



HAL
open science

Phosphorylated C/EBP β Influences a Complex Network Involving YY1 and USF2 in Lung Epithelial Cells

Victoria Viart, Jessica Varilh, Estelle Lopez, Céline René, Mireille Claustres,
Magali Taulan-Cadars

► To cite this version:

Victoria Viart, Jessica Varilh, Estelle Lopez, Céline René, Mireille Claustres, et al.. Phosphorylated C/EBP β Influences a Complex Network Involving YY1 and USF2 in Lung Epithelial Cells. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8 (4), pp.e60211. 10.1371/journal.pone.0060211 . hal-02441706

HAL Id: hal-02441706

<https://hal.umontpellier.fr/hal-02441706v1>

Submitted on 16 Jan 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Phosphorylated C/EBP β Influences a Complex Network Involving YY1 and USF2 in Lung Epithelial Cells

Victoria Viart^{1,2}, Jessica Varilh^{2,3}, Estelle Lopez^{1,2}, Céline René^{1,2,3}, Mireille Claustres^{1,2,3}, Magali Taulan-Cadars^{1,2*}

1 UFR de Médecine, Université Montpellier1, Montpellier, France, **2** INSERM U827, Laboratoire de Génétique de Maladies Rares, Montpellier, France, **3** Laboratoire de Génétique Moléculaire, Hôpital Arnaud de Villeneuve, CHU Montpellier, Montpellier, France

Abstract

The promoter of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator gene *CFTR* is tightly controlled by regulators including CCAAT/enhancer binding proteins (C/EBPs). We previously reported that the transcription factors YY1 and USF2 affect *CFTR* expression. We can now demonstrate that C/EBP β , a member of the CCAAT family, binds to the *CFTR* promoter and contributes to its transcriptional activity. Our data reveal that C/EBP β cooperates with USF2 and acts antagonistically to YY1 in the control of *CFTR* expression. Interestingly, YY1, a strong repressor, fails to repress the *CFTR* activation induced by USF2 through DNA binding competition. Collectively, the data strongly suggest a model by which USF2 functionally interacts with YY1 blocking its inhibitory activity, in favour of C/EBP β transactivation. Further investigation into the interactions between these three proteins revealed that phosphorylation of C/EBP β influences the DNA occupancy of YY1 and favours the interaction between USF2 and YY1. This phosphorylation process has several implications in the *CFTR* transcriptional process, thus evoking an additional layer of complexity to the mechanisms influencing *CFTR* gene regulation.

Citation: Viart V, Varilh J, Lopez E, René C, Claustres M, et al. (2013) Phosphorylated C/EBP β Influences a Complex Network Involving YY1 and USF2 in Lung Epithelial Cells. PLoS ONE 8(4): e60211. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060211

Editor: Bandana Chatterjee, University of Texas Health Science Center, United States of America

Received: November 16, 2012; **Accepted:** February 22, 2013; **Published:** April 1, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Viart et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was supported by grants from Vaincre la Mucoviscidose, Université Montpellier 1, Hôpital Arnaud de Villeneuve/CHU Montpellier, and the Inserm Institute. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: magali.taulan@inserm.fr

Introduction

The CCAAT/enhancer binding proteins (C/EBPs) encompass a family of structurally similar yet functionally and genetically distinct transcription factors with functional roles in a number of physiological activities [1]. In mammals there are six members including C/EBP α , C/EBP β , C/EBP δ and C/EBP γ [2]. C/EBP expression has been linked to development, cellular differentiation and regulation of tissue specific gene expression [2,3]. The C/EBPs are essential in a variety of tissues such as the lung where spatial and temporal regulation of *CFTR* expression is functional in maturation [3,4]. Previous findings of several independent investigators demonstrated C/EBP δ as a positive regulator acting on the *CFTR* promoter [5,6,7]. In addition, Nuthall *et al.* [8] demonstrated that C/EBP β binds to a DNase I-hypersensitive site, only present in tissue expressing *CFTR*. Through the functional analysis of sequence variations within the *CFTR* promoter, our laboratory identified other trans-acting proteins including YY1 and USF2 with repressor and activator activities, respectively [9,10,11]. However, the mechanistic basis of these activities has not been fully elucidated. Review of the *CFTR* gene sequence between -226 and +135 bp upstream of the major transcription initiation site [12], indicates that this region includes a C/EBP β binding element that immediately flanks YY1 and USF binding motifs.

Through the differential usage of AUG codons within the same transcript, C/EBP β encodes the different polypeptide isoforms liver-enriched activatory protein (LAP) [13] and liver-enriched

inhibitory protein (LIP) [14,15]. LAP contains both transcription activating and bZIP domains. LIP has only the bZip domain but can dimerize and bind DNA and acts as a transcriptional repressor [14].

Determining the function of C/EBP transcription factors may be achieved in part through protein-protein interactions [1]. Recent findings indicate that interaction of C/EBPs with non-C/EBP transcription factors may be relevant. Indeed Crawford *et al.* [16] reported that C/EBP γ regulates gene expression in human bronchial epithelial cells and this regulation is modified in part by interaction with YY1. In addition, C/EBP δ was reported to compete with USF for overlapping sites in the negative regulatory region of the HIV-1 long terminal repeat gene *HIV-1 LTR* [17]. C/EBP β has also been shown to control cell-type-specific activity of viral gene through formation of a complex with YY1 [18]. The authors also reported that the YY1 activity is dependent on its functional interaction with an adjacent sequence [18].

Alternatively, multiple phosphorylation events have been shown to be critical in the regulation of members of the C/EBP family [2]. Human C/EBP β has several known phosphorylation sites which are important for its intracellular localization and transcriptional activity [13,19,20,21]. Indeed, C/EBP β phosphorylation may lead to enhanced nuclear translocation [19], inactivation of inhibitory activities [22] or an increase in the potency of its transcriptional activation domain [20,21].

