New insights into the evolutionary conservation of the sole PIKK pseudokinase Tra1/TRRAP

Alberto Elías-Villalobos, Philippe Fort, Dominique Helmlinger

► To cite this version:

Alberto Elías-Villalobos, Philippe Fort, Dominique Helmlinger. New insights into the evolutionary conservation of the sole PIKK pseudokinase Tra1/TRRAP. *Biochemical Society Transactions*, 2019, 47 (6), pp.1597 - 1608. 10.1042/BST20180496 . hal-02394910

HAL Id: hal-02394910

<https://hal.umontpellier.fr/hal-02394910>

Submitted on 17 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

**New insights into the evolutionary conservation of the sole PIKK pseudokinase
Tra1/TRRAP**

Alberto Elías-Villalobos¹, Philippe Fort¹, and Dominique Helmlinger¹

¹CRBM, CNRS, University of Montpellier, Montpellier, France

*Corresponding author: Dominique Helmlinger

Phone: +33-434-35-95-51

Fax: +33-434-35-94-10

Email: dhelmlinger@crbm.cnrs.fr

Keywords: pseudokinase, chaperones, transcription, chromatin, macromolecular complex

1

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

1 **Introduction.**

2 A pseudokinase is defined as a kinase paralog that lacks essential catalytic residues and is
3 thus predicted to have no or weakened phosphotransfer activity. Similar to other
4 pseudoenzymes, pseudokinases have been first considered as evolutionary remnants of
5 their active counterparts. However, analysis of their phylogenetic distribution and
6 conservation revealed their prevalence across all kingdoms of life and in many distinct kinase
7 families. Furthermore, their evolutionary conservation outside of catalytic residues clearly
8 argue for important functional roles [1,2]. Pseudokinases have finally received increased
9 attention over the past two decades. Their study provided novel insights into the function of
10 catalytically active kinases, but also revealed their specific roles in many fundamental
11 processes.

12 Structural, biochemical, and genetic evidence indicate that pseudokinases perform critical
13 non-enzymatic functions in signaling pathways. Their protein-protein interaction domains can
14 allosterically regulate the activities of cognate kinases or other enzymes, compete for
15 substrate binding, scaffold the assembly of signaling complexes, or modulate protein
16 trafficking and localization. Additionally, some pseudokinases with noncanonical catalytic
17 residues show residual activity or ATP binding, which can have a specific regulatory function
18 (for more comprehensive reviews, see [3–8]). Adding to this diversity of mechanisms, recent
19 work showed that the SelO pseudokinase is an active enzyme that uses ATP to transfer AMP
20 to specific substrates [9]. It is therefore becoming clear that much remains to be learned from
21 the study of pseudokinases, and more generally pseudoenzymes [10,11]. Finally, their
22 functions are relevant to numerous human diseases, including cancer, and pseudoenzymes
23 represent attractive targets for novel therapeutic strategies [12].

24 In this mini-review, we will focus on the structure, function, and evolutionary history of one
25 such pseudokinase, called the transformation/transcription domain-associated protein
26 (TRRAP) in mammals or Tra1 in yeast [13,14]. TRRAP is the sole inactive member of a
27 family of atypical kinases, named phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase related kinase (PIKK), which

comprises the catalytic subunit of the DNA-dependent protein kinase (DNA-PK), ataxia-telangiectasia mutated (ATM), ATM and Rad3-related (ATR), target of rapamycin (TOR), and suppressor of morphogenesis in genitalia 1 (SMG1).

The PIKK family of protein kinases.

PIKKs are related to the phosphatidylinositol class of lipid kinases (PI3K), but function as Serine/Threonine protein kinases, mediating signal transduction in diverse biological contexts (for a review on PIKK functions, see [15]). DNA-PK is a critical effector during DNA double-strand break repair. ATM and ATR are the central components of the DNA damage checkpoint and are activated in response to various genotoxic stresses. TOR is a central regulator of metabolism, growth, and survival in response to nutrient availability, growth factors, hormones and stress signals. SMG1 mediates the decay of mRNAs with premature stop codons or that were inappropriately spliced. Finally, the only pseudokinase of this family, TRRAP, has essential roles during transcription. Functional studies in different model systems, including yeasts, nematodes, flies, and mice, have established that these distinct functions are conserved across eukaryotes.

Despite these diverse functions, PIKKs are structurally related and share a characteristic domain architecture. All PIKKs are large proteins, which size ranges from approximately 250 to 470 kDa in humans (Figure 1A). Long arrays of α -solenoids, termed Huntingtin, EF3A, PP2A, TOR (HEAT) repeats, precede a region with high similarity between PIKKs and formed by solenoidal TPR repeats, called the FRAP, ATM, and TRRAP domain (FAT). These extended superhelical structural motifs are immediately followed by the highly conserved, PI3K-related, kinase domain and a short C-terminal FATC motif (Figure 1A). Although the catalytic domain of PIKKs is homologous to that of PI3Ks, notable differences exist in the catalytic motifs (Figure 1B). As compared to PI3Ks, PIKKs contain only the Lys residue from the ATP-binding motif VAIK and the Asp residue from the divalent cation-binding motif DFG. Indeed, PIKKs are strict S/T protein kinases with no reported lipid substrates.

1 In spite of their sequence similarity, PIKKs differ markedly in their structural organization,
2 mostly of their N-terminal repeats, oligomerization, and interaction with regulatory factors
3 [16,17]. For example, TOR constitutively dimerizes and interacts with accessory proteins to
4 form either TOR complex 1 (TORC1) or TOR complex 2 (TORC2), which are defined by the
5 specific incorporation of either regulatory associated protein of MTOR complex 1 (RPTOR) or
6 RPTOR independent companion of MTOR complex 2 (RICTOR), respectively. In contrast,
7 DNA-PK and ATM dimerization is regulatable and activates DNA-PK, whereas it inhibits ATM
8 activity. Finally, the 'pseudoPIKK' TRRAP is always monomeric but part of larger
9 macromolecular assemblies, the Spt-Ada-Gcn5 acetyltransferase complex (called SAGA
10 both in yeasts and mammals) and the nucleosome acetyltransferase of H4 complex (called
11 NuA4 in yeasts and TIP60 in mammals).

