Lack of dissemination of acquired resistance to β -lactam in small wild mammals around an isolated village in the Amazonian forest

Nathalie Grall ^{1,2,3}, Olivier Barraud ^{4,5,6}, Ingrid Wieder ³, Anna Hua ³, Marion Perrier ³, Ana Babosan⁷, Margaux Gaschet ⁴, Olivier Clermont ^{1,2}, Erick Denamur ^{1,2}, François Catzefflis ⁸, Dominique Decré^{7,9,10}, Marie-Cécile Ploy^{4,5,6}, Antoine Andreumont^{1,2,3}

¹ INSERM, IAME, UMR 1137, F-75018 Paris, France

² Univ Paris Diderot, IAME, UMR 1137, Sorbonne Paris Cité, F-75018 Paris, France

³ AP-HP, Hôpital Bichat, Laboratoire de Microbiologie, F-75018 Paris, France

⁴ CHU Limoges, Laboratoire de Bactériologie-Virologie-Hygiène, Limoges, France

⁵ INSERM U1092, Limoges, France

⁶ Univ Limoges, UMR-S1092, Limoges, France

⁷ AP-HP, Hôpital Saint-Antoine, Laboratoire de Bactériologie-Hygiène, F-75012 Paris, France

⁸ CNRS UMR-5554, Institut des Sciences de l'Evolution, Univ Montpellier-2, Montpellier, France

⁹ Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, CR7, Centre d'Immunologie et des Maladies Infectieuses, CIMI, team E13 (Bacteriology), Paris, France

¹⁰ INSERM, U1135, Centre d'Immunologie et des Maladies Infectieuses, CIMI, Team E13, Paris, France

Keywords: antibiotic resistance, wild animals, selective pressure, integron

Running title: Lack of dissemination of antibiotic resistance in the wild

Corresponding author: N. Grall

Hôpital Bichat – Claude Bernard

Laboratoire de Bactériologie

46, rue Henri Huchard

75018 Paris, France

nathalie.grall@bch.aphp.fr

Tel: + 33 (0)1 40 25 85 07

Fax: + 33 (0)1 40 25 85 81

Summary

In this study we quantitatively evaluated the spread of resistance to β -lactams and of integrons in small rodents and marsupials living at various distances from a point of antibiotic's use. Rectal swabs from 114 animals were collected in Trois-Sauts, an isolated village in French Guiana, and along a 3 km transect heading through the nonanthropized primary forest. Prevalence of ticarcillin resistant enterobacteria was 36% (41/114). *Klebsiella* spp., naturally resistant to ticarcillin, were found in 31.1% (23/73) of animals from the village and in an equal ratio of 31.7% (13/41) of animals trapped along the transect. By contrast *E. coli* with acquired resistance to ticarcillin were found in 13.7% (10/73) of animals from the village and in only 2.4% (1/41) of those from the transect (600 m from the village). There was a huge diversity of *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* strains with very unique and infrequent sequence types. The overall prevalence of class 1 integrons carriage was 19.3% (22/114) homogenously distributed between animals from the village and the transect, which suggests a co-selection by a non-antibiotic environmental factor. Our results indicate that the anthropogenic acquired antibiotic resistance did not disseminate in the wild far from the point of selective pressure.

Introduction

Antibiotic resistance is a threat to public health worldwide. Its rapid growth combined with the lack of antibiotic innovation leads to increase of infections due to totally resistant bacteria (Boucher *et al.*, 2009). We know a great deal about the genetics and biochemistry of bacterial resistance to antibiotics but much less on the paths of dissemination of resistance genes in nature. Ancestral resistance genes have been present in environmental bacteria for very long period of time where their actual role is not fully understood (Bhullar *et al.*, 2012). They disseminated to human bacteria only very recently. This is believe to be because resistance confers bacteria a decisive advantage to confront the antibiotic residues that are often present in the environmental and commensal ecosystems as a consequence of the human use of antibiotic, not only in medicine but also in the food-chain industry (Marshall and Levy, 2011; Lupo *et al.*, 2012). In these ecosystems bacteria of various origins can mix and exchange resistance genes. Concerning enterobacteria which are intestinal commensal but also major potential pathogens, resistance levels are extremely alarming worldwide (Woerther *et al.*, 2013b) and the intestinal microbiota is considered to be the “epicenter” where bacteria of various origins can exchange resistance genes and disseminate (Carlet, 2012). For instance, the transfer of bacterial resistance from animals, particularly food-chain ones, to humans through the intestinal microbiota is extensively studied to assess the risk associated with animal use of antibiotics for human health (Levy *et al.*, 1976; Van den Bogaard *et al.*, 1997). The reverse transfer of resistance from humans to wild animals is considered a marker of the impact of human usage of antibiotics on the environment (Skurnik *et al.*, 2006).

Wild animals are not normally exposed to antimicrobials, but they might come in contact with resistant bacteria through direct and indirect interactions with humans and domestic animals (Marshall *et al.*, 1990). Several studies showed higher bacterial resistance rates in wild

animals living in close proximity to humans or agriculture compared to those living in more isolated areas (Rolland *et al.*, 1985; Cole *et al.*, 2005; Skurnik *et al.*, 2006; Kozak *et al.*, 2009; Allen *et al.*, 2011). The presence of integrons has also been used as a marker of the level of selection pressure (Kang *et al.*, 2005; Skurnik *et al.*, 2006; Stalder *et al.*, 2012). The level of bacterial resistance in the intestinal microbiota of wild animals seems to depend on the intensity of their direct contacts with human populations and secondary transmission seems rare (Sjolund *et al.*, 2008). However, controlled studies are lacking and it is unknown how far resistance can spread in the wild through animal transmission due to the selective pressure that follows human use of antibiotics. Here we took advantage that we were studying bacterial resistance in a population of Wayampi Amerindians living isolated in the village of Trois-Sauts in the midst of the Amazonian forest. Resulting from the care they receive in the village health post, they are exposed to well characterized quantities of antibiotics (Woerther *et al.*, 2010; Woerther *et al.*, 2013a). In order to gather data on the consecutive dissemination of resistance genes we trapped small wild rodents and marsupials at various distances from the village and analyzed their feces. We explored as a model the dissemination of β -lactam resistance because it was the most commonly used class of antibiotics in the village of Trois-Sauts (58% of all treatments) followed by metronidazole (21%) and macrolides (11%). Others antibiotics (ofloxacin, doxycycline, cotrimoxazole and nitroxoline) were anecdotal (Woerther *et al.*, 2010). β -lactam resistance was analyzed in *E. coli* on one hand and in *Klebsiella* spp. on the other, taking advantage that it is an acquired trait in *E. coli* whereas it is a natural trait in *Klebsiella* spp.

