

# Adverse reactions related to brentuximab vedotin use: A real-life retrospective study

B. Clarivet, L. Vincent, L. Vergely, V. Bres, K. Foglia, G. Cartron, D.

Hillaire-Buys, J-L. Faillie

### ▶ To cite this version:

B. Clarivet, L. Vincent, L. Vergely, V. Bres, K. Foglia, et al.. Adverse reactions related to brentuximab vedotin use: A real-life retrospective study. Thérapie, 2019, 74 (3), pp.343-346. 10.1016/j.therap.2018.07.004 . hal-02555942

# HAL Id: hal-02555942 https://hal.umontpellier.fr/hal-02555942v1

Submitted on 25 Oct 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

## Therapie

## **Rubrique : Pharmacovigilance**

Epub ahead of print

Adverse reactions related to brentuximab vedotin use: A real-life retrospective study

Béatrice Clarivet<sup>a</sup>, Laure Vincent<sup>b</sup>, Laurence Vergely<sup>c</sup>, Virginie Bres<sup>a</sup>, Kathleen Foglia<sup>a</sup>, Guillaume Cartron<sup>b</sup>, Dominique Hillaire-Buys<sup>a</sup>, Jean-Luc Faillie<sup>a,d,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of medical pharmacology and toxicology, Montpellier university hospital-Montpellier, France

<sup>b</sup> Department of hematology, Montpellier university hospital, Montpellier, France

<sup>c</sup> Clinical oncology pharmacy unit, Montpellier university hospital, Montpellier, France

<sup>d</sup> Laboratory of biostatistics, epidemiology and public health (EA 2415), faculty of medicine, university of Montpellier, Montpellier, France

Text received 19 February 2018; accepted 28 May 2018

\***Corresponding author**. Laboratory of biostatistics, epidemiology and public health (EA 2415), faculty of medicine, university of Montpellier, 371, avenue du doyen Gaston Giraud, 34295 Montpellier cedex, France.

*E-mail address*: jean-luc.faillie@umontpellier.fr (J.-L. Faillie)

#### Summary

Post-marketing data regarding brentuximab vedotin (BV) are sparse. The aim of this study was to assess the frequency and nature of significant adverse drug reactions (ADR) in patients treated with BV in a real-world setting. We conducted a systematic retrospective study of patients treated with BV in a French university hospital. Significant ADR were collected using the electronic patient records. Between January 2009 and December 2016, 39 patients received BV. Median age was 43.2 and 53.8% were males. Overall, 20 patients (51.3%) experienced at least one significant ADR and 24 reactions were reported in total. Twelve (50%) out of 24 ADR were severe. The most frequently observed significant ADR were peripheral sensory neuropathy and CMV reactivation. ADR led to drug discontinuation for 4 patients and dose reduction for 6 patients. Only 29.2% of the events were spontaneous reported. Prospective monitoring is needed to better assess BV safety.

#### **KEYWORDS**

Brentuximab vedotin; Hodgkin lymphoma; Systemic anaplastic large cell lymphoma; Adverse drug reactions; Post-marketing surveillance

#### Abbreviations

ADR: adverse drug reactions ALCL: anaplastic large cell lymphoma ASCT: autologous stem cell transplantation BV: brentuximab vedotin CMV: cytomegalovirus CTCAE: common toxicity criteria of adverse events EMA: European medicines agency FDA: Food and drug administration HL: Hodgkin lymphoma MMAE: mono methyl auristatin E

#### Introduction

Hodgkin lymphoma (HL) and systemic anaplastic large cell lymphoma (ALCL) both express CD30, a cytokine receptor. Standard treatments for HL and systemic ALCL are effective for most patients, but relapse or refractory concern up to 30-40% for patients with advanced-stage HL [1]. The standard of care for patients with relapsed or refractory disease is high dose chemotherapy followed by autologous stem cell transplantation (ASCT), but these therapies are associated with frequent relapse (50%) [2]. For this group of patients, available options are limited [2].

