



**HAL**  
open science

## Effect of redox mediators in pharmaceuticals degradation by laccase: A comparative study

Ana Luisa Parra Guardado, M-P. Belleville, Magdalena de Jesús Rostro Alanis, Roberto Parra Saldivar, José Sanchez-Marcano

### ► To cite this version:

Ana Luisa Parra Guardado, M-P. Belleville, Magdalena de Jesús Rostro Alanis, Roberto Parra Saldivar, José Sanchez-Marcano. Effect of redox mediators in pharmaceuticals degradation by laccase: A comparative study. *Process Biochemistry*, 2019, 78, pp.123-131. 10.1016/j.procbio.2018.12.032 . hal-02050871

HAL Id: hal-02050871

<https://hal.umontpellier.fr/hal-02050871>

Submitted on 22 Oct 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

## **Effect of redox mediators in pharmaceuticals degradation by laccase: a comparative study**

**Ana Luisa Parra Guardado<sup>a</sup>, Marie-Pierre Belleville<sup>a</sup>, Magdalena de Jesús Rostro**

**Alanis<sup>b</sup>, Roberto Parra Saldivar<sup>b,c</sup>, Jose Sanchez-Marcano<sup>a,\*</sup>**

a: Institut Européen des Membranes, Université de Montpellier, CNRS, ENSCM, UM, CC  
047, 2 Place Eugène Bataillon, 34095 Montpellier, France

b: Tecnológico de Monterrey, School of Medicine and Health Sciences, Ave. Eugenio  
Garza Sada 2501, Monterrey, N.L., CP 64849, Mexico

c: Harvard-MIT Division of Health Sciences and Technology, MIT, Cambridge, MA  
02139, USA

\*Corresponding author.

*Email address:* Jose.Sanchez-Marcano@univ-montp2.fr

© 2018 published by Elsevier. This manuscript is made available under the CC BY NC user license  
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 Bio-catalytic processes have recently attracted attention as an interesting option for  
3 the degradation of persistent pollutants as they are capable to catalyze specific  
4 reactions at mild conditions and low environmental impact. In this work the potential  
5 to transform pharmaceutical micropollutants of a novel laccase from *Pycnoporus*  
6 *sanguineus* CS43 was compared to the commercial laccases *Trametes versicolor* and  
7 *Myceliophthora thermophila*. In the absence of redox mediators micropollutants were  
8 resistant to degradation, except for the antibiotic amoxicillin that was transformed by  
9 all laccases. The influence of natural and synthetic redox mediators (syringaldehyde, p-  
10 coumaric acid and ABTS) on the laccase oxidation system was investigated. Results  
11 showed the degradation of a complex mixture of pharmaceuticals is both compound  
12 and redox mediator dependent. Syringaldehyde resulted as the best redox mediator  
13 allowing the highest degradation yields of the antibiotics amoxicillin (80%),  
14 sulfamethoxazole (100%) and ciprofloxacin (40%) within 3 h treatment. Overall,  
15 commercial laccases showed better catalytic performance in comparison to *P.*  
16 *sanguineus* CS43 laccase especially in the presence of redox mediators. The successful  
17 transformation of pharmaceuticals by the combined action of different laccases and  
18 redox mediators demonstrate the potential of these systems for the removal of  
19 complex pollutant matrices.

20

21 *Keywords:* Laccase, pharmaceutical micropollutants, redox mediators, bio  
22 degradation.

23

24 **1. INTRODUCTION**

25           Pharmaceuticals are synthetic or natural chemicals found in prescription  
26 medicines, therapeutic and veterinary drugs with a worldwide annual consumption  
27 estimated to 100 000 tons per year [1]. The broad use of pharmaceuticals leads to its  
28 continuous release into the environment as intact substances or metabolites that are  
29 ineffectively removed by conventional wastewater treatment plants [2,3]. Hence,  
30 pharmaceuticals are detected in water bodies at concentrations from  $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$  to  $\text{ng L}^{-1}$   
31 representing a threat to aquatic ecosystems and human health [4,5].

32           The degradation of pharmaceutical compounds implies an important challenge  
33 due to their low bioavailability and complex structure. To date, several processes for  
34 the removal of these pollutants have been studied, including conventional techniques  
35 (activated sludge, filtration, coagulation, flocculation, sedimentation) advanced  
36 oxidation processes (ozonation, UV irradiation, Fenton oxidation), adsorption and  
37 membrane processes [3,6]. Recently, the use of “hybrid processes”, which are a  
38 combination of two or more treatment processes, was reviewed since it seems to be  
39 an effective way to remove micropollutants [7]. Nonetheless, the high cost of  
40 operation, the inconstant efficiencies obtained and the possible formation of  
41 hazardous by-products are major drawbacks to their successful application of these  
42 technologies [3].

43

44           A promising alternative treatment is the use of ligninolytic enzymes obtained  
45 from white rot fungi cultures (laccases, peroxidases, manganese peroxidases) since

46 they catalyze specific reactions under moderate operational conditions preventing  
47 undesired side-reactions [8,9].

48         Particularly, Laccases (1.10.3.2) are able to catalyze single electron oxidation of  
49 phenolic moieties via phenoxyl radicals with the reduction of oxygen to water.  
50 Furthermore, laccases catalyze the oxidization phenolic compounds, amines, methoxy-  
51 substituted phenols and some inorganic compounds [10]. Numerous studies have  
52 indicated that laccases present a great potential in the bioremediation of  
53 contaminated effluents with dyes [11], phenolic compounds [12], pesticides [13],  
54 pharmaceuticals and personal care products [14–18]. The oxidation effectiveness of  
55 substrates by laccase depends on factors such as the difference of redox potential  
56 between laccase T1 copper and substrate, pH of the medium and chemical structure of  
57 substrates [19]. However, non-phenolic compounds like pharmaceuticals are not  
58 always suitable for laccase oxidation since their redox potential is usually higher than  
59 the redox potential of most laccases produced by fungi [20]. Moreover, the presence  
60 of certain functional groups in the structure of the substrate can greatly influence its  
61 transformation. Functional groups like hydroxyl and amines, better known as electron  
62 donating groups (EDG) make substrates more susceptible to laccase attack. Whereas  
63 electron withdrawing functional groups including amides, nitro and carboxylic groups  
64 will prevent laccase oxidation resulting in a more difficult process [9].

65         Laccase catalysis can be extended by the inclusion of redox mediators that act  
66 as electron shuttle between the enzyme and substrate. This mechanism involves the  
67 oxidation of the mediator by laccase, which results in the production of highly reactive  
68 and stable radical species that diffuse away from enzyme's active site to react with

69 other substrates [21]. Thereby, it is possible to oxidize chemical compounds that in  
70 principle are not substrates of laccase. Mediators differ from each other in terms of  
71 optimal reaction conditions, substrate specificity and the mechanism followed to  
72 oxidize substrates (electron transfer, hydrogen atom transfer and ionic oxidation)  
73 [21,22]. Hence, understanding the role of mediators in laccase catalyzed reactions is an  
74 important matter. Recently, the evaluation of critical aspects such as type and dose of  
75 mediator and their influence on laccase stability and effluent toxicity for the removal  
76 of trace organic compounds was reported [23]. However, this study is only focused on  
77 the performance comparison of a laccase with different mediator combinations,  
78 similarly to most of the previous investigations evaluating laccase-mediator systems  
79 for the removal of environmental pollutants [24,25]. Only few investigations have  
80 studied and compared the effect of different type of oxidative enzymes on the  
81 treatment of organic pollutants mixtures alone or in combination with several redox  
82 mediator compounds [26,27]. Therefore, research on the performance of different  
83 laccase-mediator combinations under identical operational conditions for the removal  
84 of pharmaceutical pollutants is still limited. A study with this focus would allow  
85 properly comparing and understanding the synergy between different laccase  
86 formulations and mediators and their effect in the oxidation of pharmaceutical  
87 compounds.

