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ABSTRACT: A highly efficient catalyst-base pair for the C-H arylation of free (NH)-indoles in the C-3 position is reported. Ligand-free palladium acetate coupled with lithium hexamethyldisilazide (LiHMDS) catalyzed the regiospecific, i.e. 100% regioselective, C-3 arylation of indoles with high turnover numbers. This catalytic system has been successfully applied to a wide range of substrates including various functional aryl halides and indolic cores. The unique role of LiHMDS as both a base and unexpected transient directing group has been revealed experimentally and elucidated computationally, in line with a Heck-type insertion-elimination mechanism.
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Heterobiaryls and in particular those containing indolic cores are ubiquitous motifs in active pharmaceutical ingredients (API) of marketed drugs.1–3 Their synthesis often involves at least one catalytic step based on carbon-carbon coupling reactions such as the Pd-catalyzed aryl boronate/aryl halide Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction.4 Only in the last decade, the formidable challenge of activating aromatic C-H bonds has led to reduced synthetic steps and improved atom economy.5–10

Heteroarene C-H arylation is reported to proceed following three main pathways, often supported by DFT calculations: electrophilic aromatic substitution,11 concerted metalation-deprotonation12–14 or Heck-type carbo-metallation.17,18 The reaction’s regioselectivity is often a key to discriminate mechanisms for a given catalytic system and is mostly explained on the basis of the substrate’s electronic or steric properties, the directing effect of a ligand on the catalyst or the presence of permanent or transient directing groups.18–24

Regarding free (NH)-indoles, their C-H arylation at the C-3 position combined with regiospecificity and high activity has never been accomplished so far. The few reported systems which show high selectivities for the C-3 arylated products display only moderate TON values up to 81 (the catalysts in these cases are mostly Pd- or Ir-based systems).25,32 Yields of up to 76% for the C-3 arylated product (after 35 hours) were obtained via a transition metal-free system developed by Chen and Wu using four equivalents of potassium tert-butoxide and an excess of indole.33 However, this system led to mixtures of regioisomers. Another metal-free system for the arylation of indoles was described by Ackermann et al. using substituted diarylodonium salts as reagents without any catalyst.34 This procedure gave rise to almost exclusively C-3 arylation albeit in moderate yields. Despite these synthetic limitations, C-3 arylated indoles are considered to be promising API, for instance, as antimicrobial agents, enzyme inhibitors and anticancer drugs (Scheme 1).35–41

Scheme 1. API based on C-3 arylated free (NH)-indoles and their biological activity.35–38

In this context, we report here the ligand-free palladium-catalyzed regiospecific, i.e. 100% regioselective, C-3 arylation of free (NH)-indole derivatives. Catalyzed by a standard palladium salt, the reaction mechanism is driven by a specific lithiated base whose dual role is crucial as demonstrated experimentally and elucidated by DFT calculations.

After extensively screening reaction parameters (Scheme 2 and SI), we determined that palladium acetate, with a loading as low as 0.05 mol%, efficiently catalyzed the phenylation of 1H-indole with 100% selectivity for the C-3 position using lithium hexamethyldisilazide (LiHMDS) as base and bromobenzene as the arylation agent, in toluene at 120°C. After 16 hours, the product was isolated with a yield of 90%, corresponding to a TON of 1800 moles of isolated 3-phenyl-1H-indole per mole of palladium. In toluene, classical inorganic bases such as carbonates or acetates with lithium, potassium and caesium as counter-cations, gave very poor yields. Organic bases such as
triethylamine (TEA), diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA), 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DABCO) and 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) were found to be inefficient. Lithium and potassium tert-butoxide did not allow the arylation to proceed in toluene, in contrast to the activity reported by Chen and Wu in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO).\textsuperscript{33}

Scheme 2. The Pd(OAc)\textsubscript{2}/LiHMDS catalytic system for efficient C-3 arylation of 1\textsubscript{H}-indole and overview of screened parameters.

