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SUMMARY

The cellular response to replication stress requires the DNA-damage-responsive kinase ATM and its cofactor ATMIN; however, the roles of this signaling pathway following replication stress are unclear. To identify the functions of ATM and ATMIN in response to replication stress, we utilized both transcriptomics and quantitative mass-spectrometry-based phosphoproteomics. We found that replication stress induced by aphidicolin triggered widespread changes in both gene expression and protein phosphorylation patterns. These changes gave rise to distinct early and late replication stress responses. Furthermore, our analysis revealed previously unknown targets of ATM and ATMIN downstream of replication stress. We demonstrate ATMIN-dependent phosphorylation of H2AX and of CRMP2, a protein previously implicated in Alzheimer’s disease but not in the DNA damage response. Overall, our dataset provides a comprehensive resource for discovering the cellular responses to replication stress and, potentially, associated pathologies.

INTRODUCTION

During DNA replication, genome integrity is challenged by factors that impede replication fork progression, hence resulting in replication stress (Mazouzi et al., 2014; Zeman and Cimprich, 2014). In turn, this can lead to replication fork collapse and consequently to the formation of DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) (Fernandez-Capetillo and Nussenzweig, 2013; Toledo et al., 2013). Replication stress can be induced stochastically during cell-cycle progression or pathologically by the dysregulation of oncogene expression, thus promoting oncogene induced transformation (Bartek et al., 2012; Halazonetis et al., 2008). Replication fork instability can also be triggered by exogenous agents such as aphidicolin (APH), which inhibits the replicative DNA polymerases (Glover et al., 1984) and leads to instability of particular genomic regions known as common fragile sites (CFSs). Such regions are particularly difficult to replicate and are susceptible to replication-stress-induced DSBs (Durkin and Glover, 2007). As such, these regions are hotspots for genomic aberrations (Wang et al., 1997).

To counteract DNA damage during DNA replication, cells have evolved a network of DNA damage surveillance pathways that maintain genome integrity. The DNA damage response is orchestrated by the PIKK kinases (phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase related kinases) Ataxia Telangiectasia Mutated protein (ATM); Ataxia Telangiectasia and Rad3 related protein (ATR); and DNA-dependent protein kinase, catalytic subunit (DNA-PKcs) that target a plethora of substrates for phosphorylation at serine or threonine residues followed by glutamine (the “SQ/TQ” motif) (Matsuoka et al., 2007). ATM and DNA-PKcs have most widely been studied in response to DNA DSBs, yet ATM has been reported to respond to diverse stimuli (Derheimer and Kastan, 2010; Kaidi and Jackson, 2013; Lavin and Kozlov, 2007). ATR is activated by its physical recruitment to single-stranded DNA (ssDNA), which can occur at persistent DSBs, but is found more extensively at stalled replication forks (López-Contreras and Fernandez-Capetillo, 2010). ATR and its downstream effectors can then delay cell-cycle progression and also stabilize stalled forks (Friedel et al., 2009). In addition to ATR, ATM is also required during the cellular response to replication stress (Harrigan et al., 2011; Lukas et al., 2011; Petermann and Helleday, 2010; Ward et al., 2005), yet its role has been largely underappreciated.

ATM is activated by two major cofactors—NBS1, which is part of the MRN complex (for MRE11-RAD50-NBS1), and ATMIN (ATM interactor; also known as ASCIZ for ATM substrate Chk2-interacting Zn2+-finger protein)—in a stimulus-dependent manner (Kanu and Behrens, 2009). ATM is also activated after oxidative damage in a cofactor-independent manner (Guo et al., 2010). In response to DNA DSBs, the MRN complex leads
to ATM activation (Difilippantonio et al., 2005; Falck et al., 2005; Lee and Pauli, 2007; Uziel et al., 2003), while ATMIN is required for ATM activation upon replication stress (Kanu and Behrens, 2007; Kanu et al., 2015; Loizou et al., 2011; Schmidt et al., 2014).

Following replication stress, ATM has been shown to be required for recruiting DNA repair proteins, including 53BP1, to nuclear bodies at loci that are particularly susceptible to erosion, hence protecting these regions from degradation (Harrigan et al., 2011). Furthermore, this event has been shown to occur in an ATMIN-dependent manner (Kanu et al., 2015; Schmidt et al., 2014). Within such genomic regions, OPT (for OCT-1, PTF, transcription) domains form in an ATM-dependent manner that represent regions of low transcriptional activity (Harrigan et al., 2011). Since these regions lack the phosphorylated, elongating forms of RNA polymerase II (Pol II), they denote regions of DNA damage that lead to transcription inhibition, which assists in the maintenance of genomic integrity (Harrigan et al., 2011).

Considering that both ATM and ATMIN are required for regulating the cellular response to replication stress, and yet their contribution to this fundamental cellular process is understudied, we devised an experimental system that would allow us to gain a comprehensive view of the events induced by replication stress. Furthermore, our system allowed us to delineate the contribution of ATM and ATMIN to this response in a global, unbiased, and time-resolved approach. We combined transcriptomics and quantitative mass spectrometry (MS)-based phosphoproteomics, in cells exposed to APH-induced replication stress, in a time-resolved manner. To map events dependent on the ATM signaling pathway, we utilized cells that have been genetically engineered to lack either ATM or ATMIN. Our study reveals that APH-induced replication stress leads to altered gene expression and that ATM and ATMIN contribute significantly to this effect. In parallel, we map widespread phosphorylation events on multiple proteins, in a time-dependent manner. Although some of the identified proteins and phosphorylation sites have already been implicated in the DNA damage response, a significant number has not. To validate our comprehensive data resource, we show that the phosphorylation of H2AX at serine 140 (also referred to as serine 139 in the mature protein; known as γH2AX in the phosphorylated form) occurs in an ATMIN-dependent manner upon exposure to replication stress. Furthermore, we identify CRMP2 as a replication-stress-induced phosphoprotein that requires ATMIN for its phosphorylation at S522. Phosphorylation at this site on CRMP2 is required for cell survival in response to replication stress. In summary, we have established the comprehensive and timed orchestration of gene expression and protein phosphorylation in known and novel sites (as well as proteins) that are involved in the cellular response to replication stress, induced by APH.