88

89 In the present work the potential of three different laccases (*Myceliophthora*  
90 *thermophila*, *Trametes versicolor* and *Pycnoporus sanguineus* CS43) for the  
91 degradation of three antibiotics (amoxicillin, ciprofloxacin and sulfamethoxazole) and

92 one antiepileptic (carbamazepine) in free enzyme systems was evaluated. Firstly  
93 specific aspects such as the effect of pH and temperature were assessed for the  
94 potential improvement of the treatment. Then the performance of each laccase with  
95 selected natural (syringaldehyde and p-coumaric acid) and synthetic (ABTS) redox  
96 mediators was compared in terms of degradation efficiency. In this sense, a cyclic  
97 voltammetry study was carried out in order to understand the interactions between  
98 redox mediators and substrates and the mechanism involved for the oxidation of each  
99 compound.

100

## 101 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### 102 *2.1. Chemicals and enzymes*

103 The pharmaceuticals (amoxicillin (AMX), ciprofloxacin (CIP), carbamazepine  
104 (CBZ) and sulfamethoxazole (SMX)), mediators (2,2'-azino-bis-(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-  
105 6-sulphonic acid)) (ABTS), p-coumaric acid (PCA), syringaldehyde (SYR)) and all other  
106 chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. The structure of pharmaceuticals and  
107 redox mediators is shown in Table. 1. Stock solutions ( $100 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ) of AMX, CIP and SMX  
108 were prepared in citrate-phosphate buffer 0.1 M, pH 7. Considering the lack of  
109 solubility in water of CBZ the stock solution of this compound was prepared in pure  
110 ethanol at a concentration of  $15625 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ . ABTS solution (5 mM) was prepared just  
111 before use in buffer citrate-phosphate pH 7. Stock solutions of SYR and PCA ( $114.9$   
112 mM) were prepared in pure ethanol.

113 Three different laccase preparations were tested. Laccases from *Pycnoporus*  
114 *sanguineus* CS43 (PSL) were obtained from a tomato medium according to Ramirez-

115 Cavazos et al. [28] with some modifications. In short, mycelia were removed from the  
116 culture supernatant by filtration using two tangential flow filters in series, with  
117 respective pore sizes of 0.5 and 0.2  $\mu\text{m}$ . The 0.2  $\mu\text{m}$  filtrate (laccase cocktail) was then  
118 filtered on a 10 kDa ultrafiltration membrane. The resulting enzymatic preparation is a  
119 laccase cocktail containing at least two isoenzymes. A complete characterization of  
120 such laccase cocktail has been reported by Orlikowska et al. [29]. Laccase powder from  
121 *Trametes versicolor* (ref 51639, activity  $\geq 10 \text{ U mg}^{-1}$ ) (TVL) was purchased from Sigma-  
122 Aldrich. Commercial laccase produced by submerged fermentation from  
123 *Myceliophthora thermophila* (59.5  $\text{g L}^{-1}$  of pure laccase) (MTL) was provided by  
124 Novozymes (Denmark).

125

## 126 2.2. Laccase activity assay

127 The laccase activity was determined by measuring the oxidation of 0.5 mM  
128 ABTS solution prepared in citrate-phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 4). The reaction was  
129 monitored at 420 nm ( $\epsilon_{420} = 36000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) with a spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-  
130 2401PC). One enzyme activity unit (U) was defined as the amount of enzymes that  
131 oxidized 1  $\mu\text{mol}$  of ABTS per min.

132

## 133 2.3. Pharmaceuticals oxidation by laccases

134 Degradation experiments were run in 100 or 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks at 25°C  
135 under dark conditions to avoid light oxidation and continuous stirring to ensure  $\text{O}_2$   
136 saturation of the reaction medium. Reaction mixtures (50 or 100 ml) contained one or  
137 a mixture of all pollutants in buffer citrate-phosphate (0.1 M) pH 7; each pollutant



138 concentration was fixed at 20 mg L<sup>-1</sup> (molar concentration: 55 μM AMX, 79 μM SMX  
139 and 60 μM CIP) except in the case of CBZ where it was 10 mg L<sup>-1</sup> (molar concentration:  
140 85μM). Some experiments were also carried out at different pH (citrate-phosphate  
141 buffer 0.1 M pH in the range of 3-7) and different temperatures (15 – 40 °C).

142 To assess the effectiveness of redox-mediator for enhancing the bio-oxidation  
143 of micropollutants, experiments were carried out as described above after adding one  
144 of the following mediators (ABTS, PCA and SYR) at a concentration of 520 μM to the  
145 reaction medium. Mediator:substrate molar ratios up to 9:1 (depending on the  
146 pharmaceutical) were tested in order to ensure the effective removal of the  
147 micropollutants due to the mediator action. Reactions were initiated by adding one of  
148 the three enzyme preparations at the concentration of 1 200 U L<sup>-1</sup>. To monitor the  
149 degradation process, samples of 1 mL were withdrawn every 24 h when there was no  
150 redox-mediator in the reaction medium. When using mediators, samples were taken  
151 every 20 minutes during the first three hours and then every hour until 8 h. It is worth  
152 noting that the number of samples taken was limited in order to keep the variation of  
153 reaction volume below 15%. After sampling, aliquots were inactivated in a water bath  
154 at 100 °C for 5 minutes and filtered with CHROMAFIL Xtra H-PTFE-20/30 filters to  
155 immediately be analyzed by HPLC-MS. In order to verify the repeatability of the  
156 treatments all experiments were carried out several times and at least duplicated. The  
157 results reported in all figures correspond to the average of the experiments with their  
158 corresponding standard deviations that are given in figures or captions. Controls  
159 without enzyme neither mediator as well as controls with mediator but no enzyme

160 were run in parallel to assess the role of enzymes on the removal of the  
161 pharmaceuticals.

162

#### 163 *2.4. HPLC-MS quantitative analysis*

164 Micropollutants concentration during enzymatic treatment was analyzed using  
165 a HPLC Alliance – Waters e2695 separations module equipped with a C18 Raptor  
166 column (150 x 2.1 mm, 5 $\mu$ m) coupled to a MS Micromass Quatro micro API (tri-  
167 quadripole) detector. 5  $\mu$ l of sample were injected and two eluents, namely eluent A  
168 (95% water – 5% methanol) and eluent B (100% methanol), were passed through the  
169 column at a flow rate of 0.25 ml min<sup>-1</sup> with the following gradient program: 0-3 min,  
170 100% (A), 3-8 min, 100% (B) and 8-15 min, 100% (A).

171

#### 172 *2.5. Redox potential determinations*

173 Cyclic voltammetry experiments were carried out in sodium phosphate buffer  
174 0.1 M, pH 7, using a VERSASTAT3 voltammetry analyzer (Princeton Applied Research).  
175 A cell of 250 mL was used in all voltammetry studies. The electrochemical cell  
176 consisted of an Ag/AgCl reference electrode, a platinum wire counter electrode and a  
177 graphite working electrode. Scan rates of 0.5 V s<sup>-1</sup> were applied whereas the  
178 concentration of substrates and mediators were in the range of 0.2 – 3 Mm according  
179 to molar concentrations used in degradation reactions.

180

### 181 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### 182 *3.1. Oxidation of pharmaceuticals by laccases*

183           The potential of each one of three laccases to transform pharmaceutical active  
184 compounds was initially evaluated without mediators. Firstly, each pharmaceutical was  
185 treated individually with 60 U of each laccase. After 72 h of enzymatic oxidation no  
186 sign of laccase-catalyzed degradation for SMX, CIP and CBZ was found by any of the  
187 enzymes tested (results not shown). In contrast the antibiotic AMX was effectively  
188 transformed (Fig. 1). From the enzymes tested, PSL showed the highest affinity for  
189 AMX reaching 72% removal. Commercial laccases TVL and MTL presented similar  
190 performance with 58% degradation at the end of the reaction. In the case of PSL the  
191 first signs of oxidation were visible after 24 h of reaction.

192           No conversion was detected in controls without enzyme, except for AMX where  
193 a decrease in concentration was observed. Belonging to the  $\beta$ -lactam penicillins, AMX  
194 is an antibiotic known to be unstable in aqueous solutions due to its fast hydrolysis and  
195 degradation to various sub-products [30]. Nonetheless, the self-degradation of AMX  
196 was much lower in comparison to reactions where enzymes were added, evidencing  
197 the effective catalytic action of laccases on the antibiotic removal (Fig. 1).

