



## Enhanced sieving from exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes via covalent functionalization

Lucie Ries, Eddy Petit, Thierry Michel, Cristina Coelho Diogo, Christel Gervais, Chrystelle Salameh, Mikhael Bechelany, Sébastien Balme, Philippe Miele, Nicolas Onofrio, et al.

### ► To cite this version:

Lucie Ries, Eddy Petit, Thierry Michel, Cristina Coelho Diogo, Christel Gervais, et al.. Enhanced sieving from exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes via covalent functionalization. *Nature Materials*, 2019, 18 (10), pp.1112-1117. 10.1038/s41563-019-0464-7 . hal-02310531

**HAL Id: hal-02310531**

**<https://hal.umontpellier.fr/hal-02310531>**

Submitted on 23 Jan 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Enhanced sieving from exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes via covalent functionalization

Lucie Ries,<sup>1</sup> Eddy Petit,<sup>1</sup> Thierry Michel,<sup>2</sup> Cristina Coelho Diogo,<sup>3</sup> Christel Gervais,<sup>4,5</sup> Chrystelle Salameh,<sup>1</sup> Mikhael Bechelany,<sup>1</sup> Sébastien Balme,<sup>1</sup> Philippe Miele,<sup>1,5</sup> Nicolas Onofrio,<sup>6,\*</sup> Damien Voiry<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institut Européen des Membranes, IEM, UMR 5635, Université Montpellier, ENSCM, CNRS, 34095 Montpellier Cedex5, France.

<sup>2</sup> Laboratoire Charles Coulomb, L2C, Université de Montpellier, CNRS, 34095 Montpellier, France.

<sup>3</sup> Sorbonne Université, Institut des Matériaux de Paris Centre (IMPC), 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris cedex 05, France.

<sup>4</sup> Sorbonne Université, Collège de France, Laboratoire de Chimie de la Matière Condensée de Paris (LCMCP), 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris cedex 05, France.

<sup>5</sup> Institut Universitaire de France (IUF), MESRI, 1 rue Descartes, 75231 Paris cedex 05, France.

<sup>6</sup> Department of Applied Physics, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, China.

\*Correspondence to: [damien.voiry@umontpellier.fr](mailto:damien.voiry@umontpellier.fr); [nicolas.onofrio@polyu.edu.hk](mailto:nicolas.onofrio@polyu.edu.hk).

Nanolaminate membranes made of two-dimensional materials (2D) such as graphene oxide (GO) are promising candidates for molecular sieving via size-limited diffusion in the 2D capillaries, but high hydrophilicity makes these membranes unstable in water. Here, we report a nanolaminate membrane based on covalently functionalized molybdenum disulfide (MoS<sub>2</sub>) nanosheets. The functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes demonstrate >90% and ~ 87% rejection for micropollutants and NaCl respectively when operating under reverse osmotic conditions. The sieving performance and water flux of the functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes are attributed to both control of the capillary widths of the nanolaminates and control of the surface chemistry of the nanosheets. We identified small hydrophobic

functions, such as methyl, as the most promising functional group for water purification. Methyl functionalized nanosheets show higher water permeation rates as confirmed by our molecular dynamic simulations, while maintaining high NaCl rejection. Control of the surface chemistry and the interlayer spacing therefore offers opportunities to tune the selectivity of the membranes while enhancing their stability.

Membranes are semi-permeable barriers that allow separating contaminants depending on various parameters including their size, charge and chemical affinity. Exfoliated two-dimensional materials have demonstrated promising performance as membranes for nanofiltration and desalination<sup>1-7</sup>. Seminal contributions from Nair's group have shown that stacking 2D materials such as graphene oxide can be used as nanolaminate membranes<sup>3,10</sup>. The pore size – dictated by the capillary width between the nanosheets – enables to efficiently sieve chemical species such as small ions and molecules depending on their size<sup>3,5</sup>. The remarkable property of the GO membranes is attributed to the unique surface chemistry of the nanosheets combining graphene-like and oxidized domains rich in oxygenated functional groups<sup>6,10-12</sup>. The graphene domains of graphene oxide nanosheets enable fast water diffusion thanks to large slip length whereas the oxygenated functions play the role of spacers allowing water to penetrate in the 2D capillaries<sup>12,13</sup>. Unfortunately the oxygenated functional groups render GO nanosheets highly hydrophilic which causes uncontrollable swelling of the membranes<sup>1</sup>. The swelling of GO membranes currently limits the control of its porosity – dramatically limiting the sieving performance – and eventually leads to irreversible degradation of the membrane integrity. Other 2D materials such as transition metal dichalcogenides have been investigated as nanolaminate membranes<sup>12,13,6,14,15</sup>. In particular MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets have been explored as building blocks of

membranes for water purification and gas sieving<sup>14,16</sup>. However the use of MoS<sub>2</sub> remains strongly hampered by the difficulty in controlling the width of the capillaries that is found to be critical for the sieving performance<sup>14</sup>.

## **Preparation and characterization of the functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes**

We fabricated nanolaminate membranes consisting in exfoliated single-layer nanosheets of MoS<sub>2</sub> functionalized with different types of functional groups varying their hydrophilic character. Single layer nanosheets of MoS<sub>2</sub> were obtained following our previously reported method based on lithium intercalation<sup>17,18</sup>. Covalent functionalization of the MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets was carried out by reacting the exfoliated nanosheets with organohalide molecules<sup>19</sup>. We selected 3 types of organohalide molecules: iodomethane, 2-iodoethanol and 2-iodoacetamide in order to vary the polarity of the grafted functional groups. The obtained functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes will be now referred as acetamide-, methyl-, and ethyl-2-ol- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes (Supplementary Fig. 4)<sup>20</sup>. The single-layer MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets were vertically stacked using vacuum filtration<sup>3,8,21,22</sup>. A typical functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membrane is shown in Figure 1a,b. The high stability of the MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets in solution translates into highly uniform membranes – no pinholes can be found under scanning electron microscope (SEM) (Figure 1c). The cross-section view of the membrane using SEM suggests a high degree of alignment of the nanosheets in the vertical direction (Figure 1d, Supplementary Fig. 5). High-resolution TEM images show the crystalline nature of the functionalized nanosheets (Figure 1e), while elemental mapping confirms the presence of functional groups on the surface of the nanosheets (Figure 1f). The covalent nature of the attachment of the functional groups was demonstrated by infra-red spectroscopy (Supplementary Figs. 7,8). <sup>13</sup>C CP MAS NMR was used to qualitatively assess the



covalent attachment of the functional groups by comparing the chemical shift of the aliphatic carbon ( $\alpha$ -C) of the functional group before and after the reaction – the spectra from the organohalide molecules are presented in Supplementary Figure 10. The chemical shift from the  $\alpha$ -C of the grafted molecules appears at 50.0 ppm, 34.7 ppm and 49.4 ppm for acetamide-, methyl- and ethyl-2-ol- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> respectively compared to -2.5 ppm, -23.2 ppm and 9 ppm for the corresponding  $\alpha$ -C of the organohalide references (Fig. 2a) <sup>19</sup>. The extent of functions grafted on the nanosheets was estimated using X-Ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and the density of functional groups reaches ~ 40 at.% corresponding to a density of functional groups of  $\sim 4.6 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  (Supplementary Figs. 9-12 and Table 2).

We investigated the structure of the functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes using X-ray diffraction (XRD). In the case of MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes, an intense peak can be detected at  $\sim 13.9^\circ$  and attributed to the (002) peak corresponding to interlayer spacing ( $d$ ) of  $\sim 6.18 \text{ \AA}$  (Figure 2b,c). The (002) peak is slightly shifted compared to the position from bulk 2H-MoS<sub>2</sub> crystal confirming the quasi-perfect restacking of the nanosheets (Supplementary Fig. 14). After functionalization, the (002) peak at  $\sim 13.9^\circ$  is displaced to lower angles at  $\sim 8.8^\circ$ ,  $\sim 7.5^\circ$ , and  $\sim 8.2^\circ$  for acetamide-, methyl- and ethyl-2-ol- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> respectively (Figure 2b). These displacements correspond to the increase of the interlayer spacing due to the presence of the grafted functions (Figure 2d). It is worth noting that the (002) peak from pristine MoS<sub>2</sub> completely disappears after functionalization suggesting that all the nanosheets in the membrane are functionalized. According to XRD and assuming a thickness of  $6.15 \text{ \AA}$  for a single layer of MoS<sub>2</sub> – including the van der Waals radius of two sulfur atoms [See “X-Ray diffraction (XRD) on MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes” in the Supplementary materials] – the width of the capillary ( $\delta$ ) of the MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes varies from  $\sim 0.03 \text{ \AA}$  for non-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> up to  $\sim 5.4 \text{ \AA}$  for methyl-

functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> (Figure 2b-e, Supplementary Table 3). One of the main limitation of graphene oxide membranes is the uncontrollable swelling when immersed in water, which deteriorates the sieving performance towards smaller chemical species and ions<sup>1,23</sup>. The functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes demonstrate limited swelling below than <15 % after 15 hours in water compared to ~ 65 % for graphene oxide (Figure 2e,f, Supplementary Figs. 16-18). This shows that covalent functionalization of the MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes increases the width of the capillaries while limiting the swelling. The effect of the functionalization of the MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets can directly be observed by contact angle measurements. Figure 2g shows the contact angle of a water droplet on pristine MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes compared to their functionalized counterparts. As expected, the contact angle increases with the hydrophobic nature of the grafted moieties and varies from ~ 58° up to 90° for pristine and methyl-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> respectively in perfect agreement with the decrease of the polarity of the functional groups.

### **Ionic and water transport in the nanolaminate membranes**

We first evaluate the ionic transport across the functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes for various salts: LiCl, NaCl and KCl using two-compartment cells filled with a salt solution and deionized water [see “Ion permeance measurements” section in the Supplementary Information]. The functionalized membranes demonstrate low permeation rates for Li<sup>+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> (Figure 3a,b). The ion permeation rates for acetamide- functionalized membranes are found to strictly depend on the ionic strength, while the permeation rates for ethyl-2-ol- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes do not significantly change with the increase of the ion concentration. At 1M of NaCl – corresponding to ionic strength of 1 M – the permeation rates for Na<sup>+</sup> are estimated to  $4.2 \times 10^{-5}$  ( $\pm 8.1 \times 10^{-6}$ ) mol h<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> bar<sup>-1</sup> and  $7.6 \times 10^{-6}$  ( $\pm 1.6 \times 10^{-6}$ ) mol h<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> bar<sup>-1</sup> for acetamide- and

ethyl-2-ol- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes respectively. These values are two orders of magnitude lower than the expected permeation rates obtained from the classical diffusion law (Supplementary Table 4) [See “Permeation rate calculations” section in the Supplementary Materials]. They are also 3 orders of magnitude lower than that of graphene oxide membranes (5×10<sup>-2</sup> mol h<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> bar<sup>-1</sup>) and similar to the Na<sup>+</sup> permeation rates for graphene oxide/graphene membranes (5×10<sup>-5</sup> mol h<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> bar<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>1</sup>. Such low permeation rates are attributed to the pore steric hindrance on hydrated ions as the hydrated ion diameters are typically larger than the capillary width of the functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes (Supplementary Table 6). Other factors such as the electrostatic interactions and the binding energy are also found to influence of the ionic transport (Supplementary Tables 5,6 and Supplementary Figs. 20,21)<sup>5</sup>.

The effect of the nature of the functional groups on the water permeance of MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes was then investigated in forward (FO) and reverse osmotic (RO) configurations. The thickness of the MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes was precisely adjusted from 250 nm up to 2 μm by controlling the volume of MoS<sub>2</sub> solution to be filtered. When used as FO membranes [See “Forward osmosis-driven measurements” section in the Supplementary Materials], the flux of water reaches up to 0.15 (±0.04) L m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> bar<sup>-1</sup> for ethyl-2-ol- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> – 2.5 and 3-fold higher than that of coordinated GO and dye-decorated MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets respectively (Supplementary Fig. 23)<sup>4,6</sup>.

We tested the MoS<sub>2</sub> nanolaminate membranes in RO configuration and the water flux was measured using pure water in a dead-end cell [see “Reverse osmosis (RO) measurements” section in the Supplementary Information]. Interestingly water does not flow across the non-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes (Figure 3c). This observation agrees with the close-to-ideal stacking of the nanosheets. In the case of non-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub>, the pore size of the capillaries between two successive nanosheets is estimated to  $\delta \sim 0.03 \text{ \AA}$  – too small for letting

water permeate<sup>14</sup>. At the opposite water permeates through all the functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes. The highest water flux is obtained for ethyl-2-ol- functionalized membranes with a flux of  $\sim 45 \text{ L m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ bar}^{-1}$  for a thickness of 250 nm (Figure 3c). For comparison, the highest water flux reported for GO membrane typically remains below  $10 \text{ L m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ bar}^{-1}$  for membranes with similar thickness<sup>14</sup>. Interestingly we found that the nature of the functional groups has profound effect on the permeation rate. The water flux decreases with the polarity of the functional groups demonstrating the importance of controlling the surface chemistry of the nanosheets for enhancing the water flux across the membrane (Figure 3d). We estimated the NaCl rejection from the different functionalized membranes with thickness ranging from 250 nm up to 1000  $\mu\text{m}$  using a salt concentration of 0.58 wt.% (0.1 M). All the functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes are found efficient towards the separation of NaCl from water and the salt rejection is maintained as high as 70 % for ethyl-2-ol- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes with a thickness of 250 nm for a water flux of  $43 \text{ L m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ bar}^{-1}$  (Fig. 3c). Remarkably- the water permeation from methyl- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes is largely preserved for thicknesses up to 4  $\mu\text{m}$  and we measured a water flux of  $24 (\pm 0.6) \text{ L m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ bar}^{-1}$  for 2  $\mu\text{m}$ -thick membranes – 14 times higher than ethyl-2-ol- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes with same thickness ( $1.68 \text{ L m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ bar}^{-1}$ ) (Supplementary Fig. 25). The behavior of methyl-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes is in apparent contradiction with the expected exponential decrease of the flux as the thickness increases. This phenomenon is attributed to locally higher degree of functionalization of the nanosheets together with the hydrophobic nature of the methyl groups – responsible for its improved slip length [see “Influence of the membrane thickness on the functionalization degree and stacking order of the MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets” and “Velocity profiles and slip length estimation” sections in the Supplementary Information]<sup>24–27</sup>.

