

Phylogeny and adaptation shape the teeth of insular mice

Ronan Ledevin, Pascale Chevret, Guila Ganem, Janice Britton-Davidian, Emilie A Hardouin, Jean-Louis Chapuis, Benoit Pisanu, Maria da Luz Mathias, Stefan Schlager, Jean-Christophe Auffray, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Ronan Ledevin, Pascale Chevret, Guila Ganem, Janice Britton-Davidian, Emilie A Hardouin, et al.. Phylogeny and adaptation shape the teeth of insular mice. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2016, 283 (1824), pp.20152820. 10.1098/rspb.2015.2820. hal-01962711

HAL Id: hal-01962711 https://hal.umontpellier.fr/hal-01962711v1

Submitted on 5 Dec 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 1

Phylogeny and adaptation shape the teeth of insular mice

2

- 3 Ronan Ledevin¹, Pascale Chevret¹, Guila Ganem², Janice Britton-Davidian², Emilie A. Hardouin³,
- 4 Jean-Louis Chapuis⁴, Benoit Pisanu⁴, Maria da Luz Mathias⁵, Stefan Schlager⁶, Jean-Christophe
- 5 Auffray², Sabrina Renaud^{1*}

6

7 Addresses

- 8 ¹ Laboratoire de Biométrie et Biologie Evolutive, UMR5558, CNRS, Université Lyon 1, Campus de la
- 9 Doua, 69622 Villeurbanne, France
- ² Institut des Sciences de l'Evolution de Montpellier, UMR 5554, Université Montpellier, CNRS, IRD,
- 11 EPHE, 34095 Montpellier, France
- ³ Faculty of Sciences and Technology, Bournemouth University, Christchurch House, Talbot
- 13 Campus, Poole, Dorset, BH12 5BB, UK
- ⁴ Centre d'Ecologie et des Sciences de la Conservation, UMR 7204, Muséum National d'Histoire
 Naturelle, 61 rue Buffon, 75005 Paris, France
- 16 ⁵ Centro de Estuds do Ambiente e Mar & Departamento de Biologia Animal, Faculdade de Ciências da
- 17 Universidade de Lisboa, Campo Grande, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal
- ⁶ Anthropologie, Medizinische Fakultät der Albert Ludwigs- Universität Freiburg, 79104 Freiburg,
- 19 Germany
- 20
- 21 * Corresponding author
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26

27 Abstract

28 By accompanying human travels since prehistorical times, the house mouse dispersed widely 29 throughout the world, and colonized many islands. The origin of the travelers determined the 30 phylogenetic source of the insular mice, which encountered on the various islands diverse ecological 31 and environmental conditions. Insular mice are thus an exceptional model to assess the relative role 32 of phylogeny, ecology and climate in evolution. Molar shape is known to vary according to phylogeny 33 and to respond to adaptation. Using for the first time a 3D geometric morphometric approach, 34 compared to a classical 2D quantification, the relative effects of size variation, phylogeny, climate 35 and ecology were investigated on molar shape diversity across a variety of islands. Phylogeny 36 emerged as the factor of prime importance in shaping the molar. Changes in competition level, 37 mostly driven by the presence or absence of the wood mouse on the different islands, appeared as the second most important effect. Climate and size differences accounted for slight shape variation. 38 39 This evidences a balance role of random differentiation related to history of colonization, and of 40 adaptation possibly related to resource exploitation.

41

42 Keywords

Insular evolution, 3D geometric morphometrics, first upper molar, house mouse, *Mus musculus domesticus*

45

46 Introduction

47 The relative importance of chance, history and adaptation in evolution is a long-standing issue [1-4]. 48 Stochastic processes are expected to play an important role in fragmented and isolated populations, 49 because of founder effects and drift which are especially relevant in island populations and invasive 50 species [3]. Adaptation is also expected in such contexts because species are encountering new 51 environmental conditions that expose the immigrants to strong selective pressures [5, 6]. 52 Accordingly, evolution on islands has been an emblematic model of adaptive evolution since 53 Darwin's finches (e.g. [7]). Genetics and development can further constrain or facilitate evolution along certain directions, for instance due to genetically correlated traits [8]. Since these processes 54

55 intermingle in shaping phenotypes, their respective role is difficult to tease apart [3], a fact that may

- 55 intermingle in shaping phenotypes, their respective role is difficult to tease apart [3], a fact that may
- 56 lead to interpretations of differentiation being a collection of 'just-so stories' [9].

- 57 The house mouse (*Mus musculus*) has been accompanying human travels since prehistorical times
- 58 [10], and as such is one of the 'best' world-wide invasives [11]. From the Western European
- 59 continent, *Mus musculus domesticus* has colonized many remote areas, including islands [12].
- 60 Colonization of new environments, climatically and ecologically different from the source should
- 61 promote adaptive changes especially when the mice meet their physiological limits [13].
- 62 As Western European populations display a complex genetic structure [14, 15], island populations
- 63 will exhibit a variable genetic signature, depending on the colonization source and subsequent
- 64 demography, itself related to human history [16]. Island house mice thus offer a remarkable model
- to investigate the relative importance of population history, adaptation and stochastic events on
- 66 phenotypic evolution [9].
- 67 We tackled this issue by focusing on the differentiation of the first upper molar tooth. Molar teeth
- have been shown to be influenced by phylogenetic history [17, 18] as well as environmental
- 69 conditions [19, 20]. Development may also constrain their evolution [21]. 3D geometric
- 70 morphometrics was used for the first time to quantify tooth shape in several insular populations and
- continental reference groups. The results were compared to a 2D analysis including a larger sampling
- 72 of the same groups. The phylogenetic relationships were assessed based on mtDNA data. The
- relative effect of allometry, phylogeny, climate and ecology on the morphological differentiation was
- 74 then investigated.
- 75

76 Material and Methods

- 77 Material
- 78 *Morphometric sampling.* 532 mice were part of the 2D morphometric analysis. This set was down-
- **79** sampled to 90 mice for 3D morphometrics, including only animals with relatively unworn teeth
- 80 (Table 1). The sampling included continental Western Europe and contrasted insular settings (Fig.
- 1A): Northern Atlantic (Orkney Archipelago), Macaronesian Islands in the sub-tropical mid-Atlantic
- region (Madeira and Canary Archipelago[14, 22]), and the Sub-Antarctic region (Marion Island;
- 83 Guillou Island from the Kerguelen Archipelago[23]). All individuals were considered as adults and
- sub-adults based on the criterion that the third molars were fully erupted, which occurs at weaning.
- 85 Males and females were pooled since no sexual dimorphism has been documented for tooth
- 86 morphology in house mice [23, 24].

