

The Decreasing Prevalence of Nonrefractive Visual Impairment in Older Europeans

Cécile Delcourt, Mélanie Le Goff, Therese von Hanno, Alireza Mirshahi, Anthony Khawaja, Virginie Verhoeven, Ruth Hogg, Eleftherios Anastosopoulos, Maria Luz Cachulo, René Höhn, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Cécile Delcourt, Mélanie Le Goff, Therese von Hanno, Alireza Mirshahi, Anthony Khawaja, et al.. The Decreasing Prevalence of Nonrefractive Visual Impairment in Older Europeans. Oph-thalmology: Journal of The American Academy of Ophthalmology, 2018, 125 (8), pp.1149-1159. 10.1016/j.ophtha.2018.02.005. hal-02341535

HAL Id: hal-02341535 https://hal.umontpellier.fr/hal-02341535

Submitted on 17 Mar 2024 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 The decreasing prevalence of non-refractive visual impairment in older

2 Europeans: a meta-analysis of published and unpublished data

4	Cécile Delcourt ¹ , PhD, Mélanie Le Goff ¹ , MSc, Therese von Hanno ^{2,3} , MD, Alireza Mirshahi ^{4,5} , MD,
5	Anthony P Khawaja ⁶ , MD, Virginie J.M. Verhoeven ^{7,8} , MD, Ruth E Hogg ⁹ , PhD, Eleftherios
6	Anastosopoulos ¹⁰ , PhD, Maria Luz Cachulo ^{11,12} , MD, René Höhn ^{5,13} , MD, Christian Wolfram ⁵ , MD,
7	Alain Bron ¹⁴ , MD, Stefania Miotto ¹⁵ , MD, Isabelle Carrière ^{16,17} , PhD, Johanna M Colijn ^{7,8} , MD,
8	Gabriëlle HS Buitendijk ^{7,8} , MD, Jennifer Evans ¹⁸ , PhD, Dorothea Nitsch ¹⁸ , MD, Panayiota Founti ¹⁰ , MD,
9	Jennifer LY Yip ^{6,18} , PhD, Norbert Pfeiffer ⁵ , MD, Catherine Creuzot-Garcher ¹⁴ , MD, Rufino Silva ^{11,12,19} ,
10	MD, Stefano Piermarocchi ²⁰ , MD, Fotis Topouzis ¹⁰ , MD, Geir Bertelsen ^{3,21} , MD, Paul J Foster ^{22,23} , MD,
11	Astrid Fletcher ¹⁸ , MD, Caroline CW Klaver ^{7,8} , MD, Jean-François Korobelnik ^{1,24} , MD, for the European
12	Eye Epidemiology (E3) consortium*
13	
14	*E3 consortium are listed as online-only material (available at aaojournal.org).
15	1 Univ. Bordeaux, Inserm, Bordeaux Population Health Research Center, team LEHA, UMR 1219, F-
16	33000 Bordeaux, France
17	2 UIT The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway.
18	3 Nordland Hospital, Bodø, Norway
19	4 Dardenne Eye Clinic, Bonn-Bad Godesberg, Bonn, Germany
20	5 Department of Ophthalmology, University Medical Center Mainz, Mainz, Germany
21	6 Department of Public Health & Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

- 22 7 Department of Ophthalmology, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands
- 23 8 Department of Epidemiology, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands
- 24 9 Centre for Experimental Medicine, Queen's University Belfast, Grosvenor Road, Belfast, Northern
- 25 Ireland
- 26 10 Department of Ophthalmology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, AHEPA Hospital, Thessaloniki,
- 27 Greece
- 28 11 Department of Ophthalmology, Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra (CHUC), Coimbra,
- 29 Portugal
- 30 12 Association for Innovation and Biomedical Research on Light and Image (AIBILI), Coimbra,
- 31 Portugal.
- 32 13 Department of Ophthalmology, Inselspital, University Hospital Bern, University of Bern, Bern,
- 33 Switzerland
- 14 Department of Ophthalmology, University Hospital, Eye and Nutrition Research Group, Dijon,
- 35 France
- 36 15 Department of Ophthalmology, Camposampiero Hospital, Camposiero, Italy
- 37 16 Inserm, U1061, Montpellier, F-34093 France
- 38 17 Univ Montpellier, Montpellier, F-34000 France
- 39 18 London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom
- 40 19 Faculty of Medicine, Institute for Biomedical Imaging and Life Sciences (IBILI), University
- 41 of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal
- 42 20 Department of Ophthalmology, University of Padua, Padua, Italy
- 43 21 University Hospital of North Norway, Tromsø, Norway
- 44 22 Integrative Epidemiology, UCL Institute of Ophthalmology, London EC1V 9EL, United Kingdom

- 45 23 NIHR Biomedical Research Centre at Moorfields Eye Hospital, London, United Kingdom
- 46 24 CHU de Bordeaux, Service d'Ophtalmologie, Bordeaux, F-33000, France
- 47
- 48 **Corresponding author/reprints:** Cécile Delcourt, Inserm U1219, Université de Bordeaux, 146 rue Léo
- 49 Saignat, 33076 Bordeaux Cedex. Tél: +33 5 57 57 11 91; email: cecile.delcourt@u-bordeaux.fr
- 50
- 51 Meeting presentation: Presented at the annual ARVO (Association for Research in Vision and 52 Ophthalmology) meeting in May 2014 (Delcourt C, European Eye Epidemiology (E3) consortium. 53 Prevalence of visual impairment in elderly Europeans: geographical and temporal trends. *Invest* 54 *Ophthalmol Vis Sci. ARVO Meeting Abstracts* 2014 55:6081).
- 55

56 Financial support:

ALIENOR The Alienor study received financial support from Laboratoires Théa (Clermont-Ferrand,
 France). Laboratoires Théa participated in the design of the study, but no sponsor participated in the
 collection, management, statistical analysis and interpretation of the data, nor in the preparation,
 review or approval of the present manuscript.

