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Preparation of CeSiO₄ from aqueous precursors under soft hydrothermal conditions

Paul Estevenon, Eléonore Welcomme, Stephanie Szenknect, Adel Mesbah, Philippe Moisy, Christophe Poinssot, Nicolas Dacheux

Even though CeSiO₄ was synthesized one time through a hydrothermal treatment, the conditions leading to its formation remain largely unknown. In order to define the optimized conditions of synthesis, a multiparametric study was developed by varying the pH of the solution, the temperature, the nature of the reactants and of the complexing ions in solution. This study highlighted that CeSiO₄ could not be obtained starting from Ce(IV) reactants. An optimal set of conditions was defined to prepare single phase samples. Pure CeSiO₄ was obtained through a hydrothermal treatment at 150°C using a starting mixture of 1 mol·L⁻¹ Ce(III) nitrate and Na₂SiO₃ solutions and by adjusting the initial pH to 8. The chemical limitations observed during the synthesis of CeSiO₄ suggested that the formation of this phase may result from the slow in-situ oxidation of a Ce(III) silicate complex during the hydrothermal treatment.

Introduction

The synthesis of Ce(III) silicates has been extensively studied mainly because of their optoelectronic, catalytic and ion exchanger properties. The formation of cerium(III) silicates was also reported as secondary phases for cerium doped silicon nitride ceramics and cerium doped nuclear waste glasses. However, even if the formation of cerium(III) silicates is ubiquitous, only few information are available concerning the properties of the cerium(IV) silicate, because high temperature methods commonly used to prepare silicate based phases did not allow to form CeSiO₄. Indeed, thermodynamic calculations predicted that this phase was unstable compared to the mixture of corresponding oxides, Ce₂O₃ and SiO₂.

Silicate species are abundant in environmental conditions, especially in potential geological sites dedicated to underground repository of radioactive waste. In such conditions, actinide elements could be in contact with silicate species coming from cement, glass waste form, granite or clay. This raises important questions regarding the interaction of silicate species and actinides and associated potential impact on actinide mobility in environmental conditions. Tetravalent actinide species are often associated to very low mobility in environmental conditions due to the low solubility of actinide bearing hydroxides, i.e. An(OH)₃, in neutral and alkaline media. Such a solubility could be significantly modified in the presence of silicate species in solution. Indeed, uranium(IV) and thorium(IV) are well known to form natural thorite (ThSiO₄), coffinite (USiO₄, second more abundant U(IV) bearing phase) and uranothorite solid solutions by alteration of the respective oxides. Moreover, actinide silicate colloids have been observed at room temperature for actinide(IV): Th(IV), U(IV) and Np(IV). Several authors showed that colloidal transport influenced significantly the mobility of actinides in environmental conditions. In the case of plutonium(IV), the formation of PuSiO₄ and of plutonium silicate colloids was also suggested. The mechanism associated to their formation remained, however, largely unknown.

Materials and methods

Preparation of CeSiO₄

All of the reagents used were of analytical grade and supplied by Sigma-Aldrich. Na₂SiO₃ was used as silicate precursor. Ce(NO₃)₃·6H₂O (99.9 %) and CeCl₃·7H₂O (99.9 %) were used as Ce(III) precursors, whereas (NH₄)₂Ce(NO₃)₆ (99.99 %) and Ce(SO₄)₂ (99.9 %) were considered for Ce(IV). NaHCO₃ was used as the carbonate source. 1.5 mol·L⁻¹ HNO₃, 1.5 mol·L⁻¹ HCl and 0.75 mol·L⁻¹ H₂SO₄ solutions were prepared by dilution of Sigma Aldrich ACS grade solutions: HNO₃ (70%), HCl (37%) and H₂SO₄ (95 – 98 %). 8 mol·L⁻¹ NaOH solution was freshly prepared.
prepared from Sigma Aldrich ACS grade NaOH (98 %) before the experiments. Aqueous silicate solutions were prepared by dissolving Na₂SiO₃ and the cerium precursor in acidic solutions (which nature depended on the cerium precursor used). The pH was then adjusted to the final expected value with 8 mol⁻¹ NaOH. The experiments were performed either in air or under inert conditions (Ar-atmosphere glove box).

