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Abstract

Inferences about the evolutionary impact of gene duplications often rely on the analysis of their long-term outcome. The fate of the majority of them must, however, be decided shortly after duplication. Here we analysed the evolutionary pattern of 10 mouse genes very recently duplicated by retrotransposition, by sequencing the retroposed copy in five to 10 closely related mouse species. In all cases the retroposed copy experienced accelerated nonsynonymous evolution whereas the divergence pattern of the source copy appeared unaffected by the duplication, consistent with the neofunctionalization model. The analysis further revealed that most retrogenes, including pseudogenes, did not experience a period of relaxed neutral evolution, but have been submitted to purifying selection ever since their retroposition. We propose that these duplicates play a biochemical role but are not indispensable. Purifying selection prevents them from acquiring a negative role until they are lost or silenced. This period of unnecessary redundancy could in rare cases give the time for new functions to evolve.
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Introduction

Gene duplications are thought to be an important process of molecular evolution in eukaryotes. A gene duplication relaxes some of the constraints applying to the genome in which it occurs, opening new evolutionary pathways. One of the two copies can, of course, be quickly lost by deletion or pseudogenization, cancelling the effects of the duplication event. In many instances, however, the two copies are kept and contribute to the organism transcriptome and proteome. Ohno first proposed that gene duplications could promote adaptation. In Ohno’s view, one duplicated copy can keep the original function of the gene, meanwhile the other one is free to explore the sequence space, and eventually acquire a new function (Ohno, 1970). This adaptive process was recently named ‘neofunctionalization’ (NF). Alternatively, Lynch and collaborators, formalizing early thoughts by Piatigorsky & Wistow (1991) and Hughes (1994), proposed that, after a duplication, the two copies could share the relaxed degrees of freedom (Force et al., 1999). Accumulating deleterious mutations, the two duplicates would lose some aspects of the ancestral gene function in a complementary fashion. The two genes would therefore be required to maintain the ancestral function, a process called ‘subfunctionalization’ (SF, Lynch & Force, 2000). A typical example is the evolution of an ancestral ubiquitous gene (expressed, say, in two tissues) into two complementary tissue-specific genes (each one expressed in one tissue). The SF pathway does not require the occurrence of adaptive changes, that are much rarer than deleterious ones, and could thus more readily account for the relatively high retention rate of duplicated genes in some eukaryotic genomes, especially early vertebrates (Wagner, 1998; Force et al., 1999).

A number of genomic data analyses have been conducted to try and assess the relative importance of NF and SF in molecular evolution. Several studies have focused on evolutionary rates, taken as an indicator of functional constraints. Under the NF model, one would expect a strongly asymmetric pattern in which the adapting duplicate evolves much faster than the one keeping the old function. The SF model, in contrast, would predict a more or less symmetrical evolution if the two copies bore the same amount of constraint. Relative rate analyses of various multigenic family data sets have been contradictory, some studies (Van de Peer et al.,
2001), but not all (Robinson-Rechavi & Laudet, 2001), reporting significant asymmetry between the two duplicates. For relatively low sequence divergence, the level of constraint applying to a coding sequence can be more directly measured by the ratio of nonsynonymous to synonymous substitution rate (dn/dS). An increase of dn/dS posterior to duplication was observed in various eukaryotic and prokaryotic genomes (Kondrashov et al., 2002). This result, however, is expected both under NF and SF. Using a large number of recently duplicated (dS < 0.3) genes in human Zhang et al. (2003) reported a significant asymmetry of the dn/dS ratio for c. 25% of the data set. Recently, He & Zhang (2005) approached the NF vs. SF comparison more directly by making use of protein–protein interaction data in yeast. Assuming that SF should reduce the number of shared interactions between duplicates, they suggest that the fate of duplicated genes in yeast is well described by a combination of early SF followed by late NF, which contrasted with the report by Gu et al. (2005) of a largely asymmetric pattern of gene expression divergence between yeast duplicates. Besides these genomic studies, a large number of case studies have illustrated the role of either SF or NF for various genes and species (e.g. Yokoyama & Yokoyama, 1989; Ohta, 1994; Wang & Gu, 2001; Zhang et al., 2002).

