



**HAL**  
open science

# Plant hydraulic architecture through time: lessons and questions on the evolution of vascular systems

Anne-Laure Decombeix, Anais Boura, Alexandru Tomescu

► **To cite this version:**

Anne-Laure Decombeix, Anais Boura, Alexandru Tomescu. Plant hydraulic architecture through time: lessons and questions on the evolution of vascular systems. *IAWA Journal*, 2019, pp.1-34. 10.1163/22941932-40190254 . hal-02271765

**HAL Id: hal-02271765**

**<https://hal.umontpellier.fr/hal-02271765>**

Submitted on 12 Oct 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

**Running title: Hydraulic architecture through time****Plant hydraulic architecture through time: lessons and questions on the evolution of vascular systems**

Anne-Laure Decombeix<sup>1</sup>, Anaïs Boura<sup>2</sup> & Alexandru M.F. Tomescu<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*AMAP, Université de Montpellier, CIRAD, CNRS, INRA, IRD, Montpellier, France*

<sup>2</sup>*CR2P, Sorbonne Université, MNHN, CNRS, Paris, France*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Biological Sciences, Humboldt State University, Arcata, California 95521, USA*

**ABSTRACT**

Studies of anatomically preserved fossils provide a wealth of information on the evolution of plant vascular systems through time, from the oldest evidence of vascular plants more than 400 million years ago to the rise of the modern angiosperm-dominated flora. In reviewing the key contributions of the fossil record, we discuss knowledge gaps and major outstanding questions about the processes attending the evolution of vascular systems. The appearance and diversification of early vascular plants in the late Silurian-Devonian was accompanied by the evolution of different types of tracheids, which initially improved the hydraulics of conduction but had less of a mechanical support function. This was followed in the Devonian and Carboniferous by an increase in complexity of the organization of primary vascular tissues, with different types of steles evolving in response to mechanical, hydraulic, and developmental regulatory constraints. Concurrently, secondary vascular tissues, such as wood, produced by unifacial or bifacial cambia are documented in a wide array of plant

1 groups, including some that do not undergo secondary growth today. While wood production  
2 has traditionally been thought to have evolved independently in different lineages,  
3  
4 accumulating evidence suggests that this taxonomic breadth reflects mosaic deployment of  
5  
6 basic developmental mechanisms, some of which are derived by common ancestry. For most  
7  
8 of vascular plant history, wood contained a single type of conducting element: tracheids  
9  
10 (homoxily). However, quantitative (e.g. diameter and length) and qualitative (e.g. pitting  
11  
12 type) diversity of these tracheids allowed various taxa to cover a broad range of hydraulic  
13  
14 properties. A second type of conducting elements vessels, is first documented in an extinct  
15  
16 late Permian (c. 260 Ma) group. While the putative hydraulic advantages of vessels are still  
17  
18 debated, wood characterized by presence of vessels (heteroxily) would become the dominant  
19  
20 type, following the diversification of angiosperms during the Cretaceous.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

28  
29 **KEYWORDS:** fossil; xylem; tracheids; vessels; Paleozoic; Mesozoic; wood; cambium.  
30  
31  
32  
33

## 34 INTRODUCTION

35  
36 The term “hydraulic architecture” was first used in the title of a 1978 paper by Martin  
37  
38 Zimmermann that compared water flow in different parts of trees with diffuse porous wood.  
39  
40 Hydraulic architecture has since received several definitions (see Cruziat *et al.* 2002 for a  
41  
42 review), the simplest and most general of which defines it as “the structure of the water  
43  
44 conducting system” (Tyree & Ewers 1991). The functioning of plants as hydraulic systems  
45  
46 has always intrigued botanists and the continued development of new techniques to assess and  
47  
48 quantify xylem hydraulic properties has provided a wealth of data on the physical laws and  
49  
50 structure-function relationships that control water flow in plants (McCulloh *et al.* 2003; Hacke  
51  
52 *et al.* 2005; Sperry *et al.* 2006, 2008; Choat *et al.* 2008; Pittermann *et al.* 2011).  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58 Understanding the anatomy of vascular tissues, the pipelines of the plant’s hydraulic system  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
(Cruziat *et al.* 2002), remains an essential aspect of these studies (e.g., Pittermann *et al.* 2005, 2006a).

Among paleobotanists, the question of the evolution of vascular systems is almost as old as the first observations of fossil plants (e.g., Witham 1831, 1833; Unger 1847; Göppert & Stenzel 1892; Renault 1879). Xylem, the water conducting tissue of vascular plants, typically contains cells with thickened secondary walls (tracheary elements, which are a type of sclerenchyma cells), usually lignified, which are resistant to degradation and, consequently, often well-preserved in the fossil record. The high preservation potential of xylem has underpinned the discovery of major steps in the evolution of vascular tissues, which can be traced back to the appearance of the first vascular plants more than 400 million years ago. The continued discovery of new fossils, combined with the development of new observational techniques and mathematical models, allow access to a steady flow of novel information on the hydraulic architecture of extinct plants, some of which have no living equivalent or were growing in very different environmental conditions (e.g., in terms of climate or atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> levels). In this review, we summarize the state of the art and main developments in studies of the evolution of vascular systems based on the fossil record, and outline some outstanding questions about the processes that led to the appearance of plant structures that we observe today.

## THE FIRST VASCULAR PLANTS

Vascular plants, also known as tracheophytes (Kenrick & Crane 1997; Fig. 1), largely dominate modern terrestrial ecosystems, where they represent over 380 000 species (Willis 2017). Tracheophytes are characterized by the possession of specialized water-conducting cells (tracheary elements) that are longitudinally elongated, non-living at maturity, and have a secondary cell wall laid down in different thickening patterns: annular, helical, scalariform,

1 and reticulate or pitted. Pits are cell wall areas without secondary wall (i.e., holes in the  
2 secondary wall), through which water can move from one cell to the next, typically through a  
3 permeable membrane formed by the primary wall and middle lamella.  
4  
5

6  
7 Microscopic tubes with internal thickenings found in early Silurian to Early Devonian  
8  
9 (c. 440-410 Ma) deposits were initially interpreted as fossil tracheids (Martin 1971).  
10

11 However, the wall ultrastructure of these banded tubes is distinctly different from that of  
12 vascular plant tracheary elements (tracheids and vessels) and their role, as well as the  
13 organisms they belonged to, remain highly conjectural (Gray & Boucot 1977; Taylor &  
14 Wellman 2009). The oldest unequivocal tracheids are a little younger, dating back to the late  
15 Silurian-Early Devonian, a little over 400 million years ago (Edwards & Davies 1976). Early  
16 Devonian coalified fragments of *Cooksonia* (Paratracheophyta) possess a central strand  
17 composed of a few tracheids that are 2-11  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter (Lang 1937; Edwards *et al.* 1992).  
18 Because *Cooksonia* is first recorded in the Silurian (Fig. 2), and tracheids have also been  
19 reported from late Silurian sterile axes in a fossil assemblage containing *Cooksonia-type*  
20 sporangia (Edwards & Davies 1976), *Cooksonia* is traditionally regarded as the oldest  
21 vascular plant. However, the anatomy of Silurian *Cooksonia* specimens is unknown and since  
22 recent analyses show that the various species of the genus do not form a monophyletic group  
23 (Gonez & Gerrienne 2010), the vascular plant status of the oldest *Cooksonia* remains  
24 equivocal.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 Investigations of early tracheids with scanning electron microscopy, starting in the  
46 1990s, have led to the recognition of several types that are based on the structure of their wall  
47 thickenings (Kenrick & Crane 1991; Friedman & Cook 2000; Edwards 2003; Fig. 3). S-type  
48 tracheids have large and simple annular or helical thickenings. The spongy material of the  
49 wall thickenings is covered on the lumen side by a thin decay-resistant microporate layer  
50 (Kenrick & Crane 1997; Fig. 3B). S-type tracheids, named after the first fossil plant in which  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 they were described, *Sennicaulis* (Paratracheophyta, Fig. 1), are also found in a few other  
2 fossil plants of Early and Middle Devonian age (Pfeiler & Tomescu 2018). The G-type  
3 tracheid, exemplified by the fossil plant *Gosslingia* (Zosterophyllales, Fig. 1), has annular or  
4 helical thickenings that can take a scalariform or reticulate aspect through the development of  
5 connections between horizontal thickenings. The cell wall between thickenings bears multiple  
6 minute perforations (Fig. 3C). G-type tracheids are found in some early representatives of the  
7 lycopsids and their extinct precursors, the zosterophylls. Tracheids of the P-type, exemplified  
8 by *Psilophyton* (Trimerophyta, Fig. 1), have bordered pits that are scalariform, more rarely  
9 almost circular (Edwards 2003). Unlike the bordered pits found in the tracheids of extant  
10 plants, their relatively large apertures are covered by a characteristic perforate membrane  
11 made of secondary wall material (Fig. 3D). This peculiarity is found in basal members of the  
12 euphyllophytes (Kenrick & Crane 1997; Strullu-Derrien *et al.* 2014; Bickner & Tomescu this  
13 issue, Fig. 1), the clade that comprises all living non-lycopsid tracheophytes and their extinct  
14 relatives, also known as Trimerophyta. The tracheids of some fossil and extant lycopsids also  
15 possess a perforated membrane or fimbrials (“Williamson’s striations”) across the scalariform  
16 pit aperture (Cichan *et al.* 1981), but it is unknown whether these structures are homologous  
17 to the ones observed in early euphyllophytes (Edwards 2003). Interestingly, some Devonian  
18 taxa show a combination of different types of tracheids within a single plant. This is the case  
19 for example in an unnamed fossil from the Early Devonian of Norway in which the main axis  
20 has G-type tracheids, while the tracheids of lateral branches possess circular to scalariform or  
21 reticulate bordered pits (Edwards *et al.* 2006).

22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51 Some of the most emblematic representatives of early vascular plants were found  
52 silicified in hydrothermal cherts from the Rhynie area in Aberdeenshire, Scotland (mid-  
53 Pragian-?earliest Emsian; Edwards *et al.* 2017). While these cherts preserve the vegetation of  
54 unusual environments (volcanic hot springs) (Wellman 2018), they have yielded some of the  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 best anatomically preserved fossil plants from the Early Devonian interval. Among them,  
2 *Aglaophyton* (Protracheophyta), *Rhynia* (Paratracheophyta), *Asteroxylon* (Drepanophycales),  
3  
4 and *Horneophyton* (Horneophyta) are all small plants (<20 cm tall) that lack leaves and true  
5 roots and illustrate different stages in the evolution of vascular systems. In *Aglaophyton*, the  
6 water conducting cells lack wall thickenings of the kind typically seen in tracheids. Because  
7 these cells have a structure intermediate between true tracheids and the hydroids found in  
8 bryophytes, *Aglaophyton* is considered a protracheophyte, not a true vascular plant. *Rhynia*,  
9 on the other hand, possesses true tracheids with annular to helical thickenings (S-type). The  
10 tracheids of *Asteroxylon* are of the G-type. Analyses of fossil cell wall chemistry by Boyce *et*  
11 *al.* (2003) have shown that the tracheids of *Rhynia* were likely unlignified, whereas those of  
12 *Asteroxylon* were heavily lignified. A fourth plant found in the Rhynie chert, *Horneophyton*,  
13 was regarded as another protracheophyte, but recently Cascales-Miñana *et al.* (2019)  
14 documented cells with irregular, annular to helical wall thickenings of putative secondary  
15 origin. They interpreted these cells as representing a new intermediate, this time between the  
16 simple cells without secondary thickenings found in protracheophytes, such as *Aglaophyton*,  
17 and the true tracheids found in *Rhynia* or *Asteroxylon*.

18  
19 Biomechanical studies by Speck and Vogellehner (1988, 1992) showed that the  
20 tracheids of the first vascular plants did not contribute much to the flexural stiffness of axes,  
21 of which >80-90% could be attributed to either turgor pressure in the parenchymatous cortex,  
22 or to a sclerified hypodermal sterome. The observed increase in the complexity and strength  
23 of tracheid secondary wall thickenings is, thus, likely linked to maintenance of their water  
24 conducting properties, i.e., improved resistance to inward collapse and cavitation (Carlquist  
25 1975; Hacke *et al.* 2001), in correlation with the trend of increasing tracheid diameters for  
26 increased water conductivity that was documented for the Devonian (Niklas 1994). Thus, a  
27 first important lesson from the fossil record is that the early evolution of vascular tissues was

1  
2 in all likelihood not under the constraint of a compromise between hydraulic and mechanical  
3 functions.