In this report, we investigate the role of C/EBP β in the control of *CFTR* gene expression and attempt to unravel the molecular mechanisms involved. Considering that C/EBP β phosphorylation

Formaldehyde Cross-linking and Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

ChIP assays were performed using the Chromatin Immunoprecipitation Assay Kit (Upstate) following Upstate ChIP protocols, with minor modifications. As indicated, Beas2B cells were transfected with either expression vectors or C/EBP β siRNA and/or treated with 10 mM of NAF for 4 hours. Prior to harvesting, cells were incubated with 5 mM of DTBP for 30 min at RT, followed by treatment with formaldehyde 1% for 5 min. For each immunoprecipitation, 2×10^6 cell equivalents of lysate were used. Following shearing of the crosslinked chromatin by sonication, chromatin was incubated at 4°C on a rotating stand overnight with either 3 μ g of anti-C/EBP β , anti-USF2, anti-YY1 or non-specific antibodies (anti-HA). ChIP samples (immunoprecipitated or input DNA) were analyzed by either classical PCR or quantitative PCR. For both analyses, primers used for *CFTR* promoter amplification are reported in Table 1. As a negative control, PCR analysis was carried out using primers from a region of the human *CFTR* gene which lacks both C/EBP β and YY1 motifs: 5'-TGTGGGGAGGGAAATAGATG-3' and 5'-GCA-GAGTTTGCAGTGAGCTG-3'. The fold change in the specific binding was normalized to mock input values. For quantitative ChIP, all PCR reactions were performed in triplicate in a final volume of 10 μ l containing 2 μ l of sample, 5 μ M of each primer (Table 1), 0.1 μ l of Universal Probe \neq 27 (Roche Applied Science) and 5 μ l of LightCycler[®] 480 probes mastermix using the LightCycler[®] 480 Real-Time PCR System (Roche Applied Science). Experiments were repeated at least three times, averaged and expressed relative to the input signal and to the negative control (non-specific, NS, antibody).

Nuclear Extract and Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assays (EMSA)

Nuclear extracts were prepared from Beas2B cells as previously described [10]. Double-stranded oligonucleotides containing each wild-type or degenerated C/EBP β motif (Table 1) identified within the minimal promoter were labelled and incubated with 10 μ g of nuclear proteins in 10 μ l of binding buffer (2x) containing 0.5 μ g polyIdC at RT for 15 min. As indicated, purified antibodies (anti-C/EBP β , anti-YY1 or anti-HA) were incubated with nuclear extracts before the addition of labelled probes. Purified C/EBP β proteins were produced using TNT Quick Coupled Transcription/Translation (Promega).

Statistical Analyses

Transfection data are expressed as the mean \pm S.E. Paired comparisons were made using student's *t*-test. Data were considered statistically significant at $p < 0.05$. All graphical data and statistical analyses were generated with GraphPAD Prism software (Version 3.0). Densitometric analyses were performed using computerized densitometry and Quantity One[®] software (Biorad). Densitometric values for both protein band (immunoblot) and promoter *CFTR* amplicons (ChIP) were determined in areas of equal size and were reported in normalized arbitrary units relative to either expression of LaminA/C protein or input (non-immunoprecipitated chromatin), respectively.

Results

C/EBP β Binds to and Activates the *CFTR* Promoter

To evaluate whether C/EBP β binds to the minimal *CFTR* promoter, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays using crosslinked protein-DNA complexes prepared from

Beas2B cells. The immunoprecipitates obtained with antibodies directed against the C-terminus of C/EBP β and a non-specific control protein were used as templates to amplify a 360 bp DNA fragment corresponding to the minimal *CFTR* promoter. Quantitative PCR (qPCR) amplifications of DNA immunoprecipitated by anti-C/EBP β revealed that endogenous C/EBP β binds to the *CFTR* promoter in Beas2B cells (Figure 1A). This binding was increased when C/EBP β expression (Figure 1A) was enforced after normalization to input values. The control antibody was unable to precipitate any DNA target (Figure 1A). As a negative control for the C/EBP β antibody, ChIP analysis was performed on another region of the *CFTR* gene devoid of any C/EBP β binding motif (data not shown).

To measure the functional contribution of C/EBP β towards promoter activity, reporter gene assays were performed with a *CFTR* promoter fused to a luciferase-coding cDNA in Beas2B cells. Overexpression of the C/EBP β -LAP construct resulted in a 3.7 fold increase promoter activity (Figure 1B, upper panel). As expected, the truncated C/EBP β -LIP form did not activate the *CFTR* promoter and the observed decrease in promoter activity supported a dominant negative influence of the LIP isoform as previously reported [2]. The functional role of C/EBP β was corroborated by the almost complete abolishment of *CFTR* gene promoter activation following transient co-transfections of the dominant-negative (A-C/EBP), reported to dimerize with C/EBP β thus blocking its DNA binding (Figure 1B, upper panel). To investigate whether the C/EBP β transcriptional activity correlates with the transient expression level of the different isoforms in Beas2B, we performed western blot experiments. Immunoblotting with anti-C/EBP β antibody revealed three major protein bands of 35–38 (LAP) and 20 kDa [13] (Figure 1B, lower panel). The LAP constructs can also make small amount of LIP because of internal translation initiation. To further confirm that C/EBP β transactivates *CFTR*, a knockdown strategy was used to reduce the endogenous C/EBP β protein level in Beas2B cells. Transient transfections of siRNA directed against C/EBP β reduced the C/EBP β expression by 60% (Figure 1C, lower panel) and significantly reduced the *CFTR* promoter activity (Figure 1C, upper panel), confirming the activator role of C/EBP β . As a control, the cells were transfected with a “non-silencing” siRNA predicted to not target any gene. To ensure that the C/EBP β influences endogenous *CFTR* mRNA, RT-qPCR assays were performed. As observed in Figure 1D, C/EBP β induced an increase in the *CFTR* mRNA level in Beas2B cell lines; this positive effect on transcription was confirmed by using C/EBP β -specific siRNA. Collectively, these findings firmly support an activating effect of C/EBP β on the *CFTR* promoter.

