

Why do we move to the beat? A multi-scale approach, from physical principles to brain dynamics

Loic Damm, Déborah Varoqui, Valérie Cochen de Cock, Simone Dalla Bella,

Benoît Bardy

► To cite this version:

Loic Damm, Déborah Varoqui, Valérie Cochen de Cock, Simone Dalla Bella, Benoît Bardy. Why do we move to the beat? A multi-scale approach, from physical principles to brain dynamics. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews, 2019, 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019.12.024 . hal-02434120

HAL Id: hal-02434120 https://hal.umontpellier.fr/hal-02434120v1

Submitted on 9 Jan 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Why do we move to the beat? A multi-scale approach, from

2 physical principles to brain dynamics

- 3
- 4 Loïc Damm^{1*}, Déborah Varoqui^{1, +5}, Valérie Cochen De Cock^{1,3,4}, Simone Dalla
- 5 Bella^{1,2,6,7} and Benoît Bardy¹
- 6 ¹EuroMov, University of Montpellier, Montpellier, France.
- 7 ²International Laboratory for Brain, Music, and Sound Research (BRAMS),
- 8 Montreal, Canada
- 9 ³Department of Neurology, Beau Soleil Clinic, Montpellier, France
- 10 ⁴Clinical Investigation Centre (CIC), 1411, University Hospital of Montpellier and
- 11 Inserm, Montpellier, France
- 12 ⁺⁵Current address: Open Mind Innovation, Caen, France
- 13 ⁶Dept. of Psychology, University of Montreal, Montreal, Canada
- 14 ⁷University of Economics and Human Sciences in Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18 Address for correspondence: EuroMov, University of Montpellier, Montpellier,
- 19 34090, France
- 20 Email address: loic.damm@umontpellier.fr
- 21 Tel: +33 4 34 43 26 42 Fax: +33 4 34 43 26 97
- 22

23 Running title: Moving to the beat

24

25 Disclosure of funding: The authors were partly supported by the European Union

- via the following grants: (i) FP7-ICT-2013-10 "Beat-Health" awarded to Benoît
- 27 Bardy and Simone Dalla Bella, (ii) H2020-FETPROACT-2018-01 "EnTimeMent"
- awarded to Benoît Bardy. Simone Dalla Bella was also supported by the Natural
- 29 Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada with a Discovery grant n.
- 30 ((LOIC >> does "n." mean number???)) RGPIN-2019-05453. The authors declare
- 31 no conflicts of interest.
- 32

^{*} Corresponding author: Loïc Damm

1	ABSTRACT					
2	KEY WORDS4					
3	HIGHLIGHTS					
4	1 Intro	1 INTRODUCTION				
5	2 Внутн	IMIC MOVEMENT AND AUDITORY-MOTOR SYNCHRONIZATION AS COUPLED OSCILLATORS: THE DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS				
6	Approach					
7	2.1	Attractors Determine Global Movement Dynamics and Affect AMS Stability				
8	2.2	Low-Level Movement Parameters Affect Rhythmic Entrainment				
9	2.3	The Contribution of Nonlinear Oscillators to Locomotor Control				
10	3 THE N	eural Bases of Auditory-Motor Synchronization				
11	3.1	AMS Couples Sensory and Motor Cortical Areas21				
12	3.1.1	Activation of the striato-thalamo-cortical network during beat perception and AMS 21				
13	3.1.2	Movement Sequence Organization in the Motor Cortex				
14	3.1.3	Functional Connectivity Between Auditory and Motor Areas				
15	3.1.4	Short-Term Memory Engagement During Ensemble Performance				
16	3.2	Basal Ganglia Fine Tune Movement Timing27				
17	3.2.1	AMS and Basal Ganglia Dysfunction27				
18	3.3	Cerebellum Circuitry Predicts Sensory Input in AMS				
19	3.4	Thalamo-Cortical Network Sustains Rhythmical Movement-Auditory Couplings				
20	3.5	Coupling sensory and motor structures during AMS by considering external and internal				
21	rhythms as oscillators					
22	3.5.1	Oscillatory Neuronal Activity and Network Architecture Allow Large Scale Neuronal				
23	Interactions					
24	3.5.2	Evidence of Cortical Entrainment by Auditory Rhythms				
25	3.5.3	Auditory Entrainment Spreads Through Various Brain Structures				
26	3.5.4	Oscillatory Activities of Interconnected Brain Structures Support Interdependence of Beat				
27	Track	ing 51				
28	4 Using Auditory Entrainment and AMS to Enhance Locomotor Performance					
29	4.1	Biomechanical Entrainment as a Factor of Performance				

1	4.	.2	Auditory Cueing During Walking of PD Patients Positively Influences Cortical Networks	56
2	4.	.3	Running Performance in the Presence of External Auditory Pacing	64
3	5	Open F	Research Questions and Conclusions	68
4	6	Аскио	WLEDGEMENTS	75
5	7	Appen	DIXES	76
6	7.	.1	Box 1: Information processing theory provides an alternative theoretical framework	to
7	C	capture auditory-movement synchronization7		
8	7.	.1	Box 2. The cerebellar architecture ensures the mapping of sensory information in	nto
9	te	temporally relevant motor commands78		
10	7.	.2	Box 3: Motivation, musical pleasure and physical activity	82
11	8	Refere	NCES	86
12	9	Figure	CAPTIONS	.39
13	9.	.1	Figure 1. Models of oscillators1	.39
14	9.	.1	Figure 2. Interplay between neural and mechanical activities underlying Auditory Mo	tor
15	S	Synchronization (AMS)		
16	9.	.2	Figure 3. Functional connectivity of brain structures during AMS1	.42
17	9.	.3	Figure 4. Sensory and motor performance during AMS1	.44
18	9.	.4	Figure 5. A timekeeper account for the variability of AMS1	.47
19	10	TABLES		.48
20	1	0.1	Table 1. Glossary of basic concepts related to auditory stimulation, gait and dynami	ical
21	Sj	vstems.	148	
22	11	Figure	s 1	.52
23	1	1.1	Figure 1 1	.52
24	1	1.2	Figure 2 1	.53
25	1	1.3	Figure 31	54
26	1	1.4	Figure 41	.55
27	1	1.5	Figure 5 1	.56

1

Abstract

2 Humans' ability to synchronize movement with auditory rhythms relies on motor 3 networks, such as cortical areas, basal ganglia and the cerebellum, which also 4 participate in rhythm perception and movement production. Current research has 5 provided insights into the dependence of this action-perception coupling upon the 6 entrainment of neuronal activity by external rhythms. At a physical level, advances 7 on wearable robotics have enriched our understanding of the dynamical 8 properties of the locomotor system showing evidences of mechanical 9 entrainment. Here we defend the view that modelling brain and locomotor oscillatory activities as dynamical systems, at both neural and physical levels, 10 provides a unified theoretical framework for the understanding of externally 11 12 driven rhythmic entrainment of biological systems. To better understand the underlying mechanisms of this multi-level entrainment during locomotion, we 13 14 review in a common framework the core questions related to the dynamic 15 properties of biological oscillators and the neural bases of auditory-motor 16 synchronization. Illustrations of our approach, using personalized auditory 17 stimulation, to gait rehabilitation in Parkinson disease and to manipulation of 18 runners' kinematics are presented.

19

20

Key Words

21

Rhythm, synchronization, music, auditory cueing, walking, running, cadence,
dynamical systems, oscillators, beat, prediction

24

25

1	Highlights
2	 What does lie behind the ergogenic benefit of music?
3	
4	• Locomotion and a large number of natural movements consist of neuronal and
5	mechanical oscillations.
6	
7	• Evidences exist that predictable beat-based auditory stimulations entrain
8	neuronal activity and engage the motor structures of the brain.
9	
10	• Modelling external and internal rhythms as oscillators provides a unified
11	theoretical framework in which sensory and motor structures are bound to each
12	other during auditory motor synchronization.
13	
14	Neural mechanisms associated with beat tracking ability support gait
15	rehabilitation of Parkinson's disease patients and contribute to stabilize spatio-
16	temporal gait parameters of runners.
17	
18	• Exogenous rhythms, in order to entrain locomotion, need to comply with specific
19	properties of biological oscillators, at neural as well as physical levels.
20	
21	
22	

1 Introduction

2 Auditory rhythms are a strong movement incentive. The potential of music 3 as a driving force for movement translates into the ubiquitous human tendency to 4 move to the beat (Repp, 2005), the most salient rhythmical event. In daily life, 5 people spontaneously or deliberately move to the beat of rhythmic sound 6 sequences via finger or foot tapping, body swaying or walking (Leman et al., 2013). 7 This ability, which appears to be natural and universal, develops early in 8 humans (Zentner & Eerola, 2010). What lies behind this ergogenic benefit of 9 music? The coupling between perception and action contributes to the 10 emergence of the compelling link between rhythm and movement. A growing 11 body of evidence shows that merely listening to a musical beat activates motor 12 regions of the brain (Grahn & Rowe, 2009; Zatorre et al., 2007). Due to its peculiar and unique rhythmic features - i.e. a regular and prominent beat structure (Fitch, 13 14 2013) - music is thus ideally suited to drive the motor system (Dalla Bella et al., 15 2013; Madison, 2014).

16

1

17 In this article, we propose to analyse the ability of music to entrain 18 movement, and we do so by reviewing experimental and modelling evidences of 19 its physical and neuronal underpinnings. A particularly targeted context is human 20 locomotion, e.g. walking and running. If virtually any rhythmical movement can be 21 guided by an external rhythm, the intrinsic rhythmicity of locomotion indeed 22 makes it a relevant target. Some structures, such as central pattern generators, 23 have been phylogenetically selected to generate locomotor rhythms (Guertin, 24 2012). Among the hard-wired factors contributing to gait rhythmicity, some are 25 specific to human lineage and relate to our proficiency in walking and running 26 (Bramble & Lieberman, 2004). The relative extension of leg joints, typical of 27 human gait, makes the maintenance of locomotor cycles possible at a low 28 energetic cost (Sockol et al., 2007) despite the inertia of the lower limb. The widely

1 accepted mechanical models of walking and running, i.e. the inverted pendulum 2 system (Cavagna et al., 1963; Cavagna & Margaria, 1966) and the mass spring 3 system (Cavagna et al., 1964; Alexander, 1988; Blickhan, 1989) respectively 4 (Cavagna et al., 1977), account for the execution of these periodic motions. 5 Biological oscillators successfully combine energy dissipation and injection, i.e. 6 damping and actuation exerted by the muscles (Gurfinkel et al., 1998; 7 Gerasimenko et al., 2010), to ensure the robustness of their trajectories 8 (Hurmuzlu & Moskowitz, 1986). These complementary mechanisms ensure gait 9 stability. Being stable means that the rejection of perturbation is a core capability 10 of the system. However, real time manipulation of the dynamical environment 11 during walking demonstrates the possibility to mechanically entrain gait patterns 12 (Ahn & Hogan, 2012b). In other words, despite gait steadiness, interactions with 13 external rhythmical events are possible, and contribute to the stabilizing or 14 destabilizing of walking and running.

15

16 Locomotion does not rely on simple mechanics. If the possibility to entrain gait 17 with auditory rhythms exists, it requires the engagement of supervising 18 structures. During finger tapping, imagery studies have revealed the contribution 19 of motor structures of the brain during the extraction of an auditory rhythm, e.g. 20 the pulse underlying a temporal pattern (Zatorre et al., 2007; Bengtsson et al., 21 2009; Grahn, 2012; Chen et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2008a). Contrasting auditory-22 paced movement vs. self-paced dance steps (Brown et al., 2006) showed 23 activations specifically related to beat information during bipedal movements. 24 Sustained periodic cortical response tuned to the frequency of auditory rhythms, 25 as shown in electroencephalographic (EEG) studies (Nozaradan et al., 2011), can 26 be interpreted as a manifestation of cortical entrainment. It has been suggested 27 that oscillations, which are naturally observed both at cellular and neuronal levels, 28 contribute to the central representation of event timing (Large et al., 2015). In 29 more dynamical terms, an appealing theoretical model consists in viewing neurodynamics as the support of auditory rhythm perception, and its corollary,
 motor synchronization.

3

4 In this contribution, we propose that sound-induced locomotor entrainment is 5 distributed throughout interacting and reciprocally influencing neural and physical 6 levels of behaviour. Furthermore, we argue that this multi-level entrainment 7 organization promotes auditory-motor synchronization (AMS) as a factor of motor 8 performance. Appropriate spatio-temporal activation of muscles is necessary for 9 movement production, and auditory afferences can positively influence the 10 temporal structure of motor activities when they match the requirements of the 11 task and the intrinsic properties of neurophysiological and biomechanical 12 oscillators. Multiple interconnected biological oscillators, although different in 13 their structure, can be entrained to the same external rhythm. Despite the 14 singularities of brain structures (governed by neurophysiological principles) and 15 locomotor structures (obeying mechanical laws), modelling both structures as 16 (coupled) dynamical systems provides one unifying functioning principle. From 17 perception to action and from action to perception, tracking the influence of 18 external rhythms onto biological rhythms can enable a better understanding of 19 how rhythmical auditory stimulations find their way to motor commands and 20 movement control, and can benefit from our multi-level entrainment framework.

21

22 Specifically, the main goal of the present review is to show how the interplay 23 between neuronal, physiological and biomechanical mechanisms can generate 24 the behavioural findings reported in the literature, and affect performance. 25 Because AMS blends physical and neural processes, the boundaries of the present review span across disciplines beyond the strict scope of neuroscience. In the next 26 27 sections, we introduce the theoretical framework of entrainment and then 28 explore its ramification at biomechanical, physiological and neurophysiological 29 levels. We detail how the chain of oscillators at these different levels are prone to

entrainment during locomotor activities, and how AMS can contribute to the timing of action and associated performance. The use of AMS for the gait rehabilitation of PD patients and for entraining runners' cadence will provide examples of the potential benefits of AMS delivered during locomotion. These applications will finally feed the research agenda that we propose for future work in this fast-changing area of research.

7 2 Rhythmic Movement and auditory-motor 8 synchronization as Coupled Oscillators: the 9 Dynamical Systems Approach

The dynamical systems theory provides a framework to model movement 10 11 characteristics in the context of AMS. Moving in synchrony with an external 12 rhythm (a metronome or music) implies that predictable auditory events pace the 13 movement. The beat corresponds to the regular time interval that we can tap 14 along to when listening to music (Cooper & Meyer, 1960; Large, 2008). It 15 corresponds to an internal pulse resulting from the temporal organization of 16 durations in the musical piece, including different embedded periodicities (metre; 17 London, 2012). Whereas metronomic ticks provide unambiguous temporal cues, 18 in a musical stream, the beat is not necessarily a strict property of the stimulus. 19 Due to the complexity of musical structure, the possibility to interpret multiple 20 periodicities often exists. Beats being "inferred from but not identical to the 21 patterns of accentuation at the musical surface" (Lerdahl & Jackendoff, 1983), 22 variations of acoustic parameters such as pitch (Prince et al., 2009; Ellis & Jones, 23 2009; Prince, 2014) or intensity (Drake et al., 2000b) can also contribute to beat 24 perception. The metrical hierarchy can modulate the saliency of some beats with 25 respect to others, every other beat being accented, e.g. in a march rhythm. 26 Studying the temporal alignment of actions related to these auditory landmarks 27 has enabled the investigation of AMS.

1

2 Rhythmic movements - such as those occurring during tapping or locomotion -3 provide the moving limb(s) with the properties of a self-sustained oscillator, 4 characterized by a natural frequency, i.e. the frequency of the system measured 5 when isolated, not determined by the initial conditions at movement onset but by 6 its internal properties, with the ability to be restored after a transient 7 perturbation. Synchronization occurs when two oscillators adjust their rhythms by 8 virtue of their interaction. The nature of the interaction can be of different origin: 9 the synchronization of two clocks hanging from a common support observed by 10 Huygens (Huygens, 1665) is a famous example of mechanical coupling. How weak 11 or strong the interaction indicates that coupling strength is not easy to measure 12 (Pikovsky et al., 2003). In the case of Huygens' clocks, it depends on the ability of 13 the board supporting the clocks to move, coupling strength being the first factor 14 affecting the synchronization of two oscillators. The second factor is the frequency 15 detuning, which refers to the distance between the preferred frequency of the 16 two oscillators. When the distance between the two natural frequencies is large, 17 their interaction does not result in the establishment of a common oscillation 18 frequency. On the contrary, for a certain range of detuning, which delimits a 19 synchronization region, the frequency of each oscillator is entrained towards an 20 equal frequency, and synchronization occurs. The width of the synchronization 21 region in frequency relates to coupling strength and detuning: the wider the 22 region, the stronger the coupling.

23

When oscillation frequencies lie within the synchronization boundaries and the coupling between oscillators is strong enough, the oscillators' frequencies converge to the same value, i.e. are entrained, and synchronization occurs. However, by looking at the phase of each oscillator, defined as their instantaneous position on the waveform cycle, different synchronization regimes can be distinguished. In agreement with the description of frequency entrainment or

1 frequency locking between oscillators, von Holst (1973), observing the 2 coordination of fish fins, emphasized the contribution of two properties of 3 neuronal oscillators. The *beharrungstendenz*, or maintenance tendency, describes 4 the steadiness of oscillations, whereas the *magneteffekt*, or magnet effect, 5 accounts for the influence that one oscillator can exert onto another one differing 6 by its eigenfrequency, resulting in the progressive drawing and coupling to its own 7 frequency. Both effects are in competition and contribute to relative coordination. 8 Von Holst interpreted fins moving at the same frequency with a constant phase 9 relation as the dominance of the second effect. The distinction between 10 synchronization regimes thus benefits from the measure of the relative phase, 11 defined as the phase difference between the two oscillators.

12

13 Having introduced the properties of oscillatory systems, we can now outline the 14 reasons why the coupled-oscillators model has been proposed as a model of 15 auditory-motor synchronization. It involves an adjustment of the motor rhythm to 16 ensure synchronization with the tempo of external sensory events. This is why 17 tempo has been considered as one of the most significant determinants of AMS-18 related effects on rhythmic movements. Coupling strength can be measured by 19 the ability of the participant to reach and maintain his/her movements in 20 synchrony with the beats of the auditory stimulation. When the frequency of 21 external stimulations is fixed, coupling is unidirectional. Hence, the auditory beats 22 are used as a forcing oscillator. When movement frequency is entrained to the 23 frequency of auditory stimulations, the moving limb often oscillates at the 24 externally driven tempo, as long as the detuning is not too pronounced, giving rise 25 to frequency locking. In-phase synchronization (equivalent to absolute 26 coordination reported by von Holst, 1973) occurs when both oscillators reach the 27 same extreme positions simultaneously (the rightmost or leftmost positions in the 28 case of two pendulums, tapping on the beat in the case of auditory tapping) 29 whereas anti-phase synchronization (one specific case of relative coordination) is

1 characterized by movements in the opposite direction of each oscillator (one 2 pendulum being in the rightmost position when the other one is in the leftmost 3 position or tapping at half the period of the auditory stimulus, which results in 4 syncopation instead of synchronization). Entrainment is the process through 5 which two oscillators are attracted to each other by virtue of their interactions. 6 The term entrainment is often used to refer not only to the case of perfect period 7 and phase synchronization, but also to the tendency towards that state, perfect 8 synchronization being just one specific case of entrainment (Trost et al., 2017). 9 Studying sensorimotor synchronization therefore consists in characterizing 10 frequency and phase anchoring between movement cycles and auditory stimuli.

11

12 An appropriate tempo of auditory stimulation is not sufficient to ensure 13 sensorimotor synchronization. Since rhythmical voluntary movements involve 14 bringing the effector into a specific position at a specific time, movement phase 15 coordination with external sensorimotor events is required to ensure their 16 representativeness in time and space. Plotting movement kinematics in a state 17 space such as the space of flexion-extension angles of opposite lower limb joints 18 makes the invariance visible in coordination patterns. A specific form of the state 19 space - the phase portrait - where the angle and angular velocity of an oscillatory 20 system are plotted against each other reveals the presence of attractors (Beek, 21 1989), which correspond to the regions where the dynamics tend to converge 22 onto a relatively limited number of values. In other words, attractors are 23 characterized by the reduction of the spatio-temporal variability (Byblow et al., 24 1994; Fink et al., 2000; Maslovat et al., 2009). The influence of auditory-motor 25 synchronization on their emergence (Byblow et al., 1994; Fink et al., 2000) ascertains the contribution of auditory input to motor coordination, and is visible 26 27 in the phase portrait as the rapid convergence towards the aforementioned 28 values. This influence legitimates the modelling of the motor system and rhythmical auditory stimulations as coupled oscillators, a theoretical framework
 in which the coordination of the former can be governed by the latter.

3

4

2.1 Attractors Determine Global Movement Dynamics and Affect AMS Stability

5 In the dynamical approach, the control of motor timing by central structures is 6 considered as an emergent property of dynamical movement principles (Yue et 7 al., 2000; Kelso, 1995; Turvey, 1990) as opposed to the information processing 8 theory which postulates the existence of a central timekeeper (cf. box 1, section 9 7.1). Support to the dynamical systems approach can be found in the kinematic 10 structure of rhythmic movements.

11

12 As presented in the above paragraph, sensorimotor synchronization is 13 characterized by phase and frequency matching between external stimulations 14 and movement. The former ensures timing accuracy when the latter yields 15 appropriate time intervals. By varying the frequency of bilateral index finger 16 oscillations, considered as a representation of coordinated movements, Kelso et 17 al. (1981) revealed two stable modes of bimanual coordination: (i) the *in-phase* 18 pattern where the relative phase between each finger's position is zero, and (ii) 19 the *anti-phase* pattern characterized by a +/-pi relative phase. These patterns can 20 be mapped onto point attractors. Haken et al. (1985) proposed a dynamical model 21 accounting for the observed phase diagram. In the Haken-Kelso-Bunz (HKB) 22 model, the relevant control parameter is the frequency of oscillations (Schöner & 23 Kelso, 1988).

24

Stable convergence to a dual state is not the only behaviour of a damped massspring system. Robustly sustained oscillations having a stable amplitude and frequency with respect to perturbation can also characterize a second-order dynamical system exhibiting limit-cycle behaviours, which appears to be a

1 landmark of biological oscillators. Kay et al. (Kay, 1988; Kay et al., 1987) 2 interpreted rhythmic finger movements as the combination of noise and limit-3 cycle dynamics. Fluctuations around a cyclic orbit in the phase plane subsequent 4 to perturbations ascertain the stability of the limit cycle attractor (Kelso et al., 5 1981). In fact, any path in the state space can be considered as an attractor, 6 contributing to the stability of movement kinematics (Shadmehr & Mussa-Ivaldi, 7 1994; Won & Hogan, 1995; Burdet et al., 2001). Trajectory robustness and 8 attractors are tightly linked because the latter ensure the re-emergence of the 9 former after perturbation. The correspondence between periodic attractors and 10 rhythmical movement shows that an attractor can act as a deterministic factor of movement dynamics (Rabinovich et al., 2006). From the study of phase 11 12 transitions, i.e. discontinuities in coordinated movements, Haken et al. (1985) 13 unveiled how the apparent bimodal instability fosters synergies, defined as 14 "functional groupings of structural elements (e.g. neurons, muscles, joints) that 15 are temporarily constrained to act as a single coherent unit" (Kelso, 2008). 16 Identifying synergies sustained by perceptual events can therefore address the 17 question of movement stabilization by sensorimotor synchronization. The shape 18 of the limit cycle of cyclical movements can be altered by rhythmical stimulations, 19 or more exactly by certain properties of the sensorimotor coupling. In addition, 20 the cognitive intent appears to shape the asymmetry between flexion and 21 extension phases (Carson & Kelso, 2004). For instance, Wachholder and 22 Altenberger (1926) (cited in Sternad, 2001) reported during wrist flexion-23 extension alternations that the instruction could put the emphasis on one of the 24 phases. However, flexion-extension alternations of the finger entailed a 25 systematic accentuation of the flexion phase. Instructions to accentuate the opposite phase altered the level of coordination. Poor synchronization 26 performances have also been associated with off-the-beat synchronization 27 28 (syncopation) at high tempo (Kelso, 1995), compared to on-the-beat 29 synchronization. Finally, constraints arising from the neuromuscular properties

1 can also contribute to shaping the phases of synchronized movements. The 2 frequent transition from an extend-on-the-beat pattern to a flex-on-the-beat one 3 at high tempo also evidences the influence of the neuroanatomical characteristics 4 of recruited muscles (Carson & Riek, 1998). As anti-gravity muscles, flexors are 5 stronger than extensors, require a smaller proportion of motor unit recruitment 6 for the same force production (Vallbo & Wessberg, 1993), receive more 7 facilitatory projections from the cortex (Palmer & Ashby, 1992) and require less 8 cortical activity (Yue et al., 2000). In short, the intention and differential 9 neuroanatomical organization of flexion and extension, among other factors, 10 contribute to AMS stability (Carson & Kelso, 2004). A deep understanding of 11 structural and functional properties of biological effectors is required to catch how 12 their emergent dynamical properties contribute to a successful auditory-motor 13 synchronization.

14 2.2 Low-Level Movement Parameters Affect Rhythmic 15 Entrainment

16 Zooming down towards the lower level of movement parameters, such as the 17 resonant frequency of the end effector and its muscular activities, allows us to explore the complementary effects of auditory stimulation on movement 18 19 production. First, any moving segment is characterized by its eigenfrequency. 20 Auditory-motor frequency locking occurs when the frequency of the stimulus and 21 the eigenfrequency of the system under scrutiny are not too far apart (Leman, 22 2016), or are at multiples (Roerdink et al., 2009) or fractions (Bouvet et al., 2019) 23 of the natural frequency of the system. When participants perform underarm 24 swings while keeping in cadence with audio-visual stimuli, better synchronization 25 accuracy at a preferred tempo (i.e. the tempo spontaneously adopted by 26 participants, which is at or close to the resonant frequency of the moving limb 27 (Goodman et al., 2000; Abe & Yamada, 2003)), rather than at a faster or slower 28 tempo, is usually reported (Smoll & Schutz, 1982). This finding is in agreement with the theory of dynamical systems. It confirms the existence of a basin of
 entrainment, resulting in convergence towards the limit cycle, partly determined
 by the inertia of the moving limb (Hatsopoulos & Warren Jr, 1996).

4

5 Second, entrainment is also visible at the muscular level. Safranek et al. (1982) 6 were among the first to relate electromyographic (EMG) activities with auditory 7 cueing. In their study, participants were instructed to achieve a sequence of 8 reaching movements between three targets in silence, while listening to even or 9 uneven rhythms. EMG variability decreased under the influence of even rhythm 10 while it increased with uneven rhythm. Thaut et al. (1991) noticed less co-11 contraction of biceps and triceps during a flexion-extension task of the elbow 12 eliciting up- and down-swings of the forearm when the tempo of auditory cues 13 was matching the natural frequency of the movement compared to the same 14 movement performed in silence. An external rhythmical pacemaker can thus elicit 15 changes in onset, duration, and variability of muscular activities during simple 16 flexion-extension movements or during more complex movements such as 17 reaching. In a more recent study, Yoles-Frenkel et al. (2016) clarified the influence 18 of auditory context on the kinematics and corresponding patterns of muscular 19 activity during a finger tapping task. They confirmed the reduction of EMG 20 variability in response to auditory cues and noticed the concomitant reduction of 21 variability in the velocity profiles. More specifically, the variability of both 22 acceleration and muscle activity was reduced when tapping was auditorily cued, 23 during the pre-tap period, but not during the actual tap. Taken together, these 24 findings witness auditory entrainment to the beat at the level of the 25 neuromuscular machinery, from muscular activities to limb movement.

1

2

2.3 The Contribution of Nonlinear Oscillators to Locomotor Control

3 An enormous literature, partly cited in the present review, has analysed AMS-4 related human behaviour during isolated cyclical movements of the upper limb, 5 such as during tapping to the beat, which is not the most representative 6 movement of our everyday life repertoire. In contrast, locomotion, together with 7 the way the two lower limbs have functionally been coupled throughout 8 evolution, has been less studied in the AMS context. Locomotion is probably the most phylogenetically preserved rhythmical physical activity in humans and other 9 10 animals. Beyond striking differences between species, e.g. quadrupedalism vs. 11 bipedalism, evolutionary conservation has shown similarities in the development 12 of the neural command of locomotion (Grillner, 2011). All vertebrates possess 13 spinal neuronal networks called Central Pattern Generators (CPG), which can produce meaningful functional patterns of motor activities in the absence of 14 15 sensory inputs (Brown, 1914). CPG activity has been evidenced by fictive 16 locomotion when the spinal cord is isolated from the periphery in non-human 17 vertebrates (Brown, 1911; Grillner & Wallén, 1985; Grillner et al., 1998). In 18 humans, the evocation of locomotor movements with spinal electromagnetic 19 stimulations provides an indirect indication of the presence of CPG in the spinal 20 cord (Gerasimenko et al., 2010). Nonlinear oscillators used to model CPG 21 (Matsuoka, 1987; Collins & Richmond, 1994; Rybak et al., 2006) possess distinctive 22 properties such as stability, that enable input from feedback pathways with a high 23 entrainment potential. Locomotion would be supported by lower-level command 24 modules, possessing the characteristics of nonlinear oscillators, engaged in 25 specific rhythm generation (a configuration which is more unlikely to underlie 26 tapping for example). However, the gait of patients suffering from spinal cord 27 injury also suggests the contributing role of the supraspinal command to 28 locomotion. Indeed, patients following body-weight support training after 29 recovery exhibit a foot trajectory close to that of healthy participants, with a

different lower-limb coordination when evaluated through the phase relation of
 their angular motion (Grasso et al., 2004).

3

4 High-level and low-level commands are thus not mutually exclusive in producing 5 entrainment, and their complementary nature has been studied, for instance by 6 Ahn and Hogan (2012b). In a striking study, the authors attempted to assess their 7 respective contributions during walking. They used an innovative therapeutic 8 robot able to mechanically perturb the ankle joint. The command of the robot, 9 designed as a torsional spring compensating for its intrinsic inertia, applied 10 periodic mechanical perturbations. Periodic square plantar-flexor torque pulses 11 were applied at frequencies different to the walker's preferred cadence. This set-12 up was designed to test the dynamic entrainment hypothesis. The torque 13 perturbation was delivered at constant frequency throughout one trial and 14 consequently the relative phase between its occurrence and gait could vary. The 15 evolution of relative phase across successive strides after the application of low-16 to high-frequency perturbations was used to assess the basin of entrainment. 17 Walking was found to be entrained by the mechanical perturbation, although only 18 for a limited range of perturbation frequencies. Phase locking occurred during the 19 push-off phase such that the perturbation assisted the movement. These 20 behavioural results, in agreement with the prediction of the nonlinear coupled 21 oscillators theory, show the possible contribution of neuro-mechanical oscillators 22 to walking. However, these effects appear to be modest, as witnessed by the slow 23 convergence of steps and perturbation phases. Ahn and Hogan's (2012b) 24 conclusion calls for the coexistence of spinal semi-autonomous oscillatory 25 activities and central supervision, a hierarchical organization they refer to as the 26 "episodic supervisory control of a semi-autonomic periphery". This engineering 27 terminology resonates with the functional anatomy of locomotion. CPGs, which 28 are distributed spinal networks responsible for the sequential activation of 29 antagonist muscle groups (Grillner, 1981), are not the only subsystems involved in

1 the control of locomotion (Figure 2E). Brainstem command centres activate CPGs, 2 silent at rest, via reticulospinal neurons, which integrate afferent sensory 3 feedback (Zehr & Duysens, 2004; Nielsen, 2003) and vestibular signals (Zelenin et 4 al., 2007). Integration of posture and locomotion is a prerequisite for ensuring 5 propulsion, but adaptation of the building locomotor blocks to a behavioural 6 context is also necessary. Experiments in animals have demonstrated the 7 contribution of the forebrain in movement adaptation (Bjursten et al., 1976; 8 Whelan, 1996). But the fine-tuning of behavioural repertoire benefits from cortical 9 input, the fast-direct corticospinal pathway projecting on most human muscles 10 (Mills, 1999). Interestingly, the direct micro-stimulation of the motor cortex in 11 autonomous walking animals was found to reset step cycle during stance, whereas 12 it increased activity level and duration of flexor muscles during the swing phase 13 (Bretzner & Drew, 2005). The motor cortex thus appears to contribute to both the 14 structure and the timing of locomotor patterns.

15

16 The functional organization described above raises the question of the possible 17 influence of periodic auditory stimulations on locomotor activities. A number of 18 studies have shown the influence of rhythmical auditory cues on spatiotemporal 19 parameters of gait. Participants instructed to synchronize their footsteps with a 20 metronome 22.5% faster than their natural cadence increased their walking 21 velocity by increasing their cadence (Leow et al., 2014). Metronome clicks, when 22 10% faster than a healthy elderly cadence, have recently been found to elicit the 23 increase of both stride length and cadence in the Dotov et al. (2019) experiment. 24 Roerdink et al. (2011) showed that the efficacy of auditory stimulations to elicit 25 gait changes weakened as a function of the gap between pacing tempo and participants' cadence, an observation in line with the dynamics of coupled 26 27 oscillators. Beat perception has also been found to accompany the modification 28 noticed in gait performance when synchronizing footsteps with auditory cues. For 29 instance, Leow et al. (2014) reported an association between strong beat

1 perception and gait performance in the presence of a metronome or music, 2 whereas weak beat-perceivers exhibited slower, more cautious gait, particularly 3 in the presence of musical excerpts with non-salient beats. Ready et al. (2019) 4 confirmed the alteration of gait, as indicated by the increase of double-limb 5 support time, in weak beat-perceivers instructed to synchronize their steps with 6 rhythmical cues, either a metronome or low-beat saliency music. From these 7 converging results, we can conclude that there is an interaction between beat 8 perception ability and auditory-based gait control, illustrating the circular 9 causality between perception and action during AMS. We now focus on the neural 10 processes allowing the brain to make use of the temporal regularities of 11 rhythmical auditory stimulations during motor activities.

12

3 The Neural Bases of Auditory-Motor Synchronization

13 In the previous section (2), we clarified the physical principles producing 14 entrainment according to the dynamical systems perspective, and how they could 15 contribute to coupling movement characteristics with auditory beats . Considering 16 the oscillatory nature of locomotor activities, walking and running represent a 17 natural target for periodic auditory-cueing, which can be achieved only if 18 information finds its way into the motor system. We have demonstrated various 19 levels of auditory entrainment, from localized muscular activity to the movement 20 of one joint, to a more global entrainment of the locomotion system when 21 external rhythmic auditory events take place. We now focus on the brain 22 structures involved in that biological entrainment to the beat. Despite our interest 23 in locomotion, this class of movement does not occupy the largest place in AMS 24 studies. In particular, the simple task of finger tapping to an auditory sequence of 25 tones has been a widespread approach in laboratory studies (for a review see 26 Repp, 2005 and Repp & Su, 2013). This task, which requires moving only one 27 finger, facilitates the listening of stimuli and the design of experimental set-ups. 28 The precision of data acquisition from neuroscience methods, particularly brain

1 imaging techniques, certainly benefits from the participants' stationarity. We will 2 therefore often refer in the present section to finger tapping studies without 3 which our understanding of neural substrates of AMS would be less advanced. 4 Beyond the identification of brain structures that are involved in the 5 synchronization between movement and audition, the goal of this section is to 6 introduce the most convincing experimental evidence of brain entrainment by 7 auditory cues, which represent a cornerstone of our hypothesis: multi-level 8 entrainment.

9

3.1 AMS Couples Sensory and Motor Cortical Areas

10 Listening to periodic auditory streams gives rise to a sense of pulse, more 11 commonly referred to as beat (Nguyen et al., 2018), which determines the 12 spontaneous tapping rate of listeners. Action-perception coupling appears to 13 functionally define the beat. In the present section, we therefore consider beat 14 perception as a temporally relevant detection of the auditory signal likely to be 15 coupled with a goal-directed movement. In order to uncover the specificity of beat 16 perception, it is necessary to complement the widespread notion of psychological 17 event with the clarification of underlying neural mechanisms, particularly the relation between sensory and motor structures. How does the endogenous neural 18 19 shaping of auditory rhythms drive movement onset? We now review the most 20 compelling evidence in the literature supporting the view that identical neural 21 structures are activated during the encoding of temporal stimuli and during 22 movement control.

23

3.1.1 Activation of the striato-thalamo-cortical network during beat

24

perception and AMS

25 Protocols entailing rhythm perception while listening to auditory rhythms have 26 isolated several brain structures which respond to the beat. Functional magnetic 27 resonance imaging techniques (fMRI) clearly reveal the activation of dorsal

1 premotor cortex, supplementary motor area, pre-supplementary motor area, 2 lateral cerebellum and basal ganglia when participants are listening to beat-based 3 rhythms (Grahn & Brett, 2007; Chen et al., 2008a; Grahn, 2009; Bengtsson et al., 4 2009; Grahn & Rowe, 2009; Grahn & Rowe, 2013; Kung et al., 2013; Merchant et 5 al., 2015; Chauvigné et al., 2014). The same structures appear to be associated 6 with beat-driven actions (Rao et al., 1997, Schubotz et al., 2000, Rao et al., 1997, 7 Dhamala et al., 2003, Lewis et al., 2004, Kung et al., 2013, for a meta-analysis, see 8 Chauvigné et al., 2014 and Merchant et al., 2015).