CD30 is expressed on malignant Hodgkin Reed-Sternberg cells and in malignant cells of systemic ALCL, but has limited expression on healthy tissues [3]; it's therefore an attractive target for innovative treatments. Brentuximab vedotin (BV) is a chimeric IgG1 directed against CD30 which is conjugated with an antimitotic agent, the mono methyl auristatin E (MMAE). After binding to CD30-expressing cell, the complex is internalized and the MMAE component is released intracellularly, causing disruption of microtubules and consequent apoptosis [4].

In 2012, BV has been approved by the US Food and frug administration (FDA) and the European medicines agency (EMA) for the treatment of HL after failure of ASCT or at least two prior therapies for patients who are not candidate for ASCT, and for the treatment of systemic ALCL after failure of at least one prior therapy [5]. In 2016, regulatory authorities (FDA and EMA) extended the indication of BV to the treatment of adult patients with CD30+ HL at increased risk of relapse or progression following ASCT [6]. In clinical studies, the most frequently adverse drug reactions (ADR) described with BV for the treatment of HL were peripheral sensory neuropathy, nausea, fatigue, neutropenia, diarrhea, pyrexia, vomiting, arthralgia, pruritus, myalgia, peripheral motor neuropathy, and alopecia [7]. However, post-authorization data are needed to confirm the safety profile [8]. Hence, the objective of this study was to assess the frequency and nature of significant ADR in patients treated with BV in a real-world setting.

#### **Methods**

We performed a single-center, observational, retrospective study in the university hospital of Montpellier, France. Patients who received at least one injection of BV between January 2009 and December 2016 were included. The list of patients was provided by the clinical oncology pharmacy unit. Dates and number of injections, dosage and type of chemotherapy regimen were recorded

using the electronic chemotherapy prescribing system. For each patient, medical history, concomitant treatment medical reports, clinical and paraclinical data were collected using electronic patient record and significant ADR (excluding expected side effects related to chemotherapy treatments such as nausea, vomiting, neutropenia, fatigue, etc.) were searched. For each new identified ADR, a causality assessment was performed according to the French method for the causality assessment of ADR [9] and cases were recorded in the French pharmacovigilance database. An ADR was defined as severe if it refers to grade 3 to 5 of the common toxicity criteria of adverse events (CTCAE) version 4.

#### Results

Overall, 39 patients received at least one injection of BV between January 2009 and December 2016. Median age was 43.2 years (min. - max.: 14.1 - 82.7) and 53.8% were males. The indication of BV was refractory or relapse HL for 30 patients (76.9%) and systemic ALCL for 6 patients (15.4%). Three patients (7.7%) were treated by BV for other types of lymphoma. The median number of injection was 5 (min. - max.: 1 - 23). BV was used alone for 23 patients and used with a concomitant chemotherapy for 16 patients. Bendamustine was associated with BV in 12 patients (30.8%) and other chemotherapies used concomitantly with BV were: cisplatin, dacarbazine, doxorubicin, vinblastine, gemcitabine, and vinorelbine. Eleven patients (28.2%) underwent ASCT before BV treatment. Overall, 20 patients (51.3%) experienced at least one significant ADR: for grade 1-2 of the CTCAE, only nervous system disorders, skin disorders, hepatobiliary disorders and infections were studied; for grade 3 to 5 of the CTCAE all types of ADR were taken into account. In total, 24 reactions were reported: one patient had 4 ADR (infectious colitis, cytomegalovirus [CMV] infection, paralytic ileus and peripheral neuropathy) and another patient experienced 2 ADR (paralytic ileus and peripheral neuropathy). Twelve out of 24 ADR were considered severe (50%) (grade 3 to 5 of the CTCAE). The most frequently observed significant ADR was peripheral sensory neuropathy (Table 1). In 4 cases, BV was discontinued because of ADR and for 6 patients a dose reduction was done. Four out of 12 patients treated by the association BV-bendamustine experienced an ADR (including 4 CMV infections) and 8 out of 11 patients who underwent ACST before BV presented an ADR (including 2 CMV infections). No CMV was detected or searched for patients with colitis or hepatitis. Previous chemotherapies according to the type of ADR are reported in Table 2.