This large body of literature remains equivocal with respect to the fate of duplicated genes. One obvious reason for this is the diversity of biological contexts – there is clearly not a rule applying to every duplicate gene pair in every genome. Another difficulty is that most of these studies, with the notable exception of Zhang et al. (2003) and Marques et al. (2005), analysed relatively old duplications. The alternative models essentially differ in their predications about the early stages of duplicate gene evolution – in the long run, the two copies are expected to evolve more or less independently, irrespective of the reasons for their initial functional divergence. For ancient events, the patterns generated immediately posterior to gene duplication are likely to be obscured by subsequent long periods of sequence divergence.

In this study, we approach the early steps of duplicate gene evolution by analysing the substitution pattern in 10 recently duplicated genes in mouse. We focus on recent retroduplications, i.e. genes originated through the insertion in the mouse genome of a retrotranscribed mRNA after the rat/mouse split, c. 15 million years ago (Mya). This process gives birth to an intron-less open reading frame, which, if expressed, can potentially become a new functional gene, duplicating the coding sequence of the original gene. The 10 genes were sequenced in five to 10 mouse species that diverged during the last 4–5 Mya. This sampling strategy offers the opportunity to address the issue of the level of contingency in the fate of duplicated genes. How long does it take before the decision between retention (functional divergence) or loss (pseudogenization) is made? If several species independently inherit two recently duplicated copies, do they follow a common evolutionary pathway?

A genome-wide search has provided evidence for the continuous creation of new genes by retroposition during recent Primate evolution, and for the presence of a large number of new functional genes generated by this process in the human genome (Marques et al., 2005), thus justifying the interest of studying the evolutionary dynamics of retroposed genes. Retroduplications are specific in that they are initially asymmetric, one copy keeping its genomic location (and regulatory elements), whereas the other copy experiences a new context. This specificity might influence subsequent evolutionary processes; SF appears less likely in the case of retroduplications than for standard, symmetric gene pairs, a statement we plan to test in this study. Importantly, the large physical distance between the two copies essentially ensures that the two duplicates do not exchange genetic information through ectopic recombination or gene conversion, as might occur in the case of tandem duplications. The asymmetry, finally, allows us to formally distinguish between the two copies, which we call ‘source’ and ‘retroposed’, and to compare their evolutionary pattern and fate.

Materials and methods

Selection of candidate genes

A bioinformatic search was conducted to identify recently retro-duplicated mouse genes from data banks. We first extracted 6073 intron-less annotated mouse genes from ENSEMBL (version 36, release 24) thanks to the ACNUC retrieval system (Gouy et al., 1984). Among these, genes occurring in multiple copies were detected through an all vs. all BLAST search and removed. The remaining genes were compared with the coding sequences of intron-containing genes from M. musculus and Rattus norvegicus using BLASTP. Single-copy, intronless mouse genes fully matching an intron-containing mouse gene as first hit, and an intron-containing rat gene as second hit were kept, providing a list of candidate recent retroduplications. Nine candidate genes were selected based on gene length, synonymous divergence levels, and availability of functional annotations. Data from the Zfx/2fa gene pair, analysed in detail by Tucker et al. (2003), were downloaded from GenBank and added to our data set. The synonymous divergence between the two M. musculus copies varied between 0.04 and 0.22 across the 10 analysed genes.

DNA sequencing

The complete or nearly complete coding regions of the retroposed (intron-less) genes were amplified by PCR using the primers described in Appendix S1. Nested or semi-nested PCRs were performed in difficult cases, using
combinations of the external and internal primers described in the Appendix. The source (intron-containing) copy of the Acot9 gene was also amplified, in two fragments covering the last six exons. After treatment with ExoSap-IT (USB), the PCR products were directly sequenced in both directions using either the external or internal primers described in Supplemental material, and the Big Dye Terminator (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) protocol. Electrophoresis was carried out on a 3130 automated capillary sequencer (Applied Biosystems) after ethanol precipitation of the sequencing reactions. Various characteristics of the analysed genes are given in Table 1.