Coimbra Eye Study The Coimbra Eye Study received financial support exclusively from Novartis.
 Novartis did not participate in the study design or the collection, management, statistical analysis,
 interpretation or publication of the study results.

64 EPIC-Norfolk EPIC-Norfolk infrastructure and core functions are supported by grants from the 65 Medical Research Council (G1000143) and Cancer Research UK (C864/A14136). The clinic for the 66 third health examination was funded by Research into Ageing (262). Yip is a National Institute for 67 Health Research (NIHR) Clinical Lecturer. Mr Khawaja is a Wellcome Trust funded Clinical Research 68 Fellow. Prof Foster has received additional support from the Richard Desmond Charitable Trust (via 69 Fight for Sight). Prof Foster and Peto received funding from the Department for Health through the 70 award made by the National Institute for Health Research to Moorfields Eye Hospital and the UCL 71 Institute of Ophthalmology for a specialist Biomedical Research Centre for Ophthalmology. None of 72 the funding organisations had a role in the design or conduct of the research.

EUREYE The EUREYE Study was supported by grant QLK6-CT-1999-02094 from the European
 Commission Vth Framework. Additional funding for cameras was provided by the Macular Disease
 Society. The Alicante site was supported by grants FIS 01/1692E and RCESPC03/09 from the Spanish

- 76 Ministry of Health; by Centro de Investigacion Biome´dica en Red de Epidemiologia´ y Salud Pu´ blica;
- and by grants CTGCA/2002/06 and G03/136 from the Generalitat Valenciana. None of the funding
- 78 organizations had a role in the design or conduct of the research.

79 Gutenberg Health Study The Gutenberg Health Study is funded through the government of 80 Rhineland-Palatinate ("Stiftung Rheinland-Pfalz für Innovation", contract AZ 961-386261/733), the 81 research programs "Wissen schafft Zukunft" and "Center for Translational Vascular Biology (CTVB)" 82 of the Johannes Gutenberg-University of Mainz, and its contract with Boehringer Ingelheim, PHILIPS 83 Medical Systems and Novartis Pharma, including an unrestricted grant for the Gutenberg Health 84 Study. Funders were involved in the development of the study design as scientific consultants. 85 However, they played no role in data collection, analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the 86 manuscript.

87 *Montrachet* This study was funded by public institutions; the Regional Council of Burgundy and an 88 interregional grant from the Ministry of Health (PHRC Interregional).

89 *MRC Trial* The MRC trial of assessment of older people was funded by the UK Medical Research 90 Council, the Department of Health for England & Wales and the Scottish Office. The funding 91 organizations had no role in data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of this 92 research

93 PAMDI The PAMDI Study project was designed by the Department of Ophthalmology of the 94 University of Padua and the National Italian Institute for Research on Food and Nutrition, Rome, Italy. 95 The municipalities of Padua, Teolo and Torreglia supported patients' recruitment for the urban and 96 rural sample, respectively. Data collection was performed by the Department of Opthalmology of the 97 University of Padua and by the Eye Clinic of Abano Terme Hospital, Abano Terme, Italy, and Ibis 98 informatica s.r.l., Milan, Italy. The study was conducted in collaboration with the Reading Centre of 99 the Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK. No sponsor was involved in statistical 100 analysis and manuscript preparation.

POLA This study was supported by the Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (Inserm), Paris, France; by grants from the Fondation de France, Department of Epidemiology of Ageing, Paris, the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale, Paris, the Région Languedoc-Roussillon, Montpellier, France and the Association Retina-France, Toulouse; and by financial support from Rhônes Poulenc, Essilor, Specia and Horiba ABX Montpellier, and the Centre de Recherche et d'Information Nutritionnelle, Paris. The sponsors and funding organizations played no role in the design or conduct of this research.

108 Rotterdam Study The Rotterdam Study was supported by Erasmus Medical Center and Erasmus 109 University, Rotterdam, Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development (ZonMw), 110 the Research Institute for Diseases in the Elderly (RIDE), the Ministry of Education, Culture and 111 Science, the Ministry for Health, Welfare and Sports, the European Commission (DG XII), the 112 Municipality of Rotterdam, UitZicht, Stichting Combined Ophthalmic Research Rotterdam (CORR), , 113 the Netherlands Genomics Initiative/NWO, Center for Medical Systems Biology of NGI, Lijf en Leven, 114 M.D. Fonds, Henkes Stichting, Stichting Nederlands Oogheelkundig Onderzoek, Swart van Essen, Bevordering van Volkskracht, Blindenhulp, Landelijke Stichting voor Blinden en Slechtzienden, 115 116 Rotterdamse Vereniging voor Blindenbelangen, OOG, Algemene Nederlandse Vereniging ter 117 Voorkoming van Blindheid, the Rotterdam Eye Hospital Research Foundation, Erasmus Trustfonds,

- and Topcon Europe. The authors are grateful to the study participants, the staff from the Rotterdam
- 119 Study and the participating general practitioners and pharmacists.

Thessalonki Eye Study The Thessaloniki Eye Study was supported in part by: International Glaucoma
 Association, London, UK; UCLA Center for Eye Epidemiology, Los Angeles, CA; Health Future
 Foundation, Creighton University, Omaha, NE; Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center,
 Lubbock, TX; Pfizer, Inc., New York, NY; Glaucoma Research Education Foundation, Indianapolis, IN;
 Pharmacia Hellas, Athens, Greece; Novartis Hellas, Athens, Greece. All the grants were unrestricted.