87 *Phylogenetic sampling.* – Original samples from the Orkney archipelago were obtained from two field 88 trips in 1992 (islands of Faray, Eday, Sanday and Papa Westray) and 2012 (island of Papa Westray). 89 DNA was extracted from Ethanol-preserved tissue of Orkney mice, using the "DNeasy Blood and 90 Tissue" kit (Qiagen, France). The D-loop was amplified using previously described primers and 91 protocol [25]. The sequences generated were visualized using MEGA6 [26]. No new haplotypes were 92 found in our samples of Orkney populations [27, 28]. We combined our sequences with sequences 93 retrieved from Genbank into two datasets. (1) A general dataset to determine the phylogenetic origin 94 of the groups used in the morphometric analysis (Supp. Table 1). (2) A dataset designed to include 95 only sequences matching the morphometric sampling (Supp. Table 2). Haplotypes for each group 96 were determined with DNAsp v5 [29] except when this information was already available: Marion 97 Island [30] and Guillou Island [25].

98 Methods

99 *Phylogenetic analyses.* – The sequences were aligned with MUSCLE implemented in SeaView [31], 100 the alignment was checked by eye and trimmed at both ends to remove portions with more than 101 50% of missing data. The final alignments comprised 173 sequences and 947 positions for the general 102 dataset and 155 haplotypes and 874 positions for the morphometric-matching dataset. For this latter 103 dataset, we determined the genetic diversity within each geographic group using MEGA6 [26]. The 104 phylogenetic trees were reconstructed using maximum likelihood with PhyMI 3.0 [32] under the 105 models (TN for the general tree and GTR for the morphometric-match, +I+G) selected with 106 jModelTest [33] using the Akaike criterion (AIC) [34].

- 107 *2D Morphometrics.* Using a numerical camera mounted on a binocular, a picture was taken from
- 108 each mouse molar, with the skull adjusted so that the occlusal surface of the first upper molar would
- 109 be approximately flat. The molar shape was approximated by the 2D outline of the occlusal surface,
- 110 towards the base of the crows, which is only affected by heavy wear. Each outline was defined by 64
- 111 points, which were analysed using a Fourier based approach [21]. Fourteen variables, corresponding
- 112 to a set of Fourier coefficients (FCs) were deemed adequate to describe the molar shape [21]. An
- 113 <u>additional variable (A0) provided an estimate of the outline size.</u>
- 114 *Data acquisition for 3D morphometrics.* Skulls were scanned at a cubic voxel resolution of 18µm
- using a RX in-vivo Skyscan 1076 microtomograph (µCT) device at the Platform Montpellier RIO
- 116 Imaging. The left first upper molar (UM1) (Fig. 2) was delimited on each slice using a threshold
- 117 method in Avizo software (version 7.1 Visualization Sciences Group, FEI Company) and connections
- 118 with outer material (jaw bone and second upper molar) were manually closed and the surface

119 generated. On a randomly chosen reference tooth, a template was prepared describing the outer 120 surface of the tooth. Since age was not controled in wild-trapped populations, the template was 121 designed not to take into account parts of the tooth most sensitive to wear: the top of the cusps 122 were cut off the template (Supp. Fig.1). The template was defined by 1532 equally spaced sliding-123 landmarks anchored by eight landmarks. These eight landmarks were defined on all specimens and 124 were used for a Procrustes superimposition to align all the specimens in space. Then, the original 125 template was deformed in order to match the original surface of each tooth. Points were allowed to 126 slide along tangent planes according to the minimum bending energy criterion, with an iterative 127 procedure until convergence [35, 36]. Sliding-landmarks were adjusted for scaling, translation and 128 rotation according to a Procrustes superimposition. All procedures were performed using the 129 packages 'Morpho' [37] and 'mesheR' [38]. Procrustes coordinates, i.e. residual coordinates of the 130 sliding-landmarks after Procrustes superimposition, constituted the shape variables describing tooth 131 shape. Centroid size (square root of the sum of the squared distance from each sliding-landmark to 132 the centroid of the configuration) estimated the size of the tooth.

<u>Statistical analyses of tooth size and shape</u>. – Based on 3D morphometric data, differences in tooth
 size were investigated by an analysis of variance. Allometric variation was assessed using a
 multivariate regression on the Procrustes coordinates. The residuals were considered as new
 allometry-free variables. A Principal Component Analysis (PCA) on the size-free variables provided a
 representation of morphometric variation.

Projection of the phylogeny on the morphometric space. – A matrix of genetic distances based on D loop haplotypes of sequences from the same geographic areas as the morphometric samples,
 without outgroups, was designed (Supp. Table 2). It was analysed using a Principal Coordinate
 Analysis (PCOA) [39] using the R 'ape' package [40]. It converted the distance matrix into coordinates
 on principal axes. Mean values for each group were computed and was compared to morphometrics
 mean values. The phylogenetic relationships were projected on the morphometric space using
 'phytools' R package [41], the ancestral states being calculated at each node using 'fastAnc' function.

