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Abstract
This review aims at updating various studies to design BaTiO$_3$@polymer/Fluoropolymer nanocomposites, to study their properties and performances and to supply their applications. Dielectric nanocomposite materials with high energy density exhibit promising performances for energy storage applications. Major efforts have been performed to combine the efficient properties and high dielectric constant of ceramics with the flexibility and easy processing of polymers. Actually, the dielectric properties of the nanocomposite are influenced by the dielectric features of both ceramic and polymer. Thus, the choice of the two components become crucial in turning the desired properties. Another important factor is the modification strategy to get such nanocomposites, where the major routes are the “grafting from”, “grafting onto” and melt process while most fluoropolymers studied are polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF), VDF containing-copolymers and terpolymers and poly(acrylates) bearing fluoroalkyl side groups. Additionally, fluorinated silanes have also been involved in sol-gel chemistry to decorate BaTiO$_3$ surface, as well as tetrafluorophthalic acid or fluorinated dopamine did. This review also summaries the current state of high energy density nanocomposites based on BaTiO$_3$ as a ceramic nanofiller and fluoropolymer as matrix.
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PHEMA: poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate)
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I. Introduction

A nanocomposite is a multiphase material in which one of the components has at least one dimension of a nanometric scale. These nanocomposite materials can be classified according to their matrix group to ceramic, metal or polymer matrix nanocomposite [1]. For the last category that is of interests in the present review, the system consists on polymer matrix containing nanofillers dispersed in it, which results in outstanding properties, resulting in nanocomposite with high potentials [2,3].

In the recent years, dielectric nanocomposites with high energy density have received a great interest because of their wide range use in several applications such as electronic industry [4–9]. Generally, the energy density of a dielectric material can be illustrated as \( U = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r E^2 \) where \( \varepsilon_0 \), \( \varepsilon_r \) and \( E \) are the permittivity of the free space (8.854 x10^-14 F/cm), relative permittivity and electric breakdown strength, respectively. Thus, obtaining high energy densities can be achieved either by increasing the relative permittivity and/or the electric breakdown strength of the material. Great efforts have been made to achieve both high dielectric permittivity and high breakdown strength. In fact, high permittivities could be obtained with ceramic nanoparticles and the most studied one is BaTiO_3 (~3000) [10–15], while high breakdown strength could be achieved with polymers like PVDF and VDF-copolymers (5000 KV/cm) [16–18]. By combining both these materials, a high energy density nanocomposite could be obtained. So far, a large number of polymers have been used in the synthesis of dielectric nanocomposites by introducing BaTiO_3 nanoparticles into fluoropolymer matrices (e.g., PVDF [19–21], and VDF copolymers such as poly(vinylidene fluoride-co-trifluoroethylene) poly(VDF-co-TrFE) [22], poly(vinylidene fluoride-co-chlorotrifluoroethylene) poly(VDF-co-CTFE),[23] poly(vinylidene fluoride-ter-trifluoroethylene-ter-chlorotrifluoroethylene)) or non-fluoropolymer matrices (e.g., poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA)[24] or poly(glycidyl methacrylate) (PGMA) [25]). Actually, PVDF and VDF copolymers have received particular attention due to the fact that they present the highest dielectric constants among all polymeric materials (6-12) thanks to the strong C-F dipole moment [26–28].

Apart from the polymeric matrix and the ceramic nanofiller, the interface between the different components plays a major role in the dielectric properties. Thus, it
is considered as a third phase in the nanocomposite system [29]. Todd et al. [30] developed a model called the “interphase power law” in order to study the complex permittivity of the composite system. To do so, this model uses the permittivities and the volume fractions of the filler, polymer and the interface region. The suggested model provides insight into the role of the interface and its effect on dielectric permittivity. Tanaka et al. [31] proposed a model to understand the dielectric properties of a nanocomposite material (Fig. 1). They considered that the interface of a spherical inorganic nanoparticle embedded into a polymer matrix consists on three layers:

(i) The bonded layer (~ 1 nm): this layer corresponds to a transition layer where the polymer and the nanoparticles are bonded by coupling agent such as a silane.
(ii) The bound layer (several nm): called also the interfacial layer, where the polymer chains are strongly bounded and/or interacted to both the bond layer and the nanoparticle surface.
(iii) The loose layer (several tens of nm): this region has a poor interaction with the second layer.

**Fig. 1.** Multi-core model for nano-particle – polymer interfaces. Reproduced with permission from [31]. Copyright 2005 IEEE Xplore Digital library.

Thus, the interfacial region between the nanoparticles and the polymer must be considered since it contributes in the dielectric properties of the nanocomposite. Therefore, in addition to the choice of the nanoparticle and the polymer matrix, the
selection of an appropriate pre-treatment of nanoparticles is crucial to obtain high energy density nanocomposites.

This review summarizes the recent work established on nanocomposites based on barium titanate (BT) as a filler and fluoropolymer as a matrix. After reminding the synthesis and properties of BT and fluoropolymers in the two first parts, the different strategies used to produce such nanocomposites are summarized in a third one. The fourth section highlights the importance of the surface treatment of nanoparticles by fluorinated agents or polymers, and then reports surveys on the design of nanocomposites made by one core of BT and double shell of polymer named as “core@double shell” system. The fifth part will cover the applications followed by the conclusion and perspectives.

II. BaTiO$_3$ ceramic fillers

II.1. Structure and crystallization

Barium titanate (BT) is one of the best ferroelectric ceramic and a good candidate for a wide range of applications due to its excellent dielectric, ferroelectric and piezoelectric properties [32,33]. It is also a dielectric material that belongs to the group having a structure of perovskite material of chemical formula A(II)B(IV)O$_3$, whose crystalline structure is a face centered cube [34]. The divalent ions occupy the top corner of the cell while the tetravalent ions are placed at the center of the cube whereas O$^{2-}$ ions are located at the center of each face (Fig. 2a). However, as the temperature decreased, crystallographic changes in BaTiO$_3$ occur and it goes through successive phase transitions: it undergoes a paraelectric to ferroelectric transition to a tetragonal structure at 120 °C (Curie temperature, Tc), it is orthorhombic between -90 and 5 °C and, finally, it is rhombohedral below -90 °C (Fig. 2b) [35,36].
II.2. Synthesis of Barium titanate

BaTiO$_3$ presents a wide range of applications in the field of electronics thanks to its high dielectric permittivity [38–41]. In order to achieve the desired properties and practical applications, the quality of the BaTiO$_3$ powders is very important, which depends strongly on their synthesis method. Several routes to prepare BT have been reported by different authors, mentioned below.

II.2.1. Hydro/solvothermal synthesis

The hydro- or solvothermal method is a wet chemical preparation of BaTiO$_3$ powder that involves solutions, gels or suspensions subjected to temperatures and pressures ranging from room temperature to 1000 °C and from atmospheric pressure to 100 MPa, respectively [42–44]. The chemical synthesis utilizes the reaction of Ba(OH)$_2$ and a titanium source, according to reaction 1.

$$Ba(OH)_2 + TiO_2 \rightarrow BaTiO_3 + H_2O$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)
The synthesis process is carried out in stainless steel autoclave without any agitation, under heating and pressure. The final material exhibits different properties depending on the experimental conditions. As an example, Hao et al. [45] synthesized monodispersed BaTiO$_3$ and studied the effect of different parameters on the growth-up mechanism of BaTiO$_3$ nanocrystals. Temperature, considered as the most important factor that influences the reaction, was studied and it was found that the increase of temperature leads to a decrease of grain size of BaTiO$_3$ nanopowders. However, the opposite effect was observed with the concentration. The grain size of nanopowders was found to decrease by decreasing the concentration, as it was shown by SEM (Fig. 3).

**Fig. 3.** TEM images of the BaTiO$_3$ nanoparticles synthesized at 180 °C for 12 h at the concentration of (a) 3 mol/L, (b) 1.5 mol/L, (c) 0.75 mol/L. Reproduced with permission of [45]. Copyright 2013 The Ceramic Society of Japan.

### II.2.2. Solid state synthesis

The solid state is considered as the most conventional synthesis method that can be achieved from reaction between TiO$_2$ and BaCO$_3$ (equation 2). To get BaTiO$_3$, the reactants are first mixed in order to reduce agglomerate and promote their homogeneity, then, are subjected to high temperatures in the region of 1000 °C [46–48].

$$BaCO_3 + TiO_2 \rightarrow BaTiO_3 + CO_2$$

(2)

However, this method produces relatively coarse and agglomerated particles, which is not suitable for most applications. In order to get fine-grained powders, alternative processing methods have been developed with chemical routes using non-oxide precursors [49,50].
II.2.3. Sol-gel synthesis

Barium titanate could be also synthesized using sol-gel method to yield a crystalline material at much lower temperatures than usually required for solid-state reactions [51]. This method involves the use of hydrolysis to form gels from metal oxides before subjecting them on a post processing to obtain high purity BaTiO$_3$ [52–54]. As shown in Table 1, different starting materials could be used to obtain BaTiO$_3$. Mazdiyasni et al. [55] used barium isopropoxyde derived from high purity Ba metal, while Flaschen et al. [56] involved Ba(OH)$_2$. However, it is found that high purity Ba (99.99 %) could be achieved by using barium isopropoxyde as a starting material [52].

Table 1. Comparison of Starting Materials for the Synthesis of Barium Titanate. Reproduced with permission of [57]. Copyright 1988 American Ceramic Society.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Starting materials</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BaCO$_3$ and TiO$_2$</td>
<td>High-temperature process; lower purity; cheaper raw materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba(OH)$_2$ and Ti(OC$_3$H$_7$)$_4$</td>
<td>Ba alkoxide not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaO-derived precursor and Ti(OC$_3$H$_7$)$_4$</td>
<td>Reaction chemistry uncertain; effect of impurities needs to be studied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba-derived Ba(OC$_3$H$_7$)$_4$ and Ti(OC$_3$H$_7$)$_4$</td>
<td>Ultafine, stoichiometric and high-purity powders are obtained; expensive reagents needed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II.3. Dielectric properties

The properties of ferroelectric materials are closely related to their crystalline structure, which can be closely related to their size [58–60]. Indeed, the permittivity of the ferroelectric ceramic is also strongly dependent on the size of the grains. Zhao et al. [61] reported the effect of grain size of BaTiO$_3$ on its dielectric permittivity. Fig. 4 shows the variation of the dielectric permittivity of BaTiO$_3$ particles at different sizes. At 1200 nm, the profile is similar to the bulk material with a curie temperature (Tc) of about 120 °C, associated with a sharp transition from ferroelectric to paraelectric phase and $\varepsilon_{max}$ up to 5000. When the particle size decreases to 300 nm, the Tc decreases with a
wider phase transition and a \( \varepsilon_{\text{max}} \) around 3500. Finally, at 50 nm, no phase transition was observed and \( \varepsilon_{\text{max}} \) goes below 1000.

**Fig. 4.** Relative dielectric constant and \( \tan \delta \) at \( 10^4 \) Hz as a function of temperature of \( \text{BaTiO}_3 \) using different sizes (50-1200 nm). Reproduced with permission of [61]. Copyright 2004 American Physical Society.

