

## Modeling spatial variation in risk of presence and insecticide resistance for malaria vectors in Laos

Marc Souris, Sébastien Marcombe, Julie Laforet, Paul Brey, Vincent Corbel,

Hans J Overgaard

## ▶ To cite this version:

Marc Souris, Sébastien Marcombe, Julie Laforet, Paul Brey, Vincent Corbel, et al.. Modeling spatial variation in risk of presence and insecticide resistance for malaria vectors in Laos. PLoS ONE, 2017, 12 (5), pp.e0177274. 10.1371/journal.pone.0177274. hal-02014450

## HAL Id: hal-02014450 https://hal.umontpellier.fr/hal-02014450

Submitted on 25 Mar 2019  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



## 

**Citation:** Souris M, Marcombe S, Laforet J, Brey PT, Corbel V, Overgaard HJ (2017) Modeling spatial variation in risk of presence and insecticide resistance for malaria vectors in Laos. PLoS ONE 12(5): e0177274. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0177274

Editor: Immo A. Hansen, New Mexico State University, UNITED STATES

Received: February 4, 2017

Accepted: April 25, 2017

Published: May 11, 2017

**Copyright:** © 2017 Souris et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Relevant data are within the Supporting Information files. Spatial data is available in Zenodo repository. DOI <u>10.5281/</u> zenodo.570063

**Funding:** This project was funded by the French Ministry of Foreign Affaires 5% PC Initiative, as part of the MALVEC program. The funder had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. **RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# Modeling spatial variation in risk of presence and insecticide resistance for malaria vectors in Laos

# Marc Souris<sup>1,2</sup>\*, Sébastien Marcombe<sup>3</sup>, Julie Laforet<sup>4</sup>, Paul T. Brey<sup>3</sup>, Vincent Corbel<sup>5</sup>, Hans J. Overgaard<sup>5,6,7</sup>

1 UMR "Émergence des Pathologies Virales" (EPV: Aix-Marseille Univ–IRD 190–Inserm 1207–EHESP– IHU Méditerranée Infection), Marseille, France, 2 Asian Institute of Technology, Remote Sensing and GIS FoS, Klong Luang, Pathumthani, Thailand, 3 Institut Pasteur du Laos, Vientiane, Lao PDR, 4 Université de Toulouse–Le Mirail, Département de Géographie & Aménagement—UFR Sciences, Espaces, Sociétés Université, Toulouse, France, 5 UMR « Maladies Infectieuses et Vecteurs, Ecologie, Génétique, Evolution et Contrôle » (MIVEGEC, UM1-CNRS 5290-IRD 224), Montpellier, France, 6 Kasetsart University, Department of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Bangkok, Thailand, 7 Faculty of Science and Technology, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Ås, Norway

\* marc.souris@ird.fr

## Abstract

Climatic, sociological and environmental conditions are known to affect the spatial distribution of malaria vectors and disease transmission. Intensive use of insecticides in the agricultural and public health sectors exerts a strong selective pressure on resistance genes in malaria vectors. Spatio-temporal models of favorable conditions for Anopheles species' presence were developed to estimate the probability of presence of malaria vectors and insecticide resistance in Lao PDR. These models were based on environmental and meteorological conditions, and demographic factors. GIS software was used to build and manage a spatial database with data collected from various geographic information providers. GIS was also used to build and run the models. Results showed that potential insecticide use and therefore the probability of resistance to insecticide is greater in the southwestern part of the country, specifically in Champasack province and where malaria incidence is already known to be high. These findings can help national authorities to implement targeted and effective vector control strategies for malaria prevention and elimination among populations most at risk. Results can also be used to focus the insecticide resistance surveillance in Anopheles mosquito populations in more restricted area, reducing the area of surveys, and making the implementation of surveillance system for Anopheles mosquito insecticide resistance possible.

### 1. Introduction

Malaria is still an important health issue in the Lao PDR (hereafter Laos). In 2010, 63% of the Lao population lived in rural areas and many were therefore directly affected by this mainly rural infectious disease [1]. Although malaria incidence in Laos decreased by 50% between



**Competing interests:** The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

2000 and 2010, the case incidence has increased since 2011, with 38,131 malaria confirmed cases in 2014 by the national authorities (CMPE, the Center for Malariology, Parasitology and Entomology, Ministry of Health, Lao PDR). Malaria is endemic in Laos, but highly heterogeneous, with more intense transmission in remote and forested areas: Malaria incidence is highest in southern Laos and lowest in the northern part of the country where transmission is sporadic and local (Fig 1) [2–3]. Malaria outbreaks have been observed since 2011 in the five southern provinces: Savannakhet, Saravane, Sekong, Champasack, and Attapeu (CMPE Malaria Information System, unpublished observations).

Malaria vector control in Laos was first initiated in 1953 with indoor residual spraying (IRS) of DDT twice a year at 2gm/m2 [4] and carried out along with extensive epidemiological and entomological surveys. IRS with DDT continued until the revolution in 1975 and was definitively banned in 1990. In Vientiane province mass drug administration (MDA) took place between 1969 and 1988, with a break between 1975 and 1977. After the ban of DDT and termination of the MDA program, insecticide-treated bed-nets (ITNs) and long lasting insecticidal nets (LLINs) were introduced in the 2000', first in Borikhamxay province, then in Luang Pra Bang, Saravane, Vientiane and Savannakhet provinces. According to the WHO, about





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177274.g001

280,000 LLINs were distributed to populations in 2014 hence representing a net coverage of 20% of the population [2].

Intensive use of insecticides by agricultural pest control and insecticide used in vector control may exert a strong selection pressure for resistance development in malaria vectors [5–9]. Insecticide resistance arises when the frequency of resistance genes in the mosquito population increases after being exposed to insecticides. The Asian market represents 22% of the global market of pesticides [4]. In northern Thailand, it was found that pesticides used for crop protection was significantly correlated with the presence of insecticide resistance in malaria vectors [10].

The risk of insecticide resistance in malaria vectors in South-East Asia represents a potential threat for malaria control and the achievements seen during recent years. Insecticide resistance in major malaria vectors have been detected in neighboring countries such as Cambodia, Vietnam, Thailand [11–12] and it is important for National Malaria Control Program to identify suitable areas for insecticide resistance emergence. This information is essential to implement national plans for insecticide resistance management.

In practice, it is difficult to assess favorable ecological conditions for malaria vector presence. The assessment of the spatial distribution of such favorable conditions is also difficult, especially when a spatial precision consistent with local actions of vector control is needed. Indeed, entomological surveys to assess mosquito presence cannot reasonably be conducted over a wide area. The statistical spatial representativeness of a collection point (i.e. the variability of the result in the neighborhood of a collection point) is difficult to assess and varies in space and time, and depends on species and environmental and climatic conditions. The presence and the abundance of the vector is dependent on many geographical, climatic, environmental and anthropogenic variables [13-20]. Results also vary considerably over time depending on seasonal, annual, and environmental conditions that influence the presence of these vectors. Most studies assessing mosquito vector presence and abundance do not exceed the village level [21]. All other studies generally use a model to estimate the probability of the potential presence or average abundance of the vector according to geographical and/ or environmental variables (land use, temperature, topography, vegetation, habitat, vector control actions, etc.) [22–25]. For example, the MAP project website shows potential presence maps for many Anopheles complex species, derived from a Bayesian geostatistical modeling approach (http://www.map.ox.ac.uk/map/).

The objective of this work was to develop predictive spatial models that estimate with higher spatial accuracy the probability of presence of malaria vectors and insecticide resistance in Laos. Here a modeling approach with high spatial accuracy was used to estimates the location of favorable conditions for malaria vector presence and insecticide resistance, based on previous studies, expert knowledge of entomologists and agronomists and taking into account the vulnerability of people to these hazards [12] [19] [26–37]. The general model uses three sub-models, one to estimate areas where conditions are favorable for the development of vectors, the second to estimate the likelihood of insecticide resistance, and the third to estimate the vulnerability of the population to these hazards.

The main expected outcomes are accurate spatial distributions of risk of vulnerable human hosts being exposed to malaria vectors, risk of malaria vectors being exposed to insecticides and becoming resistant, and risk of vulnerable human hosts being exposed to potentially resistant malaria vectors. Accurate space-time distributions of favorable conditions for malaria vectors are also a valuable intermediate outcome. The results are expected to help public health authorities to improve insecticide resistance surveillance by targeting specific and sensitive areas.

### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Study area

This study comprises the whole Laos, but because land-use data from the northern part of Laos dates back to 2002 and data from 2014 are still not available, specific focus was put on seven central and southern provinces (Borikhamxay, Khammuane, Savannakhet, Champasack, Attapeu, Sekong and Saravane) (Fig 2). As previously mentioned, these provinces are at higher risk of malaria.

#### 2.2 Data collection and geodatabase

We used environmental and demographical data verified and managed by a geographic information system (GIS). Data on administrative borders (provinces, districts), village locations, demography, poverty, precipitation, temperature, relative humidity, land-use, hydrology, topography, malaria cases, and bed-net coverage were collected from various sources. Many of these factors potentially affect the presence of malaria vectors and development of insecticide resistance in mosquitoes. We only used already available environmental data, from local Lao administrative sources (for land-use, demography, and epidemiology) or international data providers (for climate, topography). The following data sets were acquired and used in the analysis:



Fig 2. Central and southern Lao provinces.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177274.g002

- Land-use from 2014 (Fig 3) was acquired from the Department of Land Management and Development (DALaM, Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry). The land use data were originally classified from remote sensing images (with a resolution of 5 m). The quality of these data was verified and any detected errors were corrected.
- Administrative borders and data on demographical characteristics and poverty were
  retrieved from the Lao DECIDE project (http://www.decide.la/en/), a follow-up of the "Lao
  Poverty Mapping and Socio-economic Atlas of Lao PDR project" (2006–2009). These projects were developed in the framework of a collaboration between Lao Statistics Bureau, Ministry of Natural resources and Environment, Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, and the
  Centre for Development and Environment at the University of Bern in Switzerland [38,39].
- The Lao Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry provided list and geographical coordinates of villages.
- Climate data such as monthly and annual temperature and rainfall averages were down-loaded from WorldClim—Global Climate Data (http://worldclim.org). The raster data have a resolution of 1 km<sup>2</sup>.
- The Center for Malaria Parasitology and Entomology (CMPE) provided data on malaria cases and bed-net coverage by district.