RESULTS

Charting the Global Cellular Response to Replication Stress

The cellular response to replication stress involves altering the expression of genes and the posttranslational modifications of proteins, including phosphorylation. To globally chart the cellular response to APH-induced replication stress over time, we took the following two approaches: using global phosphoproteomics, we quantified the changes in protein phosphorylation events by mass spectrometry, and using RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) we quantified the changes in the transcriptome. Furthermore, to delineate the contribution of the ATM-ATMIN signaling pathway, we performed these analyses in cells lacking either of these two proteins.

To determine the kinetics of DNA strand breaks induced by APH, we used the alkaline comet assay, which measures both DNA single- and double-strand breaks, and the neutral comet assay, which quantifies specifically DNA double-strand breaks. Mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) were treated with APH (1 µM) over a period of 24 hr. In response to APH treatment, DNA damage accumulated gradually over time (Figures 1A and 1B). This correlated with the increased localization of γH2AX and 53BP1 (two DNA damage markers) to damage sites (Figures 1C, S1A, and S1B). In its phosphorylated form, H2AX is crucial for the recruitment of DNA damage repair proteins in response to DSBs. Furthermore, H2AX has been previously shown to be phosphorylated in response to replication stress (Flach et al., 2014; Burhans and Weinberger, 2007). In addition, we noted that the early cellular stress response to APH (4 hr) did not affect cell-cycle progression, whereas prolonged stress for 24 hr caused a block in cell-cycle progression at S phase (Figures 1D and S1C).

Protein phosphorylation mediated by the ATM and ATR kinases is known to be important in signaling DNA replication stress. We measured ATM activation by examining the phosphorylation of known downstream targets, including KAP1 at S824 (mouse ortholog S823) and SMC1 at S957, and the auto-phosphorylation of ATM itself at S1987 (mouse ortholog S1987). Similarly, to monitor ATR activation we assessed the phosphorylation of CHEK1 at S345. We also monitored H2AX phosphorylation at S140 (γH2AX), which can be mediated by both ATM and ATR. Phosphorylation of ATR substrates is apparent within the first hour after APH treatment, whereas the phosphorylation of ATM substrates appeared between 2 hr and 12 hr (Figure 1E).

To identify the cellular response to replication stress (and to define the roles of ATM and ATMIN within this response), we performed transcriptomics and quantitative global phosphoproteomics at 4 hr and 24 hr post APH treatment (using Atm−/− and Atmin−/− MEFs; Figure S1D). This approach allowed for global evaluation of the early and late events of replication stress signaling (Figure 1F).

Mapping Replication-Stress-Induced Transcriptional Events Dependent on ATM or ATMIN

To chart the transcriptional changes in response to replication stress, we performed RNA-seq of Atm−/− and Atmin−/− MEFs and their corresponding controls (Atm+/+ and Atmin+/+) at 4 hr and 24 hr post APH treatment. We defined APH-responsive genes as those shared between the two wild-type (WT) cell lines, when comparing APH treated to DMSO treated cells (Figure S2A), which led to the identification of 266 genes and 1,346 genes with significantly altered...
expression using a multiple hypothesis adjusted p value of <0.01 (herein referred to as “adjusted p value”) at 4 hr and 24 hr, respectively (Figures 2A and S2A). Eighty differential genes were shared between the two time points (Figure 2A). To determine the cellular processes predicted to be affected by replication stress, we performed gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis on the genes significantly altered in expression upon exposure of WT MEFs to APH. Enriched biological processes...
at 4 hr and 24 hr post APH treatment included those related to cell-cycle regulation and response to stimuli (Figures 2B and S2B). Next, we identified APH responsive genes that require ATMIN or ATM as those genes that are APH responsive in WT cells but not in ATMIN- or ATM-deficient cells (Figure S2A). By applying an adjusted p value cutoff of <0.01, we found that ATM influenced the expression of around 59.4% and 32.8% of APH-responsive genes at 4 hr and 24 hr post treatment, respectively, compared to ATMIN, which modulated the expression of 11.7% at 4 hr and 29% at 24 hr post APH treatment genes (Figures 2C, S2A, and S2C; Table S1). These data highlight the importance of both ATM and ATMIN in regulating gene expression following replication stress induced by APH, but also reveal their requirement in regulating gene expression in the absence of exogenous stress (Figure S2D).

The 50 most significantly downregulated genes in Atmin-deficient or Atm-deficient cells are displayed in Figure S2E. The effect of ATMIN or ATM loss on the expression of the 50 most significantly upregulated APH responsive genes in WT cells is shown in Figure 2D. Among these, the expression of several genes required for cell-cycle regulation was altered in an ATMIN- and/or ATM-dependent manner, including Cyclin E1 (Ccen1) and B Cell Translocation Gene 2 (Btg2) (Figure 2D). BTG2 plays an important role in the regulation of the cell division cycle via downregulation of Ccen1 biosynthesis, along with CDK4 activity (Corrente et al., 2002). qRT-PCR was used to independently confirm these data (Figure 2E). In summary, this dataset has allowed for the identification of APH responsive genes and moreover has revealed the extent to which ATM and ATMIN are required to alter the regulation of these genes.