Results and discussion

The sampling was performed during three campaigns (October 2006, June 2008, and October 2010) that we carried out in the village of Trois-Sauts (in the municipality of Camopi, French Guiana: 02°15' N, 52°52' W) where we were studying bacterial resistance in the microbiota of the villagers (Skurnik *et al.*, 2008; Ruimy *et al.*, 2010; Woerther *et al.*, 2010; Catzefflis, 2012; Lebeaux *et al.*, 2012; Angebault *et al.*, 2013; Lescat *et al.*, 2013; Woerther *et al.*, 2013a). The setting is rather unique because it combines the presence of an isolated and stable human population still living in a traditional manner in a completely wild forestall environment. However, this population is exposed to antibiotics due to the presence in the village of a health post where a resident paramedic treats villagers with antibiotics when necessary. In all, we collected 114 small wild mammals (rodents and marsupials) known to have a limited perimeter of life of few hectares (Catzefflis F., personal communication) by setting traps in the village (73 animals) and every 300 m from the village in a standardized manner (Mauffrey *et al.*, 2007; Catzefflis, 2012) along a 3000m transect that we draw in the forest in non anthropized zones (41 animals) (Fig. 1 and Table S1). There were 100 rodents and 14 marsupials, speciated as described (Voss *et al.*, 2001; Wilson and Reeder, 2005; Weksler *et al.*, 2006; Voss and Jansa, 2009), including 38 *Nectomys rattus*, 22 *Proechimys cuvieri*, 13 *Oecomys bicolor*, 13 *Hylaeamys megacephalus*, 4 *Oecomys rutilus*, 4 *Proechimys guyanensis*, 2 *Euryoryzomys macconnelli*, 2 *Makalata didelphoides*, 1 *Neacomys paracou*, 1 *Neusticomys oyapocki*, 8 *Marmosa murina*, 2 *Philander opossum*, 1 *Marmosops pinheiroi*, 1 *Didelphis imperfecta*, 1 *Didelphis marsupialis* and 1 *Marmosa demerarae*. A rectal swab was performed on each captured animal before it was released after being marked to avoid sampling twice the same animal.

Spatial distribution of β -lactam resistance in small wild mammal microbiota

All samples analyzed contained viable enterobacteria. Ticarcillin resistant enterobacteria were detected in 41/114 (36%) samples. The resistant species were *Klebsiella* spp. only in 30/114 (26.3%) samples, *E. coli* only in 5/114 (4.4%) samples and both in 6/114 (5.3%) samples. However, there were notable differences in the spatial distribution of the two species. Naturally resistant *Klebsiella* spp. strains were found in 23/73 (31.5%) samples from animals trapped in the village and in a very close ratio of 13/41 (31.7%) from those from animals trapped elsewhere along the transect (Tables 1, and S1). Moreover, the percentages did not vary significantly with increasing distance from the village, being 2/14 (14.3%), 4/12 (33.3%) and 7/15 (46.7%) on the 1st, the 2nd and the 3rd kilometers of the transect respectively (Fig. 2). By contrast, *E. coli* isolates with acquired resistance to ticarcillin were found in 10/73 (13.7%) samples from animals trapped in the village, but in only 1/41 (2.4%) of those from elsewhere along the transect, at 600 m from the village. As a reminder, the rate of this type of resistance in the dominant *E. coli* from the villagers was 20.4% (Lescat *et al.*, 2013). Our current results indicate that acquired resistance to β -lactams did not spread far from the point of selective pressure in the wild. This probably results from cost fitness of acquired antibiotic resistance in the absence of selective pressure. Several studies have already shown in a qualitative way that the level of antibiotic resistance in the microbiota of wild animals is higher in those living in close proximity to human's activity than in those without contact with humans (Rolland *et al.*, 1985; Cole *et al.*, 2005; Skurnik *et al.*, 2006; Kozak *et al.*, 2009; Allen *et al.*, 2011). However, to our knowledge, our study is the first to assess quantitatively that acquired resistance to β -lactam does not disseminate far in the wild in absence of selective pressure. Resistance to third generation cephalosporins was not detected in any sample.

Characteristics of *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* spp. strains

A total of 16 ticarcillin resistant *E. coli* strains were isolated from the 11 ticarcillin resistant *E. coli* positive samples. A TEM-1 penicillinase conferring resistance to amoxicillin and ticarcillin was present in all strains. Five (31.2%) were susceptible to all the others antibiotics tested (Table 2) while 7 (43.7%), including the one from the 600 m point (Ec-600-1), were co-resistant to trimethoprim and sulfonamides. The 4 remaining strains had each a unique pattern of co-resistance, combining sulfonamides and/or trimethoprim and/or tetracycline and/or kanamycin. Nine strains belonged to the two phylogroups most often shared by commensal *E. coli*, i.e. groups A (4 strains) and B1 (5 strains) while 3 strains were from group C, 2 from group D and 2 from group E (Table 2). Of note, no B2 strain was found. A high genetic diversity was observed. Indeed, except for 2 sequence type (ST) 2690 strains that were indistinguishable by rep-PCR (Ec-0-5 and Ec-0-12 from the village), each strain had a distinct rep-pattern (Fig. 3 (a)). Strains Ec-0-1 and Ec-0-15, both isolated from animals trapped in the village, were from ST155 but had different rep-patterns and antibiotic susceptibilities. The 12 other strains had each a unique ST and half of them had never been described. Furthermore, the *gyrB* allele of Ec-0-4 had not been reported before. In all, 42.8% (6/14) of the ST found in these animal strains had never been described, in accordance with a previous work in the same region (Lescat *et al.*, 2013). The mean virulence score in these 16 strains was low at 1.3 (range 0 to 4), with mainly virulence determinants involved in iron capture. The most frequent virulence genes were *fyuA* and *irp2*, which belong to the high pathogenicity island (HPI) found in enterobacteria. A great diversity was also observed among plasmid carrying *bla*_{TEM-1}. The most commonly occurring TEM-1 plasmid replicon was IncHI1 (5 strains), followed by IncX (4 strains), IncFII (3 strains), IncFIA (1 strain) and IncB/O (1 strain). The replicons could not be determined for 5/16 (31.2%) strains. All incompatibility groups detected had been previously described in human and animal enterobacteria strains. However, the

distribution was unusual: no IncFIB and few IncFII were found in favor of IncHI1 (Johnson *et al.*, 2007; Marcade *et al.*, 2009). The diversity of plasmid replicons could also be explained by the diversity and connectivity of gamma-proteobacteria in the wild forest environment, resulting in highly promiscuous exchange of mobile genetic elements. The huge genetic diversity among the 16 ticarcillin resistant *E. coli* strains and their plasmids suggests that the dissemination of ticarcillin resistance was more likely due to *bla*_{TEM-1}, maybe through Tn3-type transposon (Marcade *et al.*, 2009), than bacterial strains or plasmids spread.

