

Projection of Long-Term Visual Acuity Outcomes Based on Initial Treatment Response in Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration

Vuong Quoc Nguyen, Vincent Daien, Robyn Guymer, Stephanie Young, Alex Hunyor, Samantha Fraser-Bell, Adrian Hunt, Mark Gillies, Daniel Barthelmes

▶ To cite this version:

Vuong Quoc Nguyen, Vincent Daien, Robyn Guymer, Stephanie Young, Alex Hunyor, et al.. Projection of Long-Term Visual Acuity Outcomes Based on Initial Treatment Response in Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration. BMC Ophthalmology, 2019, 126 (1), pp.64-74. 10.1016/j.ophtha.2018.08.023. hal-02555483

HAL Id: hal-02555483 https://hal.umontpellier.fr/hal-02555483v1

Submitted on 30 May 2024 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Zurich Open Repository and Archive University of Zurich University Library Strickhofstrasse 39 CH-8057 Zurich www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2019

Projection of long-term visual acuity outcomes based on initial treatment response in neovascular age-related macular degeneration

Nguyen, Vuong ; Daien, Vincent ; Guymer, Robyn ; Young, Stephanie ; Hunyor, Alex ; Fraser-Bell, Samantha ; Hunt, Adrian ; Gillies, Mark C ; Barthelmes, Daniel

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2018.08.023

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich ZORA URL: https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-153377 Journal Article Accepted Version

Originally published at:

Nguyen, Vuong; Daien, Vincent; Guymer, Robyn; Young, Stephanie; Hunyor, Alex; Fraser-Bell, Samantha; Hunt, Adrian; Gillies, Mark C; Barthelmes, Daniel (2019). Projection of long-term visual acuity outcomes based on initial treatment response in neovascular age-related macular degeneration. Ophthalmology, 126(1):64-74. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2018.08.023

Accepted Manuscript

Projection of long-term visual acuity outcomes based on initial treatment response in neovascular age-related macular degeneration

Vuong Nguyen, PhD, Vincent Daien, MD PhD, Robyn Guymer, MBBS PhD, Stephanie Young, MBBS, Alex Hunyor, MBBS, Samantha Fraser-Bell, MBBS PhD, Adrian Hunt, MBBS, Mark C. Gillies, MBBS PhD, Daniel Barthelmes, MD PhD

PII: S0161-6420(18)30673-0

DOI: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2018.08.023

Reference: OPHTHA 10444

To appear in: Ophthalmology

Received Date: 15 March 2018

Revised Date: 9 August 2018

Accepted Date: 17 August 2018

Please cite this article as: Nguyen V, Daien V, Guymer R, Young S, Hunyor A, Fraser-Bell S, Hunt A, Gillies MC, Barthelmes D, the Fight Retinal Blindness! Study Group, Projection of long-term visual acuity outcomes based on initial treatment response in neovascular age-related macular degeneration, *Ophthalmology* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2018.08.023.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



- 3
- 4 Vuong Nguyen PhD¹, Vincent Daien MD PhD^{1,2,3}, Robyn Guymer MBBS PhD⁴, Stephanie
- 5 Young MBBS⁵, Alex Hunyor MBBS^{1,6,7}, Samantha Fraser-Bell MBBS PhD^{1,6,7}, Adrian Hunt
- 6 MBBS⁸, Mark C Gillies MBBS PhD^{1,7} and Daniel Barthelmes MD PhD^{1,9}; the Fight Retinal
- 7 Blindness! Study Group
- 8 ¹The Save Sight Institute, Sydney Medical School, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW
- ⁹ ²Department of Ophthalmology, Gui De Chauliac Hospital, Montpellier, F-34000, France
- ³Inserm, U1061, Montpellier, F-34093, France

⁴Centre for Eye Research Australia, University of Melbourne, Royal Victorian Eye and Ear

- 12 Hospital, Australia
- 13 ⁵Gladesville Retina, NSW, Australia
- 14 ⁶Retina Associates, Chatswood, NSW, Australia
- 15 ⁷Sydney Eye Hospital, NSW, Australia
- 16 ⁸Department of Ophthalmology, Westmead Hospital, NSW, Australia
- ⁹Department of Ophthalmology, University Hospital Zurich, University of Zurich, Zurich,
- 18 Switzerland
- 19 **Financial Interests:** Supported by a grant from the Royal Australian NZ College of
- 20 Ophthalmologists Eye Foundation (2007-2009), a grant from the National Health and
- 21 Medical Research Council, Australia (NHMRC 2010-2012) and a grant from the Macular
- 22 Disease Foundation, Australia. Mark Gillies is a Sydney Medical Foundation Fellow and is
- 23 supported by an NHMRC practitioner fellowship. Robyn Guymer is supported by an
- 24 NHMRC research fellowship. Daniel Barthelmes was supported by the Walter and Gertrud
- 25 Siegenthaler Foundation Zurich, Switzerland and the Swiss National Foundation. Vincent
- 26 Daien was supported by the research grant of the French Society of Ophthalmology. Funding
- 27 was also provided by Novartis and Bayer. These supporting organisations had no role in the
- 28 design or conduct of the research.
- 29 Corresponding Author: Vuong Nguyen, 8 Macquarie Street, The Save Sight Institute,
- 30 Sydney Medical School, The University of Sydney, Sydney, 2000, NSW, Australia. Email:
- 31 phuc.nguyen@sydney.edu.au

32 Abstract

- **Purpose:** To explore various methods for assessing the early response to vascular endothelial
- 34 growth factor (VEGF) inhibitors for neovascular age-related macular degeneration and
- 35 investigate their association with 3 year visual acuity (VA) outcomes.
- **Design:** Observational study from a prospectively collected registry.
- **Participants:** Treatment-naïve eyes in the Fight Retinal Blindness! outcomes registry that
- commenced anti-VEGF therapy between 1st January 2007 and 1st March 2014 that received
- 39 3 anti-VEGF injections within the first 3 months.
- 40 **Methods:** The early response was defined as occurring up until the 4th injection. Various
- 41 early response metrics, which included both continuous and categorical variables, were
- 42 explored: 1) achieving good VA (\geq 70 letters [20/40]), 2) absolute change in VA from
- 43 baseline, 3) time to first grading of the choroidal neovascular lesion as inactive, 4) maximum
- 44 rate of VA change between successive injections.
- 45 Main Outcome Measures: Proportion of eyes achieving \geq 70 letters at 3 years.