Another factor that influences the dielectric permittivity of \( \text{BaTiO}_3 \) is the porosity. The effect of this parameter on dielectric properties was studied by Hsiang et al. [62] In fact, previous models have been reported (e.g., Niesel’s equation [63] and Maxwell relationship [64]), assuming that the dielectric constant of a matrix phase (\( \text{BaTiO}_3 \)) for porous ceramics to be constant while the effect of pores on dielectric constant can be neglected. Fig. 5 exhibits the dielectric constant of \( \text{BaTiO}_3 \) ceramics for different porosities. It was concluded that for porosity below 10 %, the experimental results were consistent with the values obtained from theoretical models. However, when the porosity was greater than 10 %, a deviation between the experimental and theoretical values was found [62].
Fig. 5. Values of dielectric constant of BaTiO$_3$ at room temperature for different porosities. Reproduced with permission of [62]. Copyright 1995 Japan Society of Applied Physics.

However, when the ceramic filler is added to a polymer matrix, the dielectric permittivity will depend on the dielectric properties of both phases. In fact, various models have been developed to predict the dielectric permittivity of composite material [65–67]. Maxwell-Garnet Equation is valid for a composite system with spherical particles and low filler loading. It can be expressed by equation (3):

$$\varepsilon_{\text{eff}} = \left[ 1 + \frac{3\varphi_f (\varepsilon_f - \varepsilon_m)}{\varphi_m (\varepsilon_f - \varepsilon_m) + 3\varepsilon_m} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}$ is the effective permittivity of the composite material, $\varepsilon_f$ and $\varepsilon_m$ correspond to the permittivities of the filler and polymer, respectively, whereas $\varphi_f$ and $\varphi_m$ stand for the volume fractions of filler and matrix, respectively. In fact, this model neglects the effect of the interphase between the filler and polymer matrix and this assumption will be valid for a micro-scale composite [67]. However, for nanocomposites materials, the effect of the interphase could not be longer negligible and must be taken into account.

Vo and Shi [68] proposed a model to describe the effect of the interphase region on the dielectric permittivity of the nanocomposite, taking into account the dielectric permittivities and volume fractions of polymer, nanofiller and interphase. The used
relationship to model the effective permittivity for nanocomposite materials containing three components (matrix, interphase region, and filler) can be supplied by equation (4):

\[ \varepsilon_c = \frac{h + 2l}{h - l} \]  

(4)

where:

\[ h = A - 2B - C \]  

(5)

and

\[ l = \frac{\varepsilon_3 - 1}{\varepsilon_3 + 2} A - \frac{(2\varepsilon_3 + 1)}{(\varepsilon_3 + 2)(2\varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_2)} m \left( \frac{b^3}{c^3} \right) \]  

(6)

with:

\[ A = 1 + 2 \frac{(\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_2)(\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1)}{(2\varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_2)(2\varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_1)} \left( \frac{a^3}{b^3} \right) \]  

(7)

\[ B = \frac{(\varepsilon_3 - 1)(\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_2)}{(\varepsilon_3 + 2)(2\varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_2)} \left( \frac{b^3}{c^3} \right) \]  

(8)

\[ C = \frac{(\varepsilon_3 - 1)(\varepsilon_3 + 2\varepsilon_2)(\varepsilon_2 - 1)}{(\varepsilon_3 + 2)(2\varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_2)(2\varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_1)} \left( \frac{a^3}{c^3} \right) \]  

(9)

\[ m = (\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_2) \frac{(\varepsilon_3 + 2\varepsilon_2)(\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1)}{(2\varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_1)} \left( \frac{a^3}{b^3} \right) \]  

(10)

\[ \frac{a^3}{b^3} = \frac{1 + k\varphi_F}{1 + k} \]  

(11)

\[ \frac{b^3}{c^3} = \varphi_F \left[ 1 + k \frac{(1 - \varphi_F)}{(1 + k\varphi_F)} \right] \]  

(12)

\[ \frac{a^3}{c^3} = \varphi_F \]  

(13)

\( \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \) and \( \varepsilon_3 \) stand for the dielectric permittivities of the ceramic nanoparticles, interphase and polymer matrix, respectively. \( \varphi_F \) represents the volume fraction of ceramic nanofillers, while \( k \) is the interphase volume constant, that reflects the matrix/filler interaction strength, where a value of zero designates an insignificant filler-
matrix interaction, while positive values indicate the presence of strong interactions [68].

III. Strategies of the design of core-shell BaTiO$_3@$polymer/Fluorinated polymer nanocomposites

The role of the polymer matrix is to receive the nanoscale reinforcements to provide the strength in the structure, to improve the properties, and to give the desired shape to the nanocomposite. Thus, these polymer shells will have a great effect on the physical properties of the nanocomposites including its dielectric permittivity. The best case is that the polymer shells exhibit high dielectric permittivity and a good compatibility with the nanofiller, resulting in significantly enhanced energy density of the resulting nanocomposites. Actually, the most studied polymer matrices are fluorinated polymers that display dielectric constants of about 10 at 1 kHz (Table 2) [69–72]. Although having many benefits, the final properties of the nanocomposite will not only depend on the constituting materials but also on the processing methods. Actually, different strategies are used to prepare polymer-based BaTiO$_3$ nanocomposite, as shown in Scheme 1.
Scheme 1. Schematic illustration of (a) the surface modification of BaTiO$_3$ and (b) the synthesis process of BaTiO$_3$ based polymer nanocomposites, where X stands for -Br (Atom transfer radical polymerization, ATRP); –SC(S)Z (Reversible addition fragmentation chain transfer agent polymerization, RAFT), Y stands for alkyne, R stands for Azide (click chemistry), while C is assigned to coupling agent (e.g; silane agent, dopamine, phosphonic acid...).

Generally, there are different synthetic strategies to get such nanocomposites, including hot pressing [73], spin coating [74] and melt stretching [75,76] (Scheme 1-b). However, before combining the inorganic fillers with the organic polymer matrix,
surface functionalization of the ceramic must be conducted in a first place. Actually, this surface modification is a crucial step in the synthesis process by allowing good compatibility and dispersion of ceramic nanofiller into polymer matrix (Scheme 1-a). Thus, the first step aims to chemically modify BaTiO$_3$ surface using the “grafting from”, “grafting onto” or blending process. The first strategy relies on the formation of the nanocomposites by means of controlled radical polymerization or reversible deactivation radical polymerization (RDRP) of monomers on initiator-functionalized nanoparticle surfaces. The second one consists of the formation of nanocomposites by grafting the pre-prepared polymer chains onto the nanoparticles surface via a reaction between the polymer end-groups and the functional groups on the nanoparticle surfaces. The last technique considers the formation of the nanocomposite by a simple casting or blending using the prepared polymer. These processes yield BaTiO$_3$ surface modified with polymer labeled as BaTiO$_3$@polymer.

In order to further improve the final properties of the prepared nanocomposites, the core-double shell approach could be used. It consists of a simple blending of BaTiO$_3$@polymer with a second polymer shell yielding a nanocomposite labeled as core-double shell system. The final nanocomposites could be then achieved by further processing such as hot pressing, spin coating and melt stretching (Scheme 1-b).

The most relevant routes reported in the literature for the design of core-shell BaTiO$_3$@polymer/Fluorinated polymers nanocomposites (Scheme 1-b) are solution blending or solution mixing between modified BaTiO$_3$ core (BaTiO$_3$@polymer) and fluorinated polymers as the shell. The materials obtained by casting were molded by hot pressing to prepare the sample films for mechanical, dielectric, and thermal properties.

However, melt-stretching and hot-pressing have also been used for the preparation of core-shell nanocomposites. In fact, Ghallabi et al. [77] reported the development of three-phase composites with carbon nanotube (MWCNT) and BaTiO$_3$ nanoparticles embedded into PVDF (BaTiO$_3$@MWCNT)/PVDF nanocomposites using Haake blending mixer and pressed by hot-molding technique. Ferri et al. [75] also reported the characterization of the nanoscale piezoelectric and ferroelectric behavior of stretched BaTiO$_3$/PVDF nanocomposites by means of the piezoelectric force microscopy (PFM) technique. First, BaTiO$_3$ was functionalized with nitrodoapamine
(NTD) leading to BaTiO$_3$@NTD modified structures. Then, the nanocomposites with functionalized nanoparticles and PVDF (labelled BaTiO$_3$@NTD/PVDF) were elaborated by blending solution in DMAc solvent. The material obtained by casting was then melt-compounded using a twin-screw micro-extruder and compression molded into a film of 100 μm thickness. The samples were stretched at a draw temperature of 90 °C up to a strain ε=100 % to promote the PVDF polar β crystal phase and thus enabled the polymer to display a piezoelectric response. Indeed, You et al. [76] reported another route of nanocomposites preparation by coupling in-situ polymerization and hot-stretching techniques. First, the polyaniline (PANI) functionalized barium titanate labelled BaTiO$_3$@PANI nanoparticles were prepared by in-situ polymerization of aniline monomer in the presence of BaTiO$_3$. Then, polyarylene Ether Nitrile (PEN)-based nanocomposite films with 40 wt% pure BT and BaTiO$_3$@PANI functionalized nanoparticles were prepared.

Table 2. Dielectric properties of different polymers. Reproduced with permission of [78]. Copyright 2016 American Chemical Society.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymers</th>
<th>Dielectric constant (1 kHz)</th>
<th>Loss tangent (1 kHz)</th>
<th>Dielectric strength (kV/cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LDPE</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high-density polyethylene (HDPE)</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.0002–0.0007</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOPP</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>7500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polystyrene</td>
<td>2.4–2.7</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pol(ethylene terephthalate) (PET)</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2750–3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMMA</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polyvinyl chloride (PVC)</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polyetheretherketone (PEEK)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.009 (100 kHz)</td>
<td>7500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poly(phthalazinone ether ketone)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td>4700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polycarbonate (PC)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>2520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epoxy</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>250–450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVDF</td>
<td>10-12</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1500–5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(VDF-TrFE) [79]</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(VDF-TrFE-CFE) [79,80]</td>
<td>21-55</td>
<td>0.09-0.1</td>
<td>59-660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymer</td>
<td>Chemical structure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDMS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyimide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyurethane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyvinyl alcohol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aromatic polyurea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. Structure and properties of core-shell BaTiO₃@polymer/Fluorinated polymer nanocomposites

IV.1. Fluoropolymer matrix

Because of their widespread use in many applications, fluoropolymers present a number of interesting properties including high thermal stability, hydrophobicity, improved chemical inertness and low surface tension [81–84]. Recently, many fluorinated polymers and copolymers have been widely used to the synthesis of BaTiO₃ based nanocomposites, including PVDF and VDF copolymers (Table 3) [20,27,85–90].

Table 3. Chemical structures of the most used fluoropolymers in dielectric nanocomposites.

Poly(vinylidene fluoride): PVDF

Poly(vinylidene fluoride-co-hexafluoropropylene)
Poly(VDF-co-HFP)

Poly(vinylidene fluoride-ter-trifluoroethylene-ter-chlorotrifluoroethylene): poly(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-CTFE)

Polyvinylidene fluoride is a semi-crystalline polymer, which contains 59.4 wt % of fluorine and 3 wt % hydrogen atoms [26]. It presents a complex structure that may
exist in five different crystalline phases and the most investigated ones are: α, β and γ phases (Fig. 6) [91]. Although each phase exhibits various properties, β phase was found to be the most interesting polymorph of PVDF for technological applications thanks to its piezoelectric, pyroelectric and ferroelectric properties that originate from the orientation of the strong dipole in –CH$_2$-CF$_2$ units along the polymeric chains [26].