All the mixtures were put in Teflon lined reactors in Parr autoclaves and then treated under hydrothermal conditions during 1 to 20 days with a temperature ranging from 40°C to 250°C and under autogenous pressure (Table S1). Reference conditions were fixed to 10 days of hydrothermal treatment at 150°C. Thus, the final precipitates were separated from the supernatant by centrifugation for 12 min at 14 000 rpm, washed twice with deionized water and once with ethanol, and then finally dried overnight at 60°C in an oven.

Characterizations

PXRD data were collected on the resulting powders using the Bruker D8 advance diffractometer equipped with a lynxeye detector and using Cu Ka radiation (λ = 1.54184 Å) in a reflection geometry (parallel beam). PXRD patterns were recorded between 5° and 100° (2θ) with steps of 0.019° and a total counting time of 2.5 to 3 hours per sample. Pure silicon was used as a standard material to extract the instrumental function. Therefore, all the collected data were refined by the Rietveld method using the Fullprof suite package. During the refinements, different profile and structural parameters were allowed to vary, such as the zero shift, unit-cell parameters, scale factor, and overall displacement factor. However, the occupancy of each site was fixed to the calculated values.

Raman spectra were recorded with a Horiba-Jobin Yvon Aramis device equipped with an edge filter and a Nd:YAG laser (532 nm) that delivers 60 mW at the sample surface. In order to avoid any laser-induced degradation of the compound, the power was turned down by the means of optical filters. The laser beam was then focused on the sample using an Olympus BX 41 microscope with an X50LMP objective, resulting in a spot area of ~1 μm² and a power of 475 μW. For each spectrum, a dwell time ranging from 30 to 600 s was used. Four scans were performed to average the measurement error. For each sample, the data were collected on different areas.

FTIR spectra were recorded in the 300–4000 cm⁻¹ range thanks to a Perkin-Elmer FTIR Spectrum 100 device. Powdered samples were deposited on the surface of an ATR crystal without any prior preparation. The spectra collected in such operating conditions exhibited a resolution lower than 4 cm⁻¹. Four scans were performed to average the measurement error.

SEM observations were directly conducted on small aliquots of samples without prior preparation such as metallization, using a FEI Quanta 200 electronic microscope, equipped either with an Everhart-Thornley Detector (ETD) or a Back-Scattered Electron Detector (BSED), under high vacuum conditions with a very low accelerating voltage (2 – 3.1 kV). These conditions were chosen in order to create a beam deceleration effect that led to high resolution images.

Speciation calculations were performed using the PHREEQC 2 software and the thermochimie_PHREEQC_SIT_v9 database, combined with the thermodynamic constants available in Table S2 in order to determine the speciation of cerium in the reactive media.

Results and discussion

As already mentioned, the only protocol reported for the preparation of CeSiO₄ was developed by Dickson and Glasser. It consisted in preparing an equimolar mixture of lime, silica and cerium(III) nitrate in aqueous solution under inert atmosphere. This mixture was submitted to hydrothermal treatment between 55 and 180°C for 14 to 700 days. The precise role of CaO and redox reactions involving cerium were not clearly understood. The syntheses of the tetravalent actinide silicates, which are analogs of CeSiO₄, are usually performed in the presence of carbonate ions. However, it was recently reported that pure ThSiO₄ can be prepared without adding carbonate ions. All these chemical routes have been explored with the aim to prepare pure CeSiO₄. Especially, the protocols described by Costin et al. for uranothorite solid solutions ThₓU₁₋ₓSiO₄, or other recently developed for ThSiO₄, and described by Dickson et al. for CeSiO₄ were adapted.