Results: This study included 2051 treatment-naïve eyes from 1828 patients. Achieving good 46 47 vision at 3 years was significantly associated with 1) having good vision by the 4th injection (odds ratio [95% CI]: 9.8 [6.5, 14.7] for VA≥70 vs. VA<70 letters), 2) small (1-5 letters) or 48 large (>5 letters) early VA gains (1.8 [1.2, 2.6], P = 0.002 and 1.8 [1.3, 2.5], P < 0.001 vs. 49 eyes with early VA loss), 3) fewer injections until first grading of lesion inactivity (1.6 [1.2, 50 2.1], P < 0.001 for ≤ 3 vs. >3 injections), 4) gradual change (between -4 and 4 letters) or rapid 51 (>5 letters) gains between successive injections (1.7 [1.1, 2.6], P = 0.015 and 1.6 [1.1, 2.3], P52 53 = 0.018 for gradual change and rapid gain vs. rapid loss). Eves that achieved small or large 54 early gains achieved similar vision at 3 years (65.0 and 64.7 letters respectively), and had better vision than eyes with early VA loss (57.2 letters). 55

56 Conclusions: Attainment of good vision by the 4th injection was strongly associated with 3 57 year visual outcomes, while other early response parameters had a moderate association. The 58 early response during the initial 3 monthly loading doses can be a useful guide for subsequent 59 treatment decisions.

60

61 Introduction

Large variations in the response to vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) inhibitors in 62 patients with neovascular age-related macular degeneration (nAMD), as reported in clinical 63 and observational studies, have been attributed to a number of factors, notably demographic 64 and clinical characteristics at baseline and treatment protocols.¹⁻⁷ Baseline clinical 65 characteristics such as age, lesion size and lesion subtype in particular have been identified in 66 multiple studies as predictive of visual outcomes.^{1, 2, 8, 9} In addition, several studies have 67 assessed the effect of genetic factors on treatment outcomes, but these associations are 68 weaker or non-existent.^{4, 10-12} By contrast, the visual acuity (VA) at presentation is one of the 69 strongest predictors of long-term outcomes, whereby eyes with poor starting VA are more 70 likely to achieve larger gains in vision, but have worse final vision than those that present 71 with good VA.^{4, 5, 8} 72

While VEGF inhibitors have generally been shown to provide good visual outcomes for 73 nAMD, some eyes do not respond well to treatment.⁵ Predictive markers based on an eye's 74 early response to treatment may assist in making subsequent treatment decisions and guiding 75 76 patient expectations. A post-hoc analysis of the Comparison of AMD Treatments (CATT) cohort identified the 12 week change in VA to provide significantly more predictive power 77 for 2 year outcomes compared with the baseline and 4 week response.¹³ In the present study. 78 we explored various metrics for measuring the early response to treatment with VEGF 79 inhibitors, and assessed their ability to predict 3 year visual outcomes. We also assessed 80 whether these early response markers provided additional predictive power that could not 81 already be inferred from the baseline vision. 82

83

84 Methods

85 This study followed the STROBE checklist items for reporting observational study data.¹⁴

86

87 Study Design

88 Observational study using data from a prospectively collected registry.

90 Setting

Data were obtained from the Fight Retinal Blindness! (FRB!) database, a large international 91 92 registry that tracks real-world outcomes of treatment of nAMD. The FRB! database is compliant with the International Consortium for Healthcare Outcome Measurement's 93 (ICHOM) minimum standard set of treatment outcomes for macular degeneration.¹⁵ Further 94 details of the FRB! database have been published elsewhere.¹⁶ Ethics approval was obtained 95 from the Human Research Ethics Committees of the Royal Victorian Eye and Ear Hospital, 96 the Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Ophthalmologists, the University of 97 98 Sydney and the Cantonal Ethics Committee Zurich, Switzerland. This study conformed to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. 99

100

101 Data Sources/Measurements

The FRB! system collects data from each clinical visit, including the number of letters read 102 on a logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (LogMAR) VA Chart (best of 103 uncorrected, corrected or pinhole), treatment given, choroidal neovascular (CNV) lesion 104 activity, as judged by the treating physician based on funduscopy, optical coherence 105 tomography imaging or fluorescein angiography alone or in combination (an active grading 106 107 indicated the presence of "intraretinal or subretinal fluid attributable to leak from choroidal neovascularisation lesion or fresh haemorrhage"), and ocular adverse events. Previous 108 109 treatments received, lesion subtype as determined by the practitioner based on retinal 110 angiography and lesion size (greatest linear dimension, GLD) were recorded during the baseline visit. Treatment decisions, including drug choice and treatment frequency, were at 111 112 the discretion of the practitioner in consultation with the patient, thereby reflecting real-world practice. 113

We explored several avenues for assessing the early response. Most protocols for treating nAMD generally start with a loading of 3 injections of a VEGF inhibitor at monthly intervals regardless of the treatment regimen.^{17, 18} Thus, the early response was specified to occur at the time the 4th injection was due. The metrics for measuring the early response and the expected relationship with long-term outcomes are described below:

89

119	1.	Achieving good vision, defined as having \geq 70 letters (20/40 vision)
120	2.	Absolute change in VA from baseline, defined as the change in VA from baseline,
121		was analysed as a continuous variable and as a categorical variable based on the
122		following groups:
123		1. <i>Early Loss:</i> ≤ 0 letter improvement i.e. loss of vision or no change in vision
124		2. Small Early Gain: 1-5 letter improvement
125		3. Large Early Gain: >5 letter improvement
126	3.	Time to CNV Inactivity, defined by the lesion activity status. Following the
127		definitions from a previous FRB! study, ¹⁹ we defined the following groups:
128		1. Short Induction: Eye required ≤ 3 injections until the first grading of the CNV
129		lesion as inactive
130		2. <i>Long Induction:</i> Eye required >3 injections before the lesion was graded as
131		inactive. This included eyes whose CNV lesion remained active throughout the 3
132		year study period.
133	4.	Maximum rate of VA change, defined as the highest rate of change in VA between
134		two successive injections until the 4th injection was due and converted to a
135		standardised rate of letter change per 4 weeks. This rate of change was analysed as a
136		continuous variable and as a categorical variable based on the following groups:
137		1. <i>Rapid Loss:</i> Largest VA change between successive injections >5 letter loss per 4
138		weeks
139		2. <i>Gradual Change:</i> Largest VA change between successive injections between -4
140		and 4 letters per 4 weeks
141		3. <i>Rapid Gain:</i> Maximum of \geq 5 letter improvement per 4 weeks.
142		

143 Participants

Treatment-naïve eyes with nAMD tracked by the FRB! registry commencing anti-VEGF therapy between 1st January 2007 and 1st March 2014 were considered, thereby allowing all eyes the possibility of completing at least 3 years of follow-up at the time the analysis was conducted. For inclusion, eyes were also required to have received 3 monthly anti-VEGF injections as a loading dose to establish the early response and limit the possibility that poor early response was due to under-treatment. Completers were defined as eyes completing 3 years of follow-up while non-completers were eyes that did not complete 3 years of follow-up.

152 Outcome Measures

- 153 The primary outcome was the proportion of eyes achieving $VA \ge 70$ letters at 3 years.
- 154 Secondary outcomes included the change in VA at 3 years and non-completion rates.