12 The phylogenetic distribution of PIKKs shows variations between clades, both in the
13 identity of PIKKs and in the number of PIKK paralogs (Figure 2A). TOR, ATM, ATR, and
14 TRRAP are ubiquitously distributed across all major eukaryotic lineages, whereas DNA-PK
15 and SMG1 were lost from several lineages. For example, fungal species from the dikarya
16 lineage, which includes the model organism *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, lost DNA-PK and
17 SMG1 but have two TOR paralogs, Tor1 and Tor2. Similarly, some Taphrinomycetes have
18 acquired two TRRAP paralogs, Tra1 and Tra2, which have non-redundant functions in the
19 model organism *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* [18,19]. DNA-PK is also absent from the
20 Diptera and Nematoda clades, while SMG1 appears absent from the Chlorophyta and
21 Alveolata, which are clades of plants and protists, respectively. Finally, unicellular parasites
22 from the Excavata clade show striking differences to these general principles, most notably
23 the loss of several PIKKs, which is likely related to their parasitic lifestyle and was noted
24 previously [20]. For example, we observe that, except TOR, all PIKKs were lost from *Giardia*
25 *intestinalis*, while *Trichomonas vaginalis* appear to have 38 TOR paralogs, 3 TRRAP
26 paralogs, and 2 ATR paralogs. Overall, this analysis reveals that the only 'pseudoPIKK',

Tra1/TRRAP, is conserved throughout all eukaryotic clades and is therefore probably an ancestral member of the PIKK family with important cellular functions.

The Tra1/TRRAP pseudokinase.

Although Tra1/TRRAP is enzymatically inactive, it shows the large size and typical domain architecture of all active PIKKs (Figure 1A). Detailed analysis of Tra1/TRRAP PI3K-like kinase domain indicates that all orthologs and paralogs have lost the three motifs that are essential for enzymatic activity [13,19]. These include the ATP-binding motif VAIK, the catalytic motif HRD, and the divalent cation-binding motif DFG (Figure 1B,C). We note that residues from the catalytic motifs are not conserved across different clades, further arguing that Tra1/TRRAP does not possess enzymatic activity (Figure 1C). In addition, a phylogenetic tree of PIKKs from all major eukaryotic clades confirm that TRRAP is likely an ancestral pseudo-enzyme because its PI3K-like kinase domain diverges more than that of active PIKKs (Figure 2B). Examination of the branch points of this tree suggests that TRRAP is more closely related to DNA-PK than other PIKKs and might have thus originated from its early duplication. However, this hypothesis is not fully supported by a bootstrap analysis and statistical calculations (Figure 2B).

Two recently published studies brought unprecedented insights into the structure of Tra1 and the topological organization of its domains, in particular due to advances in cryo-electron microscopy (EM) approaches [21,22]. Both structures identified three distinct regions within the N-terminal array of HEAT repeats, named the 'Finger', 'Ring', and 'Clasp' domains, which fold into an α -solenoid superhelical structure. The remaining FAT, kinase, and FATC domains form a globular region, termed 'Head', which is often referred to as 'FATKIN' in other PIKKs and adopts a conformation that is highly conserved between them [17,23]. In contrast, the topology of the HEAT domain is much more variable between PIKKs. Interestingly, the Tra1 HEAT domain adopts a 'diamond ring' conformation, which is similar to that of DNA-PK [24], despite apparent low sequence similarity but consistent with their phylogenetic relatedness.

The Tra1/TRRAP ‘pseudoPIKK’: a protein interaction hub for activator targeting.

Early work hypothesized that the function of Tra1/TRRAP depends on its extensive protein interaction surfaces rather than its pseudokinase domain, similar to a few other pseudokinases such as the Integrin-linked kinase (ILK) or the Tribbles (TRIB) pseudokinases. Although many studies confirmed the importance of protein-protein interaction in Tra1/TRRAP function, mutational analyses of *S. cerevisiae* Tra1 indicated that its pseudokinase domain has also critical roles *in vivo* [25–27]. Similarly, recent work established that the atypical sequence of the TRIB pseudokinase domain relates to an important functional fold, whose plasticity modulates substrate ubiquitination or assembly of signaling modules [28–30].

TRRAP was originally identified as an interacting partner of the c-MYC and E2F transcription factors and is essential for their oncogenic activities during transformation [13]. Since this discovery, numerous biochemical and genetic studies in different organisms established that a diverse range of activators require Tra1/TRRAP to initiate transcription [26,31–38]. Several elegant studies have demonstrated *in vivo*, physical interaction between Tra1 and the transactivation domain of activators [39–44]. Mapping the regions of Tra1 that are involved suggests that its HEAT domain is the main interaction surface for several transcription factors, including Gal4, Gcn4, and Rap1 in *S. cerevisiae*, or c-MYC and p53 in mammalian cells. Indeed, the HEAT domain forms a large exposed surface on Tra1, even when integrated within the SAGA or NuA4 complexes [22,45]. However, the molecular details by which activators interact with Tra1 remains elusive and might involve dynamic, low specificity mechanisms, analogous to Gcn4-Mediator interaction [46]. In addition, whether distinct activators can simultaneously bind to one Tra1/TRRAP molecule remains to be demonstrated, but is conceivable because activators selectively target distinct, non-overlapping regions distributed across the HEAT domain [26,38].

Scaffolding role of the Tra1/TRRAP ‘pseudoPIKK’ within transcription complexes.

1 Tra1/TRRAP is predominantly found within either one of two distinct co-activator complexes,
2 SAGA and NuA4/TIP60 [14,47–49]. Both co-activators are highly conserved regulators of
3 transcription initiation and are large multimeric complexes with modular organization (for
4 reviews on SAGA and NuA4/TIP60, see [50–52]). SAGA carries histone H3 acetylation
5 (HAT) and histone H2B de-ubiquitylation (DUB) activities, and modulates the recruitment of
6 the TATA-box binding protein (TBP) to core promoters. Yeast NuA4 acetylates histone H4,
7 H2A, and the histone variant H2A.Z, while the mammalian homologous complex, TIP60, also
8 contains an ATPase subunit, P400, which catalyzes H2A.Z deposition. Altogether,
9 Tra1/TRRAP large size, lack of catalytic activity, and ability to interact with many transcription
10 factors suggested that its primary function during transcription is to scaffold and recruit these
11 complexes to specific promoters.

12 Recent work from our group have clarified this model, at least in fission yeast. Indeed, *S.*
13 *pombe* provides a unique opportunity to study Tra1/TRRAP function because, in marked
14 contrast with *S. cerevisiae* and mice, a *tra1*Δ deletion mutant is viable in *S. pombe* [53]. We
15 demonstrated that Tra1 is not required for viability in *S. pombe* because its genome has two
16 paralogous genes, *tra1+* and *tra2+*, and each has non-redundant roles that are specific for
17 SAGA or NuA4, respectively [19,54]. Phylogenetic analyses indicate that these paralogs
18 result from a duplication of a single gene in the ancestor of the *Schizosaccharomyces*
19 lineage, suggesting that each paralog diverged such that Tra1 and Tra2 are specific for each
20 complex in *S. pombe* [19]. Recently, we observed that the conditional loss of Tra2 disrupts
21 NuA4 integrity and affects cell viability, indicating that Tra2 does indeed scaffold the
22 assembly of the entire NuA4 complex [55]. In marked contrast, we showed that Tra1 has no
23 scaffolding function within SAGA, but, rather, regulates the expression of a small subset of
24 SAGA-dependent genes and specifically controls the incorporation of the DUB module [55].
25 Therefore, contrary to its general scaffolding role in NuA4 assembly, Tra1 has specific
26 regulatory roles in SAGA structural organization and activity.