**Mouse samples**

The mice used here all come from wild derived, moderately inbred colonies maintained in the laboratory. Appendix S2 gives the names, taxonomic and geographical origins of the strains used.

**Phylogenetic analyses**

For each gene, the mouse retroposed sequences were manually aligned to the coding sequences of the mouse and rat source copy. Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic trees were reconstructed using the DNAML algorithm via PHYLO_WIN (Galtier et al., 1996). A combined analysis of the whole data set was performed using the MRP algorithm (Ragan, 1992).

**Pseudogenization analysis**

Structural evidence for pseudogenization, namely frameshifts or premature stop codons, was searched for in the retroposed sequences. When the gene/pseudogene status of the retroposed copy was uncertain, computer simulations were conducted to assess the plausibility of the pseudogenization hypothesis, as in Zhang (2003). An ancestral intact coding sequence (identical to the source pseudogenization hypothesis, as in Zhang (2003). An
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**Table 1** Features of the 10 genes analysed in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>ENSMUSG</th>
<th>chr</th>
<th>Biological process</th>
<th>Retrogene</th>
<th>chr</th>
<th>ENSMUSG</th>
<th>Expression of retrogene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acot9</td>
<td>25287</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Acyl-CoA metabolism</td>
<td>Acot10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>47566</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>05688</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Carbohydrate metabolism</td>
<td>Cal</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>48934</td>
<td>Testis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G6pd</td>
<td>31400</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Glucose and carbohydrate metabolism</td>
<td>G6pd2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>45120</td>
<td>Testis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prdx6</td>
<td>26701</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Lipid catabolism</td>
<td>Prdx6-rs1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50114</td>
<td>Testis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zfx</td>
<td>00103</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Regulation of transcription</td>
<td>Zfa</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>49576</td>
<td>Testis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arpc1b</td>
<td>29622</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Nucleation of actin filaments</td>
<td>XP_486686.1 (RefSeq)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>46995</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gstk1</td>
<td>29664</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Glutathione metabolism</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>47168</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nck2</td>
<td>43001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Actin filament organization, cell migration</td>
<td>Q78U92_MOUSE (UniPro)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>63827</td>
<td>Thymus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ndula11</td>
<td>02379</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Protein transport, NADH dehydrogenase activity</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>68487</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psmc1</td>
<td>21178</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Protein catabolism</td>
<td>RP23-406A14.1 (Vega)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>05889</td>
<td>(vega) Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the threshold value of 1, again using likelihood ratio tests.

When evidence for pseudogenization was detected in some but not all lineages, an additional model, $M_{q}$, was fitted to the data. $M_{q}$ generalizes $M_{q}$ by assigning a distinct $d_{S}/d_{S}$ ratio to lineages carrying a nonsense or frameshift substitution (reconstructed by maximum parsimony). The $M_{q}/M_{0}$ comparison asks whether the evolution of retroposed copies prior to observable pseudogenization was already neutral. Models were compared through likelihood ratio tests, separately for each gene, or by summing the log-likelihoods over all genes. Additional analyses of branch-specific substitution rates were performed by applying the relative rate test (Robinson-Rechavi & Huchon, 2000) to coding sequences using rat as outgroup to the two mouse copies. Models assuming a variation of $d_{S}/d_{S}$ across codons were also applied (to the retrogene subsets), but no such variation was detected, presumably because of a lack of overall variability – most codons have undergone either zero or one change.