4  
5 A significant time interval separates the oldest fossil evidence of land plants (Fig. 1),  
6 represented by middle Ordovician spores (Rubinstein *et al.* 2010), and the diversification of  
7 vascular plants in the Early Devonian. However, it is important to note that the oldest  
8 evidence for plants with vascular tissue consists of late Silurian macrofossils (Kotik *et al.*  
9 2002; Libertin *et al.* 2018) and possibly of hilate/trilete spores of early Silurian age (Gray &  
10 Boucot 1977; Steemans *et al.* 2009). The age disparity between these different types of fossils  
11 may be a reflection of different biases in taphonomy, geographic sampling, or the nature of  
12 the rock record, with respect to different plant parts and fragments. Vascular tissues are  
13 known to be quite resistant to degradation, thus, early vascular plants are expected to be better  
14 preserved than other types of plants. Kenrick *et al.* (2012) argue that the paucity of their  
15 remains before the late Silurian-Early Devonian could be due to biases, including (1) the poor  
16 prospection of the supercontinent Gondwana, which corresponded to today's southern  
17 continents and where land colonization by plants might have started (Steemans *et al.* 2009),  
18 and (2) the paucity of terrestrial deposits that pre-date the latest Silurian and that are exposed  
19 today, especially those representing fluvial or lacustrine depositional environments in which  
20 most early vascular plant remains are typically found. Until these potential biases are better  
21 understood and addressed, the tempo of evolution of plant vascular tissues following the  
22 initial colonization of land and the possible underlying processes cannot be assessed with  
23 confidence. The most recent timescale of plant evolution using fossil calibrations and genetic  
24 data (Morris *et al.* 2018) proposes a middle Cambrian to Early Ordovician age for crown  
25 embryophytes and a Late Ordovician to Silurian age for crown tracheophytes.  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57

## 58 **THE INCREASE IN COMPLEXITY OF THE PRIMARY VASCULAR SYSTEM**

59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



1 During the Devonian, major innovations, such as the appearance of leaves (Sanders *et*  
2 *al.* 2009; Langdale & Harrison 2008; Tomescu 2009; Galtier 2010), the evolution of root  
3 systems (Boyce 2005; Hetherington & Dolan 2018), or the appearance of pseudomonopodial  
4 branching - with morphological differentiation of a main axis and subordinate lateral branches  
5 (Gensel *et al.* 2001), led to an increase in complexity of plant architecture (Chomicki *et al.*  
6 2017; Bonacorsi & Leslie 2019; Crepet & Niklas 2019). This was paralleled by an increase in  
7 complexity of the organization of the primary vascular tissues and the appearance of new  
8 types of steles (Figs.4-5). The stele of an axis includes the tissues derived from the  
9 procambium. In terms of mature tissues, this translates into vascular tissues (xylem and  
10 phloem) and associated ground tissues (such as the pericycle, leaf gaps, interfascicular areas) -  
11 these issues are discussed in detail in an upcoming paper by Tomescu (work in progress).  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

27 In the most simple type of stele, the protostele, there is no pith and vascular tissues  
28 form a central strand. This type of stele, present throughout the entire geologic time scale of  
29 tracheophytes, occurs today in some taxa such as *Lycopodium* (Lycopodiales), *Psilotum*  
30 (Psilotidae), or *Gleichenia* (Glecheniales). A protostele is also the vascular organization found  
31 in the vast majority of roots, independently of their systematic affinities (Esau 1965; Beck  
32 2005). The oldest type of protostele, the haplostele, has circular to elliptical shape in cross-  
33 section, with the xylem located in the center (Fig.4A-C). This architecture is typical of the  
34 earliest vascular plants (Kenrick & Crane 1997; Fig. 2). Another type of protostele that  
35 became common in the Middle Devonian is the actinostele, in which the xylem has a lobed or  
36 star-shaped structure in cross-section (Figs.4D-F). Actinosteles are found in many Middle and  
37 Late Devonian taxa, including lycopsids, cladoxyloids (i.e., Iridopteridales and  
38 Pseudosporochnales, Fig. 1), progymnosperms, Stenokoleales, and the first spermatophytes  
39 (Scheckler 1974, 1976; Beck & Stein 1993; Rothwell & Serbet 1994; Momont *et al.* 2016;  
40 Toledo *et al.* 2018; Fig.4F).  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2 The development of a central pith led to a new major type of stele, in which the  
3 vascular tissues form a hollow cylinder (with pith at the center), the siphonostele (Beck *et al.*  
4 1982; Stewart and Rothwell 1993). The vascular cylinder can be interrupted by leaf gaps  
5 where vascular traces diverge toward the leaves (Fig.4J-L). Various types of siphonosteles are  
6 present from the Late Carboniferous on, especially among the filicopsids (e.g., Phillips 1974;  
7 Beck *et al.* 1982; Rothwell 1999; Schneider *et al.* 2002). Late Devonian and Carboniferous  
8 lycopsid trees such as *Sublepidodendron* and *Paralycopodites* have vascular tissues arranged  
9 in a hollow cylinder in the largest part of their stems (Eggert 1961), but they lack leaf gaps  
10 and the question of whether these represent true siphonosteles is still debated. A variation of  
11 the siphonostele is the dictyostele, in which leaf gaps are long (tall) and overlap vertically,  
12 giving the impression of a dissected vascular system (Fig.5A-C). The oldest dictyosteles date  
13 from the Carboniferous, as seen for example in the marattialean tree fern *Psaronius*  
14 (Delevoryas 1964; Fig.5C). Today they are found in many ferns, such as *Osmunda*  
15 (*Osmundales*, Fig. 1) or the tree fern *Dicksonia* (*Cyatheales*) (Bower 1926).  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

33  
34 In the eustele, which is typically found in spermatophytes, the vascular tissues are  
35 organized as discrete longitudinal sympodia around the pith (Fig.5D-F). The oldest example  
36 of this type of organization is seen in the Middle Devonian progymnosperm *Archaeopteris*  
37 (Scheckler 1978; Beck 1979; Fig.5F). Eusteles become more common following the  
38 diversification of seed plants in the Carboniferous, a period during which they are found in  
39 Cordaitales, early conifers (*Voltziales*), and various families of pteridosperms. Today,  
40 eusteles featuring more or less complex connections between the xylem of the stem and that  
41 of branches and leaves (Namboodiri & Beck 1968; Beck *et al.* 1982) characterize the  
42 gymnosperms and angiosperms. Some monocotyledons have a modified eustele in which the  
43 sympodia are arranged in multiple concentric cycles (Beck *et al.* 1982). These multiple  
44 sympodia, in combination with the multiple leaf traces diverging from them, produce the  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 impression of vascular bundles scattered randomly throughout the ground tissue and preclude  
2 recognition of a typical pith or cortex (Fig.5G-I). This type of eustele, called an atactostele, is  
3  
4 first documented in fossil palm trunks (*Palmoxylon*; Fig.5I) from the Late Cretaceous  
5  
6  
7 (Santonian-Campanian, and possibly as old as the Turonian; Crié 1892; Berry 1916).  
8

9  
10 Several Middle Devonian to Early Carboniferous plants assigned to the extinct group  
11  
12 of the cladoxyloids displayed a vascular system with numerous separate xylem strands of  
13  
14 various size and shape in transverse section. The number of strands ranges from a few in some  
15  
16 specimens of *Xenocladia* (Pseudosporochnales) (Arnold 1952; Fig.4I) or *Cladoxylon*  
17  
18 (Pseudosporochnales) (Bertrand 1935) to over 130 in the Late Devonian tree *Xinicaulis*  
19  
20 (Cladoxyloid) (Xu *et al.* 2017). Stewart and Rothwell (1993) interpreted this organisation as  
21  
22 a transition from an actinostele to a plectostele, a type of protostele in which the xylem and  
23  
24 phloem are arranged in alternating plates or U or V-shaped bands (in cross section) (Fig.4G-  
25  
26 I); in the most extreme cases, the central strands are absent and the architecture resembles that  
27  
28  
29 of a eustele.  
30  
31  
32

33  
34 While the diversity of stelar anatomy and the evolutionary pathways that led from one  
35  
36 type of stele to another have been the subject of many hypotheses and discussions, very little  
37  
38 is certain regarding the processes driving the diversification of stelar organization in the  
39  
40 Devonian and thereafter. Different scenarios involving several categories of factors have been  
41  
42 considered.  
43  
44

45  
46 (1) Increasing mechanical demands. Walter Zimmerman was one of the first proponents of  
47  
48 this mechanical hypothesis (Zimmermann 1959). Because the most peripheral tissues of the  
49  
50 axis are the ones that have the highest impact on resistance to bending forces, the arrangement  
51  
52 of the xylem at the periphery of a pith vs. at the center of the axis provides more stiffness  
53  
54 (Speck & Vogellehner 1988). This suggests that the evolution of stelar organizations that  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 include a pith may have been driven, at least in part, by mechanical constraints associated  
2 with increase in size.  
3

4 (2) Increasing demand of fluid transport. F.O. Bower proposed in 1908 the hypothesis that the  
5 stele increased in complexity in order to optimize water transport in plants that were evolving  
6 larger sizes and more complex organization (Bower 1908). The development of a central pith  
7 and peripheral xylem minimizes the distances between the water conducting cells and other  
8 tissues while limiting the amount of energy spent on producing expensive xylem cells (Raven  
9 1994; Roth & Mossbruger 1996). Thus, such hydraulic demands may have represented a  
10 different type of driver in the evolution of the pith.  
11

12 (3) Changes in hormonal signals linked to the evolution of lateral organs. Building on earlier  
13 ideas formulated by Wight (1987), Stein (1993) modelled how the evolution of stelar  
14 architecture can be linked to the gradients of auxin produced by more complex lateral  
15 appendages (branches, leaves). Stein's models support an origin of pith at the center of the  
16 stele related to changes in shoot apex geometry under different hormonal gradient regimes,  
17 and the dissection of the stele into discrete vascular bundles as a result of changing receptivity  
18 to hormonal signaling.  
19

20 In all likelihood, combinations of these different factors, which are not mutually  
21 exclusive, were responsible for stelar evolution in different groups, especially since the  
22 increase in complexity of lateral organs has a direct impact on the biomechanical and  
23 hydraulic demands of the plant, in addition to driving shifts in hormonal signaling patterns  
24 and homeostasis. It is also possible that these factors had different relative importance in  
25 shaping the vascular systems of different plant lineages. Leaves evolved independently in the  
26 two major tracheophyte groups - the lycopsids and euphyllophytes - and then, again,  
27 independently in several euphyllophyte groups (Tomescu 2009; Sanders *et al.* 2009; Galtier  
28 2010). Given their independent origins, as well as differences in their developmental,  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 anatomical, and physiological relationships with subtending stems, specific to each lineage,  
2 the advent of leaves must have had different impacts on the architecture of the vascular  
3 system in each of these lineages. Nevertheless, the evolution of leaves, along with that of  
4 roots (also thought to have evolved independently, at least between the lycopsids and  
5 euphyllophytes; Boyce 2005; Hetherington & Dolan 2018), and with the general increase in  
6 plant size, led to increasingly more complex plant architectures. These are all factors that can  
7 be linked to the increase in complexity of the architecture of the primary vascular system.  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18

## 19 **VASCULAR CAMBIA AND SECONDARY GROWTH**

20  
21 Another major event in plant evolution that occurred during the Devonian is the  
22 advent of the vascular cambium, a lateral meristem producing secondary vascular  
23 tissues. Two types can be distinguished: unifacial cambium, producing only secondary xylem  
24 (wood), and bifacial cambium that produces secondary xylem on one side and secondary  
25 phloem on the other side. Like other meristems, the vascular cambium can have permanent  
26 activity, leading to indeterminate growth, or can cease functioning at a genetically determined  
27 point, leading to determinate growth. Today, all plants with secondary vascular tissue - with  
28 the possible exception of the lycopsid *Isoetes* (Isoetales; Fig.6A-B)- are seed plants and have  
29 a bifacial cambium with indeterminate growth. In *Isoetes*, a cambial layer (the lateral  
30 meristem) produces a “prismatic layer” (consisting of tracheids and sieve cells) toward the  
31 inside and a thin layer of parenchymatous tissue toward the outside (Paolillo 1963; Gifford &  
32 Foster 1989). Although functionally bifacial, this cambial layer produces vascular tissues only  
33 on one of its sides, like the unifacial cambia. Compared to the dearth of lineages exhibiting  
34 vascular cambial growth in the modern flora, the fossil record reveals a much broader  
35 diversity of modes of secondary growth (Tomescu & Groover 2019; Fig.6).  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2 To date, the oldest known occurrences of secondary xylem are known from the Early  
3 Devonian euphyllophytes *Armoricaphyton* (Strullu-Derrien *et al.* 2014; Gerrienne and Gensel  
4 2016) from France and *Franhueberia gerriennei* (Hoffman & Tomescu 2013) (Fig. 2) from  
5 Gaspé (Canada), and from a few additional, as yet unnamed, plant types from New Brunswick  
6 and Quebec (Canada) (Gerrienne *et al.* 2011; Gensel 2018). Subsequent to these early  
7 examples, the fossil record has revealed a remarkable diversity of geologically younger  
8 (Middle Devonian and early Carboniferous) plants that produced secondary xylem. This  
9 structural feature has been reported in lycopsids, basal euphyllophytes, cladoxyloids,  
10 sphenopsids, basal filicopsids such as the zygopterids, stenokoleales, and lignophytes (Figs. 1,  
11 2, 6). Thus, two key lessons are that (1) secondary growth evolved early (possibly earlier than  
12 410 Ma ago) and that (2) the occurrence of secondary xylem in vascular plants is quite  
13 common, even in groups that do not produce wood today, like the lycopsids and sphenopsids.