Using LiHMDS, solvents other than toluene and mesitylene, such as tetrahydrofuran (THF), dioxane, dimethylformamide (DMF), dimethylacetamide (DMAc) and DMSO, gave essentially N-arylation or no reaction at all (see SI, Table S7). In contrast to the work of Chen and Wu who noticed a change in selectivity towards N-arylation in the presence of oxygen,\textsuperscript{33} here the standard reaction with bromobenzene and a metal loading as low as 0.05 mol\% did not require an anhydrous or deoxygenated solvent but could be performed in technical grade toluene without affecting neither yield nor regiospecificity (Table S7). To demonstrate the versatility of the present catalytic system, different aryl electrophiles were evaluated (Scheme 3). The C-3 indole arylation was found to proceed efficiently using either iodo- (TON = 1900), bromo- (TON = 1800) or chlorobenzene (TON = 380) with the Pd(OAc)\textsubscript{2}/LiHMDS catalytic system. High activity was also achieved using p-iodoanisole (TON = 1840) and p-bromodimethylaniline (TON = 1220). When N,N-bis(trimethylsilyl)-protected 4-bromo-aniline was used as substrate, the coupling at the C-3 position also proceeded efficiently (TON = 800). Using three equivalents of 1-bromo-4-chlorobenzene as arylating agent gave rise to 3-(4-chlorophenyl)-1\textsubscript{H}-indole with a TON of 700. Here the superior reactivity of the bromide compared to the chloride moiety allowed reaching 100\% chemoselectivity. Further aromatic systems, like naphthalene, benzothiophene and ferrocene could be used efficiently as electrophiles with average to high activity and full regioselectivity. Noteworthy, the Pd(OAc)\textsubscript{2}/LiHMDS system allowed preparing 3-(o-tolyl)indole, which cannot be obtained using the previously reported transition metal-free system, since the latter operates via a benzyne intermediate.\textsuperscript{33}

The scope of applicable functionalized indoles is presented in Scheme 4. The C-3 arylation efficiently proceeded with both phenyl iodide and bromide in most cases.

Scheme 3. Scope of aryl halides. TON are calculated from isolated yields if not otherwise stated. [a] 0.5 mol\% of Pd(OAc)\textsubscript{2} used. [b] TON calculated from GC-FID yield.

Indoles functionalized either at the C-5 or C-7 positions can be efficiently arylated leading to a 100\% regioselectivity in all but one case. Only for 5-methyl-1\textsubscript{H}-indole, traces of a presumably N- or C-2 arylated product were detected with, nonetheless, a selectivity of 99\% for the C-3 arylated product (Figure S3).
Methyl-1H-indole can also be C-3 arylated with, however, a TON one order of magnitude lower than other functionalized indoles. This lower activity is probably due to steric hindrance resulting from the presence of the methyl group. Similarly, the use of 2-phenylindole as substrate leads to no reaction.

Overall, the Pd(OAc)\(_2\)/LiHMDS catalytic system is tolerant to various halide, methoxy, substituted amino, and boronate substituents (Scheme 3 & 4), offering the possibility for further functionalization of the 3-phenyl-1H-indole moiety. Unfortunately, compounds bearing nitro or trifluoromethyl groups, either at the electrophile or the indolic core, decompose under the reaction conditions. Aryl halides based on pyridine or with protic functional groups (-OH, -NH\(_2\)) did not react with 1H-indole (see SI, Scheme S1).

Following the concept of “homeopathic” catalysis introduced by Beletskaya and Cheprakov\(^{43,44}\) and demonstrated by de Vries and co-workers for ligand-free Pd-catalyzed C-C coupling reactions,\(^ {45,47}\) we tested the Pd(OAc)\(_2\)/LiHMDS catalytic system at Pd loadings as low as 5 ppm with respect to the quantity of indole (i.e. 0.0005 mol%) and a TON of ca. 16000 was reached without affecting the selectivity for the C-3 arylated product (Table 1, entry 8). However, the yield decreased significantly at such a low palladium loading.

**Table 1. Effect of lowering the palladium loading down to the ppm level.\(^{[a]}\)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Pd loading (mol%)</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>C-3 yield (%)(^{[b]})</th>
<th>TON(^{[c]})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2(^{[a]})</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3(^{[a]})</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4(^{[a]})</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>4600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7(^{[a]})</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>11400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10(^{[a]})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{[a]}\) Reaction conditions: 1H-indole (0.5 mmol), bromobenzene (0.6 mmol), palladium acetate (1.1 mmol) in toluene (4 mL) at 120°C.\(^{[b]}\) GC-FID yields with dodecane as internal standard.\(^{[c]}\) Turnover number defined as (mole of 3-phenylindole)/mole of palladium (n.d.: not determined).\(^{[d]}\) Reaction performed under microwave irradiation (300 W).