Among all the cases, 7 (29.2%) were spontaneous reported to the referent pharmacovigilance centre (Annex 1) and one was notified to the manufacturer.

#### Discussion

We conducted a post approval study of brentuximab-related ADR. About half of patients treated by brentuximab vedotin showed at least one ADR and the most common effects were peripheral neuropathy (33.3%) and CMV infections (25%). However, we focused on significant uncommon ADR and did not report common side effects related to chemotherapy (nausea, vomiting, aplasia, etc.).

Concomitant and previous treatment should be taken into account. Recently, a safety signal was issued by regulatory authorities concerning an increased incidence of opportunistic infections (including herpes zoster, CMV and hepatitis B) after treatment with bendamustine [10]. Indeed, it has been shown that patients treated by bendamustine presented prolonged lymphocytopenia and low CD4-positive T-cell counts, for at least 7-9 months, which may increase the risk of opportunistic infections [11]. In our study, among the 6 patients who developed a CMV reactivation, 4 had a concomitant treatment with bendamustine and 1 patient had a prior chemotherapy with bendamustine in the 6 months before the reactivation. One patient with CMV reactivation did not receive bendamustine (either before or during BV treatment) and among the 4 patients with concomitant treatment with bendamustine and CMV reactivation, half did not have lymphopenia (data not shown). These data suggest a role of BV in CMV reactivation. CMV infections (as other herpes viruses infections) are more frequent in patients with hematological malignancies [12]. However, there is a potential link to the specific anti-CD30 effect of BV and CMV infection [13]. Bekiaris et al. [14] showed that NK cells protect secondary lymphoid tissue from CMV. Within the affected organ, CMV infection triggers morphological changes and a general reduction in cellularity due to excessive apoptosis. These conditions favor the up regulation of CD30 on the surface of NK cells, which explains that NK cells express high level of CD30 in CMV infection. It appeared that expression of CD30 integrates survival signals to NK cells that allow them to prevent viral spread and subsequent disintegration of secondary lymphoid tissue. Failure to express CD30 results in escape of virus and tissue integration. BV is an antibody drug conjugate directed to CD30. Its conjugate binds to cells which express CD30, of which NK cells, precluding the up regulation of CD30 expression and consequently prevent NK survival and secondary lymphoid tissue remodeling. Therefore, it can be proposed that the use of BV may selectively reactivate CMV infection and possibly other herpes viruses. Besides, because of the non-specific manifestations of CMV disease, its incidence is probably underestimated.

Our results are consistent with the literature. A literature review found peripheral neuropathy as the most clinically significant BV related toxicity [7]. In a small clinical trial, CMV viremia was reported in 5 out of 25 patients (20.0%) treated with BV [15]. In this study, concomitant treatments especially with bendamustine were not reported. CMV reactivations occurred in our center have been previously detailed [13]. Monitoring CMV viral load in asymptomatic individuals who are receiving BV may not be practicable in treatment centers but it is important for clinicians to be aware of the potential risk for CMV infection and screening should be systematic in individuals who develop signs or symptoms compatible with a CMV disease. In our study, in a case of CMV pneumonitis, the virus was isolated by PCR in the broncho-alveolar fluid but not in the blood. Indeed, it seems that tissue PCR has a better sensitivity and that negative blood PCR did not exclude a CMV disease [16,17].

In 10 cases, ADR led to BV discontinuation or dose reduction. In the summary of product characteristics, the manufacturer specifies the dose adjustments in case of neuropathy or neutropenia. No details are given for other type of adverse events, and the question of loss of chance of cure may arise.

Our results highlight the importance of systematic post approval pharmacovigilance studies. Indeed, only 29.2% of the ADR were spontaneous reported, that underlining again the importance of under-reporting in pharmacovigilance. Moreover, safety in pediatric population and in the elderly was not established through clinical trials [8]. A phase IV study would be useful to explore systematic CMV detection. A prospective monitoring would indeed represent the optimal practice for innovative molecule such as BV to better assess its safety profile and optimal management of ADR.