Time-Resolved Phosphorylation Dynamics Mediated by ATM and ATMIN in Response to Replication Stress

Next, we investigated the impact of replication stress on protein phosphorylation and the requirement of ATM and ATMIN in this process. By analyzing WT cells as well as Atm- and Atmin-deficient cells in the presence or absence of APH (4 hr and 24 hr APH exposure), we identified a total of 13,801 unique phosphorylation sites within 4,094 proteins, with a false discovery rate (FDR) of <0.1% for peptide and <1% for proteins (Figure 3A). The distribution of the individual phosphorylated residues (serine [S] = 80.6%, threonine [T] = 16.7%, tyrosine [Y] = 2.7%) and the number of phosphoryl groups per peptide were comparable to published data (Bensimon et al., 2010; Bodenmiller et al., 2007; Olsen et al., 2006) (Figures 3A and S3A). Of the identified phosphorylation sites, 27.3% have not been reported previously in the PhosphoSitePlus database (Figure 3B).

We defined significant time-resolved APH-induced alterations in phosphopeptides in WT MEFs using both an adjusted p value cutoff of 0.05 (herein referred to as the “confident” sites; blue dashed line) as well as a more stringent unadjusted p value cutoff of 0.001 (herein referred to as the “less stringent” sites; black dashed line) (Figures 3C, 3D, and S3B). Our motivation in selecting these two cutoffs was to acquire a broader perspective of the phosphorylation landscape. We illustrate the phosphorylation of proteins known to be required for DNA replication, the DNA damage response, and cell-cycle progression as green dots in Figures 3C and 3D. For example, following early replication stress, we observed phosphorylation of H2AX (at S137 and S140), CDK2/3 (at T14 and Y15), TP53 (S307; p53), MCM3 (T719), and RIF1 (S1565). Following late replication stress, we identified additional phosphorylation sites on H2AX (S121, S122, S137, and S140). We also identified phosphorylation sites on BRCA1 (S686, S706, S717), MCM6 (S704 and S762), MDC1 (S157, S176, and S943), SMC3 (S1065 and S1067), RFC1 (S281), TP53 (S307 and S309), and TRIM28 (S473; KAP1), among many others (Figures 3C and 3D; Tables S2 and S3). Of note, phosphorylation of MDC1 at S943 and of RFC1 at S281 had not previously been identified. To identify which pathways are represented by changes in phosphorylation events following APH exposure, we used GO enrichment analyses. This revealed the pathways of nucleic acid metabolism and chromatin assembly and/or disassembly to be among the most significantly represented at 4 hr post APH treatment (Figure 3E). At 24 hr post APH, the pathways of cell cycle, DNA repair, DNA damage response, and nucleosome assembly were most significantly enriched (Figure 3E).

To gain insights into the protein sequences that were preferentially phosphorylated upon APH treatment, we generated a motif representation of the overrepresented phosphosites (Figures 3F and S3C). The ATM superfamily of kinases is known to target SQ/TQ substrate motifs for phosphorylation. As expected, we identified the SQ motif in the group of sites that increased in phosphorylation, comprising ~50% of the detected replication-stress-responsive phosphorylation sites at 4 hr and a relatively small fraction (~10%) at 24 hr. Other overrepresented motifs were SP, SD, TP, and TE (Figures 3F and S3C), which is in line with phosphorylation events reported in response to the radiomimetic drug neocarzinostatin (NCS), which generates DNA double-strand breaks, as well as other lesions (Bensimon et al., 2010).

Figure 2. Dynamic Analyses of Transcriptional Responses to APH-Induced Replication Stress

(A) Venn diagram illustrating the overlap of genes that are differentially expressed (adjusted p value < 0.01) in both wild-type MEF cell lines treated with APH for 4 hr or 24 hr compared to untreated cells.

(B) Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of the significantly differentially expressed genes in wild-type MEFs upon APH treatment (1 μM APH compared to DMSO), at the indicated times. The x axis represents −log10 values of the multiple-test corrected p values.

(C) Numbers of APH-responsive transcripts that are ATMIN or ATM independent at 4 hr or 24 hr post treatment (adjusted p value < 0.01). See Figure S2A for details.

(D) Heatmaps illustrating the 50 most significantly upregulated APH responsive genes in both wild-type MEF cell lines treated for 4 hr or 24 hr compared to DMSO. The effects of ATMIN and ATM deficiencies on the expression of these genes are displayed as well. Genes marked in blue are validated experimentally in (E). Z score normalized values are shown.

(E) mRNA expression analysis of indicated genes by qRT-PCR. Expression of mEF1α was used as a reference. Error bars indicate SEM (n = 2). GO, gene ontology; Unt, untreated.
Using the same analytical approach utilized previously for gene expression (Figure S2A), we identified 73.8% of phosphorylation sites to be modulated by ATM following early replication stress (4 hr [Figures 3G and S3D], by applying a p value cutoff of 0.001; data correlating the biological replicates at early and late time points are shown in Figure S3E). These data highlight an important role for ATM in the early response to replication stress induced by APH. Around 52.6% of the APH-induced phosphorylation events were found to be regulated by ATM at the late time point of 24 hr. At early replication stress (4 hr) ATMIN modulated 46.2% of the APH-responsive phosphorylation sites compared to 66.7% for 24 hr post treatment. Approximately 30% of the APH-dependent phosphorylation sites that are ATM dependent are also ATMIN dependent, hence demonstrating the importance of ATMIN as an ATM cofactor (Figure S3D). Yet, the phosphorylation sites that are not shared between ATMIN and ATM raise the possibility that ATMIN potentially regulates these phosphorylation events on multiple substrates independently of ATM (Figures 3G and S3D).