We also investigated the use of microwave irradiation as heating protocol.\(^ {45,47}\) In the presence of 0.05 mol% of palladium and under microwave irradiation, a TON of 1200 was reached at 120°C after two hours and a TON of 1600 was obtained at 150°C after only one hour of reaction (Table 1, entries 3 and 4, respectively). In the latter case, the turnover frequency (TOF), defined as moles of 3-phenyl-1H-indole per mole of palladium per hour, reaches a value of 1600 h\(^{-1}\), which represents one of the highest TOF for metal-catalyzed C-H arylation reactions reported so far.\(^ {48,49}\) Furthermore, using only 20 ppm of palladium acetate, the 3-phenyl-1H-indole was obtained with full regioselectivity and a TON of 11400 (Table 1, entry 7). In the absence of palladium, under microwave heating, only the formation of traces of N-arylated indole were observed after 16 hours (Table 1, entry 10 and SI).

From a mechanistic point of view, since the use of LiHMDS was key for both activity and selectivity, a series of experiments was carried out to gain more insight into the role of the lithiated base (Table 2). Under optimized conditions, we found that reducing the amount of LiHMDS from 2.2 equiv. to 1.5 or 1.0 equiv. drastically lowered the yield of the reaction from 90% to 38% and 0.6%, respectively (Table 2, entries 1-3), thus suggesting that two equivalents of LiHMDS are mandatory. When using NaHMDS, KHMS or Mg(HMDS)\(_2\), instead of LiHMDS, very low arylation yields were observed (0.05 mol% Pd, Table 2, entries 4-6). When 5 mol% Pd were used, Mg(HMDS)\(_2\) was found to be capable to drive the reaction with however a lower regioselectivity (33% yield, 96% selectivity, Table S1 and S2), whereas KHMS was not. It is noteworthy that Sames and coworkers also reported in 2005 the use of Mg(HMDS)\(_2\) as base for this reaction, among a series of Mg salts, albeit with the formation of both C-2 and C-3 arylated products and the nature of the magnesium salt of indole as well as its role in the reaction mechanism not being elucidated.\(^ {25}\)

The use of alternative lithiated bases such as lithium diisopropylamide (LDA, pKa ~ 36), which is a stronger lithiated base than LiHMDS (pKa ~ 26), or n-butyl lithium (BuLi, pKa ~ 50) gave rise to only 0.3% yield (Table 2, entry 7) or no arylated product (Table 2, entry 8), respectively.

**Table 2. Evaluation of the effect of different lithiated and different silazide bases on the catalytic performance.\(^{[a]}\)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Base equiv.</th>
<th>C-3 yield (%)(^{[b]})</th>
<th>TON(^{[c]})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>LiHMDS (2.2)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>LiHMDS (1.5)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>LiHMDS (1)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>NaHMDS (2.2)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>KHMS (2.2)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Mg(HMDS)(_2) (2.2)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>LDA (2.2)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>BuLi (2.2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>BuLi (0.9) + LiHMDS (1.3)(^{[d]})</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>1680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>BuLi (0.9) + NaHMDS (1.3)(^{[d]})</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>LiHMDS (1.1) + NaHMDS (1.1)(^{[d]})</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{[a]}\) Reaction conditions: 1H-indole (0.5 mmol), bromobenzene (0.6 mmol), palladium acetate (0.25 µmol) and base in toluene (4 mL) at 120°C for 16 h.\(^ {b}\) GC-FID yields with dodecane as internal standard.\(^ {c}\) Turnover number defined as (mole of 3-phenylindole)/(mole of palladium) (n.d.: not determined).\(^ {d}\) Indole and the two bases were stirred in toluene for 15 min prior to the addition of bromobenzene and Pd(OAc)\(_2\).