#### **Disclosure of interest**

Authors have no conflict of interest to declare

#### References

 Kuruvilla J. Standard therapy of advanced Hodgkin lymphoma. ASH Educ Program Book 2009 Jan 1;2009(1):497–506.

[2] Rancea M, von Tresckow B, Monsef I, Engert A, Skoetz N. High-dose chemotherapy followed by autologous stem cell transplantation for patients with relapsed or refractory Hodgkin lymphoma: A systematic review with meta-analysis. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2014 Oct;92(1):1–10.

[3] Deutsch YE, Tadmor T, Podack ER, Rosenblatt JD. CD30: an important new target in hematologic malignancies. Leuk Lymphoma 2011 Sep 1;52(9):1641–54.

[4] Glimelius I, Diepstra A. Novel treatment concepts in Hodgkin lymphoma. J Intern Med 2017 Mar;281(3):247–60.

[5] Claro RA de, McGinn K, Kwitkowski V, Bullock J, Khandelwal A, Habtemariam B, et al. U.S. Food and drug administration approval summary: brentuximab vedotin for the treatment of relapsed Hodgkin lymphoma or relapsed systemic anaplastic large-cell lymphoma. Clin Cancer Res 2012 Nov 1;18(21):5845–9.

[6] European medicines agency - Human medicines - Adcetris. March 2016.http://www.ema.europa.eu/ [Accessed 10 July 2018]

[7] Oak E, Bartlett NL. A safety evaluation of brentuximab vedotin for the treatment of Hodgkin lymphoma. Expert Opin Drug Saf 2016 juin;15(6):875–82.

[8] Gravanis I, Tzogani K, van Hennik P, de Graeff P, Schmitt P, Mueller-Berghaus J, et al. The European medicines agency review of brentuximab vedotin (Adcetris) for the treatment of adult patients with relapsed or refractory CD30+ Hodgkin lymphoma or systemic anaplastic large cell lymphoma: summary of the scientific assessment of the committee for medicinal products for human use. The Oncologist 2016 Jan;21(1):102–9.

[9] Arimone Y, Bidault I, Dutertre JP, Gérardin M, Guy C, Haramburu F, et al. Réactualisation de la méthode française d'imputabilité des effets indésirables des médicaments. Therapie 2011 Nov 1;66(6):517–25.

[10] Bendamustine (Levact et son générique): augmentation de la mortalité observée dans des études récentes - Lettre aux professionnels de santé - ANSM: Agence nationale de sécurité du médicament et des produits de santé. April 2017. http://ansm.sante.fr/S-informer/Informations-de-securite-Lettres-aux-professionnels-de-sante/Bendamustine-Levact-et-son-generique-augmentation-de-la-mortalite-observee-dans-des-etudes-recentes-Lettre-aux-professionnels-de-sante [Accessed 10 July 2018]

[11] Saito H, Maruyama D, Maeshima AM, Makita S, Kitahara H, Miyamoto K, et al. Prolonged lymphocytopenia after bendamustine therapy in patients with relapsed or refractory indolent B-cell and mantle cell lymphoma. Blood Cancer J 2015 Oct;5(10):e362.

[12] Wade JC. Viral infections in patients with hematological malignancies. ASH Educ Program Book 2006 Jan 1;2006(1):368–74.

[13] Tudesq JJ, Vincent L, Lebrun J, Hicheri Y, Gabellier L, Busetto T, et al. Cytomegalovirus infection with retinitis after brentuximab vedotin treatment for CD30+ lymphoma. OFID 2017 https://watermark.silverchair.com/ofx091.pdf?token=AQECAHi208BE49Ooan9kkhW\_Ercy7Dm3 ZL\_9Cf3qfKAc485ysgAAAa4wggGqBgkqhkiG9w0BBwagggGbMIIBlwIBADCCAZAGCSqGSIb 3DQEHATAeBglghkgBZQMEAS4wEQQMJzUoAf5B3WYyW6g4AgEQgIIBYf1Xht83hWq11Sj b1kzb1SBBA-5QodLBtw0YPOqHXctjAKlmbGSLT880MVgLoUjdZpaAqEo-nbYRYGHLBBSZ\_8\_XZPLIY6ke\_QGNi4U-

zYeQDK3Fm9wjOWxnd7d3mSIuHQlBzz\_vUPkS63WEkXTaOFl\_PPL860Zd2H5Agnu\_18FvF6u 1zMjn7WYTPHdjCQH9yxFNfHtvLjBYLLyWa8RXGqY1Ys6Rk\_bRBagj03y41DvdnZnI7PXlqIgf I2A3LIAQ67pfNDIbw0LqA-