155

156 Statistical Analysis

- 157 Descriptive data included the mean, standard deviation (SD), median, 25th and 75th
- 158 percentiles (Q1, Q3), and percentages where appropriate. Baseline demographics were
- 159 compared using ANOVA, Kruskal-Wallis, t-test, Wilcoxon rank sum and Chi-square tests.
- 160 Longitudinal generalised additive models were used to plot longitudinal visual outcomes over
- 161 3 years of treatment and included data from completers and non-completers. ^{20, 21}
- 162 The early response was analysed according to the 4 definitions described above. Logistic
- regression models were also performed with the VA at 3 years as a categorical variable (<70
- 164 letters vs. \geq 70 letters) and odds ratios reported. Linear mixed-effects models were used to
- assess the relationship between the change in VA and final VA at 3 years and early response
- 166 definitions. Since these early responses were likely to be correlated, separate models were fit
- 167 for each definition. Injection frequency was analysed using Poisson regression models with
- 168 an offset for log follow-up duration (days). Cox-proportional hazards models were used to
- 169 assess non-completion rates and visualised using Kaplan-Meier survival curves.
- 170 Covariates for linear, Poisson and Cox-proportional hazards models also included
 171 adjustments for age, lesion size, lesion type (fixed-effects) and clustering by practice and
- 172 patient (random-effects).
- 173 Baseline VA was not included as a covariate to avoid potential multicollinearity with the
- early responses. Instead, separate models were fitted with baseline VA instead of the early
- response to determine whether using the early response is better than simply using the
- 176 baseline VA for predicting outcomes. Models were compared using marginal R^2 values for
- 177 mixed-models.²² We also report Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) for model comparison
- 178 where smaller values indicate better fit. Sensitivity analyses were conducted in which only

one eye per patient was analysed for bilateral patients; either the first presenting eye or theworse presenting eye if both eyes were diagnosed simultaneously.

- 181 Pairwise comparisons were performed using the Holm-Bonferroni adjustment where
- appropriate. A p-value of 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
- 183 All analyses were conducted in R version 3.3.2 using the *lme4* package (V1.1-13) for mixed-
- 184 effects models and *coxme* package (V2.2-5) for Cox-proportional hazards models.²³⁻²⁵

185

186 **Results**

187 Study Population

188 This study included 2051 treatment-naïve eyes from 1828 patients (223 bilateral patients) that

initiated treatment between 1st January 2007 and 1st March 2014. There were 762 (37%)

eyes that did not complete 3 years of follow-up during the study period. The median (Q1,

191 Q3) days until the 4th injection was 105 (91, 123) days. Baseline demographic characteristics

192 partitioned by the categorical early response definitions set out above are summarised in

193 Table 1.

194 Overall, there were 572 (28%) eyes with good VA (≥70 letters, Snellen equivalent 20/40) at

baseline; at the 4th injection this number had increased to 882 (43%) eyes. Approximately

196 half of eyes underwent a longer period of monthly injections after the initial 3 loading

injections (1067 eyes; 52%), including 222 eyes who either remained active by the end of the

198 3 year follow-up (69 eyes) or at time of non-completion (153 eyes).

199 Eyes in the Large Early Gain group had significantly lower mean [SD] baseline VA (49.6

200 [18.1] letters) compared with the Early Loss (60.1 [19.1] letters, P < 0.001) and the Small

Early Gain (63.1 [14.4] letters, P < 0.001) groups. However, we note that eyes in the Early

Loss group had similar baseline VA to the Small Early Gain group (P = 0.13). Lesion sizes

were significantly smaller in eyes with good VA at 4th injection (median [Q1, Q3]: 1934µm

204 [1124, 2800] vs. 2500µm [1500, 3500], P < 0.001) and in eyes with shorter time to inactivity

205 (median [Q1, Q3]: 2000 [1298, 3000] μm vs. 2500 [1500, 3500] μm, P < 0.001).

- The overall mean (SD) early change in VA was 6.1 (13.2) letters; the overall mean (SD)
- 207 maximal change in VA between successive injections was 5.2 (14.6) letters.
- 208

209 Achieving Good Vision at 3 Years

210 The association between categorical early response definitions and achieving good vision

211 (\geq 70 letters, 20/40) at 3 years is summarised in Table 2. Of the 1289 eyes that completed 3

- 212 years of treatment, 608 (47%) had good VA after 3 years of treatment. Overall, achieving
- good VA by the 4th injection was the best predictor of good vision at 3 years ($R^2 = 0.30$),
- outperforming the model using baseline VA ($R^2 = 0.17$).
- Eyes were significantly more likely to achieve good VA (odds ratio [95%CI]) if they had
- already achieved good vision by the 4th injection (9.8 [6.5, 14.7], P < 0.001 for VA \ge 70 vs.
- 217 VA<70 letters by 4th injection), achieved small early or large early gains (1.8 [1.2, 2.6], P =
- 218 0.002 and 1.8 [1.3, 2.5], P < 0.001 for small and large early gains vs. early loss), had a short
- induction (1.6 [1.2, 2.1], P < 0.001 for short vs. long induction), or experienced gradual
- change or rapid gain (1.7 [1.1, 2.6], P = 0.015 and 1.6 [1.0, 2.3], P = 0.018 for gradual
- change and rapid gain vs. rapid loss). However, with the exception of achieving good vision
- by the 4th injection, the remaining early response definitions failed to outperform the baseline
- 223 model. Sensitivity analyses including only one eye per patient yielded the same result
- 224 (supplementary material S1).
- Approximately three quarters (73.0%) of eyes with good VA at the 4th injection maintained
- 226 good vision after 3 years of treatment. Encouragingly, an additional 149/1289 (22.6%) eyes
- that had <70 letters at the 4th injection achieved >70 letters at year 3.
- 228

229 Visual Acuity Outcomes at 3 Years

- 230 The association between early response definitions and change in VA at 3 years is
- summarised in Table 3. Longitudinal VA outcomes through 3 years for categorical early
- response variables are shown in Figure 1. Overall, the model using the absolute change in VA
- at the 4th injection (continuous variable) provided the best fit ($R^2 = 0.37$) for predicting the