1 These results are consistent with recent structural studies using cryo-EM and cross-
2 linking coupled to mass spectrometry (CXMS) analyses [22,45,56–58]. Indeed, Tra1 is
3 localized at the periphery of SAGA, which contacts the FAT domain through a surprisingly
4 small and flexible region called the ‘Hinge’. We recently demonstrated that Spt20 is the major
5 interacting partner of Tra1 within SAGA, in both *S. pombe* and *S. cerevisiae* [55]. In contrast,
6 Tra1 occupies a more central position within NuA4, which subunits make extensive contacts
7 with the FAT, kinase, and FATC domains, explaining the essential role of Tra1 in NuA4
8 complex integrity and probably the sensitivity of these domains to mutations *in vivo*
9 [26,27,59,60].

10 To conclude, integrating structural, biochemical, and functional approaches clearly
11 established that Tra1/TRRAP is a pseudoenzyme acting as a protein-protein interaction hub.
12 Despite having no ‘writing’ activity, Tra1/TRRAP can ‘read’ cues from promoter-bound
13 transcription factors and relay this signal by recruiting and/or assembling co-activator
14 complexes. Their activities then modify chromatin and stimulate pre-initiation complex
15 assembly to elicit specific transcriptional responses. To date, little is known about the
16 molecular mechanisms by which Tra1/TRRAP controls SAGA and NuA4/TIP60 activities at
17 specific promoters but these recent results have undoubtedly opened new perspectives.

18 **A specific chaperone machinery links Tra1/TRRAP to active PIKKs.**

19 Despite these advances in our understanding of the functional roles of this pseudokinase, the
20 reason for the evolutionary conservation of a typical PIKK domain architecture in
21 Tra1/TRRAP remained a mystery for years. Work from several laboratories recently provided
22 an unexpected and elegant explanation.

23 Seminal work from Titia de Lange’s group first reported a role for a protein called TELO2
24 in the stabilization of all six mammalian PIKKs, including TRRAP [61]. TELO2 is the
25 mammalian ortholog of *S. cerevisiae* Tel2, which was identified in the first screen for mutants
26 for shortened telomeres [62]. Concurrently, biochemical analysis of TOR complexes in fission
27 yeast identified a trimeric complex composed of Tel2 and two additional proteins, named Tel

two interacting proteins 1 and 2 (Tti1 and Tti2) [18,63]. All three subunits are conserved between yeasts and mammals and form the Triple-T complex (TTT). Further studies in yeast and mammals established that TTT is a novel HSP90 cochaperone dedicated to PIKK stabilization and assembly into active complexes (Figure 3) [61,64–68]. Numerous functional studies in different organisms implicated TTT in PIKK signaling in response to DNA damage or metabolic stress [61,64,66–72]. Importantly, TTT interacts genetically and physically with Tra1 in yeast [18,19,73–75] and stabilizes TRRAP in human cells [61,66–68]. Accordingly, we recently established that, in fission yeast, Hsp90 and TTT promote the *de novo* incorporation of Tra1 into SAGA and of Tra2 into NuA4 [55].

Altogether, these findings have two important implications. First, Tra1/TRRAP, the only ‘pseudoPIKK’, shares a dedicated chaperone machinery with active PIKKs for its folding and assembly into larger, multimeric complexes (Figure 3). We propose that the requirement of PIKKs for a specific cochaperone explains the selection pressure on the sequence and domain organization of Tra1/TRRAP, despite the divergence of its PI3K-like kinase domain. Supporting this possibility, analysis of the phylogenetic distribution of TELO2, TTI1, and TTI2 indicates that both TELO2 and TTI1 are ancient proteins, because orthologs were found in the genomes of species representative of all major eukaryotic clades, similar to PIKKs (Figure 2A). Interestingly, TTI2 was lost from several lineages, including Diptera and Nematoda, suggesting that its function might not be strictly essential.

Second, although PIKKs are implicated in diverse processes, they are all dependent on HSP90 and its cochaperone TTT for their maturation (Figure 3). HSP90 is indeed a pleiotropic chaperone and typically requires a cochaperone to target and fold a particular subset of substrates, named clients (reviewed in [76]). For example, many HSP90 client kinases are recognized and recruited by the CDC37 cochaperone [77]. It is possible that atypical kinase clients, such as PIKKs, require a specific factor for their recruitment and folding by HSP90. Although the exact mechanism by which HSP90 and TTT promote PIKK maturation remains unknown, we propose that their massive size, unique domain

architecture, obligate partner interactions, and substantial structural flexibility necessitate a dedicated chaperone machinery.

We note that TTT might function as a PIKK-specific adapter, rather than a cochaperone, because it binds HSP90 indirectly. Elegant structural and biochemical studies demonstrated that TELO2 phosphorylation is essential for interaction with a complex called R2TP. This multimeric cochaperone is formed by the RuvB-like AAA+ ATPases RUVBL1 and RUVBL2, the PIH1 domain containing 1 protein (PIH1D1), and the RNA polymerase II associated protein 3 (RPAP3), which TPR domain directly contacts HSP90 (for a review, see [78,79]). However, PIH1D1 and RPAP3 orthologs are absent from fission yeast (G. Lledo, B. Pradet-Balade, and D. Helmlinger; unpublished observations) [80], suggesting either that TTT can bind HSP90 directly in some conditions, or that other factors mediate this interaction. One such factor might be the highly conserved WD40 domain-containing protein Asa1, which copurifies with TTT in both *S. cerevisiae* and in *S. pombe* [73]. Interestingly, a recent study suggests that, in *S. cerevisiae*, Asa1 promotes constitutive TTT-dependent stabilization of Mec1^{ATR} and Tel1^{ATM}, whereas the R2TP complex replaces Asa1 in response to stress signals [80].

Conclusions

The widespread phylogenetic distribution and high conservation of seemingly inactive enzymes argue for important catalytic-independent functions. Here, we reviewed and discussed our current knowledge on the only inactive member of the PIKK family of atypical kinases. The discovery of a PIKK-specific cochaperone illuminates the importance of folding and structure in the selective pressure exerted on the sequence of pseudokinases during evolution, and perhaps more generally on pseudoenzymes. As summarized below, several important questions are of particular interest for future research on the Tra1/TRRAP 'pseudoPIKK'. The exact roles of Tra1/TRRAP during transcription are still not fully understood and its study promises many more exciting discoveries in the near future.