198           Affinity of laccase towards substrates is influenced mainly by the redox  
199 potential of the specific laccase and the presence of strong electron donating  
200 functional groups (EDG) or electron withdrawing functional groups (EWG) [23].  
201 Functional EDG prone to be attacked by laccase include hydroxyl (-OH), amines (-NH<sub>2</sub>),  
202 alkoxy (-RO), alkyl (-R) and acyl (-COR). On the other hand, EWG such as carboxylic (-  
203 COOH), amide (-CONR<sub>2</sub>), halogen (-X) and nitro (-NO<sub>2</sub>) may prevent electron  
204 abstraction from occurring by forming a steric shield [9]. Hence, removal efficiencies  
205 obtained in this study can be attributed to the chemical structure of the

206 pharmaceuticals. Effective oxidation of AMX can be explained by the presence of a  
207 group hydroxyl attached to an aromatic ring that enables the catalytic action of  
208 laccases. The slight difference in removal efficiency among laccases may be due to the  
209 redox potential of the enzyme that varies depending on the fungal source. Indeed, the  
210 AMX degradation results discussed above are in good agreement with the removal of  
211 AMX by TVL previously reported by Becker et al. [31] who observed that even if the  
212 self-degradation of AMX in blanks runs was obvious, the observed complete oxidation  
213 of this antibiotic in an enzymatic membrane reactor after 24 h of treatment was the  
214 result of the enzymatic oxidation.

215         The antibiotic SMX contains an amine (EDG) and a sulfonamide (EWG) group in  
216 its structure. The influence of both groups on the degradation has not been clearly  
217 established. In some studies effective removal of the pharmaceutical was reported  
218 [32], whereas in others the sulfone group has been related with its recalcitrance to  
219 degradation [33]. Guo et al. [32] reported that white-rot fungus *P. chrysosporium*  
220 could oxidize SMX. In contrast, Margot et al. [25] observed SMX was recalcitrant to  
221 purified TVL oxidation in absence of redox mediators. Interestingly, higher removal of  
222 the antibiotic was obtained by fungal cultures in comparison to purified laccase as  
223 demonstrated by Gao et al. [34] who observed High oxidation yields of SMX by using *P.*  
224 *chrysosporium* and *P. sanguineus* fungal cultures; but visible lower catalytic effects  
225 were obtained when the corresponding purified enzyme extracts were used. Possibly,  
226 the effect of other enzymes or compounds present in fungal cultures enhanced SMX  
227 removal. In addition, low oxidation of SMX would be also attributed to the redox  
228 potential of selected laccases. The redox potential of sulfonamides is estimated to be

229 in the range of 0.858-1.158 V which is considerable high in comparison to redox  
230 potential of most laccases (0.5-0.8 V). Indeed, these compounds are recalcitrant to the  
231 oxidation by laccase [35]. In the case of CIP and CBZ both pharmaceuticals possess  
232 strong EWG. The amide group in CBZ and the presence of the carboxyl and halogen  
233 group in CIP make them highly resistant to laccase oxidation [33,36]. The lack of  
234 oxidation observed in this study agrees with the work of Ji et al. [37] who reported less  
235 than 5% removal of CBZ by free laccase treatment.

236           Considering the limited oxidative performance of laccases towards  
237 pharmaceuticals in single substrate assays, simultaneous conversion of AMX, SMX, CIP  
238 and CBZ in mixtures, was carried out to study potential substrate  
239 mediation/competition effect in the reaction. Investigation on the effect of substrate  
240 mixtures on enzyme specificity is of high interest to assess the applicability of enzymes  
241 as a treatment option since treated wastewaters generally contain a complex mixture  
242 of pollutants. In these experiments the concentration of SMX, CIP and CBZ remain  
243 unchanged in the reaction medium, confirming their recalcitrance to enzymatic  
244 oxidation (data not shown). Laccase affinity towards these substrates was not  
245 influenced by the presence of others micropollutants in the reaction. Nonetheless,  
246 their presence results also on a very slight decrease of the AMX concentration.  
247 However, this decrease is difficult to be considered as result of the enzymatic  
248 degradation because of the self-oxidation of this substrate is of the same order of  
249 magnitude.

250           The simultaneous presence of micropollutants in a reaction media can affect  
251 the removal efficiency in the system. The results presented until now in literature are

252 sometimes contradictory and depend on the mixture of pharmaceuticals studied. For  
253 example, Ji et al. [14] who studied the free enzymatic removal of five representative  
254 pharmaceuticals (bisphenol-A (BPA) , diclofenac (DCF), clofibrac acid, ibuprofen (IBP)  
255 and CBZ) observed that degradation yield in mixtures of three molecules was doubled  
256 respect to samples with only one pharmaceutical. The increase in degradation was  
257 attributed to the presence of BPA, which is a phenolic compound and then after  
258 enzyme oxidation would form phenoxyl radicals that can act as redox mediator  
259 between laccase and other micropollutants more recalcitrant to enzymatic  
260 degradation. On the contrary, Margot et al. [38] observed that removal yield was four  
261 times lower in the presence of mefenamic acid and diclofenac compared to single  
262 compound solution. Authors suggest that a competitive effect between substrates  
263 takes place thus reducing removal yields. Nguyen et al. [33] studied the simultaneous  
264 removal of , SMX, CMZ, DCF and BPA with a commercial laccase. No effect of the  
265 mixture of micropollutants on the removal was observed. These authors attributed the  
266 resistance (SMX and CBZ) or the vulnerability (DCF and BFA) to laccase oxidation to the  
267 chemical structure of each compound. Seems like the cocktail effect of micropollutants  
268 mixtures in laccase catalytic system depends on the presence of more reactive  
269 compounds that could promote the removal of recalcitrant ones [39]. Indeed, the  
270 presence of different compounds in the reaction media is strongly dependent on the  
271 mixture studied. In the present work the degradation of AMX observed could have an  
272 influence of the degradation of other micropollutants in the mixture.

273 Furthermore, effective removal of pharmaceutical mixtures directly depends on  
274 the type of enzyme and compound as demonstrated by Stadlmair et al. [27]. These

275 authors tested different types of oxidative enzymes for the multiple conversions of  
276 acetaminophen, DCF and sotalol and they observed that inhibition or enhancement  
277 effect in case of horseradish peroxidase did not occur with laccase from *Pleurotus*  
278 *ostreatus*. The poor degradation performance obtained in this investigation could be  
279 also related to the operational conditions which were not optimized. Indeed, enzyme  
280 reactivity generally depends on conditions such as pH and temperature. The effect of  
281 these parameters was studied only for AMX since it was the most sensitive compound  
282 to enzymatic degradation.

283

### 284 3.2. Effect of pH and temperature in the oxidation of AMX by laccases

285 Removal of AMX by enzymatic treatment was investigated at different pH.  
286 Laccase produced by *P. sanguineus*, *M. thermophila* and *T. versicolor* were used for this  
287 purpose. In order to evaluate AMX self-degradation, similar experiments were carried  
288 out by replacing the enzymatic solution by the same amount of corresponding buffer.  
289 Results obtained are reported in Fig. 2a.

290 As observed in the control reactions, AMX in aqueous solution was less stable  
291 at acidic conditions in comparison to neutral pH values. After 48 h at pH 3 around 80%  
292 of the compound was disappeared. At higher pH values, self-degradation decreased  
293 and stabilized at around 30% (pH 5-7). It is important to note that after enzyme  
294 addition, no AMX removal was observed at pH 3 by any of the laccases used.

295 Moreover, AMX transformation yield significantly varied depending on the type of  
296 laccase considered. According to Fig. 2a the addition of MTL leads to a slight decrease  
297 of AMX concentration in comparison to the control as long as pH is less or equal to 5.

298 However, at pH values higher than 5, no considerable transformation was observed  
299 regarding to the control curve.

300 The TVL presented a completely different reactivity towards AMX in function of  
301 pH. No oxidation occurred when pH was in the range from 3 to 5. Nonetheless, when  
302 pH was higher than 5, AMX concentration significantly decreased in comparison to  
303 control reactions (residual concentrations at pH 6 was 52% versus 73% and 34% versus  
304 69% at pH 7). The best performance of TVL was thus achieved between pH6 and 7. PSL  
305 was definitely the best enzyme for the AMX degradation. In comparison to controls  
306 this enzyme allowed to improve AMX degradation from pH 4 to 7. The best  
307 performance was observed between pH 5 and 6. In such conditions AMX was almost  
308 completely removed (residual concentration less than 1% and 4% at pH 5 and 6  
309 respectively).