Mutation of a C/EBP β Binding Motif Reduces the *CFTR* Transcriptional Activity

In silico analyses (TFsearch <http://www.cbrc.jp/research/db/TFSEARCH.html>, and Consite software <http://asp.iu.uib.no:8090/cgi-bin/CONSITE/consite/>) were performed to identify putative binding sites for the transcription factor C/EBP β . The results indicated that the *CFTR* minimal promoter region contains different binding motifs for C/EBP β as depicted in Figure 2A. To address whether C/EBP β influences the *CFTR* promoter directly or exerts its effects through an indirect activation of other proteins that do directly influence *CFTR*, mutagenesis reactions of the core sequence (TTNNNCAATNNN) of each C/EBP β binding site in the reporter construct were performed. As shown in Figure 2B, the construction containing a nucleotide substitution (b3M) within the consensus core of the C/EBP β motif located at the position -111/-100, named C/EBPb3, induced a significant 80%




Figure 1. C/EBPβ activates CFTR promoter activity. (A) Assessment of C/EBPβ binding to the minimal CFTR promoter by ChIP analysis using quantitative PCR. Cells were transfected or not with C/EBPβ plasmid as indicated. Extracts were immunoprecipitated IP with either an anti-C/EBPβ or a non-specific antibody (HA also denoted NS). DNA from immunoprecipitates and input DNA (which represents 5% of total chromatin) were analyzed by quantitative PCR using primers amplifying the minimal CFTR promoter. Input (IN) corresponds to the amplification of total DNA and serves to normalize CFTR amplification as described in the Materials and Methods section. Data are expressed as fold enrichment of DNA associated with the indicated immunoprecipitated antibody relative to a 1/20 dilution of IN and specific binding was determined by subtracting binding with NS antibody. The asterisk (*) indicates that the value is statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) (B) The CFTR promoter construct (-226 to +135) in the Luc reporter vector was co-transfected with 0.04 μg of C/EBPβ LAP or LIP, or A-C/EBP expression vectors. The position of the C/EBPβ isoforms LAP (35 kDa and 38 kDa) and LIP (20 kDa) are indicated on immunoblots. Flag antibody was used for revealing A-C/EBP form over-expression. (C) The CFTR promoter construct was co-transfected with either a non-specific (NS) siRNA or specific C/EBPβ siRNA. Densitometric Analysis (DA) was performed as described in the Materials and Methods section. (D) Effect of C/EBPβ on CFTR mRNA level in Beas2B epithelial cell lines. Cells were transfected with the

different forms of C/EBP β (left panel) and the C/EBP β -specific siRNA (right panel). The mRNA expression level following transfection of either an empty vector or a control siRNA was then set as 1. The asterisk reflects the statistical significance set at $P < 0.05$. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060211.g001

reduction in the transcriptional activity compared to the wild-type construct. In addition, the binding availability of each C/EBP β motif was evaluated using EMSA assays. Incubation of short, radiolabeled oligonucleotide probes containing each wild-type (b1WT to b5WT) or degenerated (b1M to b5M) C/EBP β site with nuclear extracts from Beas2B cells, resulted in different complexes that appeared altered in the mutated compared to in the wild-type context (Figure 2C). Very few complexes were formed and only with the b3WT probes. In addition the Beas2B-nuclear extracts seemed to be only slightly affected by incorporating cold C/EBP consensus sequence. Since the disruption of the C/EBP β motif did not completely abolish CFTR transcription, this would suggest the implication of additional transcription factors.

C/EBP β Cooperates with USF2 to Activate the CFTR Promoter

Based on the knowledge that C/EBP β heterodimerization is important for its DNA binding [23], we sought to investigate the relationship between C/EBP β and the other transcription factors that we previously characterised as binding to and regulating CFTR in proximity to the C/EBP β 3 motif. In a first set of experiments, transient co-transfection assays were carried out to evaluate the putative cooperative role of C/EBP β with USF2, Sp1 and SRF positive factors (binding motifs depicted on Figure 3A). The data presented in Figure 3B (left panel) demonstrate that overexpression of USF2 up-regulated the transactivation induced by the C/EBP-LAP construct in human lung cells. However, no cooperation between C/EBP β and either SRF or Sp1 was apparent (data not shown). All results were confirmed at the mRNA level by qPCR, all be it the cooperation between C/EBP β and USF2a was weaker than that observed by the gene reporter assays (Figure 3B, right panel). Given the functional relationship between C/EBP β and USF proteins, we carried out co-immunoprecipitation experiments. The results of immunoblots revealed that C/EBP β and USF2 proteins bind to each other in Beas2B cells (Figure 3C). Taken together these observations support the notion that the CFTR promoter is activated by the concerted action of C/EBP β and USF transcription factors.

Functional Antagonism between C/EBP β and YY1 Through DNA-binding Competition

Since YY1 has been previously shown to negatively regulate the CFTR promoter [9], it was logical therefore to presume that C/EBP β and YY1 be putative functional competitors for CFTR promoter activity. This hypothesis was investigated by transient co-transfections with both C/EBP β and YY1 expression vectors. As observed in Figure 4A (left panel), the stimulating effect of C/EBP β progressively decreased on addition of increasing quantities of YY1 plasmid. To determine the potential displacement of YY1 binding by C/EBP β binding, ChIP experiments were conducted using extracts prepared from Beas2B cells transfected with either C/EBP β expression vector or its respective siRNA. As a negative control for YY1 antibody, ChIP analysis from another region of the CFTR gene devoid of any YY1 motif was performed (denoted negative control). C/EBP β overexpression significantly reduced the YY1 DNA binding activity (Figure 4B, left panel). Interestingly, the binding of YY1 to its cognate site was restored by transfection of the C/EBP β siRNA. ChIP-qPCR confirmed that overexpression of C/EBP β significantly decreases the YY1 binding

on the CFTR minimal promoter (Figure 4B, right panel). Both YY1 and C/EBP β -specific siRNA overexpression also indicated the antagonising effects of C/EBP β and YY1. To determine whether the interaction of these two factors with their target DNA containing the C/EBP β 3 motif and a YY1 binding site (Figure 4C, right panel) was either mutually inclusive or exclusive, EMSAs were conducted. As shown in Figure 4C (left panel), the YY1-binding complex was altered by increasing the C/EBP β binding activity, suggesting their mutually exclusive binding. These results demonstrate a functional antagonism between C/EBP β and YY1 through DNA-binding competition.