Perspectives

- Tra1/TRRAP recruitment to chromatin: Our current view is that DNA-bound transcription factors are responsible for Tra1/TRRAP recruitment to chromatin. However, amongst PIKKs, Tra1/TRRAP is phylogenetically and structurally most related to DNA-PK, which can bind DNA directly [81]. The 3.5 nm-wide opening created by the ring-like conformation of the HEAT repeats of yeast Tra1 might accommodate such a large macromolecule [21,22].
- Function of the PI3K-like kinase domain: Although Tra1/TRRAP lost the ability to bind ATP, it might retain the ability of PI3Ks to bind phosphatidylinositol, recognize another phospholipid, or interact with another negatively charged metabolite. Supporting this possibility, a genetic suppressor screen revealed the functional importance of exposed, positively charged residues in the cleft region of the PI3K-like domain of *S. cerevisiae* Tra1 [27].
- Allosteric regulation of chromatin-modifying activities: pseudokinases can function as pseudoscaffolds modulating the availability of substrates to enzymes (reviewed in [82]). To date, no interaction between Tra1/TRRAP and any PIKK has been reported. Rather, we speculate that Tra1/TRRAP might control the HAT, DUB, or ATPase enzymatic activities of SAGA and NuA4/TIP60. In *S. cerevisiae*, specific *tra1* mutants decrease SAGA or NuA4 HAT activities without affecting their integrity or recruitment [25,26]. In *S. pombe*, we found that Tra1 controls the interaction of the DUB module with SAGA [55]. Tra1/TRRAP might regulate these enzymatic activities by an allosteric mechanism, either through conformational changes within the complex or by controlling accessibility to nucleosomal substrates. Interestingly, although the topology of Tra1 is remarkably rigid [21,23], its contact point with the rest of SAGA appears very flexible [22]. Thus, the relative position of Tra1 to the other functional modules of SAGA might be regulated and used to dictate specific regulatory roles.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: Domain architecture and structural features of human PIKKs.

(A) Cartoons depicting the domain architecture (top, colored annotations) and structural features (bottom) of all six PIKKs from *Homo sapiens* (from top to bottom): TRRAP, DNA-PK, ATM, ATR, TOR, and SMG1. Residue numbers indicate the limits of each domain, which were defined based on the most recent structures available [17] and multiple alignments.

(B,C) Multiple alignments of the VAIK, DXXXXN, and DFG catalytic motifs from all human PIKKs (B) and of the corresponding regions from selected Tra1/TRRAP homologs (C), using Clustal Omega [83]. Residues that are identical or similar to the consensus sequence are shaded in black or grey background, respectively, using Boxshade 3.2. The canonical VAIK, DXXXXN, and DFG motifs are highlighted and are clearly absent from all Tra1/TRRAP orthologs and paralogs. (B) Multiple alignment of human ATR (Q13535), ATM (Q13315), TOR (P42345), SMG1 (Q96Q15), DNA-PK (PRKDC, P78527), TRRAP (Q9Y4A5), and one PI3K kinase (PI3KC3, Q8NEB9). (C) Multiple alignment of *S. pombe* Tra2 (Q10064), Tra1 (Q9HFE8), *S. cerevisiae* Tra1 (P38811), *Neurospora crassa* Tra1 (Q7S7K6), *Arabidopsis thaliana* Tra1 (F4IPJ1), *Homo sapiens* TRRAP (Q9Y4A5), *Mus musculus* Trrap (Q80YV3), *Danio rerio* Trrap (A0A0R4IPE4), and *Drosophila melanogaster* TRRAP (Nipped-A, Q818U7).

Figure 2: Phylogenetic distribution of PIKKs and of the TTT cochaperone.

(A) Conservation of the TTT complex subunits TELO2, TTI1, TTI2, and of the six PIKKs, TRRAP, DNA-PK (PRKDC), TOR, SMG1, ATM, and ATR across Eukaryotes. All TTT subunits are colored in green whereas each PIKK is colored independently. Orthologs that were not found are indicated by X. Numbers in colors indicate the number of paralogs found in a specific species or lineage when more than 2 were detected. ^aTwo copies in Taphrinomycotina; ^bonly found in Selaginellaceae; ^clow BLAST scores; ^donly in *Phytophthora infestans*; ^elack the DXXXXN and DFG motifs and are more closely related to the TRRAP cluster.

(B) TRRAP, DNA-PK (PRKDC), TOR, SMG1, ATM, and ATR PIKK subfamilies were already present in early eukaryotes. Gene models encoding PIKK kinase domains were retrieved in the following taxons: V: Vertebrates (*Homo sapiens*, NP_000042; NP_001175; NP_008835; CAC21449; NP_055907; NP_001231509), FA: Fungi Ascomycota (*Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, BAA33817.1; NP_595357; NP_596275; NP_595359; NP_595777; NP_592862), FM: Fungi Mucoromycota (*Bifiguratus adelaidae*, OZJ03251; OZJ02458; OZJ04327; OZJ04505; OZJ06116), A: Amoebozoa (*Dictyostelium discoideum*, XP_640504; XP_643468; XP_640629; XP_640856; XP_635176), AT: Archaeplastida Tracheophyta (*Selaginella moellendorffii*, EFJ08875; EFJ10333; EFJ09668; EFJ31213; EFJ26294; EFJ26034), AC: Archaeplastida Chlorophyta (*Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, XP_001693670; XP_001701957; XP_001698462; XP_001697578; PNW79003), E: Euglenozoa (*Leishmania major* strain Friedlin, CBZ11901; CAJ08666; CAJ08193; CAJ09256), H: Heterolobosea (*Naegleria gruberi*, XP_002682730; XP_002674657; XP_002674694; XP_002680550; XP_002680058; XP_002682330), P: Parabasalia (*Trichomonas vaginalis*, XP_001583998; XP_001324760; XP_001329568; XP_001317657), D: Diplomonanida (*Giardia intestinalis*, ESU36088). The tree was rooted with PI3K sequences (BAE06077, NP_594699, XP_001689631, XP_001683719, XP_636122). Note that *T. vaginalis* genome encodes additional PIKK sequences (2 ATR, 2 TRRAP and 36 TOR). The phylogenetic tree was deduced from multiple sequence alignment of the kinase/pseudokinase domain and processed by maximum-likelihood (PhyML) and bayesian (MrBayes) analyses. Only nodes of biological importance for PIKK clustering are indicated. Numbers in red represent PhyML bootstrap proportion (in %) and MrBayes posterior probability.