14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
Lycopsids, basal euphyllophytes, cladoxyloids, basal filicopsids, and sphenopsids  
are all interpreted as having a unifacial cambium (Cichan & Taylor 1990; Fig.6A-E ). This  
type of cambium usually produced a small amount of wood (a few millimeters to a few  
centimeters) and no secondary phloem, but there are examples of Permian sphenopsids in  
which the wood cylinder exceeded 30 cm in radial thickness (Rößler & Noll 2006). The  
bifacial cambium, producing both secondary xylem and secondary phloem, is considered the  
synapomorphy of the lignophyte clade, which includes the spermatophyte plants and their  
extinct progymnosperm sister group (Crane 1985; Doyle & Donoghue 1986; Rothwell &  
Serbet 1994; Fig. 6G-H). The oldest evidence for this type of cambium dates to the Middle  
Devonian, in the aneurophytlean progymnosperms *Tetraxylopteris*, *Triloboxylon*, and  
*Proteokalon*, all of which possess a well preserved complement of secondary phloem in  
addition to secondary xylem (Beck 1957; Scheckler & Banks 1971; Stein & Beck 1983; Fig.  
2; Fig. 6G). The Stenokoleales, a Late Devonian-Early Carboniferous group of plants

1 sometimes associated with the lignophytes (Matten 1992; Toledo *et al.* 2018), have some  
2 secondary xylem (Beck & Stein 1993; Momont *et al.* 2016) but there is no evidence to date  
3  
4 for secondary phloem, which would indicate the presence of a bifacial cambium in that group.  
5  
6 Interestingly, a bifacial cambium is also documented in the Sphenophyllales, an extinct group  
7  
8 of sphenopsids from the Late Devonian-Triassic (Taylor *et al.* 2009; Fig. 2). However, unlike  
9  
10 that of the lignophytes, their cambium is usually interpreted as having determinate growth and  
11  
12 the largest axes of the best known genus, *Sphenophyllum*, are only up to about 1 cm in  
13  
14 diameter. Late Carboniferous and Permian representatives of *Sphenophyllum* had wood with a  
15  
16 peculiar system of vertical parenchyma cells located at the corners of tracheids and connected  
17  
18 to the rays that has no equivalent in other fossil or extant taxa (Cichan & Taylor 1982; Cichan  
19  
20 1985; Fig. 6F). However, older representatives of the Sphenophyllales seem to have possessed  
21  
22 a more typical wood anatomy (Terreaux de Felice *et al.* in press).  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

29         Among extant plants, the bifacial vascular cambium can show important variations in  
30  
31 functioning, which lead to the development of secondary tissue configurations designated as  
32  
33 cambial variants (e.g., Angyalossy *et al.* 2012; Pace *et al.* 2018a, b). Evidence for these  
34  
35 different types of “anomalous secondary growth” has also been documented in the fossil  
36  
37 record (reviewed by Bodnar & Coturel 2012). For example, some members of the  
38  
39 *Corytospermales*, an extinct group of spermatophytes from the Triassic (250-200 Ma),  
40  
41 exhibit both centripetal and centrifugal wood development, as well as possible included  
42  
43 phloem (Artabe & Brea 2003; Decombeix *et al.* 2014).  
44  
45  
46  
47

48         As in the case of the first tracheids, the initial role of wood seems to have been  
49  
50 hydraulic rather than structural (Gerrienne *et al.* 2011), and the wood of Devonian-  
51  
52 Carboniferous plants already exhibited hydraulic properties comparable to those of some  
53  
54 modern taxa (Cichan 1986; Wilson *et al.* 2008; Cascales-Miñana *et al.* 2019, this issue;  
55  
56 Tanrattana *et al.* 2019, this issue). A role in mechanical support was acquired later and only in  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 certain groups (e.g., Rowe & Speck 2004). Today, most trees are gymnosperms or  
2 eudicotyledons in which the wood has a dual function: water conduction and mechanical  
3 support. However, several plant types, such as palms (monocotyledons) and tree ferns,  
4 demonstrate that secondary xylem is not needed to reach arborescence (i.e., the tree habit).  
5 Similarly, there are fossil examples of arborescent plants with no secondary xylem or in  
6 which the secondary xylem did not contribute much to the stiffness of the stem (Mosbrugger  
7 1990; Meyer-Berthaud & Decombeix 2009). Such is the case of the arborescent lycopsids,  
8 like the famous *Lepidodendron* (and related species of Lepidodendrales) of the Carboniferous,  
9 in which most of the mechanical support function was filled by a well-developed bark of  
10 secondary origin. The small central ring of wood, while very efficient for water conduction  
11 (Cichan 1986), played no role in the stem's stiffness (Mosbrugger 1990).

26 One of the major questions that remains regarding the evolution of secondary xylem is  
27 whether vascular cambial growth evolved independently in several tracheophyte lineages or  
28 only once, at the base of the clade (Tomescu & Groover 2019). The traditional view, based on  
29 records of representatives with secondary growth in multiple lineages that could be traced  
30 back to the Middle Devonian (Fig. 2), was that there had been multiple independent  
31 evolutionary origins of this important developmental and structural feature. This perspective  
32 found support in the more-or-less major perceived differences in the anatomy of secondary  
33 tissues between the different lineages.

46 These traditional views are being reshaped by evidence coming from two directions.  
47 One of these is the realization that some mechanisms regulating vascular cambial growth are  
48 shared among major tracheophyte lineages spanning both the lycopsids and the  
49 euphyllophytes: lepidodendrales, sphenopsids, progymnosperms, and spermatophytes  
50 (Rothwell *et al.* 2008; Sanders *et al.* 2011). The other line of evidence is provided by the  
51 discovery of multiple euphyllophyte types that demonstrate secondary xylem production in  
52



1 the Early Devonian (Gerrienne *et al.* 2011; Hoffman & Tomescu 2013; Strullu-Derrien *et al.*  
2 2014; Gensel 2018). These fossils are pushing the documented occurrence of this feature to  
3  
4 within a few million-years from the oldest representatives (and, potentially, the origin) of the  
5  
6 clade. These data prompted Hoffman and Tomescu (2013) to propose that underlying  
7  
8 mechanisms for secondary growth had become part of the euphyllophyte developmental  
9  
10 toolkit very early in the evolution of the clade, and to raise the question of whether some of  
11  
12 these mechanisms may have originated even earlier, in a common ancestor of euphyllophytes  
13  
14 and lycopsids.  
15  
16  
17

18  
19 To provide an empirical framework for addressing such questions, Tomescu and  
20  
21 Groover (2019) proposed an updated perspective that approaches vascular cambial growth as  
22  
23 a complex developmental process of modular nature. Their view explains the diverse  
24  
25 anatomies of secondary growth seen in different lineages (referred to as modes of secondary  
26  
27 growth) as a mosaic pattern of expression of distinct developmental-regulatory modules  
28  
29 (responsible for different component processes of secondary growth) among different  
30  
31 lineages, living and extinct. This view emphasizes patterns of shared processes and  
32  
33 developmental regulation, and accommodates a hypothesis-testing approach to address  
34  
35 questions about the evolutionary origins of secondary growth.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

## 43 **FURTHER EVOLUTION OF HOMOXYLOUS WOODS IN SEED PLANTS** 44 45 **(SPERMATOPHYTES)** 46 47

48 From its first appearance in the basal euphyllophytes, through the lignophytes and all  
49  
50 the way to the extant conifers, wood has fulfilled both its functions - conduction and  
51  
52 mechanical support - with only one type of cell, the tracheid. The structure of wood that has  
53  
54 only tracheids as conducting cells is referred to as homoxylous (Fig. 7). For example, in most  
55  
56 conifers the tracheids have a small diameter (<50  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and the rays are small - short and  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 uniseriate. Wood of this type is known as pinoxylic (Fig. 7A). In contrast, extant cycads, as  
2 well as many Paleozoic pteridosperms have manoxylic wood, characterized by large tracheids  
3 with diameters up to 150  $\mu\text{m}$  and large rays (more than 5 cells wide and up to 200 cells tall)  
4 (Galtier 1992; (Fig. 7B-C).  
5  
6

7  
8  
9 The first spermatophytes that appeared in the Late Devonian are represented by small  
10 axes with only a small amount of wood (Serbet & Rothwell 1992), but significant patterns of  
11 anatomical disparity can be observed in the wood of homoxyloous spermatophytes as soon as  
12 the early Carboniferous. For example, ray size in early Carboniferous spermatophytes ranged  
13 from uniseriate rays only one or two cells high in some taxa (such as like *Bilignea*) to  
14 multiseriate rays up to a hundred cells high in *Calamopitys* or *Pitus* (Galtier 1992; Galtier &  
15 Meyer-Berthaud 2006; Dunn 2006; Fig.7B-C). A combination of very large rays and small  
16 tracheids (<50  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter) occurs in several early Carboniferous taxa, but is extremely  
17 rare in the rest of the fossil record prior to the appearance of vesselless angiosperms, toward  
18 the end of the Mesozoic (Philippe *et al.* 2010; Boura *et al.* 2019, this issue). Ray tracheids and  
19 axial parenchyma are present since at least the Late Devonian-early Carboniferous (Beck &  
20 Wight 1988; Galtier & Meyer-Berthaud 2006) (Fig. 7A).  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38

39 Secondary xylem tracheids range widely between 15 and 97  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter in  
40 *Elkinsia*, one of the best known Late Devonian spermatophytes (Serbet & Rothwell 1992). In  
41 the Carboniferous and Permian, taxa with tangential tracheid diameters exceeding 100  $\mu\text{m}$  are  
42 found among several groups of pteridosperms, including the Calamopityales,  
43 Lyginopteridales, and Medullosales, while the Cordaitales, the first conifers, and other  
44 spermatophytes such as the Glossopteridales, had smaller tracheids (Cichan 1986; Pigg &  
45 Taylor 1993; Vozenin-Serra *et al.* 1991). Tracheid length is less well documented, in part  
46 because the longest tracheids exceed the size of thin-section slides that have been prepared  
47 from fossil material. Tracheid length reaches at least 1 cm in *Callistophyton*  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

(Callistophytales), and several centimeters in *Medullosa*, both of which are late Carboniferous spermatophytes.

Reconstructions of the hydraulic properties of selected fossil plants by Cichan (1986) and Wilson & Knoll (2010) suggest that the wide, long, and densely pitted tracheids of the Carboniferous pteridosperms *Medullosa*, *Callistophyton*, and *Lyginopteris* occupied an area of tracheid morphospace that is not populated by any younger or extant seed plant taxa. Those authors hypothesized that the unusually high conductivity of these tracheids was related to the combination of a non-selfsupporting growth habit, in which tracheids do not play a role in mechanical support, and growth in a warm wetland environment in which there is little risk of embolism (air bubbles in the vascular system) caused by drought or frost. It is interesting to note that such high-conductivity tracheids are to date unknown in the Mesozoic pteridosperms, despite the existence at that time of broadly similar conditions.

The pitting of secondary xylem tracheids in the earliest spermatophytes typically consists of crowded multiseriate oval to oblique pits with oval aperture (Serbet & Rothwell 1992), similar to most representatives of their sister group, the progymnosperms (Beck & Wight 1988; Fig. 7D). A different type of pitting, with uniseriate circular pits possessing circular apertures, is documented in three Late Devonian-early Carboniferous woods belonging to progymnosperms or spermatophytes (Beck & Wight 1988; Prestianni *et al.* 2010; Decombeix *et al.* 2011). Scalariform pits in secondary xylem tracheids are first documented in progymnosperms, such as *Rellimia* and *Protopitys* (Beck & Wight 1988; Fig. 7E), and occur afterwards throughout the history of spermatophytes, for example, in the putative Permian conifer *Xuanweioxylon scalariforme* (He *et al.* 2013), and some cycads, bennettitales, and angiosperms, as well as in some Mesozoic taxa of uncertain affinities, such as *Sahnioxylon* or *Ecpagloxyton* (Philippe *et al.* 2010). Wilson & Knoll (2010) hypothesized that the tracheids with scalariform pitting of the Cretaceous bennettitale *Cycadeoidea* had pit

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

area resistances similar to those of extant vesselless angiosperms, such as *Trochodendron*, but the evolutionary history and hydraulic properties of homoxylous woods with scalariform pitting have yet to be properly understood.

One of the major events in the evolution of homoxylous woods was the advent of torus-margo pit membranes with a highly permeable water-porous marginal region, the margo, and a thickened central zone impermeable to water, the torus. Under normal and favorable conditions, water moves from one tracheid to the adjacent one through the margo while the torus is centrally located in the pit chamber allowing water flow. In the case of an embolism, the pit membrane is aspirated against the pit border, such that the torus blocks the pit aperture, preventing the spread of air through the xylem (Bailey 1916). Torus-margo pit membranes ensure, thus, both a high efficiency of water conduction when environmental conditions are hydraulically safe, and a high degree of hydraulic safety when conditions are degraded (Pittermann *et al.* 2005, 2006b; Sperry *et al.* 2006).

Today, torus-margo pit membranes are found in all extant conifer families (Bauch *et al.* 1972; Dute 2015), as well as in other gymnosperm taxa, such as extant *Ginkgo* (Wilson & Knoll 2010) or the gnetales (*Ephedra*, *Gnetum* and *Welwitschia*) (Carlquist 2012), but are absent in the cycads (Bauch *et al.* 1972). Torus-margo pit membranes have also been reported in the fern genus *Botrychium* (Ophioglossidae) (Morrow & Dute 1998). Most angiosperms lack a torus and have homogeneous membranes with smaller size pores randomly distributed. However, in a few angiosperm families (Ericaceae, Oleaceae, Thymeleaceae, Rosaceae, Ulmaceae, Cannabaceae, Schisandraceae), the fine mesh of the typical intervacular pit membrane is associated with a centrally thickened area that resembles a torus (Ohtani & Ishida 1978; Ohtani 1983; Wheeler 1983; Jansen *et al.* 2004; Dute 2015; Nguyen *et al.* 2017).

In 1967, Schmid pointed out that because of the small number of records of torus-margo pit membranes in the fossil record, the evolution of this character has been inferred

1 largely based on data from living plants. Fifty years later this is still the case (Pittermann *et al.*  
2 2010; Wilson & Knoll 2010; Wilson 2016) and the timing of the evolution of torus-margo pit  
3 membranes remains an enigma. It is possible that this character evolved independently in  
4 different lineages, in response to environmental pressures, contributing to the optimization of  
5 the balance between safety and efficiency of the secondary xylem (Wilson 2013; Pittermann  
6 *et al.* 2010). All Paleozoic conifers have bordered pits with simple pit membranes, i.e., devoid  
7 of a torus (Wilson & Knoll 2010). Pre-Cretaceous occurrences of fossil wood with a well-  
8 defined torus-margo are very rare and sometimes questionable (Holden 1915), but the  
9 presence of torus-margo pit membranes is documented in many coniferous fossil woods from  
10 the Cretaceous on (Schmid 1967). Nevertheless, there are recent reports of torus-margo pit  
11 membranes from the middle Triassic of Argentina (Bodnar *et al.* 2015) and the basal Jurassic  
12 of France (Moreau *et al.* 2019) (Fig. 2).

