Zika Virus in Gabon (Central Africa) -2007: A New Threat from Aedes albopictus?

Gilda Grard, Mélanie Caron, Illich Manfred Mombo, Dieudonné Nkoghé, Statiana Mboui Ondo, Davy Jiolle, Didier Fontenille, Christophe Paupy, Eric Leroy

To cite this version:
Gilda Grard, Mélanie Caron, Illich Manfred Mombo, Dieudonné Nkoghé, Statiana Mboui Ondo, et al.. Zika Virus in Gabon (Central Africa) -2007: A New Threat from Aedes albopictus?. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases, Public Library of Science, 2014, 8 (2), pp.e2681. 10.1371/journal.pntd.0002681. hal-02394373

HAL Id: hal-02394373
https://hal.umontpellier.fr/hal-02394373
Submitted on 4 Dec 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L’archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d’enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License
Zika Virus in Gabon (Central Africa) – 2007: A New Threat from *Aedes albopictus*?

Gilda Grard¹*, Mélanie Caron¹,², Illich Manfred Mombo¹,², Dieudonné Nkoghe¹,³, Statiana Mboui Ondo¹, Davy Jiolle²,⁴, Didier Fontenille², Christophe Paupy²,⁴, Eric Maurice Leroy¹,²

1 UMVE, Centre International de Recherches Médicales de Franceville, Franceville, Gabon, 2 MIVEGEC, Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD)-224, CNRS-5290, Universités de Montpellier 1 & 2, Montpellier, France, 3 Ministère de la Santé Publique, Libreville, Gabon, 4 URES, CIRMF, Franceville, Gabon

Abstract

**Background:** Chikungunya and dengue viruses emerged in Gabon in 2007, with large outbreaks primarily affecting the capital Libreville and several northern towns. Both viruses subsequently spread to the south-east of the country, with new outbreaks occurring in 2010. The mosquito species *Aedes albopictus*, that was known as a secondary vector for both viruses, recently invaded the country and was the primary vector involved in the Gabonese outbreaks. We conducted a retrospective study of human sera and mosquitoes collected in Gabon from 2007 to 2010, in order to identify other circulating arboviruses.

**Methodology/Principal Findings:** Sample collections, including 4312 sera from patients presenting with painful febrile disease, and 4665 mosquitoes belonging to 9 species, split into 247 pools (including 137 pools of *Aedes albopictus*), were screened with molecular biology methods. Five human sera and two *Aedes albopictus* pools, all sampled in an urban setting during the 2007 outbreak, were positive for the flavivirus Zika (ZIKV). The ratio of *Aedes albopictus* pools positive for ZIKV was similar to that positive for dengue virus during the concomitant dengue outbreak suggesting similar mosquito infection rates and, presumably, underlying a human ZIKV outbreak. ZIKV sequences from the envelope and NS3 genes were amplified from a human serum sample. Phylogenetic analysis placed the Gabonese ZIKV at a basal position in the African lineage, pointing to ancestral genetic diversification and spread.

**Conclusions/Significance:** We provide the first direct evidence of human ZIKV infections in Gabon, and its first occurrence in the Asian tiger mosquito, *Aedes albopictus*. These data reveal an unusual natural life cycle for this virus, occurring in an urban environment, and potentially representing a new emerging threat due to this novel association with a highly invasive vector whose geographic range is still expanding across the globe.
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**Introduction**

Zika virus (ZIKV) is a mosquito-borne flavivirus phylogenetically related to dengue viruses. Following its first isolation in 1947 from a sentinel monkey placed in the Zika forest in Uganda [1], serological surveys and viral isolations (reviewed in [2]) suggested that ZIKV (i) ranged widely throughout Africa and Asia, and (ii) circulated according to a zoonotic cycle involving non-human primates and a broad spectrum of potential mosquito vector species.

In Africa, ZIKV has been isolated from humans in western and central countries such as Senegal, Nigeria, Central African Republic and Uganda [3–7]. Serological surveys (reviewed in [2]) suggested that its geographic range might extend not only to other West and Central African countries (Sierra Leone, Cameroon, Gabon), but also to eastern (Ethiopia, Kenya, Tanzania and Somalia) and northern Africa (Egypt). ZIKV has also been isolated from mosquitoes collected in Senegal, Ivory Coast, Burkina Faso, Central African Republic and Uganda [1,6,8,9]. These mosquitoes mainly belonged to sylvan or rural species of the genus *Aedes*, and more precisely to the *Aedimorphus*, *Diceromyia* and *Stegomyia* subgenera. The virus has also been isolated in West Africa (Burkina Faso, Senegal and Ivory Coast) [6,9] and Asia [10] from *Aedes aegypti*, a species being considered the main ZIKV epidemic vector outside Africa [11]. Moreover, *Ae. aegypti* was shown experimentally to be an efficient ZIKV vector [12–14].

Despite its apparent broad geographic distribution in Africa and Asia, only sporadic cases of human ZIKV infection have been reported. This virus received little attention until its sudden emergence in Yap Island (Micronesia) in 2007, which involved about 5000 persons [15,16], revealing its epidemic capacity. Patients develop a mild dengue-like syndrome, including fever, headache, rash, arthralgia and conjunctivitis. This clinical similarity with other, more commonly diagnosed arboviral infections such as chikungunya (CHIKV) and dengue (DENV), might delay the diagnosis and/or lead to underestimation of ZIKV infections.
Author Summary

Not previously considered an important human arboviral pathogen, the epidemic capacity of Zika virus (ZIKV, a dengue-related flavivirus) was revealed by the Micronesian outbreak in 2007, which affected about 5000 persons. Widely distributed throughout tropical areas of Asia and Africa, ZIKV is transmitted by a broad range of mosquito species, most of which are sylvatic or rural, Aedes aegypti, an anthropophilic and urban species, being considered the main ZIKV epidemic vector. In a context of emerging arbovirus infections (chikungunya (CHIKV) and dengue (DENV)) in Gabon since 2007, we conducted a retrospective study to detect other, related viruses. In samples collected during the concurrent CHIKV/DENV outbreaks that occurred in the capital city in 2007, we detected ZIKV in both humans and mosquitoes, and notably the Asian mosquito Aedes albopictus that recently invaded the country and was the main vector responsible for these outbreaks. We found that the Gabonese ZIKV strain belonged to the African lineage, and phylogenetic analysis suggested ancestral diversification and spread rather than recent introduction. These findings, showing for the first time epidemic ZIKV activity in an urban environment in Central Africa and the presence of ZIKV in the invasive mosquito Aedes albopictus, raise the possibility of a new emerging threat to human health.

Here, we report the first direct evidence of ZIKV epidemic activity in Central Africa, and its occurrence in an urban environment during concomitant CHIKV/DENV outbreaks in Libreville, the capital of Gabon, in 2007. We also report the first detection of ZIKV in the Asian tiger mosquito, Aedes albopictus. These findings, together with the global geographic expansion of this invasive species and its increasing importance as epidemic vector of arboviruses as exemplified by CHIKV adaptation, suggest that the prerequisites for the emergence and global spread of Zika virus may soon be satisfied.

Materials and Methods

Study

In 2007 and 2010, Gabon recorded simultaneous outbreaks of CHIKV (genus Alphavirus) and DENV (genus Flavivirus) infections. The 2007 outbreaks primarily affected Libreville, the capital of Gabon, and subsequently extended northwards to several other towns [17], while the 2010 outbreaks occurred in the south-eastern provinces [18]. To detect other circulating arboviruses, we conducted a retrospective study based on molecular screening of 4312 sera from symptomatic patients presenting to healthcare centers; 24.7% of the samples were obtained during the 2007 outbreaks, 9.7% during the inter-epidemic period, and 65.5% during the 2010 outbreaks (data not shown). We also analyzed a collection of 4665 mosquitoes captured during the same period and split into 247 pools according to the species, date and sampling site (Table 1, see [18] and [19] for the details of the methodology used for mosquito trapping).

Ethics statement

The Centre International de Recherches Médicales de Franceville (CIRMF) and the Gabonese Ministry of Health cooperated in the 2007 and 2010 outbreak response and management, that included blood sampling for laboratory diagnostic and epidemiological study. The study was approved by our Institutional review board (Conseil scientifique du CIRMF).

Symptomatic patients presented to health care centers for medical examination. All patients were informed that blood sampling was required for laboratory diagnosis of suspected acute infections, such as malaria, dengue or chikungunya fever. During the two outbreaks, given the urgency of diagnosis, only oral consent was obtained for blood sampling and was approved by the institutional review board. However during the active surveillance study that was performed between the two outbreaks (described in reference [18]), written consent could be obtained.

Virus identification and characterization

Primary molecular screening was based on hemi-nested reverse-transcription PCR (hRT-PCR) with the generic primers PF1S/ PF2Rbis/PF3S targeting highly conserved motifs in the flavivirus polymerase (NS5) gene (280-bp) [20]. Yellow fever virus RNA (vaccinal strain 17D) was used as a positive control. A second screening was performed with a ZIKV-specific real-time PCR method using the primers-probe system ZIKV-1086/ZIKV-1162v/ZIKV-1107-FAM [16], also targeting a short sequence (160 bp) of the NS5 gene.

Virus isolation was attempted on the Vero and C6/36 cell lines but was unsuccessful, presumably because of low viral titers (despite two patients presenting only 1 and 4 days after symptom onset), and unsuitable initial storage conditions. To further characterize the Gabonese ZIKV strains, partial envelope (E) (841 bp) and NS3 (772 bp) gene sequences were amplified by conventional nested RT-PCR with specific primers derived from published ZIKV sequences. The primer pairs targeting the E gene were ZIK-ES1 (TTGGAAGAYGGDTGTGAAYTTCTGG)/ZIK-ER1 (CCYCCRACTGATCRAARTCCCA) and ZIK-ES2 (GGGAGYTGCTGTGACTGYYGCAACTGT)/ZIK-ER2 (CCYATGATGCTTCCACTCCATTG). The primers for NS3 amplification were ZIK-NS3F (GGTCGTTCTCCACA- CYATGATGCGCGGTG)/ZIK-NS3R (TTTCCTGCGCTAT- RGGYCCYCTCCCTCGRKGCAGC) and ZIK-X1 (AGAGTGA TAGGACTCTATGG)/ZIK-X2 (GTTGGCCRCCTCATTCT- GARATGTCA).

Phylogenetic analysis

The E and NS3 sequences obtained from one Gabonese patient were concatenated and analyzed using a set of previously published ZIKV sequences. Phylogenetic relationships were reconstructed with the maximum likelihood algorithm implemented in PhyML [21] (available at http://www.atgc-montpellier.fr/ phylm/) with best of NNI (Nearest Neighbor Interchange) and SPR (Subtree Pruning and Regrafting) criteria for tree topology searching, and the GTR model of nucleotide substitutions. The Gamma distribution of rate heterogeneity was set to 4 categories, with a proportion of invariant sites and an alpha parameter estimated from the dataset. Branch support was assessed from 100 bootstrap replicates. Tree reconstructions were also performed by Bayesian inference with MrBayes v3.2 [22] under the GTR+I+G model of nucleotide substitutions, and with the distance neighbor-joining method [23] implemented in MEGA5 [24] with confidence levels estimated for 1000 replicates. To test for phylogenetic discrepancies, tree reconstructions were also performed independently from the envelope dataset and the NS3 dataset with PhyML according to the parameters described above.

The resulting trees were visualized with the FigTree software (Available at: http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/), and rooted on midpoint for clarity. The Genbank accession numbers for the Gabonese ZIKV strain are KF270886 (envelope) and KF270887 (NS3).
Results

Molecular screening

The NS5 PCR products were sequenced, resulting in the first ZIKV RNA detection in a human sample (Cocobeach) and in two Ae. albopictus pools (Libreville) collected during the 2007 outbreaks. Real-time PCR was then performed, leading to the detection of four additional positive human samples, collected in 2007 in four suburbs of Libreville (Diba-Diba, Nzeng-Ayong, PK8, PK9) (Figure 1). No ZIKV was detected during the inter-epidemic period or during the 2010 outbreaks.

Clinical description

Clinical information was available for only one ZIKV-positive patient, who had mild arthralgia, subjective fever, headache, rash, mild asthenia, myalgia, diarrhea and vomiting. No information was available on this patient’s outcome. Cycle threshold values for human blood samples were high (>37 cycles), suggesting low viral loads (data not shown).

Vector involvement

Aedes albopictus was the predominant species collected, accounting for 55.4% of the mosquito pools, while Aedes aegypti accounted for 18.2% (Table 1). The other mosquito species consisted of members of the Aedes simpsoni complex, Anopheles gambiae, Mansonia africana, Mansonia uniformis, Culex quinquefasciatus, Eretmapodites quinquevittatus and unidentified Culex species. Positive mosquito pools were captured from two suburbs (Nzeng-Ayong and Alenkiri) where Aedes albopictus was the predominant species (Figure 1, Table 1).

Table 1. Mosquito collections screened for Zika virus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Pools</th>
<th>Mos.</th>
<th>Id. (No.)</th>
<th>ZIKV</th>
<th>CHIKV</th>
<th>DENV</th>
<th>Libreville suburb</th>
<th>Pool.</th>
<th>Mos.</th>
<th>Pool. (%)</th>
<th>Mos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aedes albopictus</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>2130</td>
<td>T64 (21)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Nzeng-Ayong</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>137 (55.4)</td>
<td>2701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T713 (25)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Alenkiri</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T707 (25)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Alenkiri</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T717 (25)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Alenkiri</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T723 (25)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Alenkiri</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T724 (6)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Alenkiri</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T21 (25)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Avorembam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T22 (25)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Avorembam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T280 (1)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Bel-Air</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aedes aegypti*</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>853</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>45 (18.2)</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aedes simpsoni complex</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>15 (6.1)</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anopheles gambiae*</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mansonia africana</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mansonia uniformis*</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culex quinquefasciatus*</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>690</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>690</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culex spp.*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eretmapodites quinquevittatus*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>4004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>58</td>
<td>661</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>4665</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*(Species in which Zika virus has previously been detected.

(%) The percentage of each mosquito species in the collection is indicated in brackets. Mos.: Number of mosquitoes included in a pool. Id. (No.): Mosquito pool positive for ZIKV, CHIKV or DENV, followed by the total number of included mosquitoes in the pool indicated in brackets.

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0002681.t001

Sequences analysis

As isolation on the Vero and C6/36 cell lines failed, the Gabonese ZIKV strain was further characterized by partial sequencing of the E and NS3 genes. Phylogenetic analysis was performed on concatenated E and NS3 sequences from one Cocobeach serum sample. The resulting tree topology (Figure 2) was similar to that previously obtained from the complete coding sequences, corroborating Asian and African distinct lineages [2]. The African lineage was further split into two groups, one containing the genetic variants of the MR766 strain (Uganda, 1947) and the second including West African strains (Nigeria, 1968; Senegal, 1984) and the new ZIKV sequence from Gabon, at a basal position. Phylogenetic trees derived from the E and NS3 partial sequences resulted in a similar topology, apart from the weakly supported branching pattern for the MR766 variant DQ859059, oscillating between the two African sister groups (Supporting Figure S1). The deletions in potential glycosylation sites previously reported for the Nigerian ZIKV strain and two variants of the Ugandan strain MR766 (sequences AY632535 and DQ859059) [2] were absent from the Gabonese ZIKV sequence.

Discussion

Evidence of human ZIKV infections in Central Africa is limited to one isolate from RCA in 1991 [6] and two serological surveys...
performed 50 years ago in Gabon [25,26]. No report of human ZIKV infections was made in other countries of the Congo basin forest block, despite probable circulation through a sylvan natural cycle. We provide here the first direct evidence of human ZIKV infections in Gabon, as well as its occurrence in an urban transmission cycle, and the probable role of *Ae. albopictus* as an epidemic vector.

**Figure 1. Geographic distribution of Zika and chikungunya and/or dengue viruses infections in Gabon in 2007.** The left-hand panel indicates Gabonese CHIKV and/or DENV cases in green circles and ZIKV cases in purple circles. The right-hand panel shows the location of Libreville suburbs where ZIKV-positive human sera (H) and mosquito pools (M) were detected. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0002681.g001

**Figure 2. Phylogenetic relationships between concatenated sequences of the Zika virus envelope and NS3 genes.** The tree was constructed with the maximum likelihood algorithm implemented in PhyML and rooted on midpoint. Bootstrap values are shown at the respective nodes, followed by bootstrap values resulting from NJ analysis and, finally, the posterior probability resulting from Bayesian analysis. The scale bar indicates the number of substitutions per site. The GenBank accession numbers for the 2007 Gabonese ZIKV isolate are KF270886 (envelope) and KF270887 (NS3). doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0002681.g002
Our phylogenetic results are in agreement with the tree topology previously obtained with complete coding sequences of ZIKV strains, showing an African lineage and an Asian lineage [2]. The branching pattern obtained here suggests that ZIKV emergence in Gabon did not result from strain importation but rather from the diversification and spread of an ancestral strain belonging to the African lineage. The identification of ZIKV in two different localities of Gabon (Cocobeach and Libreville) suggests that the virus was widespread rather than restricted to a single epidemic focus. The simultaneous occurrence of human and mosquito infections in Libreville also suggests that the virus circulated in 2007 in an epidemic cycle rather than as isolated cases introduced from sylvan cycles.

Of note, ZIKV transmission occurred here in a previously undocumented urban cycle, supporting the potential for urbanization suggested in 2010 by Weaver and Reisen [27]. While some mosquito species (including *Ae. aegypti*) previously found to be associated with ZIKV, were captured and tested here, only *Ae. albopictus* pools were positive for this virus. Moreover, this species largely outnumbered *Ae. aegypti* in the suburbs of Libreville where human cases were detected, suggesting that *Ae. albopictus* played a major role in ZIKV transmission in Libreville.

The ratio of ZIKV-positive *Ae. albopictus* pools is similar to that reported for DENV-positive pools, suggesting that these two viruses infect similar proportions of mosquitoes. The small number of recorded human ZIKV cases, by comparison with DENV cases, may be due to the occurrence of subclinical forms of ZIKV infections that did not required medical attention. Thus, an underlying ZIKV epidemic transmission might have been masked by concomitant CHIKV/DENV outbreaks.

The natural histories of CHIKV and ZIKV display several similarities. Before the large Indian Ocean outbreaks in 2005–2007, chikungunya fever was a neglected arboviral disease. Both viruses are phylogenetically closely related to African viruses [28–30]. In Asia, both viruses are largely indistinguishable from each other [5,31]. In Asia, both viruses are thought to circulate mainly in a human-mosquito cycle involving non-human primates and a wide variety of mosquito species, human outbreaks presumably being mediated by *Ae. aegypti* [5,31]. Together with the recent Yap Island outbreak, this prompted some researchers to re-examine the susceptibility of *Ae. aegypti* to ZIKV infection [14]. However, it must be noted that the vector of the Yap Island outbreak was not definitively identified since the predominant potential vector species *Aedes hensilli* remained negative [15], and that ZIKV has been isolated only once from *Ae. aegypti* in Asia [10], so that its vector status in *natura* is not confirmed.

Additionally, a ZIKV enzootic transmission cycle involving non-human primates in Asia and sylvatic vectors cannot be ruled out as suggested by serologic studies carried on orangutang [32,33]. Finally both CHIKV and ZIKV have shown their ability to adapt to a new vector, *Ae. albopictus*, upon introduction in an environment where their primary vector was outnumbered. This mosquito species being native to South-East Asia, our findings may help to explain human ZIKV transmission in Asia.

*Aedes albopictus* was first introduced in Africa in 1991 [34] and detected in Gabon in 2007, where its invasion likely contributed to the emergence of CHIKV and DENV in this country [17–19,35]. Multiple lines of evidence supporting its increasing impact as an arboviral vector have also been obtained during CHIKV outbreaks in the Indian Ocean region (2005–2007) and in Italy (2007) [36,37] through viral evolutionary convergence of *Ae. albopictus* adaptive mutations [39–41]. Whether or not the transmission of ZIKV in Central Africa was also link to such an adaptive mutation of ZIKV to *Ae. albopictus* cannot be answered at this stage. Wong and colleagues [42] have just demonstrated experimentally that *Ae. albopictus* strains from Singapore were orally receptive to the Ugandan strain of ZIKV sampled in 1947, suggesting that this virus-vector association in Africa may have been previously prevented because the required ecological conditions did not yet exist. However, given the relatively low ZIKV viral loads previously reported in patients - with an order of magnitude of 10^5 copies/ml compared to 10^2 to 10^3 copies/ml for CHIKV [16,18,40] - the oral infectivity for *Ae. albopictus* may seem at least as critical as it was for CHIKV in establishing this new human-mosquito cycle.

Why ZIKV has not yet been detected in the areas where DENV and CHIKV have already spread via *Ae. albopictus* is unclear, but it may be an ongoing process which we are just starting to detect. The spread of CHIKV reflects the ability of arboviruses to adapt to alternative hosts, and the resulting public health concerns in both developed and developing countries. Is ZIKV the next virus to succeed CHIKV as an emerging global threat? The increasing geographic range of *Ae. albopictus* in Africa, Europe, and the Americas [34,36,43,44], together with the ongoing ZIKV outbreak in French Polynesia at the time of writing [45] suggest this possibility should be seriously considered. Analysis of sylvan and urban transmission cycles, together with viral genetics and vector competence studies, are now required to assess (i) how ZIKV is able to establish a sustainable transmission cycle involving this new vector in Central Africa, (ii) vector(s)-virus relationships in Asia, and (iii) the risk of importation and spread to new areas where *Ae. albopictus* occurs as well.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Phylogenetic trees reconstructed from the E and NS3 datasets. Analyses were performed with the maximum likelihood algorithm implemented in PhyML and parameters set as described in the Methods section. Trees are rooted on midpoint. (TIF)
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