![Schematic illustration of three crystalline phases of PVDF. Reproduced with permission of [91]. Copyright 2014 Elsevier.](image)

**Fig. 6.** Schematic illustration of three crystalline phases of PVDF. Reproduced with permission of [91]. Copyright 2014 Elsevier.

In order to improve the properties of PVDF and expand its field of applications, several works have been reported on the use of VDF copolymers [26,72,92] or even mixed with ceramics to form nanocomposite systems [93,94]. For example, poly(vinylidene fluoride-co-hexafluoropropene) poly(VDF-co-HFP), has been mostly used in Li-ion batteries as polymer electrolyte or even in production of membranes [95–97]. This interest arises from the fact that this copolymer is chemically inert and exhibits a lower crystallinity compared to that of PVDF [98]. Claude et al. [18] prepared poly(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-CTFE) terpolymer from the radical copolymerization of VDF and CTFE followed by the reductive dechlorination of CTFE units.

**Table 4.** Structural Characteristics of the prepared VDF- based ferroelectric co- and terpolymers. Reproduced with permission of [18]. Copyright 2008 American Chemical Society.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition (mol %)</th>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>VDF</th>
<th>TrFE</th>
<th>CTFE</th>
<th>$\chi_c$ (%)</th>
<th>$T_m$ (°C)</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_r$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4 shows that the introduction of 3.9 mol % of TrFE and 22.5 mol % of CTFE into the PVDF matrix decreases the crystallinity and melting temperature from 50-70% and 155-198 °C for PVDF to 14.4 % and 38 for poly(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-CTFE) terpolymer. Moreover, the highest permittivities were found in polymers 3 and 4 (Table 4) which indicate the effect and also the importance of molecular composition of terpolymers on the final properties of the system. Soulestin et al. [92] reviewed the synthesis and properties of poly(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-Monomer) terpolymers. The influence of polymerization strategies and types of termonomers used on the final properties were also discussed. It was concluded that CTFE and CFE are among the most used comonomers since they affect efficiently the crystalline lattice of poly(VDF-co-TrFE) terpolymers. Moreover, this terpolymer is considered to be one of the most promising materials thanks to its higher polarization, which is one of the most important features of ferroelectric polymers. Cho et al. [99] reported the formation of the β-phase in a poly(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-CTFE) film (PVDF:TrFE:CTFE = 62:31:7 in mol%) by solution annealing process at room temperature, by crystallizing the polymer below the curie temperature (Fig. 7). This method led to polymeric films with enhanced ferroelectricity compared to a thermally annealed one.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(\chi_c)</th>
<th>(T_m)</th>
<th>(\varepsilon_r)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\chi_c\), \(T_m\) and \(\varepsilon_r\) stand for crystallinity, melting temperature and relative permittivity, respectively.

**Fig. 7.** Illustration of the formation of the α and β phases formed using thermal and solvent annealing, respectively. Annealing at a temperature below the curie
temperature, using solvent annealing, forms a $\beta$-phase dominant film whereas a thermal annealing above the phase transition temperature forms an $\alpha$-phase dominant film. Reproduced with permission of [99]. Copyright 2016 Wiley.

**IV.2. Surface modification of BaTiO$_3$**

Barium titanate nanoparticles are often used as ceramic fillers for polymer matrix composites because they are one of the most commercially available high dielectric constant-materials. However, since untreated BaTiO$_3$ nanoparticles tend to form agglomerates, the good dispersion of the nanoparticle fillers into the polymer matrix and the compatibility between them are always the important factors conditioning the performances of the nanocomposites. Thereby, the surface functionalization is a crucial feature.

**IV-2-1. Modification of BaTiO$_3$ with fluorinated agent**

So far, several strategies have been proposed to prevent aggregation of BaTiO$_3$ nanoparticles inserted into the polymer matrix, including surface hydroxylation [100], phosphonic acid [74,101], dopamine [102,103] and coupling agents [104–106]. In order to highlight the importance of the hydroxylation step, Zhou et al. [100] reported a comparison between the dielectric properties of a nanocomposite based on a PVDF polymer matrix and raw (c-BT) or hydroxylated (h-BT) BaTiO$_3$ nanoparticles. The results showed that nanocomposites made by hydroxylated nanoparticles display a low temperature and frequency dependence. This suggests that the strong interactions between h-BT fillers and PVDF matrix is the main reason for improving dielectric properties. Dalle Vacche et al. [106] studied the effect of surface modification of BaTiO$_3$ with (3-aminopropyl) triethoxysilane (APTES) as a silane coupling agent on properties of poly(VDF-co-TrFE)/BaTiO$_3$ composites. The modified particles were well-dispersed in the polymer matrix than that of the unmodified ones leading to reduced aggregate and enhancing the compatibility with the poly(VDF-co-TrFE) matrix. In another work, Kim et al. [107] reported that surface modification of BaTiO$_3$ with phosphonic acid formed well-ordered nanoparticles into poly(VDF-co-HFP) matrix leading to high dielectric constant (\(\varepsilon = 43\)) and high breakdown strength (210 V $\mu$m$^{-1}$).
However, in order to get better interfacial interactions between the nanofiller and the fluoropolymer, fluorinated modifiers are more preferred as they contain fluorine atoms in their end chains. To study the influence on the compatibility with fluorine polymers, Ehrhardt et al. [108] used n-octyl phosphonic acid (OPA) and pentafluorobenzylphosphonic acid (PFBPA) to modify the surface of BaTiO$_3$. The phosphonic acid coated BaTiO$_3$ were then dispersed in solutions of poly(VDF-co-HFP) copolymer to form nanocomposites by spin coating technique.

![Graph showing relative permittivity and loss tangent vs. frequency for BT/Poly(VDF-co-HFP) composite films prepared with 30 vol.% BaTiO$_3$ coated with pentafluorobenzyl phosphonic acid (BT-PFBPA) and octylphosphonic acid (BT-OPA). Reproduced with permission of [108]. Copyright 2013 Elsevier.](image)

**Fig. 8.** Relative permittivity of BT/Poly(VDF-co-HFP) composite films prepared with 30 vol.% BaTiO$_3$ coated with pentafluorobenzyl phosphonic acid (BT-PFBPA) and octylphosphonic acid (BT-OPA). Reproduced with permission of [108]. Copyright 2013 Elsevier.

Results show that the phosphonic acid with a fluorinated organic host gives rise to a slightly increased of relative permittivity of the resulting composite materials compared to the one containing n-octyl phosphonic acid (Fig. 8). This suggests that pentafluorobenzylphosphonic acid provides a better chemical compatibility with poly(VDF-co-HFP).

PFBPA was used in another survey to modify BaTiO$_3$ surface. For example, Kim et al. [74] utilized pentafluorobenzylphosphonic acid (PFBPA) to functionalize BaTiO$_3$
surface before adding poly(VDF-co-HFP), to form nanocomposite by spin coating the dispersions on aluminum coated glass substrate (Fig. 9).

![Illustration of surface modification of BaTiO$_3$ with PFBPA. Reproduced with permission of [74]. Copyright 2009 American Chemical Society.](image)

**Fig. 9.** Illustration of surface modification of BaTiO$_3$ with PFBPA. Reproduced with permission of [74]. Copyright 2009 American Chemical Society.

Authors reported the surface modification of BaTiO$_3$ with PFBPA formed homogenous dispersion into the polymeric matrix and therefore uniform nanocomposite thin films.

Zhang *et al.* [109] used (1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluoroctyltrimethoxysilane) to introduce a short perfluoroalkyl chain onto the surface of BaTiO$_3$ nanofibers by chemical reaction between the HO- groups of the oxidized surface of BT and methoxy groups of the fluorinated silane. Then, the modified nanoparticles were incorporated into PVDF by solution blending (Scheme 2). The results indicate that the fluorosilane modified BaTiO$_3$ nanofiber results in a strong interchain interaction with PVDF matrix and improved the interface compatibility between the functionalized filler and the fluoropolymer.
In another work, Yu et al. [110] reported the preparation of PVDF/BT nanocomposites. The nanoparticles were firstly modified with tetrafluorophtalic acid, then incorporated into PVDF matrix by a solution casting method. Fig. 10 displays the surface modification process of BT with tetrafluorophtalic acid and the effects on the modification on the PVDF/BT nanocomposite. The fluorinated acid reacts with the hydroxyl groups already present onto the surface of BT using its alkoxy chains. Thanks to its fluorinated aryl groups, the modified BT exhibits good compatibility with PVDF, improves the dispersion of BT and introduces more space charges in the polymer which results in generation of passivation layers, and thereby enhancing the breakdown strength of the nanocomposite.
Fig. 10. Schematic of surface modification processing of BT nanoparticles (a) and the effects of surface modified BT nanoparticles in BT/PVDF nanocomposites (b), (c), and (d). Reproduced with permission of [110]. Copyright 2013 American Institute of Physics.

Wang et al. [111] prepared BT/poly(VDF-co-HFP) nanocomposites by a solution casting method. The BaTiO$_3$ nanoparticles were first functionalized with fluoro-polydopamine via a spontaneous self-polymerization upon the surface of BaTiO$_3$ nanowires (Fig. 11), then, a desired amount of modified BT was inserted into poly(VDF-co-HFP) matrix. It was found that by anchoring a long fluorinated chain upon the dopamine, the resulting fluoro-dopamine modified BT presented homogenous distribution in the polymer matrix, suggesting an excellent compatibility with the fluoropolymer matrix. Moreover, the fluoro-dopamine shell layers improved the dispersion of nanowires and thus increases the affinity with the polymer matrix, resulting in enhanced dielectric permittivity and breakdown strength.
**Fig. 11.** Schematic illustration of the preparation process for fluorinated-DOPA@BaTiO$_3$ NWs. Inset is a photograph of a mussel. Reproduced with permission of [111]. Copyright 2017 American Chemical Society.

**IV-2-2. Modification of BaTiO$_3$ with polymer prepared by “Grafting from” route**

*IV-2-2-I. PMMA@BT*

Wang et al. proceeded to BaTiO$_3$ functionalization with PMMA using ATRP polymerization [112]. The nanoparticles were first coated with tetrameric metallophthalocyanine (TMPc), from which PMMA brushes are grafted. In order to make a comparison, composites without the TMPc interfacial layer were also synthesized. The particle size and size distribution of the resulting nanocomposite were studied (Fig. 12). It was found that by increasing the feed ratio of MMA from 5.2 to 18 wt %, the hydrodynamic diameter (Dh) for BTO@TMPc-PMMA particles increased from 109 nm to 186 nm, proving the successful grafting of PMMA onto coated BaTiO$_3$. 
Fig. 12. DLS hydrodynamic diameter (D_h) distribution for (A) BTO@TMPc-PMMA and (B) BTO@R2c-PMMA nanoparticles in DMF. The maximum light scattering intensity is normalized to 100%. Reproduced with permission of [112]. Copyright 2014 Wiley.

IV-2-2-2. PTFEMA or PMMA@BT

Zhang et al. [113] prepared a core shell structured nanocomposites with different shell composition and shell thickness by grafting the polymer onto BT surface using ATRP polymerization. Methyl methacrylate (MMA) and 2,2,2-trifluoroethyl methacrylate (TFEMA) were used and two different amounts of these monomers were adopted (Table 5).