C/EBP β Transactivation is in Part Due to Interaction between USF2 and YY1

Considering the observed cooperation between C/EBP β and USF2 and the antagonism between C/EBP β and YY1, we wondered whether YY1 had any effect on the cooperativity between C/EBP β and USF2. We firstly tested whether enforced YY1 expression antagonizes USF2 transactivation. Surprisingly, YY1 overexpression had no considerable effect on the CFTR promoter transactivation induced by USF overexpression (Figure 5A, left panel). To evaluate the effect of a combined YY1 and USF2 overexpression on the endogenous CFTR mRNA level, RT-qPCR assays were conducted. The results confirm the data obtained by gene reporter assays (Figure 5A, right panel). To explain the functional cooperation between C/EBP β and USF2, we next explored whether USF might block the action of YY1 through USF2/YY1 interaction. Co-immunoprecipitation experiments revealed a physical interaction between YY1 and USF2 in Beas2B cells (Figure 5B). We then tested whether enforced USF2 expression might decrease the YY1 recruitment onto the CFTR promoter. As presented in Figure 5C (left panel) USF2 overexpression reduced YY1 binding to the CFTR promoter, as shown by ChIP analyses. To confirm these data, ChIP-qPCR assays were also conducted showing that USF2 overexpression significantly decreased the YY1-binding capacity by 50% (Figure 5C, right panel). Taken together, these data strongly suggest that USF2 and YY1 interact *in vivo* and this interaction seems to prevent the YY1 DNA occupancy.

Phosphorylation of C/EBP β is Associated with CFTR Transactivation

Since the acquisition of transcriptional activity for various transcription factors including C/EBP β requires their phosphorylation [24], we first wondered whether treatment with phosphatase inhibitors might influence the CFTR activity. We evaluated the effect of either sodium fluoride (NAF, a serine/threonine inhibitor) or vanadate (Vana, a tyrosine phosphatase inhibitor) treatment at different concentrations on the CFTR transcription. While vanadate did not modify the CFTR expression level (data not shown), treatment with either 5 mM or 10 mM NAF significantly increased the luciferase activity to over 1.5- and 2.5-fold, respectively (Figure 6A, left panel). Western blotting experiments using an anti-CFTR antibody demonstrated that endogenous CFTR expression correlated well with the observed luciferase activity. Densitometric analysis (denoted DA) was performed to evaluate the protein expression level and the values are indicated under the immunoblots. RT-qPCR assays confirmed the results showing an increase of 2- or 3-fold in the CFTR mRNA

Figure 2. The C/EBPβ motif located at position -111/-100 is important for CFTR transcriptional activity. (A) Sequence of the CFTR minimal promoter containing five binding sites for the C/EBPβ transcription factor. The C/EBPβ motifs are underlined. The major transcriptional start site is indicated by +1. (B) Basal transcriptional activity of wild-type or degenerated C/EBPβ motifs of the CFTR promoter. On the left is a schematic representation of the full-length pGL3 and degenerated binding motifs for the C/EBPβ transcription factor. Luciferase activity obtained with the WT-pGL3 luciferase construct was defined as 100%, and relative activities from mutant constructs are expressed as a percentage of this value. *P<0.05. (C) EMSA analysis with nuclear extracts from C/EBPβ protein-enriched Beas2B cells using wild-type or mutated labelled oligonucleotide probes (sequences listed in Table 1). Competitors (DC, Degenerated Competitors or specific competitors of C/EBPβ) or antibodies (NS, Non Specific or directed against C/EBPβ) were also used. Arrow corresponds to complexes containing C/EBPβ.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060211.g002

level following 5 mM or 10 mM NAF treatment, respectively (Figure 6A, right panel). RT-qPCR assays were also performed to assess the effect of NAF treatment on the C/EBPβ transcripts. The results show that incubation of either 5 mM or 10 mM NAF did not affect the endogenous C/EBPβ mRNA level (Figure 6A, right panel).

We next examined the role of phosphatase inhibitor on the C/EBPβ-mediated CFTR transactivation. As observed in Figure 5B, at 10 mM NAF significantly promoted CFTR activation induced by over-expression of C/EBPβ in Beas2B cells. In contrast, NAF

treatment did not modify USF2 transactivation on the CFTR promoter (data not shown). Western blot assays were carried out to assess the protein expression levels under the indicated treatments. Immunoblotting with a specific anti-phospho-C/EBPβ antibody showed that treatment with NAF increased the level of phosphorylated C/EBPβ (Figure 6B, upper panel, left panel). Interestingly, immunoblotting also revealed that the level of endogenous CFTR protein increased after C/EBPβ overexpression, reaching its maximum level upon NAF incubation (Figure 6B, middle and left panel). These data were validated by using dominant negative




Figure 3. USF2 increases CFTR promoter activation induced by C/EBPβ. (A) Sequence of the CFTR minimal promoter containing binding sites for the C/EBPβ, USF2 and SRF transcription factors. The C/EBPβ motifs are underlined. (B) C/EBPβ and USF2 stimulate the CFTR transcriptional activity (left panel). Beas2B cells were cotransfected with the CFTR (0.072 μg) reporter plasmid, C/EBPβ-LAP (0.04 μg) and USF2 (0.02 μg) expression vectors as indicated. C/EBPβ and USF2 increase the endogenous CFTR mRNA level (right panel). Beas2B cells were cotransfected with either the C/EBPβ-LAP (0.04 μg) and USF2 (0.02 μg) expression vectors as indicated. *P<0.05. (C) Interaction between C/EBPβ and USF2 proteins. Beas2B cell extracts were immunoprecipitated with a USF2-, C/EBPβ-specific antibody (lanes 2 and 3, respectively) or an irrelevant HA antibody (lane 4). Immunoprecipitated proteins were then analyzed by western blotting using either a USF2a (upper panel) or a C/EBPβ- (lower panel) antibody. Lane 1 corresponds to whole cell extracts used for immunoprecipitation.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060211.g003