**Results**

In addition to the published M. musculus sequence of the source copy of Aco9, we were able to obtain those of M. spretus, M. spicilegus, M. macedonicus and M. caroli (accession number: DQ975466–DQ975469). We also used the published source sequences of Zfx (M. m. musculus, M. m. castaneus, M. spretus, M. spicilegus, M. macedonicus, M. caroli, M. cookii, Tucker et al., 2003) to show that in these two cases, the pattern of evolution of the source copy is apparently unaffected by the duplication in the mouse lineage (i.e. the mouse source lineage is not distinguishable from the rat lineage, see below). Therefore for the other genes, we concentrated our sequencing efforts on the retroposed copy. We were able to amplify and sequence the retrogene in five to 10 of the 11 sampled mouse taxa, depending on the gene considered, as indicated in Fig. 1 (accession numbers: DQ987484–DQ987490, DQ992397–DQ992415, EF014731–EF014757).

The analysed retrogenes were chosen from a survey of ENSEMBL coding sequence annotations and because of their similarity to the source gene, so that the M. musculus C57BL6 retrocopies have the potential to code for a similar protein. Their coding sequence might however have been altered in other lineages. Among the 10 retrogenes analysed, five showed evidence for pseudogenization in one species or more, namely Arp1b, Gstk1, Nck2, Psmc1 and Ndufa11 (see Fig. 1). The Prdx6 retroposed copy revealed no direct proof of pseudogenization, but the $d_{S}/d_{S}$ analysis did not reveal any selective constraint (see below). Computer simulations indicated that the probability of observing no stop codon in present-day Prdx6 retroposed sequences assuming it has been a pseudogene as the ancestral mouse species is around 0.1, i.e. relatively low but plausible. The M. macedonicus Prdx6 retroposed sequence contains a deletion of three bases, weakly suggesting some constraint for preserving the frame. Finally, the Prdx6 coding sequence exhibits two short tandem repeats whose numbers of repetitions (five and six) were conserved, preserving the reading frame, despite their potentially high mutation rate. The status of the Prdx6 retroposed copy therefore remains unclear, but it will be considered as a gene in the following.

Phylogenetic trees confirmed the orthology of the retroposed copies from various mouse species, and the relatively young age of duplication events (after the divergence of mouse from rat) as was suggested by the BLAST analyses used to select them. The Cs gene, however, showed a slightly different pattern: a homologous retroposed copy branching as the sister group to the mouse retrogenes (with low bootstrap support, though) was found in the R. norvegicus genome, suggest-

![Fig. 1](image-url) Gene and species sampling. The taxonomic sampling is given for each gene. Sequences showing evidence for pseudogenization (frameshift or missense mutation) are indicated by crosses. Sequences showing no evidence for pseudogenization are indicated by dots. The source copy was available in additional mouse species for Aco9 (M. m. musculus, M. spretus, M. spicilegus, M. macedonicus, M. caroli, this study) and Zfx (M. m. musculus, M. m. castaneus, M. spretus, M. spicilegus, M. macedonicus, M. caroli, M. cookii, Tucker et al., 2003).
ing that the duplication might have slightly predated the mouse/rat split. This does not alter the rationale of the study, and it can be said that the duplications we analyse are typically 5–15 My old. The combined phylogenetic analysis was in agreement with the well-supported framework described in Fig. 1 (Suzuki et al., 2004), but did not further resolve the uncertainties in branching order. When required, gene-specific trees were slightly modified by hand to conform to this framework in the following analyses.

The selective forces applying before and after duplication were approached by modelling $d_{S}/d_{S}$ variations across lineages, calculating the log-likelihood of various hypotheses, and summing over genes to extract the global pattern. The parameters estimated and hypotheses tested are summarized in Figs 2 and 3. Log-likelihoods for genes and pseudogenes are given in Tables 2 and 3, together with $d_{S}/d_{S}$ ratio estimates. Likelihood ratio tests were performed to compare alternative models (Table 4). The $M_{1}$ model was largely preferred over $M_{0}$, indicating a different selection regime before and after the duplication. $M_{2}$ also strongly rejected $M_{1}$, which means that the effect of the duplication has been asymmetric. The estimated values for $\omega_{1}$ (mouse source gene) and $\omega_{2}$ (mouse retroposed copies) indicate a strong acceleration of the nonsynonymous rate in the strong retroposed lineage (Tables 2 and 3). The asymmetry was confirmed by relative rate tests: faster evolution of the retroposed copy, when compared with the source one, was detected for all the analysed genes (Table 5). The $M_{3}/M_{0}$ and $M_{3}/M_{1}$ comparisons suggest that the mouse source copies were not affected by the duplication: their $d_{S}/d_{S}$ ratios are not different from those in the rat branch. Finally, our attempt to distinguish between early and late evolution post-duplication was not successful: the $M_{3}$ model did not improve $M_{2}$, nor did $M_{3}$ improve $M_{2}$. Overall, the most appropriate model appeared to be $M_{1}$', the model assigning one $d_{S}/d_{S}$ to the rat and mouse source genes, and one $d_{S}/d_{S}$ ratio to the retroposed lineage. $M_{1}'$ is the model selected by Akaike’s Information Criterion (result not shown).