## Micropollutant removals and water desalination by reverse osmosis

To further validate our approach, we assess the performance of functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes for nanofiltration and desalination. We measured permeation rates for several micropollutants in RO dead-end configuration [See “Molecular sieving performances under reverse osmosis conditions” section in the Supplementary Information]. We selected four key micropollutants such as an organic dye: phthalocyanine, a psycho-active drug: caffeine, a common drug: acetaminophen, and a hormone:  $\beta$ -estradiol – for which strategies of elimination are scarce and costly. We note that the micropollutants possess a large range of size from  $\sim 3.8$  Å up  $\sim 10$  Å (Supplementary Table 7). Our measurements reveal that the rejection:  $R$  ( $R=1-C_{\text{permeate}}/C_{\text{feed}}$ ) for all micropollutants tested is higher than 90% while the water flux is maintained above  $3 \text{ L m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ bar}^{-1}$  (Figure 3e). Notably, methyl- functionalized membranes are the most promising candidates towards water nano-decontamination; as the rejection reaches  $\sim 93$  % and  $\sim 94$  % for caffeine and acetaminophen respectively 100 % for the organic dye and  $\beta$ -estradiol. We also tested the performance of membranes towards desalination in RO conditions in dead-end configuration under a pressure of 9 bar and a 0.58 wt% NaCl solution [See “Desalination performance under reverse osmosis conditions” section in the Supplementary Information]. The salt rejection peaks at 87% with a water flux of  $1.6 \text{ L m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ bar}^{-1}$  for ethyl-2-ol- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes (Fig. 3f, Supplementary Table 8). Higher water fluxes are obtained using acetamide-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes, for which an 82 % NaCl rejection and a water flux of  $\sim 33.7 \text{ L m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ bar}^{-1}$  is achieved. The desalination performances from the functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes are superior to previous reports for 1D and 2D nanolaminate RO membranes. For instance, graphene oxide RO membranes exhibit a NaCl rejection of  $\sim 40$  % with a water flux of

4 L m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> bar<sup>-1</sup> when using a salt concentration of 0.12 wt.% and under 5 bar<sup>5</sup>. Our results also compare favorably to the performance of nanolaminate RO membranes based on graphene oxide (GO)/graphene hybrids that demonstrate a ~ 75% NaCl rejection with a water flux of < 0.46 L m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> bar<sup>-1</sup> when using a 0.58 wt.% NaCl solution (Supplementary Table 9)<sup>28</sup>. The functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> RO membranes also outperform hybrid GO/GO quantum dots and GO/carbon nanotubes RO membranes that exhibit NaCl rejections of 15 % and 59 % for water fluxes of 128 L m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> bar<sup>-1</sup> and 5.5 L m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> bar<sup>-1</sup> for a NaCl concentration of 0.02 and 0.06 wt.% respectively (Supplementary Table 9)<sup>29,30</sup>.

## **Molecular dynamic simulations of water flux**

In a view to rationalize the experimental results, we performed classical molecular dynamics simulations of water transport in the different functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> nanochannels [see “Molecular dynamics simulations” section in the Supplementary Information]. To describe with precision the potential chemistry between water, functional groups and MoS<sub>2</sub>, including partial charge transfer and polarization effects, we performed reactive simulations<sup>29</sup>. Simulation cells consist in two water reservoirs separated by a nanochannel, and the external pressure is controlled using the fluctuating wall method<sup>30</sup>. A snapshot of a typical simulation cell is presented in Figure 4a. We first study the water flux inside pristine MoS<sub>2</sub> as a function of channel width. Within the timescale of MD simulations, we did not observe any water molecule penetrating inside a MoS<sub>2</sub> channel of 8 Å width. When increasing the capillary width, the predicted water fluxes quickly rise to 1.1, 5.3, 110.5, 499.0, 1241.0 and 3302.0 L m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> bar<sup>-1</sup> for spacings of 10, 12, 14, 16, 18 and 20 Å, respectively (Figure 4b). The water flux was evaluated for the different types of MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes at a fixed interlayer spacing of 12 Å (Figure 4f). We

note that such value of interlayer spacing width is larger than that measured experimentally for non-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes. Fluxes predicted by MD simulation reach 5.3, 13.0, 1.9 and 3.2 L m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> bar<sup>-1</sup> for pristine MoS<sub>2</sub>, methyl-, acetamide- and ethyl-2-ol- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes in agreement with the experimental trend presented in Figure 3c.

To understand the effect of functionalization on the water flux, we studied the organization of water molecules in the various MoS<sub>2</sub> nanochannels, under pressure. We computed density profiles and axial density function (ADF) of water confined in the channels. [See “Density profiles” and “Axial density function” section in the Supplementary Information]. For pristine channels, we found that water molecules are highly organized in layers (Supplementary Fig. 37) and along the flux direction with a period of ~3 Å (Fig. 4c). Interestingly water molecules typically sit on top of sulfur atoms (Figure 4c,d). Such organization of confined water is in agreement with recent simulations of water between MoS<sub>2</sub><sup>33</sup>. ADF of water molecules between methyl-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> shows minimal structure along the flux direction while no structure is observed between acetamide and ethyl-2-ol functionalized layers (Figure 4d). We further calculated the hydrogen bond correlation function<sup>34</sup>. We found lifetimes of ~1 ns for water inside 12 Å MoS<sub>2</sub> capillaries, two orders of magnitude larger than in bulk water and characteristic of an ice-like structure. The presence of non-polar functional groups such as methyl lowers lifetimes by a factor of 2 leading to more bulk-like water (Supplementary Fig. 39). To correlate the water dynamics to the nature of the nanochannel, we analyzed the velocity of water molecules in contact with pristine and methyl-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub>. Figure 4e displays the time-averaged water velocity map in the XZ plane (under constant pressure) and its projection along the channel direction (Supplementary Figs. 40,41). The positions of sulfur atoms are indicated by white and black dashed lines in the velocity map and its projection, respectively.

We predict smaller velocity of water molecules located right under S-atoms. These locations represent “pinning points”, electrostatic in nature, between highly polarized O-H and Mo-S bonds. This suggests that S-atoms provide the template for the water structure. The presence of methyl groups substitutes some of these pinning points and enhances the velocity of water molecules located right below them. Our numerical simulations also reveal that methyl groups enhance the water flux by improving the water slip length and limiting the steric effect compared to other larger functional groups (Supplementary Figs. 31,42,43).

## Outlook

We have shown that covalent functionalization of exfoliated nanosheets can efficiently control the interlayer spacing and enhance the sieving performance of the nanolaminate membranes. The functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes demonstrate remarkable performance towards water purification and desalination compared to the current state of the art. Our experimental results suggest that small, hydrophobic functional groups such as methyl, are key for enhancing the water flow in MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes as supported by our molecular dynamic simulations. We believe our strategy paves the way to the preparation of membranes with tunable sieving behaviour. The control of the surface chemistry of exfoliated 2D materials allows further exploring nanofluidic phenomena inside nanolaminate membranes at fundamental and practical levels for water purification or osmotic energy.



## References

1. Abraham, J. *et al.* Tunable sieving of ions using graphene oxide membranes. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **12**, 546–550 (2017).
2. Kim, H. W. *et al.* Selective Gas Transport Through Few-Layered Graphene and Graphene  
5 Oxide Membranes. *Science* **342**, 91–95 (2013).
3. Joshi, R. K. *et al.* Precise and Ultrafast Molecular Sieving Through Graphene Oxide  
Membranes. *Science* **343**, 752–754 (2014).
4. Chen, L. *et al.* Ion sieving in graphene oxide membranes via cationic control of interlayer  
spacing. *Nature* **550**, 380–383 (2017).
- 10 5. Han, Y., Xu, Z. & Gao, C. Ultrathin Graphene Nanofiltration Membrane for Water  
Purification. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **23**, 3693–3700 (2013).
6. Hirunpinyopas, W. *et al.* Desalination and Nanofiltration through Functionalized Laminar  
MoS<sub>2</sub> Membranes. *ACS Nano* **11**, 11082–11090 (2017).
7. Heiranian, M., Farimani, A. B. & Aluru, N. R. Water desalination with a single-layer MoS<sub>2</sub>  
15 nanopore. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 8616 (2015).
8. Nair, R. R., Wu, H. A., Jayaram, P. N., Grigorieva, I. V. & Geim, A. K. Unimpeded  
permeation of water through helium-leak-tight graphene-based membranes. *Science* **335**,  
442–444 (2012).
9. Koltonow, A. R. & Huang, J. Two-dimensional nanofluidics. *Science* **351**, 1395–1396  
20 (2016).
10. Wei, N., Peng, X. & Xu, Z. Understanding Water Permeation in Graphene Oxide  
Membranes. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **6**, 5877–5883 (2014).

11. Wei, N., Peng, X. & Xu, Z. Breakdown of fast water transport in graphene oxides. *Phys. Rev. E* **89**, 012113 (2014).
12. Sun, L., Huang, H. & Peng, X. Laminar MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes for molecule separation. *Chem. Commun.* **49**, 10718–10720 (2013).
- 5 13. Sun, L. *et al.* Ultrafast Molecule Separation through Layered WS<sub>2</sub> Nanosheet Membranes. *ACS Nano* **8**, 6304–6311 (2014).
14. Wang, Z. *et al.* Understanding the Aqueous Stability and Filtration Capability of MoS<sub>2</sub> Membranes. *Nano Lett.* **17**, 7289–7298 (2017).
15. Deng, M., Kwac, K., Li, M., Jung, Y. & Park, H. G. Stability, Molecular Sieving, and Ion  
10 Diffusion Selectivity of a Lamellar Membrane from Two-Dimensional Molybdenum Disulfide. *Nano Lett.* **17**, 2342–2348 (2017).
16. Achari, A., S, S. & Eswaramoorthy, M. High performance MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes: effects of thermally driven phase transition on CO<sub>2</sub> separation efficiency. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **9**, 1224–1228 (2016).
- 15 17. Joensen, P., Frindt, R. F. & Morrison, S. R. Single-layer MoS<sub>2</sub>. *Mater. Res. Bull.* **21**, 457–461 (1986).
18. Eda, G. *et al.* Photoluminescence from Chemically Exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub>. *Nano Lett.* **11**, 5111–5116 (2011).
19. Voiry, D. *et al.* Covalent functionalization of monolayered transition metal dichalcogenides  
20 by phase engineering. *Nat. Chem.* **7**, 45–49 (2015).
20. Wang, H. *et al.* MoSe<sub>2</sub> and WSe<sub>2</sub> Nanofilms with Vertically Aligned Molecular Layers on Curved and Rough Surfaces. *Nano Lett.* **13**, 3426–3433 (2013).

21. Eda, G., Fanchini, G. & Chhowalla, M. Large-area ultrathin films of reduced graphene oxide as a transparent and flexible electronic material. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **3**, 270–274 (2008).
22. Acerce, M., Voiry, D. & Chhowalla, M. Metallic 1T phase MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets as supercapacitor electrode materials. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **10**, 313–318 (2015).
- 5 23. Yeh, C.-N., Raidongia, K., Shao, J., Yang, Q.-H. & Huang, J. On the origin of the stability of graphene oxide membranes in water. *Nat Chem* **7**, 166–170 (2015).
24. Holt, J. K. *et al.* Fast Mass Transport Through Sub-2-Nanometer Carbon Nanotubes. *Science* **312**, 1034–1037 (2006).
25. Secchi, E. *et al.* Massive radius-dependent flow slippage in carbon nanotubes. *Nature* **537**,  
10 210–213 (2016).
26. Balme, S. *et al.* Unexpected ionic transport behavior on hydrophobic and uncharged conical nanopore. *Faraday Discuss.* **210**, 69–85 (2018).
27. Balme, S. *et al.* Ionic transport through sub-10 nm diameter hydrophobic high-aspect ratio nanopores: experiment, theory and simulation. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 10135 (2015).
- 15 28. Morelos-Gomez, A. *et al.* Effective NaCl and dye rejection of hybrid graphene oxide/graphene layered membranes. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **12**, 1083–1088 (2017).
29. Han, Y., Jiang, Y. & Gao, C. High-Flux Graphene Oxide Nanofiltration Membrane Intercalated by Carbon Nanotubes. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **7**, 8147–8155 (2015).
30. Zhao, G., Hu, R., Zhao, X., He, Y. & Zhu, H. High flux nanofiltration membranes prepared  
20 with a graphene oxide homo-structure. *J. Membr. Sci.* **585**, 29–37 (2019).
31. van Duin, A. C. T., Dasgupta, S., Lorant, F. & Goddard, W. A. ReaxFF: A Reactive Force Field for Hydrocarbons. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **105**, 9396–9409 (2001).

32. Lupkowski, M. & van Swol, F. Computer simulation of fluids interacting with fluctuating walls. *J. Chem. Phys.* **93**, 737–745 (1990).
33. Kwac, K. *et al.* Multilayer Two-Dimensional Water Structure Confined in MoS<sub>2</sub>. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **121**, 16021–16028 (2017).
- 5 34. Chandra, A. Effects of ion atmosphere on hydrogen-bond dynamics in aqueous electrolyte solutions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 768 (2000).

## Acknowledgments

10 L.R. acknowledges scholarship from the Graduate School “Ecole doctorale des Sciences Chimiques Balard, ED 459”. D.V. acknowledges financial supports from “Project Axe Transverse Santé” and CNRS Cellule Energie exploratory project: “NANOSMO”. This project has also received partial funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No

15 804320). The French Région Ile de France - SESAME program is acknowledged for financial support (700 MHz NMR spectrometer). We thank The Hong Kong Polytechnic University and the department of Applied Physics for the computational resources. Didier Cot and Erwan Oliviero are acknowledged for the electron microscopy. We thank Valérie Flaud and Léa Causse for the XPS and the ICP-OES measurements.

## Author Contributions

D.V. conceived the idea, designed the experiments and wrote the manuscript. L.R. designed the experiments with D.V., fabricated the membranes and performed membrane characterizations

and analyzed the results. L.R. and D.V. analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. E.P. carried out HPLC and liquid NMR spectroscopy measurements. T.M. performed RAMAN measurements with L.R. and discussed the results with D.V. and L.R.. C.C.D. and C.G. performed  $^{13}\text{C}$  CAS NMR spectroscopy measurements and C.S. discussed the results with D.V. and L.R.. S.B. and M.B. assisted L.R. on ionic permeation experiments and discussed the water permeation results. N.O. performed the Molecular dynamic simulations and wrote the manuscript with D.V. and L.R.. P.M. discussed the results with D.V. and L.R. All of the authors edited the manuscript before submission.

## **Competing financial interests**

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

## **Data availability**

The data that support the plots within this paper and other findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

## **Methods**

### **Synthesis of exfoliated single-layer $\text{MoS}_2$ nanosheets**

$\text{MoS}_2$  nanosheets were prepared via lithium intercalation method as reported previously. 0.4 g of bulk crystal of  $\text{MoS}_2$  was mixed with 4 mL of n-butyllithium in hexane and refluxed for 48 h under argon<sup>18</sup>. The intercalated powder was then recovered by vacuum filtration and washed several times with hexane (4x50 mL). The intercalated powder was exfoliated in water at 1mg/mL and sonicated for 1 h to facilitate the exfoliation. The solution was then centrifuged to

remove all lithium cations as well as the non-exfoliated materials<sup>35</sup>. The single-layer nature of the exfoliated nanosheets has previously been characterized<sup>18</sup>.

### **Functionalization of single-layer MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets**

Single-layer MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets were functionalized using organohalide reagents.

Functionalization of the nanosheets was performed either in solution or directly on the membranes. In liquid phase, the reagents were added to the MoS<sub>2</sub> solution with a 10-fold excess.

After 48 h, the solution was filtered on the polymeric support in order to fabricate the functionalized membranes following the method described above. Alternatively MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets were functionalized after the fabrication of the membranes by diffusing the reactant

solution through the lamellar structure. The membranes made of MoS<sub>2</sub>-Acet. and MoS<sub>2</sub>-EtOH were functionalized in solution prior the fabrication of the nanolaminate membranes. MoS<sub>2</sub>-Met.

membranes were functionalized directly on the porous support after the filtration of the MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets. The non-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> solution was first filtered over a nylon membrane with

220-nm pore-size. After all the solution was filtered, the solution of organohalide reagents (for example iodomethane) – in 10-fold excess – dissolved in water:2-propanol (2:1 in volume) was

carefully poured in the filtration funnel while the MoS<sub>2</sub> membrane remains fully wet. The reagent solution was let to slowly diffuse through the MoS<sub>2</sub> membrane for 48 hours after what

the membrane was rinsed with 2-propanol (4×50 mL), ethanol (4×50 mL) and water (4×50 mL).

### **Fabrication of MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes**

The MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes were prepared by vacuum filtration as described previously<sup>36</sup>. Briefly, the solution of exfoliated single-layer MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets was filtered over porous polymeric supports with 25 nm and 220 nm pore sizes. Examples of polymeric support are typically made of

nitrocellulose, nylon, PVDF. In this study, we used Nylon supports with 200 nm pore size for testing all the MoS<sub>2</sub>-based membranes. The size of the filtration apparatus was 3.5 cm in diameter. The as-prepared MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes were then cut to a size of approx. 1 cm<sup>2</sup> in order to confirm the reproducibility of the performance for the same batch. At least 4 membranes were tested for each batch. We also fabricated and tested MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes from different batches in order to confirm the reproducibility of the results.