Figure 4. YY1 antagonizes the positive effect of C/EBP-LAP through mutually exclusive DNA binding. (A) Beas2B cells were cotransfected with a fixed amount of both *CFTR* (0.072 μg) reporter and C/EBPβ (0.04 μg) expression vectors and increasing amount of plasmid encoding YY1 (0.004 to 0.08 μg) as indicated (left panel). Endogenous *CFTR* mRNA level following C/EBPβ (0.04 μg) expression vectors and increasing amounts of plasmid encoding YY1 (0.004 and 0.08 μg) (right panel). *P<0.05. (B) Left panel: ChIP experiment was performed on cells transfected or not with either C/EBPβ plasmid or respective siRNA. Protein extracts were immunoprecipitated IP with the indicated antibody. Input (IN) corresponds to total lysate used as a control for PCR amplification of total DNA. CFTR, represents *CFTR* promoter amplification and negative control, ChIP analysis of *CFTR* sequence which lacks the YY1 binding motif. Right panel: DNA from immunoprecipitates and input DNA (which represents 5% of total chromatin) were analyzed by quantitative PCR using primers amplifying the minimal *CFTR* promoter. Data are defined as fold enrichment of DNA

associated with indicated immunoprecipitated antibody relative to input chromatin and specific binding was expressed as a function of non-sepcific (NS) antibody binding set as 1. (C) Functional interplay between C/EBPβ and YY1. Mutually exclusive DNA-binding activity of YY1 and C/EBPβ at the C/EBPβ3 binding site. The labelled b3WT probe was incubated with C/EBPβ-transfected Beas2B nuclear extracts in the presence of increasing amounts of purified C/EBPβ. Arrows indicate the position of C/EBPβ and YY1.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060211.g004





Figure 5. USF2 interacts with YY1 and blocks its repressive activity. (A) Left panel: Beas2B cells were cotransfected with a fixed amount of both *CFTR* (0.072 μg) reporter plasmid and USF2 (0.02 μg) expression vectors and increasing amounts of plasmid encoding YY1 (0.004 and 0.08 μg) as indicated. *P<0.05. Right panel: Endogenous *CFTR* mRNA level following transfection of USF2 (0.02 μg) expression vectors and increasing amounts of plasmid encoding YY1 (0.004 and 0.08 μg). (B) Interaction between USF2 and YY1 proteins. Beas2B cell extracts were immunoprecipitated with either specific antibodies (lanes 2 and 3) or the HA irrelevant antibody (lane 4), as indicated. Immunoprecipitated proteins were then analyzed by western blotting using a YY1-specific antibody. Lane 1 corresponds to whole cell extracts used for immunoprecipitation. (C) Left panel: ChIP analysis was performed to evaluate the YY1 DNA occupancy. Protein extracts from cells transfected or not with indicated antibodies, were immunoprecipitated IP with either a specific or an irrelevant antibody (HA). Input (IN), corresponds to amplification of total DNA. CFTR, represents *CFTR* promoter amplification and negative control, ChIP analysis of the *CFTR* sequence lacking the YY1 binding motif. Right panel: DNA from immunoprecipitates and input DNA were analyzed by quantitative PCR. Data are defined as fold enrichment relative to input chromatin and specific binding was expressed as a function of non-sepcific (NS) antibody binding set as 1.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060211.g005



doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060211.g006

form of C/EBP (A-C/EBP) showing that no CFTR expression was observed after A-C/EBP overexpression following NAF treatment (data not shown). These results indicate that NAF-induced C/EBP β phosphorylation is involved in CFTR activation. To assess whether the increased CFTR expression could be an indirect consequence of the general effects of NAF on promoter efficiency, we performed transfection assays using mutant hLAPT235A vector (denoted T235A), in which the conserved Thr235 is mutated to Ala. As presented in Figure 6B (upper and right panel), the overexpression of the LAP expression vector containing a mutation of the Thr235 induced only a two-fold increase in the luciferase transcription. Although western blot assays revealed an increase in C/EBP β expression level after either C/EBP β or T235A overexpression, the anti-phospho-C/EBP β antibody was unable to detect mutated T235A highlighting the specificity of the antibody (Figure 6B, middle and right panel). RT-qPCR assays were then performed to evaluate the effect of C/EBP β phosphorylation on the mRNA level of endogenous CFTR. The results confirmed the data obtained by gene reporter assays (Figure 6B, lower panels). Interestingly, overexpression of hLAPT235A vector induced a decrease in CFTR mRNA level. These results indicate for the first time that C/EBP β phosphorylation is involved in CFTR transcriptional activation.

Phosphorylation Affects Both C/EBP β and YY1 DNA Binding Abilities and Favours YY1/USF2 Interaction

Considering that C/EBP β appears to undergo phosphorylation in correlation with the acquisition of DNA-binding activity [2], we used ChIP assays to address the C/EBP β activity in terms of its phosphorylation status. NAF induced-phosphorylated C/EBP β was associated with both an increased C/EBP β DNA binding activity and a decrease in YY1 DNA binding *in vivo* (Figure 7A, left and right panels, respectively). To validate these results, we evaluated the C/EBP β (Figure 7A, left panel) and YY1 (Figure 7A, right panel) occupancies on the CFTR target sequence after overexpression of the LAPT235A mutant (denoted T235A). As depicted in Figure 7A (upper panels), LAPT235A could bind to the CFTR promoter but the level of binding was much lower by comparison with the phosphorylated C/EBP β . These results were confirmed by ChIP-qPCR showing that LAPT235A overexpression induced a considerable decrease in C/EBP β binding (nearly 3-fold) that was associated with a modest increase in YY1 binding activity (1.5-fold) when compared to endogenous DNA binding activity for either the C/EBP β or YY1 transcription factors (set as 1), respectively (Figure 7A, lower panel). The DNA binding activity for USF2 was not altered following C/EBP β or LAPT235A overexpression (data not shown). These data show that phosphorylation of C/EBP β increases its DNA binding ability and disrupts the YY1 occupancy of its DNA cognition site.