Table 5. Summary of grafted polymer contents measured by TGA under nitrogen atmosphere. Reproduced with permission of [113]. Copyright 2017 Elsevier.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Feed ratio of monomer to BT-Br (w/w)</th>
<th>Weight loss of grafted polymer (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BT-Br</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT@PMMA1</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT@PMMA2</td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT@PTFEMA1</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT@PTFEMA2</td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The different analyses performed on the nanocomposites evidenced the successful grafting of both PMMA and PTFEMA onto BT surface. TGA results showed that by increasing monomer feed ratio, the grafted mass of the two polymers increased, which indicate that the grafted polymer content can be controlled by varying the monomer: initiator molar ratio (for example, by increasing the feed ratio of monomer: initiator from 1:1 to 2:1, the weight loss of PMMA increases from 5.5 % to 8.0 %). Moreover, Fig. 13 exhibits the shell thickness of the polymer covering BT surface increases by increasing the monomer feed ratios from 7 nm to 12 nm for PMMA and from 4.5 nm to 5.5 nm for PTFEMA.

**Fig. 13.** TEM images of the BT@polymer nanoparticles: (A) BT@PMMA1, (B) BT@PMMA2, (C) BT@PTFEMA1 and (D) BT@PTFEMA2. Reproduced with permission of [113]. Copyright 2017 Elsevier.

**IV-2-2-3. PPFOMA@BT**

Zhang et al. [114] prepared a core shell nanocomposite by grafting 1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluorooctyl methacrylate (PPFOMA) onto BT using ATRP polymerization. First, nanoparticles were treated by hydroxylation, silylation and amidation to anchor the ATRP initiator, then PPFOMA polymer chain was grafted from
the initiating sites (Scheme 3). At high PPFOMA feed ratios, the nanoparticles could be suspended in the solvent overnight without precipitation. This suggests that the functionalization of BT nanoparticles with PPFOMA polymer chains leads to a better dispersion of the composite particles. In addition, the dielectric properties of nanocomposites were studied. The dielectric constant ($\varepsilon$) increased significantly by increasing the BaTiO$_3$ fraction in the nanocomposite and reached 7.4 (for a fraction of 71 wt% in BaTiO$_3$), which is 3 times higher than that of PPFOMA ($\varepsilon=2.6$).

**Scheme 3.** Preparation of PPFOMA@BaTiO$_3$ by SI-ATRP of 1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluorooctyl methacrylate (PPFOMA). Reproduced with permission of [114]. Copyright 2013 Elsevier.

**IV-2-2-4. PtBA@BT**

Du et al. [115] used poly(tert-butyl acrylate) (PtBA) to functionalize BT surface by ATRP polymerization (Fig. 14). The first step dealt with the hydroxylation of BT surface by hydrogen peroxide in order to create –OH groups on their surface. The second and third steps consisted of the grafting of silane and bromine agents to obtain
ATRP initiating sites, respectively. The last step was the polymerization of tBA from functionalized nanoparticles. Theses authors reported that the PtBA functionalized nanoparticles reduce the surface energy of the nanoparticles and avoid aggregation leading to a better dispersion and homogenous nanocomposites.

Fig. 14. Preparation process and characterizations of the nanoparticles with core-shell structure. a) Schematic diagram illustrating the preparation process of the BaTiO$_3$-PtBA by ATRP of tert-butyl acrylate. b) FT-IR spectra of the BaTiO$_3$ at various stages of treatment. c) TGA thermogramms for pure BaTiO$_3$ and BaTiO$_3$-PtBA with different polymer shell thicknesses. d-f) TEM images of the core-shell structured BaTiO$_3$-PtBA nanoparticles (scale bar 50 nm). Reproduced with permission of [115]. Copyright 2018 American Chemical Society.

IV-2-2-5. PGMA@BT

Ejaz et al. [25] prepared core-shell nanocomposites via ATRP polymerization through two steps: i) immobilization of initiator sites onto BaTiO$_3$ surface and ii) ATRP polymerization of glycidyl methacrylate (GMA). The dielectric permittivity of PGMA and PGMA@BT nanocomposite decreases as the frequency increases from 100 Hz to 1
At room temperature, the nanocomposite displays a very high permittivity (54 at 1 kHz) which is 10 times higher than that of PGMA (5.3 at 1 kHz). This enhanced permittivity is related to the good dispersion of the ceramic fillers into the polymer matrix.

**Fig. 15.** (1) Synthesis of BaTiO$_3$-PGMA core-shell nanocomposites by SI-ATRP of glycidyl methacrylate (GMA) from BaTiO$_3$ nanoparticles. (2) Frequency dependent dielectric properties at 100-1 MHz: (a) PGMA and (b) BaTiO$_3$-PGMA nanocomposite. Reproduced with permission of [25]. Copyright 2015 Wiley.

**IV-2-2-6. PHEMA-b-PHEMA@BT or PHEMA-b-PANa@BT**

Huang et al. [116] prepared a well-defined core@shell structured nanocomposites by ATRP polymerization of (meth)acrylates. Since the resulting polymer in ATRP is still “living” after polymerization, it can reinitate the polymerization of a second monomer to further yield block copolymers. Thus, a double shell polymer was grafted onto the first core@shell (Scheme 4). Three types of (meth)acrylate monomers were used: poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA), poly(2-hydroxyethylmethacrylate) (PHEMA) and poly(sodium acrylate) (PANa).
Scheme 4. Diagram illustrating the preparation processes of BT@shell structured nanocomposites. Reproduced with permission of [116]. Copyright 2016 American Chemical Society.

The first shell was chosen to display both high dielectric permittivity and electrical conductivity to provide high polarization, while the second one was selected to be more insulating to maintain a large resistivity and low loss. Fig. 16 exhibits the measured DC conductivity of neat polymers and core@shell structured BT nanocomposites. It can be clearly seen that the PHEMA exhibits a higher conductivity than that of PMMA. This high value comes from the fact that PHEMA presents highly polar –OH groups making it more sensible to impurities like water or ions, which
increase its conductivity. Indeed, BT@PHEMA@PMMA is close to that of BT@PMMA, while that of PMMA/PHEMA mixture presents a conductivity close to that of PHEMA. This could indicate the successful grafting of the polymer shell, and the more conductive PHEMA shell being well-isolated by the less conductive PMMA.

![Graph showing conductivity](image)

**Fig. 16.** The measured dc conductivity of neat polymers and BT@shell structured nanocomposites under a dc voltage of 10 V. Reproduced with permission of [116]. Copyright 2016 American Chemical Society.

**IV-2-2-7. PMMA@BT/PGMA@BT/PHEMA@BT**

Zhu et al. [73] prepared three kinds of polymer nanocomposites using core@shell structured polymer@BT nanoparticles. BaTiO$_3$ nanofillers were functionalized with PMMA, PGMA and PHEMA by RAFT polymerization, where the polymer shells were controlled (reaction time) to have the same shell thickness.
Scheme 5. Preparation process of the polymer@BT nanoparticles by surface initiated RAFT polymerization of various monomer (methyl methacrylate, glycidyl methacrylate and 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate). Reproduced with permission of [73]. Copyright 2014 American Chemical Society.

Fig. 17 exhibits the TEM images of the polymer functionalized nanoparticles. The BT nanofillers are homogenously encapsulated by a thin layer of polymer, the thickness of which varies from 2-3 nm.

Fig. 17. TEM images of the synthesized of poly(methacrylate)@BT nanocomposites using different polymer shells by RAFT polymerization (a) PMMA, (b)
IV-2-2-8. PHFDA@BT and PTFEA@BT

Yang et al. [27] used two types of fluoroalkyl acrylates, 1H,1H,2H,2-Heptadecafluorodecyl acrylate (HFDA) and 2,2,2-trifluoroethyl acrylate (TFEMA) to functionalize BT surface by RAFT polymerization. These monomers were used to reduce the surface energy of BT nanoparticles and improved their compatibility with the polymer host matrix. The different analyses performed on the functionalized nanoparticles indicate the successful grafting of the two fluopolymers and the higher grafting density of PTFEMA@BT (0.031 chains/nm$^2$) compared to that of PHFDA@BT (0.021 chains/nm$^2$) (Table 6). This could be explained by the fact that the long fluoroalkyl groups of PHFDA leading to more larger steric hindrance.

**Table 6.** Characteristics of the Fluoro-Polymer@BaTiO$_3$ Hybrid Nanoparticles. Reproduced with permission of [27]. Copyright 2013 American Chemical Society.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>BT-PHFDA1</th>
<th>BT-PHFDA2</th>
<th>BT-PTFEA1</th>
<th>BT-PTFEA2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight loss of nanoparticles (%) $^a$</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>7.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn of grafting polymer (g/mol) $^b$</td>
<td>4.1×10$^3$</td>
<td>7.5×10$^3$</td>
<td>3.4×10$^3$</td>
<td>5.9×10$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mw/Mn of grafting polymer $^b$</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grafting density (chains/nm$^2$) $^c$</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Calculated from TGA results based on the weight loss of BT-EDMAT nanoparticles. $^b$ Obtained from GPC results. $^c$ Considering each particle with d=100 nm and density of 6.02 g/cm$^3$. 
**IV-2-2-9. PTFMPCS@BT**

Chen *et al.* [86] prepared core shell structured BaTiO$_3$@rigid-fluoropolymer nanoparticles from the RAFT polymerization of 2,5-bis[[4-trifluoro(methoxyphenyl)oxycarbonyl]styrene]. By varying the monomer: BT feed ratios, the resulting nanocomposites were denoted as BT-3F1, BT-3F2, and BT-3F3, in which BT-3F1 and BT-3F3 had the thinnest and the thickest polymer shells, respectively. Fig. 18 exhibits the TEM images of the functionalized nanoparticles where BT-3F0 refers to unmodified BT. After grafting the polymer from the functionalized nanoparticles, a stable and dense polymer shell was covering the surface of the nanoparticles. The shell thickness varied from 4 to 12 nm (Fig. 18), suggesting the successful modification of nanoparticles.

![TEM images of functionalized nanoparticles](image-url)

**Fig. 18.** TEM images of (a) BT-3F0, (b) (c) (d) BT-3F1, (e) BT-3F2, and (f) BT-3F3 functionalized BT nanoparticles via RAFT polymerization of 2,5-bis[[4-trifluoro(methoxyphenyl)oxycarbonyl]styrene]. Reproduced with permission of [86]. Copyright 2018 Royal Society of Chemistry.

**IV-2-2-10. P3F, P5F or P7F@BT**

Qian *et al.* [117] prepared three kinds of nanocomposites consisting of BT as nanofiller and fluorinated polymers as a matrix using RAFT polymerization (Scheme 6). The three monomers were first synthesized and denoted as poly{2,5-bis[[4-
trifluoro(methoxyphenyl)oxycarbonyl]styrene (P-3F), poly[2,5-bis[(2,3,4,5,6-pentafluoro) oxycarbonyl]styrene] (P-5F), and poly[2,5-bis[(2,3,5,6-tetrafluoro-4-trifluoromethyl)oxycarbonyl]styrene] (P-7F).