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29 In sum, the combination of fossil data and modelling thus shows that homoxylous  
30 woods in fossil seed plants displayed a wide range of hydraulic properties resulting from (1) a  
31 rapid increase in anatomical diversity in the Carboniferous, and (2) the advent of torus-margo  
32 pit membranes possibly as early as the Triassic.

## 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 **VESSELS AND EARLY ANGIOSPERM EVOLUTION**

42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

Vessels are water-conducting units consisting of several non-living cells (vessel elements) that are connected vertically by perforated end walls (perforation plates). Unlike the pits found on the lateral walls of tracheids, perforation plates lack a pit membrane and are complete holes through both primary and secondary walls. The end wall can have one (simple perforation plate) or several perforations (multiple perforation plate). In the latter case, the perforations can be scalariform, reticulate, or foraminiate (grouped circular) (Esau 1965; IAWA Committee 1989). Vessel elements are thought to have evolved by dissolution of the

1 primary wall in certain areas of the end wall of tracheids. Vessels range from a few  
2 millimeters to several meters in length and can exceed 500  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter, in some  
3  
4 angiosperm lianas (e.g., Angyalossy *et al.* 2015; Apgaua *et al.* 2017).  
5  
6

7 The presence of vessels is generally associated with the wood of angiosperms and the  
8 evolution of vessels has often been cited as one of the main reasons for the evolutionary  
9 success of angiosperms, due to the putative increase in hydraulic conductivity that it entailed  
10 (e.g., Bailey 1944; Carlquist 1975; Bond 1989). However, not all angiosperm have vessels  
11 and vessels have also been reported in other living and extinct plant groups. Among free-  
12 spring vascular plants, vessels have been reported in the primary xylem of lycopsids, such as  
13 *Selaginella*, of the sphenopsid *Equisteum*, and of several filicopsids, such as *Pteridium*  
14 (Duerden 1934; Bailey 1944; Bierhorst 1958; Carlquist & Schneider 1997; Schneider &  
15 Carlquist 2000; Fig. 8A-C). It is generally agreed that the vessels of these plants have evolved  
16 independently from those found in spermatophytes.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

31 In the spermatophytes, vessels are present in the angiosperms, the gnetales ( Fig. 8E-  
32 G) and the extinct Gigantopteridales (Li *et al.* 1996; Li & Taylor 1999; Figs. 2, 8D). The  
33 oldest occurrence of vessels in spermatophytes was reported in small stems of late Permian  
34 age (ca. 270 Ma) assigned to the Gigantopteridales, a group of unclear affinities from the  
35 Permian-Triassic (Li *et al.* 1996; Li & Taylor 1999; Fig. 1; Fig. 8D). Vessels in the wood of  
36 *Vasovinea tiannii* are 150-250  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter, more rarely up to 500  $\mu\text{m}$ , and each vessel  
37 element is 4.5-5 mm long. The perforation plates superficially resemble those commonly  
38 found in gnetales (foraminate perforation plates, Fig. 8G) but can be distinguished from the  
39 latter by a few characters, including the high number of pores (about 14 rows) and the  
40 presence of pores that bear remnants of primary wall. One putative vessel observed in the  
41 primary xylem shows a scalariform perforation plate more similar to those found in  
42 angiosperms. Tian and Li (1992) also reported the presence of “scalariform-reticulate  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 perforation plates” in some primary xylem tracheary elements of *Guizhouxylon*, another  
2 possible gigantopterid from the Late Permian of China. Currently, no other evidence is  
3  
4 available for vessels in the secondary xylem of spermatophytes prior to the Cretaceous,  
5  
6 almost 150 million years after the Gigantopteridales (Fig. 2).  
7  
8

9 Permian and Triassic polyplicate pollen grains reminiscent of gnetalean pollen are the  
10 oldest putative evidence for the Gnetales. By the Cretaceous they are well represented in the  
11 fossil record by pollen, charcoalfied seeds, and impressions/compressions of reproductive  
12 and vegetative structures (Friis *et al.* 2011). There is, however, no convincing record of fossil  
13 gnetalean wood and hypotheses about wood evolution in this group (e.g., Carlquist 2012) are  
14 based exclusively on extant taxa. Vessel diameters are broadly variable within the group, from  
15 around 15  $\mu\text{m}$  in the desert plant *Welwitschia* to  $>400 \mu\text{m}$  in some lianescent *Gnetum* species  
16 (Fischer & Ewers 1995).  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

28 Despite recent claims of Jurassic angiosperms and even older pollen exhibiting  
29 angiospermous features (reviewed by Herendeen *et al.* 2016), the oldest widely accepted  
30 fossil evidence for angiosperms consists of pollen from the Early Cretaceous (Hauterivian, ca  
31 130 Ma; Brenner & Bickoff 1992). Macrofossil evidence in the form of flowers and leaves  
32 documents significant diversification of the group throughout the Cretaceous (see Friis *et al.*  
33 2011 for a review of the angiosperm fossil record). The oldest unequivocal angiosperm woods  
34 with vessels date to the Albian, 110-100 million years ago (Herendeen *et al.* 1999). The  
35 vessels in these woods have either simple (e.g., *Paraphyllanthoxylon*) or scalariform (e.g.,  
36 *Icacinoxylon*) perforation plates, with some taxa having both types of perforations (Baas &  
37 Wheeler 1996; Wheeler & Baas 2019, this issue). Whereas wide vessels ( $>200 \mu\text{m}$ ) occur in  
38 some of the earliest angiosperm woods (Albian-Cenomanian), mean tangential vessel  
39 diameter is  $<100 \mu\text{m}$  in most Cretaceous angiosperm woods (Wheeler & Baas 2019, this  
40 issue). In one Late Cretaceous (Maastrichtian) assemblage with ten wood types, maximum  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2 vessel diameters ranged from 80–250  $\mu\text{m}$  (Olmos Formation, Mexico; Martinez-Cabrera &  
3 Estrada-Ruiz 2014..

4  
5 One long-standing matter of debate has been the single vs. multiple origin of vessels in  
6  
7 the seed plants. Classical studies from the 1900's and the first cladistic analyses based on  
8  
9 morphological characters (e.g., Doyle & Donoghue 1986) have interpreted the occurrence of  
10  
11 vessels in both gnetales and angiosperms as a synapomorphy supporting a close relationship  
12  
13 between the two groups. On the other hand, detailed anatomical studies have suggested  
14  
15 independent origins of the vessels in the two groups: the foraminate perforations typical of  
16  
17 gnetaleans (Fig. 8G) thought to have evolved from tracheids with circular bordered pits on the  
18  
19 end walls, and the angiosperm perforation plate from tracheids that had scalariform pits on the  
20  
21 end walls (see Thompson 1918; Bailey 1944, 1953). Other authors (Muhamad & Sattler 1982)  
22  
23 have suggested that both types of perforation plates occur in the gnetales and, thus, that the  
24  
25 two types of vessels are homologous. More recently, Fischer and Ewers (1995) and Carlquist  
26  
27 (1996) have taken the view that the apparent similarities between gnetales and angiosperm  
28  
29 vessels are the result of convergence. If convergent evolution is, indeed, the explanation for  
30  
31 the vessels of angiosperms and gnetales, then the secondary xylem vessels of the Permian  
32  
33 Gigantopteridales, with their distinctive perforation plates, could represent another instance of  
34  
35 convergence.

36  
37 Another key question is that of the putative advantage provided by vessels over  
38  
39 tracheids and their roles in angiosperm evolution. Vessels have often been considered a key  
40  
41 element in the success of the angiosperms (e.g., Bailey 1944; Carlquist 1975; Bond 1989).  
42  
43 However data from both extant and fossil angiosperms suggests a more nuanced situation.  
44  
45 First, ecophysiological studies comparing the hydraulic capacity in extant angiosperms and  
46  
47 gymnosperms show that at small lumen diameters, below 60-70  $\mu\text{m}$ , tracheids can be as  
48  
49 efficient hydraulically as vessels (e.g., Hacke *et al.* 2005; Sperry *et al.* 2006; Brodribb *et al.*  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

2012 ). Pitterman *et al.* (2005) showed that the hydraulic efficiency of conifer wood for a given sapwood-area exceeds that of angiosperm wood for the same average conduit diameter, in part thanks to the presence of torus-margo pits (see above). As a result, if vessel diameter is limited (as the result of selection pressures, because narrower vessels are less sensitive to embolism caused by freeze-thaw events or by drought), conifer wood can be more efficient. However, vessels have the advantage of reaching a given conductivity with lower investment in wood conduit area (Tyree *et al.* 1994; Feild & Arens 2007). It is possible that the earliest angiosperm vessels did not provide a significant hydraulic advantage. If they did, it might have also caused a decreased embolism tolerance compared to contemporaneous vesselless seed plants, according to Feild and Wilson (2012). A recent study of rainforest angiosperms from New Caledonia shows that taxa bearing vessels with scalariform plates and vesselless taxa have comparable (low) hydraulic efficiency and safety (Trueba *et al.* in press).

The importance of vessels in angiosperm diversification, at least in its early stages, is also challenged by several lines of evidence suggesting that the earliest angiosperms were vesselless (Baas & Wheeler 1996; Herendeen *et al.* 1999). Recent phylogenetic analyses of extant angiosperms place the vesselless genus *Amborella* (ANA grade, Fig. 1) as sister-group to all other angiosperms. Several other vesselless taxa occur in relatively basal angiosperm groups (e.g., Winteraceae; Fig. 7H), although loss of vessels in some of the latter cannot be excluded (Baas & Wheeler 1996; Herendeen *et al.* 1999; Feild *et al.* 2002; see also Boura *et al.* 2019, this issue). On the other hand, the fossil record provides a counterpoint to the hypothesis of initially vesselless early angiosperms, as the oldest known angiosperm woods have vessels. Nevertheless, the oldest evidence for angiosperms, represented by pollen, predates the oldest angiosperm wood (Hickey & Doyle 1977; Friis *et al.* 2011), indicating that the oldest angiosperm wood has yet to be found. Furthermore, the distinction between vesselless early angiosperm woods and non-angiosperm homoxylous woods in the fossil

1 record can be problematic, especially since potentially useful characters appear to be  
2 plesiomorphic or convergent within seed plants (see discussion in Philippe *et al.* 2010).  
3  
4 Several Mesozoic fossil woods with homoxylous structure, like *Lhassoxylon aptianum*  
5  
6 (Vozenin-Serra & Pons 1990; Fig. 7F), *Ecpagloxyton mathiesenii* (Philippe *et al.* 2010) or one  
7  
8 of the specimens described in Neraudeau *et al.* (2017; Fig. 7G), also show unusual features  
9  
10 (respectively tangential lines of axial parenchyma, scalariform intertracheary radial pits, or  
11  
12 very abundant axial parenchyma) compared to extant conifer taxa, so that they are sometimes  
13  
14 described and considered as an early stage of the evolution that led to angiosperm wood types.  
15  
16  
17  
18

19         The high hydraulic efficiency of vessels led to a significant increase in wood  
20  
21 anatomical diversity among angiosperms by allowing the diversification of other cell types  
22  
23 devoted to distinct functions (Hudson *et al.* 2010). This diversity likely contributed to the  
24  
25 diversification of angiosperm growth forms. The diversification of angiosperm lianas, for  
26  
27 example, has been associated to heteroxyly (e.g., Isnard & Feild 2015), although there are also  
28  
29 many examples of lianas in homoxylous fossil seed plants (Burnham 2015). The fossil record  
30  
31 suggests that Early Cretaceous (120-110 Ma) angiosperms were small-sized (see Philippe *et*  
32  
33 *al.* 2008; Coiffard *et al.* 2012), with the first large angiosperm trees found in the Cenomanian  
34  
35 and Turonian (about 100-90 Ma) (Coiffard *et al.* 2012; Jud *et al.* 2018; Saulnier *et al.* 2018).  
36  
37 However, this is based mostly on high-latitude localities and more investigations of lower  
38  
39 latitude floras are needed. Evidence of angiosperm-dominated forests with a significant  
40  
41 diversity can be found in latest Cretaceous-Paleocene wood assemblages from India (Wheeler  
42  
43 *et al.* 2017); such assemblages become more common and similar in composition to extant  
44  
45 communities during the Eocene (Licht *et al.* 2014; 2015; Woodcock *et al.*, 2019, this issue).  
46  
47 While vessels certainly played a role in the increasing diversity of angiosperms throughout the  
48  
49 Cretaceous, many questions remain on the evolution of their wood anatomy, especially in the  
50  
51 context of whole-plant physiology and environmental factors.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## CONCLUSIONS

