef]

- 15. Guerin, G.; Rupar, P.; Molev, G.; Manners, I.; Jinnai, H.; Winnik, M.A. Lateral Growth of 1D Core-Crystalline Micelles upon Annealing in Solution. *Macromolecules* **2016**, *49*, 7004–7014. [CrossRef]
- Inam, M.; Cambridge, G.; Pitto-Barry, A.; Laker, Z.P.L.; Wilson, N.R.; Mathers, R.T.; Dove, A.P.; O'Reilly, R.K. 1D: Vs. 2D shape selectivity in the crystallization-driven self-assembly of polylactide block copolymers. *Chem. Sci.* 2017, *8*, 4223–4230. [CrossRef]
- 17. Qi, H.; Zhou, T.; Mei, S.; Chen, X.; Li, C.Y. Responsive Shape Change of Sub-5 nm Thin, Janus Polymer Nanoplates. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2016**, *5*, 651–655. [CrossRef]
- He, X.; He, Y.; Hsiao, M.S.; Harniman, R.L.; Pearce, S.; Winnik, M.A.; Manners, I. Complex and Hierarchical 2D Assemblies via Crystallization-Driven Self-Assembly of Poly(L-lactide) Homopolymers with Charged Termini. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 9221–9228. [CrossRef]
- Qiu, H.; Gao, Y.; Boott, C.E.; Gould, O.E.C.; Harniman, R.L.; Miles, M.J.; Webb, S.E.D.; Winnik, M.A.; Manners, I. Uniform patchy and hollow rectangular platelet micelles from crystallizable polymer blends. *Science* 2016, 352, 697–702. [CrossRef]
- 20. Wang, J.; Lu, Y.; Chen, Y. Fabrication of 2D surface-functional polymer platelets via crystallization-driven self-assembly of poly(ε-caprolactone)-contained block copolymers. *Polymer* **2019**, *160*, 196–203. [CrossRef]
- Ganda, S.; Dulle, M.; Drechsler, M.; Förster, B.; Förster, S.; Stenzel, M.H. Two-Dimensional Self-Assembled Structures of Highly Ordered Bioactive Crystalline-Based Block Copolymers. *Macromolecules* 2017, 50, 8544–8553. [CrossRef]
- 22. Hsiao, M.S.; Zheng, J.X.; Leng, S.; Van Horn, R.M.; Quirk, R.P.; Thomas, E.L.; Chen, H.L.; Hsiao, B.S.; Rong, L.; Lotz, B.; et al. Crystal orientation change and its origin in one-dimensional nanoconfinement constructed by polystyrene-block-poly(ethylene oxide) single crystal mats. *Macromolecules* **2008**, *41*, 8114–8123. [CrossRef]
- Yin, L.; Hillmyer, M.A. Disklike micelles in water from polyethylene-containing diblock copolymers. *Macromolecules* 2011, 44, 3021–3028. [CrossRef]
- 24. Yusoff, S.F.M.; Hsiao, M.S.; Schacher, F.H.; Winnik, M.A.; Manners, I. Formation of lenticular platelet micelles via the interplay of crystallization and chain stretching: Solution self-assembly of poly(ferrocenyldimethylsilane)-*Block*-poly(2-vinylpyridine) with a crystallizable core-forming metalloblock. *Macromolecules* **2012**, *45*, 3883–3891. [CrossRef]
- 25. Zhou, H.; Lu, Y.; Yu, Q.; Manners, I.; Winnik, M.A. Monitoring Collapse of Uniform Cylindrical Brushes with a Thermoresponsive Corona in Water. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2018**, *7*, 166–171. [CrossRef]