9

3.1.2 Movement Sequence Organization in the Motor Cortex

10 The traditionally accepted functions of premotor (PMC) and supplementary motor (SMA) cortices include the organization of sensory information relevant for the 11 12 guidance of the movement towards the goal (Weinrich & Wise, 1982), and for the 13 planning of ordered sub-movements, respectively (Mushiake et al., 1991; Tanji & 14 Shima, 1994; Shima & Tanji, 1998). PMC contributes to the production of 15 isochronous rhythms but is not specifically related to sensorimotor 16 synchronization. For instance, during an auditory-paced hand movement, Pollok 17 et al. (2017) reported the absence of modulation of synchronization accuracy 18 while stimulating dorsal PMC with transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS). 19 In contrast, the pre-supplementary motor area (pre-SMA) appears to be more 20 directly related to temporal processing. SMA is divided into two different parts 21 rostrocaudally (Picard & Strick, 2001): (i) the pre-SMA, whose phasic activation is 22 related to spatial parameters of the forthcoming movement, and (ii) the SMA-23 proper, which exhibits phasic movement-related activity time-locked to 24 movement onset (Matsuzaka et al., 1992; Tanji, 2001). During repetitions, the 25 temporal structure of the forthcoming movement is shaped by pre-SMA, whereas 26 its implementation depends on SMA-proper activity (Kotz & Schwartze, 2011). 27 Jantzen et al. (2007) illustrated the functional difference between SMA-proper 28 and pre-SMA by modulating the context of the motor task. When the pacing

1 stimulus is suspended following a sustained auditory-paced tapping task, contrary 2 to pre-SMA, SMA proper is equally activated irrespective of the pattern of 3 coordination, whether syncopated (on the beat) or synchronized (off the beat). 4 Contrary to cortical areas exhibiting context-dependent activations, the SMA 5 proper would have "a more ubiquitous role in motor timing" (Coull et al., 2011), a 6 hypothesis supported by the activation of that structure during non-motor timing 7 tasks such as duration comparison (Coull et al., 2008). In sum, among those areas 8 related to the control of movement, in which initiation and termination phases 9 structure neuronal activity, and which are also activated by rhythmic movement-10 based sensory afferences, the SMA appears to be crucially linked to AMS.

113.1.3Functional Connectivity Between Auditory and Motor Areas

12 Investigating the structural connectivity of premotor areas provides clues on how 13 their functional role is achieved during AMS: being at the interface between 14 sensory inputs from the thalamus, sensory cortices, and the primary cortex 15 exerting a direct control on simple movements, they are the perfect candidates 16 for regulating the temporal relations between afference-driven actions. Action-17 auditory perception coupling has long been evidenced, for instance by Chen et al. 18 (2006; 2008b) who investigated the engagement of different parts of the 19 premotor system, by contrasting distinctive action-perception conditions, passive 20 listening, listening with anticipation to tap (listen then tap) and tapping, with 21 subtraction analyses conducted on fMRI data (figure 3 A & B). Functional 22 connectivity between auditory and dorsal premotor cortices was found to be 23 effective during rhythmic tapping, as opposed to passive listening or listening with 24 anticipation to tap, and was a function of metric saliency when subjects tapped in 25 synchrony to isochronous rhythms. The ventral premotor cortex activity did not 26 appear to be sensitive to the temporal complexity of the stimulus. However, it 27 appeared to be directly engaged in the processing of action-related sounds, its 28 activity being significant only during listening with anticipation and during tapping

1 (Chen et al., 2008a). Chen et al. (2009) concluded that auditory and motor 2 functions, as well as their coupling, can be tracked within the premotor cortex. 3 The auditory cortex also contributes to auditory-motor transformations. Within 4 the auditory regions, the processing of spectro-temporal auditory patterns, 5 initiated in the ascending auditory pathways and the primary auditory cortex 6 (Nelken et al., 1999; deCharms et al., 1998; Fritz et al., 2003), is continued in the 7 planum temporale (Obleser et al., 2007; Nourski et al., 2009; Belin et al., 2000; 8 Griffiths & Warren, 2002), which occupies the posterior part of the superior 9 temporal plane. The connections of the planum temporale with the dorsal 10 premotor cortex (Schmahmann et al., 2007), and their role in the disambiguation 11 of complex sounds, as well as the effects of lesions (Liégeois-Chauvel et al., 1998), 12 and the neuroanatomical characteristics of musicians and their associated 13 rhythmical proficiency in AMS (Meyer et al., 2012), argue for the primary role of 14 the planum temporale in auditory-motor coupling.

15

16 The segregation of auditory afferences in two processing streams was 17 hypothesized decades ago (Rauschecker, 1997). The role of the ventral auditory 18 pathway would be to support sound categorization, in opposition to the dorsal 19 pathway, emanating from the planum temporale (temporo-parietal junction area 20 and ventral premotor cortex, Romanski et al., 1999), whose activity appears to be 21 tuned to spatial parameters of the sound (Tian et al., 2001). The faster neural 22 latencies of the dorsal stream (Camalier et al., 2012) argue nonetheless for the 23 hypothesis of its role in processing time (Rauschecker, 2018). The dorsal pathway 24 would transform acoustic information into motor representations (Warren et al., 25 2005) by providing the motor system with time-tracked relevant information 26 (Karabanov et al., 2009).

2 Musical performance in an ensemble is representative of auditory-motor 3 synchronization. Musicians have to deal with the inherent complexity of the 4 musical stream which can make beat identification challenging. Matching the 5 central spectro-temporal representations of the stimuli with the predicted or 6 learned ones 'stored' in the working memory has been proposed as a mechanism 7 to decipher musical rhythms. Keller (2008) formulated the hypothesis that, in an 8 orchestra, individual ongoing musical performance could be guided by predictions 9 about the co-performers' ongoing actions, and supporting behavioural data was 10 provided (Pecenka & Keller, 2009). Participants who perform best when asked to 11 imagine the continuation of a five-beat sequence, and to judge the temporal 12 acuity of a consecutively presented probe beat, were also those who 13 demonstrated good performance in sensorimotor synchronization. The execution 14 performance of musical pieces is logically altered by working memory load (Maes 15 et al., 2015). When tapping in synchrony with progressively more complex and less 16 metrically structured auditory rhythms, greater activity in the PFC of musicians 17 ascertained the contribution of working memory in the deconstruction of complex 18 temporal structure (Chen et al., 2008b). A temporally accurate hierarchical 19 planning of action (Koechlin & Jubault, 2006; Koechlin et al., 2003), and the 20 involvement of working memory (Petrides, 2005), mediated by PFC, have been 21 proposed as explanatory factors for the superior sensorimotor synchronization 22 ability of musicians (Chen et al., 2009). The functional division mentioned in the 23 previous section supports this contribution. The duality of the SMA connectivity -24 pre-SMA having extensive connections with prefrontal cortex (Wang et al., 2005; 25 Luppino et al., 1993), and SMA-proper projecting directly to motor and premotor 26 cortices (Johansen-Berg et al., 2004; Luppino et al., 1993) as well as spinal cord 27 (Luppino et al., 1994; He et al., 1995) - indeed bears this functional organization. 28 The engagement of short-term memory processes of the PFC is a function of the 29 complexity of the rhythm sequence as revealed by the comparison of cerebral

1

activities while listening to isochronous sequences vs. metrical or non-metrical
 sequences (Bengtsson et al., 2009).

3

4 In summary, a clear coupling exists between the brain areas that organize 5 movement sequences and those in charge of extracting relevant auditory 6 structure during AMS, beat identification in our specific case. The functional 7 connectivity between auditory and motor areas, specifically reinforced during 8 beat processing, allows the construction of temporally tracked motor 9 representations in pre-motor areas. Behavioural and electrophysiological data, as 10 well as the analysis of connectivity, do indeed support the sequencing of multiple movements in the SMA. On the one hand, specific contributions of pre-SMA 11 12 receive input from working-memory-related structures and define the temporal 13 relations of events. On the other hand, SMA-proper, close to the descending 14 pathways, is logically assigned with an implementation role (Kotz & Schwartze, 2011). A structure dedicated to the spectral and temporal analysis of complex 15 16 sounds - the planum temporale - projects onto both dorsal premotor and prefrontal regions. This suggests a tight coupling between auditory and motor areas, with 17 18 input to and from working memory. The present section has also outlined the 19 whole functional neural loop including basal ganglia and the thalamus. The 20 functional role of premotor areas is achieved through the involvement of the 21 cortical outputs to the basal ganglia and the thalamus, which connects both 22 subareas of SMA (Johansen-Berg et al., 2004), and the output pathway from the 23 basal ganglia back to the cortex. We are now therefore focussing our interest on 24 subcortical structures of the brain. The known contribution of cortico-basal 25 ganglia loops in the contextualization of sensory information for motor learning 26 (Graybiel, 1995) makes their involvement coherent in auditory-motor 27 synchronization.

1

3.2 Basal Ganglia Fine Tune Movement Timing

2 3.2.1 AMS and Basal Ganglia Dysfunction

3 The putative role of basal ganglia in action timing is first supported by 4 pharmacology. The impairment of the execution speed of a tapping task by 5 antagonists of dopamine (Rammsayer, 1997) indeed points towards the 6 association between dysfunctions of the dopamine system and motor 7 synchronization impairment. Our understanding of AMS mechanisms has largely 8 benefitted from the investigation of the abilities of patients suffering from 9 Parkinson's disease (PD), characterized by the degeneration of dopaminergic 10 neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta inducing a decrease in striatal 11 dopamine content (Hornykiewicz & Kish, 1987; Kish et al., 1988). The pathology 12 alters the balance between direct and indirect pathways which have opposite net 13 effects (excitatory vs. inhibitory respectively) on thalamic target structures. The 14 loss of the nigrostriatal pathway, which excites the direct pathway and inhibits the 15 indirect pathway, consequently favours the latter at the expense of the former.

16

17 PD patients exhibit selective deficit in discriminating beat-based rhythms (Grahn 18 & Brett, 2009). Compared to controls, patients at an early stage of the pathology, 19 i.e. when dopamine depletion is restricted to the putamen and dorsal caudate 20 nucleus, do not benefit from the presence of beats in the sequence to discriminate 21 rhythms. PD patients completing auditory-paced repetitive flexion-extension of 22 the wrist are known to exhibit less accurate inter-response intervals than controls 23 for high frequencies (Pastor et al., 1992). Freeman et al. (1993b) reported larger 24 variability of tapping rhythms among patients in addition to slower and higher 25 rates than the reference below and above 3 Hz respectively. The fMRI data of 26 patients during a finger tapping task (Elsinger et al., 2003) demonstrated a 27 decreased activation within the sensorimotor cortex, cerebellum, and medial 28 premotor system in PD patients compared to controls. Dopamine

1 supplementation restored brain activation patterns in patients but led to 2 moderate if no performance improvement during task completion, evaluated in 3 terms of accuracy and variability. The lack of performance increase with 4 dopamine, also reported by O'Boyle et al. (1996), in conjunction with brain 5 activation restoration, is intriguing. In an attempt to conciliate these findings, 6 Cameron et al. (2016) asked PD patients and controls to complete a rhythm 7 discrimination test. The performance of the PD patients, which was below that of 8 the controls, was more affected by the complexity of stimulus metricality when 9 they were off medication: in other words, medication increased their sensitivity 10 to simple rhythmic changes and decreased their sensitivity to complex rhythm 11 changes. Even if this appears as a confirmation of basal ganglia function, which is 12 supposed to be partially restored by dopamine, in beat perception, clear 13 explanations of medication-related performance alteration in the presence of 14 complex stimuli are still needed. ((LOIC > CHECK THAT THIS CHANGE IS OK))

15

3.3 Cerebellum Circuitry Predicts Sensory Input in AMS

16 When reviewing behavioural data, we found a general agreement for the main 17 contribution of the cerebellum to duration-based timing, evidenced by the 18 alteration of the timing abilities of patients affected by cerebellar lesions (lvry et al., 1988; Moberget et al., 2008; Grube et al., 2010a), or by the disruption of 19 20 cerebellar function with rTMS (Grube et al., 2010b). However, recent research has 21 extended the implication of the cerebellum to beat-based timing. For instance, 22 Paquette et al. (2017) used voxel-based-morphometry, a neuroimaging technique 23 which reveals the relation between behavioural variables and gray matter signals 24 (Ridgway et al., 2008). They aimed to clarify the relation between inter-individual 25 variations in gray matter volumes across the entire brain and beat interval 26 discrimination skills evaluated with Harvard Beat Assessment Tests. The data 27 supported the involvement of the cerebellum in the beat finding and interval test. 28 Measures of performance in cerebellar patients during temporally non-adaptive

(isochronous pacing) and adaptive (tempo-changing pacing) AMS and a perceptual
tempo judgement confirmed a global temporal processing dysfunction (Schwartze
et al., 2016). The fact that noticed impairments translated into increased
asynchronies and impaired error correction, Penhune et al. (1998) and Schwartze
and Kotz (2013) reinforced the conception of the cerebellum as a structure
dedicated to the reduction of time relevant sensory input, i.e. the encoding of the
sensory flow into temporal discrete events.

8

9 The sensory coordination feature of the cerebellum (Gao et al., 1996) also enables 10 the cerebellar networks to act in a feed-forward way, anticipating the sensory 11 consequences of the planned action (Bower, 1997; Mauk et al., 2000; Courchesne 12 & Allen, 1997; Ito, 2005; Ramnani, 2006; Pisotta & Molinari, 2014). The inherent 13 delay of information processing and motor response would spoil AMS with 14 unbearable temporal errors if mere reaction were the brain's dominant 15 functioning mode. The existence of delays in the sensorimotor system, as well as 16 its limited resources, are compensated by anticipation, a growingly recognized 17 brain function (Bar, 2007; Friston, 2005)).

18

19 The cerebellar circuitry thus seems to contribute to inserting the timing of sensory 20 inputs into the motor output (box 2, section 7.2). The discrimination of events 21 according to their temporal saliency, associated with temporally specific learning, 22 builds a temporal representation of events in the sub-second range, a key 23 determinant of auditory driven actions. The description of the cerebellum as a 24 brain structure in which online afferences and previous patterns of activations are 25 compared and recognized, i.e. the very sensory coordination feature of the cerebellum (Gao et al., 1996), thus considers the whole cerebellar network as a 26 27 feed-forward structure, anticipating the sensory consequences of the planned 28 action (Bower, 1997; Mauk et al., 2000; Courchesne & Allen, 1997; Ito, 2005; 29 Ramnani, 2006; Pisotta & Molinari, 2014). Electrophysiological data support this

1 role. In extra-cerebellar rat structures (superior colliculus and thalamus), for 2 instance, Crispino and Bullock (1984) reported a modulation of the relative weight 3 of auditory afferent inputs when the cerebellum was electrically stimulated. 4 Tesche and Karhu (2000) measured evoked MEG responses to somatosensory 5 stimulations in humans. In their study, the median nerve or the finger was 6 stimulated at a steady interstimulus interval. Random omission of the stimulus did 7 not alter the sustained evoked activity of the cerebellum. Moreover, the existence 8 of a refractory period in the cerebellar response, caused by preceding stimulus, 9 suggests the persistence, within neural networks, of the temporal pattern of 10 somatosensory stimulations during a few seconds. Therefore, sensorimotor 11 coordination appears to benefit from a short-term template of expected 12 afferences, offering the possibility to overcome the delays inherent to feedback 13 control (Wolpert et al., 1998a).

14

15 The PPC maintains cerebellar prediction until task completion (MacDonald & Paus, 16 2003; Wolpert et al., 1998b). In AMS tasks, Pollok et al. (2008) identified the 17 cerebellum and the PPC as a functional unit: measures of tap-related phase 18 synchronization in conjunction with neuromagnetic activity evidenced functional 19 connectivity within a cerebello-diencephalic-parietal network before tap onset 20 when the stimulus was isochronous. When the stimulus onset was randomized, 21 an increase of the parietal-cerebellar functional connectivity was found after tap 22 onset, and was attributed by the authors to the mismatch detection. The analysis 23 of functional connectivity among professional musicians further suggests a 24 positive influence of the functional interaction within a PMC-thalamus-PPC loop 25 on timing precision (Krause et al., 2010). Interestingly, the role of the cerebello-26 diencephalic-parietal loop in anticipatory motor control was also confirmed by 27 transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS[,] direct stimulation with low current 28 via electrodes contacting the head; Anodal tDCS increases neuronal excitability 29 and cathodal tDCS decreases it) applied over the PPC while participants were

1 synchronizing their finger taps with auditory cues (Krause et al., 2014). In this 2 study, PPC tDCS was found to affect finger synchronization, with performances 3 being degraded by anodal tDCS and improved by cathodal tDCS, a result that was 4 replicated in a population of musicians (Pollok et al., 2017). Repetitive transcranial 5 magnetic stimulation (in rTMS, the application of a magnetic field in a targeted 6 region of the brain elicits repeated electric pulses) over PPC ascertained the same 7 dependence of the auditory and visual synchronization modalities on PPC activity, 8 and confirmed the anticipatory processes taking place in this brain area (Krause et 9 al., 2012). The fact that PPC tDCS affected synchronization, but not continuation, 10 is also in agreement with the view of an afference-efference matching process 11 within the PPC.

- 12
- 13

14

3.4 Thalamo-Cortical Network Sustains Rhythmical Movement-Auditory Couplings

15 We have so far emphasized the role of basal ganglia, thalamus, SMA and 16 cerebellum as key structures of the functional loop implementing rhythmical 17 automatized movements (Kotz & Schwartze, 2010; Kotz & Schwartze, 2011). It appears that sequential movement preparation depends on SMA activity 18 19 (Mushiake et al., 1990; Shima & Tanji, 1998), particularly when the task requires the update of motor plans (Shima et al., 1996). Once cycles are engaged and 20 21 competing motor programmes eliminated (Mink, 1996), basal ganglia discharges 22 are related to the encoding of the learned afference-action relationship and sub-23 movement completion (Mushiake et al., 1990). The whole ganglia-thalamo-24 cortical network is thus engaged in the self-generation of action and the control 25 of its timing (Jueptner & Weiller, 1998). Since their discovery, direct versus indirect 26 pathway models of basal ganglia have been refined in light of anatomical 27 variations and have revealed intrinsic and extrinsic connections (Jahanshahi et al., 28 2015). This is important in order to understand the contribution of the ganglio-

thalamo-cortical loop to AMS. Crucially, SMA controls the activation of STN 1 2 (directly connected to the substantia nigra pars reticulata, the principal output 3 nuclei of the basal ganglia) via the hyperdirect pathway. This ensures the 4 connection between motor cortical areas and the globus pallidus (Monakow et al., 5 1978; Kitai & Deniau, 1981) with shorter latency than direct and indirect pathways 6 going through the striatum and the internal globus pallidus (Nambu et al., 2002). 7 This cortico-subthalamo-pallidal pathway would allow the cortical activity to 8 favour the emergence of the structure of the upcoming movement (Nambu et al., 9 2002).

10

11 In rhythmic tasks, matching the movement phase to exogenous cues relies on the 12 capacity of the cerebellar-thalamo-cortical loop to encode an event-based 13 temporal structure (Kotz & Schwartze, 2011; Coull et al., 2011). Temporal relations 14 of task-relevant events and the timing of anticipated future events are conveyed 15 by the cerebellar projections on pre-SMA and SMA via the thalamus. The "sensory 16 coordination" hypothesis, which postulates the control of temporal parameters of 17 the cortical activity by the cerebellum, adds a complementary role to the 18 cerebellum, that of implementing "computational subroutines capable of 19 entraining the firing rates of different neural populations" (Molinari et al., 2007). 20 Hence, the cerebellum seems to contribute to the coupling between auditory 21 stimuli and neural activities, in agreement with the two fundamental functions of 22 cerebellar processing identified by D'Angelo and De Zeeuw (2009): timing and 23 sensorimotor adaptation.

24

The extraction of the temporal features of a predictable auditory sequence, the development of temporal predictions, and the coupling of action to salient auditory events thus require, in a complementary manner, the integrity of the ganglia-thalamo-cortical and cerebellar-thalamo-cortical networks (Dalla Bella et al., 2015). In addition, recent investigations of cerebellum connectivity with other

1 brain structures (Bostan et al., 2013) have evidenced an anatomo-functional 2 interrelation between these two networks. Both subareas of the SMA are 3 connected to the cerebellum: the non-motor domain of the dentate nucleus 4 projects to the pre-SMA while its motor domain is related to the SMA proper 5 (Akkal et al., 2007; Dum & Strick, 2003). There is a convergence of subcortical 6 projections on the SMA from both the basal ganglia and the cerebellum, the 7 former ones being more represented than the latter ones (Parthasarathy et al., 8 1992; Inase et al., 1999; Akkal et al., 2007). Interaction between these subcortical 9 structures, which still remains to be clarified, would make short latency influence 10 of the cerebellum on basal ganglia possible. Chen et al. (2014) have indeed shown 11 in mice the existence of a disynaptic pathway, originating from the cerebellum, 12 able to alter the activity of striatal neurons. A substantial disynaptic connection 13 from the subthalamic nucleus to the cerebellar cortex was also found in monkeys 14 (Bostan et al., 2010). In sum, the rapid, two-way communication between basal 15 ganglia and cerebellum captures a functional unit. The highly interactive circuitry 16 between the cerebellum, the basal ganglia, and the cortex (for a review see 17 Caligiore et al., 2017) supports the transmission of cerebellar timing predictions 18 to the thalamo-striato-cortical processing streams.

19

20

3.5 Coupling sensory and motor structures during AMS by considering external and internal rhythms as oscillators

21 In our effort to understand the neural bases of AMS, we have summarized four 22 decades of neurophysiological data, and we have built a rough scheme of the 23 underlying operating networks. The global network - reviewed above - of brain 24 structures and their interconnections contributing to the synchronization of 25 movement with auditory cues (see figure 2B for a visual summary) constitutes the 26 neurophysiological foundations on which functional and reciprocal influences can 27 be understood. The classical view of brain area specialization - if it satisfies a rough 28 understanding of structure-function relationships - does not account for the

1 complete dynamics of sensory-motor coupling, in which sensory and motor 2 boundaries tend to vanish. Localizationism can only partially unveil AMS 3 mechanisms, not only because of the interleaving loops, but also because of the 4 exact nature of the coupling between auditory afferences and efferences. The 5 main focus of interest needs to be on the functional connections between the 6 brain structures which are bound together during AMS. For example, the 7 contribution of the sensory cortex to motor control has been evidenced by the 8 evocation of motor responses following stimulations of the primary 9 somatosensory cortex (Matyas et al., 2010). This has shown how prominent the 10 appraisal of functional connections is for the understanding of externally driven 11 movements.

12

13 A hint at auditory-motor linkages can also be found in premotor cortex activation 14 based on individual tempo preferences. In participants who passively listened to 15 tone sequences embedding weak or strong beats, TMS of the primary motor 16 cortex revealed larger motor-evoked potentials (MEPs) in ankle-driving muscles, 17 in the presence of metrically strong sequences (Cameron et al., 2012). After having 18 expressed a preference for slow or fast tempo, participants exhibited larger BOLD 19 activity in the premotor cortex when they were presented with the tempo they 20 most highly rated (Kornysheva et al., 2010). Moreover, inhibitory repetitive TMS 21 over premotor cortex altered tempo preference (Kornysheva et al., 2011). 22 Michaelis et al. (2014) hypothesized that preferred tempo could depend on an 23 endogenous oscillator, which would translate into spontaneous motor tempo and 24 preferred perceptual tempo. The authors experimentally identified the latter as 25 the natural tapping tempo of participants, and the former by asking participants 26 to rate their preference for tone sequences in a series differing by their pace. Both 27 were correlated. Moreover, when participants were listening to their preferred 28 tempo, corticospinal excitability was modulated by the TMS of motor cortex 29 during the tempo perception task (motor-evoked potentials being either

increased or decreased across individuals). These results show how rhythmical
 perceptual and motor tasks translate into action-perception synergies.
 Interestingly, protocols entailing measurements of behavioural and brain
 activations point towards the dependence of coupling magnitude on the matching
 between external and preferred rhythms.

6

7 The coupling between dynamical systems through resonance has been proposed 8 as a theoretical framework to account for this relation. Large and Kolen (1994) 9 regarded the perception of rhythmical structures as a dynamical process: their 10 model of entrainment — phase and frequency locking of oscillating networks with 11 periodic events of auditory rhythms — emphasizes the temporal structuring of 12 motor rhythms by incoming ones (Large, 2000). In the case of musical 13 performance, internal self-sustained oscillations covering different periods would 14 entrain to the rhythms of music (Large & Palmer, 2002). Before discussing the 15 experimental evidence for and against this theoretical approach, we first describe 16 the basic mechanisms of endogenous neural oscillatory activities.

17

Oscillatory Neuronal Activity and Network Architecture Allow

18

3.5.1

Large Scale Neuronal Interactions

19 The distinctive properties of the neuronal membrane ensure sharp variations of 20 ionic conductance, which result in a depolarization followed by a 21 hyperpolarization of the membrane potential. The waveform and features of this 22 elementary membrane event, an action potential, are accurately predicted by the 23 Hodgkin and Huxley model, which describes membrane conductance as a function 24 of its potential. An action potential, often referred to as a spike due to its shape in 25 electrophysiological recordings, occurs when a stimulus exceeds the 26 depolarization threshold according to the all-or-none law. Synapses functionally 27 fill the physical gap between neurons. In synapses, the electrical signal is 28 converted into a chemical signal, in the form of a neurotransmitter release. The
subsequent binding of the transmitter to the postsynaptic receptors switches the
 signal back again into an electrical form. The type of coupling varies however
 according to the nature of the released transmitter, synapses being either
 excitatory or inhibitory.

5

6 However, it would be misleading to think of a neuron as a passive integrator, only 7 ensuring the transfer of upstream information towards downstream connected 8 cells in the form of action potentials. The neuron doctrine, already predominant 9 before the 1980s, indeed put the emphasis on the connectivity of neurons 10 modelled as bi-stable gates, with some computational ability (Llinás, 1988). 11 Membrane conductance to ions depends on the regulation of the opening of ion 12 channel proteins. This regulation, referred to as gating, depends on the dynamical 13 cooperation between several processes that we will not describe here in detail. 14 The diversity of channels included in the membrane, the regulation of their 15 expression, and their opening kinetics all give rise to a complex integration ability 16 at the neuron level, beyond its activation threshold and the associated bi-stability. 17 A clocklike neuronal activity lies in the range of patterns of a single cell, but it also 18 includes transient and sustained firing, as well as subthreshold oscillations, 19 depending on the physiological conditions such as the ionic concentration and the 20 presence of neuromodulators. In other words, the neuronal excitability exhibits a 21 large repertoire of possibilities (Connors & Regehr, 1996), among which 22 oscillations, when the time occurrence of spikes is periodic (König, 1994; Kaneoke 23 & Vitek, 1996). This is the first organizational level of brain oscillatory activity 24 (figure 1B, Stiefel & Ermentrout, 2016).

25

Experimentally, the dynamical properties of neurons have been investigated by recording the firing of single cells in response to alterations of their potential. Puil et al. (1986) used frequency-domain techniques to model the contribution of passive and active neuronal membrane properties to input–output relationship.

1 They varied the frequency of the small amplitude perturbations of the membrane 2 voltage, observed the neuronal voltage response and calculated the neuronal 3 impedance, i.e. the frequency dependent resistance. The membrane (modelled as 4 a capacitor), separate charges, and ion channels (modelled as a resistor) allow 5 charged particles to flow (or to leak): the combination of a capacitor and a resistor, 6 characterized by a time constant which represents the time necessary to reach a 7 new steady state when voltage across the membrane is modified, passive 8 properties of the membrane low pass filter voltage variations. Voltage-gated ion 9 channels, modelled as an inductance, yield an active process ensuring the 10 responsiveness for high-frequency variations of membrane potential. The 11 combination of low- and high-pass filtering properties gives distinct frequency 12 preference to neurons (Pike et al., 2000) and gualifies them as a resonant system 13 (Hutcheon & Yarom, 2000).

14

15 Given that the hallmark of neurons is their connections, the collective functioning 16 of cells should be considered. The examination of the local synaptic circuitry in the 17 brain and in the spinal cord reveals one widespread pattern, the reciprocal 18 connections between excitatory and inhibitory neural populations: action 19 potentials originating from the former excite the latter, triggering the inhibition of 20 the former (Hoppensteadt & Izhikevich, 1996). By measuring how the discharge of 21 one neuron can be temporally shifted by perturbations such as post 22 excitatory/inhibitory potentials, scientists have been able to draw its phase-23 response curve (PRC), which consists in plotting the normalized spike time shift 24 against the perturbation time (Achuthan et al., 2011). Knowing the PRC of coupled 25 neurons allows theoretical predictions about their phase difference (Stiefel & 26 Ermentrout, 2016). Those predictions, in addition to the PRC, should consider the 27 intrinsic firing rate of the neurons and the coupling strength. In the case of two 28 identical coupled neurons, if PRC is characterized by a positive slope at zero 29 crossing, the phase difference between neurons is progressively reduced to zero

(cf. figure 1B). This functional unit responsible for periodic activity is called a
neural oscillator. Earlier, we mentioned the motor generation systems governing
locomotion, which also depend on such functional organization (Marder &
Calabrese, 1996). The phase convergence described between two coupled
neurons can account for the fixed phase relation between CPG cycles (Marder et
al., 2005). In the lamprey, the intersegmental time delay represents 1% of the
cycle and is constant, irrespective of the swimming frequency (Cohen et al., 1992).

8

9 Oscillation is thus a key characteristic of neuronal activity, at the level of a single 10 cell or for several neurons. The cellular organization of the cortex, which combines 11 six layers of neurons of different types, is, however, much more complex, and loosens causal links between elementary neuronal processes and cortical 12 oscillations. The observation of stochastic discharge in cortical cells (Softky & 13 14 Koch, 1993; Stevens & Zador, 1998; Harsch & Robinson, 2000) appears to contradict the general shaping of brain activity by synchronous rhythms. 15 16 Techniques such as EEG or EcoG, which aggregate signals from many cortical 17 cells, nevertheless provide access to the measure of identifiable rhythms despite their limitations. EEG signals are recorded from the scalp and hence suffer from 18 19 poor localization. Invasive measurements obtained by electrodes placed on the 20 surface of the cortex are not spatially diverted by bone structure, dura and 21 cerebrospinal fluid in EcoG. In animals, the insertion of deep microelectrodes in the brain can provide access to mesoscopic local field potential. Among the above-22 23 cited electrophysical techniques, rhythms recorded in LFP, spatially the most 24 precise technique by summing signals with a radius of a few hundred μm (Xing et 25 al., 2009), cannot be intuitively deduced from the stochastic spike trains of single

1 cells (Muresan et al., 2008; Jarvis & Mitra, 2001). This discrepancy represents a 2 challenge for "spike-to-spike synchrony". The phase response characteristics of 3 neurons modelled as periodic oscillators indeed entail synchronization with or without weak noise. In contrast, Brunel and Hakim (1999) modelled a population 4 5 of inhibitory interneurones randomly connected by delayed synapses whose 6 activity is dominated by a large background noise. Beyond a specific coupling 7 strength, the amplification of perturbations in a network of strongly coupled 8 neurons yields the emergence of high frequency collective oscillations. The "firing 9 rate synchrony" framework (Brunel & Wang, 2003) proposes to explain network 10 oscillations in terms of network architecture and intrinsic single neuron properties (Geisler et al., 2005; Moca et al., 2014). It can account for fast cortical oscillations 11 12 which contrast with random and sparse spike trains of single cells (Fries et al., 13 2001b). Establishing the exact causal links between cell properties and the 14 emergent dynamics of neuronal networks is a broad area of research, beyond the 15 scope of the present review. The accumulation of knowledge on brain 16 electrophysiological properties at multiple scales hints towards the conjunct role of 17 the dynamical properties of elementary elements, and the diversity of neuronal 18 connections (Wang, 2010). The development of techniques giving access to the 19 simultaneous recording of multiple single cells (Buzsáki, 2004; Le Van Quyen & 20 Bragin, 2007; Kobayashi et al., 2019; Jun et al., 2017; Mitz et al., 2017) will 21 probably lead to a breakthrough in our understanding of the emergence of network 22 dynamics (LOIC is this change ok?) from the properties of individual neurons.

23

1 Electrophysiological techniques nevertheless reveal oscillations in several bands 2 (covering frequencies from 0.05 Hz to up to 500 Hz in the case of EcoG) during 3 diverse behavioural states (Berger, 1929; Kahana et al., 1999). The frequency 4 range measured in human EEG is generally divided into frequency bands: delta (0 5 - 4 Hz), theta (4 - 7.5 Hz), alpha (8 - 13 Hz), beta (13 - 30 Hz), gamma (30 -6 100 Hz). These rhythms have been linked with behavioural correlates, despite the 7 absence of a precise definition of their functional role. Alpha waves, recorded over 8 sensorimotor cortex and prone to be phase-locked to stimuli (Sabate et al., 2011), 9 are often associated with the facilitation of cortical information processing and 10 working memory (Başar et al., 1997; Palva et al., 2005). ((LOIC you have forgotten 11 to delete the following sentence))They decrease or desynchronize with movement 12 (Niedermeyer, 1997). Beta- and delta-waves, both prevalent in the motor cortex, 13 have been shown to reflect the sensibility to relevant sensory cues in a motor 14 context (Saleh et al., 2010): they are prominent during the preparation of the 15 movement, cease at its onset (Jasper & Penfield, 1949), and increase if the 16 movement is withheld (Pfurtscheller, 1981). The stimulus-induced response in the 17 beta band depending on anticipation (van Ede et al., 2014), beta oscillations also 18 seem to contribute to a top-down modulation of sensory processing (Caras & 19 Sanes, 2017). Gamma band would convey the sensory processing of auditory 20 information (Gurtubay et al., 2004; Steinschneider et al., 2008) and would 21 participate in the selection of salient stimulus. Fast gamma oscillations of the 22 motor cortex also appear to engage in the active part of the voluntary motor 23 action (Cheyne et al., 2008), even if their variability with respect to the ongoing 24 movement challenges their association with specific aspects of the motor 25 command (Donoghue et al., 1998). The gamma-band power is larger during the first repetition of a series of movements (Muthukumaraswamy, 2010). 26 27 Consequently, gamma activity seems to be uncorrelated to movement sensory 28 feedback. A more global role of gamma rhythms has been proposed, binding the 29 activity of remote populations of neurons (Womelsdorf et al., 2007; Fries, 2009),

1 which could be involved in the formation and maintenance of motor plans 2 (Donner et al., 2009; Pesaran et al., 2002). This hypothesis would explain the 3 alteration of gamma-band power when participants face competing motor 4 responses (Gaetz et al., 2013). Low frequency theta oscillations would contribute 5 to the behavioural adjustments following the detection of an error. For example, 6 theta oscillations elicited by sound in the fronto-temporo-parietal network are 7 modulated in phase and power when detecting deviations in a sequence of 8 standard tones (Hsiao et al., 2009; Recasens et al., 2018).

9

10 Today, experimental data converge towards a crucial role of cortical oscillations in 11 the binding features of sensory modalities. However, their role in performing an 12 audio-triggered movement is debatable (van Wijk et al., 2012). During auditory-13 cued tapping, a significant coherence was observed in the alpha band within the 14 extensive brain network contributing to AMS, i.e. in the motor and premotor 15 cortices, posterior parietal cortex, auditory cortex, thalamus, and cerebellum 16 (Pollok et al., 2005). Being broadly distributed across cortices, oscillations would 17 recruit functional networks in the service of behavioural control (Narayanan et al., 18 2013). The long-distance spreading of oscillations between brain structures raises 19 the question of the nature of the relation between network fluctuations and 20 ongoing behavioural dimensions. Looking more closely at how afferences 21 influence brain waves may help to better understand the possible embedding of 22 synchronization-related information within neuronal oscillations. 23 Electrophysiological measures have indeed unravelled the relation between 24 sensory processes and neuronal activity. The excitability of a neuronal population, 25 which synchronizes at a given frequency (Buzsáki & Draguhn, 2004), depends on 26 the phase of the oscillation. The perceptual framing of vision could be partly 27 explained by the phase of the cortical alpha rhythm. The probability of perceiving 28 two visual stimuli as being simultaneous is indeed minimal when the stimulus 29 onset is locked to the positive peak of the alpha cycle. It is maximal when the

1 stimulus onset is locked to its negative peak (Varela et al., 1981; Gho & Varela, 2 1988). Using the target auditory oddball paradigm, which consists in identifying 3 task-relevant auditory tones intermixed with irrelevant ones, Haig and Gordon 4 (1998) reported a dependency on the pre-stimulus alpha phase synchronicity of 5 the participants' reaction time (figure 4A). An accumulation of evidence highlights 6 the effect of ongoing central oscillations on sensory processing (Arieli et al., 1996; 7 Fries et al., 2001a; Kisley & Gerstein, 1999; Kruglikov & Schiff, 2003). The 8 importance of this relation is reinforced by the nested modulation of the phase of 9 each frequency band by the lower one in the auditory cortex (Lakatos et al., 2005). 10 This hierarchy opens the possibility for a stimulus to structure the whole temporal 11 activity of the cortex.

12

In summary, the present description of brain rhythmicity from single cells to interconnected networks legitimates the use of dynamical modelling. However, despite the functional importance of rhythmic activities within the brain, we need to clarify the influence of external rhythms on brain activity in the context of AMS.