Next, we systematically investigate the dynamic relationship between putative kinase-substrate and phosphatase-substrate networks that may correspond to the phosphosites regulated by replication stress using the NetworKIN software (Horn et al., 2014). In addition to ATM and PRKD (DNA-PKcs) (Figure S4, blue squares), multiple other kinases were predicted to respond to replication stress based on the observed phosphosite changes (gray squares) including CDKs and ABL1, among many others. These results suggest that replication-stress-induced phosphorylation mediated via the use of APH is considerably wider than PIKK-mediated phosphorylation events.

ATMIN Mediates the Phosphorylation of Multiple Substrates in a Stress-Dependent Manner

An additional analytical approach was taken to display ATM- or ATMIN-dependent phosphorylation substrates. First, ATMIN- or ATM-deficient cell lines were compared to their corresponding WT cells (in the presence or absence of APH) (Figure S3F). Next, the significant ATM- or ATMIN-dependent phosphorylation sites were selected if they were APH responsive in WT cells and unchanged in unperturbed cells (Figures 4A and S5, blue and red dots). This led to the identification of several ATMIN-dependent phosphorylation sites on proteins known to be involved in the DNA damage response, as noted by the altered phosphorylation of several DNA replication and repair factors (Figure 4A, green hollow circles). At 4 hr post APH treatment the following ATMIN-dependent phosphoproteins, among others, were diminished in phosphorylation: RIF1 (at S2144), PML (at S609), and MCM4 (at T109) (Table S3). At 24 hr post APH, the following ATMIN-dependent phosphoproteins were reduced in phosphorylation: POLE (at T2020), PML (at S490), and MCM6 (at S704) (Table S3). We identified H2AX (also known as H2AFX) to be phosphorylated upon APH treatment in an ATMIN-dependent manner (at S140) at 4 hr post APH treatment and at two phosphorylation sites (S122 and S140) at 24 hr post APH exposure.

We constructed a putative ATMIN-dependent kinase/phosphatase-substrate network using NetworKIN for all the significantly induced phosphorylation sites by comparing WT cells to ATMIN-deficient cells following APH treatment, over time (Figure 4B; ATMIN-dependent substrates are illustrated as red octagons with black borders). The resulting network revealed substantial involvement of multiple kinases dependent on ATMIN for the cellular response to replication stress, including CDK5 and GSK3B (Figure 4B).

ATMIN is an essential Zn\(^{2+}\) finger protein that functions as a transcription factor during development, where it regulates expression of Dynll1 (Figure S2E) (Jurado et al., 2012). To exclude the possibility that ATMIN regulates the identified phosphoproteins via transcription, similarly we investigated the expression levels of all the significantly induced phosphoproteins by comparing WT cells to ATMIN-deficient cells (4 hr and 24 hr post APH treatment). Given the good reproducibility of the biological replicates (Figure S6A), we were able to exclude ATMIN-dependent genes (Figure 4A) that displayed altered expression upon loss of ATMIN (Figure S6B), hence leading to the identification of ATMIN-dependent phosphorylation substrates that are independent of transcription (Figure 4C, bold).

H2AX Phosphorylation in Response to APH Requires ATMIN

We chose to validate the phosphorylation of H2AX at S140 (\textgreek{y}H2AX) that we observed to be both affected by APH treatment and ATMIN dependent (Figures 3C, 3D, and 4A, dark blue dots; Figure 5A). Manual inspection of the respective MS spectrum

Figure 3. Identification of Proteome-wide Phosphorylation Changes Dependent on ATM and ATMIN, in Response to APH-Induced Replication Stress

(A) Total number of phosphorylation sites and proteins, which were confidently identified. The proportion of phosphorylated serine (S), threonine (T), and tyrosine (Y) residues is also indicated.
(B) Percentage of novel and known phosphorylation sites identified based on the PhosphositePlus database.
(C and D) Analysis of phosphorylation events occurring in wild-type MEFs treated with 1 \textmu M APH for 4 hr (C) or 24 hr (D), compared to DMSO treated cells. Volcano plots display the decimal logarithm of the fold change plotted against the p value. Black dashed lines indicate the significance cutoff for the identification of “confident” sites, and blue dashed lines indicates the significance cutoff for the identification of “less stringent” sites (as defined in the manuscript). Green dots indicate phosphorylation sites on DNA damage response proteins. Red dots indicate phosphorylation sites on other proteins that have not previously been implicated in the DNA damage response. Blue dots indicate the phosphorylation of H2AX at S140 that is validated experimentally in following sections.
(E) Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of the significantly increased (red) or decreased (blue) phosphorylation events in wild-type MEFs upon APH treatment (1 \textmu M APH compared to DMSO), at the indicated times. The x axis represents the \(-\log_{10}\) of the p value.
(F) Ice-Logo plots indicating the frequency of five amino acids flanking each side of phosphorylated serine residues (0 position) that are significantly increased in phosphorylation in wild-type MEFs (APH compared to DMSO) at the indicated times.
(G) Number of ATMIN- and ATM-dependent phosphorylation sites induced upon APH treatment at 4 hr or 24 hr. See Figure S3D for details.
confirmed the correct identification and phosphosite assignment of S140 on H2AX (Figure 5B). Immunoblotting provided further support for a reduction in the APH-induced phosphorylation of H2AX in cells lacking ATMIN, at both early and late time points after treatment (Figure 5C). Moreover, immunofluorescence indicated that although γH2AX accumulated in control MEFs upon APH treatment, this was reduced in MEFs lacking ATMIN (Figures 5D and 5E). Taken together, these data confirm the results of the MS-based approach that identified ATMIN-dependent γH2AX accumulation.