- long-term change in VA, outperforming the model using baseline vision instead of the early response ($R^2 = 0.20$).
- Eyes in the Early Loss group at the 4th injection had worse vision (mean VA change [95%
- CI]) at 3 years (-5.9 [-7.5, -4.3] letters) than the Small Early Gain (0.7 [-0.9, 2.3] letters, P < P
- 238 0.001) and Large Early Gain groups (12.8 [11.4, 14.1], P < 0.001). Applying these same
- categories for VA change at 3 years (Figure 2), 68% of eyes that experienced Early Loss had
- VA loss at the end of the third year of treatment; these eyes had a relatively high (mean [SD])
- baseline VA (64.4 [16.2]). The remaining eyes with Early Loss went on to achieve a small
- 242 (14%) or large (18%) gain in vision despite this early loss, possibly indicating a delayed
- response. Similarly, 71% of eyes in the Large Early Gain group maintained their large VA
- gain at 3 years. Only 20% of eyes in the Small Early Gain group had a 1-5 letter gain at 3
- 245 years, with the remaining 80% split evenly between VA loss and large gains.
- 246 Visual acuity at 3 years (mean VA [SD]) was significantly worse for eyes in the Early Loss
- 247 group (57.4 [20.7]) than the Small Early Gain (65.0 [17.2], P < 0.001) and Large Early Gain
- groups (64.7 [17.6], P < 0.001). Eves in the Large Early Gain group had a significantly
- greater improvement in vision at 3 years compared with the Small Early Gain group (P <
- 250 0.001) although the VA at 3 years was similar for these 2 groups (P = 0.826).
- 251 When eyes were grouped by early maximal rate of VA change, similar patterns were
- observed whereby the Rapid Loss, Gradual Change, and Rapid Gain groups performed
- similarly to the Early Loss, Small Early Gain and Large Early Gain groups respectively(Table 3).
- Eyes with shorter induction had significantly better VA (mean [SD]) at the end of 3 years
- 256 (65.3 [17.9] letters vs. 59.6 [20.3] letters, P < 0.001) although there was no significant
- 257 difference in VA change (P = 0.145).
- 258

259 Injection Frequency

- 260 Overall, eyes completing 3 years of follow-up received a median (Q1, Q3) of 19 (15, 23)
- 261 injections. More frequent injections were associated with higher VA change at 3 years (model
- 262 coefficient [95%CI]: 0.31 [0.18, 0.44] letters at 3 years per injection, P < 0.001). We did not

find an association between VA change at the 4th injection (continuous: P = 0.750 and categorical: P = 0.754) or maximum change of VA (continuous: P = 0.088 and categorical: P = 0.345) with the number of injections.

266

267 Non-completion

Change in VA at time of dropout, non-completion rates and their association with the early
response are summarised in Table 4. Overall, 762 (37%) eyes did not complete 3 years of
follow-up during the study period. Doctor-reported reasons for non-completion were
available for 311 eyes and included patient going to another doctor (100 eyes [32%]), further
treatment futile (79 eyes [25%]), patient deceased (57 eyes [19%]), patient declined further
treatment (44 eyes [14%]), treatment successful (26 eyes [8%]) and medically contraindicated

- 274 (5 eyes [2%]).
- 275 Visual outcomes were generally worse compared with completers, although early response
- 276 groups followed similar trends. At last visit, higher VA (mean [SD]) was observed in eyes
- with VA \geq 70 letters at the 4th injection (72.1 [13.4] vs. 43.0 [23.0] letters), small or large
- early VA gains (59.0 [23.4] and 55.6 [22.3] vs. 46.1 [26.2] letters for small and large early
- 279 gains vs. early loss), short induction (56.0 [24.0] vs. 50.0 [24.7] letters for short vs. long
- induction) and gradual VA change or rapid VA gains (55.2 [25.3] and 54.3 [23.1] vs. 44.2
- 281 [25.4] letters for gradual change and rapid gain vs. rapid loss). As with completers, 75% of
- eyes achieving good vision at the 4th injection retained good vision at time of lastobservation.
- 284 Survival curves for non-completion over time by early response group are presented in Figure
- 285 3. Risk of non-completion (hazards ratio, HR [95% CI]) was significantly reduced when VA
- 286 was \geq 70 letters at the 4th injection (0.6 [0.5, 0.7] for VA \geq 70 vs. VA<70 at the 4th injection, P
- 287 < 0.001), VA gains at the 4th injection were greater (0.8 [0.6, 0.9], P = 0.018, and 0.9 [0.7,
- 288 1.0], P = 0.100, for Small and Large Early Gain vs. Early Loss; global test, P = 0.016).

289

290 Discussion

This study explored several metrics for describing the early response to anti-VEGF treatment
for nAMD and their ability to predict 3-year outcomes. We studied whether these early
response definitions might predict long-term visual acuity outcomes better than the baseline
visual acuity.

Eyes with VA≥70 letters (Snellen equivalent of 20/40) at the time of the 4th injection were
almost 10 times more likely have good vision at 3 years than eyes with VA<70 letters at the
4th injection. Furthermore, although baseline vision was also a strong predictor of good
visual acuity at 3 years, this relationship was not as strong as the visual acuity at the 4th
injection.

300 Eyes that experienced early VA loss or small gain in the present study had somewhat similar baseline VA (60.1 and 63.1 letters respectively) but different outcomes at the 4th injection 301 302 and at 3 years. Similar observations have been reported previously in DME for early moderate (5-9 letter gain) and suboptimal (<5 letter gain) VA gain groups.²⁶ Eyes that lost 303 304 vision by the 4th injection had a mean loss of 1 line of vision at 3 years. For eyes that did not complete 3 years of treatment, there was a loss of almost 2 lines at time of dropout. In 305 306 contrast, eyes that experienced a small early VA gain finished with the same visual acuity as 307 eyes that achieved large early visual acuity gains (65.0 and 64.7 letters respectively) and were 308 similarly likely to achieve good vision at 3 years. In addition, 18% of eves that experienced early VA loss went on to gain more than 1 line of vision at 3 years, indicating a delayed 309 response to anti-VEGF treatment. A post-hoc analysis of the CATT cohort reported 27% of 310 eyes showing a loss of ≥ 1 line at 12 weeks went on to gain ≥ 1 line at 2 years. ¹³ Thus, it may 311 be prudent to persist with anti-VEGF treatment even if the early response is poor in the 312 absence of effective alternative treatments. 313

Measuring the maximum rate of VA change between successive injections was a novel way to assess the early response. We observed that approximately three quarters of eyes either gained (54%) or lost (18%) more than 5 letters at least once between 2 successive injections, with only 28% experiencing more gradual changes between successive injections. However, the maximal rate of change and raw early VA change definitions provided somewhat similar information, and the models using early VA change provided a better fit than the maximal rate of change.