A total of 36 *Klebsiella* spp. strains were isolated, including 34 *K. pneumoniae* and 2 *K. oxytoca*. A high genetic diversity without clonal spread was also observed among the 34 *K. pneumoniae* strains (Fig. 3(b) and Table 3) with only one pair sharing the same rep-patterns and the same ST (Kp-2400-2 and Kp-2400-3 from 2 samples from the 2400 m point). Two other pairs shared the same ST but a different rep-pattern (Kp-0-22 and Kp-600-1; Kp-0-9 and Kp-2400-5). Each of the 28 remaining strains had a distinct ST. Among the 31 unique STs found, 24 (77.4%) were described for the first time, with new alleles for 7 of them. All strains had the usual natural resistance phenotype of *Klebsiella* spp., *i.e.* resistance to amoxicillin and ticarcillin, with no co-resistance. All carried the *entB* siderophore gene and the *ycfM* adhesin gene, 27/34 (79.4%) the *kpn* adhesin gene, 24/34 (70.6%) the fimbriae *fimH1* gene and 26/34 (76.5%) the *kfuABC* gene (Table 3). There was no phenotypically hypermucoviscous strain and *magA* (specific for K1 serotype) or *rmpA* genes which are associated with this phenotype were not detected in any strain. Interestingly, 3 of these commensal strains from wild rodents (3 *Proechimys cuvieri*, 2 from the 2400 m point and one from the village) had the *allS* gene which had been strongly associated with strains isolated from liver abscess (Chou *et al.*, 2004). Furthermore, one strain had the *ybtS* gene (*Proechimys cuvieri* from the 2100 m point), which comes from the Ybt operons of the HPI cited above (Geoffroy *et al.*, 2000). Capsular serotype was determined for two strains, Kp-0-8 (K20) and

Kp-0-17 (K5) from two animals trapped in the village. No strain from capsular serotype K1, K2, K54 or K57 was found. Altogether this showed that the *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* strains from these wild animals were particular and unfrequently described. It also suggested that the known ST-types are those prevalent in developed countries and that the number of different niches allowing enterobacteria proliferation is much larger in this complex forest environment, explaining enterobacteria local specialization and diversification. However, all the *K. pneumoniae* strains had the *entB* siderophore gene, and frequently the *kpn* and *ycfM* adhesin genes, fimbriae *fimH1* and *kfuABC* genes, just as strains of human origin.

Detection of intestinal carriage of *bla*_{TEM}

We further investigated carriage by the animals of *bla*_{TEM} gene by PCR screening of the global Drigalski culture from each sample. We found only 5 positive samples, all from animals trapped in the village and none from animals living in any other place on the transect (data not shown). Ticarcillin resistant *E. coli* strains had indeed been isolated in these 5 samples. By contrast the *bla*_{TEM} PCR was negative in the 6 other samples from animals in whom ticarcillin resistant *E. coli* strains had also been isolated, including the one trapped at 600 m from the village. This suggests that PCR on global Drigalski cultures was less sensitive than further screening of these cultures on selective media and performing PCR on the resulting strains for detection of *bla*_{TEM} genes.

Prevalence of class 1, 2 and 3 integrons and gene cassettes characterization

We also investigated the carriage by the animals of class 1, 2 and 3 integrons by multiplex qPCR screening of the global Drigalski culture from each sample. Class 1 integrons were detected in 22/114 (19.3%) of the samples, with no significant difference between those from animals trapped in the village or along the transect (11/73 (15.1%) vs 11/41 (26.8%)) (Tables

221 1 and S1). There was no detectable spatial distribution along it either, the prevalence being of
 222 4/14 (28.6%), 2/12 (16.7%) 5/15 (33.3%) for the 1st, 2nd and 3rd kilometers of the transect
 223 respectively (Fig. 4). Class 2 integrons, which were not further studied, were detected in 3
 224 samples only, coming from one animal trapped in the village, one at the 300 m point and one
 225 at the 2400 m point. No class 3 integron was detected. The homogenous distribution of class 1
 226 integrons between the village and the transect, independently of antibiotic selective pressure,
 227 is in appearance strikingly different from the heterogeneous distribution of acquired resistance
 228 described in previous studies (Cole *et al.*, 2005; Skurnik *et al.*, 2006), which found that
 229 integrons were only present when antibiotic selective pressure exceeds a certain threshold.
 230 The analysis of the gene cassettes in class 1 integrons showed little diversity with seven
 231 different gene cassette arrays (Table 4). The gene cassettes encoded resistance to
 232 trimethoprim (*dhfrA7*, *dhfrA15*, *dhfrA21*), spectinomycin and streptomycin (*aadA1*, *aadA2*,
 233 *aadA6*) or chloramphenicol (*cmlA4*). *aadA6* was the most frequently found gene cassette
 234 (6/22 (27.3%)). One sample (*Oecomys rutilus*) from the 2400 m trapping point had 2 different
 235 integrons, one with the *dhfrA21* gene cassette and one with the *aadA1* gene cassette. However,
 236 we were not able to characterize the gene cassette arrays for 8/22 (36%) class 1 integron-
 237 positive samples because we failed to obtain amplification products with the endpoint PCR
 238 with 5'CS and 3'CS primers. We can hypothesize that these integrons do not contain the 3'-
 239 conserved segment in which the 3' CS primer hybridizes, as described especially for class 1
 240 integrons detected in non-clinical strains (Gillings *et al.*, 2008). The genes cassette found in
 241 these integrons were coding for resistance towards antibiotics which were not used in the
 242 village. The presence of integrons carrying resistance gene cassettes in the intestinal
 243 microbiota of wild animals not exposed to antibiotic selective pressure has been observed
 244 before (Sunde, 2005; Goncalves *et al.*, 2013) but its significance has yet to be elucidated. All
 245 genes cassette detected had been previously described in human or animal commensal strains

(Kang *et al.*, 2005; Peirano *et al.*, 2006; Kadlec and Schwarz, 2008; Michael *et al.*, 2008). It is of note that the method used didn't allowed to characterize the gene cassette arrays for 8/22 (36%) class 1 integron positive samples, thus we cannot rule out that other gene cassettes could have been present there.

Detection of *merA*

We hypothesized that the stable prevalence of class 1 integrons in the village and along the transect could be due to a co-selection by mercury. Indeed, the *mer* operon encoding for mercury resistance has often been described as associated to class 1 integron within the Tn21-like transposon (Skurnik *et al.*, 2006; Skurnik *et al.*, 2010) and this region of French Guiana has been heavily exposed to mercury in the past, because of gold mining prospection (Laperche *et al.*, 2014).

This was tested by screening the global Drigalski culture from each sample and the 16 tetracycline resistant *E. coli* strains for *merA* gene by PCR amplification as described (Deredjian *et al.*, 2011). However, we found only 1 sample positive, (from a *Makalata didelphoides*, which is an arboreal echimyid Caviomorpha, trapped in the village) and none of the strains were positive (data not shown). Thus, class 1 integrons might have been selected by a non-antibiotic environmental factor which remains to be determined. It could also reflect other opportunities in such a complex environment for spontaneous horizontal genetic transfer and random drift-based enrichment of transconjugants.

Certainly our study was limited by the relatively small number of samples available from the transect. This was in spite of considerable efforts of trapping (Table S2) whose yield was low. The effort or trapping was indeed 7 times higher along the transect than in the village (7900 vs 1047 trap-nights) and provided around twice less animals. The very small density of small

271 rodents and marsupials in the forest was probably due to reduced food resources (Catzefflis F.,
272 personal communication). Another limitation of our work was that we studied the resistance
273 to only one class of antibiotic, the β -lactam. But resistance to β -lactam was chosen as a model
274 because it was by far the most frequent antibiotics used in the village. Despite these
275 limitations, our results suggest that acquired resistance genes do not diffuse when antibiotic
276 selective pressure stops which is a strong incentive to control the use of antibiotics and all
277 kind of release of these molecules in the environment.