Preparation of CeSiO₄ from Ce(IV) precursors

Experiments developed in the absence of carbonate species in the reactive media. Attempts to prepare CeSiO₄ from Ce(IV) precursors were performed with starting cerium and silicon concentrations ranging from 4.2 × 10⁻⁴ mol·L⁻¹ and 0.21 mol·L⁻¹ whereas the initial pH of the reactive media was varying from pH = 1 to pH = 12. Hydrothermal treatment were performed with temperatures and holding times ranging from 90°C to 250°C and from 7 to 10 days, respectively. The PXRD patterns obtained for samples prepared under hydrothermal conditions (7 days, T = 250°C) with starting silicate and cerium(IV) concentrations of 4.2 × 10⁻⁴ mol·L⁻¹ and initial pH value equal to 10.5 (1), 8.7 (2), 6.9 (3), 4.1 (4), 3.9 (5) and 2.4 (6). Characteristic XRD lines of CeO₂ and Ce₂SiO₄ were extracted from ref 30 and 45, respectively.
All the attempts to prepare CeSiO$_4$ were unsuccessful. From PXRD characterization, only mixtures of CeO$_2$ and amorphous SiO$_2$ were observed (Figure 1). Moreover, the increase of the FWHM of the XRD lines associated to CeO$_2$ was noted when increasing the pH of the starting mixture, as the consequence of the decrease of the crystallite size. These results were explained by the rapid hydrolysis of Ce(IV) which happened even at low pH values and prevented any complexation of cerium by silicate species.$^{46}$ This explanation may be also supported by thermodynamic considerations. Indeed, the formation of CeSiO$_4$ is expected to be strongly disfavored compared to the mixture of CeO$_2$ and SiO$_2$.$^{13}$

The IR spectra recorded for pH < 8 were characteristic of amorphous SiO$_2$ (Figure S1). On the contrary, they did not indicate the presence of SiO$_2$ or CeO$_2$ for pH > 8 but may correspond to the sorption or integration of silicate species in the structure of CeO$_2$ similar to those observed for the sorption of silicate species on the surface of actinides oxides.$^{17, 48}$

Experiments developed in the presence of carbonate species in the reactive media. For several actinides, the synthesis performed in the presence of carbonate species led to the formation of AnSiO$_4$ even if they are unstable compared to a mixture of SiO$_2$ and An$_2$O$_3$, as it was observed for metastable coffinite USiO$_4$ $^{36, 49}$ or predicted for PuSiO$_4$ $^{27}$ according to ab initio calculations.$^{13, 27}$

Several attempts to prepare CeSiO$_4$ in carbonate rich reactive media have been performed with starting cerium and silicate concentrations ranging from $4.2 \times 10^{-2}$ mol·L$^{-1}$ and 0.21 mol·L$^{-1}$, initial pH of the reactive media varying from pH = 8.7 to 12 and for hydrothermal treatment performed during 7 to 10 days with temperatures between 150°C and 250°C. PXRD diagrams of the samples prepared are reported in Figure 2 for the various initial conditions examined. Unlike other tetravalent actinide silicates, CeSiO$_4$ was never formed using these conditions (Figure 2). This specific behavior of cerium(IV) could result from the stronger complexation constants of carbonate species with cerium and from the different stoichiometry of the limiting complexes obtained for cerium, CeCO$_3$,$^{8, 50}$ and actinides, AnCO$_3$,$^{6, 51}$ in carbonate media. Both aspects would induce the decrease of the cerium availability as the consequence of the strong interactions with carbonate species (Table 1) leading to the formation of the cerium hexacarbonate complex and preventing other ligands to interact with the metal due to steric constraints.

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**Figure 2.** PXRD patterns obtained for samples prepared under hydrothermal conditions (10 days, $T$ = 150°C) with starting silicate and cerium(IV) concentrations of 0.21 mol·L$^{-1}$ and initial pH value equal to 8.7 and with NaHCO$_3$ concentration equal to 0.21 mol·L$^{-1}$ (7), 0.42 mol·L$^{-1}$ (8), 1.0 mol·L$^{-1}$ (9) and 2.1 mol·L$^{-1}$ (10). XRD lines of the sample holder are pointed out by an asterisk. Characteristic XRD lines of CeO$_2$ and CeSiO$_4$ were extracted from ref 30 and 45, respectively.