Size, phylogeny, climate and ecology as explanatory variables of morphometric variation. – A linear
 model was used to investigate effects of size, phylogeny, ecology, and climate on tooth shape. (1)
 Size was evaluated as the centroid size of the tooth. (2) Phylogeny was included as the first four axes
 of the PCOA on the D-loop distance matrix, including the set of axes with > 90% of variance. (3)
 Climatic data were extracted from the WorldClim database with a resolution of 2.5 arc-minutes using
 the 'raster' package [42]. The data included Annual Mean Temperature, Temperature Seasonality,

- 151 Mean Warmest Quarter, Mean Coldest Quarter, Annual Precipitation, Precipitation of the Wettest
- 152 Quarter, Precipitation of the Driest Quarter. A PCA was performed to summarize these partly
- redundant data. The first three PCs explaining > 90% of the total variance were retained as
- 154 explanatory variables in the model. (4) Ecological coding included presence/absence of competitors
- and of predators according to the literature (Supp. Table 3) and coded these data as 0/1 (Table 1;
- 156 Supp. Table 4). Since house mice strongly rely on human populations for resources and transport,
- 157 human population density was also included as an explanatory variable.
- 158 Finally, the residuals of this model were analysed using a between-group and within-group PCA using
- the ade4 package [43]. This procedure allowed us to assess the percentage of variance attributed to
- 160 between vs within group variance in the residual shape variation.
- 161 *Comparison between 3D and 2D morphometrics.* A PCA was performed on the FCs of the 2D
- 162 outline. The scores of the group means on PC axes provided a configuration that were compared to
- 163 the configuration of group means obtained by the 3D approach using a Procrustes superimposition
- 164 procedure (Protest [44]). The significance of the association were tested using permutations.
- 165 Distances between the two configurations were further compared using a Mantel test. The linear
- 166 model of shape (PC axes) vs. size (A0), phylogeny, ecology, and climate (same variables as for the 3D
- analysis) was further used on the 2D data in order to investigate the stability of the results to method
- and sampling.
- Visualisations of shape changes were performed using the 'Morpho' package [37]. PCOA and Protests
 were performed using the vegan package [45].
- 171

172 Results

173 Phylogeny

174 The continental Western European groups displayed a large haplotypic diversity. Each island

175 represented a subsampling of this diversity (Table 1). The founding of the insular populations appear

to be so recent regarding the evolutionary rate of the genetic marker that no island displayed private

- 177 haplotype, hindering the estimate of a divergence date. Four independent instances of insular
- 178 colonisation could be identified, in agreement with previous studies (Fig. 1B). (1) Orkney nested into
- a mostly Scandinavian and British haplogroup [16] which has been interpreted as the signature of a
- 180 Norvegian Viking colonization. (2) Guillou shared its only haplotype with other mice from Kerguen

- 181 Archipelago and Western European specimens from England, Germany and France, and from
- 182 harbours on the way to the Southern Oceans as in Cameroon [25]. (3) Madeira, the Canary islands of
- 183 La Palma and El Hierro, and Marion island were mostly nested within a Northern European
- haplogroup [14]. This genetic assignation has been interpreted as related to a possible introduction
- 185 by Danish Vikings onto Madeira [46], mice being later translocated to the Canaries by Portuguese
- 186 travels. (4) Tenerife appeared more related to a Southern European haplogroup, a signature of
- 187 exchanges between the Canaries and the Spanish realms [14]. Evidences of mixing exist on Madeira
- and all three Canary islands investigated (Fig. 1; Supp. Fig. 2): typical Tenerife haplotypes seldom
- 189 occur on Madeira, El Hierro and La Palma, and vice-versa.
- 190 Three-dimensional tooth morphology

Tooth size varied significantly across populations (P < 0.001; Supp. Fig. 3). Insular mice tended to
display larger molars than their continental relatives.

- 193 The size-shape allometric relationship was significant (P < 0.001). The analysis of allometry-free
- residuals provided two axes of almost equal importance (19% and 18%) along which a geographic
- 195 structure emerged (Fig. 2). Western European continental teeth clustered together whereas insular
- teeth by far exceeded this continental range of variation. Changes along PC1, mostly corresponding
- 197 to the transition from continental Western European to Guillou Marion El Hierro morphologies,
- involved a pinching at the labial forepart and deepening of the lingual gutter between the central
- and lingual rows of cusps. Along PC2, characterizing the Macaronesian Madeira La Palma group, the
- 200 tooth mostly shortened in its forepart and broadened laterally.
- 201 The morphometric structure partly reflected the phylogenetic relationships, with obvious
- 202 discrepancies. The different Orkney islands clustered together but they displayed an important
- 203 variation constrasting with their genetic homogeneity. Macaronesian islands from Madeira, Tenerife
- and La Palma were grouped together, a geographical cluster contradicting the distinct haplotypic
- 205 dominant signature of Tenerife. Marion and El Hierro, genetically close to La Palma Madeira group,
- were morphologically well differentiated. Guillou Island displayed a convergence in molar shape with
- 207 Marion and El Hierro, despite a different genetic/geographic origin.
- 208 The 2D analysis (Supp. Fig. 4) provided a correlated configuration of between-group differentiation
- 209 (comparison between PCs >5% [5 in 3D and 5 in 2D]: Protest P = 0.006, Mantel P = 0.008). As in 3D,
- 210 Western European samples appeared as well clustered. The convergence between Tenerife, La Palma
- and Madeira on the one hand, and from Guillou Island and El Hierro on the other hand, were further

supported. The idiosyncrasy of Orkney, making all island to group together in the 3D analysis, was

- not captured by the 2D outline. Orkney islands appeared as widely dispersed in the correspondingmorphospace.
- 215 Tooth shape vs size, phylogeny, climate and ecology

The total shape variation of the tooth could be summarized along five axes, totalling more than 60% of variance (19.1%, 18.6%, 10.9%, 6.1% and 5.7%). Climatic data were summarized on three PC axes (66.4%, 19.3%, and 13.1% of variance). Phylogenetic data were summarized on four PCOA axes (58.0%, 20.7%, 9.9% and 3.7%). Ecological data (competition, predation, human density) were further included in the linear model.

221 The model indicated a weak contribution of size (3.4%), a balanced influence of ecology (7.3%) and 222 climate (6.0%), and the strongest influence of phylogeny (12.1%) (all P < 0.01, competition and 223 phylogeny P < 0.001) (Fig. 4). Effects on tooth shape were the following. (1) Size: larger molars were 224 longer at their forepart and thinner in their labial region. (2) Phylogeny: the first axis, roughly 225 opposing continental Western Europe to Orkney and Macaronesian islands, corresponded to an 226 anterior elongation and a reduction of the protocone and neighboring lingual cusp. (3) Ecology: 227 among competition, predation and human density, only competition had a significant effect. 228 Decrease in competition involved a forepart expansion together with an overal thinning of the cusps. 229 (4) Climate: With a temperature decrease and a precipitation increase (from Macaronesian to Sub-230 Antarctic islands), central cusps moved forward and the anterior lingual fringe expanded. From 231 seasonal (continental) to less seasonal (more or less all islands) environments, the tooth lenghthened 232 in its forepart and most cusps shortened. A similar hierarchy of factors was found when including 233 shape axes totalling more than 80% of variance (11 axes): phylogeny (9.4%), ecology (7.5%), climate 234 (5.7%) and size (2.4%). The same hierarchie was further found based on the 2D outline analysis, with 235 even less variance explained (shape described by all 5 axes > 5%, totalling 87% of variance): 236 phylogeny (7.2%), ecology (3.5%), climate (2.7%) and size (1.0%). The 2D sampling include teeth of all 237 wear stage and possible incertainty in orientation of the occlusal plane, possibly explaining the larger 238 percentage of unexplained variance.