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 Since the first observations of anatomically preserved plants in the XIX<sup>th</sup> century,  
6  
7 paleobotany has contributed significant - and sometimes unexpected - data to our  
8  
9 understanding of the evolution of plant vascular systems. Important among these is the  
10  
11 realization that many key features of the vascular systems that we observe today appeared  
12  
13 very early in plant evolution (i.e., in the Paleozoic, >250 million years ago, Fig. 2). This is  
14  
15 true at various levels, from the organisation of vascular tissues within plant axes (stelar  
16  
17 architecture, secondary tissues), to the types of conducting cells (tracheids, vessel elements),  
18  
19 and even the fine elements of cell structure (pitting type, variation in conduit diameter).  
20  
21 Furthermore, current advances in the methods used to model water flow in the xylem of fossil  
22  
23 plants suggest that, as a result of these early evolutionary developments, significant  
24  
25 differences in hydraulic properties existed as early as the Carboniferous. Another important  
26  
27 lesson from the fossil record is that features related to xylem hydraulic properties might have  
28  
29 ranked among the main drivers of the diversification of vascular plants in the late Silurian-  
30  
31 Early Devonian and the evolution of secondary xylem (wood) in the Early Devonian. Finally,  
32  
33 consideration of the rich data provided by the fossil record in a phylogenetic context raises  
34  
35 numerous questions about the perceived homoplastic nature vs. potential single origin of  
36  
37 major features of the vascular system, such as the presence and functional details of a vascular  
38  
39 cambium or of vessels. Understanding the developmental mechanisms and the evolutionary  
40  
41 and environmental constraints that led to the anatomies observed today will undoubtedly  
42  
43 require continued study of the anatomy and physiology of extinct and extant plants. The fossil  
44  
45 record will play an important role in these studies, as an irreplaceable source of (1)  
46  
47 chronostratigraphic data as calibration points for the timing of main evolutionary events, and  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2 (2) examples of character combinations that do not exist today and can be used to test  
3 hypotheses formulated based on extant taxa or can be incorporated in such hypotheses.  
4  
5

## 6 7 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

8  
9 We thank Jean-Yves Dubuisson for providing the outline used for Figure 1, Jean  
10 Galtier for discussions on Paleozoic wood and stele evolution, Kelly Matsunaga for  
11 discussions on the palm fossil record, Dennis K. Walker for producing the *Isoetes* sections,  
12 and Dario De Franceschi, Sabine Hennequin, Hongqi Li, Brigitte Meyer-Berthaud, and  
13 Romain Thomas for providing photos of fossil or extant material. We also thank Pieter Baas  
14 and Elisabeth Wheeler for the invitation to submit this paper. AMAP (Botany and  
15 Computational Plant Architecture) is a joint research unit which associates CIRAD (UMR51),  
16 CNRS (UMR5120), INRA (UMR931), IRD (R123), and Montpellier University (UM);  
17 <http://amap.cirad.fr>.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

## 34 **REFERENCES**

- 35  
36 Angyalossy V, Angeles G, Pace MR, Lima AC, Dias-Leme CL, Lohmann LG & Madero-  
37 Vega C. 2012. An overview of the anatomy, development and evolution of the vascular  
38 system of lianas. *Plant Ecol. Divers.* 5: 167--182.  
39 <https://doi.org/10.1080/17550874.2011.615574>  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46 Angyalossy V, Pace MR, Lima AC. 2015. Liana anatomy: a broad perspective on structural  
47 evolution of the vascular system. In: SA Schnitzer, FBongers, RJ Burnham & FE Putz  
48 (eds.), *The Ecology of Lianas*: 252-287. Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, UK.  
49  
50  
51 <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118392409.ch19>  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- Apgaua DM, Tng DY, Cernusak LA, Cheesman AW, Santos RM, Edwards WJ & Laurance SG. 2017. Plant functional groups within a tropical forest exhibit different wood functional anatomy. *Func. Ecol.* 31: 582--591. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12787>
- Arnold CA. 1952. Observations on fossil plants from the Devonian of eastern north America. VI. *Xenocladia medullosina*. *Contr. Mus. Paleontol. Univ. Michigan* 9: 297--309.
- Artabe A & Brea M. 2003. A new approach to Corystospermales based on Triassic permineralized stems from Argentina. *Alcheringa* 27: 209--229. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03115510308619353>
- Baas P & Wheeler EA . 1996. Parallelism and reversibility in xylem evolution – a review. *IAWA J.* 17: 351--36. <https://doi.org/10.1163/22941932-90000633>
- Bailey, IW. 1916. The structure of the bordered pits of conifers and its bearing upon the tension hypothesis of the ascent of sap. *Botanical Gazette* 62: 133–142.
- Bailey IW. 1944. The development of vessels in angiosperms and its significance in morphological research. *Am. J. Bot.* 31:421--428. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1537-2197.1944.tb08053.x>
- Bailey IW. 1953. Evolution of the tracheary tissue of land plants. *Am. J. Bot.* 40: 4--8. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2438485>.
- Bauch J, Liese W & Schultze R. 1972. The morphological variability of the bordered pit membranes in gymnosperms. *Wood. Sci. Technol.* 6: 165--184. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00351575>
- Beck CB. 1957. *Tetraxylopteris schmidtii* gen. et. sp. nov., a probable pteridosperm precursor from the Devonian of New York. *Am. J. Bot.* 44:350--367. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2438387>
- Beck CB. 1979. The primary vascular system of *Callixylon*. *Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol.* 28: 103-115. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-6667\(79\)90003-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-6667(79)90003-4)

- 1 Beck CB. 2005. An introduction to plant structure and development. Cambridge University  
2 Press, NY. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139165365>  
3
- 4 Beck CB & Stein WE. 1993. *Crossia virginiana* gen. et sp. nov., a new member of the  
5 Stenokoleales from the Middle Devonian of southwestern Virginia. *Palaeontographica*  
6 229B: 115--134.  
7
- 8 Beck CB & Wight DC. 1988. Progymnosperms. In: CB Beck (ed), Origin and evolution of  
9 gymnosperms: 1--84. Columbia University Press, New York.  
10
- 11 Beck CB, Schmid R & Rothwell GW. 1982. Stellar morphology and the primary vascular  
12 system of seed plants. *Bot. Rev.* 48: 691--815. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02860874>  
13
- 14 Berry EW. 1916. A petrified palm from the Cretaceous of New Jersey. *Am. J. Sci.* 41: 193--  
15 197. <https://doi.org/10.2475/ajs.s4-41.242.193>  
16
- 17 Bertrand P. 1935. Contribution a l'étude des Cladoxylalées de Saalfeld. *Palaeontographica B*  
18 80: 101--170.  
19
- 20 Bickner MA & Tomescu AMF. 2019. Structurally complex, yet anatomically plesiomorphic:  
21 permineralized plants from the Emsian of Gaspé (Quebec, Canada) expand the diversity  
22 of Early Devonian euphyllophytes. *IAWA J.* <https://doi.org/10.1163/22941932-40190234>  
23
- 24 Bierhorst D. 1958. Vessels in *Equisetum*. *Am. J. Bot.* 45: 534--537.  
25  
26 <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1537-2197.1958.tb13162.x>  
27
- 28 Bodnar J & Coturel EP. 2012. El origen y diversificación del crecimiento cambial atípico en  
29 plantas fósiles: procesos del desarrollo involucrado. *Bol. Soc. Argent. Bot.* 47: 33--70.  
30
- 31 Bodnar J, Ruiz D P, Artabe AEE, Morel EM & Ganuza DG. 2015. Voltziales y Pinales (=   
32 Coniferales) de la Formación Cortaderita (Triásico Medio), Argentina, y su implicancia  
33 en la reconstrucción de las coníferas triásicas. *Rev. Bras. Paleontol.* 18: 141--160.  
34  
35 <http://dx.doi.org/10.4072/rbp.2015.1.10>  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- Bonacorsi NK & Leslie AB. In press. Sporangium position, branching architecture, and the evolution of reproductive morphology in Devonian plants. *Int. J. Plant Sci.*
- Bond WJ. 1989. The tortoise and the hare: ecology of angiosperm dominance and gymnosperm persistence. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 36:227--249. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.1989.tb00492.x>
- Boura A, Saulnier G, De Franceschi D, Gomez B, Daviero-Gomez V, Pons D, Garcia G, Robin N, Boiteau J-M & Valentin X. 2019. An early record of a vesselless angiosperm from the middle Cenomanian of the Envine valley (Vienne, Western France). *IAWA J.* <https://doi.org/10.1163/22941932-40190238>
- Bower FO. 1908. *The origin of a land flora.* Macmillan & Co., Ltd., London.
- Bower FO. 1926. *The Ferns.* Vol. II. Univ. Press, Cambridge.
- Boyce CK. 2005. The evolutionary history of roots and leaves. In: NM Holbrook & MA Zwieniecki (eds.). *Vascular transport in plants: 479--499.* Elsevier, Amsterdam. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012088457-5/50025-3>
- Boyce CK, Cody GD, Fogel ML, Hazen RM, Alexander CMOD & Knoll AH. 2003. Chemical evidence for cell wall lignification and the evolution of tracheids in early Devonian plants. *Int. J. Plant Sci.* 164: 691--702. <https://doi.org/10.1086/377113>
- Brenner GJ & Bickoff IS. 1992. Palynology and age of the Lower Cretaceous basal Kurnub Group from the coastal plain to the northern Negev of Israel. *Palynology* 16(1): 137--185. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01916122.1992.9989411>
- Brodribb TJ, Pittermann J & Coomes DA. 2012. Elegance versus speed: Examining the competition between conifer and angiosperm trees. *Int. J. Plant Sci.* 173: 673--694. <https://doi.org/10.1086/666005>

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- Burnham R.J. 2015. Climbing plants in the fossil record: Paleozoic to Present. In: SA  
Schnitzer, F Bongers, RJ Burnham & FE Putz (eds.) *The Ecology of Lianas*: 205--220.  
Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, UK. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118392409.ch16>
- Carlquist S. 1975. *Ecological strategies of xylem evolution*. Univ. Calif. Press, Berkeley, Los  
Angeles & London.
- Carlquist S. 1996. Wood, bark, and stem anatomy of gnetales: a summary. *Int. J. Plant Sci.*,  
157:S58--S76. <https://doi.org/10.1086/297404>
- Carlquist S. 2012. Wood anatomy of Gnetales in a functional, ecological, and evolutionary  
context. *Aliso* 30: 33--47. <https://doi.org/10.5642/aliso.20123001.05>.
- Carlquist S & Schneider EL. 1997. SEM studies on vessels in ferns. 2. *Pteridium*. *Am. J. Bot.*  
84: 581--585. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2445893>
- Cascales-Miñana B, Gerrienne P, Sirjacq B & Steemans P. 2019. On the hydraulic  
conductance of three woody Devonian plants. *IAWA J.*  
<https://doi.org/10.1163/22941932-40190232>
- Cascales-Miñana B, Steemans P, Servais T, Lepot K & Gerrienne P. 2019. An alternative  
model for the earliest evolution of vascular plants. *Lethaia*.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/let.12323>
- Choat B, Cobb AR & Jansen S. 2008. Structure and function of bordered pits: new  
discoveries and impacts on whole-plant hydraulic function. *New Phytol.* 177: 608--626.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02317.x>
- Chomicki G, Coiro M & Renner SS. 2017. Evolution and ecology of plant architecture:  
integrating insights from the fossil record, extant morphology, developmental genetics  
and phylogenies. *Ann. Bot.* 120: 855--891. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcx113>



- 1  
2 Cichan MA. 1985. Vascular cambium and wood development in Carboniferous plants. II.  
3 *Sphenophyllum plurifoliatum* Williamson and Scott (Sphenophyllales). Bot. Gaz. 146:  
4 395--403. <https://doi.org/10.1086/337539>  
5  
6  
7 Cichan MA 1986. Conductance in the wood of selected Carboniferous plants. Paleobiology  
8  
9 12: 302--310. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0094837300013804>  
10  
11  
12 Cichan MA & Taylor TN. 1982. Vascular cambium development in *Sphenophyllum*: a  
13  
14 Carboniferous arthropyte. IAWA Bull. 3: 155--160. [https://doi.org/10.1163/22941932-](https://doi.org/10.1163/22941932-90000830)  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19 Cichan MA & Taylor TN. 1990. Evolution of cambium in geologic time - a reappraisal. In:  
20  
21 M Iqbal (ed.), The vascular cambium: 213--228. Research Studies Press / Wiley,  
22  
23 Taunton, England / New York.  
24  
25  
26  
27 Cichan MA, Taylor TN & Smoot EL. 1981. The application of scanning electron microscopy  
28  
29 in the characterization of Carboniferous lycopod wood. Scanning Electron Microscopy 3:  
30  
31 197--201.  
32  
33  
34 Coiffard C, Gomez B, Daviero-Gomez V & Dilcher DL. 2012. Rise to dominance of  
35  
36 angiosperm pioneers in European Cretaceous environments. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 109:  
37  
38 20955--20959. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1218633110>  
39  
40  
41 Crane PR. 1985. Phylogenetic analysis of seed plants and the origin of angiosperms. Ann.  
42  
43 Mo. Bot. Gard. 72: 716--793. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2399221>  
44  
45  
46 Crepet WL, & Niklas KJ. 2019 (in press). The evolution of early vascular plant complexity.  
47  
48 Int. J. Plant Sci.  
49  
50  
51 Crié L. 1892. Recherches sur les Palmiers silicifiés des terrains crétacés de l'Anjou. Bull. Soc.  
52  
53 Etudes Scient. Angers. 21: 99.  
54  
55  
56 Cruiziat P, Cochard H & Améglio T. 2002. Hydraulic architecture of trees: main concepts and  
57  
58 results Ann. For. Sci. 59, 723--752. <https://doi.org/10.1051/forest:2002060>  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- Decombeix A-L, Bomfleur B, Taylor EL & Taylor TN. 2014. New insights into the anatomy, development, and affinities of corystosperm trees from the Triassic of Antarctica. *Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol.* 203: 22--34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.revpalbo.2014.01.002>
- Decombeix A-L, Meyer-Berthaud B, Galtier J, Talent J & Mawson R. 2011. Diversity of arborescent lignophytes in the Tournaisian vegetation of Queensland (Australia): paleoecological and paleogeographical significance. *Palaeog. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 301: 39--55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2010.12.017>
- Delevoryas T. 1964. Ontogenetic studies of fossil plants. *Phytomorphology* 14: 299--314.
- Doyle JA & Donoghue MJ. 1986. Seed plant phylogeny and the origin of angiosperms: an experimental cladistic approach. *Bot. Rev.* 52: 331--429. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02861082>
- Duerden H. 1934. On the occurrence of vessels in *Selaginella*. *Ann. Bot.* 48: 459--465. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aob.a090458>
- Dunn MT. 2006. A review of permineralized seed fern stems of the Upper Paleozoic. *J. Torrey Bot. Soc.* 133:20--32. [https://doi.org/10.3159/1095-5674\(2006\)133\[20:AROPSF\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.3159/1095-5674(2006)133[20:AROPSF]2.0.CO;2)
- Dute RR. 2015. Development, structure, and function of torus–margo pits in conifers, ginkgo and dicots. In: Hacke U (ed.), *Functional and ecological xylem anatomy*: 77--102. Springer, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-15783-2\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-15783-2_3)
- Edwards 2003. Xylem in early tracheophytes. *Plant Cell Environ.* 26: 57--72. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3040.2003.00878.x>
- Edwards D & Davies ECW. 1976. Oldest recorded *in situ* tracheids. *Nature* 263: 494--495. <https://doi.org/10.1038/263494a0>
- Edwards D, Davies ECW & Axe L. 1992. A vascular conducting strand in the early land plant *Cooksonia*. *Nature* 357: 683-685. <https://doi.org/10.1038/357683a0>