![Figure 3](image3.png)

**Figure 3.** PXRD patterns obtained for samples prepared under hydrothermal conditions (10 days, $T$ = 150°C) with starting silicate and cerium(II) concentrations of 0.21 mol·L$^{-1}$, in nitric acid media and with an initial pH equal to 12.3 (11), 10.1 (12), 9.0 (13), 8.4 (14), 7.0 (15), 5.9 (16), 3.1 (17) and 1.3 (18). XRD lines of the sample holder are pointed out with an asterisk. Reference and log($\beta_n^*$) values for Ln/An are given in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ln/An</th>
<th>log($\beta_n^*$)</th>
<th>log($\beta_n^*$)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>31.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>34.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Np</td>
<td>35.6 ± 1.1</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pu</td>
<td>35.7 ± 1.1</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>≤ 41.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>42.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* $\beta_n$ is associated to the reaction An$^{4+} + n$ CO$_3^{2-}$ = AnCO$_3$$^{(2n-4)}$. 

Preparation of CeSiO$_4$ from Ce(III) precursors in solution.

Impact of the initial pH and concentrations of reactants on the formation of CeSiO$_4$ in nitric acid media. Since hydrolysis and complexation by carbonate ions are strongly unfavorable to the formation of pure CeSiO$_4$, complementary experiments have been performed starting from Ce(III) precursors. With this aim, the syntheses were developed from mixtures of Ce(III) and silicate ions with starting concentrations of 0.21 mol·L$^{-1}$ and initial pH values ranging from pH = 1.3 to 12.3. The hydrothermal treatments were performed during 10 days at 150°C under inert atmosphere (Ar). The PXRD of the samples obtained are reported in Figure 3. It is worth noting that the formation of CeSiO$_4$ was observed in a small range of pH, i.e. between 7 and 9. Moreover, it was not obtained as a pure phase. Indeed, the formation of CeSiO$_4$ was limited by that of CeO$_2$ whether at higher and at lower pH values. Complementary characterization by IR spectroscopy (Figure S2) revealed the presence of SiO$_2$ for pH < 7 whereas it suggested the integration of silica in the lattice of cerium.
by an asterisk. Characteristic XRD lines of CeO₂ and CeSiO₄ were extracted from ref 30 and 45, respectively.

dioxide or the sorption of silicate ions on the surface of the samples for the pH > 9, as already described for thorium. Working with higher cerium and silicate concentrations (typically C₆₀ ≈ C₇ ≈ 1.0 mol·L⁻¹) did not affect the pH range in which CeSiO₄ was formed (Figure 4). However, the comparison of the data reported for both concentrations showed the significant increase of the relative content of CeSiO₄ (compared to CeO₂) in the mixture when increasing the initial cerium and silicate concentrations. This result was in good agreement with that obtained for ThSiO₄, 37, 38 for which high concentrations of reactants appeared to improve the yield of formation of the silicate phase. In order to fix the better conditions associated to the preparation of CeSiO₄, Rietveld refinement of the PXRD data was performed (Figure 5). From the results reported in Figure 4 and in Figure 5, the maximum relative content of CeSiO₄ in the prepared mixtures was obtained for concentrations of reactants of 1.0 mol·L⁻¹ and for pH = 8.2. Although the results confirmed the synthesis of CeSiO₄ from Ce(III) precursor as described by Dickson et al., 30, 32, 47 we can conclude from this study that CaO does not play any important role during the synthesis. In order to increase the recovery yield of CeSiO₄, several experiments were unsuccessfully performed by using over-stoichiometric amounts of silicate compared to cerium. Furthermore, additional syntheses performed in air led to lower reaction yields than those obtained under argon atmosphere. This difference was assigned to the rapid oxidation of Ce(III) into Ce(IV) under aerated conditions, 46 which led to the rapid precipitation of cerium tetrahydroxide, then finally to CeO₂ by ageing. 46

Impact of the heating temperature on the formation of CeSiO₄ in nitric acid media. In order to underline the impact of the temperature of the hydrothermal treatment on the yield of formation of CeSiO₄, various experiments were performed between 90°C and 250°C during 10 days, with starting cerium and silicate concentrations of 0.21 mol·L⁻¹ and initial pH values ranging from pH = 7 to pH = 9. The PXRD diagrams of the samples obtained are plotted in Figure 6 for several temperatures of hydrothermal treatment. It is worth noting that CeSiO₄ was formed only between 90°C and 150°C. At higher temperatures (T ≥ 170°C), only crystallized CeO₂ was formed whereas at lower temperatures, the amount of CeO₂ formed as a by-product of the synthesis was difficult to quantify because of its poorly crystallinity for T < 120°C. However, even for the lower temperatures studied, the formation of CeO₂ was evidenced by Raman spectroscopy, whereas that of amorphous SiO₂ was confirmed by IR spectroscopy (Figure S3).