- 239 Removing size, phylogeny, climate and ecology provided 64.4% residual variance. This residual
- variation corresponded mostly (80.1%) to within-group variance. The remaining within group-
- variance corresponded to a trend of anterior expansion combined with a backward movement of the
- 242 main cusps and their overall thinning. A similar percentage of remaining within-group variance was
- found in 2D (79.1%).

244

245 Discussion

246 Methodological originality: tooth evolution in 3D

247 This study is the first one to document tooth shape variation of house mice in its three-dimensional 248 complexity. It largely corroborated results obtained by 2D analyses, evidencing the importance of 249 anterior tooth elongation in the evolution of the mouse upper molar [21, 47]. It further illustrated 250 complex changes in the shape of the cusps and their relative position, up to the deepening of gutters 251 (sulci) between rows of cusps. By describing such features, the 3D description appeared as more 252 performant than the 2D in assessing the idiosyncrasy of the Orkney archipelago. The possible 253 functional significance of such changes is unexplored, since such shape changes have not been 254 described so far.

255 *Phylogenetic history as a key factor in tooth shape evolution*

256 The phylogenetic signal appeared of primary importance in explaining first upper molar shape. We 257 focused on this molar because of its high taxonomic value within murine rodents [48]. Within Mus 258 musculus, a strong imprint of historical factors is well documented on the first lower molar shape, 259 which is used as a valuable proxy for identifying subspecies [18] and even for tracing the geographic 260 origin within a subspecies [17, 18, 24]. As co-variation of the occluding lower and upper molars is 261 under functional constraints [49], a phylogenetic signal may be expected on the upper molar as well. 262 However, the first upper molar was shown to be more evolvable than its lower counterpart [21]. Our 263 present results demonstrate that high evolvability of the upper molar do not fully override the 264 signature of the history of colonization on molar shape.

265 Discrepancies between the morphological and phylogenetic signature raised questions regarding 266 population history. Madeira, La Palma and Tenerife shared a similar tooth shape. The morphological 267 similarity of Madeira and La Palma was expected given their phylogenetic relatedness [14], possibly 268 reflecting early trading routes between the Madeira and Canaries archipelagoes by the Portuguese during the XVth century. The morphological similarity between Tenerife and La Palma was not 269 270 surprising given their geographic proximity, but was in conflict with the difference in the dominant 271 mitochondrial haplogroup in both islands. The sporadic occurrence of Tenerife-like haplotypes on La 272 Palma, and La Palma-like ones on Tenerife, suggested that gene flow occurred between neighboring 273 islands and that the resilience of local populations to later invaders [25] may break down when 274 human exchanges are important. The similar tooth morphology on Tenerife and La Palma may be

- due to a genetic homogenization on the Canary archipelago that would not be traced by mtDNA,
- argued to be a signature of the initial colonization [16, 25]. Alternatively, it could be a convergence in
- similar ecological and climatic environments. The persistence of this tooth shape despite multiple
- 278 colonizations anyway suggests a resilience of this morphology and/or strong selective pressure
- 279 maintaining it in the insular populations.

280 The morphological cluster associating Guillou, Marion and El Hierro teeth constituted another

- 281 discrepancy with phylogeny. (1) Marion and El Hierro were genetically associated with La Palma –
- 282 Madeira based on their dominant haplotypes. (2) The Guillou population derived from an
- 283 independent colonization event with a different phylogenetic signature. This demonstrated that
- 284 factors other than phylogenetic history (as traced by mitochondrial markers) contributed to the
- divergence in molar shape.

286 Competition as a driving evolutionary force on molar shape

287 Ecological factors, predominantly inter-specific competition, emerged as driving forces almost as 288 important as phylogeny in explaining molar shape divergence. Differences in competition levels are 289 mostly related to the occurrence of the wood mouse Apodemus sylvaticus on the Western European 290 continent and most Orkney islands, and its absence on Macaronesian archipelagoes and in Sub-291 Antarctic islands (Table 1; Supp. Data 3). The wood mouse is a competitor of the house mouse, 292 limiting its presence in non-commensal habitats where it occurs – and vice versa [50]. In the absence 293 of the wood mouse, the house mouse may exploit more outdoor resources instead of remaining 294 strictly commensal [51]. The tooth shape changes associated with the absence of the wood mouse 295 corresponds to the anterior elongation. Narrow, elongated teeth have been associated in murine 296 rodents with a rather faunivorous diet [52]. Considering this diet /tooth shape trend, non-commensal 297 house mice may be relying more on invertebrates in the absence of the wood mouse, especially

when resources are scarce as on Sub-Antarctic islands [53, 54].

299 Secondary importance of climate

- 300 In addition to phylogeny and ecology, climate further impacted tooth shape. Temperature and
- 301 precipitations mainly opposed warm, dry Macaronesian islands to cold, wet Orkney and Sub-
- 302 Antarctic islands. The climatic regime changes the available resources and thus constitutes an
- 303 indirect selective pressure on tooth shape. For instance, mice on the barren Sub-Antarctic Marion
- and Guillou islands are known to increase the invertebrate component in their diet [53, 55].
- 305 However, the exploited resources also depend on variations of the commensal way of life. On

- 306 Madeira and Canary islands, mice still rely on resources of anthropic origin but tend to forage
- 307 outdoor [56] whereas they were trapped indoor in most continental and Orkney locations. Such
- 308 effects are difficult to quantify and may indirectly impact our results through climate or ecology,
- 309 since mice mostly adopt outdoor behavior in the absence of the wood mouse competitor.