- 1  
2 Edwards D, Li C.-S & Raven JA. 2006. Tracheids in an early vascular plant: a tale of two  
3  
4 branches. Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 150: 115--130. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095->  
5  
6 [8339.2006.00450.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.2006.00450.x)  
7  
8  
9 Edwards D, Kenrick P & Dolan L. 2017. History and contemporary significance of the  
10  
11 Rhynie cherts - our earliest preserved terrestrial ecosystem. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. B 373:  
12  
13 20160489. doi: [10.1098/rstb.2016.0489](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0489)  
14  
15  
16 Eggert DA. 1961. The ontogeny of Carboniferous arborescent Lycopsidea. Palaeontographica  
17  
18 B 108: 43--92.  
19  
20  
21 Esau K. 1965. Plant anatomy, 2nd ed. Wiley & Sons, New York-London-Sydney.  
22  
23  
24 Feild TS & Arens NC. 2007. The ecophysiology of early angiosperms. Plant Cell Environ. 30:  
25  
26 291--309. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2006.01625.x>  
27  
28  
29 Feild TS & Wilson JP. 2012. Evolutionary voyage of angiosperm vessel structure-function  
30  
31 and its significance for early angiosperm success. Int. J. Plant Sci. 173: 596--609.  
32  
33  
34 <https://doi.org/10.1086/666099>  
35  
36 Feild TS, Brodribb T & Holbrook NM. 2002. Hardly a relict: freezing and the evolution of  
37  
38 vesselless wood in Winteraceae. Evolution 56: 464--478. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0014->  
39  
40 [3820.2002.tb01359.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2002.tb01359.x)  
41  
42  
43 Fisher JB & Ewers FW. 1995. Vessel dimensions in liana and tree species of *Gnetum*  
44  
45 (Gnetales). Am. J. Bot. 82: 1350--1357. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2445861>  
46  
47  
48 Friedman WE & Cook ME. 2000. The origin and early evolution of tracheids in vascular  
49  
50 plants: integration of palaeobotanical and neobotanical data. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B  
51  
52 355: 857--868. doi: [10.1098/rstb.2000.0620](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2000.0620)  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 Friis EM, Crane PR & Pedersen KR. 2011. Early Flowers and Angiosperm Evolution.

2 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

3  
4 <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511980206>

5  
6  
7 Galtier J. 1992. On the earliest arborescent gymnosperms. *Cour. Forsch.-Inst. Senckenberg*  
8  
9 147: 119--125.

10  
11 Galtier J. 2010. The origins and early evolution of the megaphyllous leaf. *Int. J. Plant Sci.*  
12  
13 171: 641--661. <https://doi.org/10.1086/653130>

14  
15  
16 Galtier J & Meyer-Berthaud B. 2006. The diversification of early arborescent seed ferns. *J.*  
17  
18 *Torrey Bot. Soc.* 133: 7--19. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/20063819>

19  
20  
21 Gensel PG. 2018. Early Devonian woody plants and implications for the early evolution of  
22  
23 vascular cambia. In: M Krings, NR Cúneo, CJ Harper & GW Rothwell (eds.),  
24  
25 *Transformative paleobotany. Papers to commemorate the life and legacy of Thomas N.*  
26  
27 *Taylor*: 21-33. Academic Press / Elsevier. [https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-813012-](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-813012-4.00002-4)  
28  
29  
30 [4.00002-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-813012-4.00002-4)

31  
32  
33 Gensel PG, Kotyk ME & Basinger JF. 2001. Morphology of above- and below-ground  
34  
35 structures in the Early Devonian (Pragian-Emasian) plants. In: PG Gensel & D Edwards  
36  
37 (eds.). *Plants Invade the Land: Evolutionary and Environmental Perspectives*: 83–102.  
38  
39  
40  
41 Columbia University Press, New York.

42  
43 Gerrienne P & Gensel PG. 2016. New data about anatomy, branching, and inferred growth  
44  
45 patterns in the Early Devonian plant *Armoricaphyton chateaupannense*, Montjean-sur-  
46  
47 Loire, France. *Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol.* 224: 38--53.  
48  
49  
50  
51 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.revpalbo.2015.07.014>

52  
53 Gerrienne P, Gensel PG, Strullu-Derrien C, Lardeux H, Steemans P & Prestianni C. 2011. A  
54  
55 simple type of wood in two Early Devonian plants. *Nature* 333: 837.  
56  
57  
58 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1208882>

- 1  
2 Gifford EM & Foster AS. 1989. Morphology and evolution of vascular plants, 3rd ed. WH  
3 Freeman & Co., New York.  
4  
5 Göppert HR and Stenzel G. 1882. Die Medulloseae. Eine neue Gruppe der fossilen Cycadeen.  
6  
7 Palaeontographica 28: 111--128.  
8  
9  
10 Gonez G & Gerrienne P. 2010. A new definition and a lectotypification of the genus  
11  
12 *Cooksonia* Lang 1937. Int. J. Plant Sci.171: 199--215. <https://doi.org/10.1086/648988>  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17 Gray J & Boucot AJ. 1977. Early vascular plants: proof and conjecture. Lethaia 10: 145--174.  
18  
19 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1502-3931.1977.tb00604.x>  
20  
21  
22 Hacke UG, Sperry JS, Pockman WT, Davis SD & McCulloh KA. 2001. Trends in wood  
23  
24 density and structure are linked to prevention of xylem implosion by negative pressure.  
25  
26 Oecologia 126: 457--461. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420100628>  
27  
28  
29 Hacke UG, Sperry JS & Pittermann J. 2005. Efficiency versus safety tradeoffs for water  
30  
31 conduction in angiosperm vessels versus gymnosperm tracheids. In: NM Holbrook &  
32  
33 MA Zwieniecki (eds.), Vascular transport in plants: 333-353. Elsevier, Amsterdam.  
34  
35  
36 <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012088457-5/50018-6>  
37  
38  
39 He J, Wang S-J, Hilton J & Shao L. 2013. *Xuanweioxylon scalariforme* gen. et sp. nov.:  
40  
41 Novel Permian coniferophyte stems with scalariform bordered pitting on secondary  
42  
43 xylem tracheids. Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol. 197: 152-165.  
44  
45  
46 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.revpalbo.2013.05.010>  
47  
48  
49 Herendeen PS, Wheeler EA & Baas P. 1999. Angiosperm wood evolution and the potential  
50  
51 contribution of paleontological data. Bot. Rev. 65: 278--300.  
52  
53  
54 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02857632>  
55  
56  
57 Herendeen PS, Friis EM, Pedersen KR & Crane PR. 2017. Palaeobotanical redux: revisiting  
58  
59 the age of the angiosperms. Nature Plants 3: 17015. doi: 10.1038/nplants.2017.15  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- Hetherington AJ & Dolan L. 2018. Rhynie chert fossils demonstrate the independent origin and gradual evolution of lycophyte roots. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 47: 119--126.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2018.12.001>
- Hickey LJ & Doyle JA. 1977. Early Cretaceous fossil evidence for angiosperm evolution. *Bot. Rev.* 43: 3--104. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02860849>
- Hoffman LA & Tomescu AMF. 2013. An early origin of secondary growth: *Franhueberia gerriennei* gen. et sp. nov. from the Lower Devonian of Gaspé (Quebec, Canada). *Am. J. Bot.* 100: 754--763. <https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1300024>
- Holden R. 1915. A Jurassic wood from Scotland. *New Phytol.* 14: 205--209.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1915.tb07187.x>
- Hudson PJ, Razanatsoa J & Feild TS. 2010. Early vessel evolution and the diversification of wood function: Insights from Malagasy Canellales. *Am. J. Bot.* 97: 80--93.  
<https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.0900130>
- Isnard S & Feild TS. 2015. The evolution of angiosperm lianesence : a perspective from xylem structure-function. In: SA Schnitzer, FBongers, RJ Burnham & FE Putz (eds.), *The Ecology of Lianas*: 221-238. Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, UK.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118392409.ch17>
- Jansen S, Choat B, Vinckier S, Lens F, Schols P & Smets E. 2004. Intervascular pit membranes with a torus in the wood of *Ulmus* (Ulmaceae) and related genera. *New Phytol.* 163: 51--59. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2004.01097.x>
- Jud NA, D'Emic MD, Williams SA, Mathews JC., Tremaine KM & Bhattacharya, J. 2018. A new fossil assemblage shows that large angiosperm trees grew in North America by the Turonian (Late Cretaceous). *Science Advances* 4: eaar8568.  
<https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aar8568>

1 Kenrick P & Crane PR. 1991: Water-conducting cells in early fossil land plants: implications  
2 for the Early Evolution of Tracheophytes. Bot. Gaz.152: 335--336.

3  
4 <https://doi.org/10.1086/337897>  
5

6  
7 Kenrick P & Crane PR. 1997. The origin and early diversification of land plants. Smithsonian  
8 Institution Press, Washington, D.C.  
9

10  
11 Kenrick P, Wellman CH, Schneider H & Edgecombe GD. 2012. A timeline for  
12 terrestrialization: consequences for the carbon cycle in the Palaeozoic. Phil. Trans. R.  
13 Soc. B 367: 519--536. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2011.0271>  
14  
15  
16

17  
18  
19 Kotyk ME, Basinger JF, Gensel PG & de Freitas TA. 2002. Morphologically complex plant  
20 macrofossils from the Late Silurian of Arctic Canada. Am. J. Bot. 89: 1004--1013.  
21  
22 <https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.89.6.1004>  
23  
24

25  
26 Lang WH. 1937. On the plant-remains from the Downtonian of England and Wales. Phil.  
27 Trans. R. Soc. B227: 245--291. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1937.0004>  
28  
29

30  
31 Langdale JA & Harrison CJ. 2008. Developmental transitions during the evolution of plant  
32 form. In: A Minelli & G Fusco (eds.), Evolving pathways. Key themes in evolutionary  
33 developmental biology: 299-315. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.  
34  
35  
36

37  
38 Li H & Taylor DW. 1999. Vessel-bearing stems of *Asovinea tianii* gen. et sp. nov.  
39 (Gigantopteridales) from the Upper Permian of Guizhou Province, China. Am. J. Bot. 86:  
40  
41 1563--75. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2656794>  
42  
43  
44

45  
46 Li H, Taylor EL & Taylor TN. 1996. Permian vessel elements. Science 271: 188--189.  
47  
48 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.271.5246.188>  
49

50  
51 Libertin M, Kvacek J, Bek J, Zarsky V & Storch P. 2018. Sporophytes of polysporangiate  
52 land plants from the early Sil-urian period may have been photosynthetically  
53  
54 autonomous. Nature Plants 4: 269271. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-018-0140-y>  
55  
56  
57