17 *3.5.2 Evidence of Cortical Entrainment by Auditory Rhythms*

18 The experimental validation of the coupling between auditory and endogenous rhythms proposed by Large and Kolen (1994) currently remains challenging. If 19 there is no doubt that neural networks can spontaneously oscillate (Hoppensteadt 20 21 & Izhikevich, 1996; Llinás, 2014; Yuste et al., 2005), establishing their 22 entrainability in the presence of auditory stimulations raises methodological difficulties. Neuronal entrainment, defined as the "alignment of ongoing neuronal 23 24 activity to the temporal structure of external rhythmic input stream" (Lakatos et al., 25 2019), supposes (i) the restriction of frequency relationships among endogenous 26 and external oscillators to harmonics (e.g. 2:1, 3:1), subharmonics (e.g. 1:2, 1:3),

or integer ratios (e.g. 3:2, 4:3; Large, 2008), and (ii) phase synchronization in the 1 2 presence of stimulations matching the frequency range of neural oscillations. 3 Auditory cortical activity satisfying (i) and (ii) in the presence of rhythmic patterns 4 has proven to be common to different types of stimulation such as music, amplitude 5 modulated noise or structured tones (Doelling & Poeppel, 2015; Henry & Obleser, 6 2012; Arnal et al., 2015). An alternative explanation to the action of a neural 7 oscillator is the so-called repetitive evoked response, according to which a rhythmic 8 stimulus can give rise to a rhythmic input in the signal of the brain region processing 9 it (Shah et al., 2004). We now briefly review the pros and cons, which have 10 recently fed a lively debate on the possibility of directly assessing auditory 11 entrainment in the brain.

12

13 By recording the EEG activity of participants listening to musical samples 14 including beats, Nozaradan et al. (2011) found a sustained periodic EEG response 15 tuned to the frequency of the beat. The authors also evidenced the dependency 16 of EEG response on metre interpretation. Specifically, when participants were 17 asked to judge whether samples corresponded to a binary or a ternary metre, 18 additional periodic signals at the corresponding subharmonics of the original 19 tempo emerged in the frequency spectrum. The adjustment of neuronal 20 activities within a limited frequency range, evidenced by steady state-evoked 21 potentials observed in the EEG spectrum at frequencies matching the rhythmic 22 pattern envelope (Nozaradan et al., 2012), opens the possibility to track the 23 external rhythms back in the cortex. Similarly, in a neuromagnetic study (Fujioka 24 et al., 2015), beta-band oscillations were found to depend on whether beats 25 were perceived as accented or not, regardless of the origin of the accentuation, 26 physically embedded in the stimulus or imagined. Tal et al. (2017) directly 27 assessed the correspondence between the spectrum of neural activities while

1 listening and the modulation spectrum of the stimuli. Neural responses in the 2 auditory cortex were enhanced at beat frequency, and, when the beat was 3 omitted, remained phase-locked to the times of the missing beat. In a 4 magnetoencephalography experiment using a pitch distortion detection task, 5 Doelling and Poeppel (2015) showed that (i) the cortical oscillations were phase 6 locked over a range of musical tempo, at least for tempo above one note per 7 second in non-musicians, and (ii) entrainment was correlated with performance. 8 In contrast, musicians' recordings showed entrainment across the whole range 9 of the tested tempo. Neural plasticity, at the origin of the better performance of 10 musicians compared to non-musicians, evidences the bidirectional nature of the 11 relationship between behavioural and cortical entrainment. In short, the 12 combination of high sensorimotor synchronization performance and high cortical 13 entrainment among musicians supports the view that neural entrainment 14 contributes to sensorimotor synchronization. A longitudinal study targeting the 15 concomitant brain development and behavioural performances of musicians 16 could clarify how neural entrainment and perception shape each other 17 (Nozaradan et al., 2016a).

•

18

19 The results of these studies, in which the neural response mirrored the spectrum 20 of the stimulus, were interpreted as evidences of cortical entrainment. This 21 interpretation is in line with the neural resonance theory which assumes that beat 22 perception involves the emergence of the internal periodic representation of a 23 pulse through nonlinear coupling between neural oscillators (Large & Snyder, 24 2009; Large et al., 2015). One specific resonance frequency range would translate 25 into the observed selective neuronal activity adjustment. However, as per the 26 definition of physical entrainment, we carefully stated in the first section of the 27 present review that the occurrence of peaks of activity in the neural response at 28 frequencies matching those embodied in the stimulus, while being consistent with 29 neural entrainment, does not represent one self-sufficient evidence. Novembre

1 and lannetti (2018) raised the possibility that steady state responses (SSRs), 2 noticed in EEG responses when listening to auditory sequences (Nozaradan et al., 3 2011; Nozaradan et al., 2012; Doelling & Poeppel, 2015), might be driven by 4 auditory event-related potentials (ERPs). Mancini et al. (2018) characterized the 5 effect of prolonged and repeated stimulation on the main components of 6 somatosensory ERPs and reported small amplitude ERPs despite habituation over 7 repetition. One can argue that the amplitude of the ERPs measured by Nozaradan 8 et al. (2011) may not have appeared to be measurable beyond the first stimulus 9 of the sequence. But the contribution of ERPs, particularly the biphasic negative-10 positive (N–P) vertex wave and the contingent negative variation (CNV), cannot 11 be fully discarded (Novembre & Jannetti, 2018). These ERPs are indeed both 12 modulated by non-periodic attention and expectation (Nobre & van Ede, 2018). 13 CNV in particular has been associated with auditory motor synchronization timing 14 error correction (Jang et al., 2016).

15

16 The identification of prediction mechanisms is central for the understanding of 17 neural processing which prevails during AMS. Breska and Deouell (2017) proposed 18 to disentangle the predictions based on rhythm-dependent or rhythm-19 independent mechanisms by comparing temporal predictions based on rhythms 20 to those based on memory. The ability of exogenous rhythms to entrain 21 endogenous oscillations should depend on the periodicity of the stimulation: a 22 periodic stream of beats is expected to be the most efficient input. Conversely, an 23 alteration of the periodicity of the stimulation, obtained by jittering the inter-24 onset intervals, would be detrimental to the necessary period and phase 25 adjustments of neural oscillations. Memory tracking of the time intervals, which 26 can include knowledge of temporal contingency, would be less prone to be 27 affected by the non-periodicity of the stimulation. In order to decipher the 28 contribution of each type of temporal prediction, whether rhythm-based or 29 memory-based, Breska and Deouell (2017) presented visual stimuli with either

1 periodic or jittered intervals to participants instructed to press a button on target 2 appearance. The phase modulation of delta-band activity did not show 3 dissimilarities between periodic and non-periodic conditions. This is the first 4 outcome of this study: the limitation of EEG activity alignment as an indicator of 5 entrainment despite the correlations with perceptual and behavioural 6 performances (Snyder & Large, 2005; Stefanics et al., 2010; Besle et al., 2011; 7 Henry & Obleser, 2012). A preparatory ERP analysis revealed similar waveforms 8 across conditions, but differences were noticed when one expected stimulus was 9 omitted. The long-negative component of the response, the CNV, known to be 10 altered by the unexpected suppression of the imperative stimulus (Walter et al., 11 1964), was immediately resolved after the omission of one anticipated event for 12 rhythm-based predictions, as if the stimulus was delivered. The vanishing of the 13 negativity, despite the omission of the target, can be seen as the 14 electrophysiological equivalent of the maintenance of cortical oscillatory activities 15 after stimulus termination (Lakatos et al., 2013; Bartolo et al., 2014). When one 16 self-sustained oscillator is coupled to a phase-resetting signal, upon the 17 termination of this influential input, several oscillatory cycles are indeed expected. 18 Breska and Deouell (2017) were, to our knowledge, among the first to report 19 specific electrophysiological indicators of entrainment in the context of periodic 20 rhythms. They were followed by Doelling et al. (2019) who attempted to 21 specifically disentangle the two distinct mechanisms - neuronal entrainment and 22 ERPs - in the presence of a structured auditory stream. They hypothesized that a 23 neural oscillator would be a better prediction and would have more computation 24 ability. They analysed the phase lag between neural response and acoustic input 25 as a function of the stimulus rate. They predicted a larger phase lag as the stimulus 26 rate increased for the evoked model, in contrast to a stable phase lag for the 27 oscillator model. In agreement with the oscillator model, the MEG activity of the 28 participants' auditory cortex revealed constrained phase regime while listening to 29 music of varying note rates (1 to 8 Hz).

2 The recent experimental evidence of auditory cortical entrainment in both 3 sensory and motor areas and at a specific tempo, as well as the hypothesis of an 4 encoding of the time of synchronization in neuronal oscillations, elegantly 5 legitimizes the use of dynamical models for the understanding of the interaction 6 of brain-auditory rhythms. However, neuronal oscillations do not only encode 7 information. They can also spread throughout connected populations (Buzsáki & 8 Draguhn, 2004), in such a way that the influence of behaviourally irrelevant stimuli 9 is lowered and the impact of relevant ones is reinforced. The technique of the 10 event-related potential (ERP), which consists in averaging the post-stimulus EEG 11 over a large number of trials, has been extensively used to disentangle the relation 12 between the underlying electrical activity of the brain and various cognitive 13 functions. Using this method in the context of AMS, significant differences 14 between accented and unaccented events related to metronome tones in 15 perceived and imagined metre have been reported (Schaefer et al., 2011) and 16 associated with top-down cerebral mechanisms of rhythm processing. This type 17 of control has also been evidenced with magnetoencephalography. Whilst 18 listening to identical click stimuli, Fujioka et al. (2010) contrasted the auditory 19 evoked responses from hippocampus, basal ganglia, and auditory and association 20 cortices related with "March" and "Waltz" metric conditions. In the former 21 condition, participants tapped every second click, while they tapped every third 22 click in the latter one. The neuromagnetic activity of several brain structures was 23 tracked during the listening period following the tapping task. In basal ganglia, the 24 larger response was found for March. Metric conditions elicited different 25 hippocampus activation latency: 80 ms for the march and 250 ms for the waltz. 26 The right temporal lobe activation also differed according to the metric contrast. 27 In the auditory cortex, the modulation of evoked response occurred at latencies 28 between 80 and 200 ms. Together, these results indicate that the hippocampal 29 memory system and the temporal processing system in the basal ganglia modulate

1

auditory cortical activity in this latency range, even in the absence of movement,
but according to the specificities of the prescribed task. They reveal that neural
activities induced by the metre context of musical samples facilitate the auditory
perception of temporally relevant stimulus for the completion of a related motor
task (Iversen et al., 2009; Grube & Griffiths, 2009; Pablos Martin et al., 2007;
Snyder & Large, 2005; Brochard et al., 2003).

7

8 Gain modulation of event-related potentials and top-down control of rhythm 9 perception thus represent convincing clues for the influence of external rhythm 10 on the binding of sensory and motor areas of the brain. However, the shaping 11 process of afferences by sensory pathways, e.g. brainstem response, during 12 auditory synchronized movements, and the concomitant influence of corticofugal 13 projections on this response have recently become a research focus. In order to 14 clarify the encoding of sensory inputs, Nozaradan et al. (2016b) conducted an 15 interesting study using the frequency tagging approach to simultaneously record 16 brainstem and cortical EEG activity (figure 3 C, the frequency tagging method 17 consists in using a stimulus with specific frequencies that can be easily isolated in 18 the neural response). Participants, while listening to periodic amplitude-19 modulated tones, were instructed not to move or to tap in synchrony on every 20 second beat. (LOIC do you mean here that the participant must neither move nor 21 tap???) The frequency spectrum of the stimulus was set to elicit frequency-locked 22 responses in the brainstem and in the cortex. The harmonic chord with partials at 23 200, 400, and 600 Hz, and??? amplitude modulated at the frequency of 2.4 Hz, 24 was likely to evoke a steady-state brainstem nuclei response at the frequency of 25 the partial, and a steady-state cortical response modulated at 2.4 Hz. The authors compared two conditions: tapping at half the frequency of the amplitude 26 27 modulation (1.2Hz) vs. passive listening. The increased cortical response at beat 28 frequency during sensorimotor synchronization, compared to passive listening, 29 was expected and measured, in accordance with the previous results of the same

group (Nozaradan et al., 2015). The maximal activity was measured at 2.4 Hz 1 2 despite the movement frequency set at 1.2 Hz, which can be interpreted as a 3 specificity of the AMS task. However, differentiating motor from auditory 4 response remains subject to caution in this set of data. First, the existence of a 5 peak of EMG activity at 2.4 Hz, in addition to the expected peak at 1.2 Hz, could 6 explain EEG activity at 1.2 Hz and harmonics. The second potential source of motor 7 overlap confound resides in the difficulty to separate sources in scalp-recorded 8 EEG. The overlap of cortical motor and auditory activities in EEG can be addressed 9 by alternative recording techniques. Ten Oever et al. (2017) used 10 electrocorticography (EcoG) from one grid of electrodes implanted on the lateral 11 frontal, temporal, and parietal lobes of one participant, ensuring a more robust 12 mapping of the signal. Their protocol entailed detecting beeps embedded in white 13 noise, with their intensity increasing monotonically over the trial presented at 1.5 14 Hz. Participants were instructed to react the moment they perceived the sounds 15 by pressing a button. The authors compared inter-trial coherence, which was 16 expected to be high at the frequency of the stimulus presentation compared to a 17 random sequence. Phase alignment indeed occurred before participants reported 18 the stimulus as audible. On the contrary, auditory evoked responses were 19 detectable after the beeps became audible. The same results were noticed in an 20 experiment based on the same protocol with MEG recordings in 16 participants. 21 As a solution for the limitation of low spatial resolution of EEG, the EEG-fMRI 22 fusion method has been used by Li et al. (2019) to distinguish the neuronal 23 populations that entrain to beat or metre. The spectra of auditory steady-state 24 evoked potentials provided an indication of the entrainment ability of brain 25 structures to beat (2.4 Hz) or metre (1.2 Hz). The thalamus, basal ganglia and SMA all exhibit peaks of activity both at beat and metre frequencies. The SMA was more 26 27 prone to frequency locking at beat frequency than at metre frequency. Finally, 28 with different measuring methods, Ten Oever et al. (2017) and Li et al. (2019) 29 legitimize the conclusions of Nozaradan et al. (2016b).

1

2 The novelty of the Nozaradan et al. (2016b) study lies in the brainstem response. 3 Auditory brainstem response is usually characterized by a transient response to a 4 click, and a frequency-following response (FFR) to sustained periodic stimulations 5 (Skoe & Kraus, 2010). The latter would reflect the basic processing of auditory 6 information (Skoe & Kraus, 2010). Nozaradan et al. (2016b) reported a 7 concomitant boosting of the brainstem responses, and the specific amplification 8 of lower-pitched tone of the chord with no correlation with EMG tapping data. 9 The observed gain modulation of the auditory response of the brainstem supports 10 the view of an online modification of sound encoding during movement 11 synchronization. The enhancement of the brainstem encoding during AMS could 12 contribute to amplifying behaviourally relevant sound features. The efferent corticofugal projections (Musacchia et al., 2007; Chandrasekaran et al., 2009; 13 14 Lehmann & Schönwiesner, 2014) could mediate the shaping of brainstem 15 response by the cortex. Descending projections from the sensory cortex to the 16 inferior colliculus appear to be crucial for the calibration of the auditory system as 17 a function of experience (Bajo et al., 2010). Response properties of colliculus 18 neurons are modified by the alteration of the cortico-collicular projection (Bajo & 19 King, 2012). In bats, Ma and Suga (2001) set off shifts in the frequency-response 20 curves of collicular and cortical neurons with electrical stimulation of the auditory 21 cortex. The electrical stimulation of the somatosensory cortex extended the 22 recovery period of auditory cortical neurons. Corticofugal projections can 23 reorganize the auditory response of collicular and cortical neurons to specific 24 features (frequency in the study cited above) of sounds (see also Yan & Zhang, 25 2005). The contribution of non-auditory cortex shows that the reorganization could put the emphasis on behaviourally meaningful stimulus. Polley et al. (2006) 26 27 demonstrated the possibility of eliciting a parameter specific plasticity in the 28 auditory cortex by training rats to selectively attend to either the frequency or the 29 intensity of auditory stimuli. The role of the corticofugal descending pathway in

1 driving experience-dependent plasticity, and more specifically musical processing 2 ability, has also been evaluated in humans. In a MEG study, Coffey et al. (2016) 3 revealed the cortical origin of FFR. They also reported a positive correlation 4 between the strength of FFR and cumulative hours of musical training as well as 5 between the strength of FFR and pitch discrimination thresholds. Over the past 6 decade, researchers have gathered evidence of the joint contribution of cortical 7 and subcortical components to FFR. The upcoming challenge lies in the 8 disentanglement of their respective contribution to sound processing.

9

3.5.4 Oscillatory Activities of Interconnected Brain Structures Support

10

Interdependence of Beat Tracking

11 The perception of the auditory events to be temporally matched with movements 12 appears as an intuitive prerequisite of AMS. We first opened this section with the 13 neural substrates of beat tracking ability. We then introduced the experimental 14 evidence of neural entrainment spreading. The detailed top-down supervision of 15 the saliency of motor-relevant sensory information reveals the tight coupling between action and perception during AMS. Particularly, the fact that the 16 17 voluntary organization of musical beats - in the form of metre imagery - can be 18 tracked at the cortical level suggests its influence on perceptual ability. Selective 19 enhancement of motor-salient frequencies by the cortex was supported by EEG 20 recordings of cortical and subcortical activities (Nozaradan et al., 2018b): the 21 former exhibited greater dissociation from the frequencies of the input than the 22 latter by putting an emphasis on metre-related frequencies. The selective 23 enhancement of metre-related frequencies does not fully rely on 24 subcortical auditory properties, but is critically shaped at the cortical level, 25 possibly through functional connections between the auditory cortex and other 26 movement-related brain structures.

51

27

1 Action could therefore contribute to sharpening perception. Active sensing has 2 been proposed in cognitive sciences and robotics, among other disciplines, to 3 describe sensors which have not for sole input the energy from the sensed source: 4 active sensing would also adequately describe most sensory processing 5 (Schroeder et al., 2010). Being active involves perceptual exploration made 6 possible, for example, by motor routines. Active sensing is a mechanism of the 7 selective amplification of task-relevant auditory input. An example is the work by 8 Morillon et al. (2014) who hypothesized that rhythmical movements would 9 contribute to temporally sharpen the selection of auditory information. 10 Participants tracking reference tones interleaved with distractors were found to 11 perform better in target extraction when they were required to finger tap rather 12 than during listening only (figure 4B). In the same vein, participants, when asked 13 to listen to rhythms perceivable either as a binary metre or a ternary metre, 14 exhibited neural activities larger at the frequency corresponding to the ternary 15 metre if trained before listening (Chemin et al., 2014). These examples legitimate 16 the vision of active sensing as a generalization of sensory-motor binding elicited 17 by AMS. Sensory-motor experience thus appears to tune the temporal precision 18 of predictions, and consequently shape perception with top-down processes. 19 Descending processes have been evidenced in non-human primates with 20 electrophysiological recordings. In non-human primates exposed to streams of 21 pure tones and noise bursts interleaved with random acoustic patterns, Barczak 22 et al. (2018) hypothesized that the detection of repeating patterns would require 23 the entrainment of internal rhythms to demarcate the repeated rhythmical 24 structures. They reported delta-phase locking, and the modulation of neuronal 25 firings occurred earlier in the thalamus than in the primary auditory cortex. The 26 recording of the sequence of endogenous rhythm alteration across central 27 structures reveals the top-down processes during a task which necessitates the 28 grouping of complex auditory patterns. This result also contradicts the definition of entrainment as an acoustically driven reflexive brain response (Novembre & 29

Iannetti, 2018). It indicates that the ability to identify rhythmic stimulus sequences
 without defined boundaries, solely characterized by their statistical regularities,
 preludes entrainment.

4 Using Auditory Entrainment and AMS to Enhance Locomotor Performance

6 The previous section (3) presented evidence for the functional role of movement-7 based neural loops articulating ganglia-thalamo-cortical and cerebellar-thalamo-8 cortical networks, together with the dynamical properties of the locomotor 9 system such as the vectors of synchronization of endogenous (i.e. biological) and 10 exogenous (e.g. auditory) rhythms. Brain activations during AMS reveal that "motor-relevant" auditory cues functionally connect neural regions dealing with 11 12 movement execution. This particular sensorimotor coupling legitimizes the use of 13 auditory cues in order to influence the timing of motor actions and the success of 14 its achievement. Appropriate spatio-temporal coordination of muscular 15 activations is indeed necessary for movement production, and because temporal 16 consistency often decides the success or failure of motor actions, it is a primary 17 factor of performance. If the relation between locomotor performance and 18 auditory stimulation is behaviourally substantiated, for example in the context of 19 gait rehabilitation in PD patients, investigating the specific underpinning 20 mechanism still only represents a partially explored research field. In this last 21 section (4.1), we propose to investigate how the two types of entrainment, 22 physical and neural, can be coupled to increase locomotor performance in walking 23 patients and healthy runners.

24

4

5

4.1 Biomechanical Entrainment as a Factor of Performance

From the beginning of the nineties, bipedal robots - initiated by McGeer (1990),
designer of passive dynamic walkers - have been built to walk downhill with

1 humanlike gaits, without actuation or perceptual control of any kind. Despite the 2 absence of control of every joint angle, which makes complex computations 3 unnecessary, passive walkers show a robust periodic limb trajectory, which 4 restores itself if perturbed slightly. They are also categorized as limit-cycle 5 walkers. The interaction between the inertial and gravitational mechanics of the 6 two inverted pendulums which constitute the walker ensures a rather stable 7 coordination (Collins et al., 2001). To enable ground-level walking, Collins et al. 8 (2005) added small active power sources, in the form of ankle or hip actuation, as 9 a substitute for gravity. In humans, the spring-like behaviour of the leg is not fully 10 energetically conservative. While energy is stored in muscles and tendons during 11 the impact absorption of the ground contact phase, and is reutilized during the 12 supporting phase, some energy is also dissipated by muscle fibres when they 13 lengthen. The maintenance of a limit-cycle behaviour is achieved at the energetic 14 cost of muscular activation. The episodic supervisory control we introduced earlier 15 thus appears as an efficient component when modelling locomotion control. The 16 relative hierarchical organization of the CNS is combined with (i) the autonomy of 17 peripheral networks in pattern generation, and (ii) the use of peripheral feedback 18 to adapt movement kinematics. This fundamental feature of biological systems 19 can find some resonance in this engineering model.

20

21 The observation of a limit cycle behaviour in the locomotor system, without 22 supraspinal control (Gurfinkel et al., 1998; Gerasimenko et al., 2010), makes the 23 passive dynamic model with reduced control a plausible model of CPG-driven 24 walking (Miyakoshi et al., 1998; Aoi & Tsuchiya, 2007). Bipedal stepping stability 25 of robots also benefits from peripheral sensors which can be used as state 26 detectors, and provides input for the actuation (Miyakoshi et al., 1998). Similarly, 27 in humans, beyond the evocation of fictive locomotion with peripheral 28 stimulations, load afferences are required to sustain the emergence of a 29 functional locomotor pattern (Dietz & Harkema, 2004; Van Wezel et al., 1997).

1 Finally, with behavioural measures showing gait entrainment towards a narrow 2 range of periodic perturbations, the relative contribution of limit cycle oscillators 3 in walking has been evidenced (Ahn & Hogan, 2012b). However, in a subsequent 4 article, the same group proposed an alternative simple state-determined model, 5 based on afferent feedback processes, independent of supraspinal control or 6 oscillatory networks (Ahn & Hogan, 2012a). ((LOIC please check)) The associated 7 phase locking of the perturbation within the locomotor cycle ensured the 8 assistance of the propulsion phase. The primary benefit of limit cycle walking is 9 indeed energy efficiency. Both artificial and biological systems are energetically 10 optimal at their mechanical resonant frequency. Since oscillatory systems respond 11 at greater amplitude when the frequency of their oscillations matches their 12 resonant frequency, the cost of transport can be optimized by walking at the 13 cadence at which periodic movement can be sustained with minimal contribution 14 of actuators (Ahlborn & Blake, 2002).

15

16 For a given speed, the walking cadence lies in an interval ensuring less energy 17 spending (Holt et al., 1991; Minetti et al., 1995; Umberger & Martin, 2007; Zarrugh 18 et al., 1974; Bertram & Ruina, 2001). However, it is only recently that the ability 19 of human adults to continuously optimize the energetic cost of walking has been 20 demonstrated. Selinger et al. (2015) used robotic exoskeletons during normal 21 walking to manipulate the metabolic cost landscape across the potential 22 combination of stride length, stride frequency, speed, and associated energetic 23 cost. The exoskeleton shifted the participants' optimal step frequency to higher 24 or lower values than the one initially preferred by applying resistive torque. 25 Participants were found to adapt their cadence to match the new optimal cadence despite the small amount of energetic gain associated with the updated cadence 26 27 (< 5%). Energy efficiency thus does not appear only as an emergent property of 28 the movement but can also be considered as an influencing input variable.

29

1 These findings provide the essential clues into understanding the benefits of 2 auditory cueing during physical activities, for instance, when running in 3 synchronization with music. If recommendations have to be formulated, the 4 tempo should be chosen according to the properties of the limit cycle oscillator to 5 maximize entrainment. This choice has at least two consequences. First, in the 6 case of healthy walking or running, the normal and therefore most efficient 7 cadence naturally represents the tempo value to match. We have indicated above 8 that people are able to select their natural cadence, but obviously not in situations 9 where other factors such as fatigue or pathology alter this ability. In the last 10 section of the present review, we show how pathological gait (4.2) and running 11 performance (4.3) can benefit from appropriate stimulations to counteract these 12 side factors. The second consequence, somewhat linked to the first, is an "out of 13 the lab" consideration. The practice of locomotor activities supposes different 14 environments, durations, speeds and equipment according to the individuals or 15 the training sessions: all these factors being prone to influence the natural 16 cadence, the adaptability of the stimulation represents both a necessity and a 17 technological challenge. The adaptability of the stimulation also leads us to 18 consider its personalization as a promising way to address individual (non-19)responsiveness.

20

21

4.2 Auditory Cueing During Walking of PD Patients Positively Influences Cortical Networks

The PD patients' ability to initiate movement is impaired, due to reduced functionality of the ganglia-thalamo-cortical network accompanying the progressive loss of dopaminergic nigro-striatal neurons (Galvan & Wichmann, 2008). This often gives rise to a freezing of gait, which is the transient difficulty in gait initiation or progression when approaching an obstacle or a turn (Giladi et al., 1992). The automatization of learned sequences is also altered (Wu & Hallett, 2005). It was early hypothesized that the explanation for such deficits lie in the impairment of internal cues generation to trigger the subsequent sub-movement in a sequence. During the execution of a visually cued sequence, preparation and execution movement times are known to co-vary with the reduction of the external cues in PD patients (Georgiou et al., 1994). Their difficulties in movement sequence completion, which can be reversed by external information, provide evidence of the role of basal ganglia in the release of relevant internal cues for the transition of movement stages.

8

9 Abnormal sustained activity in the beta band across the cortex and basal ganglia 10 has been associated with the loss of dopamine neurons (Cassidy et al., 2002; Levy 11 et al., 2002; Sharott et al., 2018; Kühn et al., 2005). Despite the mechanistic link 12 between impairment in movement initiation and the alteration of the 13 communication between brain structures (Engel & Fries, 2010), few studies have 14 correlated objective measures of motor impairment and the amplitude of local 15 field potential in the beta band (Brown, 2007). PD patients, who were implanted 16 with neurostimulators in the STN, and who performed repetitive index finger to 17 thumb taps, exhibited modulation of local field potential in the beta band 18 (Androulidakis et al., 2008). As the movement became more bradikinetic over trial 19 time, the amplitude modulation of the STN activity decreased. Steiner et al. (2017) 20 also recorded local field potentials simultaneously with motor performance 21 several months after the implantation of deep brain stimulation electrodes. They 22 assessed bradykinesia during a self-paced continuous alternating pronation and 23 supination task which was completed as quickly as possible and with the largest 24 amplitude possible. Oscillatory activity was suppressed in the beta band during 25 repeated movements. The diminution of the beta band suppression was accompanied by the decline of the frequency and amplitude of movements. 26 27 Fischer et al. (2018) recently assessed the role of beta activity in stepping by 28 measuring the neural dynamics in the STN of PD patients implanted with DBS electrodes. They reported the suppression of oscillations in the beta band, time 29

1 locked to the gait cycle, following ipsilateral heel strike and contralateral foot 2 raising. This modulation was increased when auditory cues assisted patients in 3 timing their steps. A simultaneous reduction of step timing variability was noticed. 4 Interestingly, in the presence of auditory cues, beta decreased before the 5 ipsilateral heel strike became faster. The possible relation between the degree of 6 step-related modulation of oscillations, influenced by auditory cueing, and 7 movement performance in PD patients highlights the role of beta oscillations in 8 the healthy brain. The beta reactivity of the STN, which is related to the saliency 9 of cues with respect to future motor actions (Williams et al., 2003; Oswal et al., 10 2012), supports the role of basal ganglia in weighing the behavioural relevance of 11 environmental information.

12

13 Providing an external clock would be prone to compensating for self-initiated and 14 self-paced movement timing difficulties due to basal ganglia dysfunction. This 15 would explain the missing internal cue at the origin of PD patients' difficulty to 16 initiate and maintain cyclic movements such as walking (Rochester et al., 2010). If 17 self-initiated and predictably cued movements indeed elicit the same levels of 18 SMA activation in healthy participants (Jenkins et al., 2000), multiple arguments 19 call for the dysfunction of the supplementary motor cortex in PD (Nachev et al., 20 2008) such as the decreased activity of pre-SMA and SMA observed in PD patients 21 (Playford et al., 1992; Grafton, 2004). Caligiore et al. (2017) recently hypothesized 22 a causal relation between alterations of the cerebellum to SMA circuit, the direct 23 link between the SMA and the subthalamic nucleus (Nambu et al., 1996), and the 24 impaired action sequencing of PD patients. The altered activity of SMA reported 25 in PD patients would be associated with the decrease of the anticipatory activation 26 of the STN by the hyper-direct pathway, a mechanism possibly prone to alter 27 subsequent movement preparation in a sequence. Considering the overlapping of 28 brain structures activated during movements relying either on internal or external 29 cues, this model can be of interest in the context of AMS.

2 The deficiency of the basal ganglia-cortical circuitry necessitates some 3 compensatory mechanisms that tend to maintain the temporal structure of 4 actions to achieve coordinated movements such as those responsible for forward 5 progression. Two explanatory hypotheses logically apply to patients' improved 6 gait performance under the influence of auditory stimulation: (i) the residual 7 activation of the basal ganglia by auditory cueing, and (ii) the compensatory 8 mechanisms which originate from SMA (Eckert et al., 2006; Lewis et al., 2007) 9 and/or from the cerebellum (Lewis et al., 2007). In the presence of an altered 10 striato-thalamo-cortical loop which characterizes PD, compensatory timing 11 mechanisms based on cerebello-thalamo-cortical circuits have been claimed (Dalla Bella et al., 2015; Lewis et al., 2007; Galvan & Wichmann, 2008; Kotz & 12 13 Schwartze, 2011; Nombela et al., 2013). The overactivation of the cerebellum 14 (Rascol et al., 1997) and motor cortex (Playford et al., 1992) measured with 15 neuroimaging in PD patients during sensory-motor coordination is accompanied 16 by functional changes, notably enhanced connectivity within the cerebello-17 thalamo-cortical loop (Palmer et al., 2010). Moreover, the dynamics of this 18 connectivity appears to depend on the progress of the disease (Sen et al., 2010; 19 Wu et al., 2011).

20

1

21 However, the balance between striato-thalamo-cortical and cerebello-thalamo-22 cortical circuits in PD remains an open research question. Miller et al. (2013) 23 proposed to directly assess whether the striatal dopaminergic denervation, 24 measured by reduced dopamine binding potential, would be associated with 25 sensorimotor synchronization impairment. Patients finger tapped in synchrony 26 with an isochronous tone sequence (500, 1000, 15000 ms inter-onset interval). 27 Regression analyses conducted on the relation between synchronization accuracy, 28 or variability, and denervation, did not appear very strong. Their conclusions, less 29 straightforward than expected, finally call for a subgroup definition of patients: a

1 cluster analysis, yielding 3 subgroups of patients, substantiated the parallel 2 degradation of synchronization accuracy, for the 500 ms target time interval, and 3 the pattern of dopaminergic denervation. Patients with an asymmetric pattern of 4 denervation between left and right hemispheres showed degraded timing ability 5 for the corresponding affected hand, and patients with symmetric denervation 6 showed similar degradation for both hands. This finding supports the contribution 7 of striatal denervation to sensorimotor synchronization impairment. However, the 8 subgroup of patients who had the largest proportion of striatal denervation 9 exhibited the best synchronization performance compared to the other 10 subgroups. The differences between subgroups suggest the existence of potential 11 compensatory mechanisms: patients with advanced striatal denervation could 12 have progressively switched to an alternative timing strategy over the course of 13 the disease. Miller et al. (2013) related these results with the greater cerebellum 14 activation. During a similar task, Jahanshahi et al. (2010) noticed finger tapping in 15 synchrony with an isochronous tone at 1 Hz. Jahanshahi et al. (2010) found that 16 cerebellar hyperactivation in patients was negatively correlated with the 17 activation of the contralateral putamen during auditory-paced movements (Yu et 18 al., 2007) and progresses with the disease (Sen et al., 2010).

19

20 The existence of compensatory mechanisms raises the question of their evocation 21 by AMS. In addition to the activation cerebellar networks, by passing or facilitating 22 the striato-thalamo-cortical loop has been proposed as explanatory mechanisms 23 of gait improvement triggered by AMS. However, very few studies have attempted 24 to identify the most prominent compensatory mechanism. Majsak et al. (1998) 25 noticed bradykinesia when PD patients were performing maximal speed arm reaches toward a stationary ball, but not when they reached for a moving ball. 26 27 This difference has been interpreted as a demonstration of the impairment of PD 28 patients to move their limbs on a self-initiation basis compared to external 29 stimulus-driven movements (see also Freeman et al., 1993a and Azulay et al.,

1 1999), in accordance with the hypothesis formulated by Goldberg (1985): the 2 cerebellum, the parietal lobe, and the lateral premotor cortex represent the 3 primary control loop for externally guided movements, whereas the basal ganglia 4 and the supplementary motor area are more predominant in self-generated 5 actions. Schenk et al. (2003) used a similar experimental paradigm encompassing 6 reach-to-grasp movement in patients exposed to controlled deep brain 7 stimulation (DBS). Patients implanted with a neurostimulator in the internal 8 globus pallidum and the subthalamic nucleus show good improvement in tremor 9 and bradykinesia (defined by Queen Square Brain Bank criteria as the "slowness 10 of initiation of voluntary movement with progressive reduction in speed and 11 amplitude of repetitive action" (Gibb & Lees, 1988)), and I-Dopa induced 12 dyskinesias (Krack et al., 1998). In the same vein, Schenk et al. (2003) compared 13 an externally timed condition (target moves away) and an internally timed 14 condition (target is stationary) and found that auditory cueing used to initiate 15 movement contrasted with the pursuit of a mobile target. This provided a cue for 16 both movement initiation and execution. The second type of cue was more prone 17 than the first type to influence movement execution parameters, such as the 18 velocity profile. This conclusion calls for the use of more complex auditory 19 stimulations than just a simple metronome. As expected, the effects of DBS on 20 movement parameters were more pronounced in the internally timed condition 21 than in the externally timed condition. The structures activated during visually 22 guided movements include the superior parietal cortex, the premotor cortex, the 23 thalamus, and cerebellar lobule VI. Conversely, activation of the basal ganglia, the 24 supplementary motor area, the cingulate motor cortex, the inferior parietal, the 25 frontal operculum, and the cerebellar lobule IV-V/dentate nucleus dominates 26 when movements are internally generated (Debaere et al., 2003). Elaborating on 27 these results, one can predict a more restricted influence of basal ganglia on 28 auditory-cued movements than on self-initiated movements. This prediction was 29 tested (Nowak et al., 2006) in PD patients with chronic stimulation of the

1 subthalamic nucleus, during a grip-lift task performed either at self-selected 2 speed or in response to an auditory cueing signal. When DBS was off, auditory 3 cues improved akinesia, restored grasp formation and compared to healthy 4 controls. DBS was associated with excessive grip forces, irrespective of the type of 5 movement initiation. In other words, auditory cueing contributed to movement 6 initiation in patients, and DBS facilitated movement execution when lacking fine 7 control. The premotor cortex, which is tightly connected with the cerebellum 8 (Hoover & Strick, 1999), is responsible for the integration of environmental-9 related afferences, i.e. sensory mapping (Wise, 1985), and indirectly receives 10 projections from the auditory cortices via the parietal areas (Pandya et al., 1969). 11 Auditory motor coupling can consequently emerge from this alternative network 12 (Weeks et al., 2001) as a substitute pathway to the damaged basal ganglia – 13 supplementary motor area network. The restoration of fine motor control when 14 AMS is applied to PD patients is also ascertained by muscular activation in the 15 arms and legs. Their analysis revealed an increase in their symmetry and a 16 decrease in their timing variability (Miller et al., 1996; Fernandez del Olmo & Cudeiro, 2003). 17

18

19 There is now convincing experimental evidence supporting the activation of 20 compensatory pathways by AMS. However, the persistency of the contribution of 21 impaired pathways cannot be wiped out, particularly under medication. The 22 engagement of reward mechanisms in healthy participants completing physical 23 exercise (see box 3, section 7.3) could also apply to PD patients, and help to 24 maintain dopamine release. If phasic activity of SN DA neurons is considered as a 25 reward prediction error signal (Schultz, 1998; Schultz, 2007; Schultz, 2016), Jin and 26 Costa (2010) also showed its role in the signal initiation and termination of action 27 sequences. The dual role of DA neuron supports the hypothesis of an effect of 28 pleasurable auditory stimuli on PD motor deficits, mediated by the reward system. 29 One consequence of dopamine depletion therapy, "compulsive singing" (Bonvin

et al., 2007), suggests the reciprocal influence of musical reward and dopamine
release, which is the consequence of the involvement of basal ganglia in both
rhythm and emotion processing (Trost et al., 2014). This behaviour is congruent
with the beat-based timing impairment of patients, and its improvement under
dopaminergic medication (Cameron et al., 2016).