Next, we investigated the effect of ATMIN on the clearance of γH2AX. We found that γH2AX decreased over a 6-hr repair period upon removal of APH from WT cells. In contrast, the phosphorylation of H2AX remained substantially low, but unchanged, in ATMIN-null cells 6 hr after APH removal (Figure 5F). Thus, ATMIN appears to promote the accumulation of γH2AX during the DNA damage response.

CRMP2 Is a Replication-Dependent Phosphoprotein Requiring ATMIN

Next, we chose to validate the ATMIN- and APH-dependent phosphorylation of the Collapsin response mediator protein-2 (CRMP2), a protein not previously implicated in the DNA damage response. CRMP2 (also known as DPYSL2) is a largely cytosolic multifunctional adaptor protein that has been shown to mediate the addition of tubulin dimers to the growing microtubule (Fukata et al., 2002). Additionally, CRMP2 has high sequence homology to the dihydropyrimidinase enzymes (DPYS) responsible for uracil and thymine catabolism; however, CRMP2 itself has no known enzymatic activity. CRMP2 has also been studied extensively in the context of the CNS and is associated with several neuropathological or psychiatric conditions including Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and schizophrenia (Hensley et al., 2011). CRMP2 appears to be involved in many essential neurophysiological functions as well as in different cellular processes in other tissues including vesicle transport, migration, and mitosis (Hensley et al., 2011). Interestingly, nuclear CRMP-2 phosphorylated at T514 has been linked to poor prognosis in non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and was found to interact with the mitotic spindle in a phosphorylation-dependent manner (Fukata et al., 2002). Given these observations, we decided to investigate the role of CRMP2 phosphorylation induced by replication stress. First, we treated ATMIN-proficient and -deficient MEFs with APH for 4 hr or 24 hr. We noted a time-dependent increase in the phosphorylation of CRMP2 at S522 in WT cells, but not cells lacking ATMIN (Figure 6A), supporting the MS data (Figures 3D and 4A, dark blue dot). Importantly, the total protein levels of CRMP2 remained unchanged in response to replication stress and/or loss of ATMIN. We confirmed these findings by immunofluorescence, where we noted a time- and ATMIN-dependent increase in phosphorylation of CRMP2-S522 upon APH treatment of MEF cells (Figures 6B and 6C). The specificity of the phospho-S522 CRMP2 antibody is illustrated in Figure S7A.

To understand the cellular function of CRMP2 and the APH-induced phosphorylation of S522, we generated CRMP2-depleted cells using three independent short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) (Figure 6D). Cell-cycle analysis of human A549 cells treated with APH for different durations showed that the distribution of G1, S, and G2/M populations in cells expressing CRMP2-specific shRNAs was comparable to control cells (Figure S7B). However, loss of CRMP2 impaired cell-cycle progression recovery following APH-induced stress. Surprisingly, upon release from APH-induced stress, cells depleted for CRMP2 showed a blockage in G1 and delayed progression through S phase (Figure S7C), suggesting that CRMP2 is involved in the resumption of normal cell-cycle kinetics following replication stress.

Efficient depletion of CRMP2 also triggered an increase in phosphorylation of TP53 at S15 upon APH treatment, indicative of increased apoptosis and/or cell-cycle arrest (Kruse and Gu, 2009; Shaw, 1996) (Figure 6D). To determine whether CRMP2-depleted cells display increased levels of DNA damage in response to APH, triggering increased S15-TP53, we evaluated H2AX phosphorylation. Depletion of CRMP2 led to diminished levels of γH2AX both by immunoblotting (Figure 6E) and immunofluorescence (Figures 6F, 6G, S7D, and S7E). Under these conditions phosphorylation of the ATM target, KAP1, was modestly effected (Figure 6E). Furthermore, the two shRNAs that gave the most pronounced knockdown of CRMP2 (shCRMP2-1 and shCRMP2-2) vastly reduced survival and proliferation as measured by a clonogenic assay (Figure S7F). A hallmark of H2AX-deficient cells is an accumulation of chromosomal...
aberrations (Celeste et al., 2002). Indeed, CRMP2-depleted cells displayed increased micronuclei upon exposure to APH compared to control cells (Figure 6H). Taken together, these data indicate a requirement of CRMP2 to clear DNA damage upon exposure to replication stress, by preventing micronuclei formation. This could potentially be mediated via CRMP2-dependent phosphorylation of H2AX.

To investigate the role of phosphorylation of CRMP2 at S522, we reconstituted shCRMP2-3 cells (this shRNA does not affect proliferation, as opposed to shCRMP2-1 and -2) with either WT CRMP2 or CRMP2 carrying a serine to alanine mutation at position 522. We had observed that CRMP2 depletion increased the sensitivity of A549 cells to hydroxyurea (HU). HU is an alternative agent that induces replication stress by blocking the synthesis of deoxynucleotides, thus inhibiting DNA synthesis and inducing cell death more potently than APH (Figures 7A and 7B). We found that this sensitivity could be rescued by reconstitution with WT, but not with the S522A phospho mutant CRMP2 (Figures 7A–7C). Additionally, although reconstitution with the WT CRMP2 could rescue the increased sub-G1 population of apoptotic cells upon APH treatment, the S522A phospho mutant could not (Figure 7D). These data indicate that the ATMIN-mediated phosphorylation of CRMP2 is required to suppress apoptosis in response to replication stress.