- 1  
2 Licht A, Boura A, De Franceschi D, Ducrocq S, Soe AN & Jaeger JJ. 2014. Fossil woods  
3 from the late middle Eocene Pondaung Formation, Myanmar. Rev. Paleobot. Palynol.  
4 202: 29--46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.revpalbo.2013.12.002>  
5  
6  
7 Licht A, Boura A, De Franceschi D, Utescher T, Sein C & Jaeger JJ. 2015. Late middle  
8 Eocene fossil wood of Myanmar: Implications for the landscape and the climate of the  
9 Eocene Bengal Bay. Rev. Paleobot. Palynol. 216: 44--54.  
10  
11 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.revpalbo.2015.01.010>  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17 Martin F. 1971. Palynofacies et microfacies du Silurien inférieur à Deerlijk. Bulletin de  
18 l'Institut Royal des Sciences Naturelles de Belgique, Biologie 47: 1--26.  
19  
20  
21  
22 Martínez-Cabrera HI & Estrada-Ruiz E. 2014. Wood anatomy reveals high theoretical  
23 hydraulic conductivity and low resistance to vessel implosion in a Cretaceous fossil forest  
24 from Northern Mexico. PLoS ONE 9: e108866.  
25  
26 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0108866>  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32 Matten LC. 1992. Studies on Devonian plants from New York State: *Stenokoleos holmesii* n.  
33 sp. from the Cairo Flora (Givetian) with an alternative model for lyginopterid seed fern  
34 evolution. Cour. Forsch. Inst. Senckenberg 147: 75--85.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39 McCulloh KA, Sperry JS & Adler FR. 2003. Water transport in plants obeys Murray's law.  
40 Nature 421: 939--942. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01444>  
41  
42  
43  
44 Meyer-Berthaud B & Decombeix A-L. 2009. Evolution of first trees: the Devonian strategies.  
45 C. R. Palevol 8: 155--165. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crpv.2008.08.002>  
46  
47  
48  
49 Momont N, Decombeix A-L, Gerrienne P & Prestianni C. 2016. New information, including  
50 anatomy of the secondary xylem, on the genus *Brabantophyton* (Stenokoleales) from  
51 Ronquières (Middle Devonian, Belgium). Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol. 234: 44--60.  
52  
53 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.revpalbo.2016.08.009>  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- Moreau JD, Philippe M & Thévenard F. 2019. Flore du Jurassique basal de la ville de Mende (Lozère): synthèse des gisements historiques, nouvelles données sédimentologiques, paléontologiques et paléoenvironnementales. C. R. Palevol 18: 159--177.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crpv.2018.07.002>
- Morris JL, Puttick MN, Clark JW, Edwards D, Kernick P, Pressel S, Wellman CH, Yang Z, Scheneider H & Donoghue PCJ. 2018. The timescale of early land plant evolution. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 115: E2274--E2283. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1719588115>
- Morrow AC & Dute RR. 1998. Development and structure of pit membranes in the rhizome of the woody fern *Botrychium dissectum*. IAWA J. 19:429--441.  
<https://doi.org/10.1163/22941932-90000664>
- Mosbrugger V. 1990. The tree habit in land plants. Lecture Notes in Earth Sciences 28 Springer-Verlag, Berlin. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0011397>
- Muhammad AF & Sattler R. 1982. Vessel structure of *Gnetum* and the origin of Angiosperms. Am. J. Bot. 69: 1004--1021. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1537-2197.1982.tb13345.x>
- Namboodiri KK & Beck CB. 1968. A comparative study of the primary vascular system of conifers. III. Stelar evolution in gymnosperms. Am. J. Bot. 55: 464--472.  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/2440576>
- Néraudeau D, Perrichot V, Batten DJ, Boura A, Girard V, Jeanneau L & Thomas R. 2017. Upper Cretaceous amber from Vendée, north-western France: age dating and geological, chemical, and palaeontological characteristics. Cretac. Res. 70: 77--95.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2016.10.001>
- Nguyen C, Andrews A, Baas P, Bond JE, Auad M & Dute R. 2017. Pit membranes and their evolution in the Oleinae of the Oleaceae. IAWA J. 38: 201--219.  
<https://doi.org/10.1163/22941932-20170168>

- 1  
2 Niklas KJ. 1994. Plant allometry: the scaling of form and process. University of Chicago  
3 Press, Chicago.
- 4  
5 Ohtani J. 1983. SEM investigation on the micromorphology of vessel wall sculptures.  
6  
7 Research Bulletin of the College Experiment Forests, College of Agriculture, Hokkaido  
8  
9 University 40: 323--386.
- 10  
11  
12 Ohtani J & Ishida S. 1978. Pit membrane with torus in dicotyledonous woods [angiosperm  
13  
14 tree]. Journal of the Japan Wood Research Society 24: 673--675.
- 15  
16  
17 Pace MR, Acevedo-Rodriguez P, Amorim AM & Angyalossy V. 2018a. Ontogeny, structure  
18  
19 and occurrence of interxylary cambia in Malpighiaceae. Flora 241: 46--60.  
20  
21 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.flora.2018.02.004>
- 22  
23  
24 Pace MR, Angyalossy V, Acevedo-Rodriguez P, Wen J. 2018b. Structure and ontogeny of  
25  
26 successive cambia in *Tetrastigma* (Vitaceae), the host plants of Rafflesiaceae. J. Syst.  
27  
28 Evol. 56: 394--400. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jse.12303>
- 29  
30  
31 Paolillo DJ, Jr. 1963. The developmental anatomy of *Isoetes*. Illinois Biological Monographs  
32  
33 31. University of Illinois Press, Urbana.
- 34  
35  
36 Pfeiler KC & Tomescu AMF. 2018. An Early Devonian permineralized rhyniopsid from the  
37  
38 Battery Point Formation of Gaspé (Canada). Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 187: 292--302.  
39  
40 <https://doi.org/10.1093/botlinnean/boy011>
- 41  
42  
43 Philippe M, Gomez B, Girard V, Coiffard C, Daviero-Gomez V, Thevenard F, Billon-Bruyat  
44  
45 J-P, Guiomard M, Latil J-L, Le Loeuff J, Néraudeau D, Olivero D & Schlögl J. 2008.  
46  
47 Woody or not woody? Evidence for early angiosperm habit from the Early Cretaceous  
48  
49 fossil wood record of Europe. Paleoworld 17: 142--152.  
50  
51 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palwor.2008.06.001>
- 52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- Philippe M, Cuny G & Bashforth A. 2010. *Ecpagloxylon mathiesenii* gen. nov. et sp. nov., a Jurassic wood from Greenland with several primitive angiosperm features. *Plant Syst. Evol.* 287: 153--165. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00606-010-0308-z>
- Phillips TL. 1974. Evolution of vegetative morphology in coenopterid ferns. *Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard.* 61: 427--461. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2395067>
- Pigg KB & Taylor TN. 1993. Anatomically preserved *Glossopteris* stems with attached leaves from the Central Transantarctic Mountains, Antarctica. *Am. J. Bot.* 80: 500--516. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1537-2197.1993.tb13833.x>
- Pittermann J, Sperry JS, Hacke UG, Wheeler JK & Sikkema EH. 2005. Torus-margo pits help conifers compete with angiosperms. *Science* 310: 1924--1924. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1120479>
- Pittermann J, Sperry JS, Hacke UG, Wheeler JK & Sikkema EH. 2006a. Inter-tracheid pitting and the hydraulic efficiency of conifer wood: the role of tracheid allometry and cavitation protection. *Am. J. Bot.* 93: 1265--1273. <https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.93.9.1265>
- Pittermann J, Sperry JS, Wheeler JK, Hacke UG & Sikkema EH. 2006b. Mechanical reinforcement of tracheids compromises the hydraulic efficiency of conifer xylem. *Plant Cell Environ.* 29: 1618--1628. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2006.01539.x>
- Pittermann J, Choat B, Jansen S, Stuart SA, Lynn L & Dawson TE. 2010. The relationships between xylem safety and hydraulic efficiency in the Cupressaceae: the evolution of pit membrane form and function. *Plant Physiology* 153: 1919--1931. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.110.158824>
- Pittermann J, Limm E, Rico C & Christman MA. 2011. Structure-function constraints of tracheid-based xylem: a comparison of conifers and ferns. *New Phytol.* 192: 449--461. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03817.x>

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- Prestianni C, Decombeix A-L, Thorez J, Fokand D & Gerrienne P. 2010. Famennian charcoal of Belgium. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 291: 60--71.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2009.10.008>
- Raven JA. 1994. Physiological analyses of aspects of the functioning of vascular tissue in early plants. *Botanical Journal of Scotland* 47: 49--64.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03746609408684817>
- Renault B. 1879. Structure comparée de quelques tiges de la flore carbonifère. *Nouvelles Annales du Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle* 2: 213--348.
- Rößler R & Noll R. 2006. Sphenopsids of the Permian (I): The largest known anatomically preserved calamite, an exceptional find from the petrified forest of Chemnitz, Germany. *Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol.* 140: 145--162. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.revpalbo.2006.03.008>
- Roth A & Mossbruger V. 1996. Numerical studies of water conduction in land plants: evolution of early stele types. *Paleobiology* 22: 411--421.  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0094837300016365>
- Rothwell GW. 1999. Fossils and ferns in the resolution of land plant phylogeny. *Bot. Rev.* 65: 188--218. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02857629>
- Rothwell GW & Serbet R. 1994. Lignophyte phylogeny and the evolution of spermatophytes: a numerical cladistic analysis. *Syst. Bot.* 19: 443--482. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2419767>
- Rothwell GW, Sanders H, Wyatt SE & Lev-Yadun S. 2008. A fossil record for growth regulation: the role of auxin in wood evolution. *Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard.* 95: 121--134.  
<https://doi.org/10.3417/2006208>
- Rowe NP & Speck T. 2004. Hydraulics and mechanics of plants: novelty, innovation and evolution. In: I Poole & AR Hemsley (eds.), *The evolution of plant physiology*: 297--325. Elsevier Academic Press, Kew, UK. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012339552-8/50017-2>

- 1  
2 Rubinstein CV, Gerrienne P, de la Punte GS, Astini RA & Steemans P. 2010. Early Middle  
3 Ordovician evidence for land plants in Argentina (eastern Gondwana). *New Phytol.* 188:  
4 365--369. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03433.x>  
5  
6  
7 Sanders H, Rothwell GW & Wyatt SE. 2009. Key morphological alterations in the evolution  
8 of leaves. *Int. J. Plant Sci.* 170: 860--868. <https://doi.org/10.1086/600135>  
9  
10  
11 Sanders H, Rothwell GW & Wyatt SE. 2011. Parallel evolution of auxin regulation in rooting  
12 systems. *Plant Sys. Evol.* 291: 221--225. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00606-010-0383-1>  
13  
14  
15 Saulnier G, Boura A, Bernard G, Daviero-Gomez V, De Franceschi D, Pons D, Garcia G,  
16  
17 Boiteau J-M & Valentin X. 2018. Diversity in Cenomanian forests: an example from the  
18  
19 Envigne Valley (Vienne), France. 10th European Palaeobotany and Palynolog  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25 Conference, Dublin.  
26  
27  
28 Scheckler SE. 1974. Systematic characters of Devonian ferns. *Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard.* 61: 462--  
29  
30 473. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2395068>  
31  
32 Scheckler SE. 1976. Ontogeny of progymnosperms. I. Shoots of Upper Devonian  
33  
34 Aneurophytales. *Can. J. Bot.* 54: 202--219. <https://doi.org/10.1139/b76-020>  
35  
36 Scheckler SE. 1978. Ontogeny of progymnosperms. II. Shoots of Upper Devonian  
37  
38 Archaeopteridales. *Can. J. Bot.* 56: 3136--3170. <https://doi.org/10.1139/b78-376>  
39  
40  
41 Scheckler SE & Banks HP. 1971. *Proteokalon*, a new genus of progymnosperm from the  
42  
43 Devonian of New York State and its bearing on phylogenetic trends in the group. *Am. J.*  
44  
45  
46  
47 Bot. 58: 874--884. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2441566>  
48  
49 Schmid R. 1967. Electron microscopy of wood of *Callixylon* and *Cordaites*. *Am. J. Bot.* 54:  
50  
51 720--729. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2440949>  
52  
53  
54 Schneider EL & Carlquist S. 2000. SEM Studies on the vessels of heterophyllous species of  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1  
2 Schneider H, Pryer K, Cranfill R, Smith A & Wolf P. 2002. Evolution of vascular plant body  
3 plans: a phylogenetic perspective In: QCB Cronk, RM Bateman & JA Hawkins (eds.),  
4 Developmental Genetics and Plant Evolution: 330-364. Taylor & Francis, London, UK.  
5  
6 <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781420024982.ch17>  
7  
8
- 9 Serbet R & Rothwell GW. 1992. Characterizing the most primitive seed ferns. I. A  
10  
11 Reconstruction of *Elkinsia polymorpha*. Int. J. Plant Sci. 4: 602-621.  
12  
13 <https://doi.org/10.1086/297083>  
14  
15  
16
- 17  
18  
19 Speck T & Vogellehner D. 1988. Biophysical examinations of the bending stability of various  
20  
21 stele types and the upright axes of early "vascular" land plants. Botanica Acta 101: 262--  
22  
23 268. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1438-8677.1988.tb00042.x>  
24  
25
- 26  
27 Speck T & Vogellehner D. 1992. Biomechanics and maximum height of some Devonian land  
28  
29 plants. In: J Kovar-Eder (ed.), Palaeovegetational development in Europe and regions  
30  
31 relevant to its palaeofloristic evolution: proceedings of the Pan-European Palaeobotanical  
32  
33 Conference, Vienna, 19-23 September 1991, PEPC 1991: 413--422. Museum of Natural  
34  
35 History, Vienna, Austria.  
36  
37
- 38  
39 Sperry JS, Hacke UG & Pittermann J. 2006. Size and function in conifer tracheids and  
40  
41 angiosperm vessels. Am. J. Bot. 93: 1490--1500. <https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.93.10.1490>  
42  
43
- 44  
45 Sperry JS, Meinzer FC & McCulloh KA. 2008. Safety and efficiency conflicts in hydraulic  
46  
47 architecture: scaling from tissues to trees. Plant Cell Environ. 31: 632--645.  
48  
49 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2007.01765.x>  
50
- 51  
52 Steemans P, Le Hérissé A, Melvin J, Miller MA, Paris F, Verniers J & Wellman CH. 2009.  
53  
54 Origin and radiation of the earliest vascular land plants. Science 324: 353.  
55  
56 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1169659>  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1  
2 Stein WE. 1993. Modeling the evolution of stelar architecture in vascular plants. *Int. J. Plant*  
3 *Sci.* 154: 229--263. <https://doi.org/10.1086/297106>  
4  
5 Stein WE & Beck CB. 1983. *Triloboxylon arnoldii* from the Middle Devonian of western  
6  
7 New York. *Contrib. Mus. Paleontol. Univ. Mich.* 26: 257--288.  
8  
9  
10 Stewart WN & Rothwell GW. 1993. *Paleobotany and the evolution of plants*. Second edition.  
11  
12 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.  
13  
14 Strullu- Derrien C, Kenrick P, Tafforeau P, Cochard H, Bonnemain J- L, Le Hérissé A,  
15  
16 Lardeux H & Badel E. 2014. The earliest wood and its hydraulic properties documented  
17  
18 in c. 407- million- year- old fossils using synchrotron microtomography. *Bot. J. Linn.*  
19  
20 *Soc.* 175: 423--437. <https://doi.org/10.1111/boj.12175>  
21  
22  
23  
24 Tanrattana M, Barczi J-F, Decombeix A-L, Meyer-Berthaud B & Wilson JP. 2019. A new  
25  
26 approach for modelling water transport in fossil plants. *IAWA J.*  
27  
28  
29 Taylor TN, Taylor EL & Krings M. 2009. *Paleobotany: The Biology and Evolution of Fossil*  
30  
31 *Plants*. Second ed. Academic Press, Amsterdam.  
32  
33  
34 Taylor WA & Wellman CH. 2009. Ultrastructure of enigmatic phytoclasts (banded tubes)  
35  
36 from the Silurian–Lower Devonian: evidence for affinities and role in early terrestrial  
37  
38 ecosystems. *Palaios* 24: 167--180. <https://doi.org/10.2110/palo.2008.p08-046r>  
39  
40  
41 Terreaux de Félice H, Decombeix A-L & Galtier J. In press. Anatomy, affinities, and  
42  
43 evolutionary implications of new silicified stems of *Sphenophyllum* from the early  
44  
45 Carboniferous (Mississippian) of France and Germany. *Geodiversitas*.  
46  
47  
48  
49 Thompson WP. 1918. Independent evolution of vessels in Gnetales and Angiosperms. *Bot.*  
50  
51 *Gaz.* 65: 83--90. <https://doi.org/10.1086/332191>  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- Tian BL & Li HQ. 1992. A new special petrified stem, *Guizhouoxylon dahebianense* gen. et sp. nov., from Upper Permian in Suicheng district, Guizhou, China. *Acta Palaeontol. Sin.* 31: 336--345 [in Chinese with English Abstract].
- Toledo S, Bippus AC & Tomescu AMF. 2018. Buried deep beyond the veil of extinction: euphyllophyte relationships at the base of the spermatophyte clade. *Am. J. Bot.* 105: 1264--1285. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajb2.1102>
- Tomescu AMF. 2009. Megaphylls, microphylls and the evolution of leaf development. *Trends Plant Sci.* 14: 5--12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2008.10.008>
- Tomescu AMF & Groover AT. 2019. Mosaic modularity: an updated perspective and research agenda for the evolution of vascular cambial growth. *New Phytol.* <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15640>
- Trueba S, Delzon S, Isnard S & Lens F. 2019. Similar hydraulic efficiency and safety across vesselless angiosperms and vessel-bearing species with scalariform perforation plates. *J. Exp. Bot.* <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erz133>
- Tyree MT & Ewers FW. 1991. The hydraulic architecture of trees and other woody plants, *New Phytol.* 119: 345--360. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1991.tb00035.x>
- Tyree MT, Davis SD & Cochard H. 1994. Biophysical perspectives of xylem evolution: is there a tradeoff of hydraulic efficiency for vulnerability to dysfunction? *IAWA J.* 15(4): 335--360. <https://doi.org/10.1163/22941932-90001369>
- Unger HR. 1847. *Chloris protogæa*. Beiträge zur flora der vorwelt. W Engelmann, Leiptzig. <https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.141709>
- Vozenin-Serra C & Pons D. 1990. Intérêts phylogénétique et paléoécologique des structures ligneuses homoxylées découvertes dans le Crétacé inférieur du Tibet méridional. *Palaeontographica* 126B:107--127.