Acknowledgments/Funding

We thank the villagers for their help and their warm welcome and Gilles Peroz for excellent technical assistance. We are very grateful to Sylvie Nazaret and Catherine Branger for their help and technical support on mercury resistance and plasmid characterization respectively. The ERAES project was supported in part by the Agence Française de Sécurité Sanitaire de l'Environnement et du Travail (contracts ES-05-01 and EST-09-21), the Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (contract 05-9-114), the Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM; contracts C06-18 and C10-19), the Centre National de Référence "Résistance bactérienne dans les flores commensales", and the French government's investissement d'Avenir program, Laboratoire d'Excellence "Integrative Biology of Emerging Infectious Diseases" (grant ANR-10-LABX-62-IBEID). This work was also supported in part by EU-FP7 projects EVOTAR and R-Gnosis

Potential conflict of interest

All authors: No reported conflicts.

This work has been presented at the 34th RICAI, 2014 Nov. 27-28, Paris.

295 **References**

- 296 **Allen, S.E., Boerlin, P., Janecko, N., Lumsden, J.S., Barker, I.K., Pearl, D.L., et al.**
 297 (2011). Antimicrobial Resistance in Generic *Escherichia coli* Isolates from Wild Small
 298 Mammals Living in Swine Farm, Residential, Landfill, and Natural Environments in Southern
 299 Ontario, Canada. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 77: 882-888.
- 300 **Angebault, C., Djossou, F., Abelanet, S., Permal, E., Ben Soltana, M., Diancourt, L., et**
 301 **al.** (2013). *Candida albicans* Is Not Always the Preferential Yeast Colonizing Humans: A
 302 Study in Wayampi Amerindians. *J Infect Dis* 208: 1705-1716.
- 303 **Bachman, M.A., Oyler, J.E., Burns, S.H., Caza, M., Lepine, F., Dozois, C.M., and**
 304 **Weiser, J.N.** (2011). *Klebsiella pneumoniae* Yersiniabactin Promotes Respiratory Tract
 305 Infection through Evasion of Lipocalin 2. *Infect Immun* 79: 3309-3316.
- 306 **Bakour, R., Laroche, Y., and Cornelis, G.** (1983). Study of the Incompatibility and
 307 Replication of the 70-Kb Virulence Plasmid of *Yersinia*. *Plasmid* 10: 279-289.
- 308 **Barraud, O., Baclet, M.C., Denis, F., and Ploy, M.C.** (2010). Quantitative Multiplex Real-
 309 Time PCR for Detecting Class 1, 2 and 3 Integrons. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 65: 1642-1645.
- 310 **Bhullar, K., Waglehner, N., Pawlowski, A., Koteva, K., Banks, E.D., Johnston, M.D., et**
 311 **al.** (2012). Antibiotic Resistance Is Prevalent in an Isolated Cave Microbiome. *PLoS One* 7:
 312 e34953.
- 313 **Boucher, H.W., Talbot, G.H., Bradley, J.S., Edwards, J.E., Gilbert, D., Rice, L.B., et al.**
 314 (2009). Bad Bugs, No Drugs: No Escape! An Update from the Infectious Diseases Society of
 315 America. *Clin Infect Dis* 48: 1-12.
- 316 **Brisse, S., Fevre, C., Passet, V., Issenhuth-Jeanjean, S., Tournebize, R., Diancourt, L.,**
 317 **and Grimont, P.** (2009). Virulent Clones of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*: Identification and
 318 Evolutionary Scenario Based on Genomic and Phenotypic Characterization. *PLoS One* 4:
 319 e4982.
- 320 **Carattoli, A., Bertini, A., Villa, L., Falbo, V., Hopkins, K.L., and Threlfall, E.J.** (2005).
 321 Identification of Plasmids by PCR-Based Replicon Typing. *J Microbiol Methods* 63: 219-228.
- 322 **Carlet, J.** (2012). The Gut Is the Epicentre of Antibiotic Resistance. *Antimicrob Resist Infect*
 323 *Control* 1: 39.
- 324 **Catzeflis, F.** (2012). A Survey of Small Non-Volant Mammals Inhabiting Wayampi
 325 Amerindian Houses in French Guiana. *Mammalia* 76: 327-330.
- 326 **Chen, Y.T., Chang, H.Y., Lai, Y.C., Pan, C.C., Tsai, S.F., and Peng, H.L.** (2004).
 327 Sequencing and Analysis of the Large Virulence Plasmid pLVPK of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*
 328 CG43. *Gene* 337: 189-198.
- 329 **Chou, H.C., Lee, C.Z., Ma, L.C., Fang, C.T., Chang, S.C., and Wang, J.T.** (2004).
 330 Isolation of a Chromosomal Region of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* Associated with Allantoin
 331 Metabolism and Liver Infection. *Infect Immun* 72: 3783-3792.
- 332 **Clermont, O., Christenson, J.K., Denamur, E., and Gordon, D.M.** (2013). The Clermont
 333 *Escherichia coli* Phylo-Typing Method Revisited: Improvement of Specificity and Detection
 334 of New Phylo-Groups. *Environ Microbiol Rep* 5: 58-65.
- 335 **Cole, D., Drum, D.J., Stalknecht, D.E., White, D.G., Lee, M.D., Ayers, S., et al.** (2005).
 336 Free-Living Canada Geese and Antimicrobial Resistance. *Emerg Infect Dis* 11: 935-938.
- 337 **Deredjian, A., Colinon, C., Brothier, E., Favre-Bonte, S., Cournoyer, B., and Nazaret, S.**
 338 (2011). Antibiotic and Metal Resistance among Hospital and Outdoor Strains of
 339 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Res Microbiol* 162: 689-700.
- 340 **Fang, C.T., Chuang, Y.P., Shun, C.T., Chang, S.C., and Wang, J.T.** (2004). A Novel
 341 Virulence Gene in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* Strains Causing Primary Liver Abscess and Septic
 342 Metastatic Complications. *J Exp Med* 199: 697-705.

Geoffroy, V.A., Fetherston, J.D., and Perry, R.D. (2000). *Yersinia pestis* Ybtu and Ybtt Are Involved in Synthesis of the Siderophore Yersiniabactin but Have Different Effects on Regulation. *Infect Immun* 68: 4452-4461.

Gillings, M., Boucher, Y., Labbate, M., Holmes, A., Krishnan, S., Holley, M., and Stokes, H.W. (2008). The Evolution of Class 1 Integrons and the Rise of Antibiotic Resistance. *J Bacteriol* 190: 5095-5100.

Goncalves, A., Igrejas, G., Radhouani, H., Santos, T., Monteiro, R., Pacheco, R., et al. (2013). Detection of Antibiotic Resistant *Enterococci* and *Escherichia coli* in Free Range Iberian Lynx (*Lynx pardinus*). *Sci Total Environ* 456-457: 115-119.