Figure 5. H2AX Requires ATMIN for Phosphorylation upon APH-Induced Replication Stress
(A) TMT Multiplex Ratios of H2AX phosphorylated at Ser-140 in ATMIN wild-type (Atmin+/+) in comparison to ATMIN-deficient (AtminΔ/Δ) MEFs treated with APH. Data are normalized to Atmin+/+ MEFs treated with DMSO at the indicated time points.
(B) TMT mass spectrometry spectra for H2AX (S140) phosphopeptide.
(C) Atmin+/+ and AtminΔ/Δ MEFs were treated with 1 μM APH for 4 hr or 24 hr and phosphorylation of H2AX at S-140 (known as γH2AX) was analyzed by immunoblotting.
(D) Atmin+/+ and AtminΔ/Δ MEFs were treated with 1 μM APH for 24 hr and immunostained with γ-H2AX and 53BP1 antibodies. Nuclear DNA was counterstained with DAPI. Scale bar, 10 μm.
(E) The quantification of γH2AX intensities per nucleus of Atmin+/+ and AtminΔ/Δ MEFs in the presence or absence of 1 μM APH (for the indicated times) of more than 1,000 cells. Black lines within each column represent median intensities. ****p < 0.0001 (p value was calculated by the Mann-Whitney U test).
(F) Atmin+/+ and AtminΔ/Δ MEFs were treated with 1 μM APH for 24 hr and then released into compound-free medium for the indicated time points. Cells were immunostained for γH2AX and displayed are γH2AX intensities per nucleus quantified for more than 1,000 cells. Error bars indicate SEM.
Figure 6. CRMP2 Is a Replication- and ATMIN-Dependent Phosphoprotein

(A) Atmin+/+ and Atmin−/− MEFs were treated with 1 μM APH for the indicated times, and whole-cell extracts were analyzed for CRMP2 phosphorylation at Ser-522, by immunoblotting.

(B) MEFs were treated as in (A) and then immunostained for CRMP2-pS522 and Tubulin. DNA was counterstained with DAPI. Scale bar, 10 μm.

(C) Quantification of CRMP2-pS522 intensities per nucleus for more than 1,000 cells as in (B). Black lines inside columns represents median intensities. ****p < 0.0001 (p value was calculated by the Mann-Whitney U test).

(D) Top: depletion of CRMP2 in A549 cells, mediated by three independent shRNAs, is confirmed by immunoblotting using an anti-CRMP2 antibody. Bottom: CRMP2-depleted A549 cells (expressing shCRMP2-1 and shCRMP2-2 as well as a Control sequence; Ctrl) were treated with 1 μM APH for 24 hr and analyzed by immunoblotting for phosphorylated TP53 (at S15).

(legend continued on next page)
DISCUSSION

The approach we have taken to systematically map the cellular response to replication stress, for maintaining genomic integrity, relies on the combination of transcriptomics and phospho-proteomics. Here we present a global time course of the cellular response to APH-induced replication stress. Moreover, we show that the kinase ATM and its cofactor ATMIN regulate many of these changes in response to replication stress induced by APH. Although several proteome-wide studies have identified phosphorylation events regulated by DNA double-strand breaks (Beli et al., 2012; Bensimon et al., 2010; Choi et al., 2012; Matsuka et al., 2007), to our knowledge none have investigated the cellular response to replication stress. Here, we used high-throughput MS-based proteomics and RNA sequencing to map early and late changes in the phosphoproteome and the transcriptome induced by replication stress. Furthermore, we have revealed the dynamics of the ATM-ATMIN signaling pathway in this process. The unbiased, systems-level approach presented here shows that ATMIN and ATM are required for the phosphorylation of many shared sites, establishing the importance of ATMIN as a cofactor supporting ATM kinase activity in response to replication stress (see Figure 7E). We also reveal an underappreciated role for ATM in the early response to replication stress.

ATMIN physically interacts with ATM using a short carboxy-terminal motif, in a manner analogous to how NBS1 associates with ATM (Kanu and Behrens, 2007; Zhang et al., 2012). Our data provide evidence that ATMIN modulates the phosphorylation of many sites independently of ATM. Interestingly, the putative kinase-substrate network derived from our data suggests a substantial involvement of multiple other kinases in the cellular response to replication stress. Furthermore, our data propose that ATMIN could function as a cofactor for several kinases in regulating the phosphorylation events induced by replication stress. The data derived by analyzing the requirement for ATMIN in transcription exclude the possibility that ATMIN regulates the phosphorylation of H2AX, which consequently affects the downstream localization of 53BP1 to sites of damage hence promoting errors during replication, resulting in increased micronuclei and anaphase bridges (Schmidt et al., 2014).

In this study, we also identify CRMP2 as a replication-stress-dependent phosphoprotein that requires ATMIN for its phosphorylation at S522. CRMP2 is part of the aminoacylase family of enzymes, a large metal-dependent hydrolase superfamily, yet CRMP2 lacks hydrolase activity. CRMP2 has been shown to bind microtubules and is necessary for signaling by class 3 semaphorins and subsequent remodeling of the cytoskeleton. It is known that CRMP2 interacts with the mitotic spindle and that this association is affected by its phosphorylation. The kinase CDK5 phosphorylates CRMP2 at S522. This primes CRMP2 for subsequent phosphorylation by the kinase GSK3β at residues T509 and T514 during pro-metaphase (Oliemuller et al., 2013). We observed phosphorylation of the priming residue of CRMP2 at 24 hr post APH-induced replication stress. Under such treatment conditions, cells are blocked in S phase, suggesting that CRMP2 phosphorylation at S522 has an additional role besides its function during mitosis. Since mutation of this site renders cells sensitive to replication-stress-induced DNA damage, we propose that CRMP2 plays a role in the DNA damage response. These data also suggest that ATMIN may regulate CDK5 in response to replication stress, thereby promoting the phosphorylation of CRMP2 at S522.