Consequently, we investigated whether this latter effect is mediated by interactions between YY1 and USF2. Co-immunoprecipitation assays demonstrated that NAF treatment does indeed favour the interaction between YY1 and USF2 (Figure 7B). As a positive control, immunoblotting was performed using an anti-YY1 antibody. The data presented above suggest that phosphorylation plays an important role in C/EBP β binding to DNA. These findings also demonstrate that the phosphorylation status also influences the DNA binding properties of the YY1 factor and increases the USF2/YY1 interaction.

Discussion


C/EBP β and both related polypeptides LAP (liver-enriched activatory protein) and LIP (liver-enriched inhibitory protein)

belong to the CCAAT/Enhancer binding proteins (C/EBP) family of transcription factors. These nuclear *trans*-acting factors play pivotal roles in airway epithelial cell differentiation during lung organogenesis [25] and are involved in the expression of lung-specific and developmentally regulated genes including *SP-A* and *CFTR* [5,6,7,8,26,27]. We recently identified other *trans*-acting factors including SRF, YY1, USF2, Sp1 and Nrf2 that contribute to CFTR transcriptional activity [9,10,11,28]. In addition, C/EBP β has been previously reported to be an important accessory factor for transactivation by several other transcription factors [29]. In an effort to enhance our understanding of the mechanisms that modulate the complex CFTR expression pattern, we investigated the functional role of C/EBP β alongside some previously reported transcription factors.

The data presented in this report show that C/EBP β positively influences CFTR promoter activity in bronchial epithelial cells. Based on knowledge of the CFTR promoter being quite weak, this *trans*-acting factor may be considered as a strong activator. The results obtained with the C/EBP β -specific siRNA and with mutants for the C/EBP β binding site demonstrate the importance of C/EBP β and its binding motif located within a region previously documented to contain two mutations identified in CF patients [11], [10]. The fact that the CFTR mRNA level was never completely abolished in our assessments is evidence of the C/EBP β transcription factor controlling CFTR transcription in a coordinated fashion with other partners. We have illustrated here its cooperation with USF2. Moreover, considering the repressor role of YY1 in CFTR transcriptional regulation [9], we also investigated the putative competition between YY1 and C/EBP β functional activities by transient co-transfection experiments. We demonstrated that the CFTR transcriptional activation elicited by C/EBP β is antagonized by YY1 overexpression. We also showed that this functional antagonism between YY1 and C/EBP β might be partially due to mutually exclusive DNA-binding activities. In addition, although we previously reported that USF cooperates with Sp1 to transactivate the CFTR gene and that YY1 antagonizes SRF, we failed to demonstrate any cooperativity between C/EBP β and either SRF or Sp1 in our promoter context. Indeed, USFs and Sp1 may act as negative regulators when they interact with transcription factors bound simultaneously to the same site or at other sites, suggesting a dual mode of regulation of genes by these factors (28,29). Interestingly, the results of this study confirm the complex link between the multiple transcription factors that regulate CFTR transcription.

To further explore the relationship between the C/EBP β , USF2 and YY1 factors, transient co-transfections were performed. Enforced expression of YY1, a powerful repressor, was unable to inhibit CFTR activation induced by USF2. Several concordant results strongly argue in favour of USF contributing towards C/EBP β -mediated CFTR activation through a functional USF/YY1 interaction. This interaction could at least partially explain the cooperation between C/EBP β and USF2 and the DNA competition observed between C/EBP β and YY1. Based on these data, we propose a model of interaction between USF and YY1 by which USF decreases the inhibitory activity of YY1, either through interacting with the domain mediating the YY1 repressive activity or by impeding access of co-regulators. Indeed, p300 which has been shown to bind YY1, may be essential for YY1 repressor activity [30]. Similar mechanisms have previously been reported for other genes [18,31]. For instance, USF2 was shown to disturb transcriptional activation by inhibiting the binding of hypoxia-inducible factor-1 to the plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 gene in hepatocytes [31]. Another study reported that C/EBP β may alter the DNA binding of activators such as AP-1, by competition and/

A



B




Figure 7. Phosphorylation of C/EBP β affects the YY1 DNA occupancy and favours YY1/USF2 interaction. (A) Left panel: ChIP experiments were performed using NAF-treated Beas2B cells when indicated. Protein extracts were immunoprecipitated IP with the indicated antibodies. Input (IN), corresponds to total lysate used as a control for PCR amplification of total DNA and served to normalize *CFTR* amplification as described in Materials and Methods Section. CFTR, represents *CFTR* promoter amplification and negative control, ChIP analysis of *CFTR* sequence which lacks both C/EBP β and YY1 binding motifs. Right panel: DNA from immunoprecipitates and input DNA were analyzed by quantitative PCR. Data are defined as fold enrichment relative to input chromatin and specific binding was expressed as a function of non-specific (NS) antibody (anti-HA) binding set as 1. (B) Beas2B cells were treated when indicated with NAF and protein extracts were immunoprecipitated with either an anti-YY1 (lanes 2 and 3) or an irrelevant antibody (lane 4). Immunoprecipitated proteins were then analyzed by western blotting using either an anti-USF2a or an anti-YY1 antibody. Lane 1 corresponds to whole cell extracts used for IP. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060211.g007

or by negatively regulating their transcriptional activity *via* physical interaction [18]. Thus, more extensive studies including deletions in the protein/protein interaction motifs for USF2 and YY1 factors are envisaged.