310 Optimal pH depends on the laccase properties as well as on the substrate  
311 properties, since pH can alter the charge of the compound and the configuration shape  
312 of the enzyme which is fundamental for the access or the binding of substrates to the  
313 active site [38]. These results are in good agreement with the conversions reported by  
314 Lloret et al [40]. and Margot et al. [38], who reported an optimal pH around 5.5 for the  
315 degradation of several micropollutants. Even though in some cases oxidation can be  
316 observed at low pH values, acidic conditions may lead to complete enzyme  
317 deactivation. According to the results presented in this work pH 6 would represent the  
318 balance between laccase activity and stability to maximize AMX oxidation capacity;  
319 therefore, pH 6 was chosen to evaluate the influence of temperature in the catalytic  
320 system.



321           The effect of temperature on the removal of AMX was evaluated at 15, 25 and  
322 40°C. From Fig. 2b it can be noticed that the increase of reaction temperature leads to  
323 an increase of AMX self-degradation from 6% at 15 °C to nearly 40% at 40°C. This is not  
324 surprising, since most of chemical reactions are favored by temperature. AMX contains  
325 an EDG prone to laccase catalytic activity; hence laccase properties will be determinant  
326 to find the best reactivity conditions. Regardless of the tested temperature, MTL did  
327 not improve considerably the removal of AMX. These results were expected since this  
328 enzyme presented little activity at pH 6. After the addition of TVL, residual content of  
329 AMX decreased as temperature increased. The highest AMX removal (around 50%)  
330 was obtained at 40°C. However, if self-degradation is taken into account, no significant  
331 bio-oxidation increase was observed when passing from 25°C to 40°C. The best  
332 oxidation performance was obtained with PSL reaching removal values up to 80% and  
333 90% after only 24 h at 25 and 40°C respectively. Afterwards reaction slowed down –  
334 probably due to the decrease of substrate concentration- even so the final AMX  
335 concentrations were less than 4 and 3% respectively. It is also important to remark  
336 that PSL showed good oxidation performance even at 15 °C. After 24 h 65% of AMX  
337 was successfully transformed.

338           Similar behavior was also reported by Margot et al. [38] for the removal of  
339 diclofenac and mefenamic acid by *T. versicolor* laccase, removal increased from 10 to  
340 25 °C but then no additional removal was observed at higher temperatures. Authors  
341 suggested that optimal temperature depends on the substrate properties since laccase  
342 denaturation is less likely to occur in the temperature range tested. The three laccases  
343 tested in this work are produced by different strains of white rot fungi; therefore, they

344 are expected to display different catalytic properties. Moreover, commercial TVL and  
345 MTL are purified or partially purified enzymes contrary to the laccase cocktail from  
346 PSL. The better performance of PSL could be attributed to the presence of at least two  
347 laccase isoforms in the culture supernatant as well as some impurities. All these  
348 components in PSL supernatant could have protected the active center from pH and  
349 temperature variations making the laccase cocktail the same or more stable than the  
350 purified isoforms as suggested by Ramirez-Cavazos et al. [41].

351

### 352 *3.3. Oxidation of pharmaceuticals by laccases in the presence of redox mediators*

353 In this section the mediated action of two natural mediators: p-coumaric acid  
354 and syringaldehyde was compared with the influence of the synthetic mediator ABTS  
355 for the oxidation of the pharmaceutical active compounds in mixtures. As mentioned  
356 in section 1 factors such as molecular structure of the micropollutant, redox potential  
357 of the enzyme, type and concentration of mediator can strongly influence the  
358 degradation process. In this sense we chose to work with mediator compounds that  
359 follow different oxidation mechanisms: hydrogen atom transfer (SYR and PCA) and  
360 electron transfer (ABTS). Mediator concentration was fixed at 520  $\mu\text{M}$  to provide a  
361 high mediator:substrate molar ratio to allow the effective removal of the studied  
362 pharmaceuticals by laccase-mediator systems as demonstrated by Murugesan et al.  
363 [42]. Finally, all degradation reactions as well as control reactions were followed for at  
364 least 3 h.

365

#### 366 *3.3.1. Effect of p-coumaric acid addition*

367 Degradation yields obtained after PCA addition variate in function of the  
368 substrate-enzyme couple as shown in Fig. 3. It is important to note that no effect of  
369 PCA addition on the transformation of pharmaceuticals was observed in control  
370 reactions, suggesting that degradation was carried out effectively by the laccase-  
371 mediator system. The same observation can be done for all the couples enzyme-  
372 mediator studied.

373 In the case of TVL, addition of PCA leads to a slow but continuous oxidation of  
374 SMX and CIP (Fig. 3a and 3c). Respectively 31% and 40% of these compounds were  
375 removed after 2 h of reaction; afterwards their concentrations remained nearly  
376 constant. However, TVL was still unable to oxidize AMX contrary to PSL which allowed  
377 removing 40% of AMX in less than 40 minutes of reaction. Again, the increase of  
378 reaction time did not lead to an enhancement of AMX removal; the residual  
379 concentration after 2 h stayed constant at 60% of the initial concentration. Regarding  
380 to CBZ, no sign of degradation was observed after PCA addition. In fact, it was not  
381 transformed by any couple laccase-mediator studied confirming the recalcitrance of  
382 this antiepileptic to biodegradation (data not shown).

383 The mechanism of reaction followed by PCA for substrate oxidation is based on  
384 hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) in which mediator remove a hydrogen atom to create a  
385 phenoxyl radical. Nevertheless, the effectiveness of this oxidation process is also  
386 related to the redox potential of PCA which altogether with the affinity of the enzyme  
387 for the mediator and the reactivity/stability of radicals formed will control the yield of  
388 laccase-mediator reactions [40,43]. Following this mechanism PCA has been reported  
389 as an excellent laccase mediator, especially for the removal of recalcitrant pollutants

390 such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) [43,44]. In this way, PCA was reported  
391 to enhance the removal of the recalcitrant CBZ in hybrid membrane reactors, both in  
392 single [37] and mixture micropollutant solutions [39]. The improved degradation of  
393 CBZ in the mentioned works could be attributed to the improved stability of  
394 immobilized enzyme used in the bioreactors compared with free laccase. Although in  
395 the present investigation PCA did not enhance CBZ oxidation, its effect on the removal  
396 of other persistent pollutants was validated.

397 In this study from all the enzymes, TVL showed higher affinity to PCA since  
398 better degradation yields were observed specially towards recalcitrant  
399 pharmaceuticals such as CIP. Although redox potential of PCA was high enough to start  
400 oxidizing the pharmaceuticals, most likely phenoxyl radicals formed were not stable to  
401 continue with the process. For instance, visible effects were observed at the end of  
402 three hours with relatively low oxidation ratios (10-40%).

403

#### 404 *3.3.2. Effect of ABTS addition*

405 The effects of ABTS addition on the transformation of pharmaceuticals are  
406 presented in Fig. 4. The presence of ABTS in the reaction medium allowed the  
407 oxidation of AMX and SMX by TVL and MTL. Degradation percentage observed for AMX  
408 by TVL was higher than the obtained with MTL; a complete removal AMX was  
409 observed after 2 h of reaction with TVL whereas about 90% of removal was achieved  
410 after 3 h with MTL (Fig. 4a). Moreover, it is worth noting that during the first 90  
411 minutes of reaction, ABTS did not favor the oxidation of SMX by any of both laccases.

412 Visible effects were only observed after 2 h with removal efficiencies up to 30 and 50%  
413 by MTL and TVL respectively (Fig. 4b).

414 Few studies have reported the degradation of SMX by laccase-mediator  
415 systems [25,34,45]. Margot et al. [25] obtained similar removal yields to those  
416 obtained in this work (30%) with *T. versicolor* laccase in presence of ABTS in pure  
417 compound solutions but at longer reaction times (10 h). ABTS is an azino mediator that  
418 undergoes oxidation by means of electron transfer mechanism and has been proposed  
419 for the transformation of compounds with relatively weak C-H bonds [46].