310 Insularity magnifying phylogenetic and adaptive differences

311 The pattern of genetic diversity, high on the continent (within group p-distances: 0.5-0.9%) and low 312 on islands (0-0.3%) contrasted with the pattern of tooth shape differentiation, showing a low 313 variance among continental specimens but a large differentiation for insular samples. This 314 differentiation occurred quite rapidly, from ~1200 years for Orkney, most probably colonized following Viking routes [16] to less than 200 years for Sub-Antarctic islands where mice were brought 315 by sealers during the 19th century [57, 58]. Stochastic events are reputed important on islands, with 316 317 founder events and drift in populations of reduced effective size. Such factors likely promoted the 318 important and rapid divergence from the continental stock, matching a general observation of fast initial divergence upon arrival on an island [59, 60]. The importance of the geographic origin [9] is 319 320 underlined here by the phylogenetic imprint on tooth morphology. Subsequent divergence occurred 321 under constraints related to the local environment: when phylogeny, ecology and climate are taken 322 into account, only ~20% of between-group variance remains unexplained. Note that a rather similar 323 hierarchy of factors and percentages of variance were found in the divergence between species of 324 marmots [61] suggesting both a generality of the trend (phylogeny explaining slightly more than 325 climate in tooth divergence) and the important divergence occurring at the intra-specific level in 326 insular house mice.

327 Repeated tooth elongation: a line of least evolutionary resistance?

328 Anterior tooth elongation appeared as a recurrent feature of shape variation, involved in the 329 response to size increase, to competition and to seasonality. It also corresponded to the residual 330 within-group variation, matching previous 2D observations [21, 62]. The main direction of within-331 group variance has been suggested to constitute a 'line of least resistance to evolution' [63] 332 producing variants to be screened by selection. The recurrent mobilization of the anterior tooth 333 shape elongation may document the existence of a standing variation for this trait, explaining its 334 potential for fast and convergent evolution. In contrast, phylogenetic signatures seemed to involve much more localized and discrete morphological features, suggesting that such changes may simply 335 336 accumulate at a low pace [64]. Our 3D results evidence that despite predictions [65], substantial 337 evolution and adaptation can occur even when accounting for the whole complexity of a phenotype

- 338 although signals of convergent evolution and fast divergence appear as even stronger when using a
- 339 'degenerate' description of the tooth, namely its 2D quantification describing only the overall
- 340 arrangement of the cusps. These are challenging results suggesting that as one of the oldest
- 341 passengers of human travels, one of the best world-wide invasives, and one of the most studied
- laboratory model in developmental biology, the house mouse offers a unique opportunity to unravel
- 343 the complexity of the responses to new environments.
- 344

345 Ethics

- 346 Orkney mice were obtained with authorization n° CEEA-LR-12162 from the Languedoc-Roussillon
- 347 Comité d'Ethique pour l'Expérimentation Animale to JCA. Other samples come from preexisting
- 348 collections and were previously published. The sacrifice of wild animals for the purpose of taking
- 349 samples, when performed according to authorized protocols, is not considered as an experiment
- 350 (Journal Officiel de la République Française, Décret n° 2013-118 du 1er février 2013, Section 6, Sous-
- 351 section 1). As such, agreement of the ethical committees is not required.

352 Data accessibility

- 353 No new sequence was deposited, since all original sequences corresponded to a sequence already
- available in GenBank. The dataset including morphometric, climatic, ecologic and genetic data is
- available as a Supplementary file.
- 356 **Competing interest**
- 357 No competing interest.

358 Authors' contribution

- 359 SR, RL, PC, JBD, and JCA conceived and designed the experiments. JCA, PC, GG, JBD, JLC, BP, MLM,
- 360 EAH and SR participated in the field trips and/or delivered samples. RL and StS performed the 3D
- 361 morphometrics and associated statistics. PC did the phylogenetic analyses. RL, PC and SR prepared
- the illustrations. All authors contributed in writing the main text.

363

364 Acknowledgments

- 365 We thank Renaud Lebrun (ISEM, Montpellier) for his contribution in managing CT-scans, produced
- 366 within the technical facilities of the MRI platform and of the labEx CeMEB. We also thank Jean-Michel
- 367 Gaillard (LBBE, Lyon) for stimulating discussions, Anne-Béatrice Dufour and Stephane Dray (LBBE,
- 368 Lyon) for precious advices on statistical issues and R packages. The constructive remarks of P. David
- 369 Polly and an anonymous reviewer were greatly appreciated. This is publication ISEM 20XX-XXX.

370 Funding

- 371 This study was supported by the ANR project Bigtooth (ANR-11-BSV7-008) and the French Polar
- 372 Institute (IPEV programme 136).