The optimal conditions to prepare CeSiO₄ were found to be pH = 8.0 and T = 150°C. Performing the syntheses at lower or
higher pH values led to the significant decrease of the yield of formation of CeSiO₄ (and thus to the formation of higher amounts of CeO₂ and SiO₂). It has been observed that, at 90°C, the optimum pH value was shifted to lower values (i.e. pH = 7), probably because of the dependence of the water self-ionization constant with temperature. Moreover, it is worth noting that the extension of the holding time of the hydrothermal treatment up to 210 days at 40°C or up to 52 days at 60°C also led to the formation of CeSiO₄ (cerium and silicate concentrations of 1.0 mol·L⁻¹ and pH = 7) (Figure 7).

As it was reported for ThSiO₄, the synthesis temperature of CeSiO₄ affected the lattice parameters of the crystallized phase. Indeed, the decrease of the a lattice parameter and the increase of the c lattice parameter were observed when the temperature increased (Figure 8). As inferred for ThSiO₄, this evolution could result from the elimination of hydroxide groups inserted in the silicate phase when increasing heating temperature.

Finally, the stability of CeSiO₄ under hydrothermal conditions was examined at 250°C by contacting CeSiO₄ with solution for different pH. No proof of the CeSiO₄ degradation was obtained during these experiments. Therefore, it may inferred that the impact of temperature on the preparation of pure CeSiO₄ did not result from the metastability of this phase. It is surely associated to the degradation of intermediate species required to form CeSiO₄ instead of CeO₂ in the final samples.

**Preparation of CeSiO₄ in hydrochloric acid medium.** Several syntheses were performed in hydrochloric media using CeCl₃ as starting precursor. The results followed roughly the same trend than in nitric acid solution. However, the formation of CeSiO₄ in these conditions was limited by the formation of cerium hydroxyl-chloride, Ce(OH)₂Cl which formed in the same pH range (Figure 9). Ce(OH)₂Cl is isostructural to Ln(OH)₂Cl phases which were formed under hydrothermal conditions in experimental conditions close to those developed in this study. Due to its high solubility in nitric acid, a washing step of 10 minutes with 1 M nitric acid after the synthesis allowed to dissolve specifically the Ce(OH)₂Cl phase leading to a CeSiO₄ and CeO₂ mixture (Figure 9).

The direct comparison of the results obtained in nitric and hydrochloric media showed that oxidation of cerium(III) to cerium(IV) necessary to form CeSiO₄ was not only due to the presence of nitrate ions. Residual oxygen dissolved in water could contribute to this oxidation reaction.

**Figure 10.** PXRD patterns for the samples prepared under hydrothermal conditions during 10 days at T = 150°C with starting silicate and cerium(III) concentrations of 0.21 mol·L⁻¹, with pH_initial = 8.7 and carbonate concentration of 0.21 mol·L⁻¹ (34) or 1.0 mol·L⁻¹ (35). Characteristic XRD lines of CeO₂, CeSiO₄, Ce₂O₃(CO₃)₂, and natural burbankite, (Na, Ca)(Ca, Sr, Ba, Mn)(CO₃)₂, were extracted from references 30, 45, 61 and 60, respectively.

**Preparation of CeSiO₄ in carbonate media.** Several attempts to use carbonate ions as pH buffer in the reactive media and/or as complexing agent of cerium to increase its availability, thus its reactivity with silicate species did not lead to the formation of CeSiO₄. The main limitation was the formation of cerium(III) carbonate based species, such as Ce(OH)CO₃ under hydrothermal conditions at 150°C as observed by Guo et al., and burbankite type phases Na₂Ce₂(CO₃)₅ for higher carbonate concentrations (Figure 10). Moreover, increasing the temperature of the hydrothermal treatment led to the decomposition of such cerium carbonate species to form cerium oxide (mixed with amorphous silica) without any trace of CeSiO₄. These results indicated that the complexation of Ce(III) with carbonate ions was more favorable than with silicate species (see Figure 13 and Figure 59 thereafter).
Characterization of CeSiO₄ sample obtained in optimized conditions

From the multiparametric study developed, the set of optimal conditions to prepare pure CeSiO₄ (Figure 11) and optimize its yield of formation, corresponds to high Ce(III) and silicate concentrations (i.e. 1 mol·L⁻¹) and pH value adjusted to 8.0 with the help of NaOH. Hydrothermal treatment is performed at 150°C for 20 days under inert atmosphere (Ar).