6

7 The supervision of peripheral activities by higher levels of the CNS enables the 8 contribution of alternative sensory inputs to the control of gait. This assumption 9 opens the possibility of the manipulation of gait parameters by auditory cueing. In 10 a seminal work, Thaut et al. (1996) demonstrated the benefits of auditory cueing 11 on the improvement of parkinsonian patients' gait. Patients used auditory 12 stimulations as a pacemaker during a 3-week training programme. Temporally 13 predictable auditory cues had a post-training effect on gait by increasing gait 14 velocity by 25%, stride length by 12%, and step cadence by 10% in comparison to 15 self-paced patients who improved their velocity by only 7%. Benefits can 16 generalize to non-cued gait after an extensive period of training with auditory cues 17 (de Bruin et al., 2010; Frazzitta et al., 2009; Ford et al., 2010). However, Arias and 18 Cudeiro (2008) argued that the grade of the patients' disability must be considered in order to observe gait facilitation. Other factors should also be 19 20 considered because the success of this type of training varies significantly 21 between individuals.

22

Beyond the patients' grade, Leow et al. (2014) showed that beat perception ability
influences footstep synchronization with music. In recent studies, we proposed to
identify the specific rhythmical abilities of patients, which may help to shed light
on the functional mechanisms underlying the effects of AMS (Dalla Bella et al.,
2017). The effect on gait parameters observed during auditory cueing in patients
with PD was linked to their rhythmical abilities (figure 4C), especially their history
of musical training and associated rhythmic skills (Cochen De Cock et al., 2018). In

1 another group of patients submitted to AMS for four weeks, where 2 synchronization abilities were assessed before and after the training with auditory 3 paced hand tapping and walking to auditory cues, individual responses to the 4 training were either positive, neutral or negative, as measured by the distance 5 covered during a six-minute walk test. A positive response to AMS was predicted 6 by the synchronization performance in hand tapping and gait tasks. More severe 7 gait impairment, low synchronization variability, and a prompt response to a 8 stimulation change foster a positive response to AMS training. These findings 9 experimentally demonstrate the role of general-purpose perceptual timing and 10 sensorimotor abilities for gait rehabilitation in PD patients with auditory cueing. 11 They can potentially be generalized as evidence of the functional link between 12 such mechanisms and training strategies relying on neuronal plasticity. This link is 13 supported by the recently unveiled link between cortical entrainment and 14 synchronization accuracy. In the third section of the present review (3.5.1), we 15 have indeed presented data showing the entrainment of EEG cortical responses. 16 In the Nozaradan et al. (2016a) study, movement synchronization accuracy with a 17 rhythmic beat could be explained by the amplitude of neural activity selectively 18 locked with the beat period. Moreover, the strength of the endogenous neural 19 entrainment was correlated with better temporal prediction abilities. These 20 findings plead for the facilitation of AMS by neural entrainment.

21

4.3 Running Performance in the Presence of External Auditory

22

Pacing

The use of synchronized music has also been proposed to runners, so that they hit the ground in time with the beats of a metronome or music. The example of the Ethiopian athlete, Haile Gebreselassie, who famously broke the indoor 2000 metre world record in February 1998 while the *Scatman* song was being played in the arena, is often cited to support the positive influence of auditory-motor synchronization on running performance (Simpson & Karageorghis, 2006; Bood et

1 al., 2013). As appealing as this interpretation may be, it should be considered with 2 caution for at least two reasons. First, beat-step synchronization cannot be 3 claimed in the absence of appropriate measures at high sampling rate. Second, 4 the gap between Haile Gebreselassie's cadence (about 180 - 200 steps per minute) 5 and the Scatman tempo (136 beats per minute) would only have made 6 synchronization possible if he had adopted a syncopated rhythm (off the beat). 7 Considering the ratio of the musical tempo to the runner's cadence - ideally 0.66 8 in the case of syncopated rhythm - this type of synchronization would have 9 remained stable if Haile Gebreselassie's cadence was close to 204 steps per 10 minute. Higher cadence being associated with better performance, the music 11 could have contributed to maintain the runner within the basin of highly efficient 12 cadence. However, distinguishing the effects of auditory-motor-synchronization 13 from those related to motivation is necessary because both coexist when 14 motivational music is used. Haile Gebreselassie, who judged the "rhythm [of Scatman] perfect for running", could have benefited from motivational and/or 15 16 attention diversion effects (see box 3, section 7.3).

17

18 The specific use of musical rhythm to maintain an optimal cadence nearing 19 exhaustion has recently been clarified. Runners who synchronize their steps with 20 the beat of a metronome see their time of exhaustion postponed during a high-21 intensity exercise compared to the same session conducted without stimulations 22 (Bood et al., 2013). The associated decrease of the stride variability encouraged 23 the authors to consider the consistency of the cadence as the explanatory factor 24 of performance. There is indeed other evidence of the association between 25 performance and stride rate variability, such as the decrease of the latter elicited 26 by endurance training (Slawinski et al., 2001), or its increase with fatigue (Williams 27 et al., 1991; Dutto & Smith, 2002). Similarly to Bood et al., Simpson and 28 Karageorghis (2006) showed that synchronous oudeterous music (i.e. considered as neutral in terms of motivational qualities) can improve the performance of non-29

1 elite runners in a 400 metre sprint. The musical beats could have acted as an ideal 2 cadence maker, the stride frequency of recreational runners dropping 3 dramatically well before the end of a sprint (Girard et al., 2016). In the experiment 4 of Terry et al. (2012), the time to exhaustion of elite triathletes was also longer 5 when they were listening to music considered as neutral in terms of motivation, 6 compared to silence. Neutral music also decreased the perceived effort. The 7 authors reported an improvement of running economy, which should be 8 considered with care, the decrease of oxygen consumption [1.3-2.7%] remaining 9 mostly within the range of measurement errors of the metabolic cart (2.5%, 10 Medbø et al., 2012).

11

12 Morin et al. (2007) demonstrated the dependency of contact time and associated 13 cadence on leg stiffness, as predicted by modelling the leg as a spring-mass 14 system. The stiffness of the leg-surface combination is supposed to remain 15 constant (Ferris et al., 1999; Ferris et al., 1998) in order to preserve the dynamics 16 of the locomotor cycle (Farley & Gonzalez, 1996). However, Dutto and Smith 17 (2002) observed changes in the stiffness characteristics of the leg during a run 18 leading to fatigue. We can reasonably conceive that auditory beats delivered at 19 the appropriate tempo could counteract the deleterious effects of fatigue on 20 kinematics. This assumption could motivate further investigations. This approach 21 would be an innovative strategy for the prevention of overuse injuries (Chumanov 22 et al., 2012; Heiderscheit et al., 2011; Souza & Powers, 2009; Brindle et al., 2003; 23 Fredericson et al., 2000; Geraci & Brown, 2005; Edwards et al., 2009; Wellenkotter 24 et al., 2014; Williams et al., 2004; Williams et al., 2001; Granata et al., 2002). Stride 25 variability is rarely characterized in the above-mentioned study despite its predictive value in the context of injuries. As revealed by detrended fluctuation 26 27 analyses, step-to-step timing exhibits long-range correlations (Jordan et al., 2006), 28 which, however, decrease over time during a prolonged run (Meardon et al., 29 2011). Interestingly, participants who suffered from previous injuries exhibited

lower long-range correlation than non-injured participants. The predictability of
 the stride interval decreasing with fatigue or injuries, together with the real-time
 measure of runners' stride time, could open interventional possibility through
 rhythmical auditory stimulation in an attempt to restore the kinematic complexity.

6 The contribution of auditory stimulation could be extended beyond the locomotor 7 system, with the synchronization of two underlying biological rhythms, for 8 instance locomotion and respiration. Locomotor-respiratory coupling (LRC) has 9 been initially reported in guadruped animals as a mechanism transferring the 10 mechanical deformations associated with locomotion onto the rib cage via the up 11 and down movements of the visceral mass (Bramble & Carrier, 1983): the visceral 12 piston mechanism results on locomotor dependent loading and unloading of the 13 diaphragm, and alters the pulmonary volume. Animal studies revealed that the 14 coupling is also neurological. Connections between locomotor and respiratory 15 centres were evidenced by the increase of respiratory rhythm evoked by fictive 16 locomotion (Waldrop et al., 1986) and the stimulation of peripheral muscular 17 mechanoreceptors (Iscoe & Polosa, 1976). In guadruped mammals, the ratio of 18 locomotor and respiratory frequencies is 1:1 (number of strides:number of 19 respiratory cycles). However, bipedalism has significantly reduced the mechanical 20 linking between both systems, the movement of the spine being nearly non-21 existent. The direct consequences are the multiplicity of possible ratios of 22 frequencies (usually 3:2, 2:1, 5:2, 3:1) and potential independence of the phases 23 of both systems (e.g. Bardy et al., 2015; Hoffmann & Bardy, 2015). The inspiratory 24 and expiratory volumes ascribed to the visceral piston in quadrupeds reaches 20% 25 of the tidal volume (Lee & Banzett, 1997), whereas in humans, estimates vary 26 between 2% (Banzett et al., 1992) and 10-13% (Daley et al., 2013). How critical 27 LRC is for energy efficiency is a matter of debate for additional reasons. First, 28 evaluating the coupling of two systems, whose eigenfrequencies are different, 29 remains challenging. Methods are debatable (Zelic et al., 2017) and the variables

1 which are used to appraise coupling are not obviously the ones most 2 representative of the coupling. Most authors used the impact of the foot as the 3 locomotor event of reference (McDermott et al., 2003), but the loading of the 4 visceral mass, affecting lung volume, is initiated with a large delay with respect to 5 foot landing. Second, the real contribution of LRC to pulmonary air exchange is 6 debatable. In a recent study about LRC, Daley et al. (2013) postulated that the 7 synchronization of the peak acceleration of the centre of mass, with the 8 inspiratory and expiratory transitions, would be the preferred pattern used by 9 runners to contribute significantly to the breathing mechanics. Further studies 10 should challenge this specific hypothesis in order to provide a better 11 understanding of the nature of the LRC in bipedal locomotion. More generally, 12 how music as an exogenous AMS flows into multiple and mechanically coupled 13 biological systems exhibiting various natural frequency ratios, such as the 14 locomotor system and the respiratory systems, remains wide open for further investigation. 15

16

5 Open Research Questions and Conclusions

17 Explaining how physics and neuroscience contribute to the emergence of stable 18 yet adaptable movements is one aim of behavioural science. The present review 19 is an attempt to exploit this complementarity between the two approaches 20 towards our understanding of AMS. Our first step consisted in identifying a 21 relevant theoretical framework. If empirical studies are valuable to identify 22 structures and networks in the brain involved in perception and action, modelling neural activity with dynamical systems provides a universal mechanism for the 23 24 transformation of auditory information into efficient motor commands through 25 oscillator-based coupling and entrainment principles. We demonstrated the 26 appropriation of time-related information in the brain, and the relative 27 segregation in different structures of the afferences according to their rhythmical 28 characteristics and their salience in an action perspective. The notion of motor

1 salience of a given rhythm is empirically illustrated by the dependence of rhythm 2 perception on anthropometric parameters of the listener (Todd et al., 2007). The 3 dynamical properties of the locomotor system, which partly relies on oscillatory 4 spinal networks, putting into motion a chain of articulated segments characterized 5 by their eigenfrequencies, have only recently been clarified. Dynamical 6 entrainment appears to be possible at both central and biomechanical levels, 7 validating the limit cycle oscillator model as a relevant model of cyclical locomotor 8 activities. In the presence of rhythmical auditory stimulations, the reciprocal 9 influence of neuronal and behavioural entrainment substantiates the relevance of 10 the dynamical system approach as an explanatory framework for SMS.

11

12 In this review, we have presented the multi-level distribution of auditory 13 entrainment, from neural to biomechanical structures, or in other words, how 14 auditory stimulations find their way towards the rhythmic locomotor system. The 15 cited studies show the complexity of the functional attributes of the auditory 16 stimulations. There is no doubt that we have learned an immense amount about 17 neural responses over the past two decades. However, it is evident that much 18 remains to be established about the precise mechanisms sustaining locomotor 19 performances. Viewing sensory and motor functions in isolation probably does 20 not help to discover the whole potential of auditory stimulations on motor 21 performance, considering their tight coupling. Uncovering the whole chain of 22 coupling factors between perception and action presents a surmountable 23 challenge, and, inspired by the state of the art synthesized above, some 24 recommendations for future research can now be made.

25

As legitimate as the analysis of living systems is, through the prism of dynamical systems, this theoretical framework does not explain all emergent properties of biological oscillators. The characteristics of movement kinematics during a synchronization task in particular are not fully explained. Some kinematic data

1 challenge the theoretical frameworks used to model synchronization. For 2 instance, during a finger-tapping task paced by auditory beats, Balasubramaniam 3 et al. (2004) noticed that the trajectory was roughly sinusoidal, but movements to 4 and from the beat could be distinguished, breaking some predictions of the 5 dynamical system theory. Specifically, the symmetry in position and velocity were 6 not perfect, and movements towards the temporal target were found to be more 7 rapid than movements ensuring the return phase. To demonstrate the link 8 between timing and asymmetry, Balasubramaniam et al. correlated timing 9 accuracy with movement kinematics, and indeed found a positive relation: the 10 relative asynchrony, i.e. the early or late tap with respect to the auditory cue was 11 negatively correlated with the subsequent return phase. The duration of the 12 movement towards the cue was, on the contrary, relatively constant. The strong 13 negative correlation between each phase bolsters the existence of a closed-loop 14 error-correction principle, visible in the trajectory asymmetry. This set of data is 15 not in complete agreement with open-loop or pure limit-cycle oscillator models 16 accounting for repetitive movements, since these models do not account for 17 movement asymmetry. A unifying model, reconciliating consecutive event 18 correlation and movement asymmetry, is still to be proposed.

19

20 If evidence of cortical entrainment by musical tempo has been now established, 21 only a systematic investigation of the relation between sound inputs and neural 22 outputs will reveal the neural mechanisms of sound perception. The shaping of 23 cortical activity by sensory inputs indeed raises the question of the preceding 24 processing stages which ensure the selective entrainment to metre-related 25 frequencies (Nozaradan et al., 2018b). The embodiment mechanisms of auditory rhythms will be better understood after how rhythm complexity affects temporal 26 27 selection has been clarified. In other words, the investigation of input-output 28 nonlinear transformation of auditory rhythms and their contribution to the 29 emergence of perceived beats should be continued. In this regard, if the mapping

of stimulus inputs and EEG outputs provides a macro description of the relation between stimulus properties and brain activity, it fails to reveal specific neural processes (Nozaradan et al., 2018a). ECoG recordings, which offer better signalto-noise ratio than scalp-recorded EEG, could contribute to clarify the link between single stimulus presentations and cortical activity (Sturm et al., 2014).

6

7 Auditory rhythm perception can shape movement, but the opposite is also true. If 8 moving one finger, or oscillating our body in time to music helps to feel the beat, 9 can we consider this common practice as an evidence of dynamic attending? 10 Chemin et al. (2014) showed that in participants listening to a rhythmical 11 sequence before and after moving their body to this rhythm, the 12 electroencephalographic responses to the rhythm were superior at the specific rhythm frequencies after body movement. Phillips-Silver and Trainor (2008), by 13 14 demonstrating how influential passive motion of the head can be on rhythmic 15 metric encoding, posited the vestibular input as a determining factor of rhythm 16 perception, and outlined the cross-modal nature of the coupling between rhythm 17 perception and movement. Vestibular and proprioceptive systems, as complementary sources of movement-related inputs, connect body movement 18 19 and auditory rhythm processing (Phillips-Silver & Trainor, 2007; Phillips-Silver & 20 Trainor, 2005). If disentangling the specific mechanisms of a reciprocal influence 21 remains intrinsically challenging, the influence of movement on the perception of 22 rhythmical cues has not only motivated the search for experimental evidence, but 23 has also nourished a renewed theoretical approach of sensory-motor coupling. In 24 a protocol entailing the disentanglement of two streams of sound on the basis of endogenous temporal cues, Morillon and Baillet (2017) evidenced the 25 26 dependence of predictive timing on the activity of the motor system. They noticed 27 beta neural oscillations directed towards auditory regions and the sharpening of 28 the quality of temporal predictions, as well as better temporal selection of 29 relevant auditory information with overt rhythmic movements. These results
3

4 Top-down influences on rhythm perception should not be neglected either. In 5 musicians presented with either a sequence of tones or blinking visual cues at the 6 same frequency on which they were instructed to project a ternary metre, the 7 electrophysiological responses exhibited an enhancement of the amplitude at the 8 ternary subharmonic (one third of the stimulus frequency) across sensory 9 modalities (Celma-Miralles et al., 2016). Recent studies precisely attempted to fill 10 the gap between central and behavioural evidence of entrainment, by establishing 11 a link between rhythmical perception and synchronization abilities with 12 rhythmical auditory stimulations (Drake et al., 2000a), or their influence on gait 13 rehabilitation (Nombela et al., 2013; Dalla Bella et al., 2015; Dalla Bella et al., 2017; 14 Sihvonen et al., 2017). Cochen De Cock et al. (2018) reported a positive response 15 to cueing, measured as an increase of walking speed, in 17 out of 39 non-16 demented patients with PD. These patients aligned their steps more often to the 17 rhythmic cues, and showed better music perception than the 22 patients with 18 non-positive response. In six of the patients, gait performance was worsened with 19 rhythmic cues. Rhythmic and musical skills, which can be modulated by musical 20 training, may increase beneficial effects of rhythmic auditory cueing in Parkinson's 21 disease. These results point out how the personalization of auditory samples, as a 22 function of rhythmical perception ability, will ensure the best therapeutic value 23 for PD patients. The definition of the characteristics of rhythmical auditory 24 stimulations, such as frequency matching and variability, is another venue of 25 research to maximize the therapeutic effects of cueing. The temporal properties of variability are particularly important in gait which involves movement 26 27 repetition. The temporal structure of cueing appears to influence the temporal 28 dynamics of gait. Dotov et al. (2017) demonstrated that cueing with isochronous 29 or randomly varying inter-stimulus/beat intervals removed the LRC in the stride

1 cycle. In contrast, persistent correlation in gait was unaffected with stimuli which 2 mimicked the properties of variability found in healthy gait. The long-term 3 consequences of biological variability embedded in stimuli should be investigated. 4 Addressing this research question would also tackle the associated dilemma 5 concerning the most beneficial type of cueing, synchronizing while scarifying 6 natural variability, or sparing natural variability but diminishing the positive effects 7 of synchronizing with the cue. Interestingly, an extensive analysis of the spectrum 8 of western music revealed that rhythm fluctuations follow the fractal relation 9 (Levitin et al., 2012) and appear to contribute to the pleasure experienced by 10 listeners (Hennig et al., 2011).

11

12 Despite the common belief associating rhythmical auditory stimulations, and 13 particularly music which boosts sports performance, further research is required 14 to clarify the physiological mechanisms likely to support this relation. The main 15 challenge lies in the interconnection between physiological and 16 neurophysiological factors, since music reinforces the connection between 17 perception and movement, and probably alters the activity of motor networks and 18 their associated physiological processes. In contrast with the developing 19 literature, which aims to clarify the link between the rhythmic skills and 20 therapeutic potential of auditory gait training, similar systematic investigations of 21 the runners' gait, under the influence of music as a function of their perceptual 22 ability, are still missing. A prerequisite could be the search for direct evidence of 23 central entrainment during sports activities. Similarly assessing the entrainment 24 potential of the locomotor system during running would complement the 25 knowledge acquired on walking (Ahn & Hogan, 2012b). Beyond the use of periodic 26 mechanical perturbations which entails the use of apparatus prone to alter the 27 execution of fast movements, we believe that an innovative set up allowing the 28 manipulation of the dynamical properties of the environment could bring some 29 answers. In the presence of a time-dependent variation of ground stiffness (which

is a key determinant of the cost of transport and consequently governs running
kinematics), how would runners explore this new dynamical environment? Would
they adapt more quickly to the dynamics of the new environment in the presence
of auditory cues? Such investigation of the balance between central and
biomechanical factors would challenge our initial proposition of the existence of
multi-level entrainment.

7

In conclusion, refining our understanding of how body movements are shaped by 8 9 rhythm perception amounts to a better understanding of how action and 10 perception are coupled. This dialogue is beautifully illustrated by the dependence 11 of rhythm perception on body movement. Brain responses to rhythm are 12 enhanced by previous movement performance (Chemin et al., 2014). The influence of anthropometric factors on preferred tempo (Todd et al., 2007) can 13 also be seen as an embodiment of our movement repertoire within perception. 14 15 The mechanism by which the brain favours task-relevant inputs (Lakatos et al., 2008) logically opens the possibility to manipulate movement performance with 16 17 relevant sensory inputs. Action-based relevance of the stimulation appears as the 18 primary factor to consider when the goal is to foster auditory-locomotor coupling.

1 6 Acknowledgements

- 2 This research was supported by the European Union with the following grants: (i)
- 3 FP7-ICT-2013-10 "Beat-Health", and (ii) H2020-FETPROACT-2018-01
- 4 "EnTimeMent". We thank Stefan Janaqi for his comments on the manuscript, and
- 5 Julien Lagarde and Charlotte Roy for providing the data plotted in figure 5A.

1

7 Appendixes

2 7.1 Box 1: Information processing theory provides an alternative
3 theoretical framework to capture auditory-movement
4 synchronization

5 The present review mainly focuses on biological and physical phenomena for 6 which dynamical models are suitable. Information processing theory, which 7 provides an alternative theoretical framework, is also relevant to analyse 8 variability and error correction related to AMS tasks. Timekeepers represent the 9 core of that theory, which supposes an explicit generation of discrete time 10 intervals. Through this approach, the emphasis is consequently put on cycle-to-11 cycle measure and correction of motor timing. Error correction is crucial to sustain 12 SMS because of the inherent variability of movements (Woodworth, 1899; Fitts, 13 1954). When plotted in the phase plane, the kinematics of biological movements, 14 for instance finger flexion/extension during tapping, or bimanual coordination, 15 appears as the superposition of partially recovering closed curves which describe 16 a band (figure 5A).

17

18 Linear timekeeper models have been particularly relevant to explain data 19 obtained in the continuation tapping paradigm, in which participants are 20 instructed to tap in synchrony with a metronome and continue to tap at the same 21 tempo after the auditory pulses have been turned off. This paradigm reveals the 22 ability of human participants to maintain a mean tapping rate close to the 23 reference value while the variability increases with the duration of the interval 24 (Stevens, 1886). Moreover, inter-tap interval duration during the continuation 25 phase is negatively correlated with the interval duration at lag one, i.e. there is an 26 alternation of longer and shorter intervals compared to the original prescribed 27 period. Wing and Kristofferson (1973b) proposed a model (W-K model, figure 5 B)

1 to account for the mean and the variance of the inter-response intervals. In the 2 W-K model, a central timer generates intervals subject to temporally variable 3 motor implementation due to motor delays. Both the clock and the motor delays 4 possess their own variance, which can be estimated (Wing & Kristofferson, 1973a). 5 Vorberg and Wing (1996) established the increase of clock variability with 6 decreasing movement frequency, whereas the variability related to motor delay 7 was found to be constant (Wing & Kristofferson, 1973b; Vorberg & Wing, 1996). 8 Hence this combination associates an increase of the total variance, i.e. the 9 variance of the intervals' durations, with lower movement frequency. The 10 increase of perceptual variability with interval duration, predicted by the model, 11 has been measured experimentally (Friberg & Sundberg, 1995).

12

13 In addition to drift, the existence of long-period fluctuations has also been 14 reported, as early as 1886 by Stevens (1886), who noticed "larger and more 15 primary waves" as opposed to "constant zig-zag". Many biological rhythms follow 16 a mathematical law (1/f) (Gilden, 2001; Van Orden et al., 2003). In the case of 17 rhythmical motor activities, it is expressed as long-range correlations or long 18 memory of the duration of intervals, which tend to be correlated with the duration of intervals that occur immediately after, as well as with the duration of intervals 19 20 that follow much later in the series (Torre et al., 2011; Yamada, 1995; Roberts et 21 al., 2000). Fluctuations in tempo, even if they are more marked during tapping 22 synchronization continuation, are still present during sensorimotor synchronization (Chen et al., 1997). Power spectra of interval fluctuations of 23 24 participants who are instructed to reproduce temporal intervals, exhibit linear 25 negative slopes at low frequencies and linear positive slopes at high frequencies, representative of 1/f and white noises respectively (Gilden et al., 1995). The 26 27 mixture of noises embedded in the data, as well as numerical simulations, 28 encouraged the authors to consider the central time keeper of the W-K model as a source of 1/f noise and the motor command as a source of white noise. With 29

some adaptation of its parameters, the W-K model is still considered as a solid
 paradigm by the advocates of the existence of a central time keeper.
 4

5 7.1 Box 2. The cerebellar architecture ensures the mapping of 6 sensory information into temporally relevant motor 7 commands.

8 Imagery studies associate the cerebellum with AMS (Pollok et al., 2005). 9 Moreover, the transient alteration of cerebellar function with TMS entails an 10 impairment of synchronization performance during paced finger tapping, 11 evidenced by an increase of the variability of the intertap interval (Del Olmo et al., 12 2007). These experimental clues should not hide the debate about the cerebellar 13 contribution to beat based timing.

14

15 Since cerebellum has been under the scrutiny of researchers, its neuronal 16 architecture has been associated with timing. The anatomy and functional 17 properties of cerebellar networks have indeed justified neurophysiological models of cerebellar timing. Cerebellar circuitry is characterized by one sole output, the 18 19 Purkinje cells, located in the cerebellar cortex (Ito, 2000), which receive multiple 20 inputs from granule cells relaying mossy fibres via parallel fibres, and numerous 21 synaptic junctions from one climbing fibre (Eccles et al., 1967). Early on, 22 Braitenberg theorized the role of parallel fibres as "delay lines", activating 23 sequentially different Purkinje cells (Braitenberg, 1961; Braitenberg et al., 1997). 24 Another model, based on the oscillatory properties of the olivo-cerebellar 25 network has been proposed (Lampl & Yarom, 1993; Yarom & Cohen, 2002). Olivary 26 cells, whose climbing fibres project on the Purkinje cells, are characterized by 27 potential rhythmic sub-threshold membrane oscillations whose apex potentiates 28 spike occurrence by putting the neuron potentially closer to the threshold (Llinás

1 & Yarom, 1981a; Llinás & Yarom, 1981b; Llinás & Yarom, 1986; Lampl & Yarom, 2 1993). Oscillations enable the synchronization of clusters of neurons, as revealed 3 by multiple-microelectrode recordings in rodents (Welsh et al., 1995), or more 4 recently by dual whole-cell recordings in primates (Turecek et al., 2016). Subthreshold oscillations have given rise to the "timing" hypothesis (Ivry & Keele, 5 6 1989), which associates cerebellar activity with the temporal relationship 7 between relevant events. This would entail the existence of a specific cerebellar 8 timing unit (Ivry, 1997). In other words, the cerebellum would invoke an explicit 9 representation of time (lvry et al., 2002), such as equal intervals during an 10 auditory-paced isochronous finger-tapping task.

11

12 The integration of sensory information with timing function would be the 13 mechanism by which the cerebellum contributes to AMS. Jacobson et al. (2008) 14 proposed that, within the cerebellar cortex, there is an association of the 15 contextual inputs carried by the mossy fibres with a temporal pattern. In other words, temporal patterns supported by the cerebellar networks would not 16 17 necessarily be the byproducts of an inflexible clock, but could be generated "upon 18 request" (Jacobson et al., 2008). In vivo recordings revealed low threshold and 19 short latency response of olivary cells to sensory stimulations (Gellman et al., 1983; Gellman et al., 1985) and also weak periodicity (Lang et al., 1999; Keating & 20 21 Thach, 1995; Chorev et al., 2007). Whole cell-recording demonstrates the 22 correlation between subthreshold oscillation frequencies and the frequencies of 23 preferred spiking. Khosrovani et al. (2007) revealed the existence of a repertoire 24 of different neurons characterized by stable frequency settings. These findings 25 associate different phases of the oscillations with olivary cell discharge, and 26 consequently legitimize the concept of an internal clock tuned by subthreshold 27 oscillations. Mathy et al. (2009) proposed to characterize the subthreshold 28 oscillation phase - axonal discharge relationship with a combination of direct 29 patch-clamp recordings. A modulation of the relative phase between the synaptic

1 input and the subthreshold oscillations evidenced the dependence of the number 2 of olivary cells spikes in the phase of the oscillation. Recordings of climbing fibres 3 from Purkinje cells confirmed their activation by olivary bursts and their role in 4 triggering plasticity mechanisms. Olivary cell bursts would convey more 5 information than an on-off state (Najafi et al., 2014; Najafi & Medina, 2013). 6 Mathy et al. (2009) proposed that the phase dependence of the number of axonal 7 bursts maximizes the transmission of in-phase information from the olive without 8 abolishing the out of phase input. Subthreshold oscillations, in addition to their 9 role as a timekeeping device, would assign a level of saliency to stimulus as a 10 function of their phase, in-phase stimulus being the most prone to enhance 11 plasticity mechanisms in Purkinje cells. This mechanism could meet the premises 12 of both timing, and classical error prediction theories (Albus, 1971; Marr, 1969). If 13 research now emerges to challenge the cerebellar mapping of proprioceptive 14 information into a temporal framework during motor learning processes (Kimpo 15 et al., 2014; Yang & Lisberger, 2014), similar experimental evidence of its 16 contribution to SMS is needed. The synchronous discharge of inferior olive 17 neurons at a frequency matching those of tremors, following the injection of 18 harmaline (Llinás & Volkind, 1973), or time locking of Purkinje cells activity to 19 skilled movements (Welsh et al., 1995), supports the online influence of the olivo-20 cerebellar complex on motor control (Lang & Blenkinsop, 2011). The alteration of 21 motor responses, spinocerebellar reflexes following sensory perturbations, and 22 Purkinje cells activity in mice lacking electrical coupling in inferior olive cells, 23 recently highlighted the direct relation between timing of movement and 24 synchrony of olivary discharges (De Gruijl et al., 2014): the role of the coupling 25 between olivary cells, which is a condition for their contribution to motor control (Lang & Blenkinsop, 2011), is not limited to motor learning (as postulated by Van 26 27 Der Giessen et al., 2008 e.g.), but seems to have a significant effect on online 28 temporal processing of sensory information related to motor control.

29

1 The time-pacing ability of cerebellar networks, associated with sensory 2 integration, still represents a challenge for neuroscientists looking for a functional 3 definition of cerebellar networks. When reviewing behavioural data, an 4 agreement on the main contribution of the cerebellum to duration-based timing, 5 evidenced by the alteration of the timing abilities of the patients affected by 6 cerebellar lesions (Ivry et al., 1988; Moberget et al., 2008; Grube et al., 2010a), or 7 disruption of cerebellar function with rTMS (Grube et al., 2010b), was dominant 8 until recently. But the last beat-based timing protocols extended the implication 9 of the cerebellum to this type of rhythm. Paquette et al. (2017) used voxel-based-10 morphometry, a neuroimaging technique which reveals the relation between a 11 behavioural variable and gray matter signal (Ridgway et al., 2008), to clarify the 12 relation between inter-individual variations in gray matter volumes across the 13 entire brain volume and beat interval discrimination skills evaluated with Harvard 14 Beat Assessment Tests. The data supported the involvement of the cerebellum in 15 both beat interval test (BIT) and beat finding and interval test (BFIT), although the 16 scores of the latter test correlated strongly with gray volume data, whereas the 17 correlation for the scores of the former test was only marginally significant. If both 18 tasks require perceiving and producing a gradual tempo change and are 19 consequently related to absolute timing, the widely accepted function of the 20 cerebellum, the BFIT, compared to the BIT, adds a beat finding component: this 21 appears in contradiction with the vision of non-involvement of the cerebellum in 22 beat perception. The experimental data, in agreement with the compensation of 23 basal ganglia timing deficits by cerebellar loops in PD patients (see main text), also 24 legitimizes their contribution to beat based timing. Recent timing models no 25 longer restrict beat-based timing ability to basal ganglia. Despite the distinction 26 between neural substrates supporting duration-based and beat-based auditory 27 timing that Teki et al. (2011b) helped to establish, they subsequently suggested 28 that neither network may be independent (Teki et al., 2011a). The deficits in both 29 duration and beat-based timing evaluated in patients suffering from Huntington

disease (Cope et al., 2014), which is a pure striatal disorder, support the validity
 of this unified timing model (Teki et al., 2011a).

3

4 In sum, there is a general agreement on the existence of some kind of temporal 5 encoding in the cerebellar granular layer (Manto et al., 2012; D'Angelo & De 6 Zeeuw, 2009), but a unified model capturing the whole sum of experimental data 7 is still missing. Measures of performances in cerebellar patients during temporally 8 non-adaptive (isochronous pacing) and adaptive (tempo-changing pacing) AMS 9 confirm a global temporal processing dysfunction (Schwartze et al., 2016). The fact 10 that noticed impairments, which translated into increased asynchronies and 11 impaired error correction, appeared to be directly linked to the tens-of-12 millisecond range preceding the auditory events, reinforced the conception of 13 Penhune et al. (1998) and Schwartze and Kotz (2013) of the cerebellum as a 14 structure dedicated to the temporal reduction of time relevant sensory input, i.e. 15 the encoding of the sensory flow into temporal discrete events.

- 16
- 17
- 18

7.2 Box 3: Motivation, musical pleasure and physical activity

What lies behind the ergogenic benefit of rhythmical auditory stimulations? Other 19 20 factors than the underlying dynamical processes reviewed in this article must be 21 considered. For instance, rhythmical stimulations act as a pain distractor. Directing 22 attention to music or to a metronome during physical activity may alleviate 23 unpleasant sensations related to fatigue and pain (Nethery, 2002; Nethery et al., 24 1991; Edworthy & Waring, 2006; Karageorghis & Priest, 2012). By focusing on 25 auditory inputs, people may thus be more willing to push themselves beyond their 26 mental and physical limits, thereby increasing their running distance as 27 ascertained by the extra time taken to reach exhaustion. Fritz et al. (2013) clarified 28 this attentional diversion effect of music by modulating musical agency during a

1 strenuous task which relied on the experience of body proprioception. Because 2 musical agency significantly decreased perceived exertion, the observed benefits 3 cannot solely be attributed to an effect of diversion from proprioceptive feedback. 4 Bigliassi et al. (2017) argued that moderate exercise could promote a combination 5 of specific mechanisms down-modulating the exercise-related consciousness, i.e. 6 a reallocation of attentional focus toward auditory pathways, with a concomitant 7 reduction of communication across somatosensory regions. As a support of this 8 hypothesis, they reported more positive perceptual and affective responses from 9 participants when they were listening to music. The down-regulation of the EEG 10 amplitude at ~700 ms after the onset of muscle bursts corresponded to the 11 resynchronization time of neurons. According to the authors' interpretation, by 12 reallocating attention to external influences and dampening fatigue-related 13 sensations, music would appear to favour more autonomous control of 14 movements (Bigliassi et al., 2016). These results call for the standardization of 15 motivational aspects of music when used experimentally. Karageorghis et al. 16 (Karageorghis et al., 1999; Karageorghis et al., 2006; Karageorghis, 2008) 17 developed a questionnaire to assess the motivational aspects of musical samples 18 in the context of physical exercise.

19

20 A glimpse into contemporary hypotheses uncovering the origin of musical 21 pleasure appears as a prerequisite to deconstruct the satisfaction elicited by AMS. 22 Musical pleasure would be given through the interplay between prior learning and 23 changes in the structure of the stimulus (Huron, 2008). The repeated exposure to 24 a specific style of music, such as Western tonal music, develops our implicit 25 knowledge of musical rules and regularities, e.g. mental representation of tonal 26 relationships (Tillmann et al., 2000). When listening to music, the progressive 27 unfolding of the rhythmical structure, and beats in particular, meet timing 28 predictions (Bharucha & Stoeckig, 1987), which can be confirmed or violated if the 29 beat is perceived earlier or later than expected (Rohrmeier & Koelsch, 2012; Bailes

et al., 2013; Pearce & Wiggins, 2012). Early on, Meyer (1956) indeed hypothesized
that there was a relation between the degree of expectation fulfilment and
emotion. Acting as a pleasant stimulus, music evokes complex emotions, and the
associated central activations encompass networks involved in memory,
sensorimotor processes, and reward (Blood & Zatorre, 2001; Peretz, 2010;
Pannese et al., 2016).