In addition to their acquisition of transcriptional activity through selective interactions, transcription factors also require posttranslational modifications in order to function. This highlights the need to study other levels of regulation. Interestingly, it has been shown that C/EBP β is mainly activated by serine/threonine phosphorylation, which results in its heterodimerization

and an increase in its DNA binding [2]. In light of this finding, we assessed whether phosphorylation of C/EBP β has the ability to modulate the *CFTR* transcriptional activity. By using the Ser/thr phosphatase inhibitor NAF, we demonstrated an increase in C/EBP β phosphorylation, DNA occupancy and endogenous *CFTR* expression in bronchial epithelial cells. To confirm these results, we performed transient transfection, RT-qPCR and qChIP assays by using a LAPT235A expression vector, mutated on threonine 235. We found that this mutant protein, unable to be phosphorylated on its Thr residue, could only induce a modest activation of




Figure 8. Schematic model depicting the potential mechanism that might contribute to regulation of the *CFTR* gene. In this model, coloured bubbles correspond to the transcription factors characterized in this study. Double arrow shows the functional antagonism between C/EBP β and YY1. The upper representation corresponds to the transcriptional activation of the *CFTR* gene when C/EBP β is phosphorylated and the lower representation corresponds to the decrease of the transcription following overexpression of a C/EBP β form not phosphorylatable on its 235T residue.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060211.g008

CFTR transcription compared to the increased *CFTR* expression induced by C/EBP β overexpression and significantly altered the *CFTR* mRNA level. In addition, the overexpression of the LAPT235A protein induced a considerable decrease in the C/EBP β DNA binding activity (observed by qChIP analysis) and an increase in the YY1 DNA occupancy. The ChIP analyses were consistent with the results obtained with the NAF treatment. However, other sites of phosphorylation, not studied in our system, might be implicated in C/EBP β activity as was previously reported [13]. These data are in agreement with a recent study showing that phosphorylation of the CREB transcription factor influences *CFTR* expression [32]. In addition, our findings are particularly interesting since only a low level of CFTR protein is sufficient to allow its normal function [33]. In this manuscript, we also demonstrate that NAF reduces the YY1 DNA binding properties and increases the interaction between YY1 and USF2. These findings strengthen a model by which specific interaction between USF2 and YY1 may result in an increase of both C/EBP β DNA occupancy and *CFTR* transcriptional activation

References

- Lekstrom-Himes J, Xanthopoulos KG (1998) Biological role of the CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein family of transcription factors. *J Biol Chem* 273: 28545–28548.
- Ramji DP, Foka P (2002) CCAAT/enhancer-binding proteins: structure, function and regulation. *Biochem J* 365: 561–575.
- Cassel TN, Nord M (2003) C/EBP transcription factors in the lung epithelium. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol* 285: L773–781.
- Martis PC, Whitsett JA, Xu Y, Perl AK, Wan H, et al. (2006) C/EBPalpha is required for lung maturation at birth. *Development* 133: 1155–1164.
- Pittman N, Shue G, LeLeiko NS, Walsh MJ (1995) Transcription of cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator requires a CCAAT-like element for both basal and cAMP-mediated regulation. *J Biol Chem* 270: 28848–28857.
- Matthews RP, McKnight GS (1996) Characterization of the cAMP response element of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator gene promoter. *J Biol Chem* 271: 31869–31877.
- Li S, Moy L, Pittman N, Shue G, Aufiero B, et al. (1999) Transcriptional repression of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator gene, mediated by CCAAT displacement protein/cut homolog, is associated with histone deacetylation. *J Biol Chem* 274: 7803–7815.
- Nuthall HN, Moulin DS, Huxley C, Harris A (1999) Analysis of DNase-I-hypersensitive sites at the 3' end of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator gene (*CFTR*). *Biochem J* 341 (Pt 3): 601–611.
- Rene C, Taulan M, Iral F, Doudement J, L'Honore A, et al. (2005) Binding of serum response factor to cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator CArG-like elements, as a new potential CFTR transcriptional regulation pathway. *Nucleic Acids Res* 33: 5271–5290.
- Taulan M, Lopez E, Guittard C, Rene C, Baux D, et al. (2007) First functional polymorphism in CFTR promoter that results in decreased transcriptional activity and Sp1/USF binding. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 361: 775–781.
- Romey MC, Pallares-Ruiz N, Mange A, Mettling C, Peytavi R, et al. (2000) A naturally occurring sequence variation that creates a YY1 element is associated with increased cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator gene expression. *J Biol Chem* 275: 3561–3567.
- Chou JL, Rozmahel R, Tsui LC (1991) Characterization of the promoter region of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator gene. *J Biol Chem* 266: 24471–24476.
- Piwien Pilipuk G, Galigniana MD, Schwartz J (2003) Subnuclear localization of C/EBP beta is regulated by growth hormone and dependent on MAPK. *J Biol Chem* 278: 35668–35677.
- Descombes P, Schibler U (1991) A liver-enriched transcriptional activator protein, LAP, and a transcriptional inhibitory protein, LIP, are translated from the same mRNA. *Cell* 67: 569–579.
- Calkhoven CF, Muller C, Leutz A (2000) Translational control of C/EBPalpha and C/EBPbeta isoform expression. *Genes Dev* 14: 1920–1932.

(Figure 8). This fine balance between negative and positive regulators such as that demonstrated here with the tissue-specific C/EBP factor might explain the finely *CFTR* regulated expression observed during the development of certain tissues including lung formation [34].

A crucial point raised is which factors help determine between antagonism and cooperation within a given cell? The answer to this question is very complex and to date not yet elucidated. One important consideration is the expression level of each transcription factor which depends on the specific cell type. For instance, it has been reported that the LIP/LAP ratio may dictate the C/EBP β transcriptional activity and depend on the cell type [14]. In addition, while most proteins including YY1 and USF are ubiquitously expressed [35,36], we must keep in mind that enforced expression of transcription factors may not reflect their real endogenous activities. To gain insight into how such a coordination of gene expression might occur, it will be also instructive to examine posttranslational modifications. Indeed, mounting evidence suggests that acetylation and phosphorylation of nuclear factors may be interdependent [37]. For instance, C/EBP β recruits p300, triggers massive phosphorylation within its C-terminal domain and thereby modulates p300 activity as a co-activator [38]. Recently, other investigators revealed a cooperation between acetylation and phosphorylation in the control of GATA-1 transcription factor activity [39]. In this regard, an interesting point deserving further attention is the evaluation of both C/EBP β phosphorylation and acetylation status including mutations of the target sites and their impact on C/EBP β DNA binding and protein-protein interaction abilities.