**Scheme 6.** Synthesis process of fluoropolymer@BaTiO₃ nanoparticles starting from BaTiO₃ surface functionalization then RAFT polymerization of three kinds of aromatic fluoromonomers. Reproduced with permission of [117]. Copyright 2018 Royal Society of Chemistry.

The functionalized nanoparticles were characterized to evidence the successful surface modification. Fig. 19-A exhibits the TEM images recorded for the nanocomposites and it clearly evidences the dense polymer shell covering the nanoparticles, where the thickness of which was around 7 nm. A mapping pattern images were also achieved on P-3F@BT nanocomposites where the different colors are attributed to Ba, Ti, O and F elements, which indicate that the fluoropolymers were successfully introduced onto the BT surface (Fig. 19-B).
**Fig. 19.** (A) TEM images of (a) P-3F@ BaTiO₃, (b) P-5F@ BaTiO₃, and (c) P-7F@BaTiO₃ nanoparticles and (B) mapping pattern images of BT nanoparticles modified by P-3F. Reproduced with permission of [117]. Copyright 2018 Royal Society of Chemistry.

**IV-2-2.II. PVDF@BT**

Bouharras et al. [20] prepared a core shell structured nanocomposites using RAFT polymerization (Scheme 7). The first step consists in decorating the BT nanoparticles by xanthate functions, which further enabled to control the radical polymerization of VDF.
Scheme 7. Sketch illustrating the synthesis process of PVDF@BaTiO$_3$ nanocomposites by RAFT polymerization of VDF in the presence of BaTiO$_3$ nanoparticles bearing xanthates functions (TBPPi stands for tert-butyl peroxyvalerate). Reproduced with permission of [20]. Copyright 2019 Royal Society of Chemistry.

Four different nanocomposites were synthesized by varying the feed amount of BT (concentration 3-20 wt %) and fully characterized.

Moreover, and for the first time, High Resolution Magic Angle Spinning (HRMAS) NMR was used for the first time to characterize such nanocomposites and enabled to determine the molar masses of PVDF grafted onto BT (Fig. 20). Results give evidences of the successful modification and grafting of PVDF, leading to nanocomposites with enhanced thermal stability.
**Fig. 20.** Expansion of the -64 to -120 ppm region of the $^{19}$F HRMAS spectrum recorded in d$_6$-DMSO of PVDF@BaTiO$_3$ nanocomposite filled with 3 wt % of BaTiO$_3$ (* stands for the spinning bands). Reproduced with permission of [20]. Copyright 2019 Royal Society of Chemistry.

The effect of BT loading on the properties was also studied. The results showed that the higher the BT content, the better the thermostability of the nanocomposites (Fig. 21). In fact, the starting degradation temperature was 91°C for the neat PVDF and reaches 391 °C after adding only 3 wt % of BT.
Fig. 21. TGA thermograms (under air) of (a) functionalized BaTiO$_3$ nanoparticles and PVDF@BaTiO$_3$ nanocomposites with different BaTiO$_3$ amount (3, 5, 10 and 20 wt %) after centrifugation but not purified and (b) TGA thermograms of BaTiO$_3$/PVDF nanocomposites after centrifugation but not purified and (b) TGA thermograms of BaTiO$_3$/PVDF nanocomposites after several purifications (PVDF@BaTiO$_3$). Reproduced with permission of [20]. Copyright 2019 Royal Society of Chemistry.

**IV-2-2-12. PM7F@BT**

Lv et al. [118] functionalized BT platelets with a fluoropolymer to improve their dispersion and compatibility in the nanocomposite. The fluoro-monomer (2,5-bis[(2,3,5,6-tetra fluoro-4-trifluoromethyl)oxycarbonyl]styrene, M7F) was first synthesized then grafted onto BT platelets surface by RAFT polymerization.

It was found that the resulting platelets present a uniform morphology and the average thickness was about 1 µm. After immobilization of PM7F onto the functionalized platelets, different characterizations were performed and the results prove the successful grafting of this fluoropolymer onto the functionalized BT. Fig. 22 exhibits TEM images of PM7F@BT nanocomposite, where the polymer layer can be clearly seen and covering BT platelets with a thickness of 12.7 nm. Moreover, the structural unit in the molecular chain of this fluoropolymer contains 14 fluorine atoms, resulting in a decreased surface energy of BT platelets.
IV-2-3. Modification of BaTiO$_3$ with polymer to prepared by “Grafting onto” route

IV-2-3-1. PS or PMMA@BT

Using the “grafting onto” technique, Yang et al. [119] reported another approach to functionalize BT nanoparticles with polymer in order to prepare nanocomposites made by either PS or PMMA. In a first step, RAFT polymerization enabled to synthesize thiol-terminated PS and PMMA. Then, three nanocomposites were obtained with different molar masses by means of thiol-ene click reaction between the functionalized nanoparticles by alkyl methacrylates and ω-thiol polymers (Fig. 23). Dielectric measurements revealed that the dielectric constant of the synthesized nanocomposites were significantly enhanced in comparison to the pure polymers (3.69 for PMMA and 2.74 for PS at 1 kHz).
Fig. 23. Schematic Illustration for (A) Synthesis of thiol-terminated Polymer Chains via RAFT polymerization and (B) Preparation of Core–Shell Structured Polymer@BaTiO$_3$ Nanocomposites by Thiol–Ene Click Reaction with modified BaTiO$_3$. Reproduced with permission of [119]. Copyright 2014 American Chemical Society.

**IV-2-3-2. PS or PVDF@BT**

Ma et al. [85] used thiol-terminated PVDF (PVDF-SH) and polystyrene (PS-SH) to functionalize BT surface. PVDF-SH and PS-SH were synthesized in a two step process, starting from a RAFT polymerization, followed by the aminolysis as depicted in Fig. 24-a. The as prepared polymers were used to functionalize BT-ene nanoparticles using thiol-ene click reaction (Fig. 24-b).
Fig. 24. (a) Synthesis process for the preparation of thiol-terminated polymers (CTA-1 refers to O-Ethyl-S-(1-methoxycarbonyl)ethylthiocarbonate and CTA-2 stands for 2-((dodecylsulfanyl)carbonothioyl)sulfanyl)-propanoic acid) and (b) Schematic diagram of the modification process of hybrid nanoparticles. Reproduced with permission of [85]. Copyright 2019 Elsevier.

The functionalized nanoparticles and the synthesized nanocomposites were fully characterized to give evidences on the successful surface modification and grafting of polymers. In addition, the TEM images of PS@BT and PVDF@BT nanocomposites highlight the nanoparticles surrounding by a thin polymer shell with a thickness of ~ 6 nm (Fig. 25).
Fig. 25. TEM images of PVDF@BT (a, b) and PS@BT (c, d) nanocomposites synthesized by thiol-ene click reaction. Reproduced with permission of [85]. Copyright 2019 Elsevier.

**IV-2-3-3. P(VDF-HFP-GMA)@BT**

Xie *et al.* [88] modified BT surface using commercially available poly(VDF-co-HFP) functionalized with PGMA by ATRP polymerization. BT nanoparticles were first modified by amino-terminated silane molecules, then, the nanocomposites were prepared by a solution blending method leading to a covalent bond between the epoxy groups of GMA and amino groups at the surface of modified BT nanoparticles.
Fig. 26. TEM images of the washed PVDF-HFP-GMA grafted BT nanoparticles showing a PVDF-HFP-GMA layer of about 10 nm. Reproduced with permission of [88]. Copyright 2014 Royal Society of Chemistry.

To prove the successful grafting of the polymer chains onto the nanoparticles surfaces, the as-prepared nanocomposites were re-dissolved in DMF and washed several times in order to remove the free polymer chains. After purification, TEM analysis evidences that BT nanoparticles were coated by a polymer layer, ensuring the successful grafting of PVDF-HFP-GMA onto BT surface (Fig. 26).

IV.3. Design of core-shell BaTiO$_3$@polymer/Fluorinated polymer nanocomposites

Recent reviews have summarized the synthesis of BaTiO$_3$, its surface modification, and their introduction into polymer matrix to get dielectric nanocomposites [120,121]. A detailed review on ferroelectric polymers was also reported by Prateek et al. [78]; especially the use of PVDF and its VDF-based copolymer blends in dielectric composites materials for high energy capacitor applications. Regarding the importance of these fluoropolymers and their role in enhancing the final properties of the nanocomposite, further studies are moving toward the elaboration of nanocomposites consisting of a single core and a polymer double shell to get better properties. Herein, we discuss the system based on BaTiO$_3$ nanocomposites modified with a second shell based on a fluorinated polymer according to Scheme 1-b.
IV-3-1. PMMA@BT/poly(VDF-co-HFP)

Wang et al. [112] prepared three phase poly(VDF-co-HFP)/BTO@TMPc-PMMA nanocomposite films. First, BT nanoparticles were modified by ATRP polymerization of MMA (See section IV-2-2-1). Then, poly(VDF-co-HFP)/BTO@TMPc-PMMA nanocomposites were obtained by a simple mixing of poly(VDF-co-HFP) copolymer with the functionalized nanoparticles (Fig. 27).

Dielectric measurements on the synthesized nanocomposites indicated that the dielectric constant ($\varepsilon_r$) slightly decreased when the frequency increased (Fig. 28). In the case of the three-phase composite films, the introduction of the highly polarizable TMPc improved the permittivity significantly, in comparison with the two phase-composite films. Moreover, the dielectric loss ($\tan \delta$) was found to be relatively low ($\tan \delta = 0.02$ below 2 kHz) and overlapped with that of the neat poly(VDF-co-HFP) copolymer, which indicates that BT nanoparticles did not add additional loss to the system. However, the increase of $\tan \delta$ at ca. $10^7$ MHz could be attributed to the relaxation phenomenon of the amorphous poly(VDF-co-HFP).
Fig. 28. Relative dielectric constant ($\varepsilon_r$) and dielectric loss ($\tan \delta$) as a function of frequency for (A) uniaxially stretched poly(VDF-co-HFP)/BTO@TMPc-PMMA and (B) uniaxially stretched poly(VDF-co-HFP)/BTO@R2-PMMA nanocomposite films, respectively. Reproduced with permission of [112]. Copyright 2014 Wiley.

IV-3-2. PTFEMA@BT or PMMA@BT/PVDF

Zhang et al. [113] prepared core shell structured BT nanoparticles with two different shell compositions and thicknesses (7 and 12 nm for PMMA@BT and 4.5 and 5.5 nm for PTFEMA@BT) by grafting PMMA and PTFEMA via ATRP polymerization (Section IV-2-2-2). Then, the resulting nanocomposites were incorporated into PVDF matrix by solution blending (Scheme 9).

Authors reported that higher dielectric constant and lower dielectric loss were achieved for both systems in comparison to those of pure PVDF. For example, after adding 80 wt % of PMMA@BT in the PVDF matrix, the dielectric permittivity increased from 6 to 30 at 100 Hz. Moreover, nanocomposites obtained by modification of BT with the fluorinated polymer (PTFEMA) led to lower dielectric loss (0.025 at 100 kHz) and high dielectric constant in comparison to those modified with PMMA (0.022 at 100 kHz). That was explained by the strong interchain forces between the two fluorinated shells matrix.
Scheme 9. Illustration for the preparation of BT@polymer/PVDF nanocomposite films. Reproduced with permission of [113]. Copyright 2017 Elsevier.