Figure 3: A dedicated chaperone machinery promotes the maturation and assembly of all PIKK kinases.

Shown is a working model of the HSP90-R2TP-TTT chaperone machinery promoting the maturation and/or assembly of PIKKs. See text for details. Their structures are shown either alone or within their respective complexes with, from top to bottom, SMG1 (EMD-2666 [84]),

1 TOR (PDB ID: 6BCX [85] for TORC1 complex and PDB ID: 5ZCS [86] for TORC2 complex),
2 TRRAP (EMD-3804 [22] for SAGA complex and PDB ID: 5Y81 model from EMD-6816 [45]
3 for the partial NuA4-TEEAA subcomplex), DNA-PK (PDB ID: 5LUQ [24]), ATM closed dimer
4 conformation (PDB ID: 5NP0 [87]), ATR (PDB ID: 5X6O [88] for Mec1^{ATR} in complex with
5 Ddc2^{ATRIP}). The dashed lines identify the PIKK. Images were taken either from the RCSB
6 PDB (rcsb.org) and processed using the NGL viewer [89] or directly from the EMDB
7 (emdataresource.org).

8

1 **Acknowledgments**

2 We thank members of the Helmlinger lab for stimulating discussions. The work in our
3 laboratory reviewed herein was supported by a postdoctoral fellowship from the Fondation
4 pour la Recherche Médicale to A.E.V and by funds from the CNRS (ATIP-Avenir), the FP7
5 Marie Curie Actions (FP7-PEOPLE-2012-CIG/COACTIVATOR), and the Agence Nationale
6 de la Recherche (ANR-15-CE12-0009-01 and ANR-15-CE11-0022-03) to D.H..

7 **Author contributions**

8 P.F. performed all phylogenetic analyses. A.E.V. and D.H. wrote the manuscript and all
9 authors read and approved the manuscript.

10 **Conflicts of interest**

11 The Authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest associated with the manuscript.

12

REFERENCES

- [1] Manning G, Whyte DB, Martinez R, Hunter T, Sudarsanam S. (2002) The protein kinase complement of the human genome. *Science*. **298**, 1912–1934.
- [2] Kwon A, Scott S, Taujale R, Yeung W, Kochut KJ, Eysers PA, et al. (2019) Tracing the origin and evolution of pseudokinases across the tree of life. *Sci. Signal*. **12**, eaav3810.
- [3] Boudeau J, Miranda-Saavedra D, Barton GJ, Alessi DR. (2006) Emerging roles of pseudokinases. *Trends Cell Biol*. **16**, 443–452.
- [4] Zeqiraj E, van Aalten DM. (2010) Pseudokinases-remnants of evolution or key allosteric regulators? *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol*. **20**, 772–781.
- [5] Eysers PA, Murphy JM. (2013) Exploring Kinomes: Pseudokinases and beyond: Dawn of the dead: Protein pseudokinases signal new adventures in cell biology. *Biochem. Soc. Trans*. **41**, 969–974.
- [6] Murphy JM, Zhang Q, Young SN, Reese ML, Bailey FP, Eysers PA, et al. (2014) A robust methodology to subclassify pseudokinases based on their nucleotide-binding properties. *Biochem. J*. **457**, 323–334.
- [7] Reiterer V, Eysers PA, Farhan H. (2014) Day of the dead: Pseudokinases and pseudophosphatases in physiology and disease. *Trends Cell Biol*. **24**, 489–505.
- [8] Jacobsen A V., Murphy JM. (2017) The secret life of kinases: insights into non-catalytic signalling functions from pseudokinases. *Biochem. Soc. Trans*. **45**, 665–681.
- [9] Sreelatha A, Yee SS, Lopez VA, Park BC, Kinch LN, Pilch S, et al. (2018) Protein AMPylation by an Evolutionarily Conserved Pseudokinase. *Cell*. **175**, 809–821.
- [10] Murphy JM, Farhan H, Eysers PA. (2017) Bio-Zombie: the rise of pseudoenzymes in biology. *Biochem. Soc. Trans*. **45**, 537–544.

- 1 [11] Jeffery CJ. (2019) The demise of catalysis, but new functions arise: pseudoenzymes
2 as the phoenixes of the protein world. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* **47**, 371–379.
- 3 [12] Kung JE, Jura N. (2019) Prospects for pharmacological targeting of pseudokinases.
4 *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **18**, 501–526.
- 5 [13] McMahon SB, Van Buskirk HA, Dugan KA, Copeland TD, Cole MD. (1998) The novel
6 ATM-related protein TRRAP is an essential cofactor for the c-Myc and E2F
7 oncoproteins. *Cell.* **94**, 363–374.
- 8 [14] Saleh A, Schieltz D, Ting N, McMahon SB, Litchfield DW, Yates JR, et al. (1998)
9 Tra1p is a component of the yeast Ada-Spt transcriptional regulatory complexes. *J.*
10 *Biol. Chem.* **273**, 26559–26565.
- 11 [15] Lempiäinen H, Halazonetis TD. (2009) Emerging common themes in regulation of
12 PIKKs and PI3Ks. *EMBO J.* **28**, 3067–73.
- 13 [16] Rivera-Calzada A, López-perrote A, Melero R, Boskovic J, Muñoz-Hernández H,
14 Martino F, et al. (2015) Structure and Assembly of the PI3K-like Protein Kinases
15 (PIKKs) Revealed by Electron Microscopy. *AIMS Biophys.* **2**, 36–57.
- 16 [17] Imseng S, Aylett CH, Maier T. (2018) Architecture and activation of
17 phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase related kinases. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **49**, 177–189.
- 18 [18] Hayashi T, Hatanaka M, Nagao K, Nakaseko Y, Kanoh J, Kokubu A, et al. (2007)
19 Rapamycin sensitivity of the *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* tor2 mutant and
20 organization of two highly phosphorylated TOR complexes by specific and common
21 subunits. *Genes to cells.* **12**, 1357–1370.
- 22 [19] Helmlinger D, Marguerat S, Villén J, Swaney DL, Gygi SP, Bähler J, et al. (2011) Tra1
23 has specific regulatory roles, rather than global functions, within the SAGA co-activator
24 complex. *EMBO J.* **30**, 2843–2852.
- 25 [20] Manning G, Reiner DS, Lauwaet T, Dacre M, Smith A, Zhai Y, et al. (2011) The
26 minimal kinome of *Giardia lamblia* illuminates early kinase evolution and unique