This work has highlighted that such a mode of regulation involving cooperative and antagonistic interaction coupled with posttranslational modification, is an interesting avenue that we will pursue in the future to further unravel the complex regulation of the *CFTR* gene.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Drs S. McKnight, P. Gos, V. Rishi, B. Viollet, J. Schwartz and E. Bonnefoy for providing us with the expression vectors described in the Materials and Methods section.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: MT. Performed the experiments: VV JV EL CR MT. Analyzed the data: VV MT. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: MC. Wrote the paper: MT.

16. Crawford EL, Blomquist T, Mullins DN, Yoon Y, Hernandez DR, et al. (2007) CEBPG regulates ERCC5/XPG expression in human bronchial epithelial cells and this regulation is modified by E2F1/YY1 interactions. *Carcinogenesis* 28: 2552–2559.
17. Ayoubi TAY, Meulemans SMP, Van de Ven WJM (2007) Upstream Stimulatory Factor (USF) and CCAAT/Enhancer Binding Protein δ (C/EBP δ) Compete for overlapping Sites in the Negative Regulatory Region of the HIV-1 LTR. *Nature Precedings*: doi: 10.1038.
18. Bauknecht T, See RH, Shi Y (1996) A novel C/EBP beta-YY1 complex controls the cell-type-specific activity of the human papillomavirus type 18 upstream regulatory region. *J Virol* 70: 7695–7705.
19. Metz R, Ziff E (1991) cAMP stimulates the C/EBP-related transcription factor rNFIL-6 to trans-locate to the nucleus and induce c-fos transcription. *Genes Dev* 5: 1754–1766.
20. Lane MD, Tang QQ, Jiang MS (1999) Role of the CCAAT enhancer binding proteins (C/EBPs) in adipocyte differentiation. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 266: 677–683.
21. Berg T, Didon L, Barton J, Andersson O, Nord M (2005) Glucocorticoids increase C/EBPbeta activity in the lung epithelium via phosphorylation. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 334: 638–645.
22. Kowenz-Leutz E, Twamley G, Ansieau S, Leutz A (1994) Novel mechanism of C/EBP beta (NF-M) transcriptional control: activation through derepression. *Genes Dev* 8: 2781–2791.
23. Vinson C, Myakishev M, Acharya A, Mir AA, Moll JR, et al. (2002) Classification of human B-ZIP proteins based on dimerization properties. *Mol Cell Biol* 22: 6321–6335.
24. Kim JW, Tang QQ, Li X, Lane MD (2007) Effect of phosphorylation and S-S bond-induced dimerization on DNA binding and transcriptional activation by C/EBPbeta. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 104: 1800–1804.
25. Roos AB, Tove B, Barton JL, Didon L, Nord M (2012) Airway Epithelial Cell Differentiation During Lung Organogenesis Requires C/EBPa and C/EBPb. *Developmental Dynamics* 241: 911–923.
26. Xu Y, Clark JC, Aronow BJ, Dey CR, Liu C, et al. (2003) Transcriptional adaptation to cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator deficiency. *J Biol Chem* 278: 7674–7682.
27. Matlapudi A, Wang M, Rosenberg E, Ewing JR, Feinstein SI (2002) A role for C/EBP delta in human surfactant protein A (SP-A) gene expression. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1575: 91–98.
28. Rene C, Lopez E, Claustres M, Taulan M, Romey-Chatelain MC (2010) NF-E2-related factor 2, a key inducer of antioxidant defenses, negatively regulates the CFTR transcription. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 67: 2297–2309.
29. Roesler WJ (2001) The role of C/EBP in nutrient and hormonal regulation of gene expression. *Annu Rev Nutr* 21: 141–165.
30. Lee JS, Galvin KM, See RH, Eckner R, Livingston D, et al. (1995) Relief of YY1 transcriptional repression by adenovirus E1A is mediated by E1A-associated protein p300. *Genes Dev* 9: 1188–1198.
31. Dahle MK, Tasken K, Tasken KA (2002) USF2 inhibits C/EBP-mediated transcriptional regulation of the RIIbeta subunit of cAMP-dependent protein kinase. *BMC Mol Biol* 3: 10.
32. Baudouin-Legros M, Hamdaoui N, Borot F, Fritsch J, Ollero M, et al. (2008) Control of basal CFTR gene expression by bicarbonate-sensitive adenylyl cyclase in human pulmonary cells. *Cell Physiol Biochem* 21: 75–86.
33. Farmen SL, Karp PH, Ng P, Palmer DJ, Koehler DR, et al. (2005) Gene transfer of CFTR to airway epithelia: low levels of expression are sufficient to correct Cl⁻ transport and overexpression can generate basolateral CFTR. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol* 289: L1123–L1130.
34. Shi W, Belluci S, Warburton D (2007) Lung development and adult lung diseases. *Chest* 132: 651–656.
35. Gordon S, Akopyan G, Garban H, Bonavida B (2006) Transcription factor YY1: structure, function, and therapeutic implications in cancer biology. *Oncogene* 25: 1125–1142.
36. Dhar M, Taneja R (2001) Cross-regulatory interaction between Stra13 and USF results in functional antagonism. *Oncogene* 20: 4750–4756.
37. Kouzarides T (2000) Acetylation: a regulatory modification to rival phosphorylation? *Embo J* 19: 1176–1179.
38. Schwartz C, Beck K, Mink S, Schmolke M, Budde B, et al. (2003) Recruitment of p300 by C/EBPbeta triggers phosphorylation of p300 and modulates coactivator activity. *Embo J* 22: 882–892.
39. Hernandez-Hernandez A, Ray P, Litos G, Ciro M, Ottolenghi S, et al. (2006) Acetylation and MAPK phosphorylation cooperate to regulate the degradation of active GATA-1. *Embo J* 25: 3264–3274.