Tromsø Eye Study received funding from the Norwegian Extra Foundation for Health and
 Rehabilitation through EXTRA funds, the Research Council of Norway, the Northern Norway Regional
 Health Authority and the University of Tromsø.

128

129 **Conflict of interest**:

130 CD is consultant for Allergan, Bausch+Lomb, Laboratoires Théa, Novartis, and Roche, and has 131 received grants from Laboratoires Théa, all outside the submitted work. RS is member of Advisory 132 Board for Allergan, Alimera, Bayer, Alcon, Novartis, and THEA, outside the submitted work. AK is 133 consultant for Novartis and Allergan, outside the present work. All other authors declare no 134 competing interests.

135

136 Running head:

- 137 Prevalence of visual impairment in Europe
- 138

- 140 **ABBREVIATIONS:**
- 141 AMD: age-related macular degeneration
- 142 BCVA: best-corrected visual acuity
- 143 E3: European Eye Epidemiology consortium
- 144 GBD: Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries and Risk Factors
- 145 PVA: presenting visual acuity
- 146 VEGF: vascular endothelial growth factor
- 147 WHO: World Health Organization
- 148

149 ABSTRACT

150 Topic: Our objective was to estimate the prevalence of non-refractive visual impairment and151 blindness in European subjects aged 55 years and older.

152 Clinical relevance: Few visual impairment and blindness prevalence estimates are available for the 153 European population. In addition, many of the data collected in European population-based studies 154 are currently unpublished and have not been included in previous estimates.

155 Methods: Fourteen European population-based studies participating in the European Eye 156 Epidemiology (E3) consortium (N=70,723) were included. Each study provided non-refractive visual 157 impairment and blindness prevalence estimates stratified by age (10 years strata) and gender. Non-158 refractive visual impairment and blindness were defined as best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) worse 159 than 20/60 and 20/400 in the better eye, respectively. Using random effects meta-analysis, 160 prevalence rates were estimated according to age, gender, geographical area and time period (1991-161 2006; 2007-2012). Since no data were available for Central and Eastern Europe, population 162 projections for numbers of affected people were estimated using Eurostat population estimates for 163 European high-income countries in 2000 and 2010.

164 Results: The age-standardized prevalence of non-refractive visual impairment in people aged 55 165 years or older decreased from 2.22% (95% confidence interval (CI): 1.34-3.10) in 1991-2006, to 0.83% 166 (95% CI: 0.38-1.28) in 2007-2012. It strongly increased with age in both time periods (up to 15.69 % 167 and 4.39% in subjects aged 85 or more in 1991-2006 and 2007-2012, respectively). Age-standardized 168 prevalence of visual impairment tended to be higher in women than men in 1991-2006 (2.67% versus 169 1.88%), but not in 2007-2012 (0.87% versus 0.88%). No differences were observed between 170 Northern, Western and Southern regions of Europe. The projected numbers of affected older 171 inhabitants in European high-income countries decreased from 2.5 million affected subjects in 2000 172 to 1.2 million in 2010. Of those, 584,000 were blind in 2000, by comparison with 170,000 in 2010.

173 Conclusions: Despite the increase in the European older population, our study indicates that the 174 number of visually impaired people has decreased in European high-income countries in the last 175 twenty years. This may be due to major improvements in eye care and prevention and/or decreasing 176 prevalence of eye diseases. 177 Visual impairment and blindness have profound human and socioeconomic consequences in all societies. People with vision loss experience a reduced quality of life,^{1, 2} greater difficulty with daily 178 living and social dependence,^{3, 4} higher rates of depression ^{5, 6} and an increased risk of falls and 179 180 related hip fractures.^{7, 8} Worldwide, vision loss is a leading cause of disability. ⁹ The costs of lost 181 productivity, rehabilitation, and education of the blind constitute a considerable economic burden for 182 the individuals, their family, and society. Vision loss also incurs both direct health care costs and indirect costs of lost productivity, welfare, and informal care¹⁰. The global annual cost of visual 183 184 impairment was estimated to be 3000 billion US dollars (563 billion US dollars for Europe).¹¹ Since 1999, prevention of visual impairment and blindness has been a priority of the World Health 185 186 Organization (WHO), through its joint program with the International Agency for the Prevention of Blindness, known as "VISION2020 - the Right to Sight".¹² In 2013, the World Health Assembly adopted 187 188 a new global action plan for the prevention of avoidable blindness and visual impairment for the period 189 2014-2019.13

190 A common cause of visual impairment is refractive error (such as myopia, hyperopia, astigmatism or 191 presbyopia), which can be corrected using optical correction (spectacles or contact lenses).¹⁴ Thus, 192 visual impairment due to refractive error is often termed "correctable visual impairment", while visual 193 impairment from other causes is often termed "uncorrectable visual impairment" or "non-refractive 194 visual impairment". Worldwide, major causes of non-refractive visual impairment currently are age-195 related eye diseases (cataract, age-related macular degeneration (AMD), glaucoma, and diabetic 196 retinopathy).¹⁵ For this reason, visual impairment is much more frequent in older individuals. Globally, 197 65% of visually impaired and 82% of the blind subject are aged 50 years or more.15

198

199 While estimates of the prevalence of visual impairment and blindness are regularly published for the 200 USA,¹⁶⁻¹⁹ such estimates are less reported for the European population. Although many epidemiological studies have been conducted in Europe,^{2, 20-24} there have been few attempts to 201 202 harmonize these studies in order to provide estimations of the prevalence of visual impairment 203 throughout the continent. In 2011, the EUREYE study suggested that the prevalence of visual 204 impairment and blindness may be higher in Southern Europe than in Northern Europe (with the 205 exception of Tallinn, Estonia, demonstrating prevalence rates as high as in Southern Europe) and that 206 European women may be more affected than European men.² However, this study was performed in 6