Johnson, J.R., Clermont, O., Menard, M., Kuskowski, M.A., Picard, B., and Denamur, E. (2006). Experimental Mouse Lethality of *Escherichia coli* Isolates, in Relation to Accessory Traits, Phylogenetic Group, and Ecological Source. *J Infect Dis* 194: 1141-1150.

Johnson, T.J., Wannemuehler, Y.M., Johnson, S.J., Logue, C.M., White, D.G., Doetkott, C., and Nolan, L.K. (2007). Plasmid Replicon Typing of Commensal and Pathogenic *Escherichia coli* Isolates. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 73: 1976-1983.

Kadlec, K., and Schwarz, S. (2008). Analysis and Distribution of Class 1 and Class 2 Integrons and Associated Gene Cassettes among *Escherichia coli* Isolates from Swine, Horses, Cats and Dogs Collected in the BfT-GermVet Monitoring Study. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 62: 469-473.

Kang, H.Y., Jeong, Y.S., Oh, J.Y., Tae, S.H., Choi, C.H., Moon, D.C., et al. (2005). Characterization of Antimicrobial Resistance and Class 1 Integrons Found in *Escherichia coli* Isolates from Humans and Animals in Korea. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 55: 639-644.

Kozak, G.K., Boerlin, P., Janecko, N., Reid-Smith, R.J., and Jardine, C. (2009). Antimicrobial Resistance in *Escherichia coli* Isolates from Swine and Wild Small Mammals in the Proximity of Swine Farms and in Natural Environments in Ontario, Canada. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 75: 559-566.

Laperche, V., Hellal, J., Maury-Brachet, R., Joseph, B., Laporte, P., Breeze, D., and Blanchard, F. (2014). Regional Distribution of Mercury in Sediments of the Main Rivers of French Guiana (Amazonian Basin). *Springerplus* 3: 322.

Lebeaux, D., Barbier, F., Angebault, C., Benmahdi, L., Ruppe, E., Felix, B., et al. (2012). Evolution of Nasal Carriage of Methicillin-Resistant Coagulase-Negative *Staphylococci* in a Remote Population. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 56: 315-323.

Lefort, A., Panhard, X., Clermont, O., Woerther, P.L., Branger, C., Mentre, F., et al. (2011). Host Factors and Portal of Entry Outweigh Bacterial Determinants to Predict the Severity of *Escherichia coli* Bacteremia. *J Clin Microbiol* 49: 777-783.

Lescat, M., Clermont, O., Woerther, P.L., Glodt, J., Dion, S., Skurnik, D., et al. (2013). Commensal *Escherichia coli* Strains in Guiana Reveal a High Genetic Diversity with Host-Dependant Population Structure. *Environ Microbiol Rep* 5: 49-57.

Levesque, C., Piche, L., Larose, C., and Roy, P.H. (1995). PCR Mapping of Integrons Reveals Several Novel Combinations of Resistance Genes. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 39: 185-191.

Levy, S.B., FitzGerald, G.B., and Maccone, A.B. (1976). Changes in Intestinal Flora of Farm Personnel after Introduction of a Tetracycline-Supplemented Feed on a Farm. *N Engl J Med* 295: 583-588.

Lupo, A., Coyne, S., and Berendonk, T.U. (2012). Origin and Evolution of Antibiotic Resistance: The Common Mechanisms of Emergence and Spread in Water Bodies. *Front Microbiol* 3: 18.

Marcade, G., Deschamps, C., Boyd, A., Gautier, V., Picard, B., Branger, C., et al. (2009). Replicon Typing of Plasmids in *Escherichia coli* Producing Extended-Spectrum Beta-Lactamases. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 63: 67-71.

Marshall, B., Petrowski, D., and Levy, S.B. (1990). Inter- and Intraspecies Spread of *Escherichia coli* in a Farm Environment in the Absence of Antibiotic Usage. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 87: 6609-6613.

Marshall, B.M., and Levy, S.B. (2011). Food Animals and Antimicrobials: Impacts on Human Health. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 24: 718-733.

Mauffrey, J.F., Steiner, C., and Catzeffis, F. (2007). Small-Mammal Diversity and Abundance in a French Guianan Rain Forest: Test of Sampling Procedures Using Species Rarefaction Curves. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 23: 419-425.

Michael, G.B., Cardoso, M., and Schwarz, S. (2008). Molecular Analysis of Multiresistant Porcine *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* Serovar Bredeney Isolates from Southern Brazil: Identification of Resistance Genes, Integrations and a Group II Intron. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 32: 120-129.

Peirano, G., Agero, Y., Aarestrup, F.M., dos Reis, E.M., and dos Prazeres Rodrigues, D. (2006). Occurrence of Integrations and Antimicrobial Resistance Genes among *Salmonella enterica* from Brazil. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 58: 305-309.

Rolland, R.M., Hausfater, G., Marshall, B., and Levy, S.B. (1985). Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria in Wild Primates: Increased Prevalence in Baboons Feeding on Human Refuse. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 49: 791-794.

Ruimy, R., Angebault, C., Djossou, F., Dupont, C., Epelboin, L., Jarraud, S., et al. (2010). Are Host Genetics the Predominant Determinant of Persistent Nasal *Staphylococcus aureus* Carriage in Humans? *J Infect Dis* 202: 924-934.

Saladin, M., Cao, V.T., Lambert, T., Donay, J.L., Herrmann, J.L., Ould-Hocine, Z., et al. (2002). Diversity of CTX-M Beta-Lactamases and Their Promoter Regions from *Enterobacteriaceae* Isolated in Three Parisian Hospitals. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 209: 161-168.

Sjolund, M., Bonnedahl, J., Hernandez, J., Bengtsson, S., Cederbrant, G., Pinhassi, J., et al. (2008). Dissemination of Multidrug-Resistant Bacteria into the Arctic. *Emerg Infect Dis* 14: 70-72.

Skurnik, D., Bonnet, D., Bernede-Bauduin, C., Michel, R., Guette, C., Becker, J.M., et al. (2008). Characteristics of Human Intestinal *Escherichia coli* with Changing Environments. *Environ Microbiol* 10: 2132-2137.

Skurnik, D., Ruimy, R., Andremont, A., Amorin, C., Rouquet, P., Picard, B., and Denamur, E. (2006). Effect of Human Vicinity on Antimicrobial Resistance and Integrations in Animal Faecal *Escherichia coli*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 57: 1215-1219.

Skurnik, D., Ruimy, R., Ready, D., Ruppe, E., Bernede-Bauduin, C., Djossou, F., et al. (2010). Is Exposure to Mercury a Driving Force for the Carriage of Antibiotic Resistance Genes? *J Med Microbiol* 59: 804-807.

Stalder, T., Barraud, O., Casellas, M., Dagot, C., and Ploy, M.C. (2012). Integron Involvement in Environmental Spread of Antibiotic Resistance. *Front Microbiol* 3: 119.