To further elucidate the role of both the lithium cation and the hexamethyldisilazide anion (HMDS)\(_2\) in the reaction, different base combinations were evaluated. A mixture of BuLi and LiHMDS gave comparable results to those found under standard conditions (Table 2, entry 9). In contrast, when one equivalent of Li was removed from the reaction mixture by using either BuLi/NaHMDS or LiHMDS/NaHMDS, almost all the activity was suppressed (Table 2, entries 10 and 11) similar to when one equivalent of LiHMDS was employed (Table 2 entry 3). Thus, the palladium-based catalytic system requires two equivalents of base, at least one being HMDS\(_2\), and two equivalents of Li\(^+\) with respect to the substrate.
Further, the product of mixing 1H-indole with two equivalents of LiHMDS was isolated as a white powder and characterized by liquid and solid-state NMR spectroscopy as well as ICP-OES and elemental analysis (see SI). $^1$H and $^13$C liquid state NMR spectra of the isolated precipitate recorded at 105°C in toluene-$d_8$ show the presence of indolide and HMDS· in a 1:1 ratio (Figure S55 and S56). In addition, well-resolved $^13$C Cross-Polarization (CP) Magic Angle Spinning (MAS) solid-state NMR spectra were obtained with chemical shifts in good agreement to those obtained from liquid state $^13$C NMR (Figure S56 and S59) spectroscopy. ICP-OES and elemental analysis evidence the presence of two Li cations, which appear as a single signal in both the liquid-state and the MAS solid-state $^1$Li NMR spectra at -1.5 ppm and -2.5 ppm, respectively (Figure S57 and S60). In contrast, the $^1$Li NMR signal of LiHMDS in toluene-$d_8$ at 105°C is found at +1.4 ppm (Figure S63), confirming a different chemical environment of Li in the two compounds. Furthermore, $^1$H-$^1$H NOESY experiments display a correlation between the methyl groups of the HMDS moiety and several indole protons at 105°C in toluene-$d_8$ (Figure S58).

These data strongly suggest that, as it is known for lithium bis(silylamides), the lithiated compound is present predominantly as a bis-lithium indole hexamethyldisilazide species with the general formula [indolide-Li$_2$·HMDS] (Scheme 5), the presence of larger aggregates being however not excluded.

![Scheme 5](image)

**Scheme 5.** Postulated reactivity of 1H-indole with two equivalents of LiHMDS leading to [indolide-Li$_2$·HMDS] as a key intermediate.

When the deprotonation of indole is carried out in the presence of two equivalents of Li$^+$ and one equivalent of HMDS$^-$ at room temperature, [indolide-Li$_2$·HMDS] precipitates in toluene but then dissolves back upon heating above 100°C. In contrast, the use of other lithiated bases gave precipitates that were not soluble even at 120°C in toluene (Figure S52). This unique solubility of [indolide-Li$_2$·HMDS] was confirmed by in-situ liquid state NMR spectroscopy. Hexamethyldisilazane was the sole product observed in the $^1$H spectrum of a 1:2 mixture of 1H-indole and LiHMDS in toluene-$d_8$ at room temperature. Furthermore, no soluble Li species were detected, i.e. no signal in the $^1$Li NMR spectrum. This evidences that at room temperature all indole and Li species are insoluble. Upon heating to 105°C the signals belonging to the [indolide-Li$_2$·HMDS] species become visible in both $^1$H and $^1$Li NMR spectra (Figure S53 and S54).

A catalytic test was carried out with the isolated precipitate [indolide-Li$_2$·HMDS] without adding any additional base under standard conditions (see SI), giving 3-phenyl-1H-indole in 85% yield. In light of these observations, only the combination of indole with two equivalents of Li$^+$ and one equivalent of HMDS$^-$ gives rise to a soluble reaction intermediate [indolide-Li$_2$·HMDS] which can be readily arylated by the palladium catalyst.

To get deeper insight into the possible reaction mechanism, deuterium-labelling experiments were performed. When (2-$^2$H)-1H-indole was used as a substrate, the final product was obtained with complete deuterium retention leading to 3-phenyl-(2-$^2$H)-1H-indole, which excludes any C-H activation at the C-2 position. This is further supported by the fact that 2-methyl-1H-indole could be C-3 arylated (Scheme 4 and section 4 of SI). As a blank experiment, we performed the reaction using 3-methyl-1H-indole as substrate and the starting reagents were quantitatively recovered showing that neither C-2 nor N-arylation occurred as side reactions (Scheme S1). Using 1-methyl-1H-indole, also no reaction was observed, highlighting the importance of this position in the reaction mechanism (Scheme S1).