We measured that the density of the MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes is ~5.2 g cm<sup>-3</sup>. The porosity of the MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes was estimated using the equation:

$$\phi = 1 - \frac{\rho_{membranes}}{\rho_{MoS_2}} \quad (1)$$

where  $\rho_{membranes}$  and  $\rho_{MoS_2}$  are the density of the membrane and bulk MoS<sub>2</sub> (5.09 g cm<sup>-3</sup>), respectively. The density of the non-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes varies of only 5% compared to the density of bulk crystal. This indicates that the turbostatic stacking of the MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets in the membrane is close to the stacking of 2H MoS<sub>2</sub> crystals suggesting a dense structure.

### Molecular dynamics simulations

Simulations cells were built starting from a 6-atoms orthogonal primitive cell of MoS<sub>2</sub> in the 1T' phase<sup>37</sup> and replicated 20×8 in the in-plane directions to create one of the (upper and lower) channel wall. The curved region was achieved by bending to 90° 4 unit cells symmetrically along the long direction. This geometry insured a faster filling of the water molecule inside the channel. To further speed-up filling, water molecules were introduced using VMD<sup>38</sup> in both reservoirs and inside the nanochannel (for  $\delta \geq 10$  Å). C, H, O and N force field parameters were

taken from Ref. 39 and combined with that of MoS<sub>2</sub> from Ref. 40. We slightly modified the S-O van der Waals parameters to improve the water/MoS<sub>2</sub> interactions [see “Reactive MD and interatomic potential” in the Supplementary Information]. All simulations were performed with the large-scale MD package LAMMPS<sup>41</sup> with a time step of 0.5 fs in the NPT ensemble at 300 K and under 1 Atmosphere in the periodic directions. Periodic boundary conditions were applied along the directions perpendicular to the channel. Simulation cells were minimized and equilibrated for a short 5 ps run without external pressure followed by 500 ps under an applied pressure of 500 MPa to reach steady-state.

## References

35. Koppera, R. *et al.* Phase-engineered low-resistance contacts for ultrathin MoS<sub>2</sub> transistors. *Nat. Mater.* **13**, 1128–1134 (2014).
36. Acerce, M., Voiry, D. & Chhowalla, M. Metallic 1T phase MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets as supercapacitor electrode materials. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **10**, 313–318 (2015).
37. Voiry, D. *et al.* Covalent functionalization of monolayered transition metal dichalcogenides by phase engineering. *Nat. Chem.* **7**, 45–49 (2015).
38. Humphrey, W., Dalke, A. & Schulten, K. VMD: visual molecular dynamics. *J. Mol. Graph.* **14**, 33–38 (1996).
39. Kim, S.-Y. & van Duin, A. C. T. Simulation of Titanium Metal/Titanium Dioxide Etching with Chlorine and Hydrogen Chloride Gases Using the ReaxFF Reactive Force Field. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **117**, 5655–5663 (2013).
40. Ostadhosseini, A. *et al.* ReaxFF Reactive Force-Field Study of Molybdenum Disulfide (MoS<sub>2</sub>). *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **8**, 631–640 (2017).
41. Plimpton, S. Fast Parallel Algorithms for Short-Range Molecular Dynamics. *J. Comput. Phys.* **117**, 1–19 (1995).



**Figure 1 | Nanolaminate membranes made of covalently functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets. a-**

**b**, Photographs of a functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membrane prepared by vacuum filtration. **c**, Top view of a functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membrane observed by scanning electron microscope (SEM). **d**, Side view of a functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membrane observed by SEM showing the high degree of the alignment of the vertically stacked MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets forming 2D capillaries. **e**, High-resolution Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM) images of MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets functionalized with acetamide groups. Interlayer spacing of  $\sim 10.1$  Å can be observed from vertically aligned nanosheets (left) whereas the basal plane of the nanosheets are found crystalline (right) as confirmed by the diffraction pattern (inset). **f**, Energy Dispersive X-ray mapping of the Mo (in red) and N (in blue) elements from acetamide- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets.

**Figure 2 | Characterization of the functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes. a,** <sup>13</sup>C CP MAS NMR







spectra for the different covalently functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets. The peaks corresponding to the α-C of the functional groups are detected at  $\sim 50$  ppm, 34.7 ppm and 49.7 ppm for acetamide-, methyl- and ethyl-2-ol- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> respectively. **b**, X-ray diffraction spectra from pristine non-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes (blue) and functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes with acetamide (MoS<sub>2</sub>-Acet.; black), methyl (MoS<sub>2</sub>-Met.; green) and ethyl-2-ol (MoS<sub>2</sub>-EtOH; orange). After functionalization the (002) peaks of the MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets appear shifted towards lower angles due to the presence of the functional groups. **c-d**, Schematics of restacked MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets (**c**) and acetamide-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> (**d**) with the interlayer spacing:  $d$  and the capillary width:  $\delta$ . **e**, Evolution of the capillaries width from the MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes when immersed in water. **f**, Swelling of the graphene oxide membranes (in red) compared to the MoS<sub>2</sub>








membranes. **g**, Contact angle measurements from MoS<sub>2</sub> and functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes. The contact angle can to be tuned from 58° for pristine MoS<sub>2</sub> up to 90° for methyl-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub>. All error bars correspond to standard deviation of at least 4 samples.


**Figure 3 | Performance of the functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes towards water purification and desalination.** **a,b** Permeation rates for Na<sup>+</sup>, Li<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> across acetamide- functionalized (**a**) and ethyl-2-ol- functionalized (**b**) MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes measured for different ionic strengths under forward osmosis. Chloride was used as counter ion in all the cases. The permeation rates are relatively stable even for the highest ionic strength corresponding to 1M feed solutions. **c**, Water flux (dashed lines) and salt rejection (dotted lines) measured from MoS<sub>2</sub> and functionalized-MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes under reverse osmosis. The water fluxes have been obtained in dead end configuration using pure water. High water flux is observed for all functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes whereas the flux is suppressed in the absence of functional groups. **d**, Evolution of the water flux with the water contact angle of the functionalized membranes. **e**, Rejection of different micropollutants measured for the functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes measured under a pressure of 2 bars. **f**, Comparison of the desalination performance from 500 nm-thick functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes measured at 9 bar using a 0.58 wt.% NaCl solution with other membranes made of carbon nanotubes, graphene oxide and their hybrids as well as polymeric membranes based on Thin Film Composite (TFC) technology. All error bars correspond to standard deviation of at least 4 samples. We note that the salt concentration may differ between the experiments. The direct comparison of the desalination performance of the MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes with previous results from the literature can be found in Supplementary Table 9.

**Figure 4 | Molecular dynamics simulations of water transport in 2D nanochannels. a,**








Snapshot of a typical atomistic model used in the simulations with the x, y and z axes. The fluctuating wall method is used to control pressure in the water reservoirs. Periodic boundary conditions are applied in the y- and z-direction. **b**, Number of water molecules crossing the mid-point of the nanochannel as a function of simulation time for various interlayer spacings ( $d$ ). The logarithmic of the flux versus the interlayer spacing is represented in the inset. The water flux varies as the cubic power of the spacing. **c**, Snapshots zooming-in the nanochannels of various functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets. **d**, Axial density function representing the probability of finding a water molecule from a reference water molecule. For pristine case, we can clearly identify the structure of water in the axial direction with a period of approximately 3 Å while no clear structure is observed for the functionalized cases. **e**, Time averaged velocity map of the first layer of water molecules in the xz plane and its projection along the channel direction ( $v_x$ ). The velocity of water molecules is enhanced by the presence of methyl groups. **f**, Number of water molecules crossing the mid-point of the nanochannel as a function of simulation time for the 4 channels described in (c). The corresponding water fluxes are summarized in the inset. The fluxes are normalized to surface of the nanochannel. Methyl-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> channel enhances water flux.











**Enhanced sieving from exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes via covalent functionalization**

Lucie Ries,<sup>1</sup> Eddy Petit,<sup>1</sup> Thierry Michel,<sup>2</sup> Cristina Coelho Diogo,<sup>3</sup> Christel Gervais,<sup>4,5</sup>  
Chrystelle Salameh,<sup>1</sup> Mikhael Bechelany,<sup>1</sup> Sébastien Balme,<sup>1</sup> Philippe Miele,<sup>1,5</sup>  
Nicolas Onofrio,<sup>6,\*</sup> Damien Voiry<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Institut Européen des Membranes, IEM, UMR 5635, Université Montpellier, ENSCM, CNRS, 34095 Montpellier Cedex5, France.*

<sup>2</sup> *Laboratoire Charles Coulomb, L2C, Université de Montpellier, CNRS, 34095 Montpellier, France.*

<sup>3</sup> *Sorbonne Université, Institut des Matériaux de Paris Centre (IMPC), 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris cedex 05, France.*

<sup>4</sup> *Sorbonne Université, Collège de France, Laboratoire de Chimie de la Matière Condensée de Paris (LCMCP), 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris cedex 05, France.*

<sup>5</sup> *Institut Universitaire de France (IUF), MESRI, 1 rue Descartes, 75231 Paris cedex 05, France.*

<sup>6</sup> *Department of Applied Physics, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, China.*

\*Correspondence to: [damien.voiry@umontpellier.fr](mailto:damien.voiry@umontpellier.fr); [nicolas.onofrio@polyu.edu.hk](mailto:nicolas.onofrio@polyu.edu.hk).



## Table of content


Sections	Page
Enhanced sieving from exfoliated MoS <sub>2</sub> membranes via covalent functionalization .....	1
Materials and Methods.....	3
Size distribution of the single-layer MoS <sub>2</sub> nanosheets .....	3
Zeta potential measurements.....	4
Contact angle measurements from single layer 2H MoS <sub>2</sub> .....	5
Functionalization of single-layer MoS <sub>2</sub> nanosheets.....	6
Synthesis of single-layer graphene oxide (GO) .....	9
Physical characterizations .....	10
ATR-FTIR spectroscopy measurements on functionalized MoS <sub>2</sub> membranes.....	11
TGA measurements on functionalized MoS <sub>2</sub> membranes .....	12
<sup>13</sup> C Nuclear Magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR).....	14
X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) on functionalized MoS <sub>2</sub> membranes .....	15
Raman spectroscopy on functionalized MoS <sub>2</sub> nanosheets.....	19
X-Ray diffraction (XRD) on MoS <sub>2</sub> membranes .....	21
Stability of the functionalized MoS <sub>2</sub> membranes .....	23
Controlled of the swelling in the functionalized MoS <sub>2</sub> -based membranes.....	24
Ion permeance measurements .....	27
Permeation rate calculations .....	29
Binding energy between the ions and the functional groups .....	30
Forward osmosis (FO)-driven measurements .....	33
Reverse osmosis (RO) measurements.....	36
Stability of the water flux in dead-end configuration .....	39
Influence of the membrane thickness on the functionalization degree and stacking order of the MoS <sub>2</sub> nanosheets.....	40
Velocity profile and slip length measurements.....	46
Molecular sieving performances under reversed osmosis conditions.....	47
Desalination performance under reverse osmosis conditions .....	49
Comparison of the performance of the MoS <sub>2</sub> membranes with the state of the arts .....	51
Molecular dynamics simulations .....	57
Reactive MD and interatomic potential .....	57
Nanofluidic simulations .....	59
Density Profiles.....	61
Axial density function.....	62
Hydrogen bond correlation function.....	63
Size effect of functional groups .....	67
Concentration of the functional groups.....	69
References .....	70

## Materials and Methods

Bulk MoS<sub>2</sub> powder, iodomethane, 2-iodoacetamide, 2-iodoethanol (> 99 %), acetamide, n-butyllithium (1.6 M in hexane) and Nickel(II) phthalocyanine-tetrasulfonic acid tetrasodium salt were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Organic solvents were ordered from either Sigma Aldrich or Alfa Aesar and used as received.

### Size distribution of the single-layer MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets

The size of the single-layer nanosheets was analyzed by atomic force microscopy (Digital Instruments Nanoscope IV in tapping mode with standard cantilevers with spring constant of 40 N/m and tip curvature <10 nm). Supplementary Figure 1 shows the statistics of the size distribution of the exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets. The average length and width are estimated to 340 and 230 nm respectively.



**Supplementary Figure 1.** (a) AFM image of chemically exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets. (b) Size distribution of the exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets measured by Atomic Force Microscopy.

## Zeta potential measurements


The Zeta potential measurements ( $\zeta$ ) of as-exfoliated and functionalized (1T) MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets were performed using an Anton Paar Litesizer 500 Particle Analyzer at neutral pH (in water). Our results shows that the zeta potential for pristine MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets is  $-45.4 \pm 0.8$  mV confirming the presence of a large excess of negative charges in the exfoliated materials. This result agrees with previous results from the literature <sup>1</sup>. As expected, the Zeta potential decreases after covalent functionalization because of the consumption of the extra electrons during the functionalization reaction. We found a remarkable agreement between the contact angle and the Zeta potential for the different MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes. More importantly the evolution of the Zeta potential closely follows the trend of polarity of the functional groups:  $\zeta_{\text{MoS}_2\text{-Acet.}} > \zeta_{\text{MoS}_2\text{-EtOH}} > \zeta_{\text{MoS}_2\text{-Met}}$  (the values are presented in Table 3 below). The Zeta potential measurements suggest that the nanosheets remain partially charged after functionalization and that the density of charges can be tuned by the nature of the functional groups grafted on the nanosheets.

**Supplementary Table 1** Zeta potential and contact angle measurements of as-exfoliated (1T) MoS<sub>2</sub> and functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub>

Samples	Zeta potential ( $\xi$ , mV)	Contact angle
1T MoS <sub>2</sub>	$-45.4 \pm 0.8$	58°
2H MoS <sub>2</sub>	-30 (Ref 6)	98°
MoS <sub>2</sub> -Acet.	$-39.3 \pm 0.4$	72°
MoS <sub>2</sub> -Met.	$-29.6 \pm 0.3$	90°
MoS <sub>2</sub> -EtOH	$-35.5 \pm 0.7$	80°

### Contact angle measurements from single layer 2H MoS<sub>2</sub>


The contact angle of the as-exfoliated (1T) MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes is 58°. We compared this value with the contact angle of single-layer 2H MoS<sub>2</sub> grown by chemical vapor deposition<sup>2</sup>. We found that the contact angle of 2H MoS<sub>2</sub> is ~ 95° (Supplementary Figure 2). This value is close to the ones reported by Chow et al. for single-layer MoS<sub>2</sub> and WS<sub>2</sub>: 82° and 85°, respectively. The lower contact angle of as-exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes is attributed to the presence of charge in chemically exfoliated 1T MoS<sub>2</sub>. Indeed Heising et al. have reported that there is a 30% excess of charge in the chemically exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets<sup>4</sup>. This is also confirmed by the Zeta potential of as-exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> which is significantly larger than that of functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> and 2H MoS<sub>2</sub><sup>5</sup>: -45.4 mV vs. -30 mV for 1T and 2H MoS<sub>2</sub>, respectively.




**Supplementary Figure 2.** Water contact angle for single-layer MoS<sub>2</sub> grown by CVD and deposited on SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si wafer.