CRMP2 is specifically hyperphosphorylated (at S522) in the brains of AD patients within peptide-rich plaques and neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) (Hensley et al., 2011). Our finding that ATMIN regulates CRMP2 phosphorylation suggests a link between ATMIN function and the neuropathology of AD. Furthermore, since we find that CRMP2 is phosphorylated in response to APH-induced replication stress, this suggests that the hyperphosphorylation observed in AD might be the result of replication stress originating from the abortive cell cycle in neuronal cells (known as cell-cycle re-entry) (Yang et al., 2001).

In summary, we have charted the cellular response to replication stress (induced by APH), and we highlight the importance of our proteome-wide approach of identifying replication-stress-induced phosphorylation sites, mediated via the use of APH, revealed a requirement for ATMIN in the regulation of H2AX phosphorylation, a posttranslational modification that occurs on chromatin to amplify the DNA damage signal. Notably, in the absence of γH2AX, many DNA damage response proteins, including the mediator proteins MDC1 and 53BP1, fail to localize effectively at DNA damage sites (Celeste et al., 2002, 2003; Paull et al., 2000). It has been shown that ATMIN is required for 53BP1 localization following replication stress (Kanu et al., 2015; Schmidt et al., 2014). This suggests that ATMIN might modulate the phosphorylation of H2AX, which consequently affects the downstream localization of 53BP1 to sites of damage hence promoting errors during replication, resulting in increased micronuclei and anaphase bridges (Schmidt et al., 2014).
ATM and ATMIN in regulating widespread phosphorylation events on multiple substrates, including DNA damage response factors, in a time-dependent manner. Through validating specific phosphorylation events, we identified previously unappreciated functions for ATMIN in modulating the phosphorylation of H2AX and CRMP2 (at S140 and S522, respectively). Moreover, we demonstrate that replication-stress-induced phosphorylation of CRMP2 at S522 is required for cell survival and chromosomal stability. Our findings suggest that many kinases in addition to ATM regulate the response to replication stress, perhaps employing ATMIN as a cofactor. The resource provided by our work will facilitate the discovery of new signaling pathways that function in the distinct response to DNA damage resulting from replication stress. Further, it will provide insight into pathologies that arise from replication stress.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Cell Culture, Plasmids, and Reagents**

Atmin+/+ and Atmin−/− MEFs (Kanu and Behrens, 2007) as well as Atm+/+ and Atm−− MEFs (Calleń et al., 2009) were cultured in DMEM (Gibco), and A549 cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 (Gibco). All cells were grown in the presence of 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich) at 37°C with 5% CO2 and 3% O2. APH, NCS, and HU were purchased from Sigma. WT CRMP2 or CRMP2 S522A was

**Figure 7. CRMP2 Phosphorylation at S522 Is Required for Cellular Responses to Replication Stress**

(A) Clonogenic survival of A549 CRMP2-depleted cells (expressing shCRMP2-3, that targets the 3′UTR region of CRMP2) or control cells (Ctrl) were transfected with either wild-type CRMP2 (WT) or CRMP2 carrying a serine to alanine mutation at position 522 (SS22A). Cells were treated with hydroxyurea to induce cell death via replication stress (HU; 1 mM, 2 mM) or left untreated for 24 hr, then the cells were incubated in compound-free media for 10 days.

(B) Macrotscopic colonies were stained with crystal violet and quantified.

(C) The expression of the transfected constructs was confirmed by immunoblotting.

(D) Ctrl and CRMP2-depleted A549 cells (shCRMP2-3) were transfected with CRMP2 WT or SS22A constructs. Cells were then treated with 1 μM APH for 24 hr, followed by incubation in compound-free media for 8 hr. Apoptotic subG1 populations were analyzed by propidium iodide staining. Results from two independent experiments (mean ± SEM, t test *p < 0.05) are shown.

(E) Model illustrating the role of ATMIN and ATM in regulating phosphorylation and transcriptional events during replication stress (see main text for details).
expressed from the pEGFP-C1 vector (Clontech Laboratories) and provided by Dr. Ana Rouzaut (Center for Applied Medical Research, University of Navarra, Pamplona, Spain) and Dr. Manuel Serrano (Spanish National Cancer Research Centre, Madrid, Spain). For shRNA mediated depletion of CRMP2, two shRNAs (shCRMP2-1 and shCRMP2-2) targeting the coding region of the gene 5'-CTGAGTGTATGCGGGATAT-3', 5'-AGCCAAAGTCTTCAACCTTTA-3' and one shRNA (shCRMP2-3) targeting the 3'UTR region 5'-TAAAGGCCTGATGATACATC-3' were used. The shRNA sequences were obtained from the TRCN database (http://www.broadinstitute.org/rnaib/ public/gene/search) and cloned into the lentiviral vector pLKO.1 (Addgene) using AgeI and EcoRI restriction sites. Insertion of shRNA sequences was verified by sequencing. Lentiviral particles were produced by calcium phosphate transfection of the shRNA containing pLKO.1 constructs along with packaging plasmids into HEK293T cells. Two days after transfection, virus-containing supernatant was harvested and filtered to remove HEK293T cells from the supernatant. A549 cells were infected with the virus-containing supernatant in the presence of polybrene (final concentration 8 μg/mL). Infected cells were selected using puromycin (2 μg/mL; Sigma-Aldrich) for 48 hr. For transfection of the CRMP2 expression constructs, the Effectene transfection reagent from QIAGEN was used.