The gene-specific patterns were remarkably homogenous, and conform to the general scenario outlined above. The $M_{1}/M_{0}$ and $M_{2}/M_{1}$ tests were significant for eight and six retroduplications respectively (Table 4). The $d_{S}/d_{S}$ ratio of the mouse source copy never differed from that of the rat, and no significant difference was detected between early and late post-duplication evolution. Nonsynonymous/synonymous ratios, in contrast, varied widely among genes. The $d_{S}/d_{S}$ estimate for the source copy varied from 0 ($Psmc1$) to nearly 0.5 ($Gstkl$, Table 3). The retroposed copy appeared moderately constrained ($d_{S}/d_{S}$ between 0.2 and 0.65, Tables 2 and 3, model $M_{1}'$) in eight retroduplications, and unconstrained in $Prdx6$ (but see above) and $Gstkl$ ($d_{S}/d_{S}$ around 1). No instance of a $d_{S}/d_{S}$ ratio significantly higher than 1 was detected for any of the analysed genes and lineages under any model.

Remarkably, the $Nck2$ retrogene appears to have evolved under significant functional constraint ($d_{S}/d_{S} = 0.37$) although there is evidence of pseudogenization in all mouse species studied here (Fig. 1). The retrocopies of $Arpc1b$, $Psmc1$ and $Ndufa11$ also show significant evolutionary constraint, but are clearly pseudogenes in some mouse species. For the latter, we fitted the $M_{0}$ model which assigns one $d_{S}/d_{S}$ ratio to the source copy, and two to the retroposed one, thus contrasting lineages showing vs. not showing direct evidence for pseudogenization. In all cases, the estimated $d_{S}/d_{S}$ ratio was lower in the lineages that lacked evidence of pseudogenization in their sequences than in those displaying such evidence (Table 4). The effect was highly significant for $Arpc1b$, with a $d_{S}/d_{S}$ ratio in nonpseudogenized lineages significantly lower than 1. This is evidence for the existence of functional constraints in lineages carrying an intact coding sequence, indicating that these sequences are not functionally irrelevant.
Evolution.

consistent with the NF model of duplicated genes or kept (i.e. not pseudogenized, four or five genes), functionally lost by pseudogenization (five or six genes), ancestral functions. The retroposed copies were either the retroduplication events, have lost any of their evidence that the source copies, apparently unaffected by retroposition, experience a significant acceleration of their evolutionary rate and asymmetry between the two copies, as could have been expected given the specificity of the retroduplication process. In all of the 10 cases, the source copy showed expected given the specificity of the retroduplicationmetry between the two copies, as could have been the independent fates of the source and retroposed copies. The asymmetric evolutionary pattern is most probably because of the asymmetric nature of retroduplications, one copy keeping its location and regulatory elements whereas the other one explores a new genomic context. Retroduplications obviously do not fit the paradigm of initially indistinguishable duplicates, assumed in most theoretical models for duplicate gene evolution, and this specificity has a strong impact on the fate of duplicates. Retroduplication is not a negligible mechanism of gene duplication, at least in mammals. About 6000 ENSEMBL-annotated mouse genes out of 30 000 are intron-less, suggesting a retroposed origin. Dozens of human retrogenes originated during primate evolution are functionally active (Marques et al., 2005), generally in testis.