Lesion activity, or shorter time to lesion inactivation, may be another useful marker of early 321 treatment response. Eyes with a shorter time to lesion inactivity (3 or fewer injections) had 322 323 better vision than eyes requiring more than 3 injections, both at baseline (57.8 vs 53.9 letters respectively) and at 3 years (65.3 vs. 59.6 letters respectively). They were also more likely to 324 have good vision (70 letters, 20/40) at 3 years although the change in VA at 3 years was not 325 significantly different (P = 0.091). A previous analysis of 12-month outcomes found eves 326 with highly active lesions performed similarly to those with less active lesions.²⁷ however a 327 longer term analysis is warranted to clarify the relationship between highly active lesions and 328 visual outcomes. 329

330 We found that improvement in visual acuity up to the 4th injection of VEGF inhibitors was

the most robust clinical predictor of visual acuity 3 years after starting treatment. Previous

studies have also found greater predictive power between the 12 week change in VA with the

1 and 2 year outcomes compared with only using the baseline VA.¹³ This is probably because

the disease is still largely VEGF-driven in these cases with a good early response. Cases

which do not respond so well may be also be driven by other, less reversible, pathological

336 processes such as inflammation, fibrosis and macular atrophy.¹³

The present study has some limitations. Treatment schedules after the initial loading phase, 337 which might have influenced long-term outcomes.^{17, 28} were at the discretion of the physician 338 and patient although most of the FRB! database practitioners use a treat and extend 339 regimen.^{29, 30} It is however possible that patients with inferior initial responses may have 340 subsequently been less compliant or extended out by the physician and suffered inferior 341 outcomes as a result. Eyes with good VA at the 4th injection, tended to have better 3-year 342 outcomes, and also on average, received more injections. Overall, more injections are 343 associated with better visual acuity outcomes.²⁹ Still, eyes that were continued on monthly 344 injections after the 3 initial monthly injections due to persistent activity – and thus had a high 345 total number of injections - had worse outcomes at 3 years, possibly because their lesions 346 were more active. Anti-VEGF drug type was not considered in the present analysis because 347 previous studies have found no substantial difference between ranibizumab and aflibercept.³¹ 348 349 Nor did we report switching rates as aflibercept was not yet available as a treatment option for most of our follow-up period. We note that while switching treatments may be a possible 350 351 strategy when the early response is poor, there is currently little if any evidence that switching anti-VEGF agents provides any obvious benefit.³² 352

353 High non-completion rates are common in observational studies, and this study was no exception; 37% of eyes did not complete 3 years of follow-up during the study period. 354 355 Reasons for non-completion were reported for more than a third of the non-completers, with most due to reasons that were not linked with efficacy. Around 40% of the eyes with a 356 357 recorded reason for non-completion were discontinued because further treatment was futile or 358 the patient declined further treatment. Patients were more likely to drop out if they 359 experienced early VA loss or their VA was less than 70 letters at the 4th injection. The 360 change in visual acuity at time of dropout between early response groups followed broadly similar patterns to those observed in the completers, although the final vision at time of 361 dropout for the early response groups was, on average, 1-2 lines lower than their respective 362 completers. 363

In conclusion, the early response, particularly attainment of good vision and change in visual acuity by the 4th injection, was more strongly associated with 3 year visual outcomes than visual acuity at the time of starting treatment. As treatment protocols for nAMD generally begin with 3 monthly injections, the response during this standardised period of treatment may be useful to guide further treatment. ³³

369

Acknowledgements: Fight Retinal Blindness! investigators: Auckland District Health Board, 370 New Zealand (Dr D Squirrell); Cairns Eye Surgery, Queensland (Dr A Field); Canberra 371 Hospital, Australian Capital Territory (Dr C Dayajeewa, Dr R Essex); Care Foresight, New 372 373 South Wales (Dr A Dunlop); Central Coast Eye Specialist, New South Wales (Dr S Young); Centre for Eye Research Australia, Victoria (Professor R Guymer); Coastwide Eye Surgery, 374 375 New South Wales (Dr R Ferrier); Crest Eye Associates, New Zealand (Dr J Ah-Chan); Doncaster Eye Center, Victoria (Dr L Chow); Dr Nadia Wittles Practice, South Australia (Dr 376 377 N Wittles); Dr. Phillip Windle, Queensland (Dr P Windle); Eye Associates, New South 378 Wales (Dr M Gillies, Dr A Hunt); Eye Surgeons Miranda, New South Wales (Dr A Hunt); 379 Evemedics (Wayville), South Australia (Dr K Billings, Dr J Chen, Dr S Lake, Dr J Landers, Dr M Perks, Dr R Phillips, Dr M Saha); Gladesville Eye Specialists, New South Wales (Dr S 380 381 Young); Hornsby Eye Specialists, New South Wales (Dr S Lal); Les Manning Practice, 382 Queensland (Dr L Manning); Lions Eye Institute, Western Australia (Professor I McAllister); Marsden Eye Specialists, New South Wales (Dr J Arnold); Mona Vale Eye Centre, New 383 South Wales (Dr C Lim); Nepean Valley Eye Surgeons, New South Wales (Dr G Banerjee); 384

- 385 Retina Associates, New South Wales (Dr S Fraser-Bell, Dr A Fung, Professor A Hunyor, Dr
- 386 C Younan); Retina Consultants, New South Wales (Dr S Young); Retina Specialists, New
- 387 Zealand (Dr R Barnes, Dr A Vincent); Southern Eye Centre, Victoria (Dr D Louis); Specialist
- Eye Group, Victoria (Dr L Chow); Strathfield Retina Clinic, New South Wales (Dr C Lim);
- 389 Sydney Eye Hospital, New South Wales (Dr J Wong); University Hospital Zurich,
- 390 Switzerland (Dr D Barthelmes); Victoria Parade Eye Consultants, Victoria (Dr M Daniell,
- 391 Professor R Guymer, Dr A Harper, Dr L Lim, Dr J O'Day)

CHR MAN

392 Figure Captions

- **Figure 1.** Predicted visual acuity (VA) over time from longitudinal generalised additive
- models partitioned by A) whether VA was \geq 70 letters at the 4th injection, B) absolute change
- in VA at the 4th injection, C) length of the induction period and D) maximum rate of VA
- 396 change between successive injections. These models included data from completers and non-
- 397 completers.
- **Figure 2.** Percentage of eyes partitioned by (A) VA change at the 4th injection and at 3 years,
- and (B) VA change at the 4th injection, VA<70 or \geq 70 letters at the 4th injection (<70 and
- \geq 70 respectively, labelled above bars), and VA<70 or \geq 70 letters at 3 years. Categories for
- 401 VA change included early loss (<0 letter improvement), small gain (1-5 letter improvement)
- 402 and large gain (>5 letter improvement). The number of eyes in each early VA change group
- is shown above the bars.
- 404 Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier survival curves of time to non-completion partitioned by A) whether
- 405 VA was \geq 70 letters at the 4th injection, B) absolute change in VA at the 4th injection, C)
- 406 length of the induction period and D) maximum rate of VA change between successive
- 407 injections