Stalder, T., Barraud, O., Jove, T., Casellas, M., Gaschet, M., Dagot, C., and Ploy, M.C. (2013). Quantitative and Qualitative Impact of Hospital Effluent on Dissemination of the Integron Pool. *ISME J* 8: 768-777.

Sunde, M. (2005). Class I Integron with a Group II Intron Detected in an *Escherichia coli* Strain from a Free-Range Reindeer. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 49: 2512-2514.

Turton, J.F., Baklan, H., Siu, L.K., Kaufmann, M.E., and Pitt, T.L. (2008). Evaluation of a Multiplex PCR for Detection of Serotypes K1, K2 and K5 in *Klebsiella* sp. And Comparison of Isolates within These Serotypes. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 284: 247-252.

Van den Bogaard, A.E., Jensen, L.B., and Stobberingh, E.E. (1997). Vancomycin-Resistant *Enterococci* in Turkeys and Farmers. *N Engl J Med* 337: 1558-1559.
Voss, R.S., and Jansa, S.A. (2009). Phylogenetic Relationships and Classification of Didelphid Marsupials, an Extant Radiation of New World Metatherian Mammals, *Bull. American Museum Natural History* 322: 1-177.
Voss, R.S., Lunde, D.P., and Simmons, B. (2001). The Mammals of Paracou, French Guiana: A Neotropical Lowland Rainforest Fauna. Part 2: Nonvolant Species., *Bull. American Museum Natural History* 263: 1-236.
Weksler, M., Percequillo, A.R., and Voss, R.S. (2006). Ten New Genera of Oryzomyine Rodents (Cricetidae: Sigmodontinae). *American Museum Novitates* 3537: 1-29.
Wilson, D.E., and Reeder, D.M. (2005). *Mammal Species of the World. A Taxonomic and Geographic Reference.* The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore: 1-2142.
Wirth, T., Falush, D., Lan, R., Colles, F., Mensa, P., Wieler, L.H., et al. (2006). Sex and Virulence in *Escherichia coli*: An Evolutionary Perspective. *Mol Microbiol* 60: 1136-1151.
Woerther, P.L., Angebault, C., Jacquier, H., Clermont, O., El Mniai, A., Moreau, B., et al. (2013a). Characterization of Fecal ESBL-Producing *Escherichia coli* in a Remote Community During a Long Term Period. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 57: 5060-5066.
Woerther, P.L., Angebault, C., Lescat, M., Ruppe, E., Skurnik, D., Mniai, A.E., et al. (2010). Emergence and Dissemination of Extended-Spectrum Beta-Lactamase-Producing *Escherichia coli* in the Community: Lessons from the Study of a Remote and Controlled Population. *J Infect Dis* 202: 515-523.
Woerther, P.L., Burdet, C., Chachaty, E., and Andremont, A. (2013b). Trends in Human Fecal Carriage of Extended-Spectrum Beta-Lactamases in the Community: Toward the Globalization of CTX-M. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 26: 744-758.
Yu, W.L., Ko, W.C., Cheng, K.C., Lee, C.C., Lai, C.C., and Chuang, Y.C. (2008). Comparison of Prevalence of Virulence Factors for *Klebsiella pneumoniae* Liver Abscesses between Isolates with Capsular K1/K2 and Non-K1/K2 Serotypes. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 62: 1-6.

Tables

Distance from the village (m)	Number of samples	Ticarcillin resistance ^a		Integrans ^b		
		Natural n (%)	Acquired n (%)	Class 1 n (%)	Class 2 n (%)	Class 3 n (%)
0	73	23 (31.5)	10 (13.7)	11 (15.1)	1 (1.4)	0 (0)
300	4	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (50)	1 (25)	0 (0)
600	9	2 (22.2)	1 (11.1)	2 (22.2)	0 (0)	0 (0)
900	1	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
1200	11	3 (27.3)	0 (0)	2 (18.2)	0 (0)	0 (0)
1500	1	1 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
2100	1	1 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
2400	11	6 (54.5)	0 (0)	5 (45.5)	1 (9.1)	0 (0)
2700	1	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
3000	2	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Table 1: Frequencies of natural and acquired ticarcillin resistance and of class 1, 2 and 3 integrans in microbiota of rodents and marsupials trapped in the village of Trois-Sauts and at specific distances from the village, i.e. the source of antibiotic selection pressure.

^a Presence of ticarcillin resistant enterobacteria in the samples was screened by plating the global culture on Drigalski agar plates containing ticarcillin (32 mg/L).

^b The carriage of class 1, 2, and 3 integrans by animals was detected on total DNA extracted from the global Drigalski culture by a multiplex Taqman-based qPCR with amplification of *intI1*, *intI2*, and *intI3* genes, as described (Barraud *et al.*, 2010).

Strain identity	Resistance Phenotype ^a	Virulence factors ^b													Virulence score	Phylogroup ^c	
		adhesins					toxins		iron capture systems				protectin	<i>usp</i>			
		<i>papC</i>	<i>sfa/foc</i>	<i>ibeA</i>	<i>iha</i>	<i>hlyC</i>	<i>kat</i>	<i>iroN</i>	<i>ireA</i>	<i>fyuA</i>	<i>irp2</i>	<i>aer</i>	<i>neuC</i>				
Ec-0-1	AMX, TIC, TMP, SSS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	B1	New ST (6)
Ec-0-2	AMX, TIC, SSS, TET	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	4	A	
Ec-0-3	AMX, TIC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	E	
Ec-0-4	AMX, TIC, TMP, SSS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	A	
Ec-0-5	AMX, TIC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	C	
Ec-0-6	AMX, TIC	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	3	A	
Ec-0-7	AMX, TIC, TMP, SSS	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	4	A	
Ec-0-8	AMX, TIC, TMP, SSS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	1	E	
Ec-0-9	AMX, TIC, TMP, SSS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	B1	
Ec-0-10	AMX, TIC, TMP, SSS	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	4	B1	
Ec-0-11	AMX, TIC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	C	
Ec-0-12	AMX, TIC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	C	
Ec-0-13	AMX, TIC, SSS	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	3	B1	
Ec-0-14	AMX, TIC, TMP, SSS, TET	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	D	
Ec-0-15	AMX, TIC, KAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	B1	
Ec-600-1	AMX, TIC, TMP, SSS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	2	D	

483

484 **Table 2:** Resistance phenotype, virulence factors and score, phylogroup and sequence type (ST) of *E. coli* strains

485 ^a The susceptibility of the following antibiotics were tested: amoxicillin (AMX), ticarcillin (TIC), amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (AMC), ceftazidime, cefepime, ceftazidime-avopivoxil (CAZ), ertapenem, gentamicin, amikacin, kanamycin (KAN), nalidixic acid, ofloxacin, sulfamonomethoxime (SMX), sulfonamide (SSS) and tetracycline (TET)

487 ^b *E. coli* virulence factors were detected by PCR as described elsewhere (Johnson *et al.*, 2006) and virulence score was calculated (Johnson *et al.*, 2011).

489 ^c Phylogroups of *E. coli* strains were determined by quadruplex PCR as described elsewhere (Clermont *et al.*, 2000).