### Functionalization of single-layer MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets


Single-layer MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets were functionalized using organohalide reagents. Functionalization of the nanosheets was performed either in solution or directly on the membranes. According to the (002) peak obtained from X-ray diffraction (XRD), the *d*-space is estimated to about 13 Å ( $2\theta = 6.6^\circ$ ) and 6.18 Å ( $2\theta = 14.4^\circ$ ) in the case of as-filtered and dried MoS<sub>2</sub> membrane respectively (Supplementary Figure 3). The large physical gaps between the MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets allow the reagents and the solvent to slowly diffuse between the layers. The reagent solution was let to slowly diffuse through the MoS<sub>2</sub> membrane for 48 hours after what the membrane was rinsed with 2-propanol (4×50 mL), ethanol (4×50 mL) and water (4×50 mL). The organohalide reagent is typically dissolved in the 2:1 water:2-propanol solution at the concentration of 15 mM. For example, for a membrane of 250 nm in thickness, the typical mass of MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets is estimated to be 1.14 mg ( $7.1 \times 10^{-6}$  mol). A 10-fold excess of iodomethane corresponding to  $7.1 \times 10^{-5}$  mol (0.010 g) was used for the functionalization and dissolved in 4.7 mL of water:2-propanol solution. The excess of reagents and sub-products of the reaction was eliminated by rising with 2-propanol (4×50mL), ethanol (4×50 mL) and water (4×50 mL). Finally the membranes were dried in air at 60°C under atmospheric pressure. Cross section SEM observations confirm the stacking of the nanosheets in the different functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes while the presence of aggregates and crumpling is not detected (Supplementary Figure 5).



**Supplementary Figure 3.** X-ray diffraction spectra centered around the (002) peak (dashed line) from as-filtered (pink), partially dried (green) and dried (blue) nanolaminate MoS<sub>2</sub> membrane




**Supplementary Figure 4.** Schematic representation of the functional groups on the surface of the MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets.



**Supplementary Figure 5.** Cross section images of pristine (a), acetamide (b), methyl (c) and ethyl-2-ol (d) functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes. Insets: Top view observations of the corresponding membranes under SEM.

To further attest the covalent bonding of functional groups on MoS<sub>2</sub>, we let the exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets reacting with acetamide following the same methodology. Supplementary Figure 6 shows the X-ray diffraction signals from membranes made of exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets treated with acetamide and 2-iodoacetamide. We observe no shift of the (002) peak in comparison to non-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes. This indicates that the washing step, after functionalization, efficiently removes any

intercalated species non-covalently bonded to the nanosheets. It also demonstrates the key role played by the iodine groups in the functionalization reaction.



**Supplementary Figure 6.** X-Ray diffraction spectra from non-functionalized  $\text{MoS}_2$  membranes compared to  $\text{MoS}_2$  membranes treated with acetamide and 2-iodoacetamide.

### Synthesis of single-layer graphene oxide (GO)

GO was prepared from natural graphite by the modified Hummers' method.<sup>6</sup> Graphite powder (1.5 g) was mixed with  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  (50.7 mL) and sodium nitrate (1.14g). In an ice bath,  $\text{KMnO}_4$  (6 g) was added slowly while stirring. After 72 hours, 150 mL solution of  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  was slowly added and the reaction was finally quenched by the addition of 4.5 mL of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  (30%). Metal ion impurities were removed by treating the solution with 10%  $\text{HCl}$ . The solution was then washed using dialysis (molecular weight cut-off = 14,000,




Sigma-Aldrich) to completely remove metal ions and acids. The GO nanosheets were centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 3 minutes to remove the non-exfoliated particles.

### **Physical characterizations**

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Thermo Scientific K-Alpha spectrometer) was performed using a Al-K $\alpha$  microfocused monochromatized source (1486.7 eV) with a resolution of 0.6 eV and a spot size of 400  $\mu$ m. High resolution transmission electron microscopy images (HRTEM) were obtained using HRTEM JEOL 2200 FS microscope with an emission gun operating at 200 kV. Elemental mappings have been performed using Scanning Transmission Electron Microscope (STEM) coupled with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) using SDD JEOL (30 mm<sup>2</sup>- 129 eV). Functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets were characterized using RAMAN spectroscopy (Renishaw 1000 system operating at 514 nm), attenuated total reflectance FTIR (Nicolet Nexus FT-IR spectrometer equipped with a ATR Diamant Golden Gate) and solid state <sup>13</sup>C CP MAS NMR (Bruker Avance 700 MHz). Organohalide reagents were analyzed by <sup>13</sup>C solution nuclear magnetic resonance (Avance 300 MHz instrument from Bruker); both 2-iodoethanol and iodomethane were analyzed using CDCl<sub>3</sub> (77.3 ppm) as an internal solvent whereas 2-iodoacetamide spectra was recorded in D<sub>2</sub>O. X-ray Diffraction was performed on an Xpert Pro apparatus (Philips Pana Analytical, Cu K $\alpha$ ;  $\lambda$  = 1.5418 Å) operating at 40 kV and 20 mA. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was carried out on a Zeiss Sigma Field Emission SEM with an Oxford INCA PentaFETx3 EDS system (model 8100).

### ATR-FTIR spectroscopy measurements on functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes


ATR-FTIR spectroscopy was performed on MoS<sub>2</sub>-derived materials (Supplementary Figure 7). The ATR-FTIR spectra of functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets bring the first evidence of covalent grafting of the functional groups. The S-C stretching is identified at  $\sim 710$  cm<sup>-1</sup> for both acetamide-, methyl- and ethyl-2-ol- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub>, whereas the stretching mode  $\gamma_w$  for S-CH<sub>2</sub>- appears at 1151 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1129 cm<sup>-1</sup> for acetamide and ethyl-2-ol- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub>. The deformation modes  $\delta$  for C-H are clearly visible at 1293 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 954 cm<sup>-1</sup> in methyl- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> <sup>7</sup>.



**Supplementary Figure 7.** ATR-FTIR spectra of pristine (non-functionalized) MoS<sub>2</sub> (blue), acetamide- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> (black), methyl- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> (green) and ethyl-2-ol- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> (orange); (\*: <sup>7</sup>; \*\*: <sup>8</sup>).

Acetamide- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets have been further studied on Supplementary Figure 8 wherein ATR-FTIR spectra of pristine MoS<sub>2</sub> (blue trace) is compared to

acetamide- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> (black), 2-iodoacetamide (brown), and pure acetamide compound (red). Acetamide functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> displays strong signals at 1655 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1605 cm<sup>-1</sup> attributed to C=O and N-H stretching <sup>7</sup>. Remarkably the FTIR response for acetamide- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> appears very similar to acetamide.




**Supplementary Figure 8.** ATR-FTIR spectra of pristine (non-functionalized) MoS<sub>2</sub> compared with covalently functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets with acetamide groups (black trace), 2-iodoacetamide (brown trace) and acetamide (red trace); (\*: <sup>7</sup>; \*\*: <sup>8</sup> ).

### TGA measurements on functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) were performed on a TGA Q500 Thermogravimetric Analyzer equipped with Thermal Analysis Controller. After purging the system for 30 min at 50°C, samples were heated under nitrogen at 10°C·min<sup>-1</sup> from 50°C up to 800 °C. The weight loss has been recorded for pristine MoS<sub>2</sub> and functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets

(Supplementary Figure 9a). The derivative signal from pristine MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets remains relatively flat. At the opposite, the derivative of the weight loss shows peaks at 223°C, 248° and 378°C for the degradation of ethy-2-ol, acetamide and methyl groups respectively (Supplementary Figure 9b). The extent of functionalization was calculated from the weight loss at 500°C and assuming that the difference between the weight loss from pristine and functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets is solely coming from the degradation of the grafted functions. The functionalization degrees per sulfur reach ~ 19 at.%, ~ 22 at.% and ~ 55 at.% for MoS<sub>2</sub>-Acet., MoS<sub>2</sub>-EtOH and MoS<sub>2</sub>-Met. respectively. These values are in relatively good agreement with the XPS results obtained by deconvoluting the S-C signals from the S2p regions. We note that the higher functionalization degree for MoS<sub>2</sub>-Met. is explained by the difficulty in precisely measuring the weight solely coming from the methyl groups.




**Supplementary Figure 9.** (a) Thermogravimetric traces of pristine and functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets up to 700°C under N<sub>2</sub>. (b) First derivative of the TGA traces.

### **<sup>13</sup>C Nuclear Magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR)**

The solid-state <sup>13</sup>C CP MAS NMR experiments were performed on a Bruker 700 MHz (16.4 T) spectrometer at a frequency of 176.05 MHz, using a 3.2 mm Bruker MAS probe (contact time: 2 ms, SPINAL-64 {<sup>1</sup>H} decoupling, ramp 90 scheme during the polarization transfer, rotation frequency: 12 kHz, recycle delay: 4 s, number of scans: between 42K and 58K). The samples were prepared by mixing NaCl with the powders followed by careful packing of the mixture into a 3.2 mm zirconia rotor. <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts were referenced to tetramethylsilane, using adamantane as a secondary reference.


To confirm the shift of the α-C after covalent attachment on the S atoms of the MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets, liquid-state <sup>13</sup>C NMR were performed on the organohalide reagents used for each functionalization route (Supplementary Figure 10). The chemical shift from O=C-NH<sub>2</sub> bond in 2-iodoacetamide, and HO-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub> bond in 2-iodoethanol appear respectively at 174.8 ppm and 63.37 ppm. These peaks are not significantly shifted after functionalization (main Figure 2a). The chemical shift of the α-C from C-I appears at -2.51 ppm, -23.20 ppm and 8.97 ppm for 2-iodoacetamide, iodomethane and 2-iodoethanol, respectively (Supplementary Figure 10). These peaks are largely shifted by 40-50 ppm after covalent grafting on the surface of the MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets (main Figure 2a).



**Supplementary Figure 10.** Liquid-state  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectra of 2-iodoacetamide (grey), iodomethane (green), 2-iodoethanol (orange). The chemical shift observed at 77.3 ppm for 2-iodoethanol and iodomethane originates from  $\text{CDCl}_3$  used as solvent.

### X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) on functionalized $\text{MoS}_2$ membranes

Covalent functionalization was also probed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. First from the survey spectra, no iodine was detected, which confirms the successful rinsing of the  $\text{MoS}_2$  nanosheets after the functionalization reaction (Supplementary Figure 11, left).




**Supplementary Figure 11.** XPS survey (left) and Mo3d (right) spectra from the pristine and functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets.

X-ray photoelectron spectra for the S2p and Mo3d regions are shown in Supplementary Figure 12. As reported previously in the case of chemically exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub>, the S2p and Mo3d regions can be convoluted with components from the 1T and 2H phases. The presence of functional groups can be seen on the S2p regions (158-166

eV) whereas signals from the Mo3d regions remain virtually unchanged (389-400 eV). Such changes are attributed to the formation of the S-C bonds (in green) due to the covalent grafting on the S atoms.

Another evidence of functionalization is brought from the N1s region in the case of the acetamide-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> (Supplementary Figure 12). For comparison, no N1s can be detected at ~ 400 eV from the pristine non-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets. The fitting of the N1s signals enables quantification of the functional groups. The N/Mo is estimated to 40 at.% giving a functionalization degree of 20 at.% per sulfur atoms. Similar functionalization degree has been measured from S-C signals obtained by the deconvolution of the S2p signals (Supplementary Table 2).





**Supplementary Figure 12.** X-ray photoelectron spectra of S2p (a) and N1s (b) regions from the pristine and functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets. The functionalization degree refers to the percentage of functionalization group per S atoms.


**Supplementary Table 2.** Details of the XPS results for MoS<sub>2</sub> and functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> samples. The functionalization degree refers to the percentage of functionalization group per S atoms.

MoS <sub>2</sub> -Met.					
	Peak position (eV)	FWHM	Area (P) CPS	at. %	Funct. degree (%)
		(eV)			
1T	161.8	0.93	7817.9	62.5	
	162.9		3993.5		
2H	163.2	0.67	1595.1	12.8	
	164.2		814.8		
S-C	162.9	0.88	3091.5	24.7	25
	164.0		1579.17		
MoS <sub>2</sub> -Acet.					
1T	161.7	1.11	6375.6	68.3	
	162.7		3256.7		
2H	163.4	1.08	1186.3	12.7	
	164.5		605.9		
S-C	162.9	0.70	1767.2	19	19
	164.0		899.8		
MoS <sub>2</sub> -EthOH					
1T	161.65	1.05	5715.3	75.8	
	162.7		2919.4		
2H	163.18	0.48	416.8	5.5	
	164.18		212.9		
S-C	162.75	0.87	1406.9	18.7	19
	163.77		718.7		

### Raman spectroscopy on functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets

1T phase of MoS<sub>2</sub> has two Raman modes, the in-plane mode E<sub>2G</sub><sup>1</sup> and the out-of-plane mode A<sub>1G</sub>, as well as J<sub>1</sub>, J<sub>2</sub> and J<sub>3</sub> vibrational modes recently identified by Calandra <sup>9</sup>. Supplementary Figure 13 shows the Raman spectra of MoS<sub>2</sub>-derived materials where


only a weak signal of the  $E_{2G}^1$  mode is observed for pristine  $\text{MoS}_2$  (blue trace); indicating a majority of 1T-phase  $\text{MoS}_2$ . In accordance with the literature,  $J_1$ ,  $J_2$  and  $J_3$  vibrational modes are observed at  $147\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $236\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , and  $332\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . As previously reported by Voiry et al. <sup>7</sup>, the signal from the 1T phase is preserved after functionalization. The  $A_{1G}$  band splits into two signals due to the covalent binding on the S atom. Both  $J_1$  and  $J_3$  widen and get downshifted whereas  $J_2$  peak gets significantly stronger and upshifted. In addition, strong bands attributed to the anchorage of molecules on the nanosheets can be detected. New peaks appear at  $\sim 260\text{ cm}^{-1}$  and at  $\sim 320\text{ cm}^{-1}$  for all the functionalized samples clearly indicating new vibrational modes due to the covalent attachment on the sulfur atoms. A new peak is also detected at  $\sim 210\text{ cm}^{-1}$  for both acetamide- and methyl-functionalized  $\text{MoS}_2$ .



**Supplementary Figure 13.** Raman spectra of pristine (non-functionalized)  $\text{MoS}_2$  (blue), acetamide-functionalized  $\text{MoS}_2$  (black), methyl-functionalized  $\text{MoS}_2$  (green) and ethyl-2-ol-functionalized  $\text{MoS}_2$  (orange).

### X-Ray diffraction (XRD) on MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes


The d-spacing between the MoS<sub>2</sub> layers can be precisely measured using X-ray diffraction (main Figure 2b-d). Upon lithium intercalation, the d-spacing increases from  $2\theta = 14.4^\circ$  up to  $\sim 7.6^\circ$  due to the presence of hydrated Li cations (Supplementary Figure 14). After exfoliation and removing Li cations *via* centrifugation, the d-spacing in the restacked MoS<sub>2</sub> reaches  $2\theta = 13.96^\circ$ . Such a minor change in the d-spacing combined to the relative high intensity of the (002) peak suggests a high degree of alignment of the nanosheets in the membranes.



**Supplementary Figure 14.** X-Ray diffraction spectra from bulk 2H-MoS<sub>2</sub> crystal (black), lithium intercalated MoS<sub>2</sub> obtained while liquid exfoliation (red) and pristine non-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membrane (blue).

Interlayer spacing of membranes  $d$  are summarized in Supplementary Table 3. and were determined using XRD measurements for all the functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes (see the corresponding diffractograms in main Figure 2b). The full width at half maximum (FWHMs) of (002) peak are similarly sensitive within all functionalized structures suggesting a comparable degree of functionalization. Interestingly slightly larger  $d$ -spacing are measured for methyl-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes. This is surprising as methyl functions are smaller compared to ethyl-2-ol and acetamide (see Supplementary Table 3) suggesting different arrangements of the functional groups in the capillaries depending on their size and polarity.