408

409	Refere	ences
410	1.	Rosenfeld PJ, Brown DM, Heier JS et al. Ranibizumab for neovascular age-related
411		macular degeneration. N Engl J Med 2006;355:1419-1431.
412	2.	Boyer DS, Antoszyk AN, Awh CC et al. Subgroup analysis of the MARINA study of
413		ranibizumab in neovascular age-related macular degeneration. Ophthalmology
414		2007;114:246-252.
415	3.	Rofagha S, Bhisitkul RB, Boyer DS et al. Seven-year outcomes in ranibizumab-
416		treated patients in ANCHOR, MARINA, and HORIZON. Ophthalmology
417		2013;120:2292-2299.
418	4.	Finger RP, Wickremasinghe SS, Baird PN, Guymer RH. Predictors of anti-VEGF
419		treatment response in neovascular age-related macular degeneration. Surv Ophthalmol
420		2014;59:1-18.
421	5.	Gillies MC, Campain A, Barthelmes D et al. Long-term outcomes of treatment of
422		neovascular age-related macular degeneration: data from an observational study.
423		Ophthalmology 2015;122:1837-1845.
424	6.	Maguire MG, Martin DF, Ying G-s et al. Five-year outcomes with anti-vascular
425		endothelial growth factor treatment of neovascular age-related macular degeneration:
426		the Comparison of Age-Related Macular Degeneration Treatments Trials.
427		Ophthalmology 2016;123:1751-1761.
428	7.	Gillies MC, Daien V, Nguyen V, Barthelmes D. Re: Comparison of Age-Related
429		Macular Degeneration Treatments Trials (CATT) Research Group, et al.: Five-year
430		outcomes with anti-vascular endothelial growth factor treatment of neovascular age-
431		related macular degeneration: The Comparison of Age-Related Macular Degeneration
432		Treatments Trials (Ophthalmology 2016;123:1751-1761). Ophthalmology
433		2017;124:e31-e32.
434	8.	Kaiser PK, Brown DM, Zhang K et al. Ranibizumab for predominantly classic
435		neovascular age-related macular degeneration: subgroup analysis of first-year
436		ANCHOR results. Am J Ophthalmol 2007;144:850-857. e854.
437	9.	Ying G-s, Huang J, Maguire MG et al. Baseline predictors for one-year visual
438		outcomes with ranibizumab or bevacizumab for neovascular age-related macular
439		degeneration. Ophthalmology 2013;120:122-129.
440	10.	Gorin MB. Genetic insights into age-related macular degeneration: Controversies
441		addressing risk, causality, and therapeutics. Mol Aspects Med 2012;33:467-486.
442	11.	Hagstrom SA, Ying G-s, Pauer GJT et al. Pharmacogenetics for Genes Associated
443		with Age-related Macular Degeneration in the Comparison of AMD Treatments Trials
444		(CATT). Ophthalmology 2013;120:593-599.
445	12.	Hagstrom SA, Ying G, Pauer GT, et al. Vegfa and vegfr2 gene polymorphisms and
446		response to anti-vascular endothelial growth factor therapy: Comparison of age-
447		related macular degeneration treatments trials (catt). JAMA Ophthalmology
448		2014;132:521-527.
449	13.	Ying G-s, Maguire MG, Daniel E et al. Association of Baseline Characteristics and
450		Early Vision Response with 2-Year Vision Outcomes in the Comparison of AMD
451		Treatments Trials (CATT). Ophthalmology 2015;122:2523-2531.e2521.
452	14.	Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of
453		Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting
454	4 5	observational studies. Prev Med 200/;45:24/-251.
455	15.	Kodrigues IA, Sprinkhuizen SM, Barthelmes D et al. Defining a minimum set of
456		standardized patient-centered outcome measures for macular degeneration. Am J
457		Ophinalmoi 2010,108.1-12.

458	16.	Gillies MC, Walton R, Liong J et al. Efficient capture of high-quality data on
459		outcomes of treatment for macular diseases: The Fight Retinal Blindness! Project.
460		Retina 2014;34:188-195.
461	17.	Oubraham H, Cohen SY, Samimi S et al. Inject and extend dosing versus dosing as
462		needed: a comparative retrospective study of ranibizumab in exudative age-related
463		macular degeneration. Retina 2011;31:26-30.
464	18.	Freund KB, Korobelnik J-F, Devenyi R et al. Treat-and-extend regimens with anti-
465		VEGF agents in retinal diseases: a literature review and consensus recommendations.
466		Retina 2015;35:1489-1506.
467	19.	Essex RW, Nguyen V, Walton R et al. Treatment patterns and visual outcomes during
468		the maintenance phase of treat-and-extend therapy for age-related macular
469		degeneration. Ophthalmology 2016;123:2393-2400.
470	20.	Hastie T. Tibshirani R. Generalized additive models. Statist Sci 1986:1:297-310.
471	21.	Hastie T.J. Generalized additive models. In: <i>Statistical models in S.</i> edn. Routledge:
472		2017· 249-307
473	22.	Nakagawa S. Schielzeth H. A general and simple method for obtaining R2 from
474		generalized linear mixed-effects models. Methods Ecol Evol 2013:4:133-142
475	23	R: A language and environmental for statistical computing Vienna Austria: R
476	23.	Foundation for Statistical Computing
477	24	Bates DM Maechler M Bolker B Walker S Fitting linear mixed-effects models
478	۲.	using Ime4 I Stat Softw 2015:67:1-48
179	25	Therneau T. covme: Mixed effects Cov models R nackage 2012
180	25.	Mehta H Fraser-Bell S Nouven V et al Short-term vision gains at 12 weeks correlate
480	20.	with long-term vision gains at 2 years: results from the BEVORDEX randomised
481		clinical trial of bevacizumab versus devamethasone implants for diabetic macular
483		oedema Br I Ophthalmol 2017
484	27	Barthelmes D Walton R Campain AF et al Outcomes of persistently active
485	27.	neovascular age-related macular degeneration treated with VEGE inhibitors:
486		observational study data Br I Onbthalmol 2014.99.359-364
487	28	Chin-Yee D Eck T Fowler S et al. A systematic review of as needed versus treat and
488	20.	extend ranibizumab or bevacizumab treatment regimens for neovascular age-related
489		macular degeneration Br I Ophthalmol 2016 100 914-917
490	29	Arnold II Campain A Barthelmes D et al. Two-year outcomes of "treat and extend"
491	23.	intravitreal therapy for neovascular age-related macular degeneration. On that mology
492		2015:122:1212-1219
493	30.	Johnston RL Carius H-L Skelly A et al. A retrospective study of ranibizumab
494	50.	treatment regimens for neovascular age-related macular degeneration (nAMD) in
495		Australia and the United Kingdom Adv Ther 2017:34:703-712
496	31.	Gillies MC Nguyen V Daien V et al Twelve-Month Outcomes of Ranibizumab vs
497	01.	Aflibercept for Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration. Data from an
498		Observational Study Ophthalmology 2016 123 2545-2553
499	32.	Barthelmes D Campain A Nguyen P et al Effects of switching from ranibizumab to
500	52.	aflibercept in eves with exudative age-related macular degeneration Br I Ophthalmol
501		2016·100·1640-1645
502	33.	Schmidt-Erfurth U. Bogunovic H. Sadeghipour A et al Machine learning to analyze
503		the prognostic value of current imaging biomarkers in neovascular age-related
504		macular degeneration. Ophthalmology Retina 2018:2:24-30.
505		