- 1 Wellman CH. 2018. Palaeoecology and palaeophytogeography of the Rhynie chert plants:  
2 further evidence from integrated analysis of in situ and dispersed spores. Philos. Trans. R.  
3 Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 373: 20160491. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1098%2Frstb.2016.0491>  
4  
5  
6  
7 Wheeler, E.A. 1983. Intervascular pit membranes in *Ulmus* and *Celtis* native to the United  
8 States. IAWA Journal 4: 79-88. <https://doi.org/10.1163/22941932-90000400>  
9  
10  
11  
12 Wheeler EA & Baas P. 2019. Wood evolution: Baileyan trends and Functional traits in the  
13 fossil record. IAWA J. <https://doi.org/10.1163/22941932-40190230>  
14  
15  
16  
17 Wheeler EA, Srivastava R, Manchester SR & Baas P. 2017. Surprisingly modern Latest  
18 Cretaceous– earliest Paleocene woods of India. IAWA J. 38: 456--542.  
19  
20  
21 <https://doi.org/10.1163/22941932-20170174>  
22  
23  
24 Wight DC. 1987. Non-adaptive change in early land plant evolution. Paleobiology 13: 208--  
25 214. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0094837300008757>  
26  
27  
28  
29 Willis KJ. 2017. State of the world's plants 2017. Report. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew.  
30  
31 <https://stateoftheworldsplants.org/>  
32  
33  
34 Wilson JP. 2013. Modeling 400 million years of plant hydraulics. The Paleontological Society  
35 Papers 19: 175--194. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1089332600002734>  
36  
37  
38  
39 Wilson JP. 2016. Hydraulics of *Psilophyton* and evolutionary trends in plant water transport  
40 after terrestrialization. Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol. 227: 65--76.  
41  
42  
43 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.revpalbo.2015.11.010>  
44  
45  
46 Wilson JP & Knoll AH. 2010. A physiologically explicit morphospace for tracheid-based  
47 water transport in modern and extinct seed plants. Paleobiology 36: 335--355.  
48  
49  
50 <https://doi.org/10.1666/08071.1>  
51  
52  
53  
54 Wilson JP, Knoll AH, Holbrook NM & Marshall CR. 2008. Modeling fluid flow in  
55 *Medullosa*, an anatomically unusual Carboniferous seed plant. Paleobiology 34: 472--  
56 493. <https://doi.org/10.1666/07076.1>  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 Witham HTM. 1831. Observations on fossil vegetables accompanied by representation of  
2 their internal structure, as seen through the microscope. W Blackwood, Edinburgh & T  
3  
4 Cadell, London.  
5  
6

7 Witham HTM. 1833. The internal structure of fossil vegetables found in the carboniferous and  
8  
9 oolitic deposits of Great Britain. A & C Black, Edinburgh.  
10  
11  
12 <https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.119949>  
13

14 Woodcock DW, Meyer HW & Prado Y. 2019. The Piedra Chamana fossil woods (Eocene,  
15  
16 Peru), II. IAWA J. 40: --- <https://doi.org/10.1163/22941932-40190231>  
17  
18

19 Xu H-H, Berry CM, Stein WE, Wang Y, Tang P & Fu Q. 2017. Unique growth strategy of the  
20  
21 oldest fossil trees. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 114:201708241.  
22  
23  
24 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1708241114>  
25

26 Zimmermann MH. 1978. Hydraulic architecture of some diffuse-porous trees. Can. J. Bot. 56:  
27  
28 2286--2295. <https://doi.org/10.1139/b78-274>  
29  
30

31 Zimmermann W. 1959. Die Phylogenie der Pflanzen, 2nd ed. Gustav Fischer, Stuttgart,  
32  
33 Germany.  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## FIGURE LEGENDS

**Fig. 1.** Simplified phylogenetic tree of embryophytes and stratigraphic ranges of the main lineages. The tree topology is composite, based on several studies from the recent literature. Observed stratigraphic ranges (as documented by unambiguous fossils) shown by continuous lines. Dashed lines represent ranges hypothesized based on phylogenetic analyses or molecular dating. Extinct groups in blue; taxa in quotation marks are considered paraphyletic. Geologic time scale as adopted by the International Commission on Stratigraphy (v2018/08).

**Fig. 2.** First occurrences and stratigraphic ranges of main characters in vascular plant evolution discussed in this paper. Sections 1-5 correspond to the text sections. Stratigraphic ranges of early tracheid types based on Edwards (2003, Fig.1). “Modern tracheids” include both those of lycopsids and of euphyllophytes. A cambial layer in extant lycopsids is present in *Isoetes* (see text and Fig. 6A, B). Taxa in blue are extinct groups. Taxon names and geologic time scale as in Fig. 1.

**Fig. 3.** Water-conducting cells in early land plants (top) with details of their wall structure (bottom). A. Bryophyte hydroid; B. S-type tracheid (fossil) typical of rhyniopsids; C: G-type tracheid (fossil) typical of zosterophyllophytes; D: P-type tracheid (fossil) typical of trimerophyte grade plants (basal euphyllophytes). From Kenrick & Crane 1997

**Fig. 4.** Stellar diversity part 1. Dotted line = epidermis, white = ground tissues, grey = primary phloem, black = primary xylem. Ts = transverse section.  
A-C. Protostele; B. Ts of *Gleichenia* sp. stem (extant); C. Ts of *Lepidodendron esnostense* stem, early Carboniferous, France.

1 D-F. Actinostele; E. Ts of *Psilotum* sp. axis (extant); F. Ts of *Tristichia longii*, early  
2 Carboniferous, France.  
3

4 G-I. Plectostele; H. Ts of *Selaginella* sp. stem (extant); I. Ts of *Xenocladia medullosina*,  
5 Middle Devonian, Kazakhstan.  
6

7 J-L. Solenostele; K. Ts of *Adiantum* sp. rhizome (extant); L. Ts of *Tempskya* sp. rhizome,  
8 middle Cenomanian, France.  
9

10 Scale bars: E = 250  $\mu$ m; B, H, K = 500  $\mu$ m; C, L = 1 mm; F, I = 2 mm.  
11  
12  
13

14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19 **Fig. 5.** Stelar diversity part 2. Dotted line = epidermis, white = ground tissues, grey = primary  
20 phloem, black = primary xylem. Ts = transverse section.  
21

22  
23  
24 A-C. Dictyostele; B. Ts of *Polypodium* sp. rhizome (extant); C. Ts of *Psaronius brasiliensis*  
25 stem (MNHN.F.1445 picture. R. Thomas), Permian, Brazil.  
26

27  
28  
29 D-F. Eustele; E. Ts of *Vitis vinifera* stem (extant); F. Ts of *Callixylon zalessky*, Late  
30 Devonian, USA.  
31

32  
33  
34 G-I. Atactostele; H. Ts of *Oenocarpus* sp. stem (extant); I. Ts of *Palmoxylon* sp., Oligocene,  
35 France.  
36

37  
38  
39 Scale bars: E = 500  $\mu$ m; B, I = 1 mm; F = 2 mm; H = 5 mm; C = 5 cm.  
40  
41  
42

43  
44 **Fig. 6.** Secondary growth. Ts = transverse section.  
45

46 A-B. Secondary growth from a bifacial cambium in *Isoetes* sp. stem (extant); A. Ts, cambium  
47 located at the periphery of lighter, vacuolated area around the central tissues; B. Radial  
48 longitudinal section, cambium located along the vertical boundaries between the lighter,  
49 vacuolated layers and the dark-nucleated layers that border them externally.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 C-E. Unifacial cambial growth; C. Ts of *Lepidodendron serratum*, late Carboniferous, USA;

2 D. Ts of *Cladoxylon* sp., early Carboniferous, Germany; E. Ts of *Arthropitys* sp., late  
3 Carboniferous, USA.  
4

5 F. Bifacial cambium in *Sphenophyllum* sp., Permian, France.  
6

7 G-H. Bifacial cambium with well-preserved secondary phloem; G. Ts of *Tetraxylopteris* sp.,  
8 Middle Devonian, USA; H. Ts of *Stanwoodia* sp., early Carboniferous, Scotland.  
9

10 Scale bars: A, G, H = 250  $\mu\text{m}$ ; B, E, F = 500  $\mu\text{m}$ ; D=1.5 mm; C = 5 mm.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18

19 **Fig. 7.** Homoxylous wood. Ts = transverse section.  
20

21 A. Ts; Picnoxylic wood in *Callixylon* sp., Late Devonian, USA.  
22

23 B. Ts; Manoxylic wood in *Calamopitys schweitzeri*, early Carboniferous, France.  
24

25 C. Tangential longitudinal section (Tls); Multiseriate rays up to 100 cells high in *Eristophyton*  
26 *waltonii*, early Carboniferous, Scotland.  
27  
28

29 D. Radial longitudinal section (Rls); Crowded multiseriate oval to oblique bordered pits with  
30 oval aperture in *Callixylon* sp., Late Devonian, USA.  
31  
32  
33

34 E. Rls; Scalariform pits in *Protopitys* sp., early Carboniferous, France.  
35

36 F-G. Ts; Unusual homoxylous wood structure in *Lhassoxylon aptianum* (UPMC 10468) and  
37 in a specimen from Neraudeau et al. (2017).  
38  
39  
40

41 H. Ts; Homoxylous wood structure in *Drimys* sp. (Winteraceae; extant)  
42  
43

44 Scale bar D, E = 100  $\mu\text{m}$ , A, B = 200  $\mu\text{m}$ , F, G = 250  $\mu\text{m}$ , C, H = 500  $\mu\text{m}$ .  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51 **Fig. 8.** Vessels and heteroxylous woods.  
52

53 A-C. Vessels in the primary xylem A-B. *Pteris* sp. (extant) C. *Tempskya* sp. rhizome, middle  
54 Cenomanian, France.  
55  
56

57 D. Ts. Vessels in *Vasovinea tianii* (Gigantopteridales)  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 E-G. Heteroxylous wood in *Ephedra* sp. (extant) (Gnetales), E-F. Ts, G. Rls. foraminate  
2 perforation plate.  
3

4 Scale bar G = 50  $\mu\text{m}$ , B, C = 100  $\mu\text{m}$  A, F = 200  $\mu\text{m}$  D, E = 1 mm.  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Figure 1 Phylogeny







Figure 2 Main events


[Click here to access/download;Figure;Decombeix Boura & Tomescu\\_Fig2.jpg](#)








A



B




C




D



E



F




G



H



I



J



K



L