The pore spacing (or capillary width) was estimated by the difference between the interlayer  $d$  spacing measured from XRD and the thickness of a single-layer of MoS<sub>2</sub>: 6.15 Å ( $c/2$ , with  $c$  the lattice parameter of 2H MoS<sub>2</sub>). Our approach is thus similar to previous work from Abraham et al. on graphene oxide <sup>10</sup>. Using molecular dynamic simulations, we report water density profiles in the direction perpendicular to the flux inside 2D channels of 12 Å separation (Supplementary Figure 15). Our molecular dynamic results show that water molecules do not get closer to sulfur atoms than 1.45 Å giving a hydrodynamic thickness of 5.57 Å. The space that is inaccessible to water molecules is estimated to be:  $12 - 5.57 = 6.43$  Å – close to the 6.15 Å used for the study.



**Supplementary Figure 15.** Water density profile for O and H along the Y-direction for pristine MoS<sub>2</sub> nanochannel with a 12 Å separation.


**Supplementary Table 3.** Comparison of lamellar structures of GO and MoS<sub>2</sub> derived membranes. Capillary width of GO and MoS<sub>2</sub>-based membranes were estimated by considering the thickness of pristine graphene and MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets: 3.4 Å and 6.15 Å respectively<sup>8</sup>. Errors correspond to standard deviation between several samples.

Samples	Interlayer spacing d (Å)	Average FWHM of (002) peak	Capillary width $\delta$ (Å)*
Graphite	3.4	-	-
GO	8.3 ( $\pm$ 0.07)	0.3	4.9
Bulk MoS <sub>2</sub> powder	6.14	-	-
Exfoliated MoS <sub>2</sub>	6.18 ( $\pm$ 0.03)	1.35	0.035
MoS <sub>2</sub> -Acet.	10.1 ( $\pm$ 0.07)	0.6	3.93
MoS <sub>2</sub> -Met.	11.5 ( $\pm$ 0.02)	0.82	5.37
MoS <sub>2</sub> -EtOH	10.8 ( $\pm$ 0.04)	0.64	4.69

### Stability of the functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes

Graphene oxide membranes are typically found unstable in water due to uncontrollable swelling. In order to assess the stability of the MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes, the


membranes were soaked in water for a period of one month. No visible macroscopic degradations are visible on the membranes as shown in Supplementary Figure 16.



**Supplementary Figure 16.** Pictures of pristine and functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes before (a) and after several months in DI water (b).

### **Controlled of the swelling in the functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub>-based membranes**


In order to confirm the absence of deformation of the MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes, the interlayer spacing was measured using X-ray diffraction after soaking the membrane in DI water. Supplementary Figure 17 shows the diffractograms of the MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes compared to graphene oxide membranes for different soaking times.



**Supplementary Figure 17.** X-ray diffraction spectra of 1  $\mu\text{m}$  thick  $\text{MoS}_2$  membranes dried and after 1 hour and 16 hours.

Next we investigated the consequences of hydration of the membrane on the (002) of the methyl- functionalized  $\text{MoS}_2$  membranes with different thicknesses. Supplementary Figure 18 shows the XRD spectra of  $\text{MoS}_2\text{-Met.}$  membranes after 1h, 6h and 48 h soaked in water. Our results show that the  $\text{MoS}_2\text{-Met.}$  membranes do not swell and the broadening of the (002) peak is virtually not affected. We attributed this phenomenon to the hydrophobic nature of methyl groups.







**Supplementary Figure 18:** X-ray diffraction spectra from hydrated MoS<sub>2</sub>-Met. membrane soaked in water for 1h, 6h and 48 h.

## Ion permeance measurements

As discussed in the main text, ionic sieving properties have been examined. The ionic species tested in this work include NaCl, LiCl and KCl. We note that the anion is the same for all the salts so that the difference between the ion permeation rates is assumed to be solely due to cations. Ion permeation rate measurements were carried out in two Teflon-made compartments using 500 nm-thick membranes and the 2 compartments were covered with aluminum foil in order to prevent water evaporation. Both permeate and feed reservoirs were filled with the same volume of 200 mL of DI water and aqueous ionic solution respectively (Supplementary Figure 19a). Ion transportation has been measured by recording the conductivity of the permeate solution over several hours. The size of the membrane was typically  $1\text{ cm}^2$  in order to test at least 4 membranes from the same batch. To assess the transport properties, we performed the measurements for different ionic strengths corresponding to salt concentration of 0.25 M, 0.625 M and 1 M in the feed side. As an example, Supplementary Figure 19b shows the permeation of NaCl across both acetamide- and ethyl-2-ol- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes using 1 M of NaCl as feed compartment.






**Supplementary Figure 19.** Cell used for the conductivity measurement consisting of two compartments with the feed and permeate solutions (a) and evolution of the ion permeation across acetamide- (red line) and ethyl-2-ol- (orange line) functionalized membranes using a 1 M NaCl aqueous solution as feed solution (b).

The impact of the functional groups on the sieving mechanisms of several salts have been further investigated (Supplementary Figure 20). Hydrated ion diameters corresponding to cations only were obtained from Ref <sup>10</sup>: 6.6 Å, 7.1 Å and 7.6 Å for K<sup>+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, and Li<sup>+</sup> respectively. In the case of acetamide- functionalized membranes, ion permeance rates do not significantly change for all tested species at concentrations of 0.25 M and 0.625 M. Overall the salt diffusion for small monovalent ions across acetamide-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes is rather independent of the size of the ions. The situation is different in the case of ethyl-2-ol-functionalized membranes, for which the ion permeance rate appears to be more controlled by the size of the ions (for concentrations  $\geq 0.625$  M) as previously seen in the case of graphene oxide membranes<sup>16</sup>. This result further demonstrates the influence of the surface chemistry for tuning the

ionic diffusion in the 2D nanocapillaries.



**Supplementary Figure 20.** Permeation rates of Na<sup>+</sup>, Li<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> across acetamide-functionalized (left) and ethyl-2-ol- functionalized (right) MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes measured at different ionic strengths. Chloride was used as counter ion in all the cases.

### Permeation rate calculations

Classical law of diffusion allows estimating the permeation rate of ions within the nanochannels. We assume that capillaries width of the structures allow the diffusion of all tested species without considering their hydrated diameters as a limiting factor. The permeation rate  $J$  is given by Equation (1):

$$J = \frac{D \times \Delta C \times A_{eff}}{L_{eff}} \quad (1)$$

where  $D$  is the diffusion coefficient for ions in water ( $D \approx 10^{-5}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/s for small ions<sup>11</sup>),  $\Delta C$  is the concentration gradient,  $A_{eff}$  is the total cross-sectional area of nanochannels

and  $L_{eff}$  the diffusion length through the MoS<sub>2</sub>-based membranes. For a 1  $\mu\text{m}$  thick membrane with an area of 1  $\text{cm}^2$ , the effective area through which the diffusion can occur, the ionic permeation rates can be obtained using *Equations (2,3)*;

$$A_{eff} \approx 1 \text{ cm}^2 \times \frac{d}{L} \quad (2)$$

$$L_{eff} \approx L \times \frac{h}{d} \quad (3)$$

where L is the average lateral length of functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> sheets ( $\approx 340 \text{ nm}$ )<sup>12</sup>.

**Supplementary Table 4.** Estimation of fluxes of small ions using the classical law of diffusion (considering 1 M concentration gradient).

Membrane	$A_{eff} (\text{mm}^2)$	$L_{eff} (\text{mm})$	$J \times 10^{-4} (\text{mol.h}^{-1}.\text{m}^{-2}.\text{bar}^{-1})$
MoS <sub>2</sub> -Acet.	0.36	0.28	3.15
MoS <sub>2</sub> -EtOH	0.36	0.28	3.21

### Binding energy between the ions and the functional groups

As the capillary width is typically lower than the hydrated diameters of the ions, the ions must – at least partially - dehydrate to permeate the membrane. The ion permeation for ethyl-2-ol- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes increases with the decrease of the dehydration energy (Supplementary Figure 21a). The binding energy between the ions and the functional groups has been estimated using DFT calculations (Supplementary Table 5). We define the binding energy as the energy of the ion adsorbed on the functional group minus the energy of the functional group minus the energy of the ion. We found that the ions do not adsorb on the NH<sub>2</sub> site of the acetamide group but rather

move to the O-site after geometry optimization. In the case of ethyl-2-ol group, the ions adsorbed on both O-site and CH<sub>2</sub>, although the energy of the latter is relatively small. DFT calculations were performed with ORCA<sup>13</sup> at the B3LYP level and with the def2-TZVP basis set.

**Supplementary Table 5.** Binding energy between Na<sup>+</sup>, Li<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> and the different functional groups.

Ions	BE (eV)			
	Acet. (-O site)	EtOH (-CH <sub>2</sub> site)	EtOH( -O sites)	Met.
Li <sup>+</sup>	-2.42	-0.44	-1.8	-0.53
Na <sup>+</sup>	-1.72	-0.19	-1.25	-0.3
K <sup>+</sup>	-1.27	-0.04	-0.87	-0.15
Cl <sup>-</sup>	-1.09	-0.35	n/a	-0.18


Our results demonstrate that Li<sup>+</sup> has a stronger affinity with acetamide and ethy-2-ol functions than Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> and the interaction sites are located at the oxygen sites of the amide and alcohol groups, respectively. Supplementary Figure 21 show the permeation rates for acetamide and ethyl-2-ol- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes as function of the binding energy (Supplementary Table 5). One can see that the behavior of the functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes depends on the nature of the functional groups. In the case of MoS<sub>2</sub>-EtOH membranes, we found that the permeation rates increase for lower values of the binding energy, suggesting that the electrostatic interactions between the ions and the functional groups play an important role on the controlled diffusion of ions across the membrane: the weaker the interactions, the higher the ion permeation rates

(Supplementary Figure 21c). This is also supported by our Zeta potential measurements that reveal that the MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets are charged (Supplementary Table 1). The ion transport mechanism in functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes is thus similar to previously reported mechanism for the permeation of ions in graphene oxide membranes, where the ion permeation is also controlled by the electrostatic interactions with the charges carried by the nanosheets <sup>14</sup>. On the contrary, in the case of membranes made of acetamide-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets, the permeation rates appear to mainly depend on the ionic strength (Supplementary Figure 20 and main Figure 3a), whereas the binding energy and the dehydration energy do not seem to significantly influence the ion diffusion in the nanolaminate membranes (Supplementary Figure 21). The apparent different behavior between MoS<sub>2</sub>-EtOH and MoS<sub>2</sub>-Acet. membranes is attributed to the reduced capillary width: 4.6 Å for MoS<sub>2</sub>-EtOH compared to 3.9 Å for MoS<sub>2</sub>-Acet. and the larger binding energy between the ions and the acetamide functional group. The larger capillary widths of MoS<sub>2</sub>-EtOH membranes allow faster diffusion of the ions and thus the interactions between the ions and functional groups are likely to play a more important role on the ion permeation. At the opposite, the ion permeation is strictly controlled by the narrower capillary width of MoS<sub>2</sub>-Acet. and the permeation is influenced by the ionic strength of the solution.

**Supplementary Table 6.** Summary of the hydrated diameter, binding energy between Na<sup>+</sup>, Li<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> and the different functional groups compared with the permeation rates measured at 0.625M. The dehydration energies are obtained from Ref. <sup>15</sup>.

Ions	diam (Å)	Hydr. diam. (Å)	Hydr. E (eV)	BE (eV)		Permeation rates	
				Acet.	EtOH	Acet.	EtOH

$\text{Li}^+$	1.36	7.6	5.38	-2.42	-1.8	$1.07 \times 10^{-5}$	$3.23 \times 10^{-6}$
$\text{Na}^+$	1.9	7.1	4.24	-1.72	-1.25	$2.84 \times 10^{-5}$	$6.98 \times 10^{-6}$
$\text{K}^+$	2.66	6.6	3.34	-1.27	-0.87	$1.04 \times 10^{-5}$	$9.58 \times 10^{-6}$




**Supplementary Figure 21.** Evolution of the permeation rates for  $\text{MoS}_2\text{-EtOH}$  and  $\text{MoS}_2\text{-Acet.}$  measured in forward osmosis configuration with the dehydration energy (**a,b**) and the binding energy (**c,d**) for  $\text{Na}^+$ ,  $\text{Li}^+$ ,  $\text{K}^+$  and  $\text{Cl}^-$  with ionic strengths of 0.25 M; 0.625 M and 1M.

### Forward osmosis (FO)-driven measurements

Water flux across the  $\text{MoS}_2$  membranes has also been evaluated in forward osmosis configuration. Measurements have been performed using a custom-made cell presented in Supplementary Figure 22, wherein membranes were clamped between a feed and a draw glass reservoirs filled with 0.1 M NaCl and 3M KCl solution in order to generate forward




osmosis pressure of  $\sim 148$  bar. The size of the membrane was typically  $1\text{ cm}^2$  in order to test at least 4 membranes from the same batch. We also fabricated and tested  $\text{MoS}_2$  membranes from different batches to confirm the reproducibility of the results. All the membranes were tested using Nylon as porous substrate with 220-nm pore size. The water flux was directly measured from the increase of the water meniscus in the cell (yellow arrows in Supplementary Figure 22). The detection limit of the set-up is approximated to  $0.01\text{ L.h}^{-1}.\text{m}^{-2}.\text{bar}^{-1}$  considering our experimental conditions. Interestingly methyl- functionalized  $\text{MoS}_2$  membranes do not show any water flow under forward osmosis. The suppression of the water permeance in forward osmosis in the case of methyl- functionalized  $\text{MoS}_2$  is attributed to the hydrophobic nature of the nanosheets that does not allow water to penetrate the 2D capillaries unless sufficient external pressure is supplied to the system<sup>16</sup>. These membranes are thus not discussed in the following section.



**Supplementary Figure 22.** Custom-made cell used for the forward osmosis measurements with the feed and draw reservoir containing the Ni-phtalocyanine solution

(right) and the 3 M KCl draw solution (left) respectively. The water menisci in both compartments are shown with the yellow arrows. The red arrows indicate the 5 mm-diameter cylinders used for measuring the water diffusion.

Supplementary Figure 23 presents the water flow rates obtained *via* osmosis-driven pressure for the different functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes. We found that water cannot permeate in the non-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes in perfect agreement with the reversed osmosis results. Ethyl-2-ol- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes display the highest water permeance compared to the acetamide- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes. The permeance is also larger than previous results from the literature for membranes made of exfoliated-restacked nanosheets. Interestingly methyl- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes do not show any water flow under forward osmosis. The suppression of the water permeance in forward osmosis in the case of methyl- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> is attributed to the hydrophobic nature of the nanosheets that does not allow water to penetrate the 2D capillaries unless sufficient external pressure is supplied to the system.




**Supplementary Figure 23.** Water permeance performances of several 2D-based membranes using osmotically-driven pressure. Water flow for the functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes is compared with the performance of graphene oxide membranes prepared at the laboratory (open squares) and references from the literature (stars)<sup>5,10,11,17,18</sup>

### Reverse osmosis (RO) measurements

The performances of the membranes towards water permeance were characterized by measuring the amount of water crossing the membranes under increasing pressure. The different membranes have been tested using a polysulfone cell (Amicon©) in dead-end configuration under pressures up to 4 bars. The size of the membrane was typically 1 cm<sup>2</sup> in order to test at least 4 membranes from the same batch. We also fabricated and tested MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes from different batches in order to confirm the reproducibility of the results. Prior any measurements, the flow of water across the membranes was stabilized


under 4 bars for 1h. Typical water flux profile under increasing pressure is depicted in Supplementary Figure 24. The linear fit allows determining water flux (in  $\text{L m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1} \text{bar}^{-1}$ ) of membranes (main Figure 3c).