491 ^d Multilocus sequence typing (MLST) was performed using one of the MLST schemes developed for *E. coli* (http://www.mlst.ucc.ie/mlst/dbs/Ecoli).

492

493 ^e T, transfer by electroporation; C, transfer by mating. The transferability of TEM-1 genes was assessed by ma
494 (Bakour *et al.*, 1983). When mating failed, transformation into *E. coli* DH5α (Invitrogen) was attempted by el
495 DNA.

496 ^f Resistance genes *bla*_{TEM} were amplified with specific primers, as described elsewhere (Saladin *et al.*, 2002),

497 ^g NT, Not Typed. Plasmid replicons from transconjugants and transformants were typed by PCR, as described

498

499

514
515

516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526

Cassette array	Number of samples					Total
	0 (Village)	300 m	600 m	1200 m	2400 m	
<i>dfrA15-cmlA4-aadA2</i>	2 - - - - 2					
<i>dfrA21-aadA2</i>	1 - - - - 1					
<i>aadA1</i>	- - - - 1 1					
<i>aadA2</i>	2 - - - - 2					
<i>aadA6</i>	1 - 1 1 3 6					
<i>dfrA7</i>	1 - - - - 1					
<i>dfrA21</i>	1 - - - 1 2					
ND	3 2 1 1 1 8					

Table 4: Gene cassettes arrays of class 1 integrons in microbiota of rodents and marsupials trapped in Trois-Sauts and at specific distances from the village.
ND: Not Determined
For the construction of gene cassette array libraries, primers 5'CS and 3'CS at 0.5 µM (Levesque *et al.*, 1995) were used to amplify and sequence the variable GC-containing region of class 1 integrons directly from the extracted DNA (5 µL) as described (Stalder *et al.*, 2013). At least 20 clones were used for sequencing.

Figure legends

Figure 1: Study site: Trois-Sauts (in the municipality of Camopi, French Guiana: 02°15' N, 52°52' W).

Red numbers represent the number of samples per trapping point (in the village and at specific distances from the village).

Rectal swabs of trapped animal were inoculated extemporaneously onto Drigalski agar slants in screw-cup tubes and sent to France at room temperature. There, the global Drigalski culture from each tube was suspended in 1.5 mL of brain-heart infusion (BHI) broth with 10% glycerol and stored at -80°C.

Figure 2: Percentage of samples bearing natural (*Klebsiella* spp.) or acquired (*E. coli*) ticarcillin resistance according to the distance from the village, i.e. the source of antibiotic selection pressure.

Figure 3: Dendrogram and rep-PCR fingerprints of *E. coli* (a) and *K. pneumoniae* (b) strains. Strains were named by their initials (Ec for *E. coli* and Kp for *K. pneumoniae*), followed by the distance from the village of the isolation site, followed by the order number. The genetic relatedness was analyzed by rep-PCR DNA fingerprinting with the DiversiLab system (bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) as in Woerther *et al.*, 2013a.

Figure 4: Percentage of samples bearing class 1 or class 2 integrons according to the distance from the village, i.e. the source of antibiotic selection pressure.

557 **Supporting Information**

558

559

Sample	Distance from the village (m)	Animal	Rodent / Marsupial	Ticarcillin resistant <i>E. coli</i>	<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	Class 1 integron	Class 2 integron
1	0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent	Ec-0-1 No		--	
2	0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent	No Kp-0-1		--	
3	0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent	No Kp-0-2		--	
4	0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent	No No		--	
5	0	<i>Philander opossum</i>	Marsupial	No No		--	
6	0	<i>Makalata didelphoides</i>	Rodent	No Kp-0-3		--	
7	0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent	No No		--	
8	0	<i>Makalata didelphoides</i>	Rodent	No Kp-0-4		--	
9	0	<i>Marmosa murina</i>	Marsupial	No Kp-0-5		--	
10	0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent	No No		--	
11	0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent	No No		--	
12	0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent	No No		--	
13	0	<i>Marmosa murina</i>	Marsupial	No No		--	
14	0	<i>Oecomys bicolor</i>	Rodent	No Kp-0-6		+-	
15	0	<i>Hylaeamys megacephalus</i>	Rodent	No No		--	
16	0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent	Ec-0-2 Kp-0-7		--	
17	0	<i>Marmosa murina</i>	Marsupial	No No		+-	
18	0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent	No No		--	
19	0	<i>Proechimys cuvieri</i>	Rodent	No No		--	
20	0	<i>Oecomys bicolor</i>	Rodent	No No		--	
21	0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent	No No		--	
22	0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent	No No		--	
23	0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent	Ec-0-3 No		--	
24	0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent	No No		--	
25	0	<i>Oecomys bicolor</i>	Rodent	No No		--	
26	0	<i>Oecomys bicolor</i>	Rodent	No No		--	
27	0	<i>Oecomys bicolor</i>	Rodent	No No		--	
28	0	<i>Hylaeamys megacephalus</i>	Rodent	No No		-+	
29	0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent	No No		--	
30	0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent	Ec-0-4, Ec-0-5 Kp-0-8		+-	
31	0	<i>Oecomys bicolor</i>	Rodent	No <i>K. oxytoca</i>		+-	
32	0	<i>Oecomys bicolor</i>	Rodent	Ec-0-6, Ec-0-7 Kp-0-9		+-	
33	0	<i>Oecomys bicolor</i>	Rodent	No No		--	
34	0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent	No No		--	
35	0	<i>Neusticomys oyapocki</i>	Rodent	No Kp-0-10		--	
36	0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent	No No		--	
37	0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent	No Kp-0-11		--	
38	0	<i>Proechimys cuvieri</i>	Rodent	No No		--	
39	0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent	Ec-0-8 No		--	
40	0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent	No No		+-	
41	0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent	No No		--	
42	0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent	No Kp-0-12		--	
43	0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent	No Kp-0-13		--	
44	0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent	No Kp-0-14		--	
45	0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent	No No		--	
46	0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent	No No		--	
47	0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent	No No		--	
48	0	<i>Marmosa murina</i>	Marsupial	No Kp-0-15		+-	

49 0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent No No	--
50 0	<i>Marmosa murina</i>	Marsupial No Kp-0-16	+-
51 0	<i>Hylaeamys megacephalus</i>	Rodent No Kp-0-17	--
52 0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent Ec-0-9 No	--
53 0	<i>Hylaeamys megacephalus</i>	Rodent No No	--
54 0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent No No	--
55 0	<i>Proechimys cuvieri</i>	Rodent No Kp-0-18	--
56 0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent No No	--
57 0	<i>Oecomys bicolor</i>	Rodent No No	--
58 0	<i>Philander opossum</i>	Marsupial Ec-0-10 Kp-0-19	--
59 0	<i>Hylaeamys megacephalus</i>	Rodent No No	--
60 0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent No No	--
61 0	<i>Marmosa murina</i>	Marsupial No No	--
62 0	<i>Oecomys bicolor</i>	Rodent No No	+-
63 0	<i>Oecomys bicolor</i>	Rodent No No	--
64 0	<i>Oecomys bicolor</i>	Rodent No No	--
65 0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent No No	--
66 0	<i>Hylaeamys megacephalus</i>	Rodent No No	--
67 0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent No No	--
68 0	<i>Oecomys bicolor</i>	Rodent No No	--
69 0	<i>Oecomys bicolor</i>	Rodent No No	--
70 0	<i>Oecomys bicolor</i>	Rodent No Kp-0-20	--
71 0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent No No	--
72 0	<i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent Ec-0-11, Ec-0-12 Kp-0-21	+-
73 0	<i>Marmosa murina</i>	Marsupial Ec-0-13, Ec-0-14, Ec-0-15 Kp-0-22 +-	
74	300 <i>Marmosa murina</i>	Marsupial No No	--
75	300 <i>Nectomys rattus</i>	Rodent No No	--
76	300 <i>Proechimys cuvieri</i>	Rodent No No	+-
77	300 <i>Oecomys rutilus</i>	Rodent No No	++
78	600 <i>Didelphis marsupialis</i>	Marsupial Ec-600-1 No	+-
79	600 <i>Hylaeamys megacephalus</i>	Rodent No Kp-600-1	--
80	600 <i>Neacomys paracou</i>	Rodent No No	--
81	600 <i>Hylaeamys megacephalus</i>	Rodent No No	+-
82	600 <i>Proechimys cuvieri</i>	Rodent No No	--
83	600 <i>Proechimys cuvieri</i>	Rodent No No	--
84	600 <i>Hylaeamys megacephalus</i>	Rodent No No	--
85	600 <i>Proechimys cuvieri</i>	Rodent No Kp-600-2	--
86	600 <i>Hylaeamys megacephalus</i>	Rodent No No	--
87	900 <i>Proechimys cuvieri</i>	Rodent No No	--
88	1200 <i>Proechimys cuvieri</i>	Rodent No Kp-1200-1	--
89	1200 <i>Proechimys cuvieri</i>	Rodent No No	--
90	1200 <i>Hylaeamys megacephalus</i>	Rodent No No	+-
91	1200 <i>Proechimys cuvieri</i>	Rodent No No	--
92	1200 <i>Proechimys cuvieri</i>	Rodent No No	--
93	1200 <i>Proechimys guyannensis</i>	Rodent No Kp-1200-2	--
94	1200 <i>Proechimys cuvieri</i>	Rodent No No	--
95	1200 <i>Euryoryzomys macconnelli</i>	Rodent No No	--
96	1200 <i>Hylaeamys megacephalus</i>	Rodent No Kp-1200-3	+-
97	1200 <i>Didelphis imperfecta</i>	Marsupial No No	--
98	1200 <i>Proechimys cuvieri</i>	Rodent No No	--
99	1500 <i>Proechimys cuvieri</i>	Rodent No <i>K. oxytoca</i>	--
100	2100 <i>Proechimys cuvieri</i>	Rodent No Kp-2100-1	--

101	2400	<i>Marmosa demerarae</i>	Marsupial	No	No	+ -
102	2400	<i>Oecomys rutilus</i>	Rodent	No	No	+ +
103	2400	<i>Proechimys cuvieri</i>	Rodent	No	No	- -
104	2400	<i>Proechimys cuvieri</i>	Rodent	No	Kp-2400-1	- -
105	2400	<i>Proechimys cuvieri</i>	Rodent	No	Kp-2400-2	+ -
106	2400	<i>Proechimys cuvieri</i>	Rodent	No	Kp-2400-3	+ -
107	2400	<i>Proechimys cuvieri</i>	Rodent	No	Kp-2400-4	+ -
108	2400	<i>Proechimys guyannensis</i>	Rodent	No	No	- -
109	2400	<i>Proechimys cuvieri</i>	Rodent	No	Kp-2400-5	- -
110	2400	<i>Marmosops pinheiroi</i>	Marsupial	No	Kp-2400-6	- -
111	2400	<i>Hylaeamys megacephalus</i>	Rodent	No	No	- -
112	2700	<i>Proechimys guyannensis</i>	Rodent	No	No	- -
113	3000	<i>Proechimys cuvieri</i>	Rodent	No	No	- -
114	3000	<i>Euryoryzomys macconnelli</i>	Rodent	No	No	- -

Table S1: Animals trapped in the village and along the transect, with the distance of the trap from the village and the carriage of tetracycline resistant *E. coli* or *Klebsiella* spp. strains and class 1 and 2 integrons in the rectal swab samples.

Distance from the village (m)	Trap-nights (n)
0	1047
300	786
600	1341
900	241
1200	1341
1500	241
1800	786
2100	241
2400	1341
2700	241
3000	1341

Table S2: Sampling effort (trap-nights) over the three campaigns (October 2006, June 2008, and October 2010) according to the distance from the village.

568
569

Name	DNA sequence	Target site	Amplicon size (pb)	Reference
ycfM-F	5'-CGATTGAGCATCAGGATCAG-3'	<i>entB</i>	161	This study
ycfM-R	5'-GTTGGTGCGGTTGTTTAC-3'			
mrkD-1	5'-TAT(T/C)G(G/T)CTTAATGGCGCTGG-3'		920	Brisse <i>et al.</i> (Brisse <i>et al.</i> , 2009)
mrkD-2	5'-TAATCGTACGTCAGGTAAAGA(C/T)C-3'			
entB-F	5'-CGCCCAGCCGAAAGAGCAGA-3'		508	This study
entB-R	5'-CATCGGCACCGAATCCAGAC-3'			
ybtS-F	5'-CAAAAATGGGCGGTGGATTTC-3'			Bachman <i>et al.</i> (Bachman <i>et al.</i> , 2011)
ybtS-R	5'-CCTGACGGAACATAAACGAGCG-3'			
kfu-F	5'-ATAGTAGGCGAGCACCGAGA-3'		520	Yu <i>et al.</i> (Yu <i>et al.</i> , 2008)
kfu-R	5'-AGAACCTTCCTCGCTGAACA-3'			
iroN-F	5'-GCATAGGCGGATACGAACAT-3'		556	Yu <i>et al.</i> (Yu <i>et al.</i> , 2008)
iroN-R	5'-CACAGGGCAATTGCTTACCT-3'			
magA-F	5'-GGTGCTCTTTACATCATTGC-3'		1280	Fang <i>et al.</i> (Fang <i>et al.</i> , 2004)
magA-R	5'-GCAATGGCCATTTGCGTTAG-3'			
1416R	5'-CCGTTAGGCAATCCAGAC-3'		1090	Chou <i>et al.</i> (Chou <i>et al.</i> , 2004)
336F2	5'-TCTGATTTA(A/T)CCCACATT-3'			
rmpA-F	5'-ACTGGGCTACCTCTGCTTCA-3'		535	Brisse <i>et al.</i> (Brisse <i>et al.</i> , 2009)
rmpA-R	5'-CTTGCATGAGCCATCTTTCA-3'			

570
571
572
573
574
575

Table S3: Primers used for characterization of *K. pneumoniae* virulence factors