It should be noted that in the long run the initial asymmetry can be overcome. Comparing genes having a recent retrogene paralogue to genes without such a retroduplicate, Huminiecki & Wolfe (2004) reported evidence for a more frequent shift in gene expression pattern for the former category in human and mouse, suggesting that late SF can follow early NF. This scenario contrasts with He & Zhang’s (2005) report of an early SF followed by late NF in yeast duplicates. But He & Zhang (2005) analysed regular genes, whereas Huminiecki & Wolfe (2004) and the present study focused on retroduplications. This suggests that both NF and SF are parts of the long-term evolutionary process of most duplicated genes irrespective of their origin, although early duplicate evolution is strongly influenced by the symmetric/asymmetric status of the duplication event. Applying our approach to a set of nonretroposed recent duplications would provide further assessment to this statement. The e(y)2 gene of Drosophila melanogaster is an extreme example of how the long-term fate of duplicates can depart from the original situation: e(y)2 is an ubiquitously expressed retrogene, whereas its intron-containing paralogue is expressed only in male germ cells (Krasnov et al., 2005).

No evidence for positive selection, however, could be detected from the \( d_{sy}/d_{sy} \) analysis. There are several possible explanations for this negative result. First, the nonsynonymous substitution pattern probably reflects a combination of advantageous, neutral and deleterious changes, so that some of the amino-acid changes having occurred in the retroposed lineages can be adaptive without resulting in a \( d_{sy}/d_{sy} \) ratio higher than 1. We lack power with this dataset to detect individual codons under positive selection. Secondly, adaptive changes might have occurred in the regulatory regions controlling the expression of the retrogenes. One could even imagine that the retrogenes reached a new function upon birth, e.g. by retroinserting into a genomic context favouring their expression in a new tissue. NF could occur without any adaptive change under this scenario. In all cases where such information is available, the retrogenes appear to have a tissue-specific expression pattern (Table 1), mostly in the testis, as appears to be often the case of retrocopied genes (Marques et al., 2005).

Discussion

Focusing on very recent duplicates in several closely related species, we were able to dissect the early stage of post-duplication evolution in 10 genes. Mouse is an ideal model for this purpose thanks to the many close relatives of the long-term fate of duplicates can depart from the original situation: e(y)2 is an ubiquitously expressed retrogene, whereas its intron-containing paralogue is expressed only in male germ cells (Krasnov et al., 2005).
Our sampling of several closely related species and several genes potentially allows us to address the issue of the contingency/reproductibility in the fate of duplicated genes. When a pair of recent duplicates is independently inherited by various species, does it evolve along the same lines in distinct lineages (Scannell et al., 2006)? The asymmetry between the two copies was, again, the dominant pattern. The source copy was essentially unaffected by the duplication, and this was true in all mouse species for Acot9 and Zfx, for which we had multispecies data for the source gene. For this reason, we concentrated our effort on sequencing the retroposed copy of the other genes, the major uncertainty upon duplication being whether the retroposed copy will be functionally kept or lost, and how long it takes before such a decision is made.

Besides the enigmatic Prdx6 gene, which appears unconstrained but shows no sign of pseudogenization, four genes (Acot9, Cs, G6pd, Zfx) probably took a rapid NF decision: they are intact and under functional constraint in all the examined lineages, and there is good evidence of expression (Ashworth et al., 1990; Hendriksen et al., 1997; Poupon et al., 1999; Mammalian Gene Collection Program Team, 2002) that appears to be specific, mostly in the testis. The Gsk1 retrogene apparently took a rapid gene loss decision; it is a structural pseudogene in all the surveyed species, and shows a \( d_{S}/d_{K} \) ratio not significantly different from 1. Three or four genes, in contrast, are obvious pseudogenes in some species, but probably functional in other lineages, as indicated by a \( d_{S}/d_{K} \) ratio significantly lower than 1 under model \( M_1' \) (Arpc1b, Nck2, Ndufa11, Psmc1: inf-value: 0.06). These genes might therefore illustrate the role of random processes during the early stages of duplicated gene evolution, when few crucial mutations determine the ultimate fate of duplicated genes. This would imply a variable duration of this critical stage across retrogones, some coming very rapidly to a decision, whereas others are still undetermined millions of years after the duplication event.