- 1 parasite biology. *Genome Biol.* **12**, R66.
- 2 [21] Díaz-Santín LM, Lukoyanova N, Aciyan E, Cheung AC. (2017) Cryo-EM structure of
3 the SAGA and NuA4 coactivator subunit Tra1 at 3.7 angstrom resolution. *Elife.* **6**, 1–
4 20.
- 5 [22] Sharov G, Voltz K, Durand A, Kolesnikova O, Papai G, Myasnikov AG, et al. (2017)
6 Structure of the transcription activator target Tra1 within the chromatin modifying
7 complex SAGA. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 1556.
- 8 [23] Cheung ACM, Díaz-Santín LM. (2018) Share and share alike: the role of Tra1 from the
9 SAGA and NuA4 coactivator complexes. *Transcription.* **00**, 1–7.
- 10 [24] Sibanda BL, Chirgadze DY, Ascher DB, Blundell TL. (2017) DNA-PKcs structure
11 suggests an allosteric mechanism modulating DNA double-strand break repair.
12 *Science.* **355**, 520–524.
- 13 [25] Mutiu AI, Hoke SM, Genereaux J, Liang G, Brandl CJ. (2007) The role of histone
14 ubiquitylation and deubiquitylation in gene expression as determined by the analysis of
15 an HTB1(K123R) *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strain. *Mol. Genet. Genomics.* **277**, 491–
16 506.
- 17 [26] Knutson BA, Hahn S. (2011) Domains of Tra1 important for activator recruitment and
18 transcription coactivator functions of SAGA and NuA4 complexes. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **31**,
19 818–831.
- 20 [27] Berg MD, Genereaux J, Karagiannis J, Brandl CJ. (2018) The Pseudokinase Domain
21 of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Tra1 Is Required for Nuclear Localization and
22 Incorporation into the SAGA and NuA4 Complexes. *G3 (Bethesda).* **8**, 1943–1957.
- 23 [28] Evers PA, Keeshan K, Kannan N. (2017) Tribbles in the 21st Century: The Evolving
24 Roles of Tribbles Pseudokinases in Biology and Disease. *Trends Cell Biol.* **27**, 284–
25 298.
- 26 [29] Foulkes DM, Byrne DP, Yeung W, Shrestha S, Bailey FP, Ferries S, et al. (2018)

- Covalent inhibitors of EGFR family protein kinases induce degradation of human Tribbles 2 (TRIB2) pseudokinase in cancer cells. *Sci. Signal.* **11**, eaat7951.
- [30] Jamieson SA, Ruan Z, Burgess AE, Curry JR, McMillan HD, Brewster JL, et al. (2018) Substrate binding allosterically relieves autoinhibition of the pseudokinase TRIB1. *Sci. Signal.* **11**, eaau0597.
- [31] Park J, Kunjibettu S, McMahon SB, Cole MD. (2001) The ATM-related domain of TRRAP is required for histone acetyltransferase recruitment and Myc-dependent oncogenesis. *Genes Dev.* **15**, 1619–1624.
- [32] Bouchard C, Dittrich O, Kiermaier A, Dohmann K, Menkel A, Eilers M, et al. (2001) Regulation of cyclin D2 gene expression by the Myc/Max/Mad network: Myc-dependent TRRAP recruitment and histone acetylation at the cyclin D2 promoter. *Genes Dev.* **15**, 2042–2047.
- [33] Deleu L, Shellard S, Alevizopoulos K, Amati B, Land H. (2001) Recruitment of trrap required for oncogenic transformation by E1A. *Oncogene.* **20**, 8270–8275.
- [34] Lang SE, McMahon SB, Cole MD, Hearing P. (2001) E2F Transcriptional Activation Requires TRRAP and GCN5 Cofactors. *J. Biol. Chem.* **276**, 32627–32634.
- [35] Ard PG, Chatterjee C, Kunjibettu S, Adside LR, Gralinski LE, McMahon SB. (2002) Transcriptional Regulation of the mdm2 Oncogene by p53 Requires TRRAP Acetyltransferase Complexes. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **22**, 5650–5661.
- [36] Lang SE, Hearing P. (2003) The adenovirus E1A oncoprotein recruits the cellular TRRAP/GCN5 histone acetyltransferase complex. *Oncogene.* **22**, 2836–2841.
- [37] Memedula S, Belmont AS. (2003) Sequential recruitment of HAT and SWI/SNF components to condensed chromatin by VP16. *Curr. Biol.* **13**, 241–246.
- [38] Lin L, Chamberlain L, Zhu LJ, Green MR. (2012) Analysis of Gal4-directed transcription activation using Tra1 mutants selectively defective for interaction with Gal4. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **109**, 1997–2002.

- 1 [39] Brown CE, Howe L, Sousa K, Alley SC, Carrozza MJ, Tan S, et al. (2001) Recruitment
2 of HAT complexes by direct activator interactions with the ATM-related Tra1 subunit.
3 Science. **292**, 2333–2337.
- 4 [40] Bhaumik SR, Green MR. (2001) SAGA is an essential in vivo target of the yeast acidic
5 activator Gal4p. Genes Dev. **15**, 1935–1945.
- 6 [41] Bhaumik SR, Raha T, Aiello DP, Green MR. (2004) In vivo target of a transcriptional
7 activator revealed by fluorescence resonance energy transfer. Genes Dev. **18**, 333–
8 343.
- 9 [42] Fishburn J, Mohibullah N, Hahn S. (2005) Function of a eukaryotic transcription
10 activator during the transcription cycle. Mol. Cell. **18**, 369–78.
- 11 [43] Reeves WM, Hahn S. (2005) Targets of the Gal4 Transcription Activator in Functional
12 Transcription Complexes. Mol. Cell. Biol. **25**, 9092–9102.
- 13 [44] Herbig E, Warfield L, Fish L, Fishburn J, Knutson BA, Moorefield B, et al. (2010)
14 Mechanism of Mediator recruitment by tandem Gcn4 activation domains and three
15 Gal11 activator-binding domains. Mol. Cell. Biol. **30**, 2376–2390.
- 16 [45] Wang X, Ahmad S, Zhang Z, Côté J, Cai G. (2018) Architecture of the *Saccharomyces*
17 *cerevisiae* NuA4/TIP60 complex. Nat. Commun. **9**, 1147.
- 18 [46] Tuttle LM, Pacheco D, Warfield L, Luo J, Ranish J, Hahn S, et al. (2018) Gcn4-
19 Mediator Specificity Is Mediated by a Large and Dynamic Fuzzy Protein-Protein
20 Complex. Cell Rep. **22**, 3251–3264.
- 21 [47] Grant PA, Schieltz D, Pray-Grant MG, Yates JR, Workman JL. (1998) The ATM-
22 related cofactor Tra1 is a component of the purified SAGA complex. Mol. Cell. **2**, 863–
23 867.
- 24 [48] Vassilev A, Yamauchi J, Kotani T, Prives C, Avantaggiati ML, Qin J, et al. (1998) The
25 400 kDa subunit of the PCAF histone acetylase complex belongs to the ATM
26 superfamily. Mol. Cell. **2**, 869–875.