373 References

- Pergams O.R.W., Ashley M.V. 2001 Microevolution in island rodents. *Genetica* 112-113, 245 256.
- Travisano M., Mongold J.A., Bennet A.F., Lenski R.E. 1995 Experimental tests of the roles of
 adaptation, chance, and history in evolution. *Science* 267, 87-90.
- Keller S.R., Taylor D.R. 2008 History, chance and adaptation during biological invasion:
 separating stochastic phenotypic evolution from response to selection. *Ecology Letters* **11**(852-866).
 Berry R.J. 1973 Chance and change in British long-tailed field mice (*Apodemus sylvaticus*).
- Berry R.J. 1973 Chance and change in British long-tailed field mice (*Apodemus sy Journal of Zoology, London* 170, 351-366.
- 382 5. Carroll S.P. 2008 Facing change: forms and foundations of contemporary adaptation to biotic
 383 invasions. *Molecular Ecology* 17, 361-372.
- Losos J.B., Schoener T.W., Warheit K.I., Creer D. 2001 Experimental studies of adaptive
 differentiation in Bahamian *Anolis* lizards. *Genetica* **112-113**, 399-415.
- Losos J.B., Ricklefs R.E. 2009 Adaptation and diversification on islands. *Nature* 457, 830-836.
 Beldade P., Koops K., Brakefield P.M. 2002 Developmental constraints versus flexibility in morphological evolution. *Nature* 416, 844-847.
- 389 9. Berry R.J. 1996 Small mammal differentiation on islands. *Philosophical Transactions of the* 390 *Royal Society, London B* **351**(1341), 753-764.
- 391 10. Vigne J.-D., Zazzo A., Thomas C., Guilaine J. 2014 The transportation of mammals sheds light
 392 on early voyaging and boats in the Mediterranean Sea. *Eurasian Prehistory* 10, 157-176.
- 11. Lowe S., M. B., S. B., M. D.P. 2000 100 of the World's Worst Invasive Alien Species. A
- 394 selection from the Global Invasive Species Database. pp. 1-12, The Invasive Species Specialist Group.
- Guénet J.-L., Bonhomme F. 2003 Wild mice: an ever-increasing contribution to a popular
 mammalian model. *Trends in Genetics* **19**(1), 24-31.
- Berry R.J., Peters J., Van Aarde R.J. 1978 Sub-antarctic House mice: colonization, survival and
 selection. *Journal of Zoology, London* 184, 127-141.
- Bonhomme F., Orth A., Cucchi T., Rajabi-Maham H., Catalan J., Boursot P., Auffray J.-C.,
 Britton-Davidian J. 2011 Genetic differentiation of the house mouse around the Mediterranean
 basin: matrilineal footprints of early and late colonization. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Biological Sciences (serie B)* 278, 1034-1043.
- Hardouin E.A., Orth A., Teschke M., Darvish J., Tautz D., Bonhomme F. 2015 Eurasian house
 mouse (*Mus musculus* L.) differentiation at microsatellite loci identifies the Iranian plateau as a
 phylogeographic hotspot. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 15, 26. (doi:10.1186/s12862-015-0306-4).
 Searle J.B., Jones C.S., Gündüz İ., Scascitelli M., Jones E.P., Herman J.S., Victor R.R., Noble L.R.,
- 407 Berry R.J., Giménez M.D., et al. 2009 Of mice and (Viking?) men: phylogeography of British and Irish

- 408 house mice. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Biological Sciences (series B)* 276, 201-207.
 409 (doi:doi:10.1098/rspb.2008.0958).
- 410 17. Cucchi T. 2008 Uluburun shipwreck stowaway house mouse: molar shape analysis and
- indirect clues about the vessel's last journey. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 35, 2953-2959.
 (doi:doi:10.1016/j.jas.2008.06.016).

18. Cucchi T., Bălăşescu A., Bem C., Radu V., Vigne J.-D., Tresset A. 2011 New insights into the
invasive process of the eastern house mouse (*Mus musculus musculus*): Evidence from the burnt
houses of Chalcolithic Romania. *The Holocene* **21**(8), 1195-1202.

416 19. Piras P., Marcolini F., Raia P., Curcio M.T., Kotsakis T. 2009 Testing evolutionary stasis and
417 trends in first lower molar shape of extinct Italian populations of *Terricola savii* (Arvicolidae,

- Rodentia) by means of geometric morphometrics. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **22**(1), 179-191.
- Wolf M., Friggens M., Salazar-Bravo J. 2009 Does weather shape rodents? Climate related
 changes in morphology of two heteromyid species. *Naturwissenschaften* **96**, 93-101.
- Renaud S., Pantalacci S., Auffray J.-C. 2011 Differential evolvability along lines of least
 resistance of upper and lower molars in island house mice. *PLoS One* 6(5), e18951.
- 423 (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0018951).
- Britton-Davidian J., Catalan J., Ramalhinho M.d.G., Auffray J.-C., Nunes A.C., Gazave E., Searle
 J.B., Mathias M.d.L. 2005 Chromosomal phylogeny of Robertsonian races of the house mouse on the
 island of Madeira: testing between alternative mutational processes. *Genetics Research* 86(03), 171183.
- Renaud S., Hardouin E.A., Pisanu B., Chapuis J.-L. 2013 Invasive house mice facing a changing
 environment on the Sub-Antarctic Guillou Island (Kerguelen Archipelago). *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 26, 612-624.
- 431 24. Valenzuela-Lamas S., Baylac M., Cucchi T., Vigne J.-D. 2011 House mouse dispersal in Iron Age 432 Spain: a geometric morphometrics appraisal. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **102**, 483-497.
- 433 25. Hardouin E., Chapuis J.-L., Stevens M.I., van Vuuren J.B., Quillfeldt P., Scavetta R.J., Teschke
- 434 M., Tautz D. 2010 House mouse colonization patterns on the sub-Antarctic Kerguelen Archipelago 435 suggest singular primary invasions and resilience against re-invasion. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **10**,
- 436 325. (doi:<u>www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2148/10/325)</u>.
- 437 26. Tamura K., Stecher G., Peterson D., Filipski A., Kumar S. 2013 MEGA6: Molecular evolutionary 438 genetics analysis version 6.0. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **30**, 2725-2729.
- 439 27. Nachman M.W., Boyer S.N., Searle J.B., Aquadro C.F. 1994 Mitochondrial DNA variation and
 440 the evolution of Robertsonian chromosomal races of house mice, *Mus domesticus. Genetics* 136,
 441 1105-1120.
- 442 28. Searle J.B., Jones C.S., Gündüz I., Scascitelli M., Jones E.P., Herman J.S., Rambau R.V., Noble
- L.R., Berry R.J., Giménez M.D., et al. 2009 Of mice and (Viking?) men: phylogeography of British and Irish house mice. *Proceedings of the Royal Society, London B* **276**, 201-207.
- 445 29. Librado P., Rozas J. 2009 DnaSP v5: A software for comprehensive analysis of DNA 446 polymorphism data. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1451-1452.
- 447 30. van Vuuren B.J., Chown S.L. 2007 Genetic evidence confirms the origin of the house mouse
 448 on sub-Antarctic Marion Island. *Polar Biology* **30**, 327-332.
- 449 31. Gouy M., Guindon S., Gascuel O., Lyon D. 2010 SeaView version 4: A multiplatform graphical
- user interface for sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree building. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 27, 221-224.
- 452 32. Guindon S., Dufayard J.-F., Lefort V., Anisimova M., Hordijk W., Gascuel O. 2010 New
- 453 algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of
 454 PhyML 3.0. *Systematic Biology* 59, 307-321.
- 455 33. Darriba D., Taboada G.L., Doallo R., Posada D. 2012 jModelTest 2: more models, new 456 heuristics and parallel computing. *Nature Methods* **9**, 772. (doi:10.1038/nmeth.2109).