7

8 Salimpoor et al. (2013) identified the activity in the mesolimbic striatal regions, 9 especially the nucleus accumbens, as the best predictor of the amount of time 10 listeners desire to spend on previously unheard music. The activities of auditory 11 cortex, amygdala, and prefrontal regions were also increased when valuation was 12 required, but did not appear as a good predictor of reward value contrary to the 13 functional connectivity of these regions with the nucleus accumbens. As a whole, 14 ventral striatum (nucleus accumbens) and dorsal striatum (caudate, putamen, 15 pallidum) appear to contribute respectively to emotion processing derived from 16 music (Berridge & Robinson, 1998) and rhythm perception and production (Grahn 17 & Brett, 2007). The interconnection between both parts of the striatum and their 18 influence by the dopaminergic system represent neuroanatomical clues of the role 19 of the reward system in associating entrainment with pleasure. If there is no doubt 20 about the coding of expected reward value by dopamine neurons (Montague et 21 al., 1995; Schultz, 1998), the activity of single dopamine neurons in awakened 22 monkeys indicates that they are also involved in coding reward probability and 23 perceptual salience (Zink et al., 2004; Berridge, 2007; Schultz, 2010): dopamine 24 neuron activity would code for the precision of prediction error (Friston, 2009), 25 and would be representative of predictive coding (Schultz, 2016). High-precision predictions would possess large motivational salience. How this "revisited" 26 27 dopamine function fits with the classically admitted role of basal ganglia in 28 movement selection (Redgrave et al., 1999) is a key question. The control of 29 dopamine release would optimize proprioceptive predictions, a key determinant

1 of good SMS performance. Based on the interaction between musical appreciation 2 and prediction error, this still debated theoretical framework could provide an 3 account for the interplay between SMS and musical enjoyment (see Haile 4 Gebreselassie's testimony in the 'main text). More generally, this interaction 5 provides a rationale for the power of music emotional salience, or "groove" 6 (Michaelis et al., 2014) to elicit movement, as evidenced by its influence on motor 7 excitability (Kornysheva et al., 2010; Giovannelli et al., 2013; Stupacher et al., 8 2013).

2

- Abe, M. O., & Yamada, N. (2003). Modulation of elbow joint stiffness in a vertical
 plane during cyclic movement at lower or higher frequencies than natural
 frequency. *Experimental Brain Research*, *153* (3), 394-399.
- Achuthan, S., Butera, R. J., & Canavier, C. C. (2011). Synaptic and intrinsic
 determinants of the phase resetting curve for weak coupling. *J Comput Neurosci*, *30* (2), 373-390.
- 9 Ahlborn, B. K., & Blake, R. W. (2002). Walking and running at resonance. *Zoology*10 (*Jena*), *105* (2), 165-174.
- Ahn, J., & Hogan, N. (2012a). A simple state-determined model reproduces
 entrainment and phase-locking of human walking. *PLoS ONE*, *7* (11), e47963.
- Ahn, J., & Hogan, N. (2012b). Walking is not like reaching: evidence from periodic
 mechanical perturbations. *PLoS ONE*, *7* (3), e31767.
- 15 Akkal, D., Dum, R. P., & Strick, P. L. (2007). Supplementary motor area and
- 16 presupplementary motor area: targets of basal ganglia and cerebellar output.
- 17 Journal of Neuroscience, 27 (40), 10659-10673.
- 18 Albus, J. S. (1971). A theory of cerebellar function. *Mathematical Biosciences*, 10
- 19 (1), 25-61.
- Alexander, R. M. (1988). Springs as energy stores: running. In *Elastic mechanisms in animal movement* (p. vi,141p). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 22 Androulidakis, A. G., Brücke, C., Kempf, F., Kupsch, A., Aziz, T., Ashkan, K., Kühn, A.
- 23 A., & Brown, P. (2008). Amplitude modulation of oscillatory activity in the
- subthalamic nucleus during movement. *Eur J Neurosci, 27* (5), 1277-1284.
- Aoi, S., & Tsuchiya, K. (2007). Self-stability of a simple walking model driven by a
- 26 rhythmic signal. *Nonlinear Dynamics*, 48 (1), 1-16.
- 27 Arias, P., & Cudeiro, J. (2008). Effects of rhythmic sensory stimulation (auditory,
- visual) on gait in Parkinson's disease patients. *Exp Brain Res, 186* (4), 589-601.

- 1 Arieli, A., Sterkin, A., Grinvald, A., & Aertsen, A. (1996). Dynamics of ongoing
- activity: explanation of the large variability in evoked cortical responses. *Science*, *273* (5283), 1868-1871.
- 4 Arnal, L. H., Doelling, K. B., & Poeppel, D. (2015). Delta-Beta Coupled Oscillations
- 5 Underlie Temporal Prediction Accuracy. *Cereb Cortex*, 25 (9), 3077-3085.
- 6 Azulay, J. P., Mesure, S., Amblard, B., Blin, O., Sangla, I., & Pouget, J. (1999). Visual
- 7 control of locomotion in Parkinson's disease. *Brain, 122* (Pt 1), 111-120.
- Bailes, F., Dean, R. T., & Pearce, M. T. (2013). Music cognition as mental time
 travel. *Sci Rep*, *3*, 2690.
- Bajo, V. M., & King, A. J. (2012). Cortical modulation of auditory processing in the
 midbrain. *Front Neural Circuits*, *6*, 114.
- 12 Bajo, V. M., Nodal, F. R., Moore, D. R., & King, A. J. (2010). The descending
- corticocollicular pathway mediates learning-induced auditory plasticity. *Nature Neuroscience*, *13* (2), 253-260.
- 15 Balasubramaniam, R., Wing, A. M., & Daffertshofer, A. (2004). Keeping with the
- 16 beat: movement trajectories contribute to movement timing. *Exp Brain Res, 159*
- 17 (1), 129-134.
- 18 Banzett, R. B., Mead, J., Reid, M. B., & Topulos, G. P. (1992). Locomotion in men
- 19 has no appreciable mechanical effect on breathing. *J Appl Physiol (1985), 72* (5),
- 20 1922-1926.
- 21 Bar, M. (2007). The proactive brain: using analogies and associations to generate
- 22 predictions. *Trends Cogn Sci*, 11 (7), 280-289.
- 23 Barczak, A., O'Connell, M. N., McGinnis, T., Ross, D., Mowery, T., Falchier, A., &
- 24 Lakatos, P. (2018). Top-down, contextual entrainment of neuronal oscillations in
- 25 the auditory thalamocortical circuit. Proceedings of the National Academy of
- 26 Sciences of the United States of America, 115 (32), E7605-E7614.
- 27 Bardy, B. G., Hoffmann, C. P., Moens, B., Leman, M., & Dalla Bella, S. (2015).
- 28 Sound-induced stabilization of breathing and moving. Annals of the New York
- 29 Academy of Sciences, 1337, 94-100.

- Bartolo, R., Prado, L., & Merchant, H. (2014). Information processing in the
 primate basal ganglia during sensory-guided and internally driven rhythmic
 tapping. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *34* (11), 3910-3923.
- 4 Başar, E., Schürmann, M., Başar-Eroglu, C., & Karakaş, S. (1997). Alpha oscillations
- 5 in brain functioning: an integrative theory. *Int J Psychophysiol, 26* (1-3), 5-29.
- 6 Beek, P. J. (1989). Juggling dynamics. University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam.
- 7 Belin, P., Zatorre, R. J., Lafaille, P., Ahad, P., & Pike, B. (2000). Voice-selective areas
- 8 in human auditory cortex. *Nature*, *403* (6767), 309-312.
- 9 Bengtsson, S. L., Ullén, F., Ehrsson, H. H., Hashimoto, T., Kito, T., Naito, E.,
- 10 Forssberg, H., & Sadato, N. (2009). Listening to rhythms activates motor and
- 11 premotor cortices. *Cortex*, *45* (1), 62-71.
- 12 Berger, H. (1929). Über das Elektrenkephalogramm des Menschen. Archiv fur
- 13 Psychiatrie und Nervenkrankheiten, 87, 527-570.
- 14 Berridge, K. C. (2007). The debate over dopamine's role in reward: the case for 15 incentive salience. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*, *191* (3), 391-431.
- 16 Berridge, K. C., & Robinson, T. E. (1998). What is the role of dopamine in reward:
- hedonic impact, reward learning, or incentive salience. *Brain Res Brain Res Rev, 28*(3), 309-369.
- 19 Bertram, J. E., & Ruina, A. (2001). Multiple walking speed-frequency relations are
- 20 predicted by constrained optimization. *J Theor Biol, 209* (4), 445-453.
- 21 Besle, J., Schevon, C. A., Mehta, A. D., Lakatos, P., Goodman, R. R., McKhann, G.
- 22 M., Emerson, R. G., & Schroeder, C. E. (2011). Tuning of the human neocortex to
- the temporal dynamics of attended events. Journal of Neuroscience, 31 (9), 3176-
- 24 3185.
- 25 Bharucha, J. J., & Stoeckig, K. (1987). Priming of chords: spreading activation or
- 26 overlapping frequency spectra. *Percept Psychophys*, *41* (6), 519-524.
- 27 Bigliassi, M., Karageorghis, C. I., Wright, M. J., Orgs, G., & Nowicky, A. V. (2017).
- 28 Effects of auditory stimuli on electrical activity in the brain during cycle ergometry.
- 29 Physiol Behav, 177, 135-147.

- 1 Bigliassi, M., Silva, V. B., Karageorghis, C. I., Bird, J. M., Santos, P. C., & Altimari, L.
- 2 R. (2016). Brain mechanisms that underlie the effects of motivational audiovisual
- stimuli on psychophysiological responses during exercise. *Physiol Behav*, *158*, 128136.
- Bjursten, L. M., Norrsell, K., & Norrsell, U. (1976). Behavioural repertory of cats
 without cerebral cortex from infancy. *Exp Brain Res*, *25* (2), 115-130.
- Blickhan, R. (1989). The spring-mass model for running and hopping. *Journal of Biomechanics*, *22* (11-12), 1217-1227.
- 9 Blood, A. J., & Zatorre, R. J. (2001). Intensely pleasurable responses to music
- 10 correlate with activity in brain regions implicated in reward and emotion.
- 11 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America,
- 12 *98* (20), 11818-11823.
- 13 Bonvin, C., Horvath, J., Christe, B., Landis, T., & Burkhard, P. R. (2007). Compulsive
- singing: another aspect of punding in Parkinson's disease. *Annals of Neurology*, 62
 (5), 525-528.
- 16 Bood, R. J., Nijssen, M., van der Kamp, J., & Roerdink, M. (2013). The power of
- 17 auditory-motor synchronization in sports: enhancing running performance by
- 18 coupling cadence with the right beats. *PLoS ONE*, *8* (8), e70758.
- 19 Bostan, A. C., Dum, R. P., & Strick, P. L. (2010). The basal ganglia communicate with
- 20 the cerebellum. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United
- 21 States of America, 107 (18), 8452-8456.
- Bostan, A. C., Dum, R. P., & Strick, P. L. (2013). Cerebellar networks with the
 cerebral cortex and basal ganglia. *Trends Cogn Sci*, *17* (5), 241-254.
- 24 Bouvet, C. J., Varlet, M., Dalla Bella, S., Keller, P. E., Zelic, G., & Bardy, B. G. (2019).
- 25 Preferred frequency ratios for spontaneous auditory-motor synchronization:
- 26 Dynamical stability and hysteresis. *Acta Psychol (Amst), 196,* 33-41.
- 27 Bower, J. M. (1997). Control of sensory data acquisition. *International Review of*
- 28 *Neurobiology*, *41*, 489-513.

- 1 Braitenberg, V., Heck, D., & Sultan, F. (1997). The detection and generation of
- 2 sequences as a key to cerebellar function: experiments and theory. *Behav Brain*
- 3 *Sci*, *20* (2), 229-45; discussion 245.
- Braitenberg, V. (1961). Functional Interpretation of Cerebellar Histology. *Nature*, *190* (4775), 539-540.
- Bramble, D. M., & Carrier, D. R. (1983). Running and breathing in mammals. *Science*, *219* (4582), 251-256.
- Bramble, D. M., & Lieberman, D. E. (2004). Endurance running and the evolution
 of Homo. *Nature*, *432* (7015), 345-352.
- 10 Breska, A., & Deouell, L. Y. (2017). Neural mechanisms of rhythm-based temporal
- 11 prediction: Delta phase-locking reflects temporal predictability but not rhythmic
- 12 entrainment. *PLoS Biology*, *15* (2), e2001665.
- 13 Bretzner, F., & Drew, T. (2005). Contribution of the motor cortex to the structure
- 14 and the timing of hindlimb locomotion in the cat: a microstimulation study.
- 15 *Journal of Neurophysiology*, *94* (1), 657-672.
- 16 Brindle, T. J., Mattacola, C., & McCrory, J. (2003). Electromyographic changes in
- 17 the gluteus medius during stair ascent and descent in subjects with anterior knee
- 18 pain. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc, 11* (4), 244-251.
- 19 Brochard, R., Abecasis, D., Potter, D., Ragot, R., & Drake, C. (2003). The "ticktock"
- 20 of our internal clock: direct brain evidence of subjective accents in isochronous
- 21 sequences. *Psychol Sci*, 14 (4), 362-366.
- 22 Brown, P. (2007). Abnormal oscillatory synchronisation in the motor system leads
- to impaired movement. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 17 (6), 656-664.
- 24 Brown, S., Martinez, M. J., & Parsons, L. M. (2006). The neural basis of human
- 25 dance. *Cereb Cortex, 16* (8), 1157-1167.
- 26 Brown, T. G. (1911). The Intrinsic Factors in the Act of Progression in the Mammal.
- 27 Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Containing Papers of a
- 28 Biological Character
- 29 Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci, 84 (572), 308.

Brown, T. G. (1914). On the nature of the fundamental activity of the nervous
 centres; together with an analysis of the conditioning of rhythmic activity in
 progression, and a theory of the evolution of function in the nervous system.
 Journal of Physiology, *48* (1), 18-46.

5 Brunel, N., & Hakim, V. (1999). Fast global oscillations in networks of integrate-6 and-fire neurons with low firing rates. *Neural Comput*, *11* (7), 1621-1671.

- 7 Brunel, N., & Wang, X. J. (2003). What determines the frequency of fast network
- 8 oscillations with irregular neural discharges? I. Synaptic dynamics and excitation-
- 9 inhibition balance. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, *90* (1), 415-430.
- 10 Burdet, E., Osu, R., Franklin, D. W., Milner, T. E., & Kawato, M. (2001). The central
- 11 nervous system stabilizes unstable dynamics by learning optimal impedance.
- 12 *Nature*, *414* (6862), 446-449.
- Buzsáki, G. (2004). Large-scale recording of neuronal ensembles. *Nature Neuroscience*, 7 (5), 446-451.
- Buzsáki, G., & Draguhn, A. (2004). Neuronal oscillations in cortical networks. *Science*, *304* (5679), 1926-1929.
- 17 Byblow, W. D., Carson, R. G., & Goodman, D. (1994). Expressions of asymmetries
- 18 and anchoring in bimanual coordination. *Human Movement Science*, 13 (1), 3-28.
- 19 Caligiore, D., Pezzulo, G., Baldassarre, G., Bostan, A. C., Strick, P. L., Doya, K.,
- 20 Helmich, R. C., Dirkx, M., Houk, J., Jörntell, H., Lago-Rodriguez, A., Galea, J. M.,
- 21 Miall, R. C., Popa, T., Kishore, A., Verschure, P. F., Zucca, R., & Herreros, I. (2017).
- 22 Consensus Paper: Toward a Systems-Level View of Cerebellar Function: the 23 Interplay Between Cerebellum, Basal Ganglia, and Cortex. *Cerebellum*, *16* (1), 203-
- 24 229.
- Camalier, C. R., D'Angelo, W. R., Sterbing-D'Angelo, S. J., de la Mothe, L. A., &
 Hackett, T. A. (2012). Neural latencies across auditory cortex of macaque support
- a dorsal stream supramodal timing advantage in primates. *Proceedings of the*
- 28 National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 109 (44), 18168-
- 29 18173.

- 1 Cameron, D. J., Pickett, K. A., Earhart, G. M., & Grahn, J. A. (2016). The Effect of
- 2 Dopaminergic Medication on Beat-Based Auditory Timing in Parkinson, Äôs
- 3 Disease. Frontiers in Neurology, 7, 19.
- 4 Cameron, D. J., Stewart, L., Pearce, M. T., Grube, M., & Muggleton, N. G. (2012).
- 5 Modulation of motor excitability by metricality of tone sequences.
- 6 *Psychomusicology: Music, Mind, and Brain, 22* (2), 122-128.
- 7 Caras, M. L., & Sanes, D. H. (2017). Top-down modulation of sensory cortex gates
- 8 perceptual learning. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the
- 9 United States of America, 114 (37), 9972-9977.
- 10 Carson, R. G., & Kelso, J. A. (2004). Governing coordination: behavioural principles
- and neural correlates. *Exp Brain Res*, 154 (3), 267-274.
- 12 Carson, R. G., & Riek, S. (1998). The influence of joint position on the dynamics of
- 13 perception-action coupling. *Exp Brain Res, 121* (1), 103-114.
- 14 Cassidy, M., Mazzone, P., Oliviero, A., Insola, A., Tonali, P., Di Lazzaro, V., & Brown,
- 15 P. (2002). Movement-related changes in synchronization in the human basal
- 16 ganglia. *Brain*, *125* (Pt 6), 1235-1246.
- 17 Cavagna, G. A., Heglund, N. C., & Taylor, C. R. (1977). Mechanical work in
- 18 terrestrial locomotion: two basic mechanisms for minimizing energy expenditure.
- 19 American Journal of Physiology, 233 (5), R243-61.
- 20 Cavagna, G. A., & Margaria, R. (1966). Mechanics of walking. *Journal of Applied*
- 21 *Physiology*, *21* (1), 271-278.
- 22 Cavagna, G. A., Saibene, F. P., & Margaria, R. (1963). External work in walking.
- 23 Journal of Applied Physiology, 18, 1-9.
- 24 Cavagna, G. A., Saibene, F. P., & Margaria, R. (1964). Mechanical work in running.
- 25 Journal of Applied Physiology, 19, 249-256.
- 26 Celma-Miralles, A., de Menezes, R. F., & Toro, J. M. (2016). Look at the Beat, Feel
- 27 the Meter: Top-Down Effects of Meter Induction on Auditory and Visual
- 28 Modalities. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, *10*, 108.

- 1 Chandrasekaran, B., Hornickel, J., Skoe, E., Nicol, T., & Kraus, N. (2009). Context-
- 2 dependent encoding in the human auditory brainstem relates to hearing speech
- 3 in noise: implications for developmental dyslexia. *Neuron, 64* (3), 311-319.
- 4 Chauvigné, L. A., Gitau, K. M., & Brown, S. (2014). The neural basis of audiomotor
- 5 entrainment: an ALE meta-analysis. *Front Hum Neurosci, 8,* 776.
- 6 Chavis, D. A., & Pandya, D. N. (1976). Further observations on corticofrontal
 7 connections in the rhesus monkey. *Brain Research*, *117* (3), 369-386.
- 8 Chemin, B., Mouraux, A., & Nozaradan, S. (2014). Body movement selectively
- 9 shapes the neural representation of musical rhythms. *Psychol Sci*, 25 (12), 2147-
- 10 2159.
- 11 Chen, C. H., Fremont, R., Arteaga-Bracho, E. E., & Khodakhah, K. (2014). Short
- 12 latency cerebellar modulation of the basal ganglia. *Nature Neuroscience*, 17 (12),
- 13 1767-1775.
- Chen, J. L., Penhune, V. B., & Zatorre, R. J. (2008a). Listening to musical rhythms
 recruits motor regions of the brain. *Cereb Cortex*, *18* (12), 2844-2854.
- 16 Chen, J. L., Penhune, V. B., & Zatorre, R. J. (2008b). Moving on time: brain network
- 17 for auditory-motor synchronization is modulated by rhythm complexity and
- 18 musical training. *J Cogn Neurosci, 20* (2), 226-239.
- 19 Chen, J. L., Penhune, V. B., & Zatorre, R. J. (2009). The role of auditory and 20 premotor cortex in sensorimotor transformations. *Annals of the New York* 21 *Academy of Sciences*, *1169*, 15-34.
- Chen, J. L., Zatorre, R. J., & Penhune, V. B. (2006). Interactions between auditory
 and dorsal premotor cortex during synchronization to musical rhythms.
- 24 *Neuroimage*, *32* (4), 1771-1781.
- Chen, Y., Ding, M., & Kelso, J. A. S. (1997). Long Memory Processes (1/fα type) in
 Human Coordination. *Physical Review Letters*, *79* (22), 4501-4504.
- 27 Cheyne, D., Bells, S., Ferrari, P., Gaetz, W., & Bostan, A. C. (2008). Self-paced
- 28 movements induce high-frequency gamma oscillations in primary motor cortex.
- 29 *Neuroimage*, *42* (1), 332-342.

- Chorev, E., Yarom, Y., & Lampl, I. (2007). Rhythmic episodes of subthreshold
 membrane potential oscillations in the rat inferior olive nuclei in vivo. *Journal of*
- 3 Neuroscience, 27 (19), 5043-5052.
- 4 Chumanov, E. S., Wille, C. M., Michalski, M. P., & Heiderscheit, B. C. (2012).
- 5 Changes in muscle activation patterns when running step rate is increased. *Gait*
- 6 *Posture, 36* (2), 231-235.
- 7 Cochen De Cock, V., Dotov, D. G., Ihalainen, P., Bégel, V., Galtier, F., Lebrun, C.,
- 8 Picot, M. C., Driss, V., Landragin, N., Geny, C., Bardy, B., & Dalla Bella, S. (2018).
- 9 Rhythmic abilities and musical training in Parkinson's disease: do they help. *NPJ*10 *Parkinsons Dis*, *4*, 8.
- 11 Coffey, E. B., Herholz, S. C., Chepesiuk, A. M., Baillet, S., & Zatorre, R. J. (2016).
- 12 Cortical contributions to the auditory frequency-following response revealed by
- 13 MEG. *Nat Commun*, *7*, 11070.
- 14 Cohen, A. H., Ermentrout, G. B., Kiemel, T., Kopell, N., Sigvardt, K. A., & Williams,
- 15 T. L. (1992). Modelling of intersegmental coordination in the lamprey central
- 16 pattern generator for locomotion. *Trends in Neurosciences*, *15* (11), 434-438.
- Collins, J. J., & Richmond, S. A. (1994). Hard-wired central pattern generators for
 quadrupedal locomotion. *Biological Cybernetics*, *71* (5), 375-385.
- Collins, S., Ruina, A., Tedrake, R., & Wisse, M. (2005). Efficient bipedal robots
 based on passive-dynamic walkers. *Science*, *307* (5712), 1082-1085.
- 21 Collins, S. H., Wisse, M., & Ruina, A. (2001). A Three-Dimensional Passive-Dynamic
- Walking Robot with Two Legs and Knees. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 20 (7), 607-615.
- Connors, B. W., & Regehr, W. G. (1996). Neuronal firing: does function follow form. *Current Biology*, *6* (12), 1560-1562.
- 26 Cooper, G., & Meyer, L. B. (1960). The rythmic structure of music. Chicago, IL:
- 27 University of Chicago Press.

- 1 Cope, T. E., Grube, M., Singh, B., Burn, D. J., & Griffiths, T. D. (2014). The basal 2 ganglia in perceptual timing: timing performance in Multiple System Atrophy and 3 Huntington's disease. *Neuropsychologia*, 52, 73-81.
- 4 Coull, J. T., Cheng, R. K., & Meck, W. H. (2011). Neuroanatomical and 5 neurochemical substrates of timing. Neuropsychopharmacology, 36 (1), 3-25.
- 6 Coull, J. T., Nazarian, B., & Vidal, F. (2008). Timing, storage, and comparison of 7 stimulus duration engage discrete anatomical components of a perceptual timing 8 network. J Cogn Neurosci, 20 (12), 2185-2197.
- 9
- Courchesne, E., & Allen, G. (1997). Prediction and preparation, fundamental 10 functions of the cerebellum. Learn Mem, 4 (1), 1-35.
- 11 Crispino, L., & Bullock, T. H. (1984). Cerebellum mediates modality-specific
- 12 modulation of sensory responses of midbrain and forebrain in rat. Proceedings of
- 13 the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 81 (9), 2917-
- 14 2920.
- 15 Crone, N. E., Miglioretti, D. L., Gordon, B., & Lesser, R. P. (1998). Functional 16 mapping of human sensorimotor cortex with electrocorticographic spectral 17 analysis. II. Event-related synchronization in the gamma band. *Brain*, 121 (Pt 12), 18 2301-2315.
- D'Angelo, E., & De Zeeuw, C. I. (2009). Timing and plasticity in the cerebellum: 19
- 20 focus on the granular layer. Trends in Neurosciences, 32 (1), 30-40.
- 21 Daley, M. A., Bramble, D. M., & Carrier, D. R. (2013). Impact loading and 22 locomotor-respiratory coordination significantly influence breathing dynamics in
- 23 running humans. PLoS ONE, 8 (8), e70752.
- 24 Dalla Bella, S., Benoit, C. E., Farrugia, N., Keller, P. E., Obrig, H., Mainka, S., & Kotz,
- 25 S. A. (2017). Gait improvement via rhythmic stimulation in Parkinson's disease is
- 26 linked to rhythmic skills. Sci Rep, 7, 42005.
- 27 Dalla Bella, S., Benoit, C. E., Farrugia, N., Schwartze, M., & Kotz, S. A. (2015). Effects
- 28 of musically cued gait training in Parkinson's disease: beyond a motor benefit.
- 29 Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1337, 77-85.

- Dalla Bella, S., Białuńska, A., & Sowiński, J. (2013). Why movement is captured by
 music, but less by speech: role of temporal regularity. *PLoS ONE*, *8*, e71945.
- de Bruin, N., Doan, J. B., Turnbull, G., Suchowersky, O., Bonfield, S., Hu, B., &
- Brown, L. A. (2010). Walking with music is a safe and viable tool for gait training in
 Parkinson's disease: the effect of a 13-week feasibility study on single and dual
- 6 task walking. *Parkinsons Dis, 2010,* 483530.
- 7 De Gruijl, J. R., Hoogland, T. M., & De Zeeuw, C. I. (2014). Behavioral correlates of
- 8 complex spike synchrony in cerebellar microzones. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 34
 9 (27), 8937-8947.
- 10 Debaere, F., Wenderoth, N., Sunaert, S., Van Hecke, P., & Swinnen, S. P. (2003).
- 11 Internal vs. external generation of movements: differential neural pathways 12 involved in bimanual coordination performed in the presence or absence of
- augmented visual feedback. *Neuroimage*, *19* (3), 764-776.
- deCharms, R. C., Blake, D. T., & Merzenich, M. M. (1998). Optimizing sound
 features for cortical neurons. *Science*, *280* (5368), 1439-1443.
- 16 Del Olmo, M. F., Cheeran, B., Koch, G., & Rothwell, J. C. (2007). Role of the 17 cerebellum in externally paced rhythmic finger movements. *Journal of* 18 *Neurophysiology*, *98* (1), 145-152.
- 19 Dhamala, M., Pagnoni, G., Wiesenfeld, K., Zink, C. F., Martin, M., & Berns, G. S.
- 20 (2003). Neural correlates of the complexity of rhythmic finger tapping.
 21 *Neuroimage*, *20* (2), 918-926.
- Dietz, V., & Harkema, S. J. (2004). Locomotor activity in spinal cord-injured
 persons. *J Appl Physiol (1985), 96* (5), 1954-1960.
- 24 Doelling, K. B., Assaneo, M. F., Bevilacqua, D., Pesaran, B., & Poeppel, D. (2019).
- 25 An oscillator model better predicts cortical entrainment to music. *Proceedings of*
- 26 the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 116 (20), 10113-
- 27 10121.

- Doelling, K. B., & Poeppel, D. (2015). Cortical entrainment to music and its
 modulation by expertise. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *112* (45), E6233-42.
- Donner, T. H., Siegel, M., Fries, P., & Engel, A. K. (2009). Buildup of choicepredictive activity in human motor cortex during perceptual decision making. *Current Biology*, *19* (18), 1581-1585.
- Donoghue, J. P., Sanes, J. N., Hatsopoulos, N. G., & Gaál, G. (1998). Neural
 discharge and local field potential oscillations in primate motor cortex during
 voluntary movements. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, *79* (1), 159-173.
- Dotov, D. G., Bayard, S., Cochen de Cock, V., Geny, C., Driss, V., Garrigue, G., Bardy,
 B., & Dalla Bella, S. (2017). Biologically-variable rhythmic auditory cues are
 superior to isochronous cues in fostering natural gait variability in Parkinson's
- 13 disease. *Gait Posture*, *51*, 64-69.
- 14 Dotov, D. G., Cochen de Cock, V., Geny, C., Ihalainen, P., Moens, B., Leman, M.,
- 15 Bardy, B., & Dalla Bella, S. (2019). The role of interaction and predictability in the
- spontaneous entrainment of movement. *J Exp Psychol Gen*, *148* (6), 1041-1057.
- Doyle, L. M., Yarrow, K., & Brown, P. (2005). Lateralization of event-related beta
 desynchronization in the EEG during pre-cued reaction time tasks. *Clin Neurophysiol*, *116* (8), 1879-1888.
- Drake, C., Jones, M. R., & Baruch, C. (2000a). The development of rhythmic
 attending in auditory sequences: attunement, referent period, focal attending. *Cognition*, 77 (3), 251-288.
- Drake, C., Penel, A., & Bigand, E. (2000b). Tapping in Time with Mechanically and
 Expressively Performed Music. *Music Perception: An Interdisciplinary Journal, 18*(1), 1.
- Dum, R. P., & Strick, P. L. (2003). An unfolded map of the cerebellar dentate
 nucleus and its projections to the cerebral cortex. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, *89*(1), 634-639.

- 1 Dutto, D. J., & Smith, G. A. (2002). Changes in spring-mass characteristics during
- treadmill running to exhaustion. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 34
 (8), 1324-1331.
- 4 Eccles, J. C., Ito, M., & Szentagothai, J. (1967). *The Cerebellum as a Neuronal*5 *Machine*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- 6 Eckert, T., Peschel, T., Heinze, H. J., & Rotte, M. (2006). Increased pre-SMA
- 7 activation in early PD patients during simple self-initiated hand movements.
 8 Journal of Neurology, 253 (2), 199-207.
- 9 Edwards, W. B., Taylor, D., Rudolphi, T. J., Gillette, J. C., & Derrick, T. R. (2009).
- 10 Effects of stride length and running mileage on a probabilistic stress fracture
- 11 model. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 41 (12), 2177-2184.
- Edworthy, J., & Waring, H. (2006). The effects of music tempo and loudness level
 on treadmill exercise. *Ergonomics*, *49* (15), 1597-1610.
- Ellis, R. J., & Jones, M. R. (2009). The role of accent salience and joint accent
 structure in metre perception. *J Exp Psychol Hum Percept Perform*, *35* (1), 264280.
- 17 Elsinger, C. L., Rao, S. M., Zimbelman, J. L., Reynolds, N. C., Blindauer, K. A., &
- 18 Hoffmann, R. G. (2003). Neural basis for impaired time reproduction in Parkinson's
- disease: an fMRI study. J Int Neuropsychol Soc, 9 (7), 1088-1098.
- 20 Engel, A. K., & Fries, P. (2010). Beta-band oscillations--signalling the status quo.
- 21 *Current Opinion in Neurobiology, 20* (2), 156-165.
- Farley, C. T., & Gonzalez, O. (1996). Leg stiffness and stride frequency in human
 running. *Journal of Biomechanics*, *29* (2), 181-186.
- 24 Fernandez del Olmo, M., & Cudeiro, J. (2003). A simple procedure using auditory
- 25 stimuli to improve movement in Parkinson's disease: a pilot study. Neurol Clin
- 26 Neurophysiol, 2003 (2), 1-7.
- 27 Ferris, D. P., Liang, K., & Farley, C. T. (1999). Runners adjust leg stiffness for their
- first step on a new running surface. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 32 (8), 787-794.

- Ferris, D. P., Louie, M., & Farley, C. T. (1998). Running in the real world: adjusting
 leg stiffness for different surfaces. *Proc Biol Sci*, *265* (1400), 989-994.
- Fink, P. W., Foo, P., Jirsa, V. K., & Kelso, J. A. (2000). Local and global stabilization
 of coordination by sensory information. *Exp Brain Res*, *134* (1), 9-20.
- 5 Fischer, P., Chen, C. C., Chang, Y. J., Yeh, C. H., Pogosyan, A., Herz, D. M., Cheeran,
- 6 B., Green, A. L., Aziz, T. Z., Hyam, J., Little, S., Foltynie, T., Limousin, P., Zrinzo, L.,
- 7 Hasegawa, H., Samuel, M., Ashkan, K., Brown, P., & Tan, H. (2018). Alternating
- 8 Modulation of Subthalamic Nucleus Beta Oscillations during Stepping. Journal of
- 9 Neuroscience, 38 (22), 5111-5121.
- Fitch, W. T. (2013). Rhythmic cognition in humans and animals: distinguishing
 meter and pulse perception. *Front Syst Neurosci*, *7*, 68.
- 12 Fitts, P. M. (1954). The information capacity of the human motor system in 13 controlling the amplitude of movement. *J Exp Psychol*, *47* (6), 381-391.
- 14 Ford, M. P., Malone, L. A., Nyikos, I., Yelisetty, R., & Bickel, C. S. (2010). Gait
- training with progressive external auditory cueing in persons with Parkinson's
 disease. Arch Phys Med Rehabil, 91 (8), 1255-1261.
- 17 Frazzitta, G., Maestri, R., Uccellini, D., Bertotti, G., & Abelli, P. (2009).
- 18 Rehabilitation treatment of gait in patients with Parkinson's disease with freezing:
- 19 a comparison between two physical therapy protocols using visual and auditory
- 20 cues with or without treadmill training. *Mov Disord*, *24* (8), 1139-1143.
- 21 Fredericson, M., Cookingham, C. L., Chaudhari, A. M., Dowdell, B. C., Oestreicher,
- 22 N., & Sahrmann, S. A. (2000). Hip abductor weakness in distance runners with
- 23 iliotibial band syndrome. *Clin J Sport Med*, *10* (3), 169-175.
- 24 Freeman, J. S., Cody, F. W., & Schady, W. (1993a). The influence of external timing
- 25 cues upon the rhythm of voluntary movements in Parkinson's disease. J Neurol
- 26 *Neurosurg Psychiatry*, *56* (10), 1078-1084.
- 27 Freeman, J. S., Cody, F. W., & Schady, W. (1993b). The influence of external timing
- 28 cues upon the rhythm of voluntary movements in Parkinson's disease. J Neurol
- 29 *Neurosurg Psychiatry*, *56* (10), 1078-1084.

- 1 Friberg, A., & Sundberg, J. (1995). Time discrimination in a monotonic, isochronous
- 2 sequence. The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 98 (5), 2524-2531.
- 3 Fries, P. (2009). Neuronal gamma-band synchronization as a fundamental process
- 4 in cortical computation. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, *32*, 209-224.
- 5 Fries, P., Neuenschwander, S., Engel, A. K., Goebel, R., & Singer, W. (2001a). Rapid
- 6 feature selective neuronal synchronization through correlated latency shifting.
- 7 *Nature Neuroscience*, *4* (2), 194-200.
- 8 Fries, P., Reynolds, J. H., Rorie, A. E., & Desimone, R. (2001b). Modulation of
 9 oscillatory neuronal synchronization by selective visual attention. *Science*, *291*10 (5508), 1560-1563.
- 11 Friston, K. (2005). A theory of cortical responses. *Philosophical Transactions of the*
- 12 Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 360 (1456), 815-836.
- Friston, K. (2009). The free-energy principle: a rough guide to the brain. *Trends Cogn Sci*, *13* (7), 293-301.
- Fritz, J., Shamma, S., Elhilali, M., & Klein, D. (2003). Rapid task-related plasticity of
 spectrotemporal receptive fields in primary auditory cortex. *Nature Neuroscience*,
 6 (11), 1216-1223.
- 18 Fritz, T. H., Hardikar, S., Demoucron, M., Niessen, M., Demey, M., Giot, O., Li, Y.,
- 19 Haynes, J. D., Villringer, A., & Leman, M. (2013). Musical agency reduces perceived
- 20 exertion during strenuous physical performance. Proceedings of the National
- 21 Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 110 (44), 17784-17789.
- Fujioka, T., Ross, B., & Trainor, L. J. (2015). Beta-Band Oscillations Represent
 Auditory Beat and Its Metrical Hierarchy in Perception and Imagery. *Journal of*
- 24 Neuroscience, 35 (45), 15187-15198.
- 25 Fujioka, T., Zendel, B. R., & Ross, B. (2010). Endogenous neuromagnetic activity for
- 26 mental hierarchy of timing. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *30* (9), 3458-3466.
- 27 Gaetz, W., Liu, C., Zhu, H., Bloy, L., & Roberts, T. P. (2013). Evidence for a motor
- 28 gamma-band network governing response interference. *Neuroimage*, *74*, 24529 253.