420 Nonetheless, in general, the reactivity of mediators towards substrates in laccase-  
421 mediator systems varies depending on the functional groups in the substrate [21]. SMX  
422 presents a phenylamine in its structure whereas AMX shows a phenol group. Since  
423 both groups are susceptible for laccase degradation, phenol may be an easier  
424 substrate to be transformed due to the presence of electron-donating substituents at  
425 the benzene ring which decreases the electrochemical potential [47].

426 Last assumption was confirmed by means of the electrochemical analysis  
427 carried out with substrates and mediators. When AMX or SMX were added to a  
428 solution of ABTS an increase in the oxidation current for both cation ( $\text{ABTS}^{\bullet+}$ ) and  
429 dication ( $\text{ABTS}^{\bullet 2+}$ ) forms was observed. ABTS dication current increased from 11.5 to  
430 23 mA in presence of AMX and from 11.5 to 13.5 mA for SMX. This phenomenon was  
431 previously reported by Bourbonnais et al. [48] for the oxidation of veratryl alcohol with  
432 ABTS. According to these authors, during cyclic voltammetry determinations the  
433 mediator is oxidized at the electrode and then radicals formed diffuse in the solution  
434 to oxidize the substrate. In the case of ABTS the reaction relies on the two electrons

435 oxidation of substrate that regenerates the cation radical at the electrode, resulting in  
436 a current increase compared to the oxidation of ABTS alone. The fact that the increase  
437 of oxidation current for AMX is significantly higher than for SMX suggest that radicals  
438 formed during AMX oxidation are more stable and regenerates faster at the electrode  
439 making easier AMX transformation in comparison to SMX.

440           Independently of the enzyme tested, the presence of ABTS did not allow CIP  
441 oxidation. These results contrast with those reported by Prieto et al. [49] where almost  
442 complete degradation of CIP (i.e. 97%) by *T. versicolor*-ABTS system was achieved but  
443 in their case the reaction was carried out for 30 h. In addition to the difference of  
444 reaction times, the absence of CIP biodegradation could be related to the difference of  
445 fungal species used to produce the laccases. It may also result from a competition with  
446 AMX and SMX oxidation. ABTS cation radicals reacted first with AMX and SMX during 3  
447 h, by that time radicals may not be stable or available anymore to continue with the  
448 oxidation which would limit the reaction [24]. Further research would be necessary to  
449 determine the optimal reaction parameters as well as the factors limiting the reaction  
450 (i.e. availability of ABTS and laccase stability).

451           On the contrary to the results obtained for TVL and MTL; ABTS did not  
452 significantly react with PSL since lower degradation percentages were obtained with  
453 this couple. A different behavior was reported by Gao et al. [34] who tested a laccase  
454 extract from *P. sanguineus* for the degradation of antibiotics, among them CIP and  
455 SMX, in single and mixture solution. They carried out reactions at 30 °C in the presence  
456 of ABTS (1 mM) obtaining high transformation yields (85-100%) but 72 h were  
457 necessary to achieve these removal yields. The high transformation yields obtained by

458 Gao could be influenced by the operational conditions used in their experiments,  
459 higher temperatures and mediator concentration as well as longer reaction times may  
460 favor the transformation of pharmaceuticals.

461

### 462 3.3.3. *Effect of syringaldehyde addition*

463 The addition of SYR to the pharmaceuticals mixture resulted on a high  
464 enzymatic degradation (50-100%) (Fig. 5). Among all pharmaceuticals studied, SMX  
465 was removed with the highest efficiency by all laccases. MTL showed the best  
466 performance with almost 100% degradation within the first 10 minutes of reaction  
467 (Fig. 5b). TVL and PSL also completely transformed SMX after 1.5 and 2.5 h. These  
468 results are better to those reported by Shi et al. [50] who observed almost a complete  
469 degradation of SMX after 30 min with a laccase from *Echinodontium taxodii* but in the  
470 presence of higher SYR concentration (1 mM).

471 Similarly, AMX was well removed by the couple MTL-SYR attaining 80% removal  
472 within the first 30 minutes. After this time the reaction stabilized and no significant  
473 increase in degradation was observed before the end of the experiment. In the case of  
474 TVL and PSL moderate transformation yields of AMX were obtained; 50% of removal  
475 was achieved at the end of 3 h (Fig. 5a). Increasing the reaction time up to 8 h showed  
476 no significant enhancement in degradation; after 4h AMX removal leveled off around  
477 to 70%. Degradation of AMX in presence of different concentrations of SYR (0.1 and 1  
478 mM) was described by Becker et al. [31]. These authors reported removal yields from  
479 89-95% depending on the mediator concentration. Interestingly, authors observed that  
480 the use of SYR slightly reduced removal yield of AMX in comparison with the treatment

481 with only laccase. Such negative effect was not observed in this study, on the contrary,  
482 enhanced oxidation of AMX was observed for all laccases tested.

483 SYR was able to oxidize CIP altogether with MTL and TVL attaining 40% removal  
484 after 3h of reaction and up to 60% at the end of 8 h. To our knowledge, results  
485 obtained in this study represent the highest CIP degradation yield reported for a  
486 laccase catalyzed oxidation by free enzymes using SYR as mediator. Laccase-SYR  
487 system was previously tested for the degradation of CIP with very low removal yields  
488 [36,51]. For example, Ding et al. [36] observed negligible removal of CIP by free  
489 laccase. Moreover, the degradation was not improved by the presence of SYR even at  
490 concentrations as high as 2 mM.

491 Mediator SYR belongs to the group of substituted phenols compounds that  
492 have been proved as suitable laccase mediators [26,52]. The mechanism of reaction  
493 followed by SYR for the oxidation of non-phenolic substrates is the generation of  
494 phenoxyl radicals by HAT [53]. Structurally, SYR presents a phenol group which is easily  
495 oxidized by laccase and two methoxy substituents in ortho position that increase the  
496 stability of phenoxyl radicals. Therefore, the efficiency of SYR as mediator in terms of  
497 speed and conversion yield is related to the high concentration and stability of the  
498 phenoxyl radicals formed during oxidation reactions [47]. This actually explains the  
499 high and fast conversion yields obtained in this study.

500 Overall, from all mediators studied the best results were obtained with SYR.  
501 The presence of SYR accelerates degradation of the pharmaceuticals within the first 3  
502 h of reaction, after this time no further transformation was observed. Various  
503 hypotheses could explain the threshold observed: loss of mediators and/or laccase



504 activity, enhancement of the concentration of oxidation products etc. All of these  
505 possibilities could have a negative influence on the degradation rate. For example,  
506 some authors have demonstrated that an excess of mediators, as is the case in this  
507 work, can result on the acceleration of the loss of laccase activity by the attack of the  
508 radicals formed during mediator oxidation can attack enzyme's catalytic sites  
509 [24,37,54]. However, in this work samples taken from the supernatant still showed  
510 enzymatic activity for the three laccases after 7h of reaction (results not presented).  
511 Indeed, it is also possible a competition for the oxidative process between the  
512 pharmaceuticals and oxidation products formed. De Cazes [55, 56] has already noticed  
513 his effect for the degradation of tetracycline and erythromycin with immobilized  
514 enzymes. The authors observed a decrease of the degradation yield with time up to  
515 stabilization of antibiotics concentration after 24h of reaction. Then substrates  
516 solutions were replaced by fresh ones and the initial degradation activity was reached  
517 again. They were able to repeat the same cycles during more than 200 hours.

518 As mentioned at the beginning of this section, CBZ was not transformed by any  
519 laccase-mediator system assayed. Poor removal of CBZ was previously reported even  
520 in the presence of redox mediators like SYR or ABTS [37,54]. As previously discussed,  
521 the low removal amounts can be attributed to the presence of strong EWG in its  
522 structure making the pharmaceutical not suitable for biodegradation. Moreover,  
523 recent evidence suggests that reaction conditions could play a determinant role in CBZ  
524 degradation. Naghdi et al. [57] observed that addition of ABTS increased degradation  
525 of CBZ from 30% to 82% in 24 h by a laccase extract from *T. versicolor*, but when  
526 reaction conditions were optimized to 35 °C, pH 6, with 60 U L<sup>-1</sup> of enzyme

527 concentration and 18  $\mu$ M of mediator up to 95% removal was obtained. Further  
528 investigation should be done in order to find the conditions allowing CBZ degradation  
529 in the matrix.

530 Generally, the addition of redox mediators resulted in the increase of  
531 pharmaceuticals degradation. However, the degradation yield depends on the  
532 mediator type and laccase used. The best combination of mediator/laccase leading to  
533 the highest removal of AMX was TVL in combination with ABTS. In the case of SMX the  
534 antibiotic was better removed by MTL and SYR. Similarly, CIP highest removal was  
535 obtained by both MTL and TVL in presence of SYR.

536 From the three redox mediators SYR showed as the most effective mediator  
537 allowing the degradation of AMX, SMX and CIP in short periods of time. The  
538 effectiveness of SYR to mediate the oxidation of pharmaceuticals was followed by  
539 ABTS and PCA which presented the slowest reaction yields. MTL and TVL were the  
540 most reactive enzymes showing high affinity to SYR (Table 2).

541

#### 542 **4. CONCLUSIONS**

543 Results of this work show that without mediators, laccases only oxidized  
544 amoxicillin. Operational conditions had an important influence in the removal yield of  
545 the antibiotic that was favored at acidic conditions (pH 5-6).

546 In presence of redox mediators, pharmaceuticals degradation was both  
547 mediator and laccase dependent. Syringaldehyde and ABTS showed as the best redox  
548 mediators allowing the highest transformation yields (50-100%) in less than 3 h.  
549 However, there is not a broad spectrum mediator since carbamazepine was not

550 transformed by any laccase-mediator system. From our knowledge this is the most  
551 detailed study presenting the enzymatic degradation of amoxicillin in laccase-mediator  
552 systems that was better degraded by laccase in presence of ABTS but closely followed  
553 by syringaldehyde. Results suggest that amoxicillin oxidation occurs by the ET  
554 mechanism of reaction but analysis of the reaction products will be needed to confirm  
555 this assumption. The best degradation yields of ciprofloxacin and sulfamethoxazole  
556 were reached by using a commercial laccase and syringaldehyde as mediator. These  
557 results show that pharmaceuticals containing phenolic groups are removed depending  
558 on the redox potential of the mediator and the stability of the radicals formed. Overall,  
559 commercial laccases presented better performance for the degradation of  
560 micropollutants in laccase-mediator systems. Nonetheless, the data obtained by the  
561 comparison of performance among the three different laccase formulations in the  
562 model study under the same controlled conditions offer valuable information of the  
563 potential use of this biocatalyst for the treatment of complex pollutant matrices where  
564 different oxidative characteristics are often needed.

565         This study demonstrates the potential utility of laccase-mediator systems for  
566 treatment of complex micropollutant mixtures. Notwithstanding the progress realized,  
567 many drawbacks like stabilization or decay of the enzymatic activity are still present.  
568 Further studies to improve these enzymatic processes are being carried out, they  
569 include the study of their ecotoxicity. Moreover, the study of the immobilization of  
570 different laccase-mediator systems in order to reuse the enzymes is also under way.

571

572 **Acknowledgements**

573           The Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR, France) is acknowledged for the  
574 support provided in the frame of the project POLPHARMA (ANR-15-CE04-0007). The  
575 first author acknowledges the CONACYT (Mexico) for the support provided in the form  
576 of PhD studies fellowship No. 410752. The authors thankfully acknowledge Mr. Robin  
577 Boiron, Mrs. Laurence Soussan and Mr. Eddy Petit for their technical assistance.

## References

- [1] M. Naghdi, M. Taheran, S.K. Brar, A. Kermanshahi-pour, M. Verma, R.Y. Surampalli, Removal of pharmaceutical compounds in water and wastewater using fungal oxidoreductase enzymes, *Environ. Pollut.* 234 (2018) 190–213.  
doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2017.11.060.
- [2] Q. Bu, B. Wang, J. Huang, S. Deng, G. Yu, Pharmaceuticals and personal care products in the aquatic environment in China: A review, *J. Hazard. Mater.* 262 (2013) 189–211.  
doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2013.08.040.
- [3] Y. Luo, W. Guo, H.H. Ngo, L.D. Nghiem, F.I. Hai, J. Zhang, S. Liang, X.C. Wang, A review on the occurrence of micropollutants in the aquatic environment and their fate and removal during wastewater treatment, *Sci. Total Environ.* 473–474 (2014) 619–641.  
doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.12.065.
- [4] F. Baquero, J.L. Martínez, R. Cantón, Antibiotics and antibiotic resistance in water environments, *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 19 (2008) 260–265.  
doi:10.1016/j.copbio.2008.05.006.
- [5] N. Voulvoulis, D. Barceló, P. Verlicchi, Pharmaceutical Residues in Sewage Treatment Works and their Fate in the Receiving Environment, in: *Pharm. Environ.*, 2015: pp. 120–179. doi:10.1039/9781782622345-00120.
- [6] Y. Yang, Y.S. Ok, K.H. Kim, E.E. Kwon, Y.F. Tsang, Occurrences and removal of pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs) in drinking water and water/sewage treatment plants: A review, *Sci. Total Environ.* 596–597 (2017) 303–320.  
doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.04.102.
- [7] C. Grandclément, I. Seyssiecq, A. Piram, P. Wong-Wah-Chung, G. Vanot, N. Tiliacos, N. Roche, P. Doumenq, From the conventional biological wastewater treatment to hybrid processes, the evaluation of organic micropollutant removal: A review, *Water Res.* 111

- (2017) 297–317. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2017.01.005.
- [8] S. Rodríguez Couto, J.L. Toca Herrera, Industrial and biotechnological applications of laccases: A review, *Biotechnol. Adv.* 24 (2006) 500–513.  
doi:10.1016/j.biotechadv.2006.04.003.
- [9] S. Yang, F.I. Hai, L.D. Nghiem, W.E. Price, F. Roddick, M.T. Moreira, S.F. Magram, Understanding the factors controlling the removal of trace organic contaminants by white-rot fungi and their lignin modifying enzymes: A critical review, *Bioresour. Technol.* 141 (2013) 97–108. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2013.01.173.
- [10] M. Fernández-Fernández, M.Á. Sanromán, D. Moldes, Recent developments and applications of immobilized laccase, *Biotechnol. Adv.* 31 (2013) 1808–1825.  
doi:10.1016/j.biotechadv.2012.02.013.
- [11] M. Salazar-López, M. de J. Rostro-Alanis, C. Castillo-Zacarías, A.L. Parra-Guardado, C. Hernández-Luna, H.M.N. Iqbal, R. Parra-Saldivar, Induced Degradation of Anthraquinone-Based Dye by Laccase Produced from *Pycnoporus sanguineus* (CS43), *Water, Air, Soil Pollut.* 228 (2017) 469. doi:10.1007/s11270-017-3644-6.
- [12] M. Mohammadi, M.A. As'habi, P. Salehi, M. Yousefi, M. Nazari, J. Brask, Immobilization of laccase on epoxy-functionalized silica and its application in biodegradation of phenolic compounds, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 109 (2018) 443–447.  
doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2017.12.102.
- [13] X. Jin, X. Yu, G. Zhu, Z. Zheng, F. Feng, Z. Zhang, Conditions Optimizing and Application of Laccase-mediator System (LMS) for the Laccase-catalyzed Pesticide Degradation, *Sci. Rep.* 6 (2016) 1–7. doi:10.1038/srep35787.
- [14] C. Ji, J. Hou, V. Chen, Cross-linked carbon nanotubes-based biocatalytic membranes for micro-pollutants degradation: Performance, stability, and regeneration, *J. Memb. Sci.* 520 (2016) 869–880. doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2016.08.056.

- [15] B. Shao, Z. Liu, G. Zeng, Y. Liu, X. Yang, C. Zhou, M. Chen, Y. Liu, Y. Jiang, M. Yan, Immobilization of laccase on hollow mesoporous carbon nanospheres: Noteworthy immobilization, excellent stability and efficacious for antibiotic contaminants removal, *J. Hazard. Mater.* 362 (2019) 318–326. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2018.08.069.
- [16] M. De Cazes, M.P. Belleville, E. Petit, M. Llorca, S. Rodríguez-Mozaz, J. De Gunzburg, D. Barceló, J. Sanchez-Marcano, Design and optimization of an enzymatic membrane reactor for tetracycline degradation, *Catal. Today.* 236 (2014) 146–152. doi:10.1016/j.cattod.2014.02.051.
- [17] C. Barrios-Estrada, M. de J. Rostro-Alanis, A.L. Parra, M.P. Belleville, J. Sanchez-Marcano, H.M.N. Iqbal, R. Parra-Saldívar, Potentialities of active membranes with immobilized laccase for Bisphenol A degradation, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 108 (2018) 837–844. doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2017.10.177.
- [18] M. Gamallo, Y. Moldes-Diz, G. Eibes, G. Feijoo, J.M. Lema, M.T. Moreira, Sequential reactors for the removal of endocrine disrupting chemicals by laccase immobilized onto fumed silica microparticles, *Biocatal. Biotransformation.* 36 (2018) 254–264. doi:10.1080/10242422.2017.1316489.
- [19] J. Polak, A. Jarosz-Wilkolazka, Fungal laccases as green catalysts for dye synthesis, *Process Biochem.* 47 (2012) 1295–1307. doi:10.1016/j.procbio.2012.05.006.
- [20] C.A. Gasser, E.M. Ammann, P. Shahgaldian, P.F.X. Corvini, Laccases to take on the challenge of emerging organic contaminants in wastewater, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 98 (2014) 9931–9952. doi:10.1007/s00253-014-6177-6.
- [21] P. Baiocco, A.M. Barreca, M. Fabbrini, C. Galli, P. Gentili, Promoting laccase activity towards non-phenolic substrates: a mechanistic investigation with some laccase-mediator systems., *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 1 (2003) 191–197. doi:10.1039/b208951c.
- [22] J.A. Majeau, S.K. Brar, R.D. Tyagi, Laccases for removal of recalcitrant and emerging

- pollutants, *Bioresour. Technol.* 101 (2010) 2331–2350.  
doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2009.10.087.
- [23] B. Ashe, L.N. Nguyen, F.I. Hai, D.J. Lee, J.P. van de Merwe, F.D.L. Leusch, W.E. Price, L.D. Nghiem, Impacts of redox-mediator type on trace organic contaminants degradation by laccase: Degradation efficiency, laccase stability and effluent toxicity, *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad.* 113 (2016) 169–176. doi:10.1016/j.ibiod.2016.04.027.
- [24] S. Kurniawati, J.A. Nicell, Efficacy of mediators for enhancing the laccase-catalyzed oxidation of aqueous phenol, *Enzyme Microb. Technol.* 41 (2007) 353–361.  
doi:10.1016/j.enzmictec.2007.03.003.
- [25] J. Margot, P.J. Copin, U. von Gunten, D.A. Barry, C. Holliger, Sulfamethoxazole and isoproturon degradation and detoxification by a laccase-mediator system: Influence of treatment conditions and mechanistic aspects, *Biochem. Eng. J.* 103 (2015) 47–59.  
doi:10.1016/j.bej.2015.06.008.
- [26] S. Camarero, D. Ibarra, Lignin-Derived Compounds as Efficient Laccase Mediators for Decolorization of Different Types of Recalcitrant Dyes, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71 (2005) 1775–1784. doi:10.1128/AEM.71.4.1775.
- [27] L.F. Stadlmair, T. Letzel, J.E. Drewes, J. Graßmann, Mass spectrometry based in vitro assay investigations on the transformation of pharmaceutical compounds by oxidative enzymes, *Chemosphere.* 174 (2017) 466–477. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.01.140.
- [28] L.I. Ramírez-Cavazos, C. Junghanns, R. Nair, D.L. Cárdenas-Chávez, C. Hernández-Luna, S.N. Agathos, R. Parra, Enhanced production of thermostable laccases from a native strain of *Pycnoporus sanguineus* using central composite design, *J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci. B.* 15 (2014) 343–352. doi:10.1631/jzus.B1300246.
- [29] M. Orlikowska, M. de J. Rostro-Alanis, A. Bujacz, C. Hernández-Luna, R. Rubio, R. Parra, G. Bujacz, Structural studies of two thermostable laccases from the white-rot fungus



- Pycnoporus sanguineus*, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 107 (2018) 1629–1640.  
doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2017.10.024.
- [30] I. Gozlan, A. Rotstein, D. Avisar, Amoxicillin-degradation products formed under controlled environmental conditions: Identification and determination in the aquatic environment, *Chemosphere*. 91 (2013) 985–992.  
doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.01.095.
- [31] D. Becker, S. Varela Della Giustina, S. Rodriguez-Mozaz, R. Schoevaart, D. Barceló, M. de Cazes, M.P. Belleville, J. Sanchez-Marcano, J. de Gunzburg, O. Couillerot, J. Völker, J. Oehlmann, M. Wagner, Removal of antibiotics in wastewater by enzymatic treatment with fungal laccase – Degradation of compounds does not always eliminate toxicity, *Bioresour. Technol.* 219 (2016) 500–509. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2016.08.004.
- [32] X.L. Guo, Z.W. Zhu, H.L. Li, Biodegradation of sulfamethoxazole by *Phanerochaete chrysosporium*, *J. Mol. Liq.* 198 (2014) 169–172. doi:10.1016/j.molliq.2014.06.017.
- [33] L.N. Nguyen, F.I. Hai, A. Dosseto, C. Richardson, W.E. Price, L.D. Nghiem, Continuous adsorption and biotransformation of micropollutants by granular activated carbon-bound laccase in a packed-bed enzyme reactor, *Bioresour. Technol.* 210 (2016) 108–116. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2016.01.014.
- [34] N. Gao, C.X. Liu, Q.M. Xu, J.S. Cheng, Y.J. Yuan, Simultaneous removal of ciprofloxacin, norfloxacin, sulfamethoxazole by co-producing oxidative enzymes system of *Phanerochaete chrysosporium* and *Pycnoporus sanguineus*, *Chemosphere*. 195 (2018) 146–155. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.12.062.
- [35] S.S. Weng, K.L. Ku, H.T. Lai, The implication of mediators for enhancement of laccase oxidation of sulfonamide antibiotics, *Bioresour. Technol.* 113 (2012) 259–264.  
doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2011.12.111.
- [36] H. Ding, Y. Wu, B. Zou, Q. Lou, W. Zhang, J. Zhong, L. Lu, G. Dai, Simultaneous removal

- and degradation characteristics of sulfonamide, tetracycline, and quinolone antibiotics by laccase-mediated oxidation coupled with soil adsorption, *J. Hazard. Mater.* 307 (2016) 350–358. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2015.12.062.
- [37] C. Ji, J. Hou, K. Wang, Y. Zhang, V. Chen, Biocatalytic degradation of carbamazepine with immobilized laccase-mediator membrane hybrid reactor, *J. Memb. Sci.* 502 (2016) 11–20. doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2015.12.043.
- [38] J. Margot, J. Maillard, L. Rossi, D.A. Barry, C. Holliger, Influence of treatment conditions on the oxidation of micropollutants by *Trametes versicolor* laccase, *N. Biotechnol.* 30 (2013) 803–813. doi:10.1016/j.nbt.2013.06.004.
- [39] C. Ji, L.N. Nguyen, J. Hou, F.I. Hai, V. Chen, Direct immobilization of laccase on titania nanoparticles from crude enzyme extracts of *P. ostreatus* culture for micro-pollutant degradation, *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 178 (2017) 215–223. doi:10.1016/j.seppur.2017.01.043.
- [40] L. Lloret, G. Eibes, T.A. Lú-Chau, M.T. Moreira, G. Feijoo, J.M. Lema, Laccase-catalyzed degradation of anti-inflammatories and estrogens, *Biochem. Eng. J.* 51 (2010) 124–131. doi:10.1016/j.bej.2010.06.005.
- [41] L.I. Ramírez-Cavazos, C. Junghanns, N. Ornelas-Soto, D.L. Cárdenas-Chávez, C. Hernández-Luna, P. Demarche, E. Enaud, R. García-Morales, S.N. Agathos, R. Parra, Purification and characterization of two thermostable laccases from *Pycnoporus sanguineus* and potential role in degradation of endocrine disrupting chemicals, *J. Mol. Catal. B Enzym.* 108 (2014) 32–42. doi:10.1016/j.molcatb.2014.06.006.
- [42] K. Murugesan, Y.Y. Chang, Y.M. Kim, J.R. Jeon, E.J. Kim, Y.S. Chang, Enhanced transformation of triclosan by laccase in the presence of redox mediators, *Water Res.* 44 (2010) 298–308. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2009.09.058.
- [43] S. Camarero, A.I. Cañas, P. Nousiainen, E. Record, A. Lomascolo, M.J. Martínez, Á.T.

- Martínez, P-Hydroxycinnamic Acids As Natural Mediators for Laccase Oxidation of Recalcitrant Compounds, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 42 (2008) 6703–6709.  
doi:10.1021/es8008979.
- [44] A.I. Cañas, M. Alcalde, F. Plou, M.J. Martínez, Á.T. Martínez, S. Camarero, Transformation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons by laccase is strongly enhanced by phenolic compounds present in soil, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 41 (2007) 2964–2971.  
doi:10.1021/es062328j.
- [45] J. Yang, Y. Lin, X. Yang, T.B. Ng, X. Ye, J. Lin, Degradation of tetracycline by immobilized laccase and the proposed transformation pathway, *J. Hazard. Mater.* 322 (2017) 525–531. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.10.019.
- [46] G. Cantarella, C. Galli, P. Gentili, Free radical versus electron-transfer routes of oxidation of hydrocarbons by laccase/mediator systems: Catalytic or stoichiometric procedures, *J. Mol. Catal. B Enzym.* 22 (2003) 135–144. doi:10.1016/S1381-1177(03)00014-6.
- [47] A.I. Cañas, S. Camarero, Laccases and their natural mediators: Biotechnological tools for sustainable eco-friendly processes, *Biotechnol. Adv.* 28 (2010) 694–705.  
doi:10.1016/j.biotechadv.2010.05.002.
- [48] R. Bourbonnais, D. Leech, M.G. Paice, Electrochemical analysis of the interactions of laccase mediators with lignin model compounds., *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1379 (1998) 381–390. doi:10.1016/s0304-4165(97)00117-7.
- [49] A. Prieto, M. Möder, R. Rodil, L. Adrian, E. Marco-Urrea, Degradation of the antibiotics norfloxacin and ciprofloxacin by a white-rot fungus and identification of degradation products, *Bioresour. Technol.* 102 (2011) 10987–10995.  
doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2011.08.055.
- [50] L. Shi, F. Ma, Y. Han, X. Zhang, H. Yu, Removal of sulfonamide antibiotics by oriented

- immobilized laccase on Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles with natural mediators, *J. Hazard. Mater.* 279 (2014) 203–211. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2014.06.070.
- [51] A. Blaquez, F. Guillen, J. Rodriguez, M.E. Arias, M. Hernandez, The degradation of two fluoroquinolone based antimicrobials by SilA, an alkaline laccase from *Streptomyces ipomoeae*, *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 32 (2016) 1–8. doi:10.1007/s11274-016-2032-5.
- [52] L.N. Nguyen, J.P. van de Merwe, F.I. Hai, F.D.L. Leusch, J. Kang, W.E. Price, F. Roddick, S.F. Magram, L.D. Nghiem, Laccase-syringaldehyde-mediated degradation of trace organic contaminants in an enzymatic membrane reactor: Removal efficiency and effluent toxicity, *Bioresour. Technol.* 200 (2016) 477–484. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2015.10.054.
- [53] C. Torres-Duarte, S. Aguila, R. Vazquez-Duhalt, Syringaldehyde a true laccase mediator: Comments on the Letter to the Editor from Jeon, J-R., Kim, E-J. and Chang, Y-S., *Chemosphere.* 85 (2011) 1761–1762. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.07.045.
- [54] L.N. Nguyen, F.I. Hai, W.E. Price, F.D.L. Leusch, F. Roddick, H.H. Ngo, W. Guo, S.F. Magram, L.D. Nghiem, The effects of mediator and granular activated carbon addition on degradation of trace organic contaminants by an enzymatic membrane reactor, *Bioresour. Technol.* 167 (2014) 169–177. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2014.05.125.
- [55] M. de Cazes, M.-P. Belleville, E. Petit, M. Llorca, S. Rodríguez-Mozaz, J. de Gunzburg, D. Barceló, J. Sanchez-Marcano, Design and optimization of an enzymatic membrane reactor for tetracycline degradation. *Catal. Today*, 236 (2014) 146-152. doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2014.02.051
- [56] M. de Cazes, M.-P. Belleville, E. Petit, M. Salomo, S. Bayer, R. Czaja, J. De Gunzburg, J. Sanchez-Marcano, Erythromycin degradation by EreB esterase in enzymatic membrane reactors. *Biochem. Eng. J.* 114 (2016) 70-78. doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2016.06.029

- [57] M. Naghdi, M. Taheran, S.K. Brar, A. Kermanshahi-pour, M. Verma, R.Y. Surampalli, Biotransformation of Carbamazepine by Laccase-Mediator System: Kinetics, By-products and Toxicity Assessment, *Process Biochem.* (2018) 0–1. doi:10.1016/j.procbio.2018.02.009.

### Figure captions

**Fig. 1.** Oxidation of AMX in single substrate by laccases from *M. thermophila* (□), *P. sanguineus* (▣) and *T. versicolor* (▤), control without enzyme (□) at 25 °C and pH 7.

**Fig. 2.** (a) Oxidation of AMX at different pH by laccases from *M. thermophila* (●), *P. sanguineus* (◆) and *T. versicolor* (■), control without enzyme (+) after 48 h at 25 °C. (b) Effect of temperature on the oxidation of AMX at pH 6 after 48 h by laccases from *M. thermophila* (□), *P. sanguineus* (▣) and *T. versicolor* (▤), control without enzyme (□).








**Fig. 3.** Residual concentration of (a) AMX, (b) SMX and (c) CIP in mixture after 170 minutes treatment with laccase-PCA mediator system: *M. thermophila* (●), *P. sanguineus* (◆) and *T. versicolor* (■). Control without enzyme (+) at 25 °C and pH 7. Standard deviation less than 10% from duplicate experiments.

**Fig. 4.** Time course degradation of AMX (a), SMX (b) and CIP (c) by laccases in presence of ABTS as mediator at pH 7 and 25 °C. *M. thermophila* (●), *P. sanguineus* (◆) and *T. versicolor* (■). Control without enzyme (+). Standard deviation less than 10% from duplicate experiments.

**Fig. 5.** Oxidation of AMX (a), SMX (b) and CIP (c) by laccases in presence of SYR as mediator at pH 7 and 25 °C. *M. thermophila* (●), *P. sanguineus* (◆) and *T. versicolor* (■). Control without enzyme (+). Standard deviation less than 10% from duplicate experiments.

**Table 1**

Chemical structure of pharmaceuticals and mediators used in this work

ANTIBIOTICS		ANTIPILEPTIC	
Amoxicillin ( <b>AMX</b> )	Ciprofloxacin ( <b>CIP</b> )	Sulfamethoxazole ( <b>SMX</b> )	Carbamazepine ( <b>CBZ</b> )
			
MEDIATORS			
p-coumaric acid ( <b>PCA</b> )	Syringaldehyde ( <b>SYR</b> )	2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid ( <b>ABTS</b> ))	
			

**Table 2**  
Reactivity of selected laccases towards mediators

PHARMACEUTICAL	LACCASE		
	<i>M. thermophila</i>	<i>T. versicolor</i>	<i>P. sanguineus</i>
AMX	SYR (++)	ABTS (+++)	SYR (+)
SMX	SYR (+++)	SYR (++)	SYR (+)
CIP	SYR (+++)	SYR (+++)	-
CBZ	-	-	-

(+++) very reactive; (++) reactive; (+) less reactive.

**Fig. 1**






Fig. 2




Fig.3




Fig. 4





Fig.5



### Laccase catalyzed degradation of pharmaceuticals