207 cities from 6 European countries (Bergen, Norway; Tallinn, Estonia; Belfast, UK; Paris-Créteil, France; 208 Verona, Italy; Thessaloniki, Greece), with a total of 4166 participants, and may not be representative 209 of the whole European continent. In 2014, prevalence rates for the European continent were estimated 210 in a systematic review and meta-analysis performed by the expert group convened for the Global 211 Burden of Diseases, Injuries and Risk Factors (GBD).^{25, 26} This meta-analysis suggests that the 212 prevalence of visual impairment and blindness has decreased in recent decades in all continents, and 213 in particular in Europe. It also showed higher prevalence rates of visual impairment in Central and 214 Eastern Europe compared with Western Europe, and somewhat higher prevalence of visual 215 impairment in women compared with men. However, because this meta-analysis relied on published 216 data, the definitions (thresholds, type of optical correction) and reporting (in particular age groups) of 217 visual impairment differed widely among the included studies, although these differences were in part 218 addressed by the authors using complex statistical modeling. In addition, many European population-219 based studies have collected data on visual impairment without publishing prevalence estimates, and 220 thus could not be included in this meta-analysis.

The European Eye Epidemiology (E³) consortium is a collaborative initiative between 41 epidemiological studies across Europe to share and meta-analyze epidemiological data on ocular health.²⁷ The aim of the present study was to provide more precise estimates of the prevalence of nonrefractive visual impairment in older Europeans and to assess potential temporal trends and geographical variations.

226

227 POPULATIONS AND METHODS

228 Studies and participants

To date, E³ comprises data from 41 studies with a range of ophthalmic data on approximately 170,000 individuals from population-based and other studies (case-control, cases only, randomized trials).²⁷ The present study was based on the fourteen E³ population-based studies that collected bestcorrected visual acuity (BCVA) data (n=70,723). Studies in the E³ consortium were eligible for inclusion in this analysis if they were population-based, and had available data on BCVA, together with sex, age at measurement, and year of measurement. As described in Table 1, participants included in this meta-analysis were mainly of middle to late age. Because only few studies included subjects younger than 55 years, we estimated prevalence of visual impairment and blindness only in subjects above this age. Visual acuity measurements were performed between 1991 and 2012. Designs and methods of included studies are described in Supplementary Online material (available at aaojournal.org). All studies adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki, and relevant local ethical committee approvals with specific study consent were obtained.

242

243 Demographic and outcome variables

All included studies measured distance visual acuity (mostly using Snellen or Early Treatment of Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) charts), with optimal refractive correction. Definitions of visual impairment and blindness vary in the literature. According to the WHO, moderate to severe visual impairment is defined as a visual acuity in the better eye <6/18 but \geq 3/60 while blindness is defined as a visual acuity <3/60. By contrast, in the United States, the threshold for visual impairment is 20/40. In order to be as comparable as possible with previous studies and use all available data in the participating studies, we used the following definitions of visual impairment and blindness:

- Non-refractive visual impairment (WHO standard): BCVA<6/18 (or 20/60) in better eye
- Non-refractive visual impairment (US standard): BCVA<6/12 (or 20/40) in better eye
- 253 Non-refractive blindness: BCVA<3/60 (or 20/400) in better eye

Differences in visual impairment by age (in ten year age bands from 55-64 years to ≥85 years), sex, time period (1991-2006 and 2007-2012, using the median of study periods), and geographical European region were examined. Countries were divided into three regions (Northern, Western, and Southern Europe) according to the United Nations Geoscheme ²⁸. No data were available from Eastern Europe.

259

260

262 Statistical analysis

For each visual endpoint, the investigators from each study provided the number of individuals stratified by sex and age group (55-64 years, 65-74 years, 75-84 years, 85 years or older). Random effects meta-analyses were performed to estimate prevalence rates. Random effects modeling was chosen over a fixed effects model, to take into account heterogeneity in study design characteristics. Subgroups with less than 50 observations were excluded from the analyses.

268 We first evaluated the variation in prevalence of non-refractive visual impairment and blindness with 269 sex, time period, and geographical area. Since non-refractive visual impairment and blindness strongly 270 vary with age and the age range was guite different among studies, we estimated age-standardized 271 prevalence rates for all aged \geq 55 years, using the following steps: firstly, for each stratum of sex, 272 period, and geographical area, prevalence rates were estimated using random-effect meta-analyses in 273 each age group (55-64 years, 65-74 years, 75-84 years, 85 years or older). Secondly, an age-274 standardization to age-specific European population was performed using the European Standard 275 Population 2010²⁹. This enabled prevalence estimates that are representative for the European 276 population, with appropriate weighting to the age demographic distribution of Europe. Subsequently, 277 random effects meta-analyses were performed with stratification by age, sex and time-period.

278 Finally, in order to estimate the numbers of people affected by visual impairment and blindness, we 279 applied the age- and period-specific prevalence rates to the population of European high-income 280 countries, as defined by the GBD (Andorra, Austria, Belgium, Cyprus, Denmark, Finland, France, 281 Germany, Greece, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Spain, 282 Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom).²⁵ Population estimates were obtained from Eurostat. To 283 obtain the estimates of numbers of people affected by visual impairment and blindness for the year 284 2000, we applied prevalence estimates of visual impairment and blindness for the 1991-2006 period to 285 the Eurostat estimates of population for year 2000. Similarly, for the year 2010, we applied visual 286 impairment and blindness prevalence estimates for the 2007-2012 period to the Eurostat population 287 estimates for year 2010.