- 1 [49] Allard S, Utley RT, Savard J, Clarke A, Grant P, Brandl CJ, et al. (1999) NuA4, an
2 essential transcription adaptor/histone H4 acetyltransferase complex containing Esa1p
3 and the ATM-related cofactor Tra1p. *EMBO J.* **18**, 5108–19.
- 4 [50] Koutelou E, Hirsch CL, Dent SY. (2010) Multiple faces of the SAGA complex. *Curr.*
5 *Opin. Cell Biol.* **22**, 374–382.
- 6 [51] Helmlinger D, Tora L. (2017) Sharing the SAGA. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **42**, 850–861.
- 7 [52] Lu PY, Lévesque N, Kobor MS. (2009) NuA4 and SWR1-C: two chromatin-modifying
8 complexes with overlapping functions and components. *Biochem. Cell Biol.* **87**, 799–
9 815.
- 10 [53] Calonge TM, Eshaghi M, Liu J, Ronai Z, O'Connell MJ. (2010)
11 Transformation/transcription domain-associated protein (TRRAP)-mediated regulation
12 of Wee1. *Genetics.* **185**, 81–93.
- 13 [54] Helmlinger D. (2012) New insights into the SAGA complex from studies of the Tra1
14 subunit in budding and fission yeast. *Transcription.* **3**, 13–18.
- 15 [55] Elias-Villalobos A, Toullec D, Faux C, Lledo G, Seveno M, Helmlinger D. (2019)
16 Chaperone-mediated ordered assembly of the SAGA transcription complex. *bioRxiv.*
- 17 [56] Setiাপutra D, Ross JD, Lu S, Cheng DT, Dong MQ, Yip CK. (2015) Conformational
18 flexibility and subunit arrangement of the modular yeast Spt-Ada-Gcn5
19 acetyltransferase complex. *J. Biol. Chem.* **290**, 10057–10070.
- 20 [57] Han Y, Luo J, Ranish J, Hahn S. (2014) Architecture of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*
21 SAGA transcription coactivator complex. *EMBO J.* **33**, 2534–46.
- 22 [58] Setiাপutra D, Ahmad S, Dalwadi U, Steunou A-L, Lu S, Ross JD, et al. (2018)
23 Molecular architecture of the essential yeast histone acetyltransferase complex NuA4
24 redefines its multi-modularity. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **38**, 1–15.
- 25 [59] Mutiu AI, Hoke SMT, Genereaux J, Hannam C, MacKenzie K, Jobin-Robitaille O, et al.

- (2007) Structure/function analysis of the phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase domain of yeast Tra1. *Genetics*. **177**, 151–166.
- [60] Hoke SM, Irina Mutiu A, Genereaux J, Kvas S, Buck M, Yu M, et al. (2010) Mutational analysis of the C-terminal FATC domain of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Tra1. *Curr. Genet.* **56**, 447–465.
- [61] Takai H, Wang RC, Takai KK, Yang H, de Lange T. (2007) Tel2 Regulates the Stability of PI3K-Related Protein Kinases. *Cell*. **131**, 1248–1259.
- [62] Lustig AJ, Petes TD. (1986) Identification of yeast mutants with altered telomere structure. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **83**, 1398–402.
- [63] Kanoh J, Yanagida M. (2007) Tel2: a common partner of PIK-related kinases and a link between DNA checkpoint and nutritional response? *Genes to cells*. **12**, 1301–1304.
- [64] Anderson CM, Korkin D, Smith DL, Makovets S, Seidel JJ, Sali A, et al. (2008) Tel2 mediates activation and localization of ATM/Tel1 kinase to a double-strand break. *Genes Dev.* **22**, 854–859.
- [65] Takai H, Xie Y, de Lange T, Pavletich NP. (2010) Tel2 structure and function in the Hsp90-dependent maturation of mTOR and ATR complexes. *Genes Dev.* **24**, 2019–2030.
- [66] Hurov KE, Cotta-Ramusino C, Elledge SJ. (2010) A genetic screen identifies the Triple T complex required for DNA damage signaling and ATM and ATR stability. *Genes Dev.* **24**, 1939–1950.
- [67] Kaizuka T, Hara T, Oshiro N, Kikkawa U, Yonezawa K, Takehana K, et al. (2010) Tti1 and Tel2 are critical factors in mammalian target of rapamycin complex assembly. *J. Biol. Chem.* **285**, 20109–20116.
- [68] Izumi N, Yamashita A, Hirano H, Ohno S. (2012) Heat shock protein 90 regulates phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase-related protein kinase family proteins together with the

- 1 RUVBL1/2 and Tel2-containing co-factor complex. *Cancer Sci.* **103**, 50–57.
- 2 [69] Ahmed S, Alpi A, Hengartner MO, Gartner A. (2001) *C. elegans* RAD-5/CLK-2 defines
3 a new DNA damage checkpoint protein. *Curr. Biol.* **11**, 1934–1944.
- 4 [70] Kim SG, Hoffman GR, Poulogiannis G, Buel GR, Jang YJ, Lee KW, et al. (2013)
5 Metabolic stress controls mTORC1 lysosomal localization and dimerization by
6 regulating the TTT-RUVBL1/2 complex. *Mol. Cell.* **49**, 172–85.
- 7 [71] Shikata M, Ishikawa F, Kanoh J. (2007) Tel2 is required for activation of the Mrc1-
8 mediated replication checkpoint. *J. Biol. Chem.* **282**, 5346–5355.
- 9 [72] Hoffman KS, Duennwald ML, Karagiannis J, Genereaux J, Alexander S, Brandl CJ.
10 (2016) *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Tti2 regulates PIKK proteins and stress response.
11 *G3 (Bethesda)*. **6**, 1649–1659.
- 12 [73] Shevchenko AA, Roguev A, Schaft D, Buchanan L, Habermann B, Sakalar C, et al.
13 (2008) Chromatin Central: towards the comparative proteome by accurate mapping of
14 the yeast proteomic environment. *Genome Biol.* **9**, R167.
- 15 [74] Inoue H, Sugimoto S, Takeshita Y, Takeuchi M, Hatanaka M, Nagao K, et al. (2017)
16 CK2 phospho-independent assembly of the Tel2-associated stress-signaling
17 complexes in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *Genes to Cells.* **22**, 59–70.
- 18 [75] Genereaux J, Kvas S, Dobransky D, Karagiannis J, Gloor GB, Brandl CJ. (2012)
19 Genetic evidence links the ASTRA protein chaperone component Tti2 to the SAGA
20 transcription factor Tra1. *Genetics.* **191**, 765–780.
- 21 [76] Schopf FH, Biebl MM, Buchner J. (2017) The HSP90 chaperone machinery. *Nat. Rev.*
22 *Mol. Cell Biol.* **18**, 345–360.
- 23 [77] Verba KA, Agard DA. (2017) How Hsp90 and Cdc37 Lubricate Kinase Molecular
24 Switches. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **42**, 799–811.
- 25 [78] Houry WA, Bertrand E, Coulombe B. (2018) The PAQosome, an R2TP-Based