Rapid Gain (1500, 3199)181 (16.2%) 206 (18.5%) 51.6 (18.0) 80.0 (8.0) 61.4% 2250 56.1% 15.1% 20.8% 6.85% 1115 1056 1.2%Maximum Rate of VA Change (1394, 3300)255 (44.9%) 66 (11.6%) 61.5 (19.2) 79.2 (8.3) Gradual 61.6% 55.3% 13.4% Change 2250 20.1% 9.0% 568 550 2.3% (1400, 3306)(37.0%) 36 (9.8%) 2200 Rapid Loss 60.3 (16.4) 79.4 (8.6) 61.4% 60.1% 13.0% 20.1% 6.2% 368 352 0.5% (1500, 3500)184 (17.2%) 254 (23.8%) 54.1 (18.8) 78.7 (8.4) 60.8% 55.8% 15.7% 2500 20.2% Long* 7.2% 1067 1002 1.1%Time to Inactive CNV 124 (12.6%) 2000 (1298, 3000)318 (32.3%) 57.8 (18.4) 80.7 (7.8) 62.2% 57.5% 12.7% 20.7% Short 7.4% 1.6%984 907 (1500, 3200)Large Early 106(11.2%)201 (21.2%) 49.6 (18.1) 79.8 (8) Good Vision Achieved by 4th Injection 62.3% 21.9% 2200 53.9% 15.4% 7.3% Gain 1.6%947 897 (1300, 3158)Small Early 89 (44.8%) 23 (5.5%) 2200 63.1 (14.4) 79.4 (7.8) 16.45% 64.2% 11.1% 62.8% 7.3% Gain 0.9% 412 422 (1458, 3300)84 (12.3%) 2314 277 (40.6%) Early Loss 79.7 (8.6) 60.1 (19.1) 21.1% 59.5% 14.5% 55.7% 7.3% 1.3% 682 647 (1124, 2800)Good Vision Achieved by 490 (55.6%) 68.1 (11.2) 19 (2.2%) 78.3 (8.3) $VA \ge 70$ 60.8%58.2% 13.9% 18.9%Letters 1934 7.1% 1.8%882 831 4th Injection (1500, 3500)289 (24.7%) 62.0% 80.7 (7.9) 46.6 (17.8) 82 (7.0%) VA < 7055.4% 14.5% 21.6% Letters 1088 2500 7.4% 1169 1.0%(1439, 3200)308 (15.0%) 572 (27.9%) 55.9 (18.7) 79.6 (8.2) 61.5% 20.5% Overall 56.6% 14.2% 2250 7.3% 1828 1.4%2051 Predominantly Classic Median µm (Q1, Q3) Baseline Lesion Size, Minimally Classic ≤ 35 Letters, n (%) ≥ 70 Letters, n (%) Baseline Age (SD) Baseline VA (SD) Not Recorded Lesion Type, % Females, % Occult Other Patients Eyes

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of eyes grouped by their early response to treatment.

* Includes 222 persistently active eyes whose lesion has yet to be graded as inactive by the end of completing 3 years of follow-up (69 eyes) or at their most recent visit if they did not ÀL dabo complete 3 years of follow-up (153 eyes)

Table 2. Association between definitions of early response and achieving good vision (\geq 70 letters) at 3 years. Odds ratios and their respective pvalues are presented only for categorical variables. Significant p-values are highlighted in bold.

Categorical Early Response Definitions	VA<70 Letters at 3	VA≥70 Letters at 3	Odds Ratio for	P-value N	Iarginal	AIC
	Years, n (%)	Years, n (%)	Achieving ≥70 Letters		\mathbf{R}^2	
			at 3 Years (95% CI)			
Overall	681 (53%)	608 (47%)				
Good VA at Baseline						
VA <70 Letters	574 (64%)	321 (36%)	1	<0.001	0.17	1606
VA ≥70 Letters	107 (27%)	287 (73%)	4.50 (3.30, 6.14)			
Good VA Achieved by 4th Injection						
VA <70 Letters	511 (77%)	149 (23%)	1	<0.001	0.30	1433
VA ≥70 Letters	170 (27%)	459 (73%)	9.78 (6.50, 14.70)			
Absolute Change in VA from Baseline at						
4th Injection						
Early Loss	248 (62%)	152 (38%)	1	<0.001*	0.08	1711
Small Early Gain	135 (48%)	148 (52%)	1.75(1.17, 2.61)			
Large Early Gain	298 (49%)	308 (51%)	1.76(1.25, 2.45)			
Time to Inactive CNV						
Short Induction	300 (47%)	341 (53%)	1.59(1.23, 2.07)	<0.001	0.07	1715
Long Induction	381 (59%)	267 (41%)	1			
Maximum Rate of VA Change						
Rapid Loss	141 (62%)	86 (38%)	1	0.011	0.07	1720
Gradual Change	165 (49%)	175 (51%)	1.70(1.09, 2.64)			
Rapid Gain	375 (52%)	347 (48%)	1.55(1.05, 2.30)			
Pairwise comparisons with Holm-Bonferron	ii adjustment for mult	iple comparisons:				

* Early Loss vs. Small Early Gain (P = 0.002), Early Loss vs. Large Early Gain (P < 0.001), Small Early Gain vs. Large Early Gain (P = 0.986)

 \ddagger Rapid Loss vs. Gradual Change (P = 0.015), Rapid Loss vs. Rapid Gain (P = 0.018), Gradual Change vs. Rapid Loss (P = 0.523)

tally some and a some of the solution of the s

Table 3. Association between different definitions of early response and 3 year outcomes. Regression coefficients from multiple regression adjustments for age, lesion size and lesion type (fixed effects), and practice and patient identifier (random effects). Significant p-values are models are reported for continuous variables and visual acuity (VA) outcomes are reported for categorical variables. All models include highlighted in bold.