**Supplementary Figure 24.** Typical water flux profile obtained for ethyl-2-ol-functionalized  $\text{MoS}_2$  membranes with a thickness 250 nm.

Interestingly the high water flux across methyl- functionalized  $\text{MoS}_2$  membranes is maintained when increasing the thickness up to 4  $\mu\text{m}$ . Supplementary Figure 25 shows the trend of water flux with thickness in methyl- and ethyl-2-ol- functionalized  $\text{MoS}_2$  membranes. The inset shows the comparison between the water fluxes for  $\text{MoS}_2$ -Met. and  $\text{MoS}_2$ -EtOH membranes up to 2  $\mu\text{m}$  in thickness. According to our molecular dynamic simulations (Supplementary Figure 43), the functionalization degree affects the water flux however, the few percent differences in functionalization degrees measured by XPS for the  $\text{MoS}_2$ -Met. cannot explain the untypical water flux of the membrane with


increased thickness (Supplementary Figure 27.b). By contrast, the distribution of *d*-spacing within the membrane can largely affect the water flux as suggested by the simulations performed on pristine membranes (Figure 4b in the main text) for which a 2 Å variation in *d*-spacing results (from 10 Å to 12 Å) in a 10-fold increase of the water flux. The larger FWHM of the (002) XRD peak for MoS<sub>2</sub>-Met. compared to MoS<sub>2</sub>-Acet. may lead to larger water flux due to different stacking order of the nanosheets (Supplementary Figure 28). We believe that this last point combined with the hydrophobic nature of the methyl group is at the origin of the behavior of MoS<sub>2</sub>-Met. membranes compared to the MoS<sub>2</sub>-Acet and MoS<sub>2</sub>-EtOH membranes. The hydrophobicity of the methyl-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes also translates into nanocapillaries with larger slip length. The role of the hydrophobicity on the water flux has been previously identified in the case of carbon nanotubes, whose predicted water fluxes do not depend on the length of the tube<sup>19</sup>. We note that the similar behavior has been reported by Hirunpinyopas et al. for dye-decorated MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes with a ~ 9-fold increase when the thickness increases from 1 to 6 µm and attributed to the hydrophobic surface of MoS<sub>2</sub> (<sup>5</sup>). In the case of more hydrophilic membranes such as acetamide and ethyl-2-ol membranes, the water flux rapidly decreases as the thickness increases. We suspect compaction of the thinnest MoS<sub>2</sub>-Met.membrane because of the small size of the functional groups. The stiffness of the membrane should be explored in a follow-up study. .



**Supplementary Figure 25.** Water flux for methyl-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes with thicknesses up to 4 μm. Inset: Comparison water flux in thick methyl- and ethyl-2-ol-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes.

### Stability of the water flux in dead-end configuration

The stability of nanolaminate membranes is an important parameter and instability is typically observed for graphene oxide membranes. For example Chong et al.<sup>13</sup> recently showed that the water flux for graphene oxide membranes decreases from 10's L m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> bar<sup>-1</sup> to 0.1 L m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> bar<sup>-1</sup> after only 30 min. We investigated the stability of methyl-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> in dead-end configuration under a pressure of 4 bar for 15 hours. Supplementary Figure 26 shows that the water flux is largely preserved suggesting that the compaction effect is significantly reduced compared to graphene oxide membranes.




**Supplementary Figure 26.** Stability of the water flux for 500 nm-thick methyl-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membrane in dead-end configuration with an applied pressure of 4 bar.

### **Influence of the membrane thickness on the functionalization degree and stacking order of the MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets**

The influence of the thickness on the structure of the functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes were further analyzed using X-ray diffraction (XRD) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). We first quantified the functionalization degree of MoS<sub>2</sub>-Met. and MoS<sub>2</sub>-Acet. membranes with different thicknesses using XPS. We note that MoS<sub>2</sub>-Met. membranes were prepared *via* direct functionalization on the porous support whereas MoS<sub>2</sub>-acet. and MoS<sub>2</sub>-EtOH membranes were functionalized in solution prior filtration. The functionalization degrees of MoS<sub>2</sub>-acet. and MoS<sub>2</sub>-EtOH membranes are thus likely to be homogeneous. We quantified the extent of functional groups on the MoS<sub>2</sub>-Met. nanosheets at different membrane thicknesses. To do so, XPS was performed on the core of 4 different MoS<sub>2</sub>-Met. membranes with increasing thicknesses from 250 nm up to 2000 nm (). The XPS samples were prepared by mechanically peeling the membranes

using adhesive tape. We deconvoluted the signals from the S2p region of each sample following the same method as described above (and Table 2). The signals of the S2p doublet ascribed to the S-C bond were used to quantify the functionalization degree. Our results show that the extent of functionalization per S atom does not significantly change when increasing the thickness of the membranes. The nanosheets are slightly more functionalized on the top surface compared to the core of the membrane and the functionalization degree varies from  $\approx 25\%$  down to  $\approx 19\%$  for the top surface and the 2000 nm-thick membrane respectively. Importantly our measurements also reveal that the amount of grafted functional groups on the MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets inside the membrane remains virtually constant for thicknesses as high as 2000 nm.




**Supplementary Figure 27. a**, Normalized high-resolution XPS spectra from the S2p region from MoS<sub>2</sub>-Met. membranes with increasing thicknesses from 250 nm up to 2000 nm. **b**, Evolution of the functionalization degree per S atom of MoS<sub>2</sub>-Met. membranes with thicknesses of 250 nm - 2000 nm. The functionalization degrees are estimated from the deconvolution of the S2p region.

shows the X-ray diffraction responses of membranes made of MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets functionalized with acetamide and methyl groups. We found that for both types of




membranes, the (002) peak is not significantly shifted; thus demonstrating that the interlayering spacing is largely preserved and independent of the membrane thickness. On the other hand, the full width at half maximum (FWHM) increases as the thickness increases from 250 nm up to 2000 nm suggesting that the degree of order of the vertical stacking of the MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets in the membranes reduces with the increase of the thickness of the membranes (a,b).



**Supplementary Figure 28.** Normalized X-ray diffraction spectra centered around of the (002) peak from the membranes made of methyl- (a) and acetamide- (b) functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets with increasing thicknesses from 250 nm up to 2 000 nm.

We observed that the broadening of the (002) XRD peak for the MoS<sub>2</sub>-Met. membranes deviates from the evolution of that of MoS<sub>2</sub>-acet. membranes for thicknesses larger than 1000 nm suggesting further disorder in the case of methyl- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets (a,b). We also analyzed the nanolaminate membranes made of ethyl-2-ol- functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets (prepared by the same method as for the MoS<sub>2</sub>-Acet. membranes) and the same trend as for MoS<sub>2</sub>-Acet. membranes was observed.





**Supplementary Figure 29. a)** Evolution of the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the (002) peaks between 250 nm and 2000 nm. **b)** Schematic showing the influence of the vertical stacking of the nanosheets on the broadening of the (002) XRD peak of the functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes.

Interestingly the broadening of the (002) peak of MoS<sub>2</sub>-Acet. membranes is symmetrical, while an asymmetry is clearly observed for the (002) peak of MoS<sub>2</sub>-Met. (a,b). We attribute this phenomenon to a locally lower functionalization on the nanosheets likely due to a limited access of the reagents for the narrowest regions of the 2D capillaries. Indeed MoS<sub>2</sub>-Met. membranes are functionalized on the support and the non-uniform interlayer spacing of the pristine MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes (,top) translates into non-uniform diffusion of the reagents such as iodomethane. This results in non-uniform functionalization (,bottom) as confirmed by the assymetric broadening of the (002) peak (a,b). On the other hand, the XPS analyses of the membranes revealed that the average functionalization degree of the membrane is constant (XPS measurements are averaged over a probed size of 400  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter). Therefore, because of *i)* the non-uniform

functionalization observed from the (002) peak and *ii*) the stable average functionalization degree of the membranes measured from the XPS analyses, we can conclude that for the regions of the membranes where the reagents can easily diffuse in, the extent of methyl groups is larger than the average value measured from the XPS analyses. At the opposite, for the other regions where the reagents have limited access due to steric hindrance, the extent of functional groups is smaller than the average ().

Since the functionalization degree remains constant even at larger thicknesses (Supplementary Figure 19b), we anticipate that as the thickness of the nanolaminate membranes increases, the non-uniform diffusion of the reagent increases and leads to more non-uniform grafting of the methyl groups. Our numerical simulations (See “Concentration of the functional groups” section for more details) have however predicted that the larger the amount of methyl on  $\text{MoS}_2$ , the larger the water flux. The unexpected water flux for thick  $\text{MoS}_2$ -Met. membranes is attributed to non-uniform functionalization degree and locally larger density of methyl groups on the nanosheets.





**Supplementary Figure 30. Top:** Representation of the turbostatic stacking of the nanosheets in the nanolaminate membranes prepared via vacuum filtration (VF). **Bottom:** Influence of the membrane fabrication on the functionalization homogeneity. In the case of  $\text{MoS}_2\text{-Acet.}$  and  $\text{MoS}_2\text{-EtOH}$ , the functionalization of the nanosheets is uniform because the nanosheets are functionalized in solution. In the case of the direct functionalization with iodomethane, the lower interlayer spacing limits the diffusion of the reagents due to steric hindrance. This translates into locally lower functionalization (in red) while the other regions are more decorated with methyl groups (in green).


Our results show that the nanosheets are slightly more functionalized on the top surface compared to the core of the membrane and the functionalization degree per S atom varies from 25% down to 19% for the top surface and the 2  $\mu\text{m}$ -thick membrane respectively. Importantly our measurements also reveal that the amount of grafted

functional groups on the MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets in the membrane remains virtually constant for thicknesses as high as 2  $\mu\text{m}$ . On the other hand, the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the (002) XRD peak increases for all the membranes as the thickness increases. The FWHM of the (002) peak for the MoS<sub>2</sub>-Met. membranes however deviates from the evolution of the (002) peak of MoS<sub>2</sub>-Acet. membranes for thicknesses larger than 1  $\mu\text{m}$  suggesting further disorder in the case of methyl-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets (Supplementary Figs. 20,21).

### **Velocity profile and slip length measurements**

We calculated the slip length for pristine MoS<sub>2</sub> and methyl-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub>. The slip length was obtained by fitting the velocity profile of water molecules inside wide 2D channels of approximately 40  $\text{\AA}$  separation following the method proposed by Wang et al.<sup>21</sup>. The velocity profiles and corresponding fits for pristine and methyl-MoS<sub>2</sub> are shown in Supplementary Figure 31. Our MD results show that the slip length  $L_S$  is  $-1.70 \text{ \AA}$  in the case of pristine MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets. A negative slip length is expected in the case of hydrophilic membranes. For example, negative slip length was predicted between charged graphene membranes and water in the aforementioned reference. The authors found that the values for  $L_S$  can be tuned from 78  $\text{\AA}$  for pristine graphene to  $-1.07 \text{ \AA}$  when partial charges are introduced. Since 1T' MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes are rather hydrophilic, our values of  $L_S$  are consistent with the results from Wang et al. In the case of methyl-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes,  $L_S$  increases to  $-1.38 \text{ \AA}$ , consistent with the decrease of the hydrophilicity of the MoS<sub>2</sub> slabs. As discussed in the Molecular Dynamics section, the reactive force field overestimates surface tension at the MoS<sub>2</sub>/water interface and

therefore leads to more hydrophilic MoS<sub>2</sub>. However, this does not affect the comparative nature of the analysis between functional groups. Our simulations predict that the presence of methyl functional groups lead to improved slip length and velocity profiles due to less hydrophilic surface.



**Supplementary Figure 31.** Velocity profiles of water in pristine (red) and methyl-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> channels.

### Molecular sieving performances under reversed osmosis conditions

To evaluate the sieving properties of membranes towards nanocontaminants, the MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes were tested under reverse osmosis (RO) conditions as described above. The upstream reservoir was filled with aqueous solution of caffeine, acetaminophen, estradiol  $\beta$ , or Ni-phthalocyanine (Nickel(II) phthalocyanine-tetrasulfonic acid tetrasodium salt, NiPc) (respectively with concentrations of 0.05 M, 0.5 M, 11  $\mu$ M and 0.33 mM). Experiments have been performed at 2 bars loading for every contaminant solutions. The


permeate solutions were collected and analyzed using high performance liquid chromatography coupled UV-vis detector (HPLC/UV/Fluo). HPLC characterization has been recovered using an APEX WP ODS column (7 $\mu$  25cm/4.6 mm) and data analysis were performed with EMPOWER build 1154 software.




Physico-chemical properties of the contaminant tested are reported in Supplementary Table 7. The Stoke radius – that represents the effective hydrated size of molecules in water – has been calculated using *Equation (4)*.

$$r = \frac{k_B \times T}{6\pi \times \eta \times D} \quad (4)$$

where  $k_B$  is Boltzmann's constant ( $1.38 \times 10^{-23}$  J K<sup>-1</sup>), T is the temperature (K),  $\eta$  the kinematic viscosity of the solvent (kg ms<sup>-1</sup>) and D is the diffusion coefficient of the contaminants (m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>).

**Supplementary Table 7.** Physico-chemical properties of contaminants. The Stoke radii were calculated at 25 °C. \* Stoke radius of NiPc has been estimated based on the Stoke radius of porphyrin <sup>22</sup>.


Contaminant	Structure	Molecular weight (g mol <sup>-1</sup> )	Stoke radius (nm)	Ref.
Caffeine		194.2	0.38	23

NiPc		979.40	$\approx 0.7-1$	22
Acetaminophen ( Paracetamol )		151.2	0.39	24
$\beta$ -estradiol		272.4	$\sim 0.5$	25

### Desalination performance under reverse osmosis conditions


The desalination performance was evaluated using the same methodology as for the nanocontaminants with a feed concentration of NaCl of 0.1 M (0.58 wt. %). The concentration of NaCl in the permeate was measured using Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectrometry (ICP-OES). ICP OES measurements were obtained using an ICAP 7400 ICP-OES Analyzer spectrometer (THERMO SCIENTIFIC). Supplementary Figure 32 summarizes the desalination performance of the various functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes under reverse osmosis configuration. Desalination peaks at 95 % NaCl rejection for methyl-functionalized membranes.





**Supplementary Figure 32.** NaCl rejection for the different functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes with thicknesses of 250 nm, 500 nm and 1 μm.

Under the same conditions (0.1 M NaCl), we tested the functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes towards desalination under reverse osmosis at an applied pressure of 9 bar. The rejection performances are largely maintained even at 9 bar (Supplementary Figure 33 Supplementary Figure 26 and Supplementary Table 8). We note that the values of water flux at 9 bar differ from those measured at lower pressures up to 4 bar due to differences in stiffness of the functionalized nanolaminate membranes.



**Supplementary Figure 33.** Comparison of the desalination performance at 1 and 9 bar obtained for 500 nm-thick functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membrane.

**Supplementary Table 8.** Rejection performance of the 500 nm-thick functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes under a pressure of 9 bar.

Samples	NaCl Rejection at 1 bar (%)	NaCl Rejection at 9 bar (%)
MoS <sub>2</sub> -Acet.	78.5 (± 0.2)	82.5 (± 6.0)
MoS <sub>2</sub> -Met.	95.0 (± 2.4)	77.9 (± 17.4)
MoS <sub>2</sub> -EtOH	88.2 (± 1.3)	87.6 (± 5.2)

### Comparison of the performance of the MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes with the state of the arts

The desalination performances have been compared with the literature (main Figure 3D) and the corresponding data are detailed in **Supplementary Table 9**.

**Supplementary Table 9.** Comparison of the performances of functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes with performance from the state of the arts. RO: reverse osmosis; SWRO: Sea water Reverse Osmosis; BWRO: Brackish Water Reverse Osmosis; NF: Nanofiltration; NR: not reported; TFC: Thin Film Composite; CNT: Carbon Nanotube, GO: Graphene oxide.