- 1 Chaperone for Quaternary Structure Formation. Trends Biochem. Sci. **43**, 4–9.
- 2 [79] Sugimoto K. (2018) Branching the Tel2 pathway for exact fit on phosphatidylinositol 3-
3 kinase-related kinases. Curr. Genet. **64**, 965–970.
- 4 [80] Goto GH, Ogi H, Biswas H, Ghosh A, Tanaka S, Sugimoto K. (2017) Two separate
5 pathways regulate protein stability of ATM/ATR-related protein kinases Mec1 and Tel1
6 in budding yeast. PLoS Genet. **13**, 1–22.
- 7 [81] Hammarsten O, Chu G. (1998) DNA-dependent protein kinase: DNA binding and
8 activation in the absence of Ku. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **95**, 525–30.
- 9 [82] Aggarwal-Howarth S, Scott JD. (2017) Pseudoscaffolds and anchoring proteins: the
10 difference is in the details. Biochem. Soc. Trans. **45**, 371–379.
- 11 [83] Madeira F, Park Y mi, Lee J, Buso N, Gur T, Madhusoodanan N, et al. (2019) The
12 EMBL-EBI search and sequence analysis tools APIs in 2019. Nucleic Acids Res. **47**,
13 W636–W641.
- 14 [84] Melero R, Uchiyama A, Castaño R, Kataoka N, Kurosawa H, Ohno S, et al. (2014)
15 Structures of SMG1-UPFs complexes: SMG1 contributes to regulate UPF2-dependent
16 activation of UPF1 in NMD. Structure. **22**, 1105–1119.
- 17 [85] Yang H, Jiang X, Li B, Yang HJ, Miller M, Yang A, et al. (2017) Mechanisms of
18 mTORC1 activation by RHEB and inhibition by PRAS40. Nature. **552**, 368–373.
- 19 [86] Chen X, Liu M, Tian Y, Li J, Qi Y, Zhao D, et al. (2018) Cryo-EM structure of human
20 mTOR complex 2. Cell Res. **28**, 518–528.
- 21 [87] Baretić D, Pollard HK, Fisher DI, Johnson CM, Santhanam B, Truman CM, et al.
22 (2017) Structures of closed and open conformations of dimeric human ATM. Sci. Adv.
23 **3**, e1700933.
- 24 [88] Wang X, Ran T, Zhang X, Xin J, Zhang Z, Wu T, et al. (2017) 3.9 Å structure of the
25 yeast Mec1-Ddc2 complex, a homolog of human ATR-ATRIP. Science. **358**, 1206–

1 1209.

2 [89] Rose AS, Bradley AR, Valasatava Y, Duarte JM, Prlic A, Rose PW. (2018) NGL

3 viewer: Web-based molecular graphics for large complexes. *Bioinformatics*. **34**, 3755–

4 3758.

5

6

A

B

ATR	GSDGKFFYIMMCKPKDDL-2332	RSAYCRSTAVMSMVGYYILGLGDRHGENILFDLSLTGECVHVDENCLFNKGE-2503	
ATM	GSDGKERROLVKGRDDL-2722	RLAYTRSVATSSIVGYIILGLGDRHVQNILINEQSAELVHIDLGVAFEEQKG-2898	
TOR	GSNGHEFVFLKGHEDL-2192	RTNYTRSLAVMSMVGYYILGLGDRHPSNMLDLRLSGKILHIDFGDCFEVAM-2366	
SMG1	GSDGKSYPYLFKGLDDL-2160	TQSYARSTAVMSMVGYYIILGLGDRHLDNVLDMTTGEVVDHIDYNVCEEGK-2363	
DNA-PK	GHDEREHPFLVKGGEDL-3758	RSHFASSHALICISHWILGTGDRHLNNFMVAMETGGVIGIDFGHAEFSAT-3919	
TRRAP	GHNGKIYPYLVMDACL-3536	RKMFTIQLALIGFAEFVLHLNRLNPEMLQIAQDTGKLVNAYFRFDINDAT-3718	
PI3Kc	TEDGGKYPVIFKHGDDL-641	MDTYVKSCAGYCVITYILGVGDRHLDNLLLT-KTGKLFHIDFGYILGRDP-771	
	VAIK (ATP binding)	DXXXN (catalytic loop)	DFG (Mg ²⁺ binding)

C

SpTra2	GYDGKLYPFALQYPATR-3321	RRFTTQYAYMIIMTYVFNIGGRSPQKLFIVKDSGQV---MSQDL-3514	
SpTra1	SNGGTIHPFVIOYPSAR-3368	RKNFSYQYACFSFITYIILSINNRIIPAKIVFSRDSGGV---WTTEA-3557	
ScTra1	GHDGSVHSFAVQYPAVR-3411	RKQFASQYSSFFMSYMMMINNRTPHKIHVDKTSNGV---FTLEM-3586	
NcTra1	GHDGSVHSFAVQHPAAR-3572	RRRFYSYQLAALTEMTYIILHIDKRYPHKFNIARGSGNI---WGSEL-3753	
AfTra1	GSDGSQKHFIQVOTSLTP-3522	KKQFAVOLAVSSFMFMLQIGGRSPNPKVLEAKNTGKM---FQTD-3697	
HsTRRAP	GHNGKIYPYLVMDACL-3536	RKMFTIQLALIGFAEFVLHLNRLNPEMLQIAQDTGKLVNAYFRFDI-3714	
MmTrrap	GHNGKIYPYLVMDACL-3524	RKMFTIQLALIGFAEFVLHLNRLNPEMLQIAQDTGKLVNAYFRFDI-3702	
DrTrrap	GHNGKIYPYLVMDACL-3500	RKMFTIQLALIGFAEFVLHLNRLNPEMLQIAQDTGKLVNAYFRFDI-3678	
DmTrrap	GTNGKIYPYLVLDLSDGL-3478	RKMLTLQLALAFLEHALNLTRLNADMMYLVHQSGLMNI SYFKFDV-3656	

A

B