288 Statistical analysis was performed using R (R Development Core Team (2013). R: A language and 289 environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

290

291 **RESULTS**

Fourteen studies were included in the statistical analysis (Table 1). They were conducted between 1991 and 2012 and included 70,723 participants. Age-specific prevalence estimates of the different visual endpoints in the participating studies are presented in Figure 1. The prevalence of nonrefractive visual impairment strongly increased with age in all studies. For non-refractive blindness, increasing prevalence with age was not so obvious in some studies, but this was mainly due to low number of affected subjects, particularly in the older age groups. A significant inter-study variability in age-specific prevalence estimates was observed, again especially in the older age groups.

299 In Table 2, we estimated age-standardized prevalence rates of visual endpoints according to several 300 factors (sex, period of eye examination, and geographical area). Prevalence of all visual endpoints 301 tended to be somewhat higher in women, but the confidence intervals were largely overlapping with 302 those of men. Age-standardized prevalence rates of all visual endpoints were much lower in the most 303 recent time period (2007-2012) in comparison to the older studies (1991-2006). Indeed, the 304 prevalence of non-refractive visual impairment (WHO standard) decreased from 2.22% to 0.83% 305 (p=0.02). As shown in Figure 2, the differences were more pronounced in the older participants, and 306 particularly striking in individuals aged 85 years or more: prevalence of non-refractive visual 307 impairment (WHO standard) was 15.69 % before 2006 and less than 4.39% after 2006. Similarly, in 308 this age group, prevalence of non-refractive blindness was about 3.26% before 2006 and 0.82% after 309 2006. By contrast, we observed no clear difference of prevalence of visual impairment and blindness 310 between Northern, Western and Southern Europe (for instance, for non-refractive visual impairment 1.64 %, 1.55 % and 1.53 %, respectively, p=0.40). 311

In Table 3, we estimated the prevalence rates and their 95% confidence intervals, for each age- and sex-strata in 1991-2006 and in 2007-2012. Women showed higher prevalence rates of all visual endpoints in studies performed before 2006, in particular in the oldest-old (for instance, for nonrefractive visual impairment, 21.45 % versus 13.11% in men, p=0.08). However, the difference was less pronounced in the more recent studies, with very similar prevalence rates in men and women in most age categories (for instance, for non-refractive visual impairment in the 85+ age category, 3.93% versus 4.03% in men, p=0.40).

In Table 4, we estimated the total number of inhabitants of European high income countries, affected by non-refractive visual impairment and blindness, in 2000 and 2010. Although the total number of subjects aged 55 years or more increased from 106 million in 2000 to 123 million in 2010, the number of subjects affected by non-refractive visual impairment decreased from 2.5 million to 1.2 million (5.2 million to 3.8 million when using the US standard). Similar decreases were observed for non-refractive blindness (584,000 to 170,000).

325

326 DISCUSSION

327 This study, which summarizes published and unpublished data from 14 studies performed in Europe 328 from 1991 to 2012, provides evidence for a major decrease in the prevalence of non-refractive visual 329 impairment and blindness in older Europeans in recent years. The age-standardized prevalence of 330 non-refractive visual impairment in people aged 55 years or older decreased from 2.22% in 1991-331 2006, to 0.83% in 2007-2012. It tended to be higher in women than men in 1991-2006 (2.67% versus 1.88%), but not in 2007-2012 (0.87% versus 0.88%). No differences were observed according to 332 333 geographical area. The projected numbers of affected older inhabitants in European high-income 334 countries decreased from 2.5 million affected subjects in 2000 to 1.2 million in 2010.

335 In a meta-analysis of population-based studies from high-income countries (including United States, 336 Australia, and Europe) performed in the 1990's, the prevalence rates for non-refractive visual 337 impairment according to US standards (BCVA<20/40) were very similar to our estimates, varying from 0.56% in subjects aged 55 to 59 years to 23.73 % in subjects 80 years or older¹⁶ (in comparison 338 with 0.72 % in subjects aged 55-64 years to 28.95% in those age 85 years or more for the 1991-2006 339 340 period in the present study). In the National Health and Nutrition Examination Study (NHANES), the 341 prevalence of non-refractive visual impairment (BCVA<20/40) in non-Hispanic whites aged 60 years or more was 3.9% (95% CI: 3.3 %-4.6 %) in 1999-2002, increasing to 4.5 % (95 % CI: 3.6%-5.3 %) in 342 343 2006-2008.¹⁹ We observed a similar estimate in 1991-2006 (4.68 %, 95 % CI:2.68%-6.68%) for the

period 1991-2006, with largely overlapping confidence intervals, but a lower estimate in 2007-2012 344 (2.86%, 95% CI: 1.52%-4.20%).¹⁹ This difference might be due to different temporal trends in Europe 345 346 and the United States (with stability or even increase in the United States, contrasting with decrease 347 in Europe) or to the fact that the decrease in prevalence of non-refractive visual impairment has 348 happened after 2008, and thus was not observed in NHANES. To our knowledge, there are no 349 available estimates of the prevalence of visual impairment in the United States after 2008. However, 350 the GBD meta-analysis is also in favor of a decreasing prevalence of visual impairment in Northern 351 America (from 3.5% in 1990 to 2.5% in 2010 for presenting visual acuity (PVA)<20/60).²⁶

352 The results of the GBD meta-analysis are not directly comparable to the present study, since they 353 were based on presenting visual acuity (PVA), thus including visual impairment due to refractive 354 errors. However, the temporal trends were similar to our study. Indeed, in the GBD study, the 355 prevalence of visual impairment and blindness (PVA<20/60 and PVA<20/400, respectively) decreased worldwide from 1990 to 2010.²⁵ This was in particular the case in European high-income countries, 356 357 with a prevalence of visual impairment in subjects aged 50 years or more estimated at 6.2% (95% 358 confidence interval (CI): 4.3%- 9.5%) in 1990 and 3.9% (95% CI: 2.8%- 6.6%) in 2010.²⁶ Since they 359 estimated that 47% of visual impairment was due to refractive errors at both time points, their 360 estimates appear somewhat higher than ours (2.22% and 0.83% for non-refractive visual impairment 361 and blindness, respectively).