Some aspects of our results, however, suggest that this interpretation is not sufficient. The \( d_{S}/d_{K} \) analysis reveals that at least two (Arpc1b and Nck2) retrogones have been evolving under significant functional constraint before they became pseudogenes. This might also be true of Psmc1 and Ndufa11, as suggested by estimated \( d_{S}/d_{K} \) ratios under \( M_2' \). This observation is not consistent with a pure NF model, which predicts a neutral stage posterior to duplication, followed by either gene loss of functional
divergence. It is difficult to understand how a gene undergoing purifying selection can become a pseudogene: how could natural selection act to remove deleterious nonsynonymous changes, but later on allow the loss of the whole gene function?

One possible explanation to this paradox would be that these retrogenes, as they are expressed and code for a functional protein, have an effective but dispensable functional impact. This could occur if, for instance, the protein encoded by the retrogene was competing with that encoded by the source gene. Mutations in the retrogene could produce a deficient protein with a deleterious impact, for instance if the mutated protein linked the substrate but did not process it. Such duplicates would therefore generate an undesired functional redundancy, increasing the genetic load without conferring any advantage, until a fully silencing mutation (e.g. an early stop codon, a frameshift in the coding sequence...
or a transcription arrest) eventually leads to pseudogenization *sensu stricto*. We note that among the retrogenes we studied a majority of those with an autosomal source copy show signs of pseudogenization, whereas none of the three with an X source copy does. Functional redundancy is less likely to occur for the latter category in the testis (the major expression site of retrogenes) as most X genes are inactivated during spermatogenesis. This could in turn reduce, or even suppress the selection pressure for pseudogenization on these retrogenes of X origin and contribute to explain why autosomal retrogenes more often derive from X than autosomal source copies (Emerson *et al.*, 2004). Purifying selection against dominant mutations on redundant genes was invoked by Hughes (1994) as a possible explanation for the lower than 1 $d_{s}/d_{a}$ ratio observed between recent duplicates in *Xenopus laevis* (Hughes & Hughes, 1993). If confirmed, this process would imply a longer time period before complete loss of function of the retrogenes, when compared with the standard model, leaving more time for potential adaptive changes of expression pattern. If the redundancy load is strong, it might even be the case that mutations resulting in complete silencing of retrogenes are favoured by positive selection, which should be testable by comparative analyses of recently pseudogenized retrogenes and by polymorphism studies around such retrogenes.

Our results therefore confirm that, as could have been expected, retrocopied duplicates most frequently evolve through NF and/or gene loss, but not SF. We furthermore outline the fact that purifying selection is the predominant mode of sequence evolution very quickly posterior to retroduplication, when the ultimate fate of the duplicates is not yet determined. The ‘redundancy load’ hypothesis, if confirmed, illustrates how ambiguous the definition of the functional status of a duplicated gene can be.

### Acknowledgments

Mice from the ‘Conservatoire Génétique de souris sauvages’ (http://www.univ-montp2.fr/~genetix/souris.htm) were kindly provided by Jean-Jacques Duquesne, Annie Orth and François Bonhomme. We thank E. Desmarais and Institut Fédératif de Recherche 119 for providing the DNA sequencing equipment and protocols. This work was funded by institutional support from the CNRS and Université Montpellier II.

### References


**Supplementary Material**

The following supplementary material is available for this article online:

- Appendix S1. Data.
- Appendix S2. Primers.
- Appendix S3. Strains.

This material is available as part of the online article from http://www.blackwell-synergy.com

Received 5 July 2006; revised 25 August 2006; accepted 28 August 2006


JOURNAL COMPILATION © 2006 EUROPEAN SOCIETY FOR EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY