

Prevalence and pathogenicity of binary toxin–positive Clostridium difficile strains that do not produce toxins A and B

C. Eckert, A. Emirian, Alban Le Monnier, L. Cathala, H. de Montclos, J. Goret, Berger P., A. Petit, Antoine de Chevigny, H. Jean-Pierre, et al.

To cite this version:

C. Eckert, A. Emirian, Alban Le Monnier, L. Cathala, H. de Montclos, et al.. Prevalence and pathogenicity of binary toxin–positive Clostridium difficile strains that do not produce toxins A and B. New Microbes and New Infections, 2015, 3, pp.12-17. 10.1016/j.nmni.2014.10.003. hal-02022706

HAL Id: hal-02022706 <https://hal.umontpellier.fr/hal-02022706v1>

Submitted on 3 Nov 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Prevalence and pathogenicity of binary toxin–positive Clostridium difficile strains that do not produce toxins A and B

C. Eckert^{1,2}, A. Emirian⁵, A. Le Monnier^{3,6}, L. Cathala⁷, H. De Montclos⁹, J. Goret¹⁰, P. Berger¹¹, A. Petit¹, A. De Chevigny¹, H. Jean-Pierre^{7,8}, B. Nebbad⁵, S. Camiade¹², R. Meckenstock¹³, V. Lalande^{1,4}, H. Marchandin^{7,8} and F. Barbut^{1,2,4} 1) Laboratoire associé « Clostridium difficile », Hôpital Saint Antoine, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, 2) UPMC Univ Paris VI, GRC n°2, Epidiff, 3) Laboratoire de Bactériologie, Groupe Hospitalier Paris Saint Joseph, Paris, 4) Laboratoire de Microbiologie, Hôpital Saint Antoine, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, 5) Laboratoire de Bactériologie, Virologie, Hygiène, Hôpital Henri Mondor, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Créteil, 6) EA 4043, Université Paris-Sud 11, Faculté de Pharmacie, Châtenay-Malabry, 7) Laboratoire de Bactériologie, Hôpital Arnaud de Villeneuve, CHRU de Montpellier, 8) UMR5119 ECOSYM, Equipe Pathogènes et Environnements, Université Montpellier 1, Montpellier, 9) Laboratoire de Bactériologie, Centre Hospitalier de Bourg-en-Bresse, Bourg-en-Bresse, 10) Laboratoire de Bactériologie, CHU de Bordeaux, Bordeaux, 11) Institut Paoli-Calmettes, Marseille, 12) Laboratoire Alphabio, Marseille and 13) Service de Médecine Interne Maladies Infectieuses, Hôpital André Mignot, Le Chesnay, France

Abstract

Clostridium difficile causes antibiotic-associated diarrhoea and pseudomembranous colitis. The main virulence factors of C. difficile are the toxins A (TcdA) and B (TcdB). A third toxin, called binary toxin (CDT), can be detected in 17% to 23% of strains, but its role in human disease has not been clearly defined. We report six independent cases of patients with diarrhoea suspected of having C. difficile infection due to strains from toxinotype XI/PCR ribotype 033 or 033-like, an unusual toxinotype/PCR ribotype positive for CDT but negative for TcdA and TcdB. Four patients were considered truly infected by clinicians and were specifically treated with oral metronidazole. One of the cases was identified during a prevalence study of A[−]B[−]CDT⁺ strains. In this study, we screened a French collection of 220 nontoxigenic strains and found only one (0.5%) toxinotype XI/PCR ribotype 033 or 033-like strain. The description of such strains raises the question of the role of binary toxin as a virulence factor and could have implications for laboratory diagnostics that currently rarely include testing for binary toxin.

New Microbes and New Infections © 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases.

Keywords: A⁻B⁻CDT⁺ strains, binary toxin, Clostridium difficilel, diagnostic, PCR ribotype 033, toxinotype XI Original Submission: 28 July 2014; Revised Submission: 15 September 2014; Accepted: 7 October 2014 Available online 8 November 2014

Corresponding author: C. Eckert, Laboratoire associé « Clostridium difficile », UPMC, site Saint Antoine, 27, rue de Chaligny, 75012, Paris, France

E-mail: catherine.eckert@sat.aphp.fr

Introduction

Clostridium difficile is responsible for 15% to 25% of antibioticassociated diarrhoea and for more than 95% of pseudomembranous colitis. This Gram-positive spore-forming bacterium is the major cause of hospital-acquired diarrhoea $[1,2]$. Since 2003, many countries have reported outbreaks of severe C. difficile infections (CDI). This trend is assumed to be due in part to the emergence and rapid dissemination of an epidemic clone of C. difficile named NAP1/BI/027 [3]. Other changes have been observed in the epidemiology of CDI: (a) community cases of CDI have increased and have been described in people previously considered to be at low risk $[4,5]$, (b) another clone, named 078, involved in severe CDI has been described [6], (c) C. difficile has been recognized as a pathogen or commensal in numerous animals $[7,8]$ and (4) detection of C. difficile in food products has been reported [4,9].

The main virulence factors of C. difficile are two large clostridial toxins, toxins A (TcdA) and B (TcdB), encoded by the

New Microbe and New Infect 2015; 3: 12–17

New Microbes and New Infections © 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/) <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nmni.2014.10.003>

genes tcdA and tcdB, respectively. These two genes are located within a locus of pathogenicity (PaLoc) with three accessory genes: tcdC, tcdR and tcdE. Sequencing of the PaLoc has indicated a series of genetic polymorphisms. On the basis of PCR–restriction fragment length polymorphism of this locus, C. difficile strains are currently divided into 31 toxinotypes (or toxin variant strains) that are characterized by insertions, deletions and sequence mutations compared to the reference strain, VPI 10463 (toxinotype 0) [10,11]. Among the 31 toxinotypes, only the toxinotype XI strains do not produce TcdA and TcdB (A[−]B[−] strains) related to an absence of the tcdB gene and the presence of a large deletion in the 5' region of the tcdA gene $[10]$.

A third toxin, called binary toxin or CDT, was isolated for the first time by Popoff et al. $[12]$ in a patient with severe pseudomembranous colitis. This toxin is detected in 17% to 23% of C. difficile strains in nonoutbreak situations $[13-15]$. This toxin is encoded by two genes, cdtA and cdtB, located on the CDT locus (CdtLoc), which is separated from the PaLoc on the C. difficile chromosome [12,16]. Strains carrying the CdtLoc belonged to specific toxinotypes, e.g. toxinotypes III, IV, V and XI, or, more rarely, to strains for which the PaLoc is absent [17]. The role of binary toxin in the pathophysiology of CDI remains unclear [18].

Five nonepidemiologically related C. difficile strains, characterized by the absence of tcdB gene but the presence of the binary toxin genes, and suspected to belong to the toxinotype XI (A⁻B⁻CDT⁺), were sent to the National Reference Center (NRC) for C. difficile in Paris, France, between December 2011 and February 2013. The objectives of this study were to confirm the toxinotype of those strains, to describe the clinical features of the five patients in whom these strains were isolated and to estimate the prevalence of such strains that produce binary toxin but not large clostridial toxins.

Materials and methods

Characterization of five strains with atypical toxinencoding gene content received by the NRC and analysis of clinical data

Between December 2011 and February 2013, five strains with atypical toxin-encoding gene content were sent to the NRC for C. difficile in Paris. Three of five strains were identified as B[−]CDT⁺ using the molecular method Xpert® C. difficile (Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) and were sent by the biologists to the NRC for confirmation of this particular result and to exclude a genetic drift in tcdB. The two other strains were characterized as A^{-B-}CDT⁺ by the NRC performing routine PCR targeting tcdA, tcdB, cdtA and cdtB genes on DNA extracted from C. difficile cultures.

DNA extraction from colonies of C. difficile was performed with the InstaGene Matrix kit® (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). Amplification by PCR of tcdA, tcdB, tcdC, cdtA and cdtB genes coding for toxin A, toxin B, TcdC and the binary toxin, respectively, was performed using primers described elsewhere [13,19]. The toxinotypes were determined according to the method described by Rupnik et al. [19]. Briefly, amplification of A2 and A3 fragments of tcdA and B1, B2 and B3 fragments of tcdB was performed as described. Fragment A3 was digested using EcoRI to determine the toxinotype. PCR ribotyping was performed as described by Bidet et al. [20].

In vitro toxin production was tested by inoculating two to five colonies into brain–heart infusion broth (Oxoid, Hampshire, England, UK) that was incubated 5 days under an anaerobic atmosphere. The supernatant was filtered (0.22 μm pore size), and the filtrate was inoculated on MRC-5 cells. Toxin detection was also tested by C. diff Quik Chek complete[®] (Alere, Orlando, FL, USA) performed directly on colonies. Clinical data were reviewed and analyzed for the five patients harbouring these strains.

Screening of a A[−]B[−] strain collection

To estimate the frequency of A^{-B-}CDT⁺ strains, 220 consecutive nontoxinogenic strains (A^{-B-}) isolated in Paris (North of France) ($n = 84$) and Montpellier (South of France) ($n = 136$) between July 2011 and April 2013 were screened. All strains were isolated from patients with diarrhoea who were suspected of having CDI, who were admitted in Saint Antoine (Paris) or Arnaud de Villeneuve (Montpellier) hospitals and strains were characterized as nontoxinogenic strains by toxigenic culture (TC). The in vitro determination of C. difficile isolates ability to produce toxins (TC) was performed either by inoculating supernatant from a 5-day brain–heart infusion broth (Oxoid) on MRC-5 cells (Paris) or by using ImmunoCard® Toxins A&B (Meridian Bioscience, Cincinnati, OH, USA) directly on colonies as recommended by the manufacturer (Montpellier).

Absence of the PaLoc was confirmed as described elsewhere by obtaining an amplification of about 700 bp using primers lok1 and lok3 anchored outside the targeting PaLoc [21]. In case of a negative result (i.e., absence of the PaLoc was not confirmed), amplification by PCR of tcdA, tcdB, tcdC, cdtA and cdtB genes, toxinotyping and PCR ribotyping were performed as described above [13].

Results

Characteristics of five A^{-B-}CDT⁺ strains and associated clinical data

Amplification of B1, B2 and B3 fragments of tcdB was confirmed as negative for the five strains (data not shown). Only the $3'$

New Microbes and New Infections @ 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, NMNI, 3, 12-17. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/) region (A2 and A3 fragments) of the tcdA gene was amplified for all the strains. Binary toxin genes and a 39 bp deletion in tcdC were identified in the five isolates. They were confirmed to be toxinotype XI strains after enzymatic restriction. Three isolates were defined as toxinotype XIa (EcoRI restriction pattern of A3 PCR fragment was of type 5d) and two isolates as XIb (EcoRI restriction pattern of A3 PCR fragment was of type 8) (Fig. 1). The five strains showed either a similar or slightly different PCR ribotyping banding pattern as reference strains (R11402, 542 and CD219), suggesting that the five strains belonged to the same lineage (Fig. 2). They were characterized as PCR ribotype 033 (or 033-like) isolates. The strains tested negative for their in vitro toxin production either by the cytotoxicity assay on MRC-5 cells or the C. diff Quik Chek complete® assay.

Clinical and biological data of the five patients were analyzed (Table 1). The five patients were hospitalized in French hospitals in different areas, and their infections were considered to be epidemiologically unrelated. All five patients were symptomatic (diarrheic stools) and had risk factors for CDI (antibiotics $n = 5$, age >65 years $n = 3$). Four of five patients harbouring toxinotype XI strains were considered truly infected by their treating physician and were specifically treated with oral metronidazole. The clinical presentation of one patient was atypical because diarrhoea was probably the manifestation of ileal CDI, as the patient had previously undergone a total colectomy (Table 1, patient 1). One patient was not treated for CDI, but symptomatic treatment was started and diarrhoea resolved in the following days (patient 3).

Prevalence of A^{-B-}CDT⁺ strains

Among the 220 studied strains, an amplification was obtained with primers lok1 and lok3, confirming the absence of the PaLoc, for 219 strains (99.5%). No amplification could be

FIG. 1. Restriction patterns obtained for A3 amplified fragment of tcdA gene for Clostridium difficile A⁻⁻B⁻⁻CDT⁺ strains isolated from patients compared with reference strains. ND, unrestricted; E., EcoRI digestion. Lanes: 1, DNA ladder (kb); 2, PCR ribotype 027; 3, 542 (reference strain for toxinotype XIa); 4, CD219 (reference strain for toxinotype XIa); 5, strain isolated from patient 1; 6, R11402 (reference strain for toxinotype XIb); 7, strain isolated from patient 2.

FIG. 2. PCR ribotype of Clostridium difficile A^{-B-}CDT⁺ strains isolated from patients compared with reference strains. Lanes: 1, DNA ladder (bp); 2, PCR ribotype 027; 3, R11402 (reference strain; toxinotype XIb); 4, patient 2; 5, patient 4; 6, strain isolated in prevalence study; 7, 542 (reference strain; toxinotype XIa); 8, patient 1; 9, CD219 (reference strain; PCR ribotype 033; toxinotype XIa); 10, patient 3; 11, patient 5.

obtained for tcdA and tcdB. The binary toxin genes were also absent. These 219 strains were confirmed as nontoxigenic strains (A⁻⁻B⁻CDT⁻). Absence of amplification with primers lok1 and lok3 was observed for one strain (0.5%). Further amplifications for tcdA and tcdB showed the presence of the $3'$ region of tcdA only. This strain harboured a 39 bp deletion in the tcdC gene. Binary toxin genes were present, and the strain was characterized as toxinotype XIb. This strain belonged to the same PCR ribotype (033/033-like) as the five toxinotype XI strains previously identified in our laboratory (Fig. 2). Clinical data for the patient hospitalized in Hôpital Saint Antoine, Paris, and harbouring this strain were sparse; no specific treatment was initiated despite clinical symptoms, probably because the strain was reported as nontoxigenic by TC to the physician (Table 1, patient 6).

Discussion

We report here the six first strains of toxinotype XI isolated in patients suspected of having CDI in France. Toxinotype XI strains produce only binary toxin but not the common large clostridial toxins TcdA and TcdB. Toxinotype XI strains are atypical because they exhibit major rearrangement of the PaLoc. Only portion of the $3'$ end region of PaLoc is present, bearing part of the sequence of $tcdA$ and $tcdC$, and the $5'$ region covering tcdB, tcdR and tcdE is deleted $[10]$. Rupnik et al. $[22]$ described heterogeneity in the A3 region of tcdA that leads to distinguish two subtypes, XIa and XIb. So far, toxinotype XI is

New Microbes and New Infections @ 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, NMNI, 3, 12-17. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/)

TABLE 1. Clinical and biological data of the six patients harbouring A[−]B[−]CDT⁺ strains

ND, not done; HC, health care associated; CDI, C. difficile infection; CO, community onset; PMC, pseudomembranous colitis; MTZ, metronidazole; NRC, national reference centre.

*Clostridium difficile has been isolated only by the NRC during EUropean, multicentre, prospective biannual point prevalence study of Clostridium difficile Infection in hospitalized patients with Diarrhoea Euclid study. C.

the only C. difficile toxinotype to be positive for binary toxin but negative for TcdA and TcdB production (A^{-B-}CDT⁺) [\(http://](http://www.mf.uni-mb.si/tox/images/Table1_Toxinotypes-characteristics.pdf) [www.mf.uni-mb.si/tox/images/Table1_Toxinotypes](http://www.mf.uni-mb.si/tox/images/Table1_Toxinotypes-characteristics.pdf)[characteristics.pdf](http://www.mf.uni-mb.si/tox/images/Table1_Toxinotypes-characteristics.pdf)).

Uncertainties still remain about the clinical significance of toxinotype XI in the pathogenesis of CDI because the role of binary toxin as a virulence factor is still controversial $[18]$. This toxin is produced by the highly virulent NAP1/BI/027 clone, which has caused severe outbreaks in North America and Europe, and by the emerging 078 clone, suggesting that it may serve as an additional virulence factor and may act in synergy with the large clostridial toxins $[3]$. A few clinical and epidemiological studies that compared data from infections with strains producing binary toxin in addition to TcdA and TcdB and infections with strains producing only TcdA and TcdB suggested that there could be a correlation between the production of binary toxin and the severity of CDI [23–25]. A case–control study conducted in 2005 compared the clinical presentation of 26 patients infected with strains producing binary toxin in addition to toxins A and B to 42 controls infected with strains producing only toxins A and B. Using univariate analysis, diarrhoea due to a binary toxin–positive strain was more often community acquired ($p = 0.017$) and associated with abdominal pain ($p = 0.07$) than diarrhoea due to binary toxin–negative strains. Diarrhoea was more often the cause of hospitalization in cases than in controls ($p = 0.003$) [23]. A more recent study reported that patients infected with C. difficile harbouring genes for toxins A and B and binary toxin had higher case-fatality rates than patients infected with C. difficile harbouring genes for toxin A and B without binary toxin [24]. Another study has shown that the presence of the binary toxin gene in C. difficile isolates was the only independent predictive factor for recurrent CDI [25]. The effects of binary toxin in animal models gave some conflicting results. In the rabbit ileal loop model, inoculation of supernatants from culture of binary toxin–positive strains that produced neither TcdA nor TcdB leads to enterotoxic response, suggesting that binary toxin may act as a virulence factor. Nevertheless, in the hamster model of ileocolitis, these strains produced no symptoms despite colonization $[26]$. Recent in vitro experiments have shown that binary toxin induces redistribution of microtubules and formation of long microtubule-based protrusions at the surface of intestinal epithelial cells. The CDT-induced microtubule protrusions form a dense mesh work at the cell surface, which wrap and embed bacterial cells, thereby largely increasing the adherence of C. difficile [27]. Finally, the importance of binary toxin was demonstrated using isogenic toxin mutants (A^{-B-}CDT⁺) of NAP1/027/BI strains producing only binary toxin inoculated to the hamsters [28]. Three of nine hamsters inoculated with this $A^-B^-\text{CDT}^+$ died.

PCR ribotype 033 strains have been reported in animals. In Australia, this PCR ribotype is the second most common strain isolated in cattle and calves $[29]$. However, very few cases of human CDI due to toxinotype XI have been described in the literature. Among the five cases reported by Geric et al. [17], three were from asymptomatic patients and one was from a symptomatic patient; no clinical information was available for the remaining case. In the present report, C. difficile strains were isolated from six symptomatic patients with several risk factors for CDI, including advanced age and previous broad-spectrum antibiotic treatment. Four of six patients were successfully treated with metronidazole. These data support the conclusion that diarrhoea in four patients was really due to C. difficile.

Only a few studies have estimated the prevalence of toxinotype XI strains. In this study, we found a prevalence of 0.5% (1/220). In a European study, 411 clinical C. difficile isolates from 38 hospitals in 14 European countries were characterized by toxinotyping. A total of 354 isolates (86.1%) were toxigenic. Among the toxigenic isolates, 268 (75.7%) were from toxinotype 0; 86 strains (24.3%) belonged to nine variant toxinotypes, but none was of toxinotype XI [15]. A large collection (5000 isolates) of C. difficile isolates from the United States and other sources over a 20-year period was examined for the presence of binary toxin genes among strains that do not produce TcdA and TcdB. Eight isolates have been reported as A⁻B⁻ but CDT⁺ [17]. Among these eight isolates, five had a truncated PaLoc characteristic of toxinotype XI (including two toxinotype XIa and three toxinotype XIb isolates). However, most of the toxinotype XI strains isolated in that study were recovered from asymptomatic patients. All these data indicate that the prevalence of strains from toxinotype XI in humans seems very low. However, we hypothesize that the prevalence is likely underestimated. Indeed, the microbiological diagnosis of CDI in France is mostly based on the detection of TcdA or TcdB in stool samples, and clinical laboratories do not specifically look for binary toxin. As a consequence, strains from toxinotype XI would be likely reported as nontoxigenic isolates when tested in a clinical laboratory. Since 2009, nucleic acid amplifications assays have been increasingly used for the diagnosis of CDI. Most of the assays only target the tcdA or tcdB genes of C. difficile. Among these tests, the $Xper[®]$ C. difficile assay simultaneously detects three targets, including tcdB, binary toxin genes and the deletion in position 117 within the tcdC gene. This assay was initially developed in order to presumptively identify CDI due to the epidemic strain NAP1/BI/027 [3,30]. In recent years, this assay has been widely used in many laboratories in France for the routine diagnosis of CDI. Three cases of toxinotype XI that we report here were detected in clinical laboratories using the Xpert C. difficile assay and were suspected only because of the presence of binary toxin gene. It

New Microbes and New Infections @ 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, NMNI, 3, 12-17. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/)

is likely that the increasing use of this test will enable a better recognition of toxinotype XI and therefore of its potential role in clinical disease.

In conclusion, the present study suggests that C. difficile strains producing only binary toxin seem to be pathogenic despite the lack of TcdA and TcdB. A result of C. difficile testing that would be only positive by PCR for binary toxin should be taken into account and may reveal a toxinotype XI strain. However, the prevalence of toxinotype XI seems low in France.

Conflict of interest

None declared.

References

- [1] [Magill SS, Edwards JR, Bamberg W, Beldavs ZG, Dumyati G,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref1) [Kainer MA, et al. Multistate point-prevalence survey of health care](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref1)– [associated infections. N Engl J Med 2014;370:1198](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref1)–208.
- [2] [Rupnik M, Wilcox MH, Gerding DN.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref2) Clostridium difficile infection: new [developments in epidemiology and pathogenesis. Nat Rev 2009;7:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref2) [526](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref2)–36.
- [3] [McDonald LC, Killgore GE, Thompson A, Owens RC, Kazakova SV,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref3) [Sambol SP, et al. An epidemic, toxin gene](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref3)–variant strain of Clostridium difficile. N Engl | Med 2005;353:2433-41.
- [4] [Hensgens MPM, Keessen EC, Squire MM, Riley TV, Koene MGJ, de](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref4) Boer E, et al. Clostridium difficile [infection in the community: a zoonotic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref4) [disease? Clin Microbiol Infect 2012;18:635](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref4)–45.
- [5] [Wilcox MH, Mooney L, Bendall R, Settle CD, Fawley WN.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref5) A case–[control study of community-associated](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref5) Clostridium difficile [infection. J Antimicrob Chemother 2008;62:388](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref5)–96.
- [6] [Goorhuis A, Bakker D, Corver J, Debast SB, Harmanus C,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref6) [Notermans DW, et al. Emergence of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref6) Clostridium difficile infection due [to a new hypervirulent strain, polymerase chain reaction ribotype 078.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref6) [Clin Infect Dis 2008;47:1162](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref6)–70.
- [7] [Avbersek J, Janezic S, Pate M, Rupnik M, Zidaric V, Logar K, et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref7) Diversity of Clostridium difficile [in pigs and other animals in Slovenia.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref7) [Anaerobe 2009;15:252](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref7)–5.
- [8] Riley TV, Adams JE, O'[Neill GL, Bowman RA. Gastrointestinal carriage](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref8) of Clostridium difficile [in cats and dogs attending veterinary clinics.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref8) [Epidemiol Infect 1991;107:659](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref8)–65.
- [9] [Eckert C, Burghoffer B, Barbut F. Contamination of ready-to-eat raw](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref9) vegetables with Clostridium difficile [in France. J Med Microbiol](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref9) [2013;62\(pt 9\):1435](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref9)–8.
- [10] [Geric](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref10) [Stare](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref10) [B,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref10) [Rupnik](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref10) [M.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref10) Clostridium difficile toxinotype XI (A[−] [B](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref10)− [\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref10) [exhibits unique arrangement of PaLoc and its upstream region.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref10) [Anaerobe 2010;16:393](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref10)–5.
- [11] [Rupnik M. Heterogeneity of large clostridial toxins: importance of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref11) Clostridium difficile [toxinotypes. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2008;32:541](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref11)–55.
- [12] Popoff MR, Rubin E|, Gill DM, Boquet P. Actin-specific ADP[ribosyltransferase produced by a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref12) Clostridium difficile strain. Infect [Immun 1988;56:2299](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref12)–306.
- [13] [Eckert C, Coignard B, Hebert M, Tarnaud C, Tessier C, Lemire A,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref13) [et al. Clinical and microbiological features of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref13) Clostridium difficile in[fections in France: the ICD-RAISIN 2009 national survey. Med Mal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref13) [Infect 2013;43:67](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref13)–74.
- [14] [Bauer MP, Notermans DW, van Benthem BHB, Brazier JS,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref14) [Wilcox MH, Rupnik M, et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref14) Clostridium difficile infection in Europe: a [hospital-based survey. Lancet 2011;377\(9759\):63](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref14)–73.
- [15] [Barbut F, Mastrantonio P, Delmee M, Brazier J, Kuijper E, Poxton I.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref15) Prospective study of Clostridium difficile [infections in Europe with](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref15) [phenotypic and genotypic characterisation of the isolates. Clin](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref15) [Microbiol Infect 2007;13:1048](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref15)–57.
- [16] [Perelle S, Gibert M, Bourlioux P, Corthier G, Popoff MR. Production](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref16) [of a complete binary toxin \(actin-speci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref16)fic ADP-ribosyltransferase) by Clostridium difficile [CD196. Infect Immun 1997;65:1402](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref16)–7.
- [17] [Geric B, Johnson S, Gerding DN, Grabnar M, Rupnik M. Frequency of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref17) [binary toxin genes among](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref17) Clostridium difficile strains that do not pro[duce large clostridial toxins. J Clin Microbiol 2003;41:5227](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref17)-32.
- [18] [Gerding DN, Johnson S, Rupnik M, Aktories K.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref18) Clostridium difficile bi[nary toxin CDT: mechanism, epidemiology, and potential clinical](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref18) [importance. Gut Microbes 2013;5\(1\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref18)
- [19] [Rupnik M, Avesani V, Janc M, von Eichel-Streiber C, Delmee M. A novel](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref19) [toxinotyping scheme and correlation of toxinotypes with serogroups of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref19) Clostridium difficile [isolates. J Clin Microbiol 1998;36:2240](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref19)–7.
- [20] [Bidet P, Barbut F, Lalande V, Burghoffer B, Petit JC. Development of a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref20) [new PCR-ribotyping method for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref20) Clostridium difficile based on ribosomal [RNA gene sequencing. FEMS Microbiol Lett 1999;175:261](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref20)–6.
- [21] [Braun V, Hundsberger T, Leukel P, Sauerborn M, von Eichel-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref21)Streiber C. Defi[nition of the single integration site of the pathogenicity](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref21) locus in Clostridium difficile[. Gene 1996;181:29](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref21)–38.
- [22] [Rupnik M, Brazier JS, Duerden BI, Grabnar M, Stubbs SL. Comparison](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref22) [of toxinotyping and PCR ribotyping of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref22) Clostridium difficile strains and [description of novel toxinotypes. Microbiology 2001;147\(pt 2\):](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref22) [439](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref22)–47.
- [23] [Barbut F, Decre D, Lalande V, Burghoffer B, Noussair L, Gigandon A,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref23) et al. Clinical features of Clostridium difficile–[associated diarrhoea due](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref23) to binary toxin (actin-specifi[c ADP-ribosyltransferase\)-producing](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref23) [strains. J Med Microbiol 2005;54\(pt 2\):181](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref23)–5.
- [24] [Bacci S, Mølbak K, Kjeldsen MK, Olsen KEP. Binary toxin and death](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref24) after Clostridium difficile [infection. Emerg Infect Dis 2011;17:976](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref24)–82.
- [25] [Stewart DB, Berg A, Hegarty J. Predicting recurrence of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref25) C. difficile [colitis using bacterial virulence factors: binary toxin is the key.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref25) [J Gastrointest Surg 2013;17:118](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref25)–24.
- [26] [Geric B, Carman RJ, Rupnik M, Genheimer CW, Sambol SP,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref26) [Lyerly DM, et al. Binary toxin-producing, large clostridial toxin](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref26)negative Clostridium difficile [strains are enterotoxic but do not cause](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref26) [disease in hamsters. J Infect Dis 2006;193:1143](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref26)–50.
- [27] [Schwan C, Stecher B, Tzivelekidis T, van Ham M, Rohde M, Hardt W-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref27)D, et al. Clostridium difficile [toxin CDT induces formation of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref27) [microtubule-based protrusions and increases adherence of bacteria.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref27) [PLoS Pathog 2009;5:e1000626.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref27)
- [28] [Kuehne SA, Collery MM, Kelly ML, Cartman ST, Cockayne A,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref28) [Minton NP. Importance of toxin A, toxin B, and CDT in virulence of an](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref28) epidemic Clostridium difficile [strain. J Infect Dis 2014;209:83](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref28)–6.
- [29] [Knight D, Thean S, Putsathit P, Fenwick S, Riley TV. Cross-sectional](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref29) [study reveals high prevalence of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref29) Clostridium difficile non-PCR ribotype [078 strains in Australian veal calves at slaughter. Appl Environ](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref29) [Microbiol 2013;79:2630](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref29)–5.
- [30] [Goldenberg SD, Dieringer T, French GL. Detection of toxigenic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref30) Clostridium difficile [in diarrheal stools by rapid real-time polymerase](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref30) [chain reaction. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2010;67:304](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2052-2975(14)00005-5/sref30)–7.