In the present study, the prevalence of non-refractive visual impairment was also halved in the most recent period (2.22% in 1991-2006 compared with 0.83% in 2007-2012). This suggests that visual impairment due to eye diseases has decreased with time. Unfortunately, causes of visual impairment and blindness were available only in some of the included studies, mainly because of incomplete eye examinations in many studies (in particular absence of assessment of lens opacities, impeding the diagnosis of cataract, and absence of visual field testing, impeding the diagnosis of glaucoma, which are leading causes of visual impairment). The decrease in non-refractive visual impairment is most

369 probably due to improvement in ophthalmological care over the last 20 years, with an easier access 370 to eye care professionals in most European countries and a better reimbursement of medical 371 expenses. In particular, surgical procedures for cataract surgery, and intraocular lenses, have 372 improved over the last 20 years, increasing its availability, safety, and results in terms of visual acuity. 373 Indeed, the proportion of visual impairment due to cataract has been reported to decrease in the last 20 years, worldwide, and in particular in industrialized countries.¹⁴ Moreover, new ocular therapies 374 375 have been developed in this period, including intravitreal injections of anti-vascular endothelial 376 growth factor (VEGF) agents for exudative macular diseases (neovascular AMD, diabetic macular 377 edema, and macular edema due to retinal vein occlusion), which were introduced in 2006.³⁰⁻³² These 378 therapies have led to major improvements in the visual prognosis of these diseases, and most probably contribute to a decrease in the overall prevalence of visual impairment.^{34,35} For instance, a 379 380 decrease of 50 % of the incidence of blindness due to AMD has been reported in Denmark, mainly after the introduction of intravitreal therapies for AMD in 2006.³³ 381

Finally, a decrease in the prevalence of eye diseases themselves may have contributed to a decrease in the prevalence of visual impairment. Indeed, it is now clear that the prevalence of diabetic retinopathy, and diabetic macular edema has decreased after year 2000, probably because of improvements in the management of diabetes (although this might be partly compensated by an increase in the prevalence of diabetes itself).³⁴ Two American studies, and a meta-analysis in Europe, based on the E3 consortium, have also suggested that the prevalence of AMD may be lower in new generations. ³⁵⁻³⁷

Similar trends have been observed in the decrease of the prevalence of other age-related disorders, in particular dementia.³⁸⁻⁴⁰ This suggests that recent generations are aging differently, which is probably due to multiple causes, such as changes in education, living conditions, lifestyle habits (smoking, nutrition, physical activity), and medical care. In particular, generations born after World War II, which are now entering old age, have experienced quite different living and nutritional

conditions than those born before, and may age differently. While it is usually projected that the number of disabled older individuals will dramatically grow in future years because of the aging population, these recent reports, including ours, suggest that these projections may be overpessimistic. In this changing environment, epidemiological studies need to be repeated in order to monitor the trends in the prevalence of age-related disorders and related disability.

399 Similarly to other reports, women tended to have higher age-standardized prevalence rates of visual 400 impairment and blindness, although this was mainly observed in the first time period (1991-2006). In 401 the GBD meta-analysis, the prevalence of visual impairment was higher in women than in men in all world regions.²⁵ In the NHANES study, women had higher prevalence rates of visual impairment, 402 403 both in 1999-2002 (1.5% versus 1.2% for males) and in 2006-2008 (1.9% versus 1.5%), but these 404 differences did not reach statistical significance after adjustment for age, ethnicity, poverty, 405 education, health insurance, and diabetes. Reasons for these potential differences in visual 406 impairment among men and women are unclear, and the differences appear to have decreased in 407 the more recent years in Europe.

408 The E3 consortium has provided a large data set to meta-analyze temporal trends for prevalence of 409 visual impairment across Europe. One of the strengths is that this meta-analysis was built not only on 410 published data, but also on unpublished data, which have not been included in previous estimates. 411 The size of the dataset is much larger than in previous meta-analyses of European subjects, in 412 particular for the most recent time period (2007-2012). For instance, the GBD meta-analysis included 413 only 2 European studies conducted in this time period, both performed in Spain and totaling 1600 414 participants, while for the same time period, the present-meta-analysis included 6 studies from 7 415 European countries, totaling more than 36,000 participants. The estimates were also derived from 416 raw data provided by each study following standardized procedures, in particular in the definition of 417 the different visual endpoints.

Limitations of this consortium meta-analysis include heterogeneity between studies. Contributing studies inherently differed in study design and cohort sampling. To overcome this, we performed a random-effect rather than a fixed-effect meta-analysis, assuming no different true effect between studies. There are also differences between European countries in terms of urbanization, economy, social class, education and lifestyle, which are known to influence eye diseases. Data on these variables at an individual or study-specific level were not uniformly available, and therefore could not be included in the present study.

Representativeness of the population samples is probably also heterogeneous among studies. In order to assess whether the lower prevalence rates observed in the most recent studies might be due to a lower representativeness of those studies, we performed analyses limited to the 3 most representative studies of the 2007-2012 period (Rotterdam III, Tromsø 6th, and Coimbra Eye Study).
Prevalence of non-refractive visual impairment was similar in this subgroup (1.17%, 95% CI: 0.66% -1.67%) as in the main analysis for the 2007-2012 period (0.83%, 95% CI: 0.38%-1.28%), and lower than in the studies performed in 1991-2006 (2.22%, 95% CI: 1.34%-3.10%).

432 While the E3 consortium strives to include a maximum of European research groups involved in 433 ophthalmic epidemiology, participating studies were mostly from European high-income countries, 434 while no studies from Central and Eastern Europe could be included, except for a small sample from 435 Estonia. To our knowledge, only very few epidemiological studies including measurements of visual 436 acuity have been conducted in Central and Eastern Europe. For instance, only three such studies 437 were included in the GBD meta-analysis (including the sample from Estonia which is also included in our meta-analysis).²⁶ However, the available data suggest that the prevalence of visual impairment 438 439 and blindness may be higher in Central and Eastern Europe than in European high-income countries.²⁶ Thus, we decided not to extrapolate our findings to those areas of Europe. 440 441 Epidemiological studies conducted in these areas of Europe would be particularly informative.

In addition, as shown in Table 1, the majority of participating studies collected data only in subjects
aged 55 years or more. We therefore could not estimate the prevalence of visual impairment below

this age. Finally, most participating studies included only measures of best-corrected visual acuity, but not of presenting visual impairment, so it was only possible to estimate the prevalence of nonrefractive visual impairment. The causes of visual impairment were also generally not available. Future European epidemiological studies should strive to include measures of presenting visual acuity and to determine the causes of visual impairment, in order to give a more complete description of the epidemiology of visual impairment in Europe. In particular, uncorrected refractive errors represent a major cause of visual impairment and blindness worldwide, including in Europe ¹⁴.

451

In conclusion, this meta-analysis supports a decrease in the prevalence and numbers of older Europeans affected by non-refractive visual impairment and blindness in the last twenty years. This decrease may be due to major improvements in eye care and/or to a generation effect on eye disease incidence. These findings underline the need for continuing epidemiological monitoring of the temporal trends of ocular health in Europe.

457

458 Author contributions:

CD led the statistical analysis and drafted the manuscript. MLG performed the statistical analyses. All
 authors contributed to study design, data collection, data interpretation, revised the manuscript for
 important intellectual content and approved the final version of the manuscript.

462

463 **REFERENCES**

McKean-Cowdin R, Varma R, Hays RD, et al. Longitudinal changes in visual acuity and health related quality of life: the Los Angeles Latino Eye study. *Ophthalmology*. 2010;117:1900-1907, 1907
 e1901.

Seland JH, Vingerling JR, Augood CA, et al. Visual impairment and quality of life in the older
European population, the EUREYE study. *Acta Ophthalmol*. 2011;89:608-613.

469 3. Lam BL, Christ SL, Zheng DD, et al. Longitudinal Relationships among Visual Acuity and Tasks
470 of Everyday Life: The Salisbury Eye Evaluation Study. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2013;54:193-200.

471 4. Daien V, Peres K, Villain M, et al. Visual acuity thresholds associated with activity limitations 472 in the elderly. The Pathologies Oculaires Liees a l'Age study. *Acta Ophthalmol*. 2014;92:e500-506.

473 5. Carriere I, Delcourt C, Daien V, et al. A prospective study of the bi-directional association
474 between vision loss and depression in the elderly. *J Affect Disord*. 2013;151:164-170.

475 6. Lamoureux EL, Fenwick E, Moore K, et al. Impact of the severity of distance and near-vision
476 impairment on depression and vision-specific quality of life in older people living in residential care.
477 *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2009;50:4103-4109.

4787.Patino CM, McKean-Cowdin R, Azen SP, et al. Central and peripheral visual impairment and479the risk of falls and falls with injury. *Ophthalmology*. 2010;117:199-206 e191.

480 8. Yip JL, Khawaja AP, Broadway D, et al. Visual acuity, self-reported vision and falls in the EPIC-481 Norfolk Eye study. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2014;98:377-382.

482 9. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 310
483 diseases and injuries, 1990-2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015.
484 *Lancet*. 2016;388:1545-1602.

485 10. Chakravarthy U, Biundo E, Saka RO, et al. The Economic Impact of Blindness in Europe. 486 *Ophthalmic Epidemiol*. 2017;24:239-247.

487 11. Gordois A, Cutler H, Pezzullo L, et al. An estimation of the worldwide economic and health
488 burden of visual impairment. *Glob Public Health*. 2012;7:465-481.

489 12. Organization WH. Action plan for the prevention of avoidable blindness and vision490 impairment, 2009-2013. World Health Organization, 2010.

491 13. Organization WH. Universal eye health: a global action plan 2014-2019: World Health492 Organization, 2013.

493 14. Bourne RR, Stevens GA, White RA, et al. Causes of vision loss worldwide, 1990-2010: a 494 systematic analysis. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2013;1:e339-349.

495 15. Pascolini D, Mariotti SP. Global estimates of visual impairment: 2010. Br J Ophthalmol.
496 2012;96:614-618.

497 16. Congdon N, O'Colmain B, Klaver CC, et al. Causes and prevalence of visual impairment among
498 adults in the United States. *Arch. Ophthalmol.* 2004;122:477-485.

49917.Lee DJ, Gomez Marin O, Lam BL, et al. Trends in visual acuity impairment in US adults: the5001986-1995 National Health Interview Survey. Arch. Ophthalmol. 2004;122:506-509.