Fig 3. Land-use, 2014, central and southern Laos (from DALAM data). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177274.g003

 Digital Elevation Models were created with GIS at various scales (100 m, 200 m 400 m per pixel) from SRTM-4.0 raster data (Shuttle Radar Topographic Mission, NASA, 90 m per pixel. http://www2.jpl.nasa.gov/srtm/).

Data were integrated into a geodatabase as layers with attributes, each layer with detailed metadata. The GIS geodatabase contained administrative layers (locations of villages with information on the presence of health facilities, boundaries of villages with population, boundaries of districts and provinces), climate layers (temperature, precipitation, relative humidity), land-use (2002 and 2014), and epidemiological data on malaria. Bed-net coverage was given by district as number of people protected, percentage of people protected, number of bed-nets, and number of bed-net per person. Malaria epidemiological data by district included number of people tested by RDT, number of malaria confirmed cases, and number of deaths due to malaria. GIS database can be downloaded at http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.570063 [40].

#### 2.3 Data processing and modeling

Risk of *Anopheles* mosquito presence and insecticide resistance was estimated by cells in a grid covering the study area. The spatial definition of the grid (the size of the cells) is based on the objectives of the study (in term of shape and spatial accuracy) and the availability of environmental data needed to characterize the cells of the grid. Thus, to present results with enough precision to manage local phenomena, we created a  $10 \times 10$  km cell grid, giving a total of 2,836 cells for the whole country and 1,071 cells for the central and southern region (Fig 4).

We developed models combining variables describing these cells. Mean temperature, rainfall, altitude, percentage of surface for each land use type, total of malaria cases, total population were calculated for each grid cell by GIS process. The vulnerability of the human population and its exposure to mosquito presence and potential insecticide resistance in mosquitoes were also estimated by these geographical units. Results were calculated for each grid cell. Results were also calculated for administrative units (village boundaries and districts) by spatial integration from cells.

We used maps to show the results of spatial distributions. We present maps using smoothed trend surface from interpolation rather than results by cells in order to give greater readability.

Three sub-models (Table 1) have been developed and are used for the models for risk evaluation (Table 2: risk of vulnerable human hosts being exposed to malaria vectors, risk of malaria vectors being exposed to insecticides and becoming resistant, and risk of vulnerable human hosts being exposed to potentially resistant malaria vectors): 1) a sub-model of *Anopheles* presence probability from favorable environmental conditions for *Anopheles* mosquitoes; 2) a submodel of insecticide presence probability, from suitable conditions for insecticide use; 3) a sub-model to estimate the vulnerability of the human population.

**2.3.1 Sub-model 1: Probability of** *Anopheles* **presence, from favorable environmental conditions.** This sub-model aims to estimate the space-time distribution of favorable environmental conditions for the three primary malaria vectors in Laos: *An. dirus* s.l., *An. minimus* s.1. and *An. maculatus* s.l. The model is based on the following environmental factors only: land use, climate (temperature and rainfall), and topography. Knowledge from literature and experts was used to assess relationships between environmental variables and their suitability for the development of malaria vectors.

**2.3.1.1. Land use:** In Southeast Asia, land use is considered as the major environmental factor influencing *Anopheles* distribution, followed by temperature and precipitation [13–14]. Tropical forests, forest fringes, and areas around wetlands and water bodies are favorable areas for *Anopheles* mosquitoes [30], but *Anopheles* can also be found close to agricultural lands such



Fig 4. Grid of 10×10 km cells as geographical units.

as rice paddy fields, wood cultures, and orchards [14][41]. To quantify the suitability of each land use type *i* for the presence of each species, a weight  $a_i$ , from 0 to 10 was given to each land use type (Table 3, established from literature review and expert knowledge).

A geo-aggregation by cells from the land use layer was performed in GIS to calculate the proportional cell area,  $sp_i$ , for each land use type  $i(\sum_i sp_i = 1)$ . We gave the cell a value Y1 (varying from 0 to 1) as a weighted mean (with weights  $a_i$ ) of these  $sp_i$  values. The three species

Table 1.	Three sub-models have been developed from environmental, climatic and demographic
data.	

Sub-models	Based on			
Y4: Probability of Anopheles mosquito presence	Y1: Presence, based on land use			
	Y2: Presence, based on temperature			
	Y3: Presence, based on rainfall and topography			
Y7: Probability of insecticide use	Y5: Insecticide use based on land use			
	Y6: Density of ITNs			
Y10: Vulnerability of the human population	Y8: Human population density and poverty			
	Y9: Inverse density of ITNs			

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177274.t001

PLOS ONE

Table 2. R	lisk evaluation	models build	on the top o	f the three sub	-models Y4,	Y7, Y10.
------------	-----------------	--------------	--------------	-----------------	-------------	----------

Models for Risk evaluation	Components		
		on	
Y11: Risk of vulnerable human hosts being exposed to malaria vectors	Probability of <i>Anopheles</i> mosquito presence × Vulnerability of the human population	Y4, Y10	
Y12: Risk of malaria vectors being exposed to insecticides and becoming resistant	Probability of <i>Anopheles</i> mosquito presence × Probability of insecticide use	Y4, Y7	
Y13: Risk of vulnerable human hosts being exposed to potentially resistant malaria vectors	Resistance risk × Population vulnerability	Y12, Y10	

(*An. dirus, An. maculatus* and *An. minimus*) have slightly different land-use preferences (as shown in Table 3), so three weighted values were calculated per cell:

$$Y1[species] = \frac{1}{10} \sum_{i} a_{i} sp_{i} \text{ where } a_{i} = \text{weight of land} - \text{use type i for the species}$$
$$sp_{i} = (\text{area of land} - \text{use type } i\text{in the cell})/(\text{total area of cell})$$

**2.3.1.2. Temperature and precipitation:** Mosquitoes, as all insects, are ectothermic, i.e. dependent on external temperatures. At critical minimum temperatures, development stops and mosquitoes die or become inactive. As temperatures increase, development and survival increase, until an optimum is reached. As temperatures increase further, insects respond negatively until critical maximum temperatures cause mosquito populations to crash [42]. Optimal temperatures vary from species to species. An operative range for mosquito larval development and survival was assessed for *An. stephensi* in experimental incubators resulting in a critical minimum temperature of 15°C and a critical maximum temperature of 36°C, with optimum temperatures between 22–33°C [43]. Since no detailed studies on vector species in Laos or Southeast Asia could be found, the above critical and optimum temperatures were used. Monthly temperature and precipitation averages were used to reflect seasonal variations; results have been produced by month.

Equation Y2 is a probability model for mosquito presence from monthly mean temperatures,  $T_{Month}$ , using a linear piecewise function:

$$Y2[Month] = 0$$
 if  $T_{Month < u_1}$ 

$$Y2[Month] = \frac{T_{Month} - u_1}{u_2 - u_1} \text{ if } u_1 \leq T_{Month} < u_2$$

$$Y2[Month] = 1 if u_2 \le T_{Month} < u_3$$

$$Y2[Month] = \frac{u_4 - T_{Month}}{u_4 - u_3} \text{ if } u_3 \le T_{Month} < u_4$$

$$Y2[Month] = 0 \text{ if } T_{Month} \ge u_4$$

with u1 = 15°C, u2 = 28°C, u3 = 33°C, u4 = 36°C.

Water is essential for mosquito development. All immature stages of mosquitoes are aquatic. A minimum amount of rainfall is needed to create breeding habitats, but too heavy rainfalls will destroy habitats and flush out eggs and larvae. We assumed limited breeding and mosquito production with precipitation below 40 millimeters of mean rainfall per month, with Table 3. Estimation of likelihood of presence of *An. dirus* s.l., *An. maculatus* s.l., and *An. minimus* s.l. and insecticide use for agriculture, in different land-use types. Scores for mosquito presence are from 0 to 10, with 0 the lowest and 10 the highest. Scores for insecticide use is from 1 to 4, with 1 the lowest and 4 the highest insecticide use. Land use types and codes are taken from the land use classification used by the Ministry of Agriculture.

Interm         An. maculatus         An. minimus         Reference*           11         Evergren Forest         10         7         8         [13,14,26,33-37]         1           15         Dry Dipercoarp Forest         10         7         8         [13,14,26,33-37]         1           16         Galley Forest         10         7         8         [13,14,26,33-37]         1           17         Conferous forest         1         1         1         [13,14,26,33-37]         1           18         Maxed Mend-Lawed Forest         10         9         9         [13,14,26,33-37]         1           19         Forest Plantation         6         7         7         [13,14,26,33-37]         1           191         Rubber         8         6         8         [00,39]         2           192         Eucalytus         5         5         5         2         2           193         Kathin nalong wood         6         6         6         1         1           193         Aquilaria crassna wood         8         6         8         3         3           21         Barboo Forest         7         7         [13,14,26,33-37] <th>Code</th> <th>Land use type</th> <th colspan="4">Mosquito presence</th> <th>Insecticide use<sup>b</sup></th>	Code	Land use type	Mosquito presence				Insecticide use <sup>b</sup>
Int         Evergreen Forest         10         7         8         [13,14,26,33-37]         1           13         Mixed deciduous Forest         10         7         8         [13,14,26,33-37]         1           15         Dry Diplerocary Forest         3         9         10         [13,14,26,33-37]         1           16         Gallery Forest         3         9         10         [13,14,26,33-37]         1           17         Conferous forest         10         9         9         [13,14,26,33-37]         1           18         Mixed Broat-Leaved Forest         10         9         9         [13,14,26,33-37]         1           19         Forest Plantation         6         7         7         [13,14,26,33-37]         1           194         Euculyptus         5         5         5         2         2         1           194         Euculyptus         6         6         6         6         1         1           194         Plateau         2         2         2         1         1           194         Plateau         2         7         7         [13,14,26,33-57,38]         3           21			An. dirus	An. maculatus	An. minimus	References <sup>a</sup>	
13         Mixed deciduous Forest         10         7         8         [13,14,28,33-97]         1           15         Galery Forest         3         9         10         [13,14,28,33-97]         1           17         Conferous forest         1         1         1         [23,14,26,32-97]         1           18         Mixed fordu-Laewed Forest         10         9         9         [13,14,26,32-97]         1           19         Forest Plantation         6         7         7         [13,14,26,33-97]         1           19         Rubber         8         6         8         [30,38]         2           19         Rubber         8         6         8         [30,38]         2           10         Rubpart         7         7         [13,14,26,33-97]         1           11         Test wood         6         6         6         1         1           12         Ustocked Forest         7         7         7         [13,14,26,33-97]         1           13         Savana         1         1         1         1         1         1           14         Platou         2         2         2	11	Evergreen Forest	10	7	8	[13,14,26,33-37]	1
15Dy Djarecora Forest1078[13,14,26,33-97,1]117Conferous forest111[28,29-37,1]118Mixed Broad-Leaved Forest1099[13,14,26,33-97]118Mixed Broad-Leaved Forest1099[13,14,26,33-97]118Rubber868[30,38]2191Rubber868[30,38]2192Eucalyptus55611194Tesk wood66611194Tesk wood66611194Tesk wood6683321Bamboo Forest777[13,14,26,33-37]122Unstocked Forest1111131Savannah1111131Savannah1111134Other Agriculture33[13,26]22421Cassava114[37]11422Sugarcane114[37]11423Morind citrifola11144424Coffee222[37]4425Sugarcane11144426Hibscurs abdarffa1114	13	Mixed deciduous Forest	10	7	8	[13,14,26,33-37]	1
16         Callery Forest         3         9         10         [13,14,28,32-37,41]         1           17         Conterous forest         10         9         9         [13,14,28,32-37]         1           18         Mixed Bread-Leaved Forest         10         9         9         [13,14,28,33-37]         1           19         Forest Plantation         6         7         7         [13,14,28,33-37]         1           19         Forest Plantation         6         6         8         [30,36]         2           192         Eucalyptus         5         5         5	15	Dry Dipterocarp Forest	10	7	8	[13,14,26,33-37]	1
17         Conferous forest         1         1         1         1         1         1         1           18         Mixed Broad-Leaved Forest         10         9         9         [13,14,26,33-37]         1           191         Forest Plantalion         6         7         7         [13,14,26,33-37]         1           191         Rubber         8         6         8         [30,36]         2           192         Eucalyptus         5         5         5         5         2           193         Kathin halong wood         6         6         6         1         1           194         Teak wood         6         6         6         8         2         2           195         Applataic arcssna wood         8         6         8         8         2         2         1         1           194         Teak wood         7         7         [13,14,26,33-37]         1         1           22         Unstocked Forest         1         1         1         1         1         1           33         Sarannah         1         1         1         1         1         1         1 </td <td>16</td> <td>Gallery Forest</td> <td>3</td> <td>9</td> <td>10</td> <td>[13,14,26,32-37,41]</td> <td>1</td>	16	Gallery Forest	3	9	10	[13,14,26,32-37,41]	1
18Mixed Broad-Leaved Forest1099[13,14,26,33-37]119Forest Plantation6713,14,26,33-37]1191Rubber868[30,6]2192Eucalyptus55552193Katin nalong wood66611194Teak wood66633195Aquilatia crassna wood86633120Instocked Forest111[13,14,26,33-37]11221Unstocked Forest111[13,14,26,33-37]11232Scrubland2221124Plateau222[13,14,26,33-37]325Scrubland44431124Plateau22[13,14,26,33-37,38]3325Scrubland11111126Scrubland177[13,14,26,33-37,38]3326Scrubland11111127Scrubland177[13,14,26,33-37,38]3327Scrubland111111128Scrubland1111111111111111	17	Coniferous forest	1	1	1	[26,29-37]	1
19Forest Plantation677[13,14,26,33-37]1191Rubber868[80,36]2193Kathin nalong wood65532193Teak wood6666611194Teak wood66633195Aguilaria crassna wood86863321Bamboo Forest777[13,14,26,33-37]122111[13,14,26,33-37]1123Strubckad Forest1111134Plateau2221135Strubland11111141Rice Paddy277[13,14,26,33-37,38]33411Irrigate Paddy177[13,14,26,33-37,38]33411Irrigate Paddy177[13,14,26,33-37,38]33411Irrigate Paddy177[13,14,26,33-37,38]331423Sugarcane114[37]114424Orifee222[37]11425Maizo1114444426Orifee22[37]114427Bastar Cardanom11<	18	Mixed Broad-Leaved Forest	10	9	9	[13,14,26,33-37]	1
191Hubber868[80,36]2192Eucalyplus55552193Kathinalong wood66611194Teak wood66682195Aquilaria crasena wood8683321Barboo Forest777[13,14,26,33-37]122Unstocked Forest1111124Plateau2221132Scrubland444[31]1132Scrubland444[31]1134Plateau177[13,14,26,33-37,38]3342Other Agriculture333[13,22]22421Cassava114[37]11422Sugarcane114[37]11423Morinda citribia111422424Coffee222[37]22425Maize111222426Hibicsus satdarifa11122426Hibicsus satdarifa11122427Bastard Cardamom11144439Morinda citribia11 <td>19</td> <td>Forest Plantation</td> <td>6</td> <td>7</td> <td>7</td> <td>[13,14,26,33-37]</td> <td>1</td>	19	Forest Plantation	6	7	7	[13,14,26,33-37]	1
192Evcalyptism55512193Kathin nalong wood66666611194Teak wood66666833195Apuliania cassna wood88668833195Bamboo Forest11113.14.26.33-37]1124Diatocked Forest11113.14.26.33-37]124Plateau2221131Savanah1111111Iriged Paddy277[13.14.26.33-37].8]341Nice Paddy277[13.14.26.33-37].8]341Nice Paddy277[13.14.26.33-37].8]341Nice Paddy277[13.14.26.33-37].8]341Nice Paddy277[13.14.26.33-37].8]341Iriged Paddy114[37]141Iriged Paddy114[37]142Cassava114[37]142Gassava114[37]142Gassava1112242Morida citrífola111242Coffee22[37]4443Mize111224411 <td>191</td> <td>Rubber</td> <td>8</td> <td>6</td> <td>8</td> <td>[30,36]</td> <td>2</td>	191	Rubber	8	6	8	[30,36]	2
193Kathin along wood6661194Teak wood66622195Aguilaria crassna wood868321Bamboo Forest771[3,14,26,33-37]122Unstocked Forest1111123Banbao Forest1111124Pletau2221131Savannah11111132Scrubland44[31]1134Rice Paddy277[13,14,26,33-37,3]3411Irigated Paddy177[13,14,26,33-37,3]3412Sugarcane114[37]1422Sugarcane114[37]1423Moirda citrifolia114[37]1424Coffee222[37]2425Maize11122426Hibiscus sabdariffa1112427Bastard Cardamon11122428Cotton11144439Mana11144431Job's Tear11144431Job's Tear11144 <tr< td=""><td>192</td><td>Eucalyptus</td><td>5</td><td>5</td><td>5</td><td></td><td>2</td></tr<>	192	Eucalyptus	5	5	5		2
194Teak wood6662195Aquilaria crassna wood8688311Bamboo Forest777[13,14,26,33-37]122Unstocked Forest1111124Plateau2221133Savannah11111132Scrubland444[31]1134Nice Paddy277[13,14,26,33-37,38]33411Irigate Paddy277[13,14,26,33-37,38]33411Irigate Paddy333[13,14,26,33-37,38]33420Other Agriculture333[13,14,26,33-37,38]31421Cassava114[37]11422Sugarcane114[37]11423Sugarcane114[37]11424Coffee222[37]22425Maize111422426Maize11142427Bastard Cardamom11122428Coton11144431Job's Tear11144435Sweet Potato<	193	Kathin nalong wood	6	6	6		1
195Aquilaria crassna wood8689921Bambo Forest77[13,14,26,33-37]124Instocked Forest111[13,14,26,33-37]124Plateau2221121Savannah1111123Scrubland444444[31]141Rice Paddy277[13,14,26,33-37,38]3411Irrigated Paddy177[13,14,26,33-37,38]342Oker Agriculture333[13,26]2421Cassava114[37]1422Sugarcane114[37]1423Morind citrolia114[37]1424Coffee222[37]2425Maize11142426Morind citrolia1114427Stasard Cardanom1114428Cotlon1114430Molbery222[37]44434Banan1114431Job's Tear1114432Coconut11134434Banana11134435Sw	194	Teak wood	6	6	6		2
21Bambo Frest77(13.14.26.33-37)(122Unstocked Forest11(13.14.26.33-37)123Savannah22222131Savannah111132Scrubland4444(31)134Rice Pady177(13.14.26.33-37.38)341Iringated Pady177(13.14.26.33-37.38)342Other Agriculture33333(13.26)2421Cassava1114(37)1422Sigarane11114(37)1423Morinda citrifolia1111142424Coffee222(37)22425Maize1111122426Hibicus sabdariffa111112427Bastard Cardamom111112428Coton1111122430Muberry2222[37]4431Job's Tear111114434Banan111114435Sweet Potab111113436Groundnut111113437Job's Tear123332(6.33.37)4438Gordel1111 </td <td>195</td> <td>Aquilaria crassna wood</td> <td>8</td> <td>6</td> <td>8</td> <td></td> <td>3</td>	195	Aquilaria crassna wood	8	6	8		3
22Unstocked Forest111[13,14,26,33-37]124Plateau22221131Savannah1111131Scrublard4444[31]1141Rice Paddy277[13,14,26,33-37,38]3411Irrigated Paddy277[13,14,26,33-37,38]3411Irrigated Paddy333[13,26]2421Cassava114[37]1422Sugarcane114[37]1423Morinda citrifolia114[37]1424Coffee22[37]22425Maize11142426Hibiscus sabdariffa11122427Bastard Cardamom11122430Muberry222[37]4431Job's Fear11144434Banan11144435Sweel Potato11144436Groundrut11134437Citrus233[26,33,37]4438Sweel Potato11111440Other Fuittree23<	21	Bamboo Forest	7	7	7	[ <u>13,14,26,33</u> – <u>37</u> ]	1
24Plateau2221131Savanah11111131Savanah444[3]1141Rice Paddy277[13,14,26,33-37,38]33411Irigated Paddy177[13,14,26,33-37,38]3342Other Agriculture3333[13,26]2242Sugarcane114[37]11423Sugarcane114[37]11424Coffee222[37]22425Maize111122426Hibiscus sabdariffa111122427Bastard Cardamom1112212428Coton1111224431Job's Tear111144434Banan1111333323437Citrus2333[26,33,37]44434Banan1111334434Banan1111133437Citrus233[26,33,37]44440 <td>22</td> <td>Unstocked Forest</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>[13,14,26,33-37]</td> <td>1</td>	22	Unstocked Forest	1	1	1	[13,14,26,33-37]	1
31Savanah1111132Scrubland444444[31]132Scrubland4277[13,14,26,33-37,38]3411Irigated Paddy177[13,14,26,33-37,38]342Other Agriculture333[13,26]2421Cassava1144[37]1422Sugarcane114[37]1423Morinda citrifolia11142424Coffee222[37]2425Maize11142426Hibisous sabdariffa11142427Bastard Cardamorn1111122428Coton11142429Tea11144430Mubery222[37]4431Job's Tear11144434Banan11144435Sweet Potato11124440Other Fruit tree233[26,33,37]4441Palm1111151Rocked1111152Grassland242[29,30]1 </td <td>24</td> <td>Plateau</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td>1</td>	24	Plateau	2	2	2		1
Scrubland444(31)141Rice Paddy277(13,14,26,33-37,38)3411Irrigate Paddy177(13,14,26,33-37,38)342Other Agriculture333(13,26)2421Cassava114(37)1422Sugarcane114(37)1423Morinda citrifolia114(37)1424Coffee222(37)2425Maize11142426Hibiscus sabdariffa11142427Bastard Cardamom11122428Coton11122430Mulberry222(37)4431Job's Tear11144432Coconut11144434Banana11144435Sweet Potato111332436Groundnut111111440Palm111111451Sweet Potato111111454Banana111111454Banana111<	31	Savannah	1	1	1		1
41Rice Paddy277 $[13,14,26,33-37,38]$ 3411Irrigated Paddy177 $[13,14,26,33-37,38]$ 342Other Agriculture333 $[13,26]$ 2421Cassava114 $[37]$ 1422Sugarcane114 $[37]$ 1423Morinda citrifolia114 $[37]$ 1424Coffee222 $[37]$ 2425Maize11122426Hibiscus sabdariffa1112427Bastard Cardamom11122428Cotton11122430Mulberry222 $[37]$ 4431Job's Tear11144434Banana11144435Sweet Potato11144436Groundnut11113437Citrus233 $[26,33,37]$ 4440Other Fruit tree233 $[26,33,37]$ 4451Palm11111511Rocked11111522Grassland242 $[29,30]$ 1534Wetlands410 <td>32</td> <td>Scrubland</td> <td>4</td> <td>4</td> <td>4</td> <td>[31]</td> <td>1</td>	32	Scrubland	4	4	4	[31]	1
411       Irigatel Paddy       1       7       7       [13,14,26,33-37,38]       3         42       Other Agriculture       3       3       3       [13,26]       2         421       Cassava       1       1       4       [37]       1         422       Sugarcane       1       1       4       [37]       1         423       Morinda citrifolia       1       1       1       4       [37]       2         424       Coffee       2       2       2       [37]       2         425       Maize       1       1       1       4         427       Bastard Cardarmom       1       1       1       2         428       Cotton       11       1       1       2       2         429       Tea       1       1       1       2       2         430       Mulberry       2       2       2       [37]       4         431       Job's Tear       1       1       1       4       4         434       Banana       1       1       1       1       2       4         435       Sweet Potato	41	Rice Paddy	2	7	7	[13,14,26,33-37,38]	3
42Other Agriculture333 $[13,26]$ 2 $421$ Cassava114 $[37]$ 1 $422$ Sugarcane114 $[37]$ 1 $423$ Morinda citrifolia1114 $[37]$ 1 $424$ Coffee222 $[37]$ 2 $425$ Maize11124 $426$ Hibiscus sabdariffa1114 $427$ Bastard Cardamom1112 $428$ Cotton1112 $429$ Tea1112 $430$ Mulberry222 $[37]$ 4 $431$ Job's Tear1114 $432$ Coconut11144 $434$ Banana11144 $435$ Sweet Potato11124 $440$ Other Fruit tree233 $[26,33,37]$ 4 $441$ Palm11111 $51$ Rocked11111 $52$ Grassland242 $[29,30]$ 1 $54$ Urban area000011 $54$ Urban area000011	411	Irrigated Paddy	1	7	7	[13,14,26,33-37,38]	3
421       Cassava       1       1       4       [37]       1         422       Sugarcane       1       1       4       [37]       1         423       Morinda citrifolia       1       1       1       4       [37]       1         423       Morinda citrifolia       1       1       1       1       4       4         424       Coffee       2       2       2       [37]       2       2         425       Maize       1       1       1       2       1       1       1       2       2       2       3       3       3       3       1 </td <td>42</td> <td>Other Agriculture</td> <td>3</td> <td>3</td> <td>3</td> <td>[13,26]</td> <td>2</td>	42	Other Agriculture	3	3	3	[13,26]	2
422Sugarcane114[37]1423Morinda citrifolia1114424Coffee222[37]2425Maize11122426Hibiscus sabdariffa1112427Bastard Cardamom11122428Cotton11122429Tea11122430Mulberry222[37]4431Job's Tear11144434Banana11144435Sweet Potato11142440Other Fruit tree233[26,33,37]4441Palm1111151Rocked1111151Pastures242[29,30]152Grassland242[29,30]153Wetlands4108[29,30]154Urbanarea00011	421	Cassava	1	1	4	[37]	1
423Morinda citrifolia1114 $424$ Coffee222[37]2 $425$ Maize1112 $426$ Hibiscus sabdariffa1114 $427$ Bastard Cardamom1112 $428$ Cotton1112 $429$ Tea1112 $430$ Mulberry222[37]4 $431$ Job's Tear1114 $434$ Banana1114 $434$ Banana1114 $435$ Sweet Potato1112 $440$ Other Fruit tree233[26,33,37]4 $441$ Palm11111 $51$ Rocked11111 $52$ Grassland242[29,30]1 $53$ Wetlands4108[29,30]1 $54$ Urban area00011	422	Sugarcane	1	1	4	[37]	1
424Coffee222[ $37$ ]2 $425$ Maize1112 $426$ Hibiscus sabdariffa1114 $427$ Bastard Cardamom1112 $428$ Cotton1112 $429$ Tea1112 $430$ Mulberry222 $[37]$ 4 $431$ Job's Tear1114 $432$ Coconut1114 $434$ Banana1114 $435$ Sweet Potato1114 $436$ Groundnut1113 $437$ Citrus233[ $26,33,37$ ]4 $441$ Palm11111 $51$ Rocked11111 $52$ Grassland242[ $29,30$ ]1 $53$ Wetlands4108[ $29,30$ ]1 $54$ Urban area00011	423	Morinda citrifolia	1	1	1		4
425Maize1112 $426$ Hibiscus sabdariffa1114 $427$ Bastard Cardamom1112 $428$ Cotton1112 $429$ Tea1112 $429$ Tea1112 $430$ Mulberry222[37]4 $431$ Job's Tear1114 $432$ Coconut1114 $434$ Banana1114 $435$ Sweet Potato1114 $436$ Groundnut1113 $437$ Citrus233[26,33,37]4 $441$ Palm11111 $51$ Rocked11111 $52$ Grassland242[29,30]1 $53$ Wetlands4108[29,30]1 $54$ Urban area00011 $542$ Lenderticl00011	424	Coffee	2	2	2	[37]	2
426Hibiscus sabdariffa1114 $427$ Bastard Cardamom1112 $428$ Cotton1112 $429$ Tea1112 $430$ Mulberry222[37]4 $431$ Job's Tear1114 $432$ Coconut1114 $432$ Coconut1114 $434$ Banana1114 $435$ Sweet Potato1112 $436$ Groundnut1113 $437$ Citrus233[26,33,37]4 $441$ Palm11111 $51$ Rocked11111 $52$ Grassland242[29,30]1 $51$ Vettands4108[29,30]1 $52$ Grassland4108[29,30]1 $53$ Wettands40001 $54$ Urban area00001 $540$ Interiction0001	425	Maize	1	1	1		2
427Bastard Cardamom1112 $428$ Cotton11112 $429$ Tea11112 $430$ Mulberry222[37]4 $431$ Job's Tear1114 $432$ Coconut1114 $434$ Banana1114 $434$ Banana1114 $435$ Sweet Potato1112 $436$ Groundnut1113 $437$ Citrus233[26,33,37]4 $440$ Other Fruit tree233[26,33,37]4 $411$ Palm11111 $51$ Rocked11111 $52$ Grassland242[29,30]1 $53$ Wetlands4108[29,30]1 $54$ Urban area00011	426	Hibiscus sabdariffa	1	1	1		4
428Cotton1112 $429$ Tea1112 $430$ Mulberry222 $[37]$ 4 $431$ Job's Tear1114 $432$ Coconut1114 $434$ Banana1114 $435$ Sweet Potato1114 $436$ Groundnut1112 $437$ Citrus233 $[26,33,37]$ 4 $440$ Other Fruit tree233 $[26,33,37]$ 4 $441$ Palm11111 $51$ Rocked11111 $52$ Grassland242 $[29,30]$ 1 $53$ Wetlands4108 $[29,30]$ 1 $54$ Urban area00011 $642$ Lemetric0001	427	Bastard Cardamom	1	1	1		2
429Tea1112 $430$ Mulberry222 $[37]$ 4 $431$ Job's Tear1114 $432$ Coconut1114 $434$ Banana1114 $435$ Sweet Potato1112 $436$ Groundnut1113 $437$ Citrus233 $[26,33,37]$ 4 $440$ Other Fruit tree233 $[26,33,37]$ 4 $441$ Palm11111 $51$ Rocked11111 $52$ Grassland242 $[29,30]$ 1 $53$ Wetlands4108 $[29,30]$ 1 $54$ Urban area00001 $542$ Lemetricl0001	428	Cotton	1	1	1		2
430Mulberry222 $[37]$ 4431Job's Tear1114432Coconut1114434Banana1114435Sweet Potato1114436Groundnut1112436Groundnut1113437Citrus233 $[26,33,37]$ 4440Other Fruit tree233 $[26,33,37]$ 4441Palm1111151Rocked1111152Grassland242 $[29,30]$ 153Wetlands4108 $[29,30]$ 154Urban area00011542Lemetery11111	429	Теа	1	1	1		2
431Job's Tear1114432Coconut11114434Banana11114435Sweet Potato1112436Groundnut1113437Citrus233 $[26,33,37]$ 4440Other Fruit tree233 $[26,33,37]$ 4441Palm1111151Rocked1111152Grassland242 $[29,30]$ 153Wetlands4108 $[29,30]$ 154Urban area00011	430	Mulberry	2	2	2	[37]	4
432Coconut1114 $434$ Banana11114 $435$ Sweet Potato11112 $436$ Groundnut11113 $437$ Citrus233 $[26,33,37]$ 4 $440$ Other Fruit tree233 $[26,33,37]$ 4 $441$ Palm11111 $51$ Rocked11111 $52$ Grassland242 $[29,30]$ 1 $52$ Pastures242 $[29,30]$ 1 $53$ Wetlands4108 $[29,30]$ 1 $54$ Urban area00011 $54$ Inducticial0001	431	Job's Tear	1	1	1		4
434Banana1114 $435$ Sweet Potato11112 $436$ Groundnut11113 $437$ Citrus233 $[26,33,37]$ 4 $440$ Other Fruit tree233 $[26,33,37]$ 4 $441$ Palm11111 $51$ Rocked11111 $52$ Grassland242 $[29,30]$ 1 $521$ Pastures242 $[29,30]$ 1 $53$ Wetlands4108 $[29,30]$ 1 $54$ Urban area0001 $54$ Lemetery11111	432	Coconut	1	1	1		4
435Sweet Potato1112 $436$ Groundnut11113 $437$ Citrus233 $[26,33,37]$ 4 $440$ Other Fruit tree233 $[26,33,37]$ 4 $441$ Palm11111 $51$ Rocked11111 $52$ Grassland242 $[29,30]$ 1 $521$ Pastures242 $[29,30]$ 1 $53$ Wetlands4108 $[29,30]$ 1 $54$ Urban area0001 $541$ Cemetery11111	434	Banana	1	1	1		4
436       Groundnut       1       1       1       3         437       Citrus       2       3       3       [26,33,37]       4         440       Other Fruit tree       2       3       3       [26,33,37]       4         441       Palm       1       1       1       1       1         51       Rocked       1       1       1       1       1         51       Rocked       1       1       1       1       1         52       Grassland       2       4       2       [29,30]       1         52       Hatures       2       4       2       [29,30]       1         53       Wetlands       4       10       8       [29,30]       1         54       Urban area       0       0       0       1       1         541       Cemetery       1       1       1       1       1	435	Sweet Potato	1	1	1		2
437       Citrus       2       3       3       [26,33,37]       4         440       Other Fruit tree       2       3       3       [26,33,37]       4         441       Palm       1       1       1       1       1         51       Rocked       1       1       1       1       1         51       Rocked       1       1       1       1       1         52       Grassland       2       4       2       [29,30]       1         52       Pastures       2       4       2       [29,30]       1         53       Wetlands       4       10       8       [29,30]       1         54       Urban area       0       0       0       1       1         541       Cemetery       1       1       1       1       1	436	Groundnut	1	1	1		3
440       Other Fruit tree       2       3       3       [26,33,37]       4         441       Palm       1       1       1       1       1       1         51       Rocked       1       1       1       1       1       1         52       Grassland       2       4       2       [29,30]       1       1         52       Pastures       2       4       2       [29,30]       1       1         53       Wetlands       4       10       8       [29,30]       1       1         54       Urban area       0       0       0       1       1       1       1         541       Cemetery       1       1       1       1       1       1       1	437	Citrus	2	3	3	[26,33,37]	4
441Palm111151Rocked1111152Grassland242[29,30]1521Pastures242[29,30]153Wetlands4108[29,30]154Urban area0001541Cemetery1111542Industrial0001	440	Other Fruit tree	2	3	3	[26,33,37]	4
51       Rocked       1       1       1       1         52       Grassland       2       4       2       [29,30]       1         521       Pastures       2       4       2       [29,30]       1         53       Wetlands       4       10       8       [29,30]       1         54       Urban area       0       0       0       1       1         541       Cemetery       1       1       1       1       1	441	Palm	1	1	1		1
52         Grassland         2         4         2         [29,30]         1           521         Pastures         2         4         2         [29,30]         1           53         Wetlands         4         10         8         [29,30]         1           54         Urban area         0         0         0         1         1           541         Cemetery         1         1         1         1         1	51	Rocked	1	1	1		1
521       Pastures       2       4       2       [29,30]       1         53       Wetlands       4       10       8       [29,30]       1         54       Urban area       0       0       0       1         541       Cemetery       1       1       1       1         542       Industrial       0       0       1       1	52	Grassland	2	4	2	[29,30]	1
53         Wetlands         4         10         8         [29,30]         1           54         Urban area         0         0         0         1           541         Cemetery         1         1         1         1           542         Industrial         0         0         0         1	521	Pastures	2	4	2	[29,30]	1
54         Urban area         0         0         0         1           541         Cemetery         1         1         1         1           542         Industrial         0         0         0         1	53	Wetlands	4	10	8	[29,30]	1
541         Cemetery         1         1         1         1           542         Industrial         0         0         0         1         1	54	Urban area	0	0	0		1
	541	Cemetery	1	1	1		1
	542	Industrial	0	0	0		1

(Continued)

PLOS ONE

#### Table 3. (Continued)

Code	Land use type		Insecticide use <sup>b</sup>			
		An. dirus	An. maculatus	An. minimus	References <sup>a</sup>	
543	Mine	1	1	1		1
544	Airport	1	1	1		1
545	Stadium (Any Sport)	1	1	1		1
546	Waste dump	1	1	1		1
547	National Protection	10	10	10		1
548	Culture Zone	1	1	1		2
55	Island	4	10	8		1
550	Other concession	1	1	1		1
61	Water body	6	10	8	[29,30]	1
611	Reservoir	2	2	2	[29,30]	1
612	Irrigated area	1	7	7	[29,30]	1
613	Flood area	2	2	2	[29,30]	1
2104	Unpaved Road	1	1	1		1
21011	Paved Road	0	0	0		1
21021	Street Town	0	0	0		1

<sup>a</sup> References were used as support to assess presence likelihood. If no reference given, presence assessed by authors.

<sup>b</sup> Scoring based on authors' assessment, mainly based on Table 7 in [12].

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177274.t003

a progressively increasing likelihood of production until 400 millimeters, and decreasing above.

Equation Y3a is the probability model for mosquito presence from monthly mean rainfall  $R_{Month}$ . We use again a linear piecewise function:

$$Y3a[Month] = 0$$
 if  $R_{Month < v_1}$ 

$$Y3a[Month] = \frac{R_{Month} - v_1}{v_2 - v_1} \text{ if } v_1 \le R_{Month} < v_2$$

$$Y3a[Month] = \frac{v_3 - R_{Month}}{v_3 - v_2} \text{ if } v_2 \leq R_{Month} < v_3$$

$$Y3a[Month] = 0$$
 if  $R_{Month} \ge v_3$ 

For monthly mean precipitation: v1 = 40 mm, v2 = 400 mm, v3 = 800 mm.

**2.3.1.3. Topography:** Altitude influences vector density and vector human contact [44]. Altitude is already considered in the model, as temperature is strongly correlated with altitude. Topography also influences the availability and occurrence of larval habitat with sites found commonly in foothills where rainwater can accumulate, next to streams or in the beds of ravines [13]. Using a Digital elevation model (SRTM-4, 90 m resolution), a topographic index (TOPMODEL, based on the amount of water each cell may receive from upstream [45]) was used with GIS to characterize these areas (Fig 5). In each cell, Y3 was calculated from Y3 and this topographic index to consider the capacity of the cell not only to receive but also to accumulate water.

**2.3.1.4. Synthesis:** Land use is a major factor influencing mosquito presence but temperature and precipitation are necessary for mosquito development: our model for the favorable



Fig 5. Topographic index TOPMODEL derived from DEM SRTM-4 (90 m resolution). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177274.g005

conditions for the presence of *Anopheles* mosquitoes need to be a multiplicative relationship between these three parameters.

The final sub-model for the presence of *Anopheles* mosquitoes from environmental favorable conditions (Y4) is therefore:

$$Y4[Month, Species] = Y1[Month] \times Y2[Month] \times Y3[Species]$$

Depending on the purpose of the study, either the mean or the maximum value over the months can be used, for each species. In the balance of this article, we will also use the mean of the probabilities for the three species, but all results can be obtained by month and specie. In the following equations, Y4 will refer to this value.

**2.3.2 Sub-model 2: Probability of insecticide use, from land-use and vector control.** Insecticide resistance development in mosquitoes depends on the level of exposure to insecticides. Mosquitoes are mainly exposed to insecticides from agriculture and/or from vector control activities, such as insecticide treated nets (ITNs)–including long lasting insecticide treated nets (LLINs)–or indoor residual spraying (IRS). This sub-model describes the probability of insecticide presence and the intensity of insecticide use. The same principles as the previous sub-model were applied. Weights  $b_i$  are given to land use types according to their potential

PLOS

insecticide use (Table 3), based on a previous study conducted in Thailand [12] and expert knowledge. Laos is similar to Thailand in terms of agricultural policies and use, although it may not be as pesticide-intensive as Thailand [4]. It is assumed that agricultural areas, particularly fruit orchards and other cash crops, will apply higher amounts of pesticides than other land uses. This is a pattern commonly seen in neighboring Thailand [10] [12]. We also used expert knowledge from agronomists to develop this sub-model.

First a weighted mean (varying from 0 to 1) was given to each cell:

$$Y5a = 1/4 \sum (b_i - 1)$$
sp<sub>i</sub> where  $b_i$  = weight of land use type i  
sp<sub>i</sub> = (area of land – use type *i* in the cell)/(total area of cell)

Leached pesticides are carried downstream following topography and rivers causing accumulation and a higher probability of insecticide presence. In each cell, Y5 was calculated from Y5a and the topographic index, to take into account the capacity of the cell to accumulate pesticides from upstream.

The number of distributed ITNs was only available by district. There are no data on where IRS campaigns were carried out. Density of ITN per person and per cell was calculated in proportion to the population of the cell. Population is available by village, and population of the cell was calculated using integration of villages in cells. Density of ITN per person was then converted to a value between 0 and 1 to reflect the increasing risk of resistance due to ITNs. We estimate that there is no risk of resistance if the density of ITN per person is lower than 0.05, linearly increasing from 0 to 1 until the density reach 0.5, and 1 if the density is greater than 0.5.

$$Y6 = 0 \text{ if } D < 0.05$$
$$Y6 = \frac{D - 0.05}{0.45} \text{ if } 0.05 \le D < 0.5$$
$$Y6 = 1 \text{ if } D \ge 0.5$$

where D is the number of ITN per person for the cell.

Y5 and Y6 are then combined to obtain the model of insecticide resistance risk (equation Y7). We assigned a greater impact of agricultural insecticides since the amounts of insecticides used in agriculture is much larger than what is used in impregnated bed-nets.

$$Y7 = 0.9Y5 + 0.1Y6$$

**2.3.3 Sub-model 3: Vulnerability of the human population.** The last sub-model concerns the vulnerability of the Lao population to the presence of malaria vectors. Vulnerability is evaluated independently of the threat: it is related to the factors potentially increasing or reducing susceptibility and local exposure to the threat, even if the threat is not present. Here we consider the vulnerability of each cell using only population density, population socio-economic level and bed net coverage in the cell.

We estimate that the vulnerability of the cell due to population density trend to 1 if population in the cell is superior to 10000 (i.e. if density is higher than 100 inhabitant/km<sup>2</sup>):

 $Y8a = (2/\pi) \arctan(P/5000)$  where P is the number of inhabitant in the cell

Poor socio-economic conditions are factors of vulnerably, as poor household condition often implies greater probability of potential exposure to mosquito [46,47]. As indicator of

poverty for the cell we use the percentage of population below poverty line inside the cell, derived from the percentage of population below poverty line by village, as calculated by the Lao DECIDE project from the 2005 Population and Housing Census [39]. The higher the poor population, the higher the vulnerability of the cell. We estimate that the vulnerability of the cell due to poor population density trend to 1 if poor population in the cell is superior to 2000:

 $Y8b = (2./\pi)$ × arctan(P/1000) where P is the number of inhabitant below poverty line in the cell

We take the mean of Y8a and Y8b as final estimation of vulnerability due to both population density and poor population density, giving more weight to poverty density:

$$Y8 = (Y8a + 3 \times Y8b)/4$$

ITNs and IRS may reduce population vulnerability since they are able to reduce the contact between humans and mosquito populations. The number of bed net per person from submodel 2 was used but reversing the score, i.e. the more mosquito bed nets per person, the more people are protected, and the less vulnerable is the cell. We limit the reducing factor Y9 to 0.25, even if bed net coverage is superior to 1 bednet for 2 people: not every people is using bednet even if they have one.

$$Y9 = 1 \text{ if } D < 0.05$$
$$Y9 = 1 - \frac{D - 0.05}{0.6} \text{ if } 0.05 \le D < 0.5$$
$$Y9 = 0.25 \text{ if } D \ge 0.5$$

where D is the number of ITN per person for the cell.

These two values are combined to obtain a final estimation of vulnerability for the cell (equation Y10):

$$Y10 = Y8 \times Y9$$

**2.3.4 Modeling risks-main models.** Modeling risks involve combining vulnerable hosts exposed to the threats, as follows:

- Risk of vulnerable hosts to be exposed to malaria vectors: Probability of Anopheles mosquito presence × Population Vulnerability: Y11 = Y4 × Y10
- 2. *Risk of malaria vectors being exposed to insecticide and becoming resistant to insecticide:* Probability of *Anopheles* mosquito presence × Probability of insecticide use: Y12 = Y4 × Y7
- 3. *Risk of vulnerable hosts to be exposed to potentially resistant malaria vectors:* Risk of malaria vectors being exposed to insecticide and becoming resistant to insecticide × Population Vulnerability: Y13 = Y12 × Y10

#### 2.4. Software

Data verification and editing, data management, GIS data analysis and modeling have been performed with SavGIS software. GIS Software, GIS database used in this work is available on SavGIS website (www.savgis.org). SavGIS macro-command recording all GIS commands used in this work is available as <u>S1 Macro</u>.



Fig 6. Annual mean temperatures (left panel) and annual mean rainfall (right panel) in Laos from 1950–2000 (from WorldClim—Global Climate Data).

#### 3. Results

Only results related to variables used in the sub-models (land use, temperature, rainfall), to the sub-models themselves, and to the risk models are presented here. Other results, such as results by district (S1 Table), and maps showing monthly precipitation, monthly temperature, monthly mosquito species presence estimation (S1 Fig) are available as Supporting Information.

Data collected on temperature (Fig 6, left panel) and precipitation (Fig 6, right panel) shows that the hottest and wettest areas are in southern Laos.

The probability of vector presence based on land use only (Y3, Fig 7) shows similarities in spatial distribution. *Anopheles dirus* seems to have a stronger signal than the other species. The



Fig 7. Probability of presence of An. maculatus s.l. (left panel), An. dirus s.l. (middle panel), and An. minimus s.l. (right panel) in central-southern Laos, based on land use favorable conditions only (Y3). Red color indicates higher probability.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177274.g007

results show that probabilities of the presence of these species are highest in the southern part of the country.

When adding temperature, rainfall, and topography, the combined vector presence (all three species together) show slightly different patterns (Fig 8). The highest probabilities of vector presence are in Attapeu and Champasack provinces, as well as borders areas between Saravane and Sekong provinces. In addition, hilly and forested areas in the central provinces of Khammuane and Borikhamxay show higher probabilities of vector presence.

The probability of insecticide use (Y7) was based on potentially insecticide-intensive land use and density of ITNs. The highest probabilities were found in the south of the country, specifically in several areas of Champasack, but also Savannakhet (Fig 9, left panel). The risk of malaria vectors becoming resistant to insecticides (Y12, Fig 9, right panel) also shows the highest probabilities in the south of the country, especially in the Champasack and Savannakhet provinces, near Thailand border.

The human population vulnerability was assessed by combining human density, human poverty, and the number of ITNs per person. The spatial distribution shows higher vulnerability in populated urban or semi-urban areas, especially near Vientiane and in the south near the border to Thailand (Pakse, Savannakhet, Takhet, Fig 10).



Fig 8. Probability of *Anopheles* mosquito presence (*An. maculatus s.l., An. dirus s.l., and An. minimus s.l.* combined) (Y4) based on land use (Y1), temperature (Y2), rainfall/topography (Y3), in central-southern Laos. Red color indicates higher probability.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177274.g008



**Fig 9.** Left panel: Probability of insecticide presence (Y7), based on land use, topography, and bed net coverage, in central-southern Laos. Right panel: Risk of *Anopheles* mosquitoes to be exposed to insecticides and to become resistant (Y12) in central-southern Laos. Red color indicates higher risk.

The risk of human exposure to *Anopheles* mosquitoes (Y11) and the risk of human exposure to *Anopheles* mosquito potentially resistant to insecticide (Y13) are shown in Fig 11. Overall, the risks are generally low (maximum is 0.13 for Y11, and 0.10 for Y13); the highest probabilities were found in the western areas of southern Laos, around populated centers such as Pakse, Savannakhet, and Takhek. Areas with especially low probabilities were found in the southeastern areas, e.g. in Attapeu to the border of Cambodia and Sekong to the border of Vietnam.

The maps show that most of the risk to be exposed to the main malaria vectors is concentrated in the southern part of Laos where malaria is the most active. We found that the correlation between *Anopheles* presence estimation and malaria incidence (2014), calculated by district over the central-southern part of the country is 0.51 (Bravais-Pearson's correlation coefficient).

As shown in the maps of Fig 9, potential insecticide presence and therefore the probability of resistance to insecticide is also greater in the southern part of the country, especially where malaria incidence is the highest (Champasack province). Due to favorable climatic conditions and high potential for insecticide-intensive land-uses, more areas were identified in the southern part of the country than other regions to have a higher risk of resistance selection.

The locations with the highest risk of human exposure to malaria vectors are quite similar to locations with a high risk of human exposure to potentially resistant malaria vectors. In fact, both of these models have overlapping sub-models, the main difference being that the latter includes a major component of land use adjusted by an insecticide weighted factor and a minor component of number of ITNs per person (sub-model 2). The highest risks for insecticide resistance development in Laos are in southwestern Laos, specifically in rice and other agricultural cropping systems along the Mekong river and other agricultural areas in Champassack (Fig 9).



Fig 10. Vulnerability of the human population to *Anopheles* mosquitoes (Y10), combining human density and poverty (Y8) and number of ITNs per person (Y9).

#### 4. Discussion

Abundant literature has been generated describing and estimating the presence of *Anopheles* mosquitoes in South-East Asia (e.g. [25]). With more accurate data (especially on land-use) and temporal variations (for meteorological data), our model aims to improve the space-time evaluation and the geographical distribution of favorable conditions for *Anopheles* mosquito presence and potential insecticide resistance, with high spatial resolution.

Areas can be potentially favorable to mosquito presence but this is not sufficient to assume that the vector is actually present in the reality: mosquitoes need to be present in the region for the model to jump from potential to real. In Laos, *Anopheles* has been collected almost everywhere, and maps and models in previous studies show their presence everywhere in the country [25]. A recent study, conducted in 2013 up to 2015, showed that primary vectors can be found throughout Laos during both the dry and rainy seasons (Marcombe *et al.* "Insecticide resistance status of malaria vectors in Lao PDR"). Our model on favorable conditions for *Anopheles* can therefore be used as an indication of the abundance of vectors (in time and space) and not only as a probability of their presence.

PLOS ONE





Some densely-populated areas (especially urban centers) appear vulnerable whereas *Anopheles* mosquitoes have limited or no presence reported in these urban areas [25]. These results should not be surprising because vulnerability does not represent the risk, i.e. human populations may be vulnerable but not exposed to the threat, as is the case in urban areas where *Anopheles* have limited presence or may be located around the cities. It should also be noted that cells of 10x10 km may not represent an adequate aggregation scale to model the risk in urban areas because cells are too large: 10x10 km cells may aggregate inhabited urban areas with peri-urban and rural areas, where anopheline presence conditions can be met.

Our models inferring favorable conditions for mosquito presence or insecticide resistance are built from expert knowledge only: on the relationship between land-use, climate and mosquito favorable habitats, and between land-use and potential use of pesticides. We used information from bibliography and knowledge from scientists (entomologists and agronomists). We did not try to re-validate this knowledge by field surveys: the aim of this work was to transform the expert knowledge on *Anopheles*–environment relationships to spatial evaluation of the risk of *Anopheles* presence and abundance, insecticide presence and the risk of insecticide resistance in Laos.

The exposure of malaria vectors to insecticides is probably mainly driven by agricultural insecticides due to the intensity of agricultural pesticide input compared to the use of public health insecticides. Although, there are few exact figures on pesticide input in Laos. National registration system for insecticides is lacking in Laos and should be further developed to ensure sounds management of insecticides. Models would be also significantly improved if reliable data would be available on pesticide use and types of insecticides used in public health and crop protection. A limitation of this study was that we do not know exactly which insecticides the mosquitoes are exposed to. This will affect the likelihood of resistance development and cross-resistance patterns. It is extremely difficult to assess actual pesticide use in the agricultural sector. This is because of specific preferences and practices of individual farmers, type of crops grown which often vary from year to year, and large spatio-temporal variations in

presence of crop pests. Due to these uncertainties, it is generally not possible to specify the classes of insecticides used, but rather the intensity of use based on prior knowledge of which land uses are more vulnerable and require protection through pesticide input. In a country like Laos there is very little data available on pesticide use, therefore the model is based on data from Thailand [12].

Our models take into account only environmental variables; in fact, mosquito presence and abundance can be related to many other variables, especially anthropogenic variables, so here "risk" needs to be understood as "environmental risk". The model gives only a part of the actual risk. In this context, the correlation between malaria cases and favorable conditions for the vector presence (Bravais-Pearson's coef. = 0.48) is high, because only environmental factors related to the presence of the mosquito are taken into account, excluding parameters regarding the presence of the parasite or anthropogenic parameters that may influence the spread of malaria.

As mentioned in the introduction, these models for mosquito abundance and resistance are difficult to validate on the field. Their main interest consists, on the one hand, in directing the entomological surveys towards zones and times when the probability of presence and abundance of the *Anopheles* is the highest and on the other hand to direct preventive vector control, public health actions and surveillance systems to these zones. Contrasting with entomological collection and evaluation on the field, these methods are rapid, at low cost, easy to implement, and results may cover large territories with high spatial resolution.

### 5. Conclusion

The mapping and statistical results of this work highlighted the space-time distribution of the environmental risk of *Anopheles* presence, potential insecticide emergence, insecticide resistance, and risk of exposure to these threats for the human population in Laos. These results are based on expert knowledge, and on practices observed in Thailand concerning agricultural policies and insecticide use. We found several areas of higher risk for the development of resistance, especially in agricultural areas located in the southern part of the country close to the Thailand border.

The results of this work can be used to help develop public health policies and to implement targeted vector control strategies for malaria prevention and elimination. Furthermore, they may be used to focus the search for insecticide resistance among mosquito populations in Laos, reducing considerably the area of the surveys, and making possible the implementation of a surveillance system for *Anopheles* resistance to insecticide.

### **Supporting information**

**S1** Table. Table giving risks results by district and province for southern Laos. (XLSX)

S1 Fig. Maps of meteorological data for Laos, by month (temperature, rainfall) and maps of anopheles presence probability, by month and specie. (ZIP)

S1 Macro. SavGIS macro-command grouping all commands used in the GIS modelling process.

(MAC)

#### Acknowledgments

This work was conducted within the IRD representation in Laos and the Institut Pasteur du Laos. We acknowledge the Lao PDR Government for access to geographical data, especially

the DALAM from Ministry of Agriculture, Forest and local development for land-use data, the CMPE for Malaria incidence data and bed-net coverage, the LAO Decide project, and the IWMI team in Vientiane for hydrological and environmental data.

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: MS HO SM VC. Data curation: MS JL HO. Formal analysis: MS JL. Funding acquisition: PB VC.

Investigation: MS SM HO VC.

Methodology: MS HO VC.

Project administration: PB VC.

Resources: MS.

Software: MS.

Supervision: MS HO.

Validation: MS HO SM VC.

Visualization: MS.

Writing – original draft: MS JL.

Writing - review & editing: MS HO SM VC PB.

#### References

- 1. United Nations. The Millennium Development Goals, Progress Report for the Lao PDR 2013. Jointly prepared by the Government of the Lao PDR and the United Nations, 2013.
- 2. WHO malaria report 2015.
- Jorgensen P, Nambanya S, Gopinath D, Hongvanthong B, Luangphengsouk K, Bell D, et al.: High heterogeneity in Plasmodium falciparum risk illustrates the need for detailed mapping to guide resource allocation: a new malaria risk map of the Lao People's Democratic Republic. Malar J 2010, 9(1):59.
- 4. FAO. Proceeding of the Asia Regional Workshop on the implementation, monitoring and observance: international code of conduct on the distribution and use of pesticide. Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, 2005.
- Nkya TE, Akhouayri I, Kisinza W, David JP. Impact of environment on mosquito response to pyrethroid insecticides: Facts, evidences and prospects. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 2013; 43: p 407–416. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2012.10.006 PMID: 23123179
- Nkya TE, Poupardin R, Batengana B, Mosha F, Magesa S, Kisinza W, et al. Insecticide resistance mechanisms associated with different environments in the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae: a case study in Tanzania. Malaria Journal 2014; 13: 28. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-13-28 PMID: 24460952
- Yadouleton AW, Padonou G, Asidi A, Moiroux N, Bio-Banganna S, Corbel V, et al. Insecticide resistance status in Anopheles gambiae in Southern Benin. Malaria Journal 2010; 9:83. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-9-83">https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-9-83</a> PMID: 20334637
- 8. Diabate A, Baldet T, Chandre F, Akoobeto M, Guiguemde TR, Darriet F, et al. The role of agricultural use of insecticides in resistance to pyrethroids in Anopheles gambiae s.l. in Burkina Faso. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2002; 67(6): p. 617–22. PMID: <u>12518852</u>
- 9. Trape JF, Tall A, Diagne N, Ndiath O, Ly A, Faye J, et al. Malaria morbidity and pyrethroid resistance after the introduction of insecticide-treated bed-nets and artemisinin-based combination therapies: a

longitudinal study. The Lancet Infectious Diseases 2011; Volume 11, Issue 12, December 2011, Pages 925–932 https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(11)70194-3 PMID: 21856232

- Overgaard HJ, Sandve SR, Suwonkerd W. 2005. Evidence of anopheline mosquito resistance to agrochemicals in northern Thailand. Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health 36 (suppl 4): 148–153.
- Van Bortel W, Trung HD, Thuan le K, Sochantha T, Socheat D, Sumrandee C, et al. The insecticide resistance status of malaria vectors in the Mekong region. Malar J 2008, 7:102 <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/ 1475-2875-7-102</u> PMID: 18534006
- Overgaard HJ. Malaria mosquito resistance to agricultural insecticides: Risk area mapping in Thailand. Research Report 103. Colombo, Sri Lanka: International Water Management Institute [ISSN 1026-0862], 2006.
- Obsomer V, Defourny P and Coosemans M. The Anopheles dirus complex: spatial distribution and environmental drivers. Malaria Journal 2007; 6: p. 26. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-6-26</u> PMID: 17341297
- Obsomer V, Defourny P and Coosemans M. Predicted Distribution of Major Malaria Vectors Belonging to the Anopheles dirus Complex in Asia: Ecological Niche and Environmental Influences. PLoS ONE 2012; 7(11): e50475. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0050475 PMID: 23226292
- Van Bortel W, Trung HD, Hoi le X VHN, Van Chut N, Luu ND, Roelants P, et al. Malaria transmission and vector behaviour in a forested malaria focus in central Vietnam and the implications for vector control. Malar J 2010; 9(373), 10–1186.
- Hayes RO, Maxwell EL, Mitchell CJ, and Woodzick TL. Detection, identification and classification of mosquito larval habitats using remote sensing scanners in earth-orbiting satellites. Bull. World Health Org. 1985; 63: 361–374. PMID: 2861917
- Keating J, Macintyre K, Mbogo CM, Githure JI, and Beier JC. Characterization of potential larval havitats for Anopheles mosquitoes in relation to urban land-use in Malindi, Kenya. International Journal of Health Geographics 2004; 3: 9. https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-072X-3-9 PMID: 15125778
- Lindsay SW, Parson L, and Thomas CJ. Mapping the Ranges and Relative Abundance of the Two Principal African Malaria Vectors, Anopheles Gambiae Sensu Stricto and An. Arabiensis, Using Climate Data. Proceedings: Biological Sciences 1998; 265(1399): 847–854.
- Minakawa N, Mutero CM, Githure JI, Beier JC, and Yan G. Spatial distribution and habitat characterization of Anopheline mosquito larvae in Western Kenya. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 1999; 61(6): 1010–1016. PMID: 10674687
- Durnez L, Mao S, Denis L, Roelants P, Sochantha T and Coosemans M. Outdoor malaria transmission in forested villages of Cambodia. Malaria Journal2013; 12:329
- Smith T, Charlwood JD, Takken W, Tanner M, and Spiegelhalter DJ. Mapping the densities of malaria vectors within a single village. Acta Tropica 1995; 59: 1–18. PMID: 7785522
- Anderson RP, Lew D, and Peterson AT. Evaluating predictive models of species' distributions: criteria for selecting optimal models. Ecological Modeling 2003; 162: 211–232.
- 23. Charoenpanyanet A. Simulation model for predicting Anopheles mosquitoes density based on remotely sensed data, Thailand. PhD dissertation, 2010; Asian Institute of Technology, Thailand.
- 24. Guisan A, and Zimmermann NE. Predictive habitat distribution models in ecology. Ecological Modeling 2000; 135:147–186.
- 25. Sinka ME, Bangs MJ, Manguin S, Chareonviriyaphap T, Patil AP, Temperley WH, et al. The dominant Anopheles vectors of human malaria in the Asia-Pacific region: occurrence data, distribution maps and bionomic précis. Parasites and Vectors 2011; 4: 89 https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-4-89 PMID: 21612587
- 26. Srivastava A, Nagpal BN, Saxena R, and Subbarao SK. Predictive habitat modelling for forest malaria vector species An. dirus in India–A GIS-based approach. Current Science 2001; 80(9): 1129–1134.
- Thomson MC, Connor SJ, D'Alessandro U, Rowlingson B, Diggle P, Cresswell M, et al. Predicting malaria infection in Gambian children from satellite data and bed-net use surveys: The importance of spatial correlation in the interpretation of results. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg 1999; 61(1): 2–8. PMID: 10432046
- Hightower AW, Ombok M, Otieno R, Odhiambo R, Oloo AJ, Lal AA, et al. A geographic information system applied to a malaria field study in Western Kenya. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg 1998; 58(3): 266–272. PMID: 9546401
- 29. Sithiprasasna R, Lee WJ, Ugsang DM, and Linthicum KJ. Identification and characterization of larval and adult anopheline mosquito habitats in the Pepublic of Korea: potential use of remotely sensed data to estimate mosquito distributions. International Journal of Health Geographics 2005; 4: 17. https://doi. org/10.1186/1476-072X-4-17 PMID: 16011809

- **30.** Morgan K, Somboon P and Walton C. Understanding Anopheles Diversity in Southeast Asia and Its Applications for Malaria Control. in Anopheles mosquitoes—New insights into malaria vectors. Manguin Sylvie (Ed), Intech 2013.
- Muirhead Thomson RC. Studies on the behaviour of Anopheles minimus. Journal of the Malaria Institute of India, 1940; 3: 265–325.
- Overgaard HJ, Ekbom B, Suwonkerd W, Takagi M: Effect of landscape structure on anopheline mosquito density and diversity in northern Thailand: Implications for malaria transmission and control. Landscape Ecol 2003; 18:605–619.
- Reid JA. Anopheline mosquitoes of Malaya and Borneo. Studies of the Institute of Medical Research Malaysia 1968; 31: 1–520.
- Rosenberg R, Maheswary N. Forest malaria in Bangladesh II: Transmission by Anopheles dirus. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1982; 31: 183–191. PMID: 7072883
- Suwonkerd W, Ritthison W, Ngo CT, Tainchum K, Bangs MJ, Chareonviriyaphap T. Vector biology and malaria transmission in Southeast Asia. Anopheles mosquitoes—New insights into malaria vectors. Manguin Sylvie (Ed), Intech 2013;
- 36. Tangena JAA, Thammavong P, Wilson AL, Brey PT, Lindsay SW. Risk and Control of Mosquito-Borne Diseases in Southeast Asian Rubber Plantations. Trends in parasitology, 2016;. 32(5):402:15. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2016.01.009 PMID: 26907494</u>
- Yasuoka J, Levins R. Impact of deforestation and agricultural development on anopheline ecology and malaria epidemiology. The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene, 2007; 76(3), 450–460. PMID: 17360867
- Messerli P, Heinimann A, Epprecht M, Phonesaly S, Thiraka C, Minot N, editors. Socio-Economic Atlas of the Lao PDR–an Analysis based on the 2005 Population and Housing Census. Swiss National Center of Competence in Research (NCCR) North-South, University of Bern, Bern and Vientiane: Geographica Bernensia, 2008.
- 39. Epprecht M, Minot N, Dewina R, Messerli P, Heinimann A. The Geography of Poverty and Inequality in the Lao PDR. Swiss National Center of Competence in Research (NCCR) North-South, University of Bern, and International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI), Bern: Geographica Bernensia, 2008
- 40. SOURIS Marc. (2017). LAOS SavGIS Database [Data set]. Zenodo.
- Heong KL, Escala MM, Sengsoulivong V and Schiller J. Insect management beliefs and practices of rice farmers in Laos. Agriculture, Ecosystem and Environment 2001; 92: p. 137–145
- 42. Damos P, Savopoulou-Soultani M. Temperature-Driven Models for Insect Development and Vital Thermal Requirements, Psyche, 2012;
- Paaijmans KP, Heinig RL, Seliga RA, Blanford JI, Blanford S, Murdock CC et al. Temperature variation makes ectotherms more sensitive to climate change. Glob Change Biol, 2013; 19: 2373–2380.
- Moiroux N, Boussari O, Djènontin A, Damien G, Cottrell G, et al. Dry season determinants of malaria disease and net use in Benin, west Africa. PLoS One 2012; 7: e30558. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0030558 PMID: 22291987
- 45. Beven KJ, Kirkby MJ. A physically-based, variable contributing area model of basin hydrology. Hydrol. Sci. Bull., 1979; 24(1): 43–69
- 46. Santos-Vega M, Bouma MJ, Kohli V, Pascual M. Population Density, Climate Variables and Poverty Synergistically Structure Spatial Risk in Urban Malaria in India. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2016; 10 (12): e0005155. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005155 PMID: 27906962
- Atieli H, Menya D, Githeko A, Scott T. House design modifications reduce indoor resting malaria vector densities in rice irrigation scheme area in western Kenya. Malar J 2009; 8: 108. https://doi.org/10.1186/ 1475-2875-8-108 PMID: 19454025