Rietveld refinements performed on the XRD diagrams confirmed that CeSiO₄ crystallized in the zircon structure (I4₁/amd space group) with the following unit cell parameters: a = 6.9603(1) Å, c = 6.1946(2) Å, i.e. V = 300.11(2) Å³ (Table S3). These values are close to those reported by Skakle et al.³⁰ (a = 6.9564(3) Å and c = 6.1953(4) Å, i.e. V = 299.80(3) Å³).

In such conditions, neither silica nor cerium oxide features were observable from infrared and Raman spectrometric measurement (Figure S4 and Figure S5). Moreover, infrared and Raman spectra confirmed the same features for CeSiO₄ than for isostructural zircon-type silicate (Table 2).

The characterization of CeSiO₄ by Scanning Electron Microscopy clearly showed the formation of square based bipyramids crystals with less than 1 μm in length. This morphology is clearly characteristic of zircon-type actinide silicates (Figure 12).

As suggested by Dickson et al.,³² heating of CeSiO₄ under inert atmosphere (Ar) or in air revealed that the phase was stable up to 1000°C. The unit-cell parameters obtained after heating at this temperature were found to a = 6.9446(1) Å, c = 6.1975 Å and V = 298.89(1) Å³ (Figure S7 and Table S3). It showed the decrease of the a unit cell parameters and the increase of the c unit cell parameter, compared to those obtained just after hydrothermal treatment. Such a difference could result from the elimination of hydroxide groups in the structure.³⁸, ⁵⁵

Table 2. Assignment of the bands associated to silicate groups observed by Raman and IR spectra for CeSiO₄ and comparison with some other zircon-type compounds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raman spectroscopy</th>
<th>Infrared spectroscopy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>v₂</td>
<td>v₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrSiO₄</td>
<td>439 cm⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HfSiO₄</td>
<td>448 cm⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ThSiO₄</td>
<td>438 cm⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USiO₄</td>
<td>424 cm⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeSiO₄ (this study)</td>
<td>416 cm⁻¹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

New insights regarding the formation of CeSiO₄

Several lessons can be extracted from the results presented in this paper, especially to explain the reasons of the formation CeSiO₄ and to propose associated mechanism. First, CeSiO₄ is formed when either cerium and silicate ions are available to react in solution, e.g. using Ce(III) precursor and working at pH = 8. The mechanism of formation surely involves the existence of cerium silicate complexes in solution, as already suggested during the preparation of ThSiO₄.³⁸ Working at lower pH values is unfavorable to the formation of CeSiO₄ (and surely to the existence of this cerium silicate complexes) consequently to the precipitation of SiO₂.⁴⁴ On the contrary, the precipitation of Ce(OH)₃ (and its oxidation to form CeO₂) could limit the availability of cerium for higher pH values.⁴⁶ The same considerations with Ce(IV) precursor lead to conclude that the coexistence of free cerium and silicate ions in solution is not possible, which prevents the formation of CeSiO₄. Moreover, the direct formation of CeSiO₄ from ceria and silica is also
limited from a thermodynamic point of view, due to its instability compared to the mixture of CeO$_2$ and SiO$_2$.\textsuperscript{13}

The formation of CeSiO$_4$ from Ce(III) and silicate ions in solution may result from the very slow in-situ oxidation of the suspected Ce(III)-silicate complex. Increasing temperature (i.e., increasing oxidation rate) induce the formation of SiO$_2$ and CeO$_2$ (obtained by precipitation than ageing of Ce(OH)$_4$). Thus, it seems crucial to maintain Ce(IV) at a low concentration in solution in order to avoid the rapid establishment of oversaturation conditions regarding to Ce(OH)$_4$. It is also the reason why CeSiO$_4$ was never prepared from Ce(IV), due to its very strong tendency to hydrolysis. This also suggests that CeSiO$_4$ could not be prepared as soon as CeO$_2$ was formed. The absence of evolution of PXRD diagrams when performing hydrothermal treatment of CeO$_2$ + CeSiO$_4$ mixtures at 250°C and for large pH range support the fact that the conversion of CeO$_2$ to CeSiO$_4$ is unfavorable.