Baseline VA Model	Assoc	ciation with year 3	VA		Association with y	ear 3 AVA		
	Model	Standardised	P-value	Model Coefficient	Standardised	P-value	Marginal R ²	AIC
	Coefficient	Coefficient			Coefficient			
Baseline VA	-0.55	10.29	<0.001	-0.45	-8.39	<0.001	0.20	10851
		С П П С			F 7 0 7	1 1 1 1		
Continuous Early Response	ASSOC	ciation with year 3	VA		Association with y	ear 3 ΔVA		
Variables	Model	Standardised	P-value	Model Coefficient	Standardised	P-value	Marginal R ²	AIC
	Coefficient	Coefficient			Coefficient			
Absolute Change in VA from Baseline at 4th Injection	0.21	2.64	<0.001	0.86	10.76	<0.001	0.37	10520
Maximum rate of VA Change per 4 Weeks	0.06	0.92	<0.001	0.38	5.80	<0.001	0.12	10951
Categorical Early Response	Assoc	ciation with year 3	VA		Association with y	ear 3 AVA		
Definitions	VA 3 Years (SD)	Adjusted VA 3 Years (95% CI)	P-value	AVA 3 Years (95% CI)	Adjusted AVA 3 Years (95% CI)	P-value	Marginal R ²	AIC
Good VA Achieved by 4th Injection		2-						
VA <70 Letters	52.8 (20.3)	53.5 (51.8, 55.1)	<0.001	3.8 (2.3, 5.4)	4.4(2.8, 6.0)	0.795	0.02	11099
VA ≥70 Letters	72.5 (11.7)	71.8 (70.1, 73.4)		4.9 (3.7, 6.0)	4.7 (3.1, 6.3)			
Absolute Change in VA from Baseline at 4th Injection								

Early Loss Small Farly Gain	57.2 (22.2) 65 0 (17 2)	57.9 (55.5, 60.3) 64 4 (61 7 67 1)	<0.001*	-5.9(-7.5, -4.3)	-5.6(-7.2, -3.9)	<0.001**	0.23	10794
Large Early Gain	64.7 (17.6)	64.7 (62.6, 66.8)		12.8 (11.4, 14.1)	12.8 (11.4, 14.2)			
Time to Inactive CNV								
Short Induction	65.3 (17.9)	64.9 (62.9, 66.9)	<0.001	5.3(3.9, 6.6)	5.3 (3.7, 6.8)	0.145	0.02	11097
Long Induction	59.6 (20.3)	60.1 (58.1, 62.1)		3.4 (2.0, 4.9)	3.7 (2.2, 5.3)			
Maximum Rate of VA Change								
per 4 Weeks								
Rapid Loss	57.4 (20.7)	58.0 (55.1, 60.9)	<0.001	-5.2 (-7.6, -2.8)	-4.7 (-7.0, -2.4)	<0.001	0.14	10937
Gradual Change	63.4 (19.9)	62.8 (60.2, 65.3)		-0.7 (-2.1, 0.7)	-0.9 (-2.8, 1.0)			
Rapid Gain	63.6 (18.4)	63.7 (61.6, 65.7)		9.7 (8.4, 11.0)	9.7 (8.4, 11.1)			
Pairwise comparisons with Hol	lm-Bonferroni a	ldjustment for multip	ole comparise	:suc				
* Early Loss vs. Small Early G	ain (P < 0.001),	Early Loss vs. Larg	e Early Gain	(P < 0.001), Small]	Early Gain vs. Larg	e Early Gain	(P= 0.826)	

** Early Loss vs. Small Early Gain (P < 0.001), Early Loss vs. Large Early Gain (P < 0.001), Small Early Gain vs. Large Early Gain (P < 0.001) $\uparrow\uparrow$ Rapid Loss vs. Gradual Change (P = 0.007), Rapid Loss vs. Rapid Gain (P < 0.001), Gradual Change vs. Rapid Loss (P < 0.001) \div Rapid Loss vs. Gradual Change (P = 0.006), Rapid Loss vs. Rapid Gain (P < 0.001), Gradual Change vs. Rapid Loss (P = 0.452) t days

- Y	
C -1	

association with different definitions of early response. Hazards ratios for non-completion and their respective p-values are presented only for Table 4. Visual acuity, change in VA and the proportion of eyes with VA>70 letters at time of dropout, non-completion rates and their categorical variables. Significant p-values are highlighted in bold.

Categorical Early	VA at Time of	AVA at Time of	VA≥70 Letters,	Non-completion	Hazards Ratio for non-	P-value
Response Definition	Dropout (SD)	Dropout (95% CI)	n (%)	Rate, n / N (%)	completion (95% CI)	
Overall	52.7 (24.5)	0.6 (-0.9, 2.0)	243 (32%)	762 / 2051 (37%)		
Good VA at Baseline						
VA < 70 Letters	46.9 (24.2)	$2.0\ (0.3,\ 3.8)$	112 (19%)	584 / 1479 (39%)	1	0.003
VA ₂₇₀ Letters	71.6 (13.8)	-4.3 (6.3, -2.3)	131 (74%)	178 / 572 (31%)	$0.77\ (0.63,\ 0.90)$	
Good VA by 4th Injection						
VA < 70 Letters	43.0 (23.0)	-0.5 (-2.5, 1.4)	52(10%)	509 / 1169 (44%)	1	<0.001
VA≥70 Letters	72.1 (13.4)	2.8(0.9, 4.7)	191 (75%)	253 / 882 (29%)	$0.61\ (0.51,\ 0.71)$	
Absolute Change in VA						
from Baseline at 4th						
Injection						
Early Loss	46.1 (26.2)	-9.7 (-11.8, -7.6)	78 (28%)	282 / 682 (41%)	1	0.016^{*}
Small Early Gain	59.0 (23.4)	-1.8 (-4.4. 0.9)	61 (44%)	139 / 422 (33%)	$0.75\ (0.56,\ 0.93)$	
Large Early Gain	55.6 (22.3)	10.0(8.0, 12.1)	104(30%)	341 / 947 (36%)	$0.85\ (0.69,\ 1.01)$	
Time to Inactive CNV						
Short Induction	56.0 (24.0)	2.3(0.3, 4.3)	129 (38%)	343 / 984 (35%)	$0.90\ (0.74,\ 1.05)$	0.196
Long Induction	50.0 (24.7)	-0.9 (-2.9, 1.2)	114 (27%)	419 / 1067 (39%)	1	
Maximum Rate of VA						
Change						
Rapid Loss	44.2 (25.4)	-12.4 (-15.8, -9.0)	32 (23%)	141 / 368 (38%)	1	0.157
Gradual Change	55.2 (25.3)	-2.3 (-4.3, -0.3)	93 (41%)	228 / 568 (40%)	$1.05\ (0.78,\ 1.32)$	
Rapid Gain	54.3 (23.1)	6.9~(4.9, 8.9)	118 (30%)	393 / 1115 (35%)	$0.90\ (0.69,\ 1.11)$	
Pairwise comparisons with H	Iolm-Bonferroni a	diustment for multip	le comparisons:			

ITWISE COMPARISONS WITH HOLM-BONIETTONI AGJUSTMENT IOT MULTIPLE COM

* Early Loss vs. Small Early Gain (P = 0.018), Early Loss vs. Large Early Gain (P = 0.100), Small Early Gain vs. Large Early Gain (P = 0.206)

AND ART ARD







CHR MAN



The early response to treatment for neovascular age-related macular degeneration is highly associated with treatment outcomes at 3 years and may provide a useful marker for guiding long-term treatment decisions.