- 457 34. Akaike H. 1973 Information theory as an extension of the maximum likelihood principle. In
 458 Second International Symposium on Information Theory (ed. Kiado A.), pp. 267-281. Budapest,
 459 Hungary.
- 460 35. Bookstein F.L. 1997 Landmark methods for forms without landmarks: morphometrics of 461 group differences in outline shape. *Medical Image Analysis* **1**, 225-243.
- 462 36. Gunz P., Mitteroecker P., Bookstein F.L. 2005 Semilandmarks in three dimensions. Modern
 463 morphometrics in physical anthropology, pp. 73–98, Springer, In *Modern Morphometrics in Physical*464 *Anthropology* (ed. Slice D.E.).
- 37. Schlager S. 2014 Morpho: Calculations and visualizations related to Geometric
 Morphometrics. version (p. R package, 2.0.0.140402 ed.
- 46738.Schlager S. 2013 mesheR: Meshing operations on triangular meshes. R package version 0.4-46800. . (https://github.com/zarquon42b/mesheR.
- 469 39. Gower J.C. 1966 Some distance properties of latent root and vector methods used in
 470 multivariate analysis. *Biometrika* 53, 325-338.
- 471 40. Paradis E., Claude J., Strimmer K. 2004 APE: analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in R
 472 language. *Bioinformatics* 20, 289-290.
- 473 41. Revell L.J. 2012 phytools: An R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other
 474 things). *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **3**, 217-223.
- 475 42. Hijmans R.J., van Etten J. 2014 raster: Geographic data analysis and modelling. . (p. R
 476 package, 2.2-12 ed.
- 477 43. Dray S., Dufour A.-B. 2007 The ade4 package: implementing the duality diagram for
 478 ecologists. *Journal of Statistical Software* 22, 1-20.
- 479 44. Peres-Neto P.R., Jackson D.A. 2001 How well do multivariate data sets match? The
 480 advantages of a Procrustean superimposition approach over the Mantel test. *Oecologia* **129**, 169481 178.
- 482 45. Oksanen J., Blanchet F.G., Kindt R., Legendre P., Minchin P.R., O'Hara R.B., Simpson G.L.,
- Solymos P., Stevens M.H.H., Wagner H. 2013 vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version
 2.0-10. <u>http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan</u>. (
- 485 46. Günduz İ., Auffray J.-C., Britton-Davidian J., Catalan J., Ganem G., Ramalhinho M.G., Mathias
- 486 M.L., Searle J.B. 2001 Molecular studies on the colonization of the Madeiran archipelago by house
 487 mice. *Molecular Ecology* 10, 2023-2029.
- 488 47. Macholan M. 2006 A geometric morphometric analysis of the shape of the first upper molar
 489 in mice of the genus *Mus* (Muridae, Rodentia). *Journal of Zoology* 270(4), 672-681.
- 48. Misonne X. 1969 African and Indo-Australian Muridae. Evolutionary trends. Tervuren,
 491 Belgique, Musée Royal de l'Afrique Centrale; 219 p.
- 49. Renaud S., Pantalacci S., Quéré J.-P., Laudet V., Auffray J.-C. 2009 Developmental constraints
 493 revealed by co-variation within and among molar rows in two murine rodents. *Evolution and*494 *Development* **11**(5), 590-602. (doi:DOI: 10.111/j.1525-142X.2009.00365.x).
- 495 50. Granjon L., Cheylan G. 1988 Mécanismes de coexistence dans une guilde de muridés insulaire
 496 (*Rattus rattus L., Apodemus sylvaticus L. et Mus musculus domesticus* Rutty) en Corse: conséquences
 497 évolutives. *Zeitschrift für Säugetierkunde* 53, 301-316.
- 498 51. Nunes A.C., Britton-Davidian J., Catalan J., Ramalhinho M.G., Capela R., Mathias M.L., Ganem
- G. 2005 Influence of physical environmental characteristics and anthropogenic factors on the
 position and structure of a contact zone between two chromosomal races of the house mouse on the
- 501 island of Madeira (North Atlantic, Portugal). *Journal of Biogeography* **32**(12), 2123-2134.
- 502 52. Gómez Cano A.R., Fernández M.H., Álvarez-Sierra M.Á. 2013 Dietary ecology of Murinae
- 503 (Muridae, Rodentia): A geometric morphometric approach. *PLoS ONE* **8**(11), e79080.
- 504 (doi:doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0079080).
- 505 53. Le Roux V., Chapuis J.-L., Frenot Y., Vernon P. 2002 Diet of the house mouse (*Mus musculus*) 506 on Guillou Island, Kerguelen archipelago, Subantarctic. *Polar Biology* **25**, 49-57.