In order to support these observations, speciation simulations were performed using PHREEQC 2 software aiming at determining the conditions which may favor the formation of silicate-based precursor in the starting solution. Since no complexation constant was available for both Ce(III)- and Ce(IV)-silicate species, the respective constants have been extrapolated. These results were based on the apparent linear relationship reported by Thakur et al.\textsuperscript{87} between the first hydrolysis constant of a metal ion and its complexation by H$_3$SiO$_4$. In order to be more representative, the constants were calculated considering only elements showing the same oxidation state than cerium (Table S4 and Figure S8). Based on these hypotheses, the complexation constants were evaluated to log$\beta$(Ce$^{2+}$(OSi(OH)$_3$)$_3^{2-}$) = 7.8 and log$\beta$(Ce$^{3+}$(OSi(OH)$_3$)$_3^{3-}$) = 11.7.

PHREEQC 2 calculations were performed at C$_{Si}$ = C$_{Ce}$ = 0.21 mol·L$^{-1}$ at room temperature considering the data reported in Table S2 and extrapolated for Ce(III)- and Ce(IV)-silicate species. Due to some lacks in the thermodynamic data associated to the temperatures considered for the hydrothermal treatment, simulation for higher temperatures was not possible.

The results of these simulations showed that the formation of the Ce$^{3+}$(OSi(OH)$_3$)$_3^{3-}$ complex in the reactive media is strongly limited for Ce(IV) due to its significant hydrolysis (Figure 13). Therefore, in these conditions, the formation of Ce-silicate species appears to be strongly limited because of the formation of Ce(IV) hydroxide species and their rapid evolution to CeO$_2$.

Due to its lower tendency to hydrolyze, Ce(III)-based system does not follow the same behavior than Ce(IV). Consequently, Ce$^{3+}$(OSi(OH)$_3$)$_3^{3-}$ complex appears as a predominant specie on a wide pH range in reductive conditions (Figure 13). It could then be inferred that this silicate specie evolved by in situ oxidation leading to the formation of CeSiO$_4$ while the formation of cerium oxide is disadvantaged.

Same simulations performed in the presence of carbonate ions confirmed that the formation of Ce$^{3+}$(OSi(OH)$_3$)$_3^{2-}$ is strongly limited by the existence of Ce(III)-carbonate complexes (Figure S9). This can explain why CeSiO$_4$ is not formed in such experimental conditions.

Therefore, all the speciation calculations support the key role played by Ce(III)-silicate complex during the formation of CeSiO$_4$. However, the results have to be considered with caution because of the poor knowledge of experimental data associated to cerium silicate complexes. This highlights the necessity of studying the aqueous chemistry of cerium in order...
Conclusions

The formation of CeSiO₄ was successfully achieved from cerium(III) precursor under inert atmosphere. The yield of CeSiO₄ synthesis was found to be strongly dependent on the concentration of the reactants and of the pH of the reactive media. The formation of CeSiO₄ may also require the formation of cerium-silicate complexes in solution. Also, temperature plays an important role in the preparation of CeSiO₄, which is formed between 40°C and 150°C. The oxidation state of cerium has a huge impact, supported by kinetic and thermodynamic considerations. Indeed, Ce(IV) concentration has to be maintained to low level in order to avoid the formation of Ce(OH)₄ thus of CeO₂ by ageing.

Furthermore, these observations shed new light on the reactivity of cerium(III) in the presence of silicate species in solution. With this aim, the identification of potential cerium(III) silicate species in solution, the determination of associated complexation constants and their role in the CeSiO₄ synthesis, constitute key issues. Since silicate ions are abundant in environmental conditions representative of underground repository (either in granite and clay environments), such information are important to consider in order to better understand the potential reactivity of actinide in silicate rich environments, especially for plutonium.
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Figure S9 representing Ce(III) speciation diagram in carbonate ions rich reactive media.
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