Materials	Filtration method	Thickness (nm)	Press. (bar)	NaCl feed [M]	Water flux L/(h.m².bar)	NaCl rejection	Ref.
Non functionalized MoS <sub>2</sub>	Dead end	250-1000	0.5-4	0.1	0 (pure water)	-	This work
Acetamide-functionalized MoS <sub>2</sub>	Dead end	250	1	0.1	13.7 (± 5.0) (pure water)	77.9 (± 0.3)	This work
		500			9.3 (± 2.8) (pure water)	78.5 (± 0.2)	
		1000			3.1 (± 1.6) (pure water)	85.7 (± 0.2)	
	FO	1000			0.036 (± 0.006)		
		250			0.052 (± 0.005)		
Acetamide-functionalized MoS <sub>2</sub>	Dead end	500	9	0.1	33.7 (± 13.5)	82.5 (± 6)	This work
Ethyl-2-ol-functionalized MoS <sub>2</sub>	Dead end	250	1	0.1	42.5 (± 12.1) (pure water)	70.9(± 1.8)	This work
		500			13.4 (± 3.0) (pure water)	88.2 (± 1.2)	
		1000			7.8 (± 5.0) (pure water)	85.7 (± 1.0)	
		2000			2.1 (± 0.4) (pure water)		

	FO	1000			0.092 ( $\pm$ 0.02)		
		250			0.146 ( $\pm$ 0.04)		
Ethyl-2-ol- functionalized MoS <sub>2</sub>	Dead end	500	9	0.1	1.6 ( $\pm$ 0.53)	87.6 ( $\pm$ 5.2)	This work
Methyl- functionalized MoS <sub>2</sub>	Dead end	250 500 1000 2000	1	0.1	2.9 ( $\pm$ 1.6) (pure water) 15.9 ( $\pm$ 4.0) (pure water) 9.8 ( $\pm$ 5.2) (pure water) 24.8 ( $\pm$ 0.6) (pure water)	78.1( $\pm$ 1.6) 95.0 ( $\pm$ 2.4) 84.7 ( $\pm$ 6.6)	This work
Methyl- functionalized MoS <sub>2</sub>	Dead end	500	9	0.1	6.7 ( $\pm$ 1.35)	77.9 ( $\pm$ 17.4)	This work
Higly laminated GO	Dead end	8	2	1	4	25	26
Higly laminated GO	Dead end	150	2		0.3		26
MoS <sub>2</sub>	Dead end	1700	1		245	NR	27
GO/TMC	Dead end	14	3.4	0.02	$\approx$ 50	19	28
Graphene	Dead end	260-330	1	0.02	20	40	14
GO/CNT	Dead end	<100	5	0.01	5.5	59	29
Graphene/CNT	Dead end	$\approx$ 40	5	0.01	11.3	51	29
GO/ PECs	Cross flow	-	5	-	0.7992	43	30
Graphene/CNT	Cross flow	-	5	0.01	12.13	39.6	29
Graphene/GO	Cross flow	260-330	50	0.04	0.34 ( $\pm$ 0.1)	85 ( $\pm$ 2)	31

Graphene/GO	Cross flow	260-330	50	0.04	0.22 ( $\pm$ 0.1)	54 ( $\pm$ 5)	31
Graphene/GO	Cross flow	260-330	50	0.04	0.46 ( $\pm$ 0.2)	85 ( $\pm$ 1)	31
Graphene/GO	Cross flow	260-330	50	0.04	0.38 ( $\pm$ 0.1)	85 ( $\pm$ 7)	31
Graphene/GO	Cross flow	260-330	50	0.04	0.44 ( $\pm$ 0.02)	83 ( $\pm$ 5)	31
				0.55	NR	35 ( $\pm$ 10)	
Graphene/GO	Cross flow	260-330	50	0.04	0.67 ( $\pm$ 0.2)	79 ( $\pm$ 8)	31
Graphene/GO	Cross flow	260-330	50	0.04	6.72 ( $\pm$ 3.8)	26 ( $\pm$ 8)	31
Graphene/GO	Cross flow	260-330	40	0.04	0.36 ( $\pm$ 1.1)	83 ( $\pm$ 3)	31
Graphene/GO	Cross flow	260-330	40	0.04	0.15 ( $\pm$ 0.07)	80 ( $\pm$ 5)	31
Graphene/GO	Cross flow	260-330	40	0.04	0.43 ( $\pm$ 0.2)	81 ( $\pm$ 2)	31
Graphene/GO	Cross flow	260-330	40	0.04	0.34 ( $\pm\pm$ 0.2)	82 ( $\pm\pm$ 8)	31
Graphene/GO	Cross flow	260-330	40	0.04	0.40 ( $\pm$ 0.01)	82 ( $\pm$ 4)	31
Graphene/GO	Cross flow	260-330	40	0.04	0.64 ( $\pm$ 0.2)	77 ( $\pm$ 9)	31
Graphene/GO	Cross flow	260-330	40	0.04	6.50 ( $\pm$ 3.7)	25 ( $\pm$ 8)	31
Porous graphene	Cross flow	-	0.25	-	2.5	-	10
Zeolite	Cross flow	3000	20.7	0.1	0.005	76.7	27
CNT	Pressure						
	retarded osmosis	-	-	—	36 ( $\pm$ 54)	60 ( $\pm$ 10)	28
Commercial TFC	Cross flow	tubular	55.2	0.0006	0.015	99.75	32
Commercial desalination membrane (2011)							
		tubular	55.1	$\approx$ 0.5	0.3 ( $\pm$ 0.1)	99.5 ( $\pm$ 0.5)	32
SWRO							


BWRO	Cross flow	tubular	6.9-41.4	0.0086 - 0.034	2 ( $\pm$ 2)	94 ( $\pm$ 1.425)	32
NF	Cross flow	tubular	5.2-15.9	0.0086 - 0.034	5.5 ( $\pm$ 1)	65 ( $\pm$ 15)	32
Commercial TFC (2016)	Cross flow	Spiral wound	55	$\approx$ 0.5	1.19 ( $\pm$ 0.24)	99.7	33
Commercial TFC (US, 2017)	Cross flow	Spiral wound	56	$\approx$ 0.5	0.68 ( $\pm$ 0.14)	99.8	34
Commercial TFC polyamide	Cross flow	spiral wound	15.5	$\approx$ 0.55	2.8	99.5	35
Commercial TFC polyamide	Cross flow	spiral wound	55	$\approx$ 0.55	0.47	99.7	36
Commercial TFC polyamide	Cross flow	spiral wound	55	$\approx$ 0.55	0.85	99.7	37
Commercial TFC polyamide	Cross flow	spiral wound	55	$\approx$ 0.55	0.7	99.5	38
Commercial TFC polyamide	Cross flow	spiral wound	55	$\approx$ 0.55	0.57	99.7	39
Commercial TFC polyamide	Cross flow	spiral wound	55	$\approx$ 0.55	0,49	99.7	40
Commercial TFC polyamide	Cross flow	spiral wound	55	$\approx$ 0.55	0,62	99.7	41
Commercial TFC polyamide	Cross flow	spiral wound	55	$\approx$ 0.55	0,63	99.7	42

Graphene	Dead-end	53	5	0.02	3,26	≈42	14
GO	FO	0.28	0.28		0.068 (± 0.007)	90	18
GO	FO	0.28	0.28		0.029 (± 0.005)	90	18
GO/graphene	FO	0.1	5		0.0034	NR	10
GO/graphene	FO	1	1		0.035	≈ 94	10
GO/graphene	FO	0.1	5		0.007	97	10
GO	FO	5	5		0.0084	60	10
GO	FO	1	1		0.008	NR	11
MoS <sub>2</sub>	FO	1	1		0.0022	NR	17
Dye decorated MoS <sub>2</sub>	FO	3			0.04	NR	
		5		0.1	0.033	99	5
		6			0.0116	NR	
Dye decorated MoS <sub>2</sub>	Dead end	5	1	1	270	≈ 20 %	5
2D/0D GO	Dead end		1.5	0.02	128.45	≈ 15 %	43
GO	Dead end		1.5	0.02	16.94	50.1	43

## Molecular dynamics simulations

### Reactive MD and interatomic potential

We performed fully reactive molecular dynamics simulations with ReaxFF<sup>45</sup>. C, H, O and N force field parameters were taken from Ref.<sup>45</sup> and combined with that of MoS<sub>2</sub> from Ref.<sup>46</sup>. We slightly modified the S-O van der Waals (vdW) parameters to improve the water/MoS<sub>2</sub> interactions. These parameters have been optimized against density functional theory (DFT) calculations corresponding to the adsorption of a single water molecule on a monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> and, water molecules in between MoS<sub>2</sub> layers. Supplementary Figure 34 shows the corresponding potential energy surfaces before and after optimization of the parameters, summarized in Supplementary Table 10. We note that this adjustment only affects the water/MoS<sub>2</sub> interactions since sulfur is not part of any functional group studied. Second order interactions such as Mo with C, H, O and N were taken from Ref.<sup>47</sup>.



**Supplementary Figure 34.** ReaxFF optimization. ReaxFF was optimized to describe the energy of bulk MoS<sub>2</sub> intercalated with 6 water molecules as a function of the out of plane lattice parameter (left) and, the adsorption of a water molecule on the surface of MoS<sub>2</sub> as a function of S-O distance (right).



**Supplementary Table 10.** Original and optimized ReaxFF off-diagonal parameters for S-O interaction.

	<b>Ediss</b>	<b>Ro</b>	<b>Gamma</b>	<b>rsigma</b>
Original from Ref. 45	0.1962	1.7872	10.2319	1.4622
Optimized parameters	0.2132	2.2373	11.3611	1.1245

We acknowledge that our reactive force field does not lead to stable water drop on a perfect MoS<sub>2</sub> surface, as it is often used to validate the water/surface interaction<sup>48</sup>. Although there is no proof that the adhesion of a water drop on surface and its confinement in sub-nanometer channels behave similarly<sup>49</sup>. We found that the water drop completely wet the MoS<sub>2</sub> surface after approximately 1 ns of equilibration. Therefore, our model overestimates surface tension at the water/MoS<sub>2</sub> interface and as a consequence, underestimates the absolute water flux. However, the relative flux between different MoS<sub>2</sub> functionalized surfaces and various interlayer spacing discussed here are relevant. Moreover, we want to stress that the proposed reactive force field is the only model available to describe with precision such complex surfaces including partial charges and polarization effects including that of the lone pairs on O and S elements. Preliminary tests with state-of-the-art water/MoS<sub>2</sub> interatomic potentials led to very high hydrophobicity of the functionalized channels mainly because of the static description of partial charges and the lack of parameters for inorganic/organic surfaces<sup>50</sup>. Future work should focus on the improvement of the reactive force field in order to improve the description of the contact angle of water on MoS<sub>2</sub> surface.

DFT calculations were performed with VASP<sup>51,52</sup> with the Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof functional<sup>53</sup> including Grimme's D2 correction to improve vdW interactions<sup>54</sup>. Both monolayer and bulk supercells were built by replicating 4×4 times the rectangular unit cell of MoS<sub>2</sub> in the in-plane directions. A 2×2×1 and 1×1×2 k-grid was used for monolayer and bulk calculations, respectively. We used a 400 eV kinetic energy cutoff and, we assumed convergence when energy and forces reached thresholds of 10<sup>-4</sup> eV and 5×10<sup>-2</sup> eV Å<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.


### Nanofluidic simulations

Nanofluidic transport simulations were performed using the fluctuating wall method. We followed ideas from Berendsen's thermostat<sup>55</sup> to adjust the position of two harmonic walls (which interact with water via a simple harmonic potential) perpendicular to the channel and located at the boundaries of the simulation cell (main Figure 4a). The position of one wall  $x$  at time  $t$  is defined as in Equation (5):

$$\begin{cases} \mu = 1 - \beta \frac{\Delta t}{\tau} (P - P_{ext}) \\ x = x_0 + \mu v_0 t \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

with  $x_0$ ,  $v_0$  the initial position and velocity of the wall,  $\mu$  a scaling factor computed every 10 steps in the present simulations,  $\beta$  the compressibility,  $\Delta t$  the time step,  $\tau$  a time constant,  $P_{ext}$  the target pressure and  $P$  the pressure computed as the total force on the wall divided by its area.  $\beta$  and  $\tau$  were optimized to reach fast pressure equilibration resulting in the values 0.05 and 10000, respectively. The external pressure on the feed


and permeate walls is represented on Supplementary Figure 35. A 500 MPa pressure gradient is achieved by setting the pressure of the feed reservoir to 510 MPa and that of the permeate reservoir to 10 MPa. The water flux was computed from the slope of the number of water molecules crossing the mid-point of the nanochannel as a function of simulation time. We converted units from the number of water molecules per nanoseconds ( $\text{water ns}^{-1}$ ) to  $\text{L m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1} \text{bar}^{-1}$  by normalizing with the area of the channel ( $\text{length} \times \text{width}$ ) and dividing by the applied pressure of 500 MPa. The number of water molecule per liter was calculated assuming a water density of  $1 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ .



**Supplementary Figure 35.** Applied pressure on the feed and permeate reservoir using the fluctuating wall method as a function of simulations time. The target pressures are 510 and 10 MPa applied to the feed and permeate reservoirs, respectively.

## Density Profiles


We performed density profiles of O and H atoms along the y-direction (see main Figure 4a for the reference frame axis) to characterize the structure of water in various nanochannels. Supplementary Figure 36 shows density profiles of pristine MoS<sub>2</sub> nanochannels at different capillary widths (corresponding to simulations presented Figure 4b in the main text). For pristine MoS<sub>2</sub> channels, we found that water molecules are highly organized in layered structure.



**Supplementary Figure 36.** Density profiles of O and H along the y-direction for pristine MoS<sub>2</sub> channels at various capillary widths.

We identified 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 layers of water in capillaries width of 10, 12, 14, 16, 18 and 20 Å, respectively. This agrees well with recent equilibrium MD simulations<sup>56</sup> for which the structure of water was associated with the electrostatic interactions between water and MoS<sub>2</sub>. The introduction of methyl-functionalized channels leads to the

enlargement of the oxygen peaks at 12 Å separation and, the loss of the 6-layers structure at 20 Å capillary width, compared to pristine channels (Supplementary Figure 37b,d). This shows that water between methyl functionalized channels is less structured compared to water between pristine walls.




**Supplementary Figure 37.** Density profiles of O and H along the y-direction for pristine (a,c) and methyl-functionalized (b,d) MoS<sub>2</sub> channels at various capillary widths.

### Axial density function

The structure of water along the flux direction (x-axis) can be characterized by the axial density function (ADF)<sup>49</sup>. Similar to the radial pair distribution function, the ADF  $a(x)$  represents the probability of finding a water molecule within the interval  $x$  and  $x+dx$  from a reference water molecule. The ADF is normalized such that its integral over the entire channel equals the total number of water molecules. The ADF for pristine, methyl, acetamide and ethyl-2-ol functionalized channels (corresponding to data in main Figure

4f) are represented Supplementary Figure 38. Because of the non-periodicity of the channel in the X-direction, the probability of finding a water molecule decreases when x increases. For pristine case, we can clearly identify the structure of water in the axial direction with a period of approximately 3 Å. No clear structure is observed for the functionalized cases.




**Supplementary Figure 38.** Axial density function along the channel direction for various functionalized channels separated by 12 Å.