501 18. Vitale S, Cotch MF, Sperduto RD. Prevalence of visual impairment in the United States. *JAMA*.
502 2006;295:2158-2163.

50319.Ko F, Vitale S, Chou CF, et al. Prevalence of nonrefractive visual impairment in US adults and504associated risk factors, 1999-2002 and 2005-2008. JAMA. 2012;308:2361-2368.

505 20. Klaver CCW, Wolfs RCW, Vingerling JR, et al. Age-specific prevalence and causes of blindness
506 and visual impairment in an older population: The Rotterdam Study. *Arch. Ophthalmol.*507 1998;116:653-658.

508 21. Evans JR, Fletcher AE, Wormald RP, et al. Prevalence of visual impairment in people aged 75 509 years and older in Britain: results from the MRC trial of assessment and management of older people 510 in the community. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2002;86:795-800.

511 22. Gunnlaugsdottir E, Arnarsson A, Jonasson F. Prevalence and causes of visual impairment and 512 blindness in Icelanders aged 50 years and older: the Reykjavik Eye Study. *Acta Ophthalmol*. 513 2008;86:778-785.

514 23. Khawaja AP, Chan MP, Hayat S, et al. The EPIC-Norfolk Eye Study: rationale, methods and a 515 cross-sectional analysis of visual impairment in a population-based cohort. *BMJ Open*. 2013;3.

516 24. Bertelsen G, Erke MG, von Hanno T, et al. The Tromso Eye Study: study design, methodology 517 and results on visual acuity and refractive errors. *Acta Ophthalmol*. 2013;91:635-642.

518 25. Stevens GA, White RA, Flaxman SR, et al. Global prevalence of vision impairment and 519 blindness: magnitude and temporal trends, 1990-2010. *Ophthalmology*. 2013;120:2377-2384.

52026.Bourne RR, Jonas JB, Flaxman SR, et al. Prevalence and causes of vision loss in high-income521countries and in Eastern and Central Europe: 1990-2010. Br J Ophthalmol. 2014;98:629-638.

522 27. Delcourt C, Korobelnik JF, Buitendijk GH, et al. Ophthalmic epidemiology in Europe: the 523 "European Eye Epidemiology" (E3) consortium. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2016;31:197-210.

52428.DivisionUNS.StandardCountryandAreaCodes.525https://unstats.un.org/unsd/methods/m49/m49regin.htm (Accessed 4/3/2014).

- 526 29. Eurostat EC. Revision of the European Standard Population: Report of Eurostat's task force.
 527 Eurostat Methodologies and working papers. 2013.
- 528 30. Rosenfeld PJ, Brown DM, Heier JS, et al. Ranibizumab for neovascular age-related macular 529 degeneration. *N Engl J Med*. 2006;355:1419-1431.
- 530 31. Elman MJ, Aiello LP, Beck RW, et al. Randomized trial evaluating ranibizumab plus prompt or
 531 deferred laser or triamcinolone plus prompt laser for diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmology*.
 532 2010;117:1064-1077 e1035.
- 533 32. Korobelnik JF, Holz FG, Roider J, et al. Intravitreal Aflibercept Injection for Macular Edema 534 Resulting from Central Retinal Vein Occlusion: One-Year Results of the Phase 3 GALILEO Study. 535 *Ophthalmology*. 2014;121:202-208.
- 536 33. Bloch SB, Larsen M, Munch IC. Incidence of legal blindness from age-related macular 537 degeneration in denmark: year 2000 to 2010. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2012;153:209-213 e202.
- 538 34. Yau JW, Rogers SL, Kawasaki R, et al. Global prevalence and major risk factors of diabetic 539 retinopathy. *Diabetes Care*. 2012;35:556-564.
- 540 35. Klein R, Knudtson MD, Lee KE, et al. Age-period-cohort effect on the incidence of age-related 541 macular degeneration: the Beaver Dam Eye Study. *Ophthalmology*. 2008;115:1460-1467.
- 542 36. Klein R, Chou CF, Klein BE, et al. Prevalence of age-related macular degeneration in the US 543 population. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2011;129:75-80.
- 544 37. Colijn JM, Buitendijk GHS, Prokofyeva E, et al. Prevalence of Age-Related Macular 545 Degeneration in Europe: The Past and the Future. *Ophthalmology*. 2017;124:1753-1763.
- 546 38. Matthews FE, Arthur A, Barnes LE, et al. A two-decade comparison of prevalence of dementia 547 in individuals aged 65 years and older from three geographical areas of England: results of the 548 Cognitive Function and Ageing Study I and II. *Lancet*. 2013;382:1405-1412.
- 549 39. Grasset L, Brayne C, Joly P, et al. Trends in dementia incidence: Evolution over a 10-year 550 period in France. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2016;12:272-280.
- 40. Satizabal CL, Beiser AS, Chouraki V, et al. Incidence of Dementia over Three Decades in the Framingham Heart Study. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;374:523-532.
- 553
- 554

- 556
- 557 Figures legends:
- 558 Figure 1. Prevalence (in %) of non-refractive visual impairment according to age, in studies
- 559 participating to the E3 consortium (A: non-refractive visual impairment (best-corrected visual 560 acuity<20/60); B: non-refractive visual impairment (best-corrected visual acuity<20/40); C:
- 561 non-refractive blindness (best-corrected visual acuity<20/400))

562

- 563 Figure 2. Prevalence (in %) of non-refractive visual impairment according to age and period
- (non refractive visual impairment (A: non-refractive visual impairment (best-corrected visual acuity<20/60); B: non-refractive visual impairment (best-corrected visual acuity<20/40); C:
- 566 non-refractive blindness (best-corrected visual acuity<20/400))
- 567