- Galvan, A., & Wichmann, T. (2008). Pathophysiology of Parkinsonism. *Clinical Neurophysiology*, *119* (7), 1459-1474.
- 3 Gao, J. H., Parsons, L. M., Bower, J. M., Xiong, J., Li, J., & Fox, P. T. (1996).
- 4 Cerebellum implicated in sensory acquisition and discrimination rather than
- 5 motor control. *Science*, *272* (5261), 545-547.
- 6 Garcia, M., Chatterjee, A., Ruina, A., & Coleman, M. (1998). The simplest walking
- 7 model: stability, complexity, and scaling. Journal of Biomechanical Engineering,
- 8 *120* (2), 281-288.
- 9 Geisler, C., Brunel, N., & Wang, X. J. (2005). Contributions of intrinsic membrane
- 10 dynamics to fast network oscillations with irregular neuronal discharges. *Journal*
- 11 *of Neurophysiology*, *94* (6), 4344-4361.
- 12 Gellman, R., Gibson, A. R., & Houk, J. C. (1985). Inferior olivary neurons in the
- awake cat: detection of contact and passive body displacement. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 54 (1), 40-60.
- Gellman, R., Houk, J. C., & Gibson, A. R. (1983). Somatosensory properties of the
 inferior olive of the cat. *J Comp Neurol*, *215* (2), 228-243.
- 17 Georgiou, N., Bradshaw, J. L., Iansek, R., Phillips, J. G., Mattingley, J. B., &
- 18 Bradshaw, J. A. (1994). Reduction in external cues and movement sequencing in
- 19 Parkinson's disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*, *57* (3), 368-370.
- 20 Geraci, M. C., & Brown, W. (2005). Evidence-based treatment of hip and pelvic
- 21 injuries in runners. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am, 16* (3), 711-747.
- 22 Gerasimenko, Y., Gorodnichev, R., Machueva, E., Pivovarova, E., Semyenov, D.,
- 23 Savochin, A., Roy, R. R., & Edgerton, V. R. (2010). Novel and direct access to the
- human locomotor spinal circuitry. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *30* (10), 3700-3708.
- 25 Gho, M., & Varela, F. J. (1988). A quantitative assessment of the dependency of
- the visual temporal frame upon the cortical rhythm. *J Physiol (Paris), 83* (2), 95101.
- 28 Gibb, W. R. G., & Lees, A. J. (1988). A comparison of clinical and pathological
- features of young- and old-onset Parkinson's disease. *Neurology*, 38 (9), 1402.

- 1 Giladi, N., McMahon, D., Przedborski, S., Flaster, E., Guillory, S., Kostic, V., & Fahn,
- 2 S. (1992). Motor blocks in Parkinson's disease. *Neurology*, *42* (2), 333-339.
- 3 Gilbertson, T., Lalo, E., Doyle, L., Di Lazzaro, V., Cioni, B., & Brown, P. (2005).
- 4 Existing motor state is favored at the expense of new movement during 13-35 Hz
- 5 oscillatory synchrony in the human corticospinal system. *Journal of Neuroscience*,
- 6 *25* (34), 7771-7779.
- 7 Gilden, D. L. (2001). Cognitive emissions of 1/f noise. *Psychol Rev, 108* (1), 33-56.
- 8 Gilden, D. L., Thornton, T., & Mallon, M. W. (1995). 1/f noise in human cognition.
- 9 Science, 267 (5205), 1837-1839.
- 10 Giovannelli, F., Banfi, C., Borgheresi, A., Fiori, E., Innocenti, I., Rossi, S., Zaccara, G.,
- 11 Viggiano, M. P., & Cincotta, M. (2013). The effect of music on corticospinal 12 excitability is related to the perceived emotion: a transcranial magnetic
- 13 stimulation study. *Cortex*, *49* (3), 702-710.
- 14 Girard, O., Brocherie, F., Tomazin, K., Farooq, A., & Morin, J. B. (2016). Changes in
- running mechanics over 100-m, 200-m and 400-m treadmill sprints. *Journal of Biomechanics*, *49* (9), 1490-1497.
- Goldberg, G. (1985). Supplementary motor area structure and function: Review
 and hypotheses. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, *8* (4), 567-588.
- 19 Goodman, L., Riley, M. A., Mitra, S., & Turvey, M. T. (2000). Advantages of
- 20 rhythmic movements at resonance: minimal active degrees of freedom, minimal
- 21 noise, and maximal predictability. *J Mot Behav*, *32* (1), 3-8.
- Grafton, S. T. (2004). Contributions of functional imaging to understanding
 parkinsonian symptoms. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, *14* (6), 715-719.
- 24 Grahn, J. A. (2009). The role of the basal ganglia in beat perception: neuroimaging
- 25 and neuropsychological investigations. Annals of the New York Academy of
- 26 Sciences, 1169, 35-45.
- 27 Grahn, J. A. (2012). Neural mechanisms of rhythm perception: current findings and
- future perspectives. *Top Cogn Sci, 4,* 585-606.

- Grahn, J. A., & Brett, M. (2007). Rhythm and beat perception in motor areas of the
 brain. *J Cogn Neurosci*, *19* (5), 893-906.
- Grahn, J. A., & Brett, M. (2009). Impairment of beat-based rhythm discrimination
 in Parkinson's disease. *Cortex*, 45 (1), 54-61.
- Grahn, J. A., & Rowe, J. B. (2009). Feeling the beat: premotor and striatal
 interactions in musicians and nonmusicians during beat perception. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *29* (23), 7540-7548.
- 8 Grahn, J. A., & Rowe, J. B. (2013). Finding and feeling the musical beat: striatal
- 9 dissociations between detection and prediction of regularity. *Cereb Cortex*, 23 (4),
- 10 913-921.
- 11 Granata, K. P., Padua, D. A., & Wilson, S. E. (2002). Gender differences in active
- 12 musculoskeletal stiffness. Part II. Quantification of leg stiffness during functional
- 13 hopping tasks. J Electromyogr Kinesiol, 12 (2), 127-135.
- 14 Grasso, R., Ivanenko, Y. P., Zago, M., Molinari, M., Scivoletto, G., Castellano, V.,
- 15 Macellari, V., & Lacquaniti, F. (2004). Distributed plasticity of locomotor pattern
- 16 generators in spinal cord injured patients. *Brain*, *127* (Pt 5), 1019-1034.
- 17 Graybiel, A. M. (1995). Building action repertoires: memory and learning functions
- 18 of the basal ganglia. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 5 (6), 733-741.
- 19 Griffiths, T. D., & Warren, J. D. (2002). The planum temporale as a computational
- 20 hub. *Trends in Neurosciences*, *25* (7), 348-353.
- 21 Grillner, S. (1981). Control of locomotion in bipeds, tetrapeds, and fish. In
- 22 Handbook of Physiology The Nervous System II (pp. 1179-1236).
- Grillner, S. (2011). Neuroscience. Human locomotor circuits conform. *Science*, *334*(6058), 912-913.
- 25 Grillner, S., Ekeberg, El Manira, A., Lansner, A., Parker, D., Tegnér, J., & Wallén, P.
- 26 (1998). Intrinsic function of a neuronal network a vertebrate central pattern
- 27 generator. Brain Res Brain Res Rev, 26 (2-3), 184-197.
- 28 Grillner, S., & Wallén, P. (1985). Central pattern generators for locomotion, with
- 29 special reference to vertebrates. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, *8*, 233-261.

- Grillner, S., Wallén, P., Saitoh, K., Kozlov, A., & Robertson, B. (2008). Neural bases
 of goal-directed locomotion in vertebrates--an overview. *Brain Res Rev*, *57* (1), 2 12.
- Grube, M., Cooper, F. E., Chinnery, P. F., & Griffiths, T. D. (2010a). Dissociation of
 duration-based and beat-based auditory timing in cerebellar degeneration. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*,
 107 (25), 11597-11601.
- 8 Grube, M., & Griffiths, T. D. (2009). Metricality-enhanced temporal encoding and
 9 the subjective perception of rhythmic sequences. *Cortex*, 45 (1), 72-79.
- Grube, M., Lee, K. H., Griffiths, T. D., Barker, A. T., & Woodruff, P. W. (2010b).
 Transcranial magnetic theta-burst stimulation of the human cerebellum
- 12 distinguishes absolute, duration-based from relative, beat-based perception of
- 13 subsecond time intervals. *Front Psychol*, *1*, 171.
- Guertin, P. A. (2012). Central pattern generator for locomotion: anatomical,
 physiological, and pathophysiological considerations. *Front Neurol*, *3*, 183.
- 16 Gurfinkel, V. S., Levik, Y. S., Kazennikov, O. V., & Selionov, V. A. (1998). Locomotor-
- 17 like movements evoked by leg muscle vibration in humans. *Eur J Neurosci, 10* (5),
- 18 1608-1612.
- 19 Gurtubay, I. G., Alegre, M., Labarga, A., Malanda, A., & Artieda, J. (2004). Gamma
- band responses to target and non-target auditory stimuli in humans. *Neuroscience Letters*, *367* (1), 6-9.
- Haig, A. R., & Gordon, E. (1998). Prestimulus EEG alpha phase synchronicity
 influences N100 amplitude and reaction time. *Psychophysiology*, *35* (5), 591-595.
- Haken, H., Kelso, J. A., & Bunz, H. (1985). A theoretical model of phase transitions
- in human hand movements. *Biol Cybern*, *51* (5), 347-356.
- 26 Hammond, C., Bergman, H., & Brown, P. (2007). Pathological synchronization in
- 27 Parkinson's disease: networks, models and treatments. *Trends in Neurosciences*,
- 28 *30* (7), 357-364.

- 1 Harsch, A., & Robinson, H. P. (2000). Postsynaptic variability of firing in rat cortical
- 2 neurons: the roles of input synchronization and synaptic NMDA receptor
- 3 conductance. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *20* (16), 6181-6192.
- 4 Hatsopoulos, N. G., & Warren Jr, W. H. (1996). Resonance Tuning in Rhythmic Arm
- 5 Movements. J Mot Behav, 28 (1), 3-14.
- 6 Havenith, M. N., Yu, S., Biederlack, J., Chen, N. H., Singer, W., & Nikolić, D. (2011).
- 7 Synchrony makes neurons fire in sequence, and stimulus properties determine
- 8 who is ahead. Journal of Neuroscience, 31 (23), 8570-8584.
- 9 He, S. Q., Dum, R. P., & Strick, P. L. (1995). Topographic organization of
- 10 corticospinal projections from the frontal lobe: motor areas on the medial surface
- 11 of the hemisphere. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 15 (5 Pt 1), 3284-3306.
- 12 Heiderscheit, B. C., Chumanov, E. S., Michalski, M. P., Wille, C. M., & Ryan, M. B.
- 13 (2011). Effects of step rate manipulation on joint mechanics during running.
- 14 Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise, 43 (2), 296-302.
- 15 Hennig, H., Fleischmann, R., Fredebohm, A., Hagmayer, Y., Nagler, J., Witt, A.,
- 16 Theis, F. J., & Geisel, T. (2011). The nature and perception of fluctuations in human
- 17 musical rhythms. *PLoS ONE, 6* (10), e26457.
- 18 Henry, M. J., & Obleser, J. (2012). Frequency modulation entrains slow neural
- 19 oscillations and optimizes human listening behavior. Proceedings of the National
- 20 Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 109 (49), 20095-20100.
- 21 Hobbelen, D. G. E., & Wisse, M. (2007). Limit Cycle Walking. In M. Hackel (Ed.),
- 22 *Humanoid Robots: Human-like Machines* (pp. 277-294). Vienna, Austria.
- 23 Hoffmann, C. P., & Bardy, B. G. (2015). Dynamics of the locomotor-respiratory
- coupling at different frequencies. *Exp Brain Res*, 233 (5), 1551-1561.
- 25 Holt, K. G., Hamill, J., & Andres, R. O. (1991). Predicting the minimal energy costs
- of human walking. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 23 (4), 491-498.
- 27 Hoover, J. E., & Strick, P. L. (1999). The organization of cerebellar and basal ganglia
- 28 outputs to primary motor cortex as revealed by retrograde transneuronal

- transport of herpes simplex virus type 1. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *19* (4), 1446 1463.
- 3 Hoppensteadt, F. C., & Izhikevich, E. M. (1996). Synaptic organizations and 4 dynamical properties of weakly connected neural oscillators. I. Analysis of a
- 5 canonical model. *Biol Cybern*, 75 (2), 117-127.
- 6 Hornykiewicz, O., & Kish, S. J. (1987). Biochemical pathophysiology of Parkinson's
- 7 disease. Advances in Neurology, 45, 19-34.
- Hsiao, F. J., Wu, Z. A., Ho, L. T., & Lin, Y. Y. (2009). Theta oscillation during auditory
 change detection: An MEG study. *Biol Psychol*, *81* (1), 58-66.
- 10 Hurmuzlu, Y., & Moskowitz, G. D. (1986). Role of Impact in the Stability of Bipedal
- 11 Locomotion. International Journal of Dynamics and Stability of Systems, 1 (3), 217-
- 12 234.
- 13 Huron, D. (2008). Sweet Anticipation: Music and the Psychology of Expectation.
- 14 Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- 15 Hutcheon, B., & Yarom, Y. (2000). Resonance, oscillation and the intrinsic
- 16 frequency preferences of neurons. *Trends in Neurosciences*, *23* (5), 216-222.
- 17 Huygens, C. (1665). Letters to de Sluse., letters; no. 1333 of 24 February 1665, no.
- 18 1335 of 26 February 1665, no. 1345 of 6 March 1665.
- 19 Inase, M., Tokuno, H., Nambu, A., Akazawa, T., & Takada, M. (1999). Corticostriatal
- 20 and corticosubthalamic input zones from the presupplementary motor area in the
- 21 macaque monkey: comparison with the input zones from the supplementary
- 22 motor area. Brain Research, 833 (2), 191-201.
- 23 Iscoe, S., & Polosa, C. (1976). Synchronization of respiratory frequency by somatic
- afferent stimulation. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 40 (2), 138-148.
- Ito, M. (2000). Mechanisms of motor learning in the cerebellum. *Brain Research*, *886* (1-2), 237-245.
- 27 Ito, M. (2005). Bases and implications of learning in the cerebellum--adaptive
- control and internal model mechanism. *Progress in Brain Research, 148,* 95-109.

- 1 Iversen, J. R., Repp, B. H., & Patel, A. D. (2009). Top-down control of rhythm
- 2 perception modulates early auditory responses. *Annals of the New York Academy*3 of Sciences, 1169, 58-73.
- 4 Ivry, R. (1997). Cerebellar timing systems. *International Review of Neurobiology*,
 5 *41*, 555-573.
- 6 Ivry, R. B., & Keele, S. W. (1989). Timing functions of the cerebellum. *J Cogn*7 *Neurosci*, 1 (2), 136-152.
- 8 Ivry, R. B., Keele, S. W., & Diener, H. C. (1988). Dissociation of the lateral and
 9 medial cerebellum in movement timing and movement execution. *Exp Brain Res*,
 10 73 (1), 167-180.
- 11 Ivry, R. B., Spencer, R. M., Zelaznik, H. N., & Diedrichsen, J. (2002). The cerebellum
- 12 and event timing. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 978, 302-317.
- 13 Jacobson, G. A., Rokni, D., & Yarom, Y. (2008). A model of the olivo-cerebellar
- system as a temporal pattern generator. *Trends in Neurosciences*, *31* (12), 617625.
- 16 Jahanshahi, M., Jones, C. R., Zijlmans, J., Katzenschlager, R., Lee, L., Quinn, N.,
- 17 Frith, C. D., & Lees, A. J. (2010). Dopaminergic modulation of striato-frontal
- 18 connectivity during motor timing in Parkinson's disease. *Brain*, 133 (Pt 3), 727-745.
- 19 Jahanshahi, M., Obeso, I., Rothwell, J. C., & Obeso, J. A. (2015). A fronto-striato-
- 20 subthalamic-pallidal network for goal-directed and habitual inhibition. Nature
- 21 *Reviews. Neuroscience, 16* (12), 719-732.
- 22 Jang, J., Jones, M., Milne, E., Wilson, D., & Lee, K. H. (2016). Contingent negative
- variation (CNV) associated with sensorimotor timing error correction. *Neuroimage*, *127*, 58-66.
- 25 Jantzen, K. J., Oullier, O., Marshall, M., Steinberg, F. L., & Kelso, J. A. (2007). A
- parametric fMRI investigation of context effects in sensorimotor timing and
 coordination. *Neuropsychologia*, 45 (4), 673-684.
- 28 Jarvis, M. R., & Mitra, P. P. (2001). Sampling properties of the spectrum and
- coherency of sequences of action potentials. *Neural Comput, 13* (4), 717-749.
- Jasper, H., & Penfield, W. (1949). Electrocorticograms in man: Effect of voluntary
 movement upon the electrical activity of the precentral gyrus. *European Archives* of *Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience*, *183*, 163-174.
- Jenkins, I. H., Jahanshahi, M., Jueptner, M., Passingham, R. E., & Brooks, D. J.
 (2000). Self-initiated versus externally triggered movements. II. The effect of
 movement predictability on regional cerebral blood flow. *Brain*, *123* (Pt 6), 12161228.
- Jenkinson, N., Kühn, A. A., & Brown, P. (2013). γ oscillations in the human basal
 ganglia. *Exp Neurol*, *245*, 72-76.
- Jin, X., & Costa, R. M. (2010). Start/stop signals emerge in nigrostriatal circuits
 during sequence learning. *Nature*, *466* (7305), 457-462.
- 12 Johansen-Berg, H., Behrens, T. E., Robson, M. D., Drobnjak, I., Rushworth, M. F.,
- 13 Brady, J. M., Smith, S. M., Higham, D. J., & Matthews, P. M. (2004). Changes in
- 14 connectivity profiles define functionally distinct regions in human medial frontal
- 15 cortex. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
- 16 *America*, *101* (36), 13335-13340.
- Jordan, K., Challis, J. H., & Newell, K. M. (2006). Long range correlations in the
 stride interval of running. *Gait Posture*, *24* (1), 120-125.
- 19 Jueptner, M., & Weiller, C. (1998). A review of differences between basal ganglia
- 20 and cerebellar control of movements as revealed by functional imaging studies.
- 21 Brain, 121 (Pt 8), 1437-1449.
- 22 Jun, J. J., Steinmetz, N. A., Siegle, J. H., Denman, D. J., Bauza, M., Barbarits, B., Lee,
- 23 A. K., Anastassiou, C. A., Andrei, A., Aydın, Ç., Barbic, M., Blanche, T. J., Bonin, V.,
- 24 Couto, J., Dutta, B., Gratiy, S. L., Gutnisky, D. A., Häusser, M., Karsh, B.,
- 25 Ledochowitsch, P., Lopez, C. M., Mitelut, C., Musa, S., Okun, M., Pachitariu, M.,
- 26 Putzeys, J., Rich, P. D., Rossant, C., Sun, W. L., Svoboda, K., Carandini, M., Harris,
- 27 K. D., Koch, C., O'Keefe, J., & Harris, T. D. (2017). Fully integrated silicon probes for
- high-density recording of neural activity. *Nature*, 551 (7679), 232-236.

- 1 Kahana, M. J., Sekuler, R., Caplan, J. B., Kirschen, M., & Madsen, J. R. (1999).
- 2 Human theta oscillations exhibit task dependence during virtual maze navigation.
- 3 *Nature*, *399* (6738), 781-784.
- Kaneoke, Y., & Vitek, J. L. (1996). Burst and oscillation as disparate neuronal
 properties. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, 68 (2), 211-223.
- 6 Karabanov, A., Blom, O., Forsman, L., & Ullén, F. (2009). The dorsal auditory
- 7 pathway is involved in performance of both visual and auditory rhythms.
- 8 *Neuroimage*, 44 (2), 480-488.
- 9 Karageorghis, C. I. (2008). The scientific application of music in sport and exercise:
- 10 Topics in applied psychology. In A. M. Lane (Ed.), Sport and Exercise Psychology
- 11 (pp. 109-138). London: Hodder Education.
- 12 Karageorghis, C. I., & Priest, D. L. (2012). Music in the exercise domain: a review
- and synthesis (Part I). *Int Rev Sport Exerc Psychol*, 5 (1), 44-66.
- 14 Karageorghis, C. I., Priest, D. L., Terry, P. C., Chatzisarantis, N. L., & Lane, A. M.
- 15 (2006). Redesign and initial validation of an instrument to assess the motivational
- 16 qualities of music in exercise: the Brunel Music Rating Inventory-2. Journal of
- 17 Sports Sciences, 24 (8), 899-909.
- 18 Karageorghis, C. I., Terry, P. C., & Lane, A. M. (1999). Development and initial
- 19 validation of an instrument to assess the motivational qualities of music in
- 20 exercise and sport: the Brunel Music Rating Inventory. Journal of Sports Sciences,
- 21 *17* (9), 713-724.
- 22 Kay, B. A., Kelso, J. A., Saltzman, E. L., & Schöner, G. (1987). Space-time behavior
- 23 of single and bimanual rhythmical movements: data and limit cycle model. J Exp
- 24 *Psychol Hum Percept Perform, 13* (2), 178-192.
- 25 Kay, B. A. (1988). The dimensionality of movement trajectories and the degrees of
- 26 freedom problem: A tutorial. *Human Movement Science*, 7 (2,Äì4), 343-364.
- 27 Keating, J. G., & Thach, W. T. (1995). Nonclock behavior of inferior olive neurons:
- 28 interspike interval of Purkinje cell complex spike discharge in the awake behaving
- 29 monkey is random. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 73 (4), 1329-1340.

- 1 Keller, P. E. (2008). Joint action in music performance. In F. Morganti, A. Carassa,
- 2 & G. Riva (Eds.), Enacting Intersubjectivity: A Cognitive and Social Perspective to
- 3 *the Study of Interactions* (pp. 205-221). Amsterdam: IOS Press.
- 4 Kelso, J. A. S. (1995). *Dynamic patterns*. Cambridge: MIT press.
- 5 Kelso, J. A. S. (2008). Synergies. Scholarpedia, 3 (10), 1611.
- 6 Kelso, J. A., Holt, K. G., Rubin, P., & Kugler, P. N. (1981). Patterns of human
- 7 interlimb coordination emerge from the properties of nonlinear, limit cycle
- 8 oscillatory processes: theory and data. *J Mot Behav, 13* (4), 226-261.
- 9 Khosrovani, S., Van Der Giessen, R. S., De Zeeuw, C. I., & De Jeu, M. T. (2007). In
- 10 vivo mouse inferior olive neurons exhibit heterogeneous subthreshold oscillations
- 11 and spiking patterns. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the
- 12 United States of America, 104 (40), 15911-15916.
- 13 Kimpo, R. R., Rinaldi, J. M., Kim, C. K., Payne, H. L., & Raymond, J. L. (2014). Gating
- 14 of neural error signals during motor learning. *Elife*, *3*, e02076.
- Kish, S. J., Shannak, K., & Hornykiewicz, O. (1988). Uneven pattern of dopamine
 loss in the striatum of patients with idiopathic Parkinson's disease.
 Pathophysiologic and clinical implications. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *318*
- 18 (14), 876-880.
- 19 Kisley, M. A., & Gerstein, G. L. (1999). Trial-to-trial variability and state-dependent
- 20 modulation of auditory-evoked responses in cortex. Journal of Neuroscience, 19
- 21 (23), 10451-10460.
- Kitai, S. T., & Deniau, J. M. (1981). Cortical inputs to the subthalamus: intracellular
 analysis. *Brain Research*, *214* (2), 411-415.
- 24 Kobayashi, R., Kurita, S., Kurth, A., Kitano, K., Mizuseki, K., Diesmann, M.,
- 25 Richmond, B. J., & Shinomoto, S. (2019). Reconstructing neuronal circuitry from
- 26 parallel spike trains. *Nat Commun, 10* (1), 4468.
- 27 Koechlin, E., & Jubault, T. (2006). Broca's area and the hierarchical organization of
- 28 human behavior. *Neuron*, *50* (6), 963-974.

- 1 Koechlin, E., Ody, C., & Kouneiher, F. (2003). The architecture of cognitive control
- 2 in the human prefrontal cortex. *Science*, *302* (5648), 1181-1185.
- 3 König, P. (1994). A method for the quantification of synchrony and oscillatory
- 4 properties of neuronal activity. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, 54 (1), 31-37.
- 5 Kornysheva, K., von Anshelm-Schiffer, A. M., & Schubotz, R. I. (2011). Inhibitory
- 6 stimulation of the ventral premotor cortex temporarily interferes with musical
- 7 beat rate preference. *Hum Brain Mapp, 32* (8), 1300-1310.
- 8 Kornysheva, K., von Cramon, D. Y., Jacobsen, T., & Schubotz, R. I. (2010). Tuning-
- 9 in to the beat: Aesthetic appreciation of musical rhythms correlates with a
 10 premotor activity boost. *Hum Brain Mapp*, *31* (1), 48-64.
- 11 Kotz, S. A., & Schwartze, M. (2010). Cortical speech processing unplugged: a timely
- 12 subcortico-cortical framework. *Trends Cogn Sci*, *14* (9), 392-399.
- 13 Kotz, S. A., & Schwartze, M. (2011). Differential input of the supplementary motor
- area to a dedicated temporal processing network: functional and clinical
 implications. *Front Integr Neurosci*, *5*, 86.
- 16 Krack, P., Pollak, P., Limousin, P., Hoffmann, D., Xie, J., Benazzouz, A., & Benabid,
- 17 A. L. (1998). Subthalamic nucleus or internal pallidal stimulation in young onset
- 18 Parkinson's disease. *Brain*, *121* (Pt 3), 451-457.
- 19 Krause, V., Bashir, S., Pollok, B., Caipa, A., Schnitzler, A., & Pascual-Leone, A.
- 20 (2012). 1 Hz rTMS of the left posterior parietal cortex (PPC) modifies sensorimotor
- 21 timing. *Neuropsychologia*, *50* (14), 3729-3735.
- 22 Krause, V., Schnitzler, A., & Pollok, B. (2010). Functional network interactions
- 23 during sensorimotor synchronization in musicians and non-musicians.
- 24 *Neuroimage*, *52* (1), 245-251.
- 25 Krause, V., Weber, J., & Pollok, B. (2014). The posterior parietal cortex (PPC)
- 26 mediates anticipatory motor control. *Brain Stimul*, 7 (6), 800-806.
- 27 Kruglikov, S. Y., & Schiff, S. J. (2003). Interplay of electroencephalogram phase and
- auditory-evoked neural activity. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 23 (31), 10122-10127.

- 1 Kühn, A. A., Trottenberg, T., Kivi, A., Kupsch, A., Schneider, G. H., & Brown, P.
- 2 (2005). The relationship between local field potential and neuronal discharge in
- 3 the subthalamic nucleus of patients with Parkinson's disease. *Exp Neurol*, 194 (1),

4 212-220.

- Kung, S. J., Chen, J. L., Zatorre, R. J., & Penhune, V. B. (2013). Interacting cortical
 and basal ganglia networks underlying finding and tapping to the musical beat. *J Cogn Neurosci, 25* (3), 401-420.
- Lakatos, P., Gross, J., & Thut, G. (2019). A New Unifying Account of the Roles of
 Neuronal Entrainment. *Current Biology*, *29* (18), R890-R905.
- 10 Lakatos, P., Karmos, G., Mehta, A. D., Ulbert, I., & Schroeder, C. E. (2008).
- 11 Entrainment of neuronal oscillations as a mechanism of attentional selection.
- 12 Science, 320 (5872), 110-113.
- 13 Lakatos, P., Musacchia, G., O'Connel, M. N., Falchier, A. Y., Javitt, D. C., &
- Schroeder, C. E. (2013). The spectrotemporal filter mechanism of auditory
 selective attention. *Neuron*, 77 (4), 750-761.
- Lakatos, P., Shah, A. S., Knuth, K. H., Ulbert, I., Karmos, G., & Schroeder, C. E.
 (2005). An oscillatory hierarchy controlling neuronal excitability and stimulus
 processing in the auditory cortex. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, *94* (3), 1904-1911.
- Lampl, I., & Yarom, Y. (1993). Subthreshold oscillations of the membrane
 potential: a functional synchronizing and timing device. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 70 (5), 2181-2186.
- Lang, E. J., & Blenkinsop, T. A. (2011). Control of cerebellar nuclear cells: a direct
 role for complex spikes. *Cerebellum*, *10* (4), 694-701.
- Lang, E. J., Sugihara, I., Welsh, J. P., & Llinás, R. (1999). Patterns of spontaneous
- 25 purkinje cell complex spike activity in the awake rat. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 19
- 26 (7), 2728-2739.
- 27 Large, E. W. (2008). Resonating to musical rythm: theory and experiment. In S.
- 28 Grondin (Ed.), *Psychology of time* (pp. 189-231). Emerald: Bingley.

- 1 Large, E. W., & Kolen, J. F. (1994). Resonance and the Perception of Musical Meter.
- 2 *Connection Science*, *6* (2-3), 177-208.
- 3 Large, E. W., & Palmer, C. (2002). Perceiving temporal regularity in music.
- 4 *Cognitive Science*, *26* (1), 1-37.
- 5 Large, E. W. (2000). On synchronizing movements to music. *Human Movement*6 Science
- 7 Debates in Dynamics, 19 (4), 527-566.
- 8 Large, E. W., Herrera, J. A., & Velasco, M. J. (2015). Neural Networks for Beat
- 9 Perception in Musical Rhythm. *Front Syst Neurosci, 9,* 159.
- 10 Large, E. W., & Snyder, J. S. (2009). Pulse and meter as neural resonance. Annals
- 11 of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1169, 46-57.
- 12 Le Van Quyen, M., & Bragin, A. (2007). Analysis of dynamic brain oscillations:
- 13 methodological advances. *Trends in Neurosciences*, *30* (7), 365-373.
- 14 Lee, H., & Banzett, R. B. (1997). Mechanical links between locomotion and
- breathing: can you breathe with your legs? *News in Physiological Sciences, 12,*273-278.
- 17 Lehmann, A., & Schönwiesner, M. (2014). Selective attention modulates human
- auditory brainstem responses: relative contributions of frequency and spatial
 cues. *PLoS ONE*, *9* (1), e85442.
- Leman, M. (2016). The Expressive Moment: How Interaction (with Music) Shapes
 Human Empowerment.
- 22 Leman, M., Moelants, D., Varewyck, M., Styns, F., van Noorden, L., & Martens, J.
- P. (2013). Activating and relaxing music entrains the speed of beat synchronized
 walking. *PLoS ONE*, *8* (7), e67932.
- 25 Leow, L. A., Parrott, T., & Grahn, J. A. (2014). Individual differences in beat
- 26 perception affect gait responses to low- and high-groove music. *Front Hum*27 *Neurosci*, *8*, 811.
- 28 Lerdahl, F., & Jackendoff, R. (1983). A Generative Theory of Tonal Music.
- 29 Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

- 1 Levitin, D. J., Chordia, P., & Menon, V. (2012). Musical rhythm spectra from Bach
- 2 to Joplin obey a 1/f power law. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
- 3 of the United States of America, 109 (10), 3716.
- 4 Levy, R., Ashby, P., Hutchison, W. D., Lang, A. E., Lozano, A. M., & Dostrovsky, J. O.
- 5 (2002). Dependence of subthalamic nucleus oscillations on movement and
 6 dopamine in Parkinson's disease. *Brain*, *125* (Pt 6), 1196-1209.
- Lewis, M. M., Slagle, C. G., Smith, A. B., Truong, Y., Bai, P., McKeown, M. J.,
 Mailman, R. B., Belger, A., & Huang, X. (2007). Task specific influences of
 Parkinson's disease on the striato-thalamo-cortical and cerebello-thalamo-cortical
 motor circuitries. *Neuroscience*, *147* (1), 224-235.
- Lewis, P. A., Wing, A. M., Pope, P. A., Praamstra, P., & Miall, R. C. (2004). Brain
 activity correlates differentially with increasing temporal complexity of rhythms
 during initialisation, synchronisation, and continuation phases of paced finger
 tapping. *Neuropsychologia*, *42* (10), 1301-1312.
- Li, Q., Liu, G., Wei, D., Liu, Y., Yuan, G., & Wang, G. (2019). Distinct neuronal
 entrainment to beat and meter: Revealed by simultaneous EEG-fMRI. *Neuroimage*, *194*, 128-135.
- 18 Liégeois-Chauvel, C., Peretz, I., Babaï, M., Laguitton, V., & Chauvel, P. (1998).
- 19 Contribution of different cortical areas in the temporal lobes to music processing.
- 20 Brain, 121 (Pt 10), 1853-1867.
- Llinás, R., & Volkind, R. A. (1973). The olivo-cerebellar system: functional properties as revealed by harmaline-induced tremor. *Exp Brain Res*, *18* (1), 69-87.
- 23 Llinás, R., & Yarom, Y. (1981a). Electrophysiology of mammalian inferior olivary
- 24 neurons in vitro. Different types of voltage-dependent ionic conductances. *Journal*
- 25 of Physiology, 315, 549-567.
- 26 Llinás, R., & Yarom, Y. (1981b). Properties and distribution of ionic conductances
- 27 generating electroresponsiveness of mammalian inferior olivary neurons in vitro.
- 28 *Journal of Physiology*, *315*, 569-584.

- Llinás, R., & Yarom, Y. (1986). Oscillatory properties of guinea-pig inferior olivary
 neurons and their pharmacological modulation: an in vitro study. *Journal of Physiology*, *376*, 163-182.
- Llinás, R. R. (1988). The intrinsic electrophysiological properties of mammalian
 neurons: insights into central nervous system function. *Science*, *242* (4886), 16541664.
- 7 Llinás, R. R. (2014). Intrinsic electrical properties of mammalian neurons and CNS
 8 function: a historical perspective. *Front Cell Neurosci*, *8*, 320.
- 9 London, J. (2012). *Hearing in time: Psychological aspects of musical meter*. Oxford:
 10 Oxford University Press.
- 11 Luppino, G., Calzavara, R., Rozzi, S., & Matelli, M. (2001). Projections from the
- superior temporal sulcus to the agranular frontal cortex in the macaque. *Eur J Neurosci*, *14* (6), 1035-1040.
- Luppino, G., Matelli, M., Camarda, R., & Rizzolatti, G. (1993). Corticocortical connections of area F3 (SMA-proper) and area F6 (pre-SMA) in the macaque monkey. *J Comp Neurol*, *338* (1), 114-140.
- Luppino, G., Matelli, M., Camarda, R., & Rizzolatti, G. (1994). Corticospinal
 projections from mesial frontal and cingulate areas in the monkey. *Neuroreport*, *5*(18), 2545-2548.
- 20 Ma, X., & Suga, N. (2001). Plasticity of bat's central auditory system evoked by
- focal electric stimulation of auditory and/or somatosensory cortices. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 85 (3), 1078-1087.
- MacDonald, P. A., & Paus, T. (2003). The role of parietal cortex in awareness of
 self-generated movements: a transcranial magnetic stimulation study. *Cereb Cortex*, 13 (9), 962-967.
- 26 Madison, G. (2014). Sensori-motor synchronisation variability decreases as the
- 27 number of metrical levels in the stimulus signal increases. Acta Psychologica
- 28 Temporal Processing Within and Across Senses Part-1, 147, 10-16.

- Maes, P. J., Wanderley, M. M., & Palmer, C. (2015). The role of working memory
 in the temporal control of discrete and continuous movements. *Exp Brain Res*, 233
- 3 (1), 263-273.
- Majsak, M. J., Kaminski, T., Gentile, A. M., & Flanagan, J. R. (1998). The reaching
 movements of patients with Parkinson's disease under self-determined maximal
- 6 speed and visually cued conditions. *Brain*, *121* (Pt 4), 755-766.
- 7 Mancini, F., Pepe, A., Bernacchia, A., Di Stefano, G., Mouraux, A., & Iannetti, G. D.
- 8 (2018). Characterizing the Short-Term Habituation of Event-Related Evoked
 9 Potentials. *eNeuro*, *5*.
- 10 Manto, M., Bower, J. M., Conforto, A. B., Delgado-García, J. M., da Guarda, S. N.,
- 11 Gerwig, M., Habas, C., Hagura, N., Ivry, R. B., Mariën, P., Molinari, M., Naito, E.,
- 12 Nowak, D. A., Oulad Ben Taib, N., Pelisson, D., Tesche, C. D., Tilikete, C., &
- 13 Timmann, D. (2012). Consensus paper: roles of the cerebellum in motor control--
- the diversity of ideas on cerebellar involvement in movement. *Cerebellum*, *11* (2),
 457-487.
- 16 Marder, E., Bucher, D., Schulz, D. J., & Taylor, A. L. (2005). Invertebrate central
- 17 pattern generation moves along. *Current Biology*, 15 (17), R685-99.
- 18 Marder, E., & Calabrese, R. L. (1996). Principles of rhythmic motor pattern 19 generation. *Physiological Reviews*, *76* (3), 687-717.
- 20 Marr, D. (1969). A theory of cerebellar cortex. *Journal of Physiology*, *202* (2), 43721 470.
- 22 Maslovat, D., Lam, M. Y., Brunke, K. M., Chua, R., & Franks, I. M. (2009). Anchoring
- in a novel bimanual coordination pattern. *Hum Mov Sci, 28* (1), 28-47.
- 24 Mathy, A., Ho, S. S., Davie, J. T., Duguid, I. C., Clark, B. A., & Häusser, M. (2009).
- Encoding of oscillations by axonal bursts in inferior olive neurons. *Neuron, 62* (3),
 388-399.
- 27 Matsuoka, K. (1987). Mechanisms of frequency and pattern control in the neural
- 28 rhythm generators. *Biol Cybern*, *56* (5-6), 345-353.