 $XKFXzGzxYUCL5gzEtXJDOszeoFq7lwdO4zzE972xZXimmrFeTjT1rR\_-$ 

wRREmvsoip7HDBnvHLdEtPojjooQdQ-5UFbrt-

m\_wFuSr0D0brfRUIRFoK5W4GCXHQjjcIRX3AcUH98\_g8Gsnze8fs6k2aCN-

rKLFY8ujVdBW1VhVkp9LgUSBew8KTqPomAVjNNnmy1penGzOk [Accessed 10 July 2018 (3 pp.)]

[14] Bekiaris V, Gaspal F, McConnell FM, Kim MY, Withers DR, Sweet C, et al. NK cells protect secondary lymphoid tissue from cytomegalovirus via a CD30-dependent mechanism. Eur J Immunol 2009 Oct;39(10):2800–8.

[15] Gopal AK, Ramchandren R, O'Connor OA, Berryman RB, Advani RH, Chen R, et al. Safety and efficacy of brentuximab vedotin for Hodgkin lymphoma recurring after allogeneic stem cell transplantation. Blood 2012 Jul 19;120(3):560–8.

[16] Burston J, van Hal S, Dubedat S, Lee A. Inclusions or bystanders? CMV PCR sensitivity and specificity in tissue samples. J Clin Virol 2017 mai;90:38–9.

[17] Tandon P, James P, Cordeiro E, Mallick R, Shukla T, McCurdy JD. Diagnostic accuracy of blood-based tests and histopathology for cytomegalovirus reactivation in inflammatory bowel disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2017 Apr;23(4):551–60.

	All ADR (n = 24)		Severe ADR (CTCAE grade 3 to 5) [n = 12]	
	n	%	n	%
Peripheral sensory neuropathy	8	33.3	0	0.0
CMV infection	6	25.0	6	50.0
Paralytic ileus	3	12.5	2	16.7
Infectious colitis	3	12.5	3	25.0
Cholestatic hepatitis	1	4.2	0	0.0
Skin reaction	1	4.2	0	0.0
Shock, unspecified	1	4.2	1	8.3
Zona	1	4.2	0	0.0

**Table 1.** Adverse drug reactions (ADR) occurring in patients treated by brentuximab vedotin

CMV: cytomegalovirus; CTCAE: common toxicity criteria of adverse events

	Peripheral sensory neuropathy	CMV infection	Paralytic ileus	Infectious colitis
	$(\mathbf{n}=8)$	( <b>n</b> = 6)	(n = 3)	(n = 3)
	n	n	n	n
Nitrogen mustards $(n = 11)$	6	4	2	2
Nitrosoureas $(n = 6)$	2	1	3	0
Platinum salts $(n = 7)$	3	3	1	1
Other alkylating agents $(n = 4)$	1	1	1	1
Cytotoxic antibiotics $(n = 4)$	1	1	1	1
Pyrimidine analog $(n = 10)$	5	3	2	1
Purine analog $(n = 2)$	1	0	1	0
Anthracyclines $(n = 11)$	4	5	3	2
Topoisomerase II inhibitors (n $= 10$ )	3	3	2	1
Miscellaneous $(n = 2)$	1	0	1	0
Monoclonal antibodies $(n = 3)$	1	1	1	0
Vinca-alkaloïds $(n = 10)$	5	5	2	2

**Table 2.** Most frequent BV-related adverse drug reactions according to previous chemotherapies (n = 16)

BV: brentuximab vedotin; CMV: cytomegalovirus