- 507 54. Smith V.R., Avenant N.L., Chown S.L. 2002 The diet and impact of house mice on a sub-508 Antarctic island. Polar Biology 25, 703-715. (doi:doi 10.1007/s00300-002-0405-8). 509 55. van Aarde R.J., Jackson T.P. 2007 Food, reproduction and survival in mice on sub-Antarctic 510 Marion Island. Polar Biology 30, 503-511. (doi:doi 10.1007/s00300-006-0209-3). 511 56. Ganem G. 2012 Behavior, ecology, and speciation in the house mouse. In Evolution of the 512 house mouse (eds. Macholan M., Baird S.J.E., Munclinger P., Pialek J.), pp. 373-406. Cambridge, 513 Cambridge University Press. 514 57. Chapuis J.-L., Boussès P., Barnaud G. 1994 Alien mammals, impact and management in the 515 French subantarctic islands. *Biological Conservation* 67, 97-104. 516 Reisinger R.R., De Bruyn P.J.N., Tosh C.A., Oosthuizen W.C., Mufanadzo N.T., Bester M.N. 58. 517 2011 Prey and seasonal abundance of killer whales at sub-Antarctic Marion Island. African Journal of 518 Marine Science 33, 99-105. 519 Millien V. 2006 Morphological evolution is accelerated among island mammals. PLoS Biology 59. 520 **4**(10), e321. (doi:DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0040321). 521 60. Cucchi T., Barnett R., Martinkova N., Renaud S., Renvoisé E., Evin A., Sheridan A., Mainland I., 522 Wickham-Jones C., Tougard C., et al. 2014 The changing pace of insular life: 5000 years of 523 microevolution in the Orkney vole (Microtus arvalis orcadensis). Evolution 68(10), 2804-2820. 524 (doi:10.1111/evo.12476). 525 Caumul R., Polly P.D. 2005 Phylogenetic and environmental components of morphological 61. 526 variation: skull, mandible, and molar shape in marmots (Marmota, Rodentia). Evolution 59(11), 2460-527 2472. 528 62. Renaud S., Auffray J.-C. 2013 The direction of main phenotypic variance as a channel to 529 morphological evolution: case studies in murine rodents. Hystrix, The Italian Journal of Mammalogy 530 **24**(1), 85-93. (doi:10.4404/hystrix-24.1-6296).
- 531 63. Schluter D. 1996 Adaptive radiation along genetic lines of least resistance. *Evolution* 50(5),
 532 1766-1774.
- 533 64. Polly P.D. 2001 On morphological clocks and paleophylogeography: towards a timescale for 534 *Sorex* hybrid zones. *Genetica* **112-113**, 339-357.
- 535 65. Salazar-Ciudad I., Marin-Riera M. 2013 Adaptive dynamics under development-based 536 genotype-phenotype maps. *Nature* **497**, 361-364.
- 537
- 538
- 539

541 Tables

Group	Geographic area	$N_{\rm 3D}$	N_{2D}	N_{genet}	N_{haplo}	Div _{genet}
El Hierro	Canary	8	37	55	8	0.1
La Palma	Canary	3	38	38	10	0.1
Tenerife	Canary	4	35	48	8	0.2
Eday	Orkney	8	18	11	3	0
Faray	Orkney	9	12	5	1	0
Papa Westray	Orkney	7	10	9	2	0
Sanday	Orkney	6	8	7	1	0
Guillou	Sub-Antartic	13	44	79	1	0
1993 and 2009						
Marion	Sub-Antartic	9	92	10	2	0.1
Madeira	Madeira	12	103	112	32	0.3
Col-Bonn	Western Europe	4	14	57	29	0.9
Southern France	Western Europe	3	81	71	32	0.5
Northern Italy	Western Europe	4	40	30	26	0.9

542

543 **Table 1.** Sampling of the study: Group (zone of trapping) and corresponding geographic area, number

of first upper molars in the 3D morphometric analysis (N_{3D}) and in the 2D comparison (N_{2D}) , number

545 of D-loop sequences (N_{genet}), numbers of haplotypes documented (N_{haplo}), genetic diversity (Div_{genet},

546 within-group p-distance in %).

547

548 Figure captions

Figure 1. (A) Map of the localities sampled for morphometrics. (B) Phylogenetic tree based on D-loop
 sequences. Genetic sampling was designed to encompass at best the diversity of Western European

551 mice, as well as the islands studied. Haplogroups defined by Bonhomme et al. (2011) are provided.

552 **Figure 2.** First upper molar differentiation in the morphospace based on 3D morphometrics. Symbols

are group means linked by the phylogenetic relationship based on D-loop distances. Envelopes depict

range of variation of the geographic groups. Depicted shape changes: along PC1 (from -0.06 to 0.04)

- 555 and PC2 (from -0.04 to 0.06).
- Figure 3. Summary of a model of 3D tooth shape vs. explanatory variables: size, phylogeny, ecology
 and climate and visualization of the various effects. Allometry: Shape change with a size increase
 from 5% to 95% of the distribution. The following representations were computed based on the 29
 first PCs (totaling more than 95% of variance) on the size-free variables. Phylogeny: Changes along

17

560 the first phylogenetic axis, roughly corresponding to changes from Western Europe to the 561 Macaronesian cluster. Ecology: Change from presence to absence of interspecific competition. 562 Climate: Changes along the first climatic axis (opposing warm, dry to cold, wet environments) and 563 along the second climatic axis (opposing seasonal, continental environments to non-seasonal, insular 564 environments). In all cases, the shape change between 5% and 95% of the distribution is visualized. 565 The residuals of the model including size, phylogeny, climate and ecology were decomposed into 566 between and within-group variance. Shape changes along the first axis of within-group variance are 567 depicted (+/-0.4 along wgPC1).

568

569 Supplementary File captions

570 **Supplementary Figure 1.** Design of 3D quantification of first upper molar shape. From top to bottom:

571 (1) original shape of the tooth obtained by semi-automatic segmentation of the CT-scan. (2) Design

of a template, with top of the cusps cut off to minimize the impact of wear. (3) Template on the

tooth on which it has been designed. (4) Adjustment of the template to another tooth. In blue

574 landmarks used for preliminary superimposition of the templates. In red sliding-landmarks that will

be used afterward: front crown-root junction; inflexion between front and lingual root; inflexion

576 between lingual and posterior root; lateral maximum of curvature on the anterior lingual cusp, and

577 on the anterior and median labial cusps; base of the protocone and of the hypocone.

578 **Supplementary Figure 2.** Phylogenetic tree constructed using a geographic subsample matching the 579 morphometric sampling (see Supp. Table 2).

580 **Supplementary Figure 3.** Centroid size differences of the upper molar between geographic groups.

581 Supplementary Figure 4. First upper molar differentiation in the morphospace based on 2D

582 morphometrics. Axes are the first and second principal components of a PCA on descriptors (FCs) of

the molar 2D outline. A. Group means linked by the phylogenetic relationship based on D-loop

584 distances. B. Range of variation of the geographic groups in the same morphospace.

585

586 Supplementary Table 1. D-loop accession numbers of sequences used for the global phylogeographic
587 tree (Figure 1).

- 588 Supplementary Table 2. List of haplotypes and number of samples (when available) for the localities
- 589 matching the morphometric sampling.
- 590 **Supplementary Table 3.** Ecological data with references for the different localities.
- 591 Supplementary Table 4. Detailed dataset including morphometric, climatic, ecological, and
- 592 transformed genetic data.
- 593
- 594
- 595
- 596