IV-3-3. Poly(tert-buty1-methacrylate) @ BT/PVDF

Du et al. [115] used PtBA to functionalize BT surface by ATRP polymerization (See section IV-2-2-4). Then, the modified nanoparticles were mixed into a PVDF matrix. Results showed that the dielectric constant of the nanocomposites increased by increasing the PtBA@BT content from 8.5 for the pure PVDF to 15 for nanocomposite with 30 wt % of functionalized nanoparticles (Fig. 29).

Fig. 29. Frequency dependence of room temperature a) dielectric constant and b) loss tangent for PVDF-based films. Reproduced with permission of [115]. Copyright 2018 American Chemical Society.
In order to be able to note the effect of PrBA polymer, films of PVDF based on non-functionalized BT were prepared. The dielectric measurements of PVDF/BT nanocomposites show low dielectric permittivities in comparison to those of PrBA@BT/PVDF (the dielectric constant at 100 Hz was 14.5 for PVDF/BT and was enhanced to 15 for PVDF-W30) (Fig. 30). This can be attributed to the fact that the non-treated nanoparticles tends to form aggregates and leads to poor dispersion in the polymer matrix which results in decreased performances.

**Fig. 30.** Frequency dependence of room temperature (a) dielectric constant and (b) loss tangent for BaTiO$_3$/PVDF films. Reproduced with permission of [115]. Copyright 2018 American Chemical Society.

Moreover, the functionalization of BT nanofillers with PrBA not only avoids aggregation of the nanoparticles inside the PVDF matrix, but also preserves excellent mechanical properties of the polymer, which leads to good flexibility and integrated surface micromorphology.

**IV-3-4. (PMMA or PHEMA or PGMA)@BT/PVDF**

Zhu *et al.* [73] prepared three kinds of polymer nanocomposites using core shell structured polymer@BT nanoparticles as filler and PVDF as the polymer matrix.
The first core shell nanocomposite was synthesized using RAFT polymerizations of MMA, GMA and HEMA from functionalized nanoparticles (See section IV-2-2-7). Then, a second shell of PVDF was introduced by a simple blending and hot pressing of the nanocomposite. Dielectric measurements revealed that, compared with the PVDF matrix, all the nanocomposites displayed enhanced dielectric constant and follows the order PHEMA@BT/PVDF (~21 at 10^2 Hz) > PGMA@BT/PVDF (~18 at 10^2 Hz) > PMMA@BT/PVDF (~16 at 10^2 Hz) (Fig. 31). This indicates that, at the same BT content, the dielectric constant of the resulting nanocomposite is determined by the dielectric constant of the interfacial region. Thus, nanocomposite processed from BT functionalized with PHEMA exhibits the highest permittivity due the large dipole moment of the pendant hydroxyethyl groups.

IV-3-5. PHFDA@BT or PTFEA@BT/poly(VDF-co-HFP)

Yang et al. [27] used two types of poly(fluoroacrylate) to functionalize BT surface by RAFT polymerization (See section IV-2-2-8) before introducing them into poly(VDF-co-HFP) polymer matrix using a solution blending method.

Actually, the functionalization of BT with poly(fluoroacrylate) not only led to enhanced energy storage capability of poly(VDF-co-HFP)/Fluoropolymer@BT nanocomposite in comparison with the pure copolymer, but also improved the dielectric properties. For instance, the energy density of the prepared nanocomposites was 5 times higher than that of the pure poly(VDF-co-HFP) under an electric field of 20 kV mm$^{-1}$, while the theoretical maximum energy density was 6.23 J cm$^{-3}$, which is 50% higher than that of the pure poly(VDF-co-HFP) ($\sim$4.10 J cm$^{-3}$).

**IV-3.6. PTFMPCS@BT / poly(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-CTFE)**

Chen et al. [86] used a rigid poly(fluorostyrene) to functionalize BT surface by RAFT polymerization of 2,5-bis{(4-trifluoromethoxyphenyl)oxycarbonyl}styrene with three different shell thicknesses (where BT-3F0 stand for pristine BT and BT-3F1, BT-3F2 and BT-3F3 nanocomposites present shell thicknesses of 4, 7 and 11 nm, respectively) (See Section IV-2-2-9). The as prepared core shell structured nanoparticles were then incorporated into poly(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-CTFE) ter-polymer matrix by varying their amount from 5 to 30 vol%. Morphological analysis showed the absence of pore and defects in the prepared nanocomposite films, which indicate that the functionalization of BT with fluoropolymer provides a strong interchain forces with the poly(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-CTFE) host matrix. Fig. 32 exhibits the dielectric permittivity and dielectric loss of the BT@Fluoropolymer/poly(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-...
CTFE) nanocomposites films. For instance, the dielectric permittivity of poly(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-CTFE) matrix was ~ 40 (at 1 kHz) and after introducing 30 vol% of BT-3F1 into poly(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-CTFE) matrix, the dielectric permittivity increased from 40 to 80.6 (at 1 kHz). Moreover, by increasing the shell thickness of the nanoparticles (BT-3F3), and for the same filler loading, the dielectric permittivity decreased to ~ 60 (at 1 kHz). The results highlight that the dielectric behavior was significantly affected by the shell thickness and the permittivity of the nanocomposites films increased with the increase of BT@Fluoropolymer nanoparticles content.

**Fig. 32.** Frequency dependence of permittivity and dielectric loss of the BaTiO₃@rigid-fluoro-polymer/poly(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-CTFE) nanocomposites films
with (a) BT-3F0, (b) BT-3F1, (c) BT-3F2, and (d) BT-3F3. Reproduced with permission of [86]. Copyright 2018 Royal Society of Chemistry.

**IV-3-7. PM7F@BT/ poly(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-CTFE)**

Lv et al. [118] modified BT surface using a fluoropolymer that contains 14 fluorine atoms by RAFT polymerization of \{2,5-bis[(2,3,5,6-tetra fluoro-4-trifluoromethyl)oxycarbonyl]styrene\} (Section IV-2-2-12). Then, the functionalized nanoparticles were introduced into poly(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-CTFE) host matrix (Scheme 11).

Dielectric measurements revealed that the relative permittivity increased by increasing BT platelets volume fraction with a relatively small loading (Fig. 33). At 1 kHz, the permittivity of nanocomposite with only 5 vol% of modified platelets was ~ 70 in comparison to the pure polymer that presents 45 and the enhancement in permittivity is more significant with increasing BT platelets volume fraction.
Fig. 33. Frequency dependence of (a) permittivities and (b) dielectric loss for BaTiO$_3$-PM7F/poly(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-CTFE) nanocomposites. Reproduced with permission of [118]. Copyright 2018 Elsevier.

IV-3.8. P3F@BT or P5F@BT/ poly(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-CTFE)

Qian et al. [117] prepared three kinds of nanocomposites consisting of BT nanoparticles and poly(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-CTFE) polymer matrix. These nanoparticles were first modified with three types of fluoropolymers denoted as P-nF (n = 3, 5 or 7, is the number of terminal fluorinated groups), (Section IV-2-2-10). Fig. 34 exhibits the frequency dependence of permittivity of the poly(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-CTFE)-based nanocomposites with different loading of functionalized BT nanoparticles. It can be seen that the permittivity of the nanocomposites increased by increasing the volume fraction of modified BT nanoparticles. For instance, in the case of P-3F@BaTiO$_3$/poly(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-CTFE) nanocomposites, the permittivity increased from 55.4 to 88.5 at 1 kHz when the volume fraction of the modified BT nanoparticles was changed from 5\% to 30\%. On the other hand, when the BT surface was modified with P-7F polymers, the resulting nanocomposites exhibited the lowest permittivities (~ 67 at 30 \% of modified BT). This was explained by the good dispersion of BT nanoparticles and the increase of interfacial adhesion between the modified nanoparticles and the polymer matrix, leading to limitation in the movement of molecular dipoles of the polymer matrix.
Fig. 34. Frequency dependence of permittivity of the P-nF@BT/P (VDF-TrFE-CTFE) nanocomposite films with (a) P-3F@BaTiO₃, (b) P-5F@BaTiO₃, and (c) P-7F@BaTiO₃. (d) Comparison of the relative permittivity (at 1 kHz) of the nanocomposite with the Maxwell–Wagner model. The permittivity of 100 nm BaTiO₃ particles is around 1700. Reproduced with permission of [117]. Copyright 2018 Royal Society of Chemistry.

IV-3-9. PVDF@BT or PS@BT/PVDF

Ma et al. [85] reported two kinds of polymer@BT nanoparticles used as composite fillers in PVDF matrix (Scheme 12). First, PS@BT and PVDF@BT were synthesized by thiol-ene reaction (Section IV-2-3-2). Then, a second shell of PVDF was introduced by simple casting and hot pressing. The dielectric properties and the electric breakdown strength of both PVDF@BT/PVDF and PS@BT/PVDF nanocomposites exhibit an enhancement compared to the pure polymer. For example, the permittivity of PS@BT/PVDF nanocomposites increased from 9.2 for the pure PVDF to 23.6 for
nanocomposites filled with 30 vol% of PS@BT. Moreover, the authors revealed that PVDF@BT had a better interfacial interaction with PVDF matrix than that of PS@BT fillers, and this is due to the fact that the shell of the fillers has the same structure as that of the polymer matrix.

**Scheme 12.** Schematic illustration of the synthetic process of the core@double shell nanocomposites (PS@BT/PVDF or PVDF@BT/PVDF). Reproduced with permission of [85]. Copyright 2019 Elsevier.

**IV-3-10. HBP@BT/poly(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-CFE)**

Xie et al. [122] used hyperbranched aromatic polyamide (HBP) to decorate BT surface using a polycondensation of 3,5-diaminobenzoic acid (Scheme 13), then, poly(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-CFE) was introduced via a solution blending method.

Results show that the as prepared nanocomposites exhibit enhanced dielectric permittivity compared to the pure polymer. Moreover, by increasing the loading of functionalized nanoparticles, high values were reached. As shown in Fig.35, at 1 kHz and with a loading of 40 vol% of HBP@BT, a high dielectric constant of 1485.5 was achieved for the nanocomposites compared to poly(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-CFE) that exhibit only 206.3.
Fig. 35. Frequency dependence of dielectric constant for HBP@BT/poly(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-CFE) nanocomposites for different loading of functionalized nanoparticles. Reproduced with permission of [122]. Copyright American Chemical Society 2013.

Table 7 summarizes the permittivities obtained for core-shell systems discussed above. It can be seen that nanocomposites containing more fluorinated polymers exhibit high dielectric constants. For instance, in reference 85, when PVDF is used instead of PS, for the same filler loading, the dielectric permittivity increased from 23.6 to 27.9 at 1 kHz. Indeed, we can not increase the permittivity of fluorinated polymers compared to that of pure BaTiO$_3$, even when using high nanoparticle loading [123]. In fact, by adding higher concentration of ceramic nanoparticles inevitably induces aggregation and inhomogeneity in the fluorinated polymer shell giving rise to electron conduction with a high dielectric loss, very low breakdown strength and undesirable porosity and voids, resulting in deteriorated electrical properties in polymer nanocomposites (i.e. permittivity of the resulting nanocomposites). Thus, an appropriate surface modification and choice of the polymer shell would lead to the desired properties.
Table 7. Summary of permittivities obtained for core-shell BaTiO$_3$@polymer/Fluorinated polymer nanocomposites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core-shell Polymer@BT/Fluorinated polymer nanocomposites</th>
<th>Dielectric permittivity (at a given frequency and fillers loading)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PTFEA@BT/P(VDF-HFP)</td>
<td>42.5 (1 kHz, 50 %wt)</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS@BT/PVDF</td>
<td>23.6 (1 kHz, 30 vol%)</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVDF@BT/PVDF</td>
<td>27.9 (1 kHz, 30 vol%)</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTFMPCS@BT/poly(VDF-ter-TrFE- ter-CTFE)</td>
<td>80.6 (1 kHz, 30 vol%)</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMMA@BT/PVDF</td>
<td>30 (100 Hz, 80 wt%)</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTFEMA@BT/PVDF</td>
<td>36 (100 Hz, 80 wt%)</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtBA@BT/PVDF</td>
<td>15 (100 Hz, 30 wt%)</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHEMA@BT/PVDF</td>
<td>21 (100 Hz, 20 wt%)</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGMA@BT/PVDF</td>
<td>18 (100 Hz, 20 wt%)</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM7F@BT/P(VDF-ter-TrFE- ter-CTFE)</td>
<td>70 (1 kHz, 5 vol%)</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. Applications

Polymer nanocomposites present unique physicochemical properties that cannot be obtained with one component. Thus, the improvement in the properties of polymer nanocomposites have resulted in major interest for versatile fields including medicine, coatings and energy storage [124]. In medical applications, damaged tissues are often replaced with synthetic materials such as ceramics or metals, which are used for engineering hard tissues (e.g., bones and teeth), or even polymers that can be used to manufacture a wide range of both hard and soft tissues, and in some cases, their composites could be also used [125–127]. Gopalakrishnan et al. [128] introduced silver nanoparticles into PMMA polymer matrix to reinforce its structure for dental prosthetic materials. The addition of silver nanoparticles was found to enhance the mechanical properties of the polymer and also retarded crack propagation and fracture behavior, and thus improved the durability of the denture base.
Another promising field of use of polymer nanocomposite materials is energy storage applications [71,129–133]. Kumar et al. [129] used nanocomposite materials consisting on BT nanoparticles and poly(VDF-co-HFP) polymer matrix to prepare a composite solid polymer electrolyte (CSPE) for Li-ion batteries. Since the ionic conductivity of the pure polymer poly(VDF-co-HFP) is not enough to be used as SPE, lithium triflate salt was added and the nanocomposites were synthesized by solution casting technique. Fig. 36-a exhibits the ionic conductivity of the CSPE with 10 wt% in lithium triflate salt for different BT loading. The ionic conductivity of the CSPE increases to reach $8.89 \times 10^{-6}$ S cm$^{-1}$ as the maximum value for 4 wt% of BT and decreases by further addition of BT. Fig. 36-b gives a comparison of conductivity ($\sigma_{DC}$) of pure polymer, SPE loaded with 10 wt% of lithium triflate and 4 wt% of BT. It can be observed that after introduction of BT into the system, the ionic conductivity increased by four orders of magnitude.

A similar strategy for the same application was also reported by Sasikumar et al. [130] who prepared CSPE using BT, PVAc/poly(VDF-co-HFP) and lithium bis-trifluoromethanesulfonylimide (LiTFSI) as the salt (Fig. 37). Results show that compared with ceramic free SPE, the conductivity was increased to reach a value of $2 \times 10^{-3}$ Scm$^{-1}$ at ambient temperature. Moreover, it was found that in the case of CSPE
made by 7 wt% of BT, an enhancement in discharge capacity of 132 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 0.1 C, cycling performance up to 40 cycles and 99 % Coulombic efficiency were noted.

**Fig. 37.** Photograph of free-standing and flexible CSPE. Reproduced with permission of [130] Copyright 2018 American Chemical Society.

In addition to their application in Li-ion batteries, BT based fluoropolymer nanocomposites are also used in capacitors. For example, Tang *et al.* [71] prepared high energy density nanocomposite capacitors using BT nanowires and poly(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-CFE) polymer (63/29/8 % mole ratio). Dielectric measurements revealed that the obtained nanocomposites exhibit increased dielectric permittivity at low volume fraction of the fillers and high electric field for energy storage (Fig. 38-a). Moreover, BT/poly(VDF-TrFE-CFE) nanocomposites showed an increase in energy density of 10.48 J/cc, which is higher than that of the neat poly(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-CFE) polymer (7.21 J/cc) at 300 MV/m electric field (Fig. 38-b). This value is more than seven times larger than a high performance commercial polypropylene capacitor.
Fig. 38. (a) Dielectric permittivity constants of different BT NWs volume fractions in P(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-CFE) from 1 kHz to 1 MHz and (b) Energy density of BT/ P(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-CFE) nanocomposite with different volume fractions of BT as a function of the electric field. Reproduced with permission of [71]. Copyright 2013 Wiley.

Hao et al. [134] designed a parallel plate capacitance device. The multilayer nanocomposite film consists on a central layer composed of high volume fraction of BT, while the outer layers were predominately PVDF, with a small loading of BT nanoparticles. The obtained films (1.2-1.5 µm) were found to be mechanically flexible and could be removed from the substrate (Fig. 39). Moreover, a maximum breakdown strength as high as 495 KV/mm which is 50% higher than that of the pure PVDF, and a discharge energy density of 19.37 J/cm³ were obtained, leading to high energy density nanocomposites.
Another promising application of polymer nanocomposites is piezoelectric nanogenerators (PNGs), which aims to convert the mechanical energy available in our daily life like vehicle motion or even in human body (artificial muscle actuators). In fact, PVDF and its copolymers are considered to be the most used polymeric materials in PNGs [135]. Dudem et al. [136] designed a PNGs using barium titanate nanoparticles embedded into PVDF. The nanoparticles were first dispersed with Ag nanowires; the resulted mixture was introduced into PVDF matrix. In order to fabricate the PNGs, the nanocomposite film was sandwiched between two Aluminum substrate and then sealed with a Kapton tape. The prepared PNGs were then tested to harvest the mechanical energy from bicycle, motorcycle, car and human hand. Fig. 40 exhibits the piezoelectric potential curves generated by the PNGs device at bending positions and on human hand. The results revealed that the resulting device is flexible enough to generate the electrical output under various bending conditions, and exhibits a height output voltage of ~4.8 V under large bending conditions.
Fig. 40. Demonstration for the high-flexibility of the Ag/BTO-PNG device. Piezoelectric potential (i.e., VOC) generated by the Ag/BTO-PNG at (a) small and (b) large bending positions/conditions. Insets of (a) and (b) also depict the photographic images of Ag/BTO-PNG at the small and large bending conditions, respectively. (c) Photographic images and (d) piezoelectric potentials of the Ag/BTO-PNG device located on a human hand (i.e., in front part of the elbow) at (i) normal, (ii) half-, and (iii) full-fold conditions, respectively. Reproduced with permission of [136]. Copyright 2018 Elsevier.
VI. Conclusion and perspectives

Nanocomposites depicted in this review are composed of a barium titanate core embedded in a fluorinated polymeric shell. BT is as a ferroelectric ceramic mostly used in dielectric material due to its high dielectric constant. However, this ceramic suffer from low breakdown strength and processing difficulties. In the other hand, polymers generally exhibit high breakdown strength and low permittivities. Thus, by combining the advantages of both components, high dielectric constant materials could be achieved. Various fluoropolymers could be used as shells such as poly(fluoroolefins), poly[fluoro(meth)acrylates] of various fluoroalkyl lengths, PVDF and VDF-containing-copolymers. In certain cases, core-shell BaTiO\(_3@\)polymer/Fluorinated polymer nanocomposites have also been reported, in order to take advantages from the inner and outer polymer shell.

In fact, these nanocomposite materials could be obtained by different strategies ranging from “grafting from”, “grafting onto” or blending. The first route requires to modify the BT surface by either a macroinitiator (for ATRP method) or macro chain transfer agent (xanthates or trithiocarbonates for RAFT technique), while the third strategy consists on a simple mixing of the pre-prepared polymer with the functionalized nanoparticles. Actually, the first two routes are efficient since they create covalent and thus strong bonds between the core and the shell. The blending technique has been possible when BT@PMMA has been prepared, followed by mixing it with PVDF, taking into account that PVDF and PMMA are miscible.

In the last decade, a growing interest from such nanocomposites has been highlighted by the wide range of applications, focusing on energy storage devices or piezoelectric systems and it can be expected that designing further materials with various sizes and efficiency for the searched applications will still be challenging and will attract the interest of many researchers.
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Fig. 4. Relative dielectric constant and tan δ at $10^4$ Hz as a function of temperature of BaTiO$_3$ using different sizes (50-1200 nm). Reproduced with permission of [61]. Copyright 2004 American Physical Society.

Fig. 5. Values of dielectric constant of BaTiO$_3$ at room temperature for different porosities. Reproduced with permission of [62]. Copyright 1995 Japan Society of Applied Physics.

Fig. 6. Schematic illustration of three crystalline phases of PVDF. Reproduced with permission of [91]. Copyright 2014 Elsevier.

Fig. 7. Illustration of the formation of the α and β phases formed using thermal and solvent annealing, respectively. Annealing at a temperature below the curie temperature, using solvent annealing, forms a β-phase dominant film whereas a thermal annealing above the phase transition temperature forms an α-phase dominant film. Reproduced with permission of [99]. Copyright 2016 Wiley.

Fig. 8. Relative permittivity of BT/Poly(VDF-co-HFP) composite films prepared with 30 vol.% BaTiO$_3$ coated with pentafluorobenzyl phosphonic acid (BT-PFBPA) and octylphosphonic acid (BT-OPA). Reproduced with permission of [108]. Copyright 2013 Elsevier.

Fig. 9. Illustration of surface modification of BaTiO$_3$ with PFBPA. Reproduced with permission of [74]. Copyright 2009 American Chemical Society.

Fig. 10. Schematic of surface modification processing of BT nanoparticles (a) and the effects of surface modified BT nanoparticles in BT/PVDF nanocomposites (b), (c), and (d). Reproduced with permission of [110]. Copyright 2013 American Institute of Physics.

Fig. 11. Schematic illustration of the preparation process for fluorinated-DOPA@BaTiO$_3$ NWs. Inset is a photograph of a mussel. Reproduced with permission of [111]. Copyright 2017 American Chemical Society.

Fig. 12. DLS hydrodynamic diameter ($D_h$) distribution for (A) BTO@TMPc-PMMA and (B) BTO@R2c-PMMA nanoparticles in DMF. The maximum light scattering intensity is normalized to 100%. Reproduced with permission of [112]. Copyright 2014 Wiley.

Fig. 13. TEM images of the BT@polymer nanoparticles: (A) BT@PMMA1, (B) BT@PMMA2, (C) BT@PTFEMA1 and (D) BT@PTFEMA2. Reproduced with permission of [113]. Copyright 2017 Elsevier.

Fig. 14. Preparation process and characterizations of the nanoparticles with core-shell structure. a) Schematic diagram illustrating the preparation process of the BaTiO$_3$-PrBA by ATRP of tert-butyl acrylate. b) FT-IR spectra of the BaTiO$_3$ at various stages of treatment. c) TGA thermogramms for pure BaTiO$_3$ and BaTiO$_3$-PrBA with different polymer shell
thicknesses. d-f) TEM images of the core-shell structured BaTiO$_3$-PtBA nanoparticles (scale bar 50 nm). Reproduced with permission of [115]. Copyright 2018 American Chemical Society.

**Fig. 15.** (1) Synthesis of BaTiO$_3$-PGMA core-shell nanocomposites by SI-ATRP of glycidyl methacrylate (GMA) from BaTiO$_3$ nanoparticles. (2) Frequency dependent dielectric properties at 100 -1 MHz: (a) PGMA and (b) BaTiO$_3$-PGMA nanocomposite. Reproduced with permission of [25]. Copyright 2015 Wiley.

**Fig. 16.** The measured dc conductivity of neat polymers and BT@shell structured nanocomposites under a dc voltage of 10 V. Reproduced with permission of [116]. Copyright 2016 American Chemical Society.

**Fig. 17.** TEM images of the synthesized poly(methacrylate)@BT nanocomposites using different polymer shells by RAFT polymerization (a) PMMA, (b) PHEMA and (c) PGMA. Reproduced with permission of [73]. Copyright 2014 American Chemical Society.

**Fig. 18.** TEM images of (a) BT-3F0, (b) (c) (d) BT-3F1, (e) BT-3F2, and (f) BT-3F3 functionalized BT nanoparticles via RAFT polymerization of 2,5-bis{[4-trifluoro(methoxyphenyl)oxycarbonyl]styrene}. Reproduced with permission of [86]. Copyright 2018 Royal Society of Chemistry.

**Fig. 19.** (A) TEM images of (a) P-3F@ BaTiO$_3$, (b) P-5F@ BaTiO$_3$, and (c) P-7F@ BaTiO$_3$ nanoparticles and (B) mapping pattern images of BT nanoparticles modified by P-3F. Reproduced with permission of [117]. Copyright 2018 Royal Society of Chemistry.

**Fig. 20.** Expansion of the -64 to -120 ppm region of the $^{19}$F HRMAS spectrum recorded in $d_6$-DMSO of PVDF@BaTiO$_3$ nanocomposite filled with 3 wt % of BaTiO$_3$ (* stands for the spinning bands). Reproduced with permission of [20]. Copyright 2019 Royal Society of Chemistry.

**Fig. 21.** TGA thermograms (under air) of (a) functionalized BaTiO$_3$ nanoparticles and PVDF@BaTiO$_3$ nanocomposites with different BaTiO$_3$ amount (3, 5, 10 and 20 wt %) after centrifugation but not purified and (b) TGA thermograms of BaTiO$_3$/PVDF nanocomposites after centrifugation but not purified and (b) TGA thermograms of BaTiO$_3$/PVDF nanocomposites after several purifications (PVDF@BaTiO$_3$). Reproduced with permission of [20]. Copyright 2019 Royal Society of Chemistry.

**Fig. 22.** (a) TEM image and (b) high-resolution TEM image of BaTiO$_3$-PM7F. Reproduced with permission of [118]. Copyright 2018 Elsevier.

**Fig. 23.** Schematic Illustration for (A) Synthesis of thiol-terminated Polymer Chains via RAFT polymerization and (B) Preparation of Core–Shell Structured Polymer@BaTiO$_3$ Nanocomposites by Thiol–Ene Click Reaction with modified BaTiO$_3$. Reproduced with permission of [119]. Copyright 2014 American Chemical Society.
Fig. 24. (a) Synthesis process for the preparation of thiol-terminated polymers (CTA-1 refers to O-Ethyl-S-(1-methoxycarbonyl)ethylthiocarbonate and CTA-2 stands for 2-((Dodecylsulfanyl)carbonothioyl)sulfanyl)-propanoic acid) and (b) Schematic diagram of the modification process of hybrid nanoparticles. Reproduced with permission of [85]. Copyright 2019 Elsevier.

Fig. 25. TEM images of PVDF@BT (a, b) and PS@BT (c, d) nanocomposites synthesized by thiol-ene click reaction. Reproduced with permission of [85]. Copyright 2019 Elsevier.

Fig. 26. TEM images of the washed PVDF-HFP-GMA grafted BT nanoparticles showing a PVDF-HFP-GMA layer of about 10 nm. Reproduced with permission of [88]. Copyright 2014 Royal Society of Chemistry.

Fig. 27. Schematic illustration of the preparation of three- and two-phase poly(VDF-co-HFP)/BaTiO₃ nanocomposites, respectively. Reproduced with permission of [112]. Copyright 2014 Wiley.

Fig. 28. Relative dielectric constant (εᵣ) and dielectric loss (tan δ) as a function of frequency for (A) uniaxially stretched poly(VDF-co-HFP)/BTO@TMPc-PMMA and (B) uniaxially stretched poly(VDF-co-HFP)/BTO@R2-PMMA nanocomposite films, respectively. Reproduced with permission of [112]. Copyright 2014 Wiley.

Fig. 29. Frequency dependence of room temperature a) dielectric constant and b) loss tangent for PVDF-based films. Reproduced with permission of [115]. Copyright 2018 American Chemical Society.

Fig. 30. Frequency dependence of room temperature (a) dielectric constant and (b) loss tangent for BaTiO₃/PVDF films. Reproduced with permission of [115]. Copyright 2018 American Chemical Society.

Fig. 31. Frequency dependence of dielectric constant and dielectric loss tangent of the polymer@BT based PVDF nanocomposites (a) 10 vol % BT and (b) 20 vol % BT. Reproduced with permission of [73]. Copyright 2014 American Chemical Society.

Fig. 32. Frequency dependence of permittivity and dielectric loss of the BaTiO₃@rigid-fluoro-polymer/poly(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-CTFE) nanocomposites films with (a) BT-3F0, (b) BT-3F1, (c) BT-3F2, and (d) BT-3F3. Reproduced with permission of [86]. Copyright 2018 Royal Society of Chemistry.

Fig. 33. Frequency dependence of (a) permittivities and (b) dielectric loss for BaTiO₃-PM7F/poly(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-CTFE) nanocomposites. Reproduced with permission of [118]. Copyright 2018 Elsevier.
Fig. 34. Frequency dependence of permittivity of the P-nF@BT/P (VDF-TrFE-CTFE) nanocomposite films with (a) P-3F@BaTiO$_3$, (b) P-5F@BaTiO$_3$, and (c) P-7F@BaTiO$_3$. (d) Comparison of the relative permittivity (at 1 kHz) of the nanocomposite with the Maxwell–Wagner model. The permittivity of 100 nm BaTiO$_3$ particles is around 1700. Reproduced with permission of [117]. Copyright 2018 Royal Society of Chemistry.

Fig. 35. Frequency dependence of dielectric constant for HBP@BT/ poly(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-CFE) nanocomposites for different loading of functionalized nanoparticles. Reproduced with permission of [122]. Copyright American Chemical Society 2013.

Fig. 36. (a) Direct current (DC) conductivity ($\sigma_{dc}$) of the CSPEs calculated from complex impedance plots and (b) DC ionic conductivity of pure polymer, SPE loaded with 10 wt. % lithium triflate salt and CSPE loaded with 10 wt. % lithium triflate salt and 4 wt. % BaTiO$_3$. Reproduced with permission of [129]. Copyright 2016 Elsevier.

Fig. 37. Photograph of free-standing and flexible CSPE. Reproduced with permission of [130] Copyright 2018 American Chemical Society.

Fig. 38. (a) Dielectric permittivity constants of different BT NWs volume fractions in P(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-CFE) from 1 kHz to 1 MHz and (b) Energy density of BT/ P(VDF-ter-TrFE-ter-CFE) nanocomposite with different volume fractions of BT as a function of the electric field. Reproduced with permission of [71]. Copyright 2013 Wiley.

Fig. 39. (a) Cross-sectional and (b) Surface SEM image of the BTO/PVDF nanocomposite film, showing a rough interface between the transparent top PVDF layer and the bottom nanocomposite layer. (c) Photograph of the nanocomposite on a flexible aluminum foil substrate, and (d) delaminated from the substrate, showing its continuous polymer nature. Reproduced with permission of [134]. Copyright 2015 Royal Society of Chemistry.

Fig. 40. Demonstration for the high-flexibility of the Ag/BTO-PNG device. Piezoelectric potential (i.e., VOC) generated by the Ag/BTO-PNG at (a) small and (b) large bending positions/conditions. Insets of (a) and (b) also depict the photographic images of Ag/BT O-PNG at the small and large bending conditions, respectively. (c) Photographic images and (d) piezoelectric potentials of the Ag/BTO-PNG device located on a human hand (i.e., in front part of the elbow) at (i) normal, (ii) half-, and (iii) full-fold conditions, respectively. Reproduced with permission of [136]. Copyright 2018 Elsevier.

Schemes

**Scheme 1.** Schematic illustration of (a) the surface modification of BaTiO$_3$ and (b) the synthesis process of BaTiO$_3$ based polymer nanocomposites, where X stands for -Br (Atom
transfer radical polymerization, ATRP); –SC(S)Z (Reversible addition fragmentation chain transfer agent polymerization, RAFT), Y stands for alkyne, R stands for Azide (click chemistry), while C is assigned to coupling agent (e.g; silane agent, dopamine, phosphonic acid…)

Scheme 2. Schematic illustration of the preparation of PVDF/BT nanocomposite. Reproduced with permission of [109]. Copyright 2014 Elsevier

Scheme 3. Preparation of PPFOMA@BaTiO₃ by SI-ATRP of 1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluoroctyl methacrylate (PPFOMA). Reproduced with permission of [114]. Copyright 2013 Elsevier

Scheme 4. Diagram illustrating the preparation processes of BT@shell structured nanocomposites. Reproduced with permission of [116]. Copyright 2016 American Chemical Society

Scheme 5. Preparation process of the polymer@BT nanoparticles by surface initiated RAFT polymerization of various monomer (methyl methacrylate, glycidyl methacrylate and 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate). Reproduced with permission of [73]. Copyright 2014 American Chemical Society

Scheme 6. Synthesis process of fluoropolymer@BaTiO₃ nanoparticles starting from BaTiO₃ surface functionalization then RAFT polymerization of three kinds of aromatic fluoromonomers. Reproduced with permission of [117]. Copyright 2018 Royal Society of Chemistry

Scheme 7. Sketch illustrating the synthesis process of PVDF@BaTiO₃ nanocomposites by RAFT polymerization of VDF in the presence of BaTiO₃ nanoparticles bearing xanthates functions (TBPPi stands for tert-butyl peroxypropionate). Reproduced with permission of [20]. Copyright 2019 Royal Society of Chemistry

Scheme 8. Synthetic route to M7F styrene. Reproduced with permission of [118]. Copyright 2018 Elsevier

Scheme 9. Illustration for the preparation of BT@polymer/PVDF nanocomposite films. Reproduced with permission of [113]. Copyright 2017 Elsevier

Scheme 10. Preparation of fluoro-polymer@BaTiO₃ nanoparticles and poly(VDF-co-HFP) nanocomposite films. Reproduced with permission of [27]. Copyright 2013 American chemical Society

Scheme 12. Schematic illustration of the synthetic process of the core@double shell nanocomposites (PS@BT/PVDF or PVDF@BT/PVDF). Reproduced with permission of [85]. Copyright 2019 Elsevier.
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