### Hydrogen bond correlation function.

The dynamical properties of water confined can be determined from the hydrogen bond correlation function  $Hbcorr(t)$ <sup>57</sup>. We define a hydrogen bond between two water molecules when the distance between their oxygen atoms is less than 3.5 Å and the angle between the vector joining the two oxygen atoms and that corresponding to the O-D bond of the donor water molecule is less than 30°<sup>57</sup>. The hydrogen bond correlation function is then calculated as  $Hbcorr(t) = \langle h(0)h(t) \rangle / h$  with  $h(t) = 1$  when a hydrogen bond exists

for a particular tagged pair of water molecules and zero otherwise. The hydrogen bond correlation function corresponds to the probability that there is a hydrogen bond at time  $t$ , given that it was already established at time zero. We show in Supplementary Figure 39 the hydrogen bond correlation function of bulk water and water between various functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> channels, separated by 12 Å (corresponding to data in main Figure 4f). The hydrogen bond lifetime is evaluated from the fit of the curves with the exponential  $e^{-t/\tau}$  and, are reported in the legend of the figure. We found lifetimes of approximately 1 ns for water inside 12 Å MoS<sub>2</sub> capillaries, two orders of magnitude higher than in bulk water. This demonstrates that the interactions between water molecules in confined MoS<sub>2</sub> channels are much stronger than in the bulk, characteristic of an ice-like structure and, similar to the arrangement of the water molecules inside carbon nanotubes. The presence of non-polar functional group such as methyl lowers lifetimes by approximately a factor of 2 leading to more bulk-like water.




**Supplementary Figure 39.** Hydrogen bond correlation function of bulk water and water between various functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> channels separated by 12 Å.

### **Velocity map.**

We found that confined water in MoS<sub>2</sub> channels is highly organized with slow hydrogen bond relaxation timescales forming ice-like layers. We now investigate the correlation between the structure of the nanochannel and the dynamical properties of water. More precisely, we would like to see if there is any correlation between the mobility of water in contact with the pristine or functionalized surfaces. We computed the velocity of the first layer of water molecules in contact with pristine and methyl-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub>. Supplementary Figure 40 shows the representations of the methyl-MoS<sub>2</sub> channel side by side including the time averaged velocity map of water (as in main Figure 4e), the same map with in addition all positions of C and H atoms of methyl functional groups during the time averaged, and the actual molecular model of the layer of the channel showing all positions of the methyl atoms during the time average. By comparing the 3-graphs, one can conclude that the large majority of methyl atoms' location corresponds to higher averaged velocity of the water molecules underneath. Red (high velocity of water) patches are completely covered by the positions of methyl's atoms.






**Supplementary Figure 40.** From left to right, time averaged velocity map of the first layer of water molecules in the XZ plane of methyl-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes, same map including the actual position of the C and H atoms of the methyl group during the time averaged simulation, and molecular model of the layer of methyl-MoS<sub>2</sub> channel. Vertical white dashed lines correspond to the location of S atoms along the flux direction..

Indeed, we can see from main Figure 4c that the top and bottom S-atoms of the channel are slightly shifted in x. The velocity of each water molecule of the selected layer are averaged into 1 Å<sup>2</sup> bins along the 1 ns trajectory and interpolated for better clarity. The velocity maps and their projection along the x-axis are represented in Supplementary Figure 41 together with the position of the nearest S-atoms of the MoS<sub>2</sub> layer as white (map) and black (projection) dashed lines. Because of the limited amount of data (1 ns), the averaged velocities are quite large however, we can clearly see from the maps the higher mobility of water molecules in contact with methyl-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub>. We note that minima in the velocity projection along the pristine channel are aligned with the

location of S-atoms. By contrast, maxima in the velocity projection along methyl-functionalized channel are aligned with S-atoms. From this, we conclude that S atoms represent “pinning points” between highly polarized Mo-S and O-H bonds of electrostatic nature. The presence of methyl groups substitutes some of these pinning points and enhances the velocity of water molecules located below them. These results thus predict that the hydrophobic nature of methyl functionalized membranes is responsible for the enhanced water flux.




**Supplementary Figure 41.** Time averaged velocity map of the first layer of water molecules in the XZ plane and its projection along the channel direction. The velocity of water molecules is enhanced by the presence of methyl groups.

### Size effect of functional groups

Since the ADF is normalized to the average number of water molecules in the channel, we can see from the area under the curve that much less water molecules are present

between acetamide and ethyl-2-ol functionalized channels. This can be attributed to steric effects of the large functional groups. Integrating the curves gives 292, 256, 104 and 123 water molecules for pristine (non-functionalized), methyl, acetamide and ethyl-2-ol functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> channels. Additionally, we show Supplementary Figure 42 the position of oxygen and hydrogen atoms from water in the channels as red and gray dots, respectively, accumulated over 1,000 MD frames (=500 ps) of the simulations presented Figure 4f. We can see that water molecules in pristine and methyl-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> explored most of the volume available in the nanochannel. By contrast, water in acetamide and ethyl-2-ol functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> are segregated in various regions of the channel. This confirms the steric effect of large functional groups and their limitation to water flow.




**Supplementary Figure 42.** Position of oxygen (red) and hydrogen (gray) atoms in pristine, methyl, acetamide and ethyl-2-ol channels accumulated during 500 ps of nanofluidic simulation.



### Concentration of the functional groups.

Since S-atoms represent pinning points for water and that the methyl-functionalization is assumed to enhance water mobility, the flux should be directly proportional to the fraction of functionalized S-atoms. We performed nanofluidic simulations, similar to those presented in section “Nanofluidic simulations”, for 12, 25 and 50 % methyl-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> channels. Supplementary Figure 43 shows the corresponding number of water molecules crossing the channel as a function of simulation time. We found fluxes of 6.2, 15.7 and 31.1 L.h<sup>-1</sup>.m<sup>-2</sup>.bar<sup>-1</sup> for 12, 25 and 50 % methyl-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub> channels, respectively. The near-perfect correlation between fluxes and the fraction of functionalized S-atoms demonstrates the surface mechanism of functional group to enhance water flow.



**Supplementary Figure 43.** Number of water molecules crossing the mid-point of the nanochannel as a function of simulation time for 12, 25 and 50 % methyl-functionalized MoS<sub>2</sub>. The corresponding water fluxes are summarized in the inset.

## References

1. Heising, J. & Kanatzidis, M. G. Structure of Restacked MoS<sub>2</sub> and WS<sub>2</sub> Elucidated by Electron Crystallography. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **121**, 638–643 (1999).
2. Voiry, D. *et al.* The role of electronic coupling between substrate and 2D MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets in electrocatalytic production of hydrogen. *Nat. Mater.* **15**, 1003–1009 (2016).
3. Chow, P. K. *et al.* Wetting of Mono and Few-Layered WS<sub>2</sub> and MoS<sub>2</sub> Films Supported on Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> Substrates. *ACS Nano* **9**, 3023–3031 (2015).
4. Heising, J. & Kanatzidis, M. G. Exfoliated and Restacked MoS<sub>2</sub> and WS<sub>2</sub> : Ionic or Neutral Species? Encapsulation and Ordering of Hard Electropositive Cations. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **121**, 11720–11732 (1999).
5. Hirunpinyopas, W. *et al.* Desalination and Nanofiltration through Functionalized Laminar MoS<sub>2</sub> Membranes. *ACS Nano* **11**, 11082–11090 (2017).
6. Hirata, M., Gotou, T., Horiuchi, S., Fujiwara, M. & Ohba, M. Thin-film particles of graphite oxide 1: *Carbon* **42**, 2929–2937 (2004).
7. Voiry, D. *et al.* Covalent functionalization of monolayered transition metal dichalcogenides by phase engineering. *Nat. Chem.* **7**, 45–49 (2015).
8. Shen, Y., Wang, H., Zhang, X. & Zhang, Y. MoS<sub>2</sub> Nanosheets Functionalized Composite Mixed Matrix Membrane for Enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> Capture via Surface Drop-Coating Method. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **8**, 23371–23378 (2016).
9. Calandra, M. Chemically exfoliated single-layer MoS<sub>2</sub> : Stability, lattice dynamics, and catalytic adsorption from first principles. *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, (2013).

10. Abraham, J. *et al.* Tunable sieving of ions using graphene oxide membranes. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **12**, 546–550 (2017).
11. Joshi, R. K. *et al.* Precise and Ultrafast Molecular Sieving Through Graphene Oxide Membranes. *Science* **343**, 752–754 (2014).
12. Varrla, E. *et al.* Large-Scale Production of Size-Controlled MoS<sub>2</sub> Nanosheets by Shear Exfoliation. *Chem. Mater.* **27**, 1129–1139 (2015).
13. Neese, F. The ORCA program system: The ORCA program system. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Comput. Mol. Sci.* **2**, 73–78 (2012).
14. Han, Y., Xu, Z. & Gao, C. Ultrathin graphene nanofiltration membrane for water purification. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **23**, 3693–3700 (2013).
15. Derek W. Smith. Ionic hydration enthalpies.pdf. (1977).
16. Picaud, F. *et al.* Biomimetic solution against dewetting in a highly hydrophobic nanopore. *Soft Matter* **12**, 4903–4911 (2016).
17. Deng, M., Kwac, K., Li, M., Jung, Y. & Park, H. G. Stability, Molecular Sieving, and Ion Diffusion Selectivity of a Lamellar Membrane from Two-Dimensional Molybdenum Disulfide. *Nano Lett.* **17**, 2342–2348 (2017).
18. Chen, L. *et al.* Ion sieving in graphene oxide membranes via cationic control of interlayer spacing. *Nature* **550**, 380 (2017).
19. Suk, M. E. & Aluru, N. R. Water Transport through Ultrathin Graphene. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **1**, 1590–1594 (2010).
20. Chong, J. Y., Wang, B., Mattevi, C. & Li, K. Dynamic microstructure of graphene oxide membranes and the permeation flux. *J. Membr. Sci.* **549**, 385–392 (2018).

21. Xu, F., Song, Y., Wei, M. & Wang, Y. Water Flow through Interlayer Channels of Two-Dimensional Materials with Various Hydrophilicities. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **122**, 15772–15779 (2018).
22. Kang, B. *et al.* Catalytically active supramolecular porphyrin boxes: acceleration of the methanolysis of phosphate triesters via a combination of increased local nucleophilicity and reactant encapsulation. *Chem. Sci.* **3**, 1938 (2012).
23. Price, W. E. Tracer caffeine diffusion in aqueous solutions at 298 K. The effect of caffeine self-association. *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1 Phys. Chem. Condens. Phases* **85**, 415–419 (1989).
24. Ribeiro, A. C. F. *et al.* Diffusion coefficients of paracetamol in aqueous solutions. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **54**, 97–99 (2012).
25. Drug Design: Medicinal Chemistry: A Series of Monographs, Volume 4.
26. Yang, Q. *et al.* Ultrathin graphene-based membrane with precise molecular sieving and ultrafast solvent permeation. *Nat. Mater.* **16**, 1198 (2017).
27. Sun, L., Huang, H. & Peng, X. Laminar MoS<sub>2</sub> membranes for molecule separation. *Chem. Commun.* **49**, 10718 (2013).
28. Hu, M. & Mi, B. Enabling Graphene Oxide Nanosheets as Water Separation Membranes. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **47**, 3715–3723 (2013).
29. Han, Y., Jiang, Y. & Gao, C. High-Flux Graphene Oxide Nanofiltration Membrane Intercalated by Carbon Nanotubes. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **7**, 8147–8155 (2015).

30. Wang, N., Ji, S., Zhang, G., Li, J. & Wang, L. Self-assembly of graphene oxide and polyelectrolyte complex nanohybrid membranes for nanofiltration and pervaporation. *Chem. Eng. J.* **213**, 318–329 (2012).
31. Morelos-Gomez, A. *et al.* Effective NaCl and dye rejection of hybrid graphene oxide/graphene layered membranes. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **12**, 1083–1088 (2017).
32. Geise, G. M., Park, H. B., Sagle, A. C., Freeman, B. D. & McGrath, J. E. Water permeability and water/salt selectivity tradeoff in polymers for desalination. *J. Membr. Sci.* **369**, 130–138 (2011).
33. Veolia, B. W. E., MBROYN307130. RO MEMBRANES VRO-SE4040. (2016).
34. Veolia, S. W. E. M. RO MEMBRANES VRO-400-28. (2016).
35. Brackish Water element BW30-440i High Surface Area - Dow FILMTEC™ Membranes - Form No. 609-00204-1108.
36. Sea Water element SW30XHR-400i- Dow FILMTEC™ Membranes - Form No. 609-00204-1108.
37. Sea Water element SW30ULE-400i - Dow FILMTEC™ Membranes - Form No. 609-00204-1108.
38. Sea Water element SW30XLE-400i - Dow FILMTEC™ Membranes - Form No. 609-00204-1108.
39. Sea Water element SW30HR LE-400i - Dow FILMTEC™ Membranes - Form No. 609-00204-1108.
40. Sea Water element SW30HR LE-400- Dow FILMTEC™ Membranes - Form No. 609-00204-1108.



41. Sea Water element SW30HR-370/34i- Dow FILMTEC™ Membranes - Form No. 609-00204-1108.
42. Sea Water element SW30HR-380- Dow FILMTEC™ Membranes - Form No. 609-00204-1108.
43. Zhao, G., Hu, R., Zhao, X., He, Y. & Zhu, H. High flux nanofiltration membranes prepared with a graphene oxide homo-structure. *J. Membr. Sci.* **585**, 29–37 (2019).
44. van Duin, A. C. T., Dasgupta, S., Lorant, F. & Goddard, W. A. ReaxFF: A Reactive Force Field for Hydrocarbons. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **105**, 9396–9409 (2001).
45. Kim, S.-Y. & van Duin, A. C. T. Simulation of Titanium Metal/Titanium Dioxide Etching with Chlorine and Hydrogen Chloride Gases Using the ReaxFF Reactive Force Field. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **117**, 5655–5663 (2013).
46. Ostadhossein, A. *et al.* ReaxFF Reactive Force-Field Study of Molybdenum Disulfide ( $\text{MoS}_2$ ). *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **8**, 631–640 (2017).
47. Chenoweth, K., van Duin, A. C. T. & Goddard, W. A. The ReaxFF Monte Carlo Reactive Dynamics Method for Predicting Atomistic Structures of Disordered Ceramics: Application to the  $\text{Mo}_3\text{VO}_x$  Catalyst. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **48**, 7630–7634 (2009).
48. Ingebrigtsen, T. & Toxvaerd, S. Contact Angles of Lennard-Jones Liquids and Droplets on Planar Surfaces. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **111**, 8518–8523 (2007).
49. Thomas, J. A. & McGaughey, A. J. H. Water Flow in Carbon Nanotubes: Transition to Subcontinuum Transport. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, (2009).

50. Sresht, V. *et al.* Quantitative Modeling of MoS<sub>2</sub>–Solvent Interfaces: Predicting Contact Angles and Exfoliation Performance using Molecular Dynamics. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **121**, 9022–9031 (2017).
51. Kresse, G. & Furthmüller, J. Efficiency of ab-initio total energy calculations for metals and semiconductors using a plane-wave basis set. *Comput. Mater. Sci.* **6**, 15–50 (1996).
52. Kresse, G. & Furthmüller, J. Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set. *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, 11169–11186 (1996).
53. Perdew, J. P., Burke, K. & Ernzerhof, M. Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3865–3868 (1996).
54. Grimme, S. Semiempirical GGA-type density functional constructed with a long-range dispersion correction. *J. Comput. Chem.* **27**, 1787–1799 (2006).
55. Berendsen, H. J. C., Postma, J. P. M., van Gunsteren, W. F., DiNola, A. & Haak, J. R. Molecular dynamics with coupling to an external bath. *J. Chem. Phys.* **81**, 3684–3690 (1984).
56. Kwac, K. *et al.* Multilayer Two-Dimensional Water Structure Confined in MoS<sub>2</sub>. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **121**, 16021–16028 (2017).
57. Grdadolnik, J., Merzel, F. & Avbelj, F. Origin of hydrophobicity and enhanced water hydrogen bond strength near purely hydrophobic solutes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **114**, 322–327 (2017).