Protein Extracts and Immunoblotting

Cells were lysed in RIPA lysis buffer supplemented with protease inhibitors (Sigma) and phosphatase inhibitors (Sigma-Aldrich, NEB). Lysates were sonicated, centrifuged, and heated with reducing sample buffer. Protein samples were separated by SDS–PAGE (3%–8% or 4%–12% gradient gels; Invitrogen) and subsequently transferred onto nitro-cellulose membranes. All primary antibodies were used at 1:1,000 dilution and secondary antibodies at 1:5,000. Antibodies used were as follows: ATM (Santa Cruz), P-S1981-ATM (10H11.E12; NEB), ASCIZ (Millipore), P-S824-KAP1 (Bethyl Laboratories), KAP1 (Bethyl Laboratories), P-S15-TIP3 (16G8; NEB), TIP5 (Pab-421; CR-UK generated antibody), P-S957-SMC1 (5D11G5; Millipore), P-S345-CHEK1 (2341S; Cell Signaling Technology), CHEK1 (DCS-310; Santa Cruz), CRMP2 (ab129082; Abcam), P-S22-CRM2 (CP2191; Biotrend), H2AX (7631P; Cell Signaling Technology), P-S140-H2AX (67-164; Millipore), GFP (3E1; CR-UK generated antibody), and f-actin (Sigma).

Immunofluorescence and Associated Microscopy

Cells were seeded onto coverslips (VWR) in 24-well plates or directly in 96-well plates. On the following day, cells were treated as indicated, washed twice with ice-cold PBS, fixed with ice-cold methanol for 20 min at −20°C, and then permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 20 min at room temperature and blocked with 10% FCS/0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 1 hr with three washes (PBS) between individual steps. Primary (3B3P1 [H300; Santa Cruz]; P-S140-H2AX [D5-636-I; Millipore]; P-S22-CRM2 [CP2191; Biotrend]) and secondary (Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit and Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-mouse; Invitrogen) antibodies were diluted in blocking solution (1:600) and incubated on cells for 1 hr at room temperature. Finally, cells were stained with DAPI (Sigma-Aldrich) for 20 min at room temperature in the dark. Cell images were taken on a deconvolution microscope (Leica) for slides or Operetta High Content Imaging microscope (PerkinElmer) for the 96-well plates.

Colony Formation Assay

A549 cells (at a density of 1,000 cells/well) were seeded into 6-well plates and treated as indicated for approximately 2 weeks until clear colonies formed. Then, the medium was removed and cells were washed with PBS and fixed using 3.7% PFA (paraformaldehyde). After the removal of PFA, a solution of 0.1% crystal violet in 5% ethanol was added and cells were stained overnight. The next day, staining solution was removed, wells were washed extensively, and images were taken. For quantification, Crystal Violet was extracted from cells with pure ethanol and absorbance was measured using a spectrophotometer at 595 nm.

qRT-PCR

Cells were harvested and RNA was isolated using phenol-chlorophorm extraction. RNA was treated with 1 μg DNase (Sigma) and then reverse transcribed with the SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase protocol (Invitrogen) to obtain cDNA. An amount of 1 μg of cDNA template was used for the qRT-PCR using SYBR Green qPCR Mastermix (QIAGEN). Analysis was performed out in duplicates using expression of mEF1α for normalization of data. The PCR was performed on a 7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). The following primers were used:

Cce1: 5'-GGTCCGTTCGCCATGTTAT-3'; 5'-CCCGAAGTGTCCTGAGCATT-3';
Btg2: 5'-CGCTGTCGCTCCTCTATG-3'; 5'-CTCTGCCCACCTCAGCTCAGTG-3';
mEF1α: 5'-GCAAAAAACGACCACCAATG-3'; 5'-GGCTTGGATGTTTCCAGGATA-3'.

Comet Assays

The neutral comet assay was performed as described (El-Khamisy et al., 2003). For the alkaline comet assay, cells at a density of 3 × 10⁴ were treated with 1 mM APH for 4 or 24 hr or with 100 μM H₂O₂ for 10 min, as a positive control. Cells were washed in pre-chilled PBS and then mixed in 100 μL 12% low melting agarose (Sigma-Aldrich, type VII) maintained at 4°C for all following steps. Slides were immersed in pre-chilled lysis buffer (2.5 M NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, 100 mM EDTA [pH 8.0], 1% Triton X-100, 1% DMSO [pH 10]; DMSO and Triton X added shortly before use) overnight. Slides were washed with pre-chilled distilled water (2 × 10 min) and next placed for 45 min in pre-chilled alkaline electrophoresis buffer (55 mM NaOH, 1 mM EDTA, 1% DMSO). Electrophoresis was conducted at 30 V for 25 min, followed by neutralization in 400 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.0] for 1 hr. Finally, DNA was stained with SYBR Gold (1:10,000 dilution in H₂O; Life Technologies) for 10 min. The comet tail moment was measured for at least 100 cells per sample using the CASP image-analysis program (Konca et al., 2003).

Cell Cycle Analysis

Cells were treated with either DMSO or 1 μM APH at different time points as indicated, or treated with 1 μM APH for 24 hr and then released for different time points. Cell-cycle stages were analyzed using propidium iodide staining. Briefly, cells were harvested, resuspended in PBS, and fixed overnight with cold 70% ethanol. After centrifugation, ethanol was removed and cells were resuspended in PBS containing 1 μg/mL RNase A and 1 μg/mL propidium iodide. Finally, cells were analyzed on a FACScalibur flow cytometer. Following cell acquisition, analysis was performed using FlowJo software (Tree Star).

Statistical Analysis

Data are expressed as ± SEM unless otherwise stated. Statistical analysis of the RNA sequencing and the phosphoproteomics data can be found in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures section. All reported p values are two-tailed unless stated otherwise.

Other Methods

See the Supplemental Experimental Procedures.
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