- Matsuzaka, Y., Aizawa, H., & Tanji, J. (1992). A motor area rostral to the
 supplementary motor area (presupplementary motor area) in the monkey:
 neuronal activity during a learned motor task. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, *68* (3),
 653-662.
- Matyas, F., Sreenivasan, V., Marbach, F., Wacongne, C., Barsy, B., Mateo, C.,
 Aronoff, R., & Petersen, C. C. (2010). Motor control by sensory cortex. *Science*, *330*(6008), 1240-1243.
- Mauk, M. D., Medina, J. F., Nores, W. L., & Ohyama, T. (2000). Cerebellar function:
 coordination, learning or timing. *Current Biology*, *10* (14), R522-5.
- 10 McDermott, W. J., Van Emmerik, R. E., & Hamill, J. (2003). Running training and
- adaptive strategies of locomotor-respiratory coordination. *Eur J Appl Physiol, 89*(5), 435-444.
- 13 McGeer, T. (1990). Passive Dynamic Walking. *Int. J. Rob. Res.*, *9* (2), 62-82.
- 14 Meardon, S. A., Hamill, J., & Derrick, T. R. (2011). Running injury and stride time 15 variability over a prolonged run. *Gait Posture*, *33* (1), 36-40.
- 16 Medbø, J. I., Mamen, A., & Beltrami, F. G. (2012). Examination of the Moxus
- Modular Metabolic System by the Douglas-bag technique. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab*, 37 (5), 860-871.
- 19 Merchant, H., Grahn, J., Trainor, L., Rohrmeier, M., & Fitch, W. T. (2015). Finding
- 20 the beat: a neural perspective across humans and non-human primates.
- 21 Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological
- 22 Sciences, 370 (1664), 20140093.
- 23 Meyer, L. B. (1956). *Emotion and meaning in music*. Chicago: University of Chicago
 24 Press.
- 25 Meyer, M., Elmer, S., & Jäncke, L. (2012). Musical expertise induces neuroplasticity
- of the planum temporale. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1252, 116-
- 27 123.
- 28 Michaelis, K., Wiener, M., & Thompson, J. C. (2014). Passive listening to preferred
- 29 motor tempo modulates corticospinal excitability. *Front Hum Neurosci, 8,* 252.

- 1 Miller, N. S., Kwak, Y., Bohnen, N. I., Müller, M. L., Dayalu, P., & Seidler, R. D.
- 2 (2013). The pattern of striatal dopaminergic denervation explains sensorimotor
- 3 synchronization accuracy in Parkinson's disease. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 257,
 4 100-110.
- 5 Miller, R. A., Thaut, M. H., McIntosh, G. C., & Rice, R. R. (1996). Components of
 6 EMG symmetry and variability in parkinsonian and healthy elderly gait.
 7 Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol, 101 (1), 1-7.
- 8 Mills, K. R. (1999). *Magnetic Stimulation of the Human Nervous System*. Oxford
 9 University Press.
- 10 Minetti, A. E., Capelli, C., Zamparo, P., di Prampero, P. E., & Saibene, F. (1995).
- 11 Effects of stride frequency on mechanical power and energy expenditure of
- 12 walking. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 27 (8), 1194-1202.
- Mink, J. W. (1996). The basal ganglia: focused selection and inhibition of
 competing motor programs. *Progress in Neurobiology*, *50* (4), 381-425.
- Mitz, A. R., Bartolo, R., Saunders, R. C., Browning, P. G., Talbot, T., & Averbeck, B.
 B. (2017). High channel count single-unit recordings from non-human primate
- 17 frontal cortex. Journal of Neuroscience Methods, 289, 39-47.
- 18 Miyakoshi, S., Taga, G., Kuniyoshi, Y., & Nagakubo, A. (1998). Three dimensional
- 19 bipedal stepping motion using neural oscillators-toward humanoid motion in the
- 20 real world. In Proceedings of the Proceedings. 1998 IEEE/RSJ International
- 21 Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems. Innovations in Theory, Practice and
- 22 Applications (Cat. No.98CH36190) (pp. 84-89 vol.1).
- Moberget, T., Karns, C. M., Deouell, L. Y., Lindgren, M., Knight, R. T., & Ivry, R. B.
 (2008). Detecting violations of sensory expectancies following cerebellar
 degeneration: a mismatch negativity study. *Neuropsychologia*, *46* (10), 25692579.
- Moca, V. V., Nikolic, D., Singer, W., & Mureşan, R. C. (2014). Membrane resonance
 enables stable and robust gamma oscillations. *Cereb Cortex*, *24* (1), 119-142.

- 1 Molinari, M., Leggio, M. G., & Thaut, M. H. (2007). The cerebellum and neural
- 2 networks for rhythmic sensorimotor synchronization in the human brain.
 3 *Cerebellum*, 6 (1), 18-23.
- 4 Monakow, K. H., Akert, K., & Künzle, H. (1978). Projections of the precentral motor
- 5 cortex and other cortical areas of the frontal lobe to the subthalamic nucleus in
- 6 the monkey. *Exp Brain Res*, *33* (3-4), 395-403.
- 7 Montague, P. R., Dayan, P., Person, C., & Sejnowski, T. J. (1995). Bee foraging in
- 8 uncertain environments using predictive hebbian learning. *Nature*, *377* (6551),
 9 725-728.
- Moran, A., Bergman, H., Israel, Z., & Bar-Gad, I. (2008). Subthalamic nucleus
 functional organization revealed by parkinsonian neuronal oscillations and
- 12 synchrony. *Brain*, *131* (Pt 12), 3395-3409.
- 13 Morillon, B., & Baillet, S. (2017). Motor origin of temporal predictions in auditory
- 14 attention. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States
- 15 *of America*, *114* (42), E8913-E8921.
- 16 Morillon, B., Schroeder, C. E., & Wyart, V. (2014). Motor contributions to the
- 17 temporal precision of auditory attention. *Nat Commun, 5,* 5255.
- 18 Morin, J. B., Samozino, P., Zameziati, K., & Belli, A. (2007). Effects of altered stride
- 19 frequency and contact time on leg-spring behavior in human running. *Journal of*
- 20 *Biomechanics, 40* (15), 3341-3348.
- 21 Mureşan, R. C., Jurjuţ, O. F., Moca, V. V., Singer, W., & Nikolić, D. (2008). The
- oscillation score: an efficient method for estimating oscillation strength in
 neuronal activity. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, *99* (3), 1333-1353.
- 24 Musacchia, G., Sams, M., Skoe, E., & Kraus, N. (2007). Musicians have enhanced
- 25 subcortical auditory and audiovisual processing of speech and music. Proceedings
- 26 of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 104 (40),
- 27 15894-15898.

- Mushiake, H., Inase, M., & Tanji, J. (1990). Selective coding of motor sequence in
 the supplementary motor area of the monkey cerebral cortex. *Exp Brain Res, 82* (1), 208-210.
- Mushiake, H., Inase, M., & Tanji, J. (1991). Neuronal activity in the primate
 premotor, supplementary, and precentral motor cortex during visually guided and
 internally determined sequential movements. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, *66* (3),
 705-718.
- Muthukumaraswamy, S. D. (2010). Functional properties of human primary motor
 cortex gamma oscillations. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, *104* (5), 2873-2885.
- 10 Nachev, P., Kennard, C., & Husain, M. (2008). Functional role of the supplementary
- and pre-supplementary motor areas. *Nature Reviews. Neuroscience*, *9* (11), 856869.
- 13 Najafi, F., Giovannucci, A., Wang, S. S., & Medina, J. F. (2014). Coding of stimulus
- strength via analog calcium signals in Purkinje cell dendrites of awake mice. *Elife*, *3*, e03663.
- Najafi, F., & Medina, J. F. (2013). Beyond "all-or-nothing" climbing fibres: graded
 representation of teaching signals in Purkinje cells. *Front Neural Circuits*, *7*, 115.
- Nambu, A., Takada, M., Inase, M., & Tokuno, H. (1996). Dual somatotopical
 representations in the primate subthalamic nucleus: evidence for ordered but
- 20 reversed body-map transformations from the primary motor cortex and the
- supplementary motor area. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *16* (8), 2671-2683.
- Nambu, A., Tokuno, H., & Takada, M. (2002). Functional significance of the corticosubthalamo-pallidal 'hyperdirect' pathway. *Neuroscience Research*, *43* (2), 111117.
- Narayanan, N. S., Cavanagh, J. F., Frank, M. J., & Laubach, M. (2013). Common
 medial frontal mechanisms of adaptive control in humans and rodents. *Nature*
- 27 Neuroscience, 16 (12), 1888-1895.
- 28 Nelken, I., Rotman, Y., & Bar Yosef, O. (1999). Responses of auditory-cortex
- 29 neurons to structural features of natural sounds. *Nature*, *397* (6715), 154-157.

- 1 Nethery, V. M. (2002). Competition between internal and external sources of
- 2 information during exercise: influence on RPE and the impact of the exercise load.
- 3 J Sports Med Phys Fitness, 42 (2), 172-178.
- 4 Nethery, V. M., Harmer, P. A., & Taaffe, D. R. (1991). Sensory mediation of
 5 perceived exertion during
- 6 submaximal exercise. *Journal of Human Movement Studies, 20,* 201-211.
- 7 Nguyen, T., Gibbings, A., & Grahn, J. (2018). Rhythm and Beat Perception. In R.
- 8 Bader (Ed.), Springer Handbook of Systematic Musicology (pp. 507-521). Springer.
- 9 Niedermeyer, E. (1997). Alpha rhythms as physiological and abnormal
- 10 phenomena. Int J Psychophysiol, 26 (1-3), 31-49.
- 11 Nielsen, J. B. (2003). How we walk: central control of muscle activity during human
- 12 walking. *Neuroscientist*, *9* (3), 195-204.
- 13 Nikolić, D., Fries, P., & Singer, W. (2013). Gamma oscillations: precise temporal
- 14 coordination without a metronome. *Trends Cogn Sci*, *17* (2), 54-55.
- 15 Nobre, A. C., & van Ede, F. (2018). Anticipated moments: temporal structure in
- 16 attention. *Nature Reviews. Neuroscience*, *19* (1), 34-48.
- 17 Nombela, C., Hughes, L. E., Owen, A. M., & Grahn, J. A. (2013). Into the groove:
- 18 can rhythm influence Parkinson's disease? Neurosci Biobehav Rev, 37 (10 Pt 2),
- 19 2564-2570.
- 20 Nourski, K. V., Reale, R. A., Oya, H., Kawasaki, H., Kovach, C. K., Chen, H., Howard,
- 21 M. A., & Brugge, J. F. (2009). Temporal envelope of time-compressed speech
- represented in the human auditory cortex. *Journal of Neuroscience, 29* (49),
 15564-15574.
- 24 Novembre, G., & Iannetti, G. D. (2018). Tagging the musical beat: Neural
- 25 entrainment or event-related potentials. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
- 26 Sciences
- 27 Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, 115 (47), E11002.
- 28 Nowak, D. A., Tisch, S., Hariz, M., Limousin, P., Topka, H., & Rothwell, J. C. (2006).
- 29 Sensory timing cues improve akinesia of grasping movements in Parkinson's

- disease: a comparison to the effects of subthalamic nucleus stimulation. *Mov Disord*, *21* (2), 166-172.
- Nozaradan, S., Keller, P. E., Rossion, B., & Mouraux, A. (2018a). EEG FrequencyTagging and Input-Output Comparison in Rhythm Perception. *Brain Topogr*, *31* (2),
 153-160.
- Nozaradan, S., Peretz, I., & Keller, P. E. (2016a). Individual Differences in Rhythmic
 Cortical Entrainment Correlate with Predictive Behavior in Sensorimotor
 Synchronization. *Sci Rep*, *6*, 20612.
- 9 Nozaradan, S., Peretz, I., Missal, M., & Mouraux, A. (2011). Tagging the neuronal
- 10 entrainment to beat and meter. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *31* (28), 10234-10240.
- 11 Nozaradan, S., Schönwiesner, M., Caron-Desrochers, L., & Lehmann, A. (2016b).
- 12 Enhanced brainstem and cortical encoding of sound during synchronized13 movement. *Neuroimage*.
- 14 Nozaradan, S., Schönwiesner, M., Keller, P. E., Lenc, T., & Lehmann, A. (2018b).
- 15 Neural bases of rhythmic entrainment in humans: critical transformation between
- 16 cortical and lower-level representations of auditory rhythm. Eur J Neurosci, 47 (4),
- 17 321-332.
- 18 Nozaradan, S., Zerouali, Y., Peretz, I., & Mouraux, A. (2015). Capturing with EEG
- 19 the neural entrainment and coupling underlying sensorimotor synchronization to
- 20 the beat. *Cereb Cortex, 25* (3), 736-747.
- 21 Nozaradan, S., Peretz, I., & Mouraux, A. (2012). Selective Neuronal Entrainment
- to the Beat and Meter Embedded in a Musical Rhythm. *The Journal ofNeuroscience*
- 24 J. Neurosci., 32 (49), 17572.
- 25 O'Boyle, D. J., Freeman, J. S., & Cody, F. W. (1996). The accuracy and precision of
- timing of self-paced, repetitive movements in subjects with Parkinson's disease.
- 27 Brain, 119 (Pt 1), 51-70.

- Obleser, J., Zimmermann, J., Van Meter, J., & Rauschecker, J. P. (2007). Multiple
 stages of auditory speech perception reflected in event-related FMRI. *Cereb Cortex*, *17* (10), 2251-2257.
- Oswal, A., Litvak, V., Sauleau, P., & Brown, P. (2012). Beta reactivity, prospective
 facilitation of executive processing, and its dependence on dopaminergic therapy
 in Parkinson's disease. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *32* (29), 9909-9916.
- 7 Pablos Martin, X., Deltenre, P., Hoonhorst, I., Markessis, E., Rossion, B., & Colin, C.
- 8 (2007). Perceptual biases for rhythm: The Mismatch Negativity latency indexes the
- 9 privileged status of binary vs. non-binary interval ratios. *Clin Neurophysiol*, *118*10 (12), 2709-2715.
- Palmer, E., & Ashby, P. (1992). Corticospinal projections to upper limb
 motoneurones in humans. *Journal of Physiology*, *448*, 397-412.
- 13 Palmer, S. J., Li, J., Wang, Z. J., & McKeown, M. J. (2010). Joint amplitude and
- connectivity compensatory mechanisms in Parkinson's disease. *Neuroscience*, *166*(4), 1110-1118.
- 16 Palva, S., Linkenkaer-Hansen, K., Näätänen, R., & Palva, J. M. (2005). Early neural
- 17 correlates of conscious somatosensory perception. Journal of Neuroscience, 25
- 18 (21), 5248-5258.
- 19 Pandya, D. N., Hallett, M., & Kmukherjee, S. K. (1969). Intra- and interhemispheric
- connections of the neocortical auditory system in the rhesus monkey. *Brain Research*, *14* (1), 49-65.
- Pannese, A., Grandjean, D., & Frühholz, S. (2016). Amygdala and auditory cortex
 exhibit distinct sensitivity to relevant acoustic features of auditory emotions.
- 24 *Cortex, 85,* 116-125.
- 25 Paquette, S., Fujii, S., Li, H. C., & Schlaug, G. (2017). The cerebellum's contribution
- to beat interval discrimination. *Neuroimage*, *163*, 177-182.
- 27 Parthasarathy, H. B., Schall, J. D., & Graybiel, A. M. (1992). Distributed but
- 28 convergent ordering of corticostriatal projections: analysis of the frontal eye field

- 1 and the supplementary eye field in the macaque monkey. *Journal of Neuroscience*,
- 2 *12* (11), 4468-4488.
- 3 Pastor, M. A., Jahanshahi, M., Artieda, J., & Obeso, J. A. (1992). Performance of
- 4 repetitive wrist movements in Parkinson's disease. *Brain*, *115* (Pt 3), 875-891.
- 5 Pearce, M. T., & Wiggins, G. A. (2012). Auditory expectation: the information
 6 dynamics of music perception and cognition. *Top Cogn Sci*, *4*, 625-652.
- 7 Pecenka, N., & Keller, P. E. (2009). The relationship between auditory imagery and
- 8 musical synchroniza- tion abilities in musicians. In L. Louhivuori, T. Eerola, S.
- 9 Saarikallio, T. Himberg, & P. S. Eerola (Eds.), Proceedings of the 7th Triennial
- 10 Conference of European Society for the Cognitive Sciences of Music (pp. 409-414).
- 11 Jyväskylä: University of Jyväskylä.
- 12 Penhune, V. B., Zattore, R. J., & Evans, A. C. (1998). Cerebellar contributions to
- motor timing: a PET study of auditory and visual rhythm reproduction. *J Cogn Neurosci, 10* (6), 752-765.
- 15 Peretz, I. (2010). Toward a neurobiology of musical emotion. In P. Juslin & J.
- 16 Sloboda (Eds.), Handbook of Music and Emotion: Theory, Research, and 17 Applications (pp. 99-126). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- 18 Pesaran, B., Pezaris, J. S., Sahani, M., Mitra, P. P., & Andersen, R. A. (2002).
- Temporal structure in neuronal activity during working memory in macaque
 parietal cortex. *Nature Neuroscience*, 5 (8), 805-811.
- 21 Petrides, M. (2005). Lateral prefrontal cortex: architectonic and functional
- 22 organization. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B:
- 23 Biological Sciences, 360 (1456), 781-795.
- 24 Petrides, M., & Pandya, D. N. (2006). Efferent association pathways originating in
- the caudal prefrontal cortex in the macaque monkey. *J Comp Neurol, 498* (2), 227-
- 26 251.
- 27 Pfurtscheller, G. (1981). Central beta rhythm during sensorimotor activities in
- 28 man. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol*, *51* (3), 253-264.

- 1 Phillips-Silver, J., & Trainor, L. J. (2005). Feeling the beat: movement influences
- 2 infant rhythm perception. *Science*, *308* (5727), 1430.
- 3 Phillips-Silver, J., & Trainor, L. J. (2007). Hearing what the body feels: auditory
- 4 encoding of rhythmic movement. *Cognition*, *105* (3), 533-546.
- 5 Phillips-Silver, J., & Trainor, L. J. (2008). Vestibular influence on auditory metrical
- 6 interpretation. *Brain and Cognition*, 67 (1), 94-102.
- Picard, N., & Strick, P. L. (2001). Imaging the premotor areas. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, *11* (6), 663-672.
- 9 Pike, F. G., Goddard, R. S., Suckling, J. M., Ganter, P., Kasthuri, N., & Paulsen, O.
- 10 (2000). Distinct frequency preferences of different types of rat hippocampal
- 11 neurons in response to oscillatory input currents. Journal of Physiology, 529 Pt 1,
- 12 205-213.
- Pikovsky, A., Rosenblum, M., & Kurths, J. (2003). *Synchronization: A Universal Concept in Nonlinear Sciences*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 15 Pisotta, I., & Molinari, M. (2014). Cerebellar contribution to feed-forward control
- 16 of locomotion. *Front Hum Neurosci, 8,* 475.
- 17 Playford, E. D., Jenkins, I. H., Passingham, R. E., Nutt, J., Frackowiak, R. S., & Brooks,
- 18 D. J. (1992). Impaired mesial frontal and putamen activation in Parkinson's
- disease: a positron emission tomography study. *Annals of Neurology*, *32* (2), 151161.
- Polley, D. B., Steinberg, E. E., & Merzenich, M. M. (2006). Perceptual learning
 directs auditory cortical map reorganization through top-down influences. *Journal*
- of Neuroscience, 26 (18), 4970-4982.
 Pollok, B., Gross, J., Kamp, D., & Schnitzler, A. (2008). Evidence for anticipatory
- 25 motor control within a cerebello-diencephalic-parietal network. *J Cogn Neurosci*,
- 26 *20* (5), 828-840.
- 27 Pollok, B., Gross, J., Müller, K., Aschersleben, G., & Schnitzler, A. (2005). The
- 28 cerebral oscillatory network associated with auditorily paced finger movements.
- 29 *Neuroimage*, *24* (3), 646-655.

- Pollok, B., Overhagen, C. L., Keitel, A., & Krause, V. (2017). Transcranial direct
 current stimulation (tDCS) applied to the left dorsolateral premotor cortex (dPMC)
 interferes with rhythm reproduction. *Sci Rep*, 7 (1), 11509.
- Prince, J. B. (2014). Pitch structure, but not selective attention, affects accent
 weightings in metrical grouping. *J Exp Psychol Hum Percept Perform*, 40 (5), 2073-
- 6 2090.
- 7 Prince, J. B., Thompson, W. F., & Schmuckler, M. A. (2009). Pitch and time, tonality
- and meter: how do musical dimensions combine. *J Exp Psychol Hum Percept Perform*, 35 (5), 1598-1617.
- 10 Puil, E., Gimbarzevsky, B., & Miura, R. M. (1986). Quantification of membrane
- properties of trigeminal root ganglion neurons in guinea pigs. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 55 (5), 995-1016.
- 13 Rabinovich, M. I., Varona, P., Selverston, A. I., & Abarbanel, H. D. I. (2006).
- Dynamical principles in neuroscience. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 78 (4), 12131265.
- 16 Rammsayer, T. H. (1997). Effects of body core temperature and brain dopamine
- 17 activity on timing processes in humans. *Biol Psychol*, *46* (2), 169-192.
- 18 Ramnani, N. (2006). The primate cortico-cerebellar system: anatomy and function.
- 19 *Nature Reviews. Neuroscience*, *7* (7), 511-522.
- 20 Rao, S. M., Harrington, D. L., Haaland, K. Y., Bobholz, J. A., Cox, R. W., & Binder, J.
- 21 R. (1997). Distributed neural systems underlying the timing of movements. *Journal*
- 22 of Neuroscience, 17 (14), 5528-5535.
- 23 Rascol, O., Sabatini, U., Fabre, N., Brefel, C., Loubinoux, I., Celsis, P., Senard, J. M.,
- 24 Montastruc, J. L., & Chollet, F. (1997). The ipsilateral cerebellar hemisphere is
- 25 overactive during hand movements in akinetic parkinsonian patients. Brain, 120
- 26 (Pt 1), 103-110.
- 27 Rauschecker, J. P. (2018). Where, When, and How: Are they all sensorimotor?
- 28 Toward a unified view of the dorsal pathway in vision and audition. *Cortex*
- 29 Where to go now with ,ÄúWhat & How,Äù, 98, 262-268.

- 1 Rauschecker, J. P. (1997). Processing of complex sounds in the auditory cortex of
- 2 cat, monkey, and man. *Acta Otolaryngol Suppl*, *532*, 34-38.
- 3 Ready, E. A., McGarry, L. M., Rinchon, C., Holmes, J. D., & Grahn, J. A. (2019). Beat
- 4 perception ability and instructions to synchronize influence gait when walking to
- 5 music-based auditory cues. *Gait Posture, 68,* 555-561.
- 6 Recasens, M., Gross, J., & Uhlhaas, P. J. (2018). Low-Frequency Oscillatory
- 7 Correlates of Auditory Predictive Processing in Cortical-Subcortical Networks: A
- 8 MEG-Study. *Sci Rep*, *8* (1), 14007.
- 9 Redgrave, P., Prescott, T. J., & Gurney, K. (1999). The basal ganglia: a vertebrate
- 10 solution to the selection problem. *Neuroscience*, *89* (4), 1009-1023.
- 11 Repp, B. H. (2005). Sensorimotor synchronization: a review of the tapping
- 12 literature. *Psychon Bull Rev, 12* (6), 969-992.
- 13 Repp, B. H., & Su, Y. H. (2013). Sensorimotor synchronization: a review of recent
- 14 research (2006-2012). *Psychon Bull Rev, 20* (3), 403-452.
- 15 Ridgway, G. R., Henley, S. M., Rohrer, J. D., Scahill, R. I., Warren, J. D., & Fox, N. C.
- 16 (2008). Ten simple rules for reporting voxel-based morphometry studies.
 17 *Neuroimage*, 40 (4), 1429-1435.
- 18 Roberts, S., Eykholt, R., & Thaut, M. H. (2000). Analysis of correlations and search
- 19 for evidence of deterministic chaos in rhythmic motor control by the human brain.
- 20 Phys Rev E Stat Phys Plasmas Fluids Relat Interdiscip Topics, 62 (2 Pt B), 2597-2607.
- 21 Rochester, L., Baker, K., Hetherington, V., Jones, D., Willems, A. M., Kwakkel, G.,
- Van Wegen, E., Lim, I., & Nieuwboer, A. (2010). Evidence for motor learning in
 Parkinson's disease: acquisition, automaticity and retention of cued gait
 performance after training with external rhythmical cues. *Brain Research*, *1319*,
 103-111.
- Roerdink, M., Bank, P. J., Peper, C. L., & Beek, P. J. (2011). Walking to the beat of
 different drums: practical implications for the use of acoustic rhythms in gait
 rehabilitation. *Gait Posture*, *33* (4), 690-694.

- 1 Roerdink, M., Lamoth, C. J., van Kordelaar, J., Elich, P., Konijnenbelt, M., Kwakkel,
- G., & Beek, P. J. (2009). Rhythm perturbations in acoustically paced treadmill
 walking after stroke. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair*, *23* (7), 668-678.
- Rohrmeier, M. A., & Koelsch, S. (2012). Predictive information processing in music
 cognition. A critical review. *Int J Psychophysiol*, *83* (2), 164-175.
- Romanski, L. M., Tian, B., Fritz, J., Mishkin, M., Goldman-Rakic, P. S., &
 Rauschecker, J. P. (1999). Dual streams of auditory afferents target multiple
- 8 domains in the primate prefrontal cortex. *Nature Neuroscience*, *2* (12), 1131-1136.
- 9 Roy, C., Dalla Bella, S., & Lagarde, J. (2017). To bridge or not to bridge the
- 10 multisensory time gap: bimanual coordination to sound and touch with temporal
- 11 lags. *Exp Brain Res*, 235 (1), 135-151.
- 12 Rybak, I. A., Shevtsova, N. A., Lafreniere-Roula, M., & McCrea, D. A. (2006).
- 13 Modelling spinal circuitry involved in locomotor pattern generation: insights from
- 14 deletions during fictive locomotion. *Journal of Physiology*, 577 (Pt 2), 617-639.
- Sabate, M., Llanos, C., Enriquez, E., Gonzalez, B., & Rodriguez, M. (2011). Fast
 modulation of alpha activity during visual processing and motor control. *Neuroscience*, *189*, 236-249.
- Safranek, M. G., Koshland, G. F., & Raymond, G. (1982). Effect of auditory rhythm
 on muscle activity. *Phys Ther*, *62* (2), 161-168.
- Saleh, M., Reimer, J., Penn, R., Ojakangas, C. L., & Hatsopoulos, N. G. (2010). Fast
 and slow oscillations in human primary motor cortex predict oncoming
 behaviorally relevant cues. *Neuron*, *65* (4), 461-471.
- 23 Salimpoor, V. N., van den Bosch, I., Kovacevic, N., McIntosh, A. R., Dagher, A., &
- 24 Zatorre, R. J. (2013). Interactions between the nucleus accumbens and auditory
- cortices predict music reward value. *Science*, *340* (6129), 216-219.
- 26 Schaefer, R. S., Vlek, R. J., & Desain, P. (2011). Decomposing rhythm processing:
- 27 electroencephalography of perceived and self-imposed rhythmic patterns.
- 28 Psychol Res, 75 (2), 95-106.

- Schenk, T., Baur, B., Steude, U., & Bötzel, K. (2003). Effects of deep brain
 stimulation on prehensile movements in PD patients are less pronounced when
 external timing cues are provided. *Neuropsychologia*, *41* (7), 783-794.
- 4 Schmahmann, J. D., Pandya, D. N., Wang, R., Dai, G., D'Arceuil, H. E., de Crespigny,
- 5 A. J., & Wedeen, V. J. (2007). Association fibre pathways of the brain: parallel
- 6 observations from diffusion spectrum imaging and autoradiography. Brain, 130 (Pt
- 7 3), 630-653.
- Schöner, G., & Kelso, J. A. (1988). Dynamic pattern generation in behavioural and
 neural systems. *Science*, *239* (4847), 1513-1520.
- 10 Schroeder, C. E., Wilson, D. A., Radman, T., Scharfman, H., & Lakatos, P. (2010).
- 11 Dynamics of Active Sensing and perceptual selection. *Current Opinion in* 12 *Neurobiology*, *20*(2), 172-176.
- 13 Schubotz, R. I., Friederici, A. D., & von Cramon, D. Y. (2000). Time perception and
- motor timing: a common cortical and subcortical basis revealed by fMRI. *Neuroimage*, *11* (1), 1-12.
- Schultz, W. (1998). Predictive reward signal of dopamine neurons. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, *80* (1), 1-27.
- 18 Schultz, W. (2007). Multiple dopamine functions at different time courses. Annual
- 19 *Review of Neuroscience, 30, 259-288.*
- Schultz, W. (2010). Dopamine signals for reward value and risk: basic and recent
 data. *Behav Brain Funct*, *6*, 24.
- Schultz, W. (2016). Dopamine reward prediction-error signalling: a twocomponent response. *Nature Reviews. Neuroscience*, *17* (3), 183-195.
- 24 Schwartze, M., Keller, P. E., & Kotz, S. A. (2016). Spontaneous, synchronized, and
- 25 corrective timing behavior in cerebellar lesion patients. *Behavioural Brain*
- 26 *Research*, *312*, 285-293.
- 27 Schwartze, M., & Kotz, S. A. (2013). A dual-pathway neural architecture for specific
- temporal prediction. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev, 37* (10 Pt 2), 2587-2596.

- 1 Selinger, J. C., O'Connor, S. M., Wong, J. D., & Donelan, J. M. (2015). Humans Can
- 2 Continuously Optimize Energetic Cost during Walking. *Current Biology*, 25 (18),
 3 2452-2456.
- Sen, S., Kawaguchi, A., Truong, Y., Lewis, M. M., & Huang, X. (2010). Dynamic
 changes in cerebello-thalamo-cortical motor circuitry during progression of
- 6 Parkinson's disease. *Neuroscience*, *166* (2), 712-719.
- Shadmehr, R., & Mussa-Ivaldi, F. A. (1994). Adaptive representation of dynamics
 during learning of a motor task. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *14* (5 Pt 2), 3208-3224.
- 9 Shah, A. S., Bressler, S. L., Knuth, K. H., Ding, M., Mehta, A. D., Ulbert, I., &
- 10 Schroeder, C. E. (2004). Neural dynamics and the fundamental mechanisms of 11 event-related brain potentials. *Cereb Cortex*, *14* (5), 476-483.
- 12 Sharott, A., Gulberti, A., Hamel, W., Köppen, J. A., Münchau, A., Buhmann, C.,
- 13 Pötter-Nerger, M., Westphal, M., Gerloff, C., Moll, C. K. E., & Engel, A. K. (2018).
- 14 Spatio-temporal dynamics of cortical drive to human subthalamic nucleus neurons
- 15 in Parkinson's disease. *Neurobiol Dis*, *112*, 49-62.
- 16 Shima, K., Mushiake, H., Saito, N., & Tanji, J. (1996). Role for cells in the 17 presupplementary motor area in updating motor plans. *Proceedings of the* 18 *National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *93* (16), 8694-8698.
- 19 Shima, K., & Tanji, J. (1998). Both supplementary and presupplementary motor
- 20 areas are crucial for the temporal organization of multiple movements. *Journal of*
- 21 *Neurophysiology*, *80* (6), 3247-3260.
- 22 Sihvonen, A. J., Särkämö, T., Leo, V., Tervaniemi, M., Altenmüller, E., & Soinila, S.
- (2017). Music-based interventions in neurological rehabilitation. *Lancet Neurol*, *16*(8), 648-660.
- 25 Simpson, S. D., & Karageorghis, C. I. (2006). The effects of synchronous music on
- 26 400-m sprint performance. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *24* (10), 1095-1102.
- 27 Skoe, E., & Kraus, N. (2010). Auditory brainstem response to complex sounds: a
- 28 tutorial. *Ear and Hearing*, *31* (3), 302-324.

- Slawinski, J., Demarle, A., Koralsztein, J. P., & Billat, V. (2001). Effect of supra lactate threshold training on the relationship between mechanical stride
 descriptors and aerobic energy cost in trained runners. *Arch Physiol Biochem*, *109* (2), 110-116.
- Smoll, F. L., & Schutz, R. W. (1982). Accuracy of rhythmic motor behavior in
 response to preferred and nonpreferred tempos. *Journal of Human Movement Studies*, *8* (3), 123-138.
- Snyder, J. S., & Large, E. W. (2005). Gamma-band activity reflects the metric
 structure of rhythmic tone sequences. *Brain Res Cogn Brain Res*, 24 (1), 117-126.
- Sockol, M. D., Raichlen, D. A., & Pontzer, H. (2007). Chimpanzee locomotor
 energetics and the origin of human bipedalism. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 104 (30), 12265-12269.
- Softky, W. R., & Koch, C. (1993). The highly irregular firing of cortical cells is
 inconsistent with temporal integration of random EPSPs. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *13* (1), 334-350.
- Souza, R. B., & Powers, C. M. (2009). Differences in hip kinematics, muscle
 strength, and muscle activation between subjects with and without
 patellofemoral pain. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*, *39* (1), 12-19.
- Stefanics, G., Hangya, B., Hernádi, I., Winkler, I., Lakatos, P., & Ulbert, I. (2010).
 Phase entrainment of human delta oscillations can mediate the effects of
 expectation on reaction speed. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *30* (41), 13578-13585.
- 22 Steiner, L. A., Neumann, W. J., Staub-Bartelt, F., Herz, D. M., Tan, H., Pogosyan, A.,
- 23 Kuhn, A. A., & Brown, P. (2017). Subthalamic beta dynamics mirror Parkinsonian
- bradykinesia months after neurostimulator implantation. *Mov Disord*, *32* (8),
 1183-1190.
- 26 Steinschneider, M., Fishman, Y. I., & Arezzo, J. C. (2008). Spectrotemporal analysis
- 27 of evoked and induced electroencephalographic responses in primary auditory
- cortex (A1) of the awake monkey. *Cereb Cortex, 18* (3), 610-625.

- Sternad, D. (2001). Kurt Wachholder: Pioneering electrophysiological
 investigations on voluntary movements. In L. ML & Z. VM (Eds.), *Classics in movement science* (pp. 375-408). Urbana, Champaign: Human Kinetics.
- 4 Stevens, C. F., & Zador, A. M. (1998). Input synchrony and the irregular firing of
- 5 cortical neurons. *Nature Neuroscience*, *1* (3), 210-217.
- 6 Stevens, L. T. (1886). On the time-sense. *Mind*, *os-XI* (43), 393-404.
- 7 Stiefel, K. M., & Ermentrout, G. B. (2016). Neurons as oscillators. *Journal of*8 *Neurophysiology*, *116* (6), 2950-2960.
- 9 Stupacher, J., Hove, M. J., Novembre, G., Schütz-Bosbach, S., & Keller, P. E. (2013).
- 10 Musical groove modulates motor cortex excitability: a TMS investigation. Brain
- 11 *and Cognition*, *82* (2), 127-136.
- 12 Sturm, I., Blankertz, B., Potes, C., Schalk, G., & Curio, G. (2014). ECoG high gamma
- 13 activity reveals distinct cortical representations of lyrics passages, harmonic and
- 14 timbre-related changes in a rock song. *Front Hum Neurosci, 8,* 798.
- 15 Tal, I., Large, E. W., Rabinovitch, E., Wei, Y., Schroeder, C. E., Poeppel, D., & Zion
- 16 Golumbic, E. (2017). Neural Entrainment to the Beat: The "Missing-Pulse"
- 17 Phenomenon. Journal of Neuroscience, 37 (26), 6331-6341.
- 18 Tanji, J. (2001). Sequential organization of multiple movements: involvement of
- 19 cortical motor areas. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, *24*, 631-651.
- 20 Tanji, J., & Shima, K. (1994). Role for supplementary motor area cells in planning
- 21 several movements ahead. *Nature*, *371* (6496), 413-416.
- 22 Teki, S., Grube, M., & Griffiths, T. D. (2011a). A unified model of time perception
- accounts for duration-based and beat-based timing mechanisms. Front Integr
- 24 Neurosci, 5, 90.
- 25 Teki, S., Grube, M., Kumar, S., & Griffiths, T. D. (2011b). Distinct neural substrates
- of duration-based and beat-based auditory timing. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 31
- 27 (10), 3805-3812.
- 28 Ten Oever, S., Schroeder, C. E., Poeppel, D., van Atteveldt, N., Mehta, A. D.,
- 29 Mégevand, P., Groppe, D. M., & Zion-Golumbic, E. (2017). Low-Frequency Cortical

- Oscillations Entrain to Subthreshold Rhythmic Auditory Stimuli. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *37* (19), 4903-4912.
- Terry, P. C., Karageorghis, C. I., Saha, A. M., & D'Auria, S. (2012). Effects of
 synchronous music on treadmill running among elite triathletes. *J Sci Med Sport*, *15* (1), 52-57.
- Tesche, C. D., & Karhu, J. J. (2000). Anticipatory cerebellar responses during
 somatosensory omission in man. *Hum Brain Mapp*, *9* (3), 119-142.
- 8 Thaut, M. H., McIntosh, G. C., Rice, R. R., Miller, R. A., Rathbun, J., & Brault, J. M.
- 9 (1996). Rhythmic auditory stimulation in gait training for Parkinson's disease
- 10 patients. *Mov Disord*, *11* (2), 193-200.
- 11 Thaut, M., Schleiffers, S., & Davis, W. (1991). Analysis of EMG Activity in Biceps
- 12 and Triceps Muscle in an Upper Extremity Gross Motor Task under the Influence
- 13 of Auditory Rhythm. *Journal of Music Therapy*, *28* (2), 64-88.
- Tian, B., Reser, D., Durham, A., Kustov, A., & Rauschecker, J. P. (2001). Functional
 specialization in rhesus monkey auditory cortex. *Science*, *292* (5515), 290-293.
- 16 Tillmann, B., Bharucha, J. J., & Bigand, E. (2000). Implicit learning of tonality: a self-
- 17 organizing approach. *Psychol Rev*, 107 (4), 885-913.
- 18 Todd, N. P. M., Cousins, R., & Lee, C. S. (2007). The Contribution of Anthropometric
- 19 Factors to Individual Differences in the Perception of Rhythm. *Empirical*20 *Musicology Review*, 2 (1), 1-13.
- 21 Torre, K., Balasubramaniam, R., Rheaume, N., Lemoine, L., & Zelaznik, H. N. (2011).
- 22 Long-range correlation properties in motor timing are individual and task specific.
- 23 *Psychon Bull Rev, 18* (2), 339-346.
- 24 Trost, W., Frühholz, S., Schön, D., Labbé, C., Pichon, S., Grandjean, D., &
- 25 Vuilleumier, P. (2014). Getting the beat: entrainment of brain activity by musical
- 26 rhythm and pleasantness. *Neuroimage*, *103*, 55-64.
- 27 Trost, W., Labbé, C., & Grandjean, D. (2017). Rhythmic entrainment as a musical
- affect induction mechanism. *Neuropsychologia*, *96*, 96-110.

- 1 Turecek, J., Han, V. Z., Cuzon Carlson, V. C., Grant, K. A., & Welsh, J. P. (2016).
- 2 Electrical Coupling and Synchronized Subthreshold Oscillations in the Inferior
- 3 Olive of the rhesus Macaque. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *36* (24), 6497-6502.
- 4 Turvey, M. T. (1990). Coordination. *Am Psychol*, *45* (8), 938-953.
- 5 Umberger, B. R., & Martin, P. E. (2007). Mechanical power and efficiency of level
- 6 walking with different stride rates. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 210 (Pt 18),
- 7 3255-3265.
- Vallbo, A. B., & Wessberg, J. (1993). Organization of motor output in slow finger
 movements in man. *Journal of Physiology*, *469*, 673-691.
- 10 Van Der Giessen, R. S., Koekkoek, S. K., van Dorp, S., De Gruijl, J. R., Cupido, A.,
- 11 Khosrovani, S., Dortland, B., Wellershaus, K., Degen, J., Deuchars, J., Fuchs, E. C.,
- 12 Monyer, H., Willecke, K., De Jeu, M. T., & De Zeeuw, C. I. (2008). Role of olivary
- electrical coupling in cerebellar motor learning. *Neuron*, *58* (4), 599-612.
- van Ede, F., de Lange, F. P., & Maris, E. (2014). Anticipation increases tactile
 stimulus processing in the ipsilateral primary somatosensory cortex. *Cereb Cortex*,
 24 (10), 2562-2571.
- 17 Van Orden, G. C., Holden, J. G., & Turvey, M. T. (2003). Self-organization of
 18 cognitive performance. *J Exp Psychol Gen*, *132* (3), 331-350.
- 19 Van Wezel, B. M., Ottenhoff, F. A., & Duysens, J. (1997). Dynamic control of
- 20 location-specific information in tactile cutaneous reflexes from the foot during
- 21 human walking. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *17* (10), 3804-3814.
- van Wijk, B. C., Beek, P. J., & Daffertshofer, A. (2012). Neural synchrony within the
- 23 motor system: what have we learned so far. *Front Hum Neurosci, 6,* 252.
- Varela, F. J., Toro, A., John, E. R., & Schwartz, E. L. (1981). Perceptual framing and
 cortical alpha rhythm. *Neuropsychologia*, *19* (5), 675-686.
- 26 von Holst, E. (1973). The behavioural physiology of animals and man. Selected
- 27 papers of Erich von Holst. Coral Gables, FL: Miami Press.
- 28 Vorberg, D., & Wing, A. M. (1996). Modeling variability and dependence in timing.
- 29 In H. H. & K. SW (Eds.), Handbook of perception

- 1 *and action* (pp. 287-299). London: Academic Press.
- Wachholder, K., & Altenberger, H. (1926). Beiträge zur Physiologie derng. IX.
 Mitteilung. Fortlaufende Hin- und erbewegungen. *Pflugers Arch*, *214*, 625-641.
- 4 Waldrop, T. G., Mullins, D. C., & Henderson, M. C. (1986). Effects of hypothalamic
- 5 lesions on the cardiorespiratory responses to muscular contraction. *Respir Physiol*,

6 *66* (2), 215-224.

- 7 Walter, W., Cooper, R., Aldridge, V., Mccallum, W., & Winter, A. (1964).
- 8 Contingent Negative Variation: An Electric Sign Of Sensorimotor Association And
- 9 Expectancy In The Human Brain. *Nature, 203,* 380-384.
- 10 Wang, X. J. (2010). Neurophysiological and computational principles of cortical
- 11 rhythms in cognition. *Physiological Reviews*, *90* (3), 1195-1268.
- 12 Wang, Y., Isoda, M., Matsuzaka, Y., Shima, K., & Tanji, J. (2005). Prefrontal cortical
- 13 cells projecting to the supplementary eye field and presupplementary motor area
- 14 in the monkey. *Neuroscience Research*, 53 (1), 1-7.
- 15 Warren, J. E., Wise, R. J., & Warren, J. D. (2005). Sounds do-able: auditory-motor
- transformations and the posterior temporal plane. *Trends in Neurosciences, 28*(12), 636-643.
- 18 Weeks, R. A., Honda, M., Catalan, M. J., & Hallett, M. (2001). Comparison of
- 19 auditory, somatosensory, and visually instructed and internally generated finger
- 20 movements: a PET study. *Neuroimage*, *14* (1 Pt 1), 219-230.
- Weinrich, M., & Wise, S. P. (1982). The premotor cortex of the monkey. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *2* (9), 1329-1345.
- 23 Wellenkotter, J., Kernozek, T. W., Meardon, S., & Suchomel, T. (2014). The effects
- 24 of running cadence manipulation on plantar loading in healthy runners. Int J Sports
- 25 *Med*, *35* (9), 779-784.
- Welsh, J. P., Lang, E. J., Suglhara, I., & Llinás, R. (1995). Dynamic organization of
 motor control within the olivocerebellar system. *Nature*, *374* (6521), 453-457.
- 28 Whelan, P. J. (1996). Control of locomotion in the decerebrate cat. *Progress in*
- 29 *Neurobiology*, *49* (5), 481-515.

- 1 Williams, D., Kühn, A., Kupsch, A., Tijssen, M., van Bruggen, G., Speelman, H.,
- 2 Hotton, G., Yarrow, K., & Brown, P. (2003). Behavioural cues are associated with
- 3 modulations of synchronous oscillations in the human subthalamic nucleus. Brain,

4 *126* (Pt 9), 1975-1985.

- 5 Williams, D. S., Davis, I. M., Scholz, J. P., Hamill, J., & Buchanan, T. S. (2004). High-
- 6 arched runners exhibit increased leg stiffness compared to low-arched runners.
- 7 *Gait Posture, 19* (3), 263-269.
- Williams, D. S., McClay, I. S., & Hamill, J. (2001). Arch structure and injury patterns
 in runners. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)*, *16* (4), 341-347.
- 10 Williams, K. R., Snow, R., & Agruss, C. (1991). Changes in Distance Running
- 11 Kinematics with Fatigue. International Journal of Sport Biomechanics, 7 (2), 138-
- 12 162.
- 13 Wilson, H. R., & Cowan, J. D. (1973). A mathematical theory of the functional 14 dynamics of cortical and thalamic nervous tissue. *Kybernetik*, *13* (2), 55-80.
- 15 Wing, A. M., & Kristofferson, A. B. (1973a). Response delays and the timing of 16 discrete motor responses. *Perception & Psychophysics*, *14* (1), 5-12.
- 17 Wing, A. M., & Kristofferson, A. B. (1973b). The timing of interresponse intervals.
- 18 *Perception & Psychophysics, 13* (3), 455-460.
- 19 Wing, A. M. (2002). Voluntary timing and brain function: an information 20 processing approach. *Brain and Cognition*, *48* (1), 7-30.
- 21 Wise, S. P. (1985). The primate premotor cortex: past, present, and preparatory.
- 22 Annual Review of Neuroscience, 8, 1-19.
- 23 Wolpert, D. M., Miall, R. C., & Kawato, M. (1998a). Internal models in the 24 cerebellum. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *2* (9), 338-347.
- 25 Wolpert, D. M., Goodbody, S. J., & Husain, M. (1998b). Maintaining internal
- 26 representations: the role of the human superior parietal lobe. Nature
- 27 *Neuroscience*, *1* (6), 529-533.

- 1 Womelsdorf, T., Schoffelen, J. M., Oostenveld, R., Singer, W., Desimone, R., Engel,
- A. K., & Fries, P. (2007). Modulation of neuronal interactions through neuronal
 synchronization. *Science*, *316* (5831), 1609-1612.
- 4 Won, J., & Hogan, N. (1995). Stability properties of human reaching movements.
- 5 *Exp Brain Res, 107* (1), 125-136.
- 6 Woodworth, R. S. (1899). The accuracy of voluntary movement. *Psychol. Rev.*7 *Monogr.*, *3* (Suppl. 2), 54-59.
- Wu, T., & Hallett, M. (2005). A functional MRI study of automatic movements in
 patients with Parkinson's disease. *Brain*, *128* (Pt 10), 2250-2259.
- Wu, T., Wang, L., Hallett, M., Chen, Y., Li, K., & Chan, P. (2011). Effective
 connectivity of brain networks during self-initiated movement in Parkinson's
 disease. *Neuroimage*, 55 (1), 204-215.
- 13 Xing, D., Shen, Y., Burns, S., Yeh, C. I., Shapley, R., & Li, W. (2012). Stochastic 14 generation of gamma-band activity in primary visual cortex of awake and 15 anesthetized monkeys. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *32* (40), 13873-80a.
- 16 Xing, D., Yeh, C. I., & Shapley, R. M. (2009). Spatial spread of the local field 17 potential and its laminar variation in visual cortex. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *29* 18 (37), 11540-11549.
- Yamada, N. (1995). Nature of variability in rhythmical movement. *Human Movement Science*, *14* (3), 371-384.
- Yan, J., & Zhang, Y. (2005). Sound-guided shaping of the receptive field in the
 mouse auditory cortex by basal forebrain activation. *Eur J Neurosci, 21* (2), 563576.
- Yang, Y., & Lisberger, S. G. (2014). Purkinje-cell plasticity and cerebellar motor
 learning are graded by complex-spike duration. *Nature*, *510* (7506), 529-532.
- Yarom, Y., & Cohen, D. (2002). The olivocerebellar system as a generator of
 temporal patterns. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, *978*, 122-134.
- 28 Yoles-Frenkel, M., Avron, M., & Prut, Y. (2016). Impact of Auditory Context on
- 29 Executed Motor Actions. *Front Integr Neurosci, 10,* 1.

- 1 Yu, H., Sternad, D., Corcos, D. M., & Vaillancourt, D. E. (2007). Role of hyperactive
- 2 cerebellum and motor cortex in Parkinson's disease. *Neuroimage*, 35 (1), 222-233.
- 3 Yue, G. H., Liu, J. Z., Siemionow, V., Ranganathan, V. K., Ng, T. C., & Sahgal, V.
- 4 (2000). Brain activation during human finger extension and flexion movements.
- 5 Brain Research, 856 (1-2), 291-300.
- Yuste, R., MacLean, J. N., Smith, J., & Lansner, A. (2005). The cortex as a central
 pattern generator. *Nature Reviews. Neuroscience*, *6*, 477-483.
- Zarrugh, M. Y., Todd, F. N., & Ralston, H. J. (1974). Optimization of energy
 expenditure during level walking. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol*, *33* (4), 293-306.
- 10 Zatorre, R. J., Chen, J. L., & Penhune, V. B. (2007). When the brain plays music:
- 11 auditory-motor interactions in music perception and production. *Nature Reviews.*
- 12 *Neuroscience*, *8*(7), 547-558.
- Zehr, E. P., & Duysens, J. (2004). Regulation of arm and leg movement during
 human locomotion. *Neuroscientist*, *10* (4), 347-361.
- 15 Zelenin, P. V., Orlovsky, G. N., & Deliagina, T. G. (2007). Sensory-motor
- 16 transformation by individual command neurons. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 27 (5),
- 17 1024-1032.
- 18 Zelic, G., Varoqui, D., Kim, J., & Davis, C. (2017). A flexible and accurate method to
- 19 estimate the mode and stability of spontaneous coordinated behaviors: The index-
- 20 of-stability (IS) analysis. *Behav Res Methods*.
- 21 Zentner, M., & Eerola, T. (2010). Rhythmic engagement with music in infancy.
- Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America,
 107 (13), 5768-5773.
- 24 Zink, C. F., Pagnoni, G., Martin-Skurski, M. E., Chappelow, J. C., & Berns, G. S.
- 25 (2004). Human striatal responses to monetary reward depend on saliency.
- 26 *Neuron, 42* (3), 509-517.

1

2

9 Figure Captions

9.1 Figure 1. Models of oscillators.

3 A. Mechanical oscillators.

a. A simple gravity pendulum experiences sinusoidal oscillations around its
equilibrium point at a constant frequency, with a constant amplitude. Periodic
oscillation is represented by a closed curve in the phase plane.

b. The damping of the mass-spring system described here results in the
progressive decay of the amplitude of its oscillations. Biological rhythms are
governed by self-sustained oscillators, which possess a source of energy able to
compensate for the dissipation in the system.

11 **B.** Neural Oscillatory processes.

a. The measure of the voltage of a spiking neuron describes periodic variations.
These variations are characterized by an attractive limit cycle in their phase
portrait.

b. Phase-response curve (PRC), adapted from Stiefel and Ermentrout (2016), is
when spike time shift is induced by a perturbation of the neuron's voltage ((LOIC
check that this addition of "is" is ok)). The perturbation results in a delayed spike.
The curve describing the PRC is negative before becoming positive: spikes can be
advanced (first half of the cycle) or delayed (second half of the cycle). In the case
of two coupled neurons, the discharge of one neuron can phase shift the spikes of
the other neuron, and vice versa, and deviations from synchrony are corrected.

22 c. Schematic representation of neural oscillators. Neural oscillations can arise 23 from the interaction between excitatory and inhibitory neural populations (above 24 adapted from Hoppensteadt & Izhikevich, 1996). Periodic auditory stimuli would 25 entrain auditory cortical oscillations (below, adapted from Large et al., 2015) 26 which couple а distributed network of motor and sensory 27 structures.

28

1

2

9.1 Figure 2. Interplay between neural and mechanical activities underlying Auditory Motor Synchronization (AMS).

4

3

5 A. Evidence of modulation of cortical activity with auditory stimulations. Sound envelope of an excerpt of music and associated beats (a). The auditory system 6 7 extracts beats as salient perceptual events of a musical piece. (b) Beat-and metre-8 related steady-state EPs recorded in a single representative subject (adapted 9 from Nozaradan et al., 2011). Nozaradan et al. recorded the 10 electroencephalogram while participants listened to a musical beat and imagined 11 a binary or a ternary meter on this beat. Top: the topographical maps of EEG signal 12 amplitude at 0.8, 1.2, 1.6, and 2.4 Hz, obtained in beat/beat+binary 13 imagery/beat+ternary imagery conditions. Bottom: the EEG amplitude spectrum 14 (in microvolts) within a frequency range comprising the frequency of the beat (2.4 15 Hz) and the frequency of the imagined binary and ternary metres (1.2 and 0.8 Hz, 16 respectively). In all three conditions, the auditory stimulus elicited, at 2.4 Hz, a 17 clear beat-related steady-state EP. In the binary metre imagery condition a metre-18 related steady-state EP emerged at 1.2 Hz, and at 0.8 and 1.6 Hz in the ternary 19 metre imagery condition.

20

B. Dynamical properties of the locomotor chain. Harmonic mechanical oscillators are commonly used to model **walking (c: inverted pendulum) and running (f: mass spring system)**. The amplitude of the oscillations depends on the initial perturbation. Biological oscillators, in contrast, tend to have not only a characteristic period, but also a characteristic amplitude. In the phase space their trajectories correspond to a limit cycle. If a perturbation is exerted, they will automatically come back to their normal behaviour, i.e. to their limit cycle. They indeed incorporate a dissipative mechanism to damp large oscillations and a
 source of energy to prevent oscillations becoming too small. In passive walkers
 (c) motion is maintained by gravity. Left: after footstrike the swing leg swings
 forward past the stance leg until the swing leg hits the ground and a new step
 begins. θ is the angle between the two legs (adapted from Garcia et al., 1998).
 Right: nominal cyclic motion trajectory of the simplest walking model in phase
 space (adapted from Hobbelen & Wisse, 2007).

8

9 C. Dynamic entrainment of locomotion to periodic mechanical perturbations 10 (adapted from Ahn & Hogan, 2012b). Ahn and Hogan applied periodic torque 11 pulses to the ankle at periods that were different from preferred cadence. 12 Entrainment occurred when the perturbation period was close to the participants' 13 cadence, demonstrating the existence of a narrow basin of entrainment, in 14 agreement with the predictions based on limit cycle oscillators. Entrainment at 15 both cortical and biomechanical levels exemplifies the possibility to entrain 16 multiple structures associated with movements. This is so, as long as the external 17 driving force lies within the basin of entrainment of the biological structure.

18

19 **D. Neural Bases of auditory motor synchronization.** Motor areas recruited during 20 AMS tasks mark out the time boundaries of sequentially executed motor actions. 21 As opposed to the execution of a cueing-free movement sequence, AMS requires 22 transforming auditory information to extract relevant temporal events regulating 23 the action. The planum temporale, involved in spectral and temporal analyses of 24 sounds, projects both to dorsal premotor and prefrontal regions, suggesting a 25 tight coupling between auditory and motor systems, with input to and from 26 working memory. Multiple movements are sequenced in SMA and pre-SMA areas, 27 receiving input from working-memory-related structures, and implementing the 28 motor command. The functional role of premotor areas is also achieved through 29 the involvement of cortical outputs to the basal ganglia and the thalamus,

1	connecting both subareas of SMA and the output pathway from the basal ganglia
2	back to the cortex. The cerebellum contributes to encode the flow of auditory
3	afferences into motor relevant sensory information. See main text for details.
4	
5	E. Schematic diagram of the control of locomotion. Adapted from Grillner et al.
6	(2008).
7	
8	
9	9.2 Figure 3. Functional connectivity of brain structures during
10	AMS.
11	A. Functional link between auditory and motor areas during tapping (Chen et al.,
11 12	A. Functional link between auditory and motor areas during tapping (Chen et al.,2006). (a) Manipulating metrical structure during tapping in synchrony with an
11 12 13	 A. Functional link between auditory and motor areas during tapping (Chen et al., 2006). (a) Manipulating metrical structure during tapping in synchrony with an external beat, with concomitant scanning of the participants' brain (fMRI). Louder
11 12 13 14	 A. Functional link between auditory and motor areas during tapping (Chen et al., 2006). (a) Manipulating metrical structure during tapping in synchrony with an external beat, with concomitant scanning of the participants' brain (fMRI). Louder tones were associated with longer tap durations (b) and concomitant increases in
11 12 13 14 15	A. Functional link between auditory and motor areas during tapping (Chen et al., 2006). (a) Manipulating metrical structure during tapping in synchrony with an external beat, with concomitant scanning of the participants' brain (fMRI). Louder tones were associated with longer tap durations (b) and concomitant increases in the BOLD response (c) at auditory (part of the superior temporal gyrus, STG) and
11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Functional link between auditory and motor areas during tapping (Chen et al., 2006). (a) Manipulating metrical structure during tapping in synchrony with an external beat, with concomitant scanning of the participants' brain (fMRI). Louder tones were associated with longer tap durations (b) and concomitant increases in the BOLD response (c) at auditory (part of the superior temporal gyrus, STG) and dorsal premotor (dPMC) cortices. This functional connection is supported by
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Functional link between auditory and motor areas during tapping (Chen et al., 2006). (a) Manipulating metrical structure during tapping in synchrony with an external beat, with concomitant scanning of the participants' brain (fMRI). Louder tones were associated with longer tap durations (b) and concomitant increases in the BOLD response (c) at auditory (part of the superior temporal gyrus, STG) and dorsal premotor (dPMC) cortices. This functional connection is supported by direct connection between posterior STG and PMC, PMC appearing as the relay
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Functional link between auditory and motor areas during tapping (Chen et al., 2006). (a) Manipulating metrical structure during tapping in synchrony with an external beat, with concomitant scanning of the participants' brain (fMRI). Louder tones were associated with longer tap durations (b) and concomitant increases in the BOLD response (c) at auditory (part of the superior temporal gyrus, STG) and dorsal premotor (dPMC) cortices. This functional connection is supported by direct connection between posterior STG and PMC, PMC appearing as the relay for the transmission of auditory information to the primary motor cortex (Chavis
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Functional link between auditory and motor areas during tapping (Chen et al., 2006). (a) Manipulating metrical structure during tapping in synchrony with an external beat, with concomitant scanning of the participants' brain (fMRI). Louder tones were associated with longer tap durations (b) and concomitant increases in the BOLD response (c) at auditory (part of the superior temporal gyrus, STG) and dorsal premotor (dPMC) cortices. This functional connection is supported by direct connection between posterior STG and PMC, PMC appearing as the relay for the transmission of auditory information to the primary motor cortex (Chavis & Pandya, 1976; Luppino et al., 2001; Petrides & Pandya, 2006).
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Functional link between auditory and motor areas during tapping (Chen et al., 2006). (a) Manipulating metrical structure during tapping in synchrony with an external beat, with concomitant scanning of the participants' brain (fMRI). Louder tones were associated with longer tap durations (b) and concomitant increases in the BOLD response (c) at auditory (part of the superior temporal gyrus, STG) and dorsal premotor (dPMC) cortices. This functional connection is supported by direct connection between posterior STG and PMC, PMC appearing as the relay for the transmission of auditory information to the primary motor cortex (Chavis & Pandya, 1976; Luppino et al., 2001; Petrides & Pandya, 2006).

al., 2008a). a. Depiction of stimulus. b. Participants listened in anticipation to the
upcoming tapping task, and then tapped in synchrony with the rhythm.
Activations of the supplementary motor area (SMA), mid-premotor cortex
(midPMC), and cerebellum were found during listening with anticipation. When
participants naively listened to the beat (e.g. without foreknowledge of the later
(LOIC is it later or latter??) tapping task), the same motor regions were activated.
However, in contrast, dPMC - which is sensitive to higher-order information such

as the metrical structure - was only engaged during tapping. Ventral premotor
cortex (vPMC) was engaged whenever the sounds were functionally linked to a
motor response, even though delayed. This provides evidence on the
complementary roles of PMC pathways during perception and perception-action
situations (Chen et al., 2009).

6

7 C. Simultaneous recording of brainstem and cortical electroencephalographic 8 activities during tapping (Nozaradan et al., 2016b). a. Stimulus: participants, 9 while listening to a chord - three tones periodically amplitude-modulated inducing 10 a steady beat - were instructed not to move or to tap on every second beat. The 11 frequencies spectrum of the stimulus was set to elicit frequency-locked responses 12 in brainstem and cortical areas. The harmonic chord with partials at 200, 400, and 13 600 Hz, likely to evoke brainstem nuclei response, was amplitude modulated at 14 2.4 Hz to induce a beat, prone to elicit a cortical response. b. As expected, cortical 15 response at beat frequency during sensorimotor synchronization was increased 16 compared to passive listening. c. brainstem partial steady-state evoked potentials 17 (SS-Eps) showing enhanced subcortical response in tapping condition compared 18 to auditory one. d. Spectra of each of the brainstem partial SS-Eps which were also 19 increased during tapping. For all three types of responses (cortical, brainstems 20 partials, brainstems sidebands) the increased amplitude in the tapping condition 21 compared to the auditory condition suggests the contribution of cortical and 22 subcortical activities modulation in the encoding of sounds during AMS. Brainstem response enhancement could involve top-down modulation from cortical to 23 24 subcortical structures (Bajo & King, 2012).

- 25
- 26
- 1
- 9.3 Figure 4. Sensory and motor performance during AMS.

A. Prestimulus EEG alpha phase synchronicity during a standard auditory
"oddball" paradigm (Haig & Gordon, 1998).

a. The synchrony of alpha phase with N100 was measured (ERP, event-related
potential arising between 80 and 120 milliseconds after the onset of a stimulus
and generated by the primary and association auditory cortices) while listening to
predictable auditory sounds. Stimulus consisted in regular tones of 1000 Hz at an
interval of 1.3 s. Participants were instructed to ignore these tones and to respond
to a second target tone of 1500 Hz which was intermixed with the lower tone by
pressing two buttons.

b. In order to clarify the relationship between prestimulus alpha activity and N100
components, the authors proposed the alpha phase synchronicity as a new
measure. It corresponds to the circular variance of the alpha phase at stimulus
onset across the parieto-occipital sites. Simulated alpha-phase synchronicity (five
parieto-occipital sites) at stimulus onset is represented, one with high-phase
synchronicity (top) and one with low-phase synchronicity (bottom).

c. Topographic maps showing the group averages of the conventional average
N100 amplitude (ERP epochs were conventionally averaged together) and the
high- and low-phase synchronicity N100 amplitude (alpha activity phase across the
recording sites allowed the identification of high and low synchronicity groups).
N100 amplitude was significantly greater in the high than the low phase
synchronicity subaverages.

d. Significance probability map (SPM) for the comparison between high- and lowphase synchronicity N100 amplitudes. N100 significantly differed in all 15 sites.
The reduction of reaction time in the high prestimulus alpha phase synchronicity
cases shows the interplay between external rhythms and brain state related to
subsequent stimulus processing.

B. Motor activity contributes to sensory selection (Morillon et al., 2014).

2 a. Experimental protocol and motor-tracking-locked rhythmic gain model. 3 Rhythmic sequences of 20 pure tones were used. Four reference tones indicated 4 the beat, followed by an alternation of eight targets and eight distractor tones of 5 variable frequencies presented in a quasi-rhythmic manner. Targets and 6 distractors occurred in phase and antiphase with the preceding references. 7 Participants initially tracked reference beat. They used the reference beat to 8 maximize the integration of relevant sensory cues while minimizing the relative 9 weight of irrelevant ones in the sequence of interleaved targets and distractors. 10 First row: rhythmic motor tracking of musical beats. Second row: references. Third 11 row: targets in phase with the reference beat. Arrows indicate the temporal 12 distance between the movement and the target. Fourth row: distractors 13 presented in antiphase with the reference beat. Arrows indicate the temporal 14 distance between movements and distractor onsets. Fifth row: gains assigned to 15 targets and distractors in the model.

16 b. Experimental validation of the model. Target and distractor gains as a function 17 of their temporal distance to taps (dashed lines stand for the "listen" condition). 18 The mean frequency of distractors was always equal to the reference frequency 19 whereas the mean frequency of targets was varying. Participants indicated 20 whether the mean frequency of targets was higher or lower than the reference 21 frequency. Sensory gains were estimated for each target and distractor tone using 22 a multivariate logistic regression of choice against a weighted sum of the 23 information provided by each tone, expressed in relative distance from the 24 reference frequency. In the "listen" condition, participants stayed still. In the 25 "motor-tracking" condition, they performed the task while pressing a noiseless 26 pad with their index finger in phase with the reference beat.

c. Left: categorization performance in the "motor-tracking" and "listen"
conditions. Right: contributions of targets and distractors to the decision in the
"motor-tracking" and "listen" conditions. Overt rhythmic motor activity enhanced

1	specifically the sensitivity to target tones. This effect relies on cyclic fluctuations
2	in sensory gain time-locked to individual movements. Top-down influences would
3	sharpen sensory processes, giving sense to the concept of "active sensing".

5 C. Rhythmic abilities and musical training in Parkinson's disease (Cochen De Cock 6 et al., 2018).

a. Patients' individual gait response to various rhythmic stimuli was tested, as well
as their motor and non-motor rhythmic performance. The differences between
patients with positive response and non-positive response to cueing were
examined. The rate of auditory cues was set to be 10% faster than each
participant's preferred cadence, measured at pretest.

b. Individual responses to rhythmic cueing, expressed by the difference in gait
speed between cueing and the baseline, in patients with Parkinson's disease and
in controls. Patients who aligned their steps to the beat also increased their speed;
this was not the case in the controls.
c. Beat perception

9.4

Figure 5. A timekeeper account for the variability of AMS.

2

A. Phase plane trajectories of the right index during synchronization with a
metronome. One trial consisting in a sequence of 80 stimuli presented with an
inter-onset interval of 800 ms (1.25 Hz). The partial recovering of closed curves
describes a band. Data from Roy et al. (2017).

7

8 B. Synchronization-continuation paradigm and Wing-Kristofferson two-level 9 timing model (adapted from Wing, 2002). Participants synchronized their tapping 10 with the pacing stimuli. During the following unpaced phase (continuation), they 11 were instructed to continue tapping at the same tempo. Inter-response intervals 12 (I) are defined by timekeeper intervals (C) and motor implementation delays (M). 13 Average (I) is matching (C), with variations in (I) reflecting both (C) and (M). The dashed lines show how negatively correlated (I) (short and long intervals 14 alternation) can be explained by variation in (M). 15

- **10 Tables**
- 2 10.1 Table 1. Glossary of basic concepts related to auditory
 3 stimulation, gait and dynamical systems.

Concept	Definition
Rhythm	Regular temporal patterns embedded in music in the form of the alternation of strong
	and weak sounds, in movements when they are cyclical, or in any temporal series,
	which exhibit periodicity, such as electrical signals.
Beat	When listening to musical pieces, the perceived beat is the most regularly recurring
	psychological event in response to a rhythm (Cooper & Meyer, 1960; Large, 2008).
	More practically, the beat is the regular time interval that we can tap along to when
	listening to music.
Dynamical System	An ideal model governed by a function which describes its time-dependent evolution,
	with future states being unambiguously determined (Pikovsky et al., 2003). For
	example: a simple harmonic oscillator which undergoes sinusoidal oscillations around
	its equilibrium point (figure 1A).
Oscillator	A system which exhibits rhythm(s). The time-varying amplitude of the variable which
	characterizes the system (angle of a pendulum, tension in an electronic circuit,
	membrane potential of a neuron, figure 1 A and B), describes variations about a central
	value or between different states. Oscillators can be periodic (the time between
	consecutive corresponding points of the same amplitude is constant: e.g. harmonic
	oscillators whose output is governed by the sine function) or chaotic. Models referred
	to in the present review are built upon harmonic oscillators.
Natural frequency	The frequency (number of beats in a unit of time) at which a system oscillates when it
	is stable and isolated.
Linear vs.	A linear system of differential equations, defined by affine functions of the variable x ,
nonlinear systems	f(x) = ax + b, describes the state of linear physical systems. Nonlinear systems of
	equations, in which the unknowns are variables of a polynomial of degree higher than

one, or arguments of a function which is not affine, apply to nonlinear systems behaviour. In a nonlinear system, the solution to the equations is not a linear combination of the unknown variables or functions. The coexistence of many solutions for the same initial parameters is possible.

Within a narrow range of initial conditions and for a short interval of time, a linear equation might describe, with acceptable accuracy approximation, the output of a nonlinear system. The simple swinging pendulum governed by the equation

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + \frac{g}{L}\sin x = 0$$

(in which x is the angle of the pendulum from vertical, g is the acceleration due to gravity, and L is the length of the pendulum) is a model for the linear oscillator if the term sin x is approximated by x. This is valid for small angles and the numerical solution of the equation is straightforward, the equation now being in the form of a second-order linear differential equation. However, when x is large, the equation remains in the nonlinear form as depicted above.

- **Driven Oscillator** An oscillator whose position is influenced by an external time dependent force. If the motion of the system is entirely sustained by the time varying force, oscillations are forced.
- Self-sustained In the simplest models of harmonic oscillators, such as a mass-spring system or a pendulum, energy dissipation is neglected. The associated equation of motion predicts the kinetic energy transformed into a potential energy and vice versa, the total energy of the system remaining constant. However dampening mechanisms act against the mass or pendulum motions. If a source of energy compensates for the gradual decay of the movement amplitude, we are in the presence of a self-sustained oscillator. In the pendulum clock the potential energy of the lifted weight is converted into oscillatory motion to prevent the inherent decay of the angle of the pendulum with respect to the vertical. The locomotor system possesses the properties of a self-sustained oscillator as is the case for numerous biological systems such as cardiovascular and respiratory systems.

Limit-CycleTo describe the state of an oscillator, the value x(t) of its output process is not sufficientOscillatorsince, for a given value of x, the associated function can increase or decrease. A second
variable is often called to provide an unambiguous description of the state of the
system (Pikovsky et al., 2003). In the case of a pendulum clock, the time evolution of
the pendulum angle (x) with respect to the vertical and its angular velocity x'(t) can be
used as pairs of coordinates in the phase space, the plot of x vs. x' being the phase
portrait. The pendulum clock, which is a periodic self-sustained oscillator, exhibits a
phase portrait which consists in superposed closed curves: it satisfies the definition of
limit cycle as a closed curve in phase space towards which the trajectories converge.

- **Resonance** Occurs when an oscillating system is forced to vibrate at its natural frequency. At its resonant frequency, a minimal energy is required to get large amplitude oscillations.
- Oscillator Coupling Coupling between oscillators associates some transfer of energy between them. Two similar clocks on the same support get synchronized due to vibration transmission. Coupling strength describes how weak or strong this interaction is. In the example above, this is determined by the rigidity of the supporting structure and its ability to vibrate ((LOIC is this what you mean?)).

Synchronization "Adjustment of rhythms of oscillating objects due to their weak interaction" (Pikovsky et al., 2003).

Entrainment When coupled, oscillators with differing and somewhat close natural frequencies exert a mutual influence on each other. This drives the emergence of a common frequency of oscillation: they are frequency entrained, which can conduct to phase synchronization. However, perfect period and phase synchronization is only one specific case of entrainment. This term also describes partial period and phase adjustment without perfect matching.

For a given oscillator, its natural frequency, as well as its frequency associated with the presence of another oscillator, must be measured in appropriate experimental conditions, i.e. with the system to characterize in isolation vs. in specific coupling conditions.

Neural or brain Rhythmic electrical activity observed at different levels of organization,

oscillation

within individual neurons, or within populations of neurons (recorded with

commensurate techniques, e.g. with single-unit recording and EEG/MEG respectively). The combination of excitatory and inhibitory activities of different neural populations can give rise to nonlinear neural oscillations (Wilson & Cowan, 1973; Hoppensteadt & Izhikevich, 1996). Neuronal projections can spread oscillations across multiple brain regions. As for any other oscillation, they are characterized by their frequency. Beta waves (13-32 Hz) are modulated by movements in healthy subjects and their suppression is correlated to the predictive value of the cue prior to movement (Gilbertson et al., 2005; Engel & Fries, 2010). It has been proposed that beta activity reinforces postural state at the expense of new movement onset (van Wijk et al., 2012; Doyle et al., 2005; Hammond et al., 2007; Moran et al., 2008). Gamma waves (25-140 Hz) are observed in the sensorimotor areas that are involved during movement (Crone et al., 1998). Motor cortex, as well as subcortical structures (basal ganglia), exhibit transient bursts of high frequency gamma oscillations (60-90 Hz) during movement (Muthukumaraswamy, 2010; Gaetz et al., 2013; Jenkinson et al., 2013). However, on the basis of the irregularity of the gamma phase over multiple oscillation cycles (Xing et al., 2012), Nikolić et al. (2013) proposed that the phase of the oscillation cycle could be locked in a stimulus-dependent manner. The dynamic change of the phase of the oscillation cycle as a function of the spiking activity of neurons preferentially firing for a specific stimulus (Havenith et al., 2011), supports this hypothesis. Globally, action entails event-related beta desynchronization and movement-related gamma synchronization.

Cadence Number of steps per time unit (usually minutes) in walking or running.

Running economy Quantity of energy spent per distance unit during running.

tDCS entails direct stimulation through low current via electrodes connected to the head. Anodal tDCS, which decreases the membrane potential, increases neuronal excitability. Cathodal tDCS, which hyperpolarizes the membrane potential, decreases the excitability of neurons. 11.1 Figure 1



11.2 Figure 2