- 26. Barbosa, J.C.; Dias, J.P.; Lanceros-Méndez, S.; Costa, C.M. Recent advances in poly(vinylidene fluoride) and its copolymers for lithium-ion battery separators. *Membranes* **2018**, *8*. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ribeiro, C.; Costa, C.M.; Correia, D.M.; Nunes-Pereira, J.; Oliveira, J.; Martins, P.; Gonçalves, R.; Cardoso, V.F.; Lanceros-Méndez, S. Electroactive poly(vinylidene fluoride)-based structures for advanced applications. *Nat. Protoc.* 2018, *13*, 681–704. [CrossRef]
- 28. Yu, Y.; Sun, H.; Orbay, H.; Chen, F.; England, C.G.; Cai, W.; Wang, X. Biocompatibility and in vivo operation of implantable mesoporous PVDF-based nanogenerators. *Nano Energy* **2016**, *27*, 275–281. [CrossRef]
- Guerre, M.; Schmidt, J.; Talmon, Y.; Améduri, B.; Ladmiral, V. An amphiphilic poly(vinylidene fluoride)-*b*-poly(vinyl alcohol) block copolymer: synthesis and self-assembly in water. *Polym. Chem.* 2017, *8*, 1125–1128. [CrossRef]
- 30. Guerre, M.; Semsarilar, M.; Godiard, F.; Améduri, B.; Ladmiral, V. Polymerization-induced self-assembly of PVAc-*b*-PVDF block copolymers via RAFT dispersion polymerization of vinylidene fluoride in dimethyl carbonate. *Polym. Chem.* **2017**, *8*, 1477–1487. [CrossRef]
- Guerre, M.; Semsarilar, M.; Totée, C.; Silly, G.; Améduri, B.; Ladmiral, V. Self-assembly of poly(vinylidene fluoride)-*block*-poly(2-(dimethylamino)ethylmethacrylate) block copolymers prepared by CuAAC click coupling. *Polym. Chem.* 2017, 5203–5211. [CrossRef]
- Lopez, G.; Guerre, M.; Schmidt, J.; Talmon, Y.; Ladmiral, V.; Habas, J.-P.; Améduri, B. An amphiphilic PEG-b-PFPE-b-PEG triblock copolymer: Synthesis by CuAAC click chemistry and self-assembly in water. *Polym. Chem.* 2016, 7, 402–409. [CrossRef]
- Guerre, M.; Semsarilar, M.; Godiard, F.; Ameduri, B.; Ladmiral, V. An amphiphilic poly(vinylidene fluoride)-*b*-poly (vinyl alcohol) block copolymer: Synthesis and self-assembly in water. *Polym. Chem.* 2017, 9, 1477–1487. [CrossRef]
- 34. Guerre, M.; Campagne, B.; Gimello, O.; Parra, K.; Ameduri, B.; Ladmiral, V. Deeper Insight into the MADIX Polymerization of Vinylidene Fluoride. *Macromolecules* **2015**, *48*, 7810–7822. [CrossRef]

- 35. Guerre, M.; Lopez, G.; Soulestin, T.; Totée, C.; Améduri, B.; Silly, G.; Ladmiral, V. A Journey into the Microstructure of PVDF Made by RAFT. *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, *217*, 2275–2285. [CrossRef]
- 36. Guerre, M.; Rahaman, S.M.W.; Améduri, B.; Poli, R.; Ladmiral, V. Limits of Vinylidene Fluoride RAFT Polymerization. *Macromolecules* **2016**, *49*, 5386–5396. [CrossRef]
- Folgado, E.; Guerre, M.; Da Costa, A.; Ferri, A.; Addad, A.; Ladmiral, V.; Semsarilar, M. "One-Pot" Aminolysis/Thia-Michael Addition preparation of well-defined amphiphilic PVDF-b-PEG-b-PVDF triblock copolymers: Self-assembly behaviour in mixed solvents. *Polym. Chem.* 2020, *11*, 401–410. [CrossRef]
- Apostolides, D.E.; Patrickios, C.S.; Sakai, T.; Guerre, M.; Lopez, G.; Améduri, B.; Ladmiral, V.; Simon, M.; Gradzielski, M.; Clemens, D.; et al. Near-Model Amphiphilic Polymer Conetworks Based on Four-Arm Stars of Poly(vinylidene fluoride) and Poly(ethylene glycol): Synthesis and Characterization. *Macromolecules* 2018, 51, 2476–2488. [CrossRef]
- Guerre, M.; Uchiyama, M.; Folgado, E.; Semsarilar, M.; Améduri, B.; Satoh, K.; Kamigaito, M.; Ladmiral, V. Combination of Cationic and Radical RAFT Polymerizations: A Versatile Route to Well-Defined Poly(ethyl vinyl ether)-block-poly(vinylidene fluoride) Block Copolymers. ACS Macro Lett. 2017, 6, 393–398. [CrossRef]
- 40. Guerre, M.; Ameduri, B.; Ladmiral, V. RAFT synthesis of well-defined PVDF-*b*-PVAc block copolymers. *Polym. Chem.* **2016**, *7*, 6918–6933. [CrossRef]
- Twum, E.B.; McCord, E.F.; Fox, P.A.; Lyons, D.F.; Rinaldi, P.L. Characterization of backbone structures in poly(vinylidene fluoride-co-hexafluoropropylene) copolymers by multidimensional ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy. *Macromolecules* 2013, 46, 4892–4908. [CrossRef]
- 42. Ameduri, B. From vinylidene fluoride (VDF) to the applications of VDF-Containing polymers and copolymers: Recent developments and future trends. *Chem. Rev.* **2009**, *109*, 6632–6686. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Folgado, E.; Ladmiral, V.; Semsarilar, M. Towards permanent hydrophilic PVDF membranes. Amphiphilic PVDF-*b*-PEG-*b*-PVDF triblock copolymer as membrane additive. *Eur. Polym. J.* **2020**, *131*, 109708. [CrossRef]
- 44. Guerre, M.; Ameduri, B.; Ladmiral, V. One-pot synthesis of poly(vinylidene fluoride) methacrylate macromonomers via thia-Michael addition. *Polym. Chem.* **2016**, *7*, 441–450. [CrossRef]
- Mckee, J.R.; Ladmiral, V.; Niskanen, J.; Tenhu, H.; Armes, S.P. Synthesis of Sterically-Stabilized Polystyrene Latexes Using Well-Defined Thermoresponsive Poly(*N*-isopropylacrylamide) Macromonomers. *Macromolecules* 2011, 44, 7692–7703. [CrossRef]
- Lopez, G.; Guerre, M.; Améduri, B.; Habas, J.P.; Ladmiral, V. Photocrosslinked PVDF-based star polymer coatings: An all-in-one alternative to PVDF/PMMA blends for outdoor applications. *Polym. Chem.* 2017, *8*, 3045–3049. [CrossRef]
- 47. Zhang, H. Thin-Film Hydration Followed by Extrusion Method for Liposome Preparation. In *Liposomes. Methods in Molecular Biology;* D'Souza, G., Ed.; Humana Press: New York, NY, USA, 2017; Volume 1522.
- 48. Owen, S.C.; Chan, D.P.Y.; Shoichet, M.S. Polymeric micelle stability. Nano Today 2012, 7, 53–65. [CrossRef]
- Pustulka, K.M.; Wohl, A.R.; Lee, H.S.; Michel, A.R.; Han, J.; Hoye, T.R.; McCormick, A.V.; Panyam, J.; Macosko, C.W. Flash Nanoprecipitation: Particle Structure and Stability. *Mol. Pharm.* 2013, 10, 4367–4377. [CrossRef]
- 50. Zinn, T.; Willner, L.; Pipich, V.; Richter, D.; Lund, R. Molecular Exchange Kinetics of Micelles: Corona Chain Length Dependence. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2016**, *5*, 884–888. [CrossRef]
- Foster, J.C.; Varlas, S.; Couturaud, B.; Coe, Z.; O'Reilly, R.K. Getting into Shape: Reflections on a New Generation of Cylindrical Nanostructures' Self-Assembly Using Polymer Building Blocks. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2019, 141, 2742–2753. [CrossRef]
- 52. Yan, Y.; Huang, J.; Tang, B.Z. Kinetic trapping—A strategy for directing the self-assembly of unique functional nanostructures. *Chem. Commun.* **2016**, *52*, 11870–11884. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Qiu, H.; Gao, Y.; Du, V.A.; Harniman, R.; Winnik, M.A.; Manners, I. Branched Micelles by Living Crystallization-Driven Block Copolymer Self-Assembly under Kinetic Control. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 2375–2385. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Tao, J.; Chow, S.F.; Zheng, Y. Application of flash nanoprecipitation to fabricate poorly water-soluble drug nanoparticles. *Acta Pharm. Sin. B* 2019, *9*, 4–18. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Grundy, L.S.; Lee, V.E.; Li, N.; Sosa, C.; Mulhearn, W.D.; Liu, R.; Register, R.A.; Nikoubashman, A.; Prud'homme, R.K.; Panagiotopoulos, A.Z.; et al. Rapid Production of Internally Structured Colloids by Flash Nanoprecipitation of Block Copolymer Blends. *ACS Nano* **2018**, *12*, 4660–4668. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 56. Giardiello, M.; Hatton, F.L.; Slater, R.A.; Chambon, P.; North, J.; Peacock, A.K.; He, T.; McDonald, T.O.; Owen, A.; Rannard, S.P. Stable, polymer-directed and SPION-nucleated magnetic amphiphilic block copolymer nanoprecipitates with readily reversible assembly in magnetic fields. *Nanoscale* 2016, *8*, 7224–7231. [CrossRef]
- 57. Liu, Y.; Yang, G.; Zou, D.; Hui, Y.; Nigam, K.; Middelberg, A.P.J.; Zhao, C.-X. Formulation of Nanoparticles Using Mixing-Induced Nanoprecipitation for Drug Delivery. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2020**, *59*, 4134–4149. [CrossRef]
- Jiang, Z.; Liu, H.; He, H.; Ribbe, A.E.; Thayumanavan, S. Blended Assemblies of Amphiphilic Random and Block Copolymers for Tunable Encapsulation and Release of Hydrophobic Guest Molecules. *Macromolecules* 2020, 53, 2713–2723. [CrossRef]
- 59. Liu, Y.; Yang, G.; Baby, T.; Chen, D.; Weitz, D.A.; Zhao, C.-X. Stable Polymer Nanoparticles with Exceptionally High Drug Loading by Sequential Nanoprecipitation. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 4720–4728. [CrossRef]
- 60. Macedo, A.S.; Carvalho, E.O.; Cardoso, V.F.; Correia, D.M.; Tubio, C.R.; Fidalgo-Marijuan, A.; Botelho, G.; Lanceros-Méndez, S. Tailoring electroactive poly(vinylidene fluoride-co-trifluoroethylene) microspheres by a nanoprecipitation method. *Mater. Lett.* **2020**, *261*, 127018. [CrossRef]
- 61. Wang, Y.; Li, P.; Tran, T.T.D.; Zhang, J.; Kong, L. Manufacturing techniques and surface engineering of polymer based nanoparticles for targeted drug delivery to cancer. *Nanomaterials* **2016**, *6*. [CrossRef]
- Han, L.; Wang, M.; Jia, X.; Chen, W.; Qian, H.; He, F. Uniform two-dimensional square assemblies from conjugated block copolymers driven by π-π Interactions with controllable sizes. *Nat. Commun.* 2018, 9, 1–12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Liu, X.; Coutelier, O.; Harrisson, S.; Tassaing, T.; Marty, J.; Destarac, M. Enhanced Solubility of Polyvinyl Esters in scCO 2 by Means of Vinyl Tri fl uorobutyrate Monomer. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2015**, *4*, 89–93. [CrossRef]

Sample Availability: Samples of the compounds are available from the authors.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).