When the reaction was carried out using (3-$^2$H)-1H-indole and 1H-indole as substrates in parallel reactions or in a 1:1 mixture for an intermolecular competition experiment, no kinetic isotopic effect (KIE) was observed, $k_b/k_a = 1$ (Figure S64-S66). Thus, the C-H cleavage at the C-3 position is not the rate-determining step. The absence of any KIE makes a CMD mechanism very unlikely, and thus is not considered any further.

Calculations performed at the DFT level allow to draw the energy profile of the reaction between phenyl bromide and indole catalyzed by Pd(II) in the presence of two equivalents of LiHMDS (Figure 1). The calculations clearly show that a mechanism based on the insertion of a Pd-aryl into the indole C=2-C-3 bond (carbo-palladation) followed by a base-assisted elimination in a Heck-type mechanism is much more favored than an electrophilic aromatic substitution pathway (S$_b$Ar) (Figure S72, see SI for detailed calculations). The Heck-type mechanism represents here a new pathway since previously proposed pathways for catalytic indole C-H arylation reactions were of S$_a$Ar type. Noteworthy, the selectivity of the S$_b$Ar reaction in the Mg(HMDS)$_2$ catalyzed indole arylation was explained so far only by steric effects. The use of a bulky counter ion such as HMDS$^-$ was supposed to hinder the required C-3 to C-2 migration of palladium, thus increasing the amount of C-3 arylated indole.

Here, in the Heck-type insertion-elimination mechanism, the overall energy barriers are very similar for both the C-2 and C-3 arylation pathways. However, two key intermediates, both implying the second LiHMDS molecule, favorably drive the arylation to the C-3 position, namely D$_3$ and D$_3'$ (Figure 1). First, in the insertion step, the D$_3$ species is stabilized by 19.3 kcal/mol compared to the analogous D$_2$ species due to the interaction between one lithium atom and the bromide of the palladium complex.

This stabilizing effect of LiHMDS is already present in the coordination of Pd to C-2=C-3 as illustrated by the larger stability of C$_3$ compared to C$_2$. The energy barrier to achieve the base-assisted elimination at C-3 is composed of two individual events: decoordination of LiHMDS from D$_3$ to reach a configuration (D$_3'$) prone to effectively deprotonate the C-3 position, and actual base-assisted elimination through TS-D$_3$. Even though the transition state from D$_3$ to D$_3'$ could not be located, the first step has an energy barrier of approximately 18.8 kcal/mol, whereas the second one has an energy barrier of 15.5 kcal/mol. In comparison, base-assisted elimination in the C-2 pathway shows a direct transition from D$_2$ to reach the corresponding TS-D$_2$ having a much higher energy barrier of 33.9 kcal/mol.

The calculations highlight that the transition between D$_3$ and D$_3'$ with the highest energy barrier (approximately 18.8 kcal/mol) should be the rate-determining step. This supports the absence of a KIE observed experimentally, in line with
the “no KIE” scenario reported by Simmons and Hartwig.55 Here the rate-determining step has to occur before the cleavage of the C-H bond, e.g. the formation of a complex undergoing subsequent deprotonation at the functionalized carbon.

Moreover, the computed structures confirm the experimental evidence that the [indolide·Li₂·HMDS], denoted B in Figure 1, is the most stable species in a system of one molecule of 1H-indole and two molecules of LiHMDS.

Figure 1. Schematic relative free energy profile for the reported reaction calculated at the DFT (PBE0-D3) level. Pathways towards C-2 or C-3 arylated indole are shown in red and blue, respectively. Structures of calculated intermediates and transition states are represented.

In summary, we have uncovered a catalytic system able to achieve the arylation of free (NH)-indoles with 100% selectivity for the C-3 arylated product and remarkable turnover numbers at the same time. Experimental findings combined with DFT calculations highlight the key relevance of a bis-lithium indolide hexamethyldisilazide [indolide·Li₂·HMDS] species as activated indole substrate. For the first time in indole C-H arylation, the observed selectivity is explained by a Heck-type mechanism in which LiHMDS uniquely plays the role of both a base and transient directing group. In light of these results, the use of LiHMDS opens new perspectives for the transition metal catalyzed regiospecific C-H arylation of heteroarenes.
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