



HAL
open science

Rhinovirus-associated pulmonary exacerbations show a lack of FEV 1 improvement in children with cystic fibrosis

Mathias Cousin, Nicolas Molinari, Vincent Foulongne, Davide Caimmi, Isabelle Vachier, Michel Abely, Raphaël Chiron

► **To cite this version:**

Mathias Cousin, Nicolas Molinari, Vincent Foulongne, Davide Caimmi, Isabelle Vachier, et al.. Rhinovirus-associated pulmonary exacerbations show a lack of FEV 1 improvement in children with cystic fibrosis. *Influenza and Other Respiratory Viruses*, 2016, 10 (2), pp.109-112. 10.1111/irv.12353 . hal-01818472

HAL Id: hal-01818472

<https://hal.umontpellier.fr/hal-01818472v1>

Submitted on 5 Feb 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Rhinovirus-associated pulmonary exacerbations show a lack of FEV₁ improvement in children with cystic fibrosis

Mathias Cousin,^{a,b} Nicolas Molinari,^{b,c} Vincent Foulongne,^{b,d,e} Davide Caimmi,^a Isabelle Vachier,^a Michel Abely,^f Raphael Chiron^a

^aCentre de Ressources et de Compétences pour la Mucoviscidose, Hôpital Arnaud de Villeneuve, Centre Hospitalier Régional Universitaire de Montpellier, Montpellier, France. ^bCentre Hospitalier Régional Universitaire de Montpellier, Université de Montpellier, Montpellier, France.

^cDépartement de Statistiques, U1046 INSERM, UMR9214 CNRS, Centre Hospitalier Régional Universitaire de Montpellier, Montpellier, France.

^dLaboratoire de virologie, Centre Hospitalier Régional Universitaire de Montpellier, Montpellier, France. ^eINSERM, U1058, Centre Hospitalier Régional Universitaire de Montpellier, Montpellier, France. ^fCentre de Ressources et de Compétences pour la Mucoviscidose, American Memorial Hospital, Reims Cedex, France.

Correspondence: Mathias Cousin, Centre de Ressources et de Compétences pour la Mucoviscidose, Hôpital Arnaud de Villeneuve, Centre Hospitalier Régional Universitaire de Montpellier, 371, Av. du Doyen Gaston Giraud – 34295, Montpellier, France. E-mail: mathias.cou66@gmail.com

Accepted 12 October 2015.

Background Respiratory viral infections lead to bronchial inflammation in patients with cystic fibrosis, especially during pulmonary exacerbations. The aim of this study was to determine the impact of viral-associated pulmonary exacerbations in children with cystic fibrosis and failure to improve forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁) after an appropriate treatment.

Methods We lead a pilot study from January 2009 until March 2013. Children with a diagnosis of cystic fibrosis were longitudinally evaluated three times: at baseline (Visit 1), at the diagnosis of pulmonary exacerbation (Visit 2), and after exacerbation treatment (Visit 3). Nasal and bronchial samples were analyzed at each visit with multiplex viral respiratory PCR panel (qualitative detection of 16 viruses). Pulmonary function tests were recorded at each visit, in order to highlight a possible failure to improve them after treatment. Lack of improvement

was defined by an increase in FEV₁ less than 5% between Visit 2 and Visit 3.

Results Eighteen children were analyzed in the study. 10 patients failed to improve by more than 5% their FEV₁ between Visit 2 and Visit 3. Rhinovirus infection at Visit 2 or Visit 3 was the only risk factor significantly associated with such a failure (OR, 12; 95% CI, 1.3–111.3), *P* = 0.03.

Conclusions Rhinovirus infection seems to play a role in the FEV₁ recovery after pulmonary exacerbation treatment in children with cystic fibrosis. Such an association needs to be confirmed by a large-scale study because this finding may have important implications for pulmonary exacerbation management.

Keywords children, cystic fibrosis, pulmonary exacerbations, respiratory viruses, rhinovirus.

Please cite this paper as: Cousin, *et al.* (2016) Rhinovirus-associated pulmonary exacerbations show a lack of FEV₁ improvement in children with cystic fibrosis. *Influenza and Other Respiratory Viruses* 10(2), 109–112.

Introduction

Despite conventional management with antibiotics and respiratory physiotherapy, pulmonary function undergoes a steady decline in cystic fibrosis (CF). Pulmonary exacerbations (PEX) are an important clinical outcome in CF, as they are associated with a faster rate of decline in forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁) and with an increased morbidity and mortality in patients with CF. Almost 25% of patients fail to recover to baseline FEV₁ after a PEX.^{1,2} The reasons why these patients fail to recover previous FEV₁ after an appropriate treatment are not fully understood even though some risk factors have been identified (pancreatic insufficiency, low body mass index (BMI), chronic infection

with CF pathogens, greater drop in FEV₁ from baseline at treatment initiation).³ Viruses are frequent triggers of PEX, and rhinoviruses are the most frequent viral agents found in patients with CF.⁴ An impaired innate host defense may cause an increased susceptibility to viral respiratory infection in patients with CF, and some severe viral infections might be responsible for the exaggerated pulmonary inflammatory response.^{5,6}

However, in the literature, there is a paucity of data regarding PEX outcomes in CF children, with a concomitant viral respiratory infection. These infections seem to play a significant role in pulmonary morbidity and inflammation in patients with CF. Indeed, patients presenting with concomitant bacterial and viral infections show a greater rate of both

hospitalization and antibiotic prescription.⁷ Unfortunately, the consequences of viral respiratory infections on the evolution of CF lung disease are hard to study on a large scale, because, in order to evaluate such an issue, a close longitudinal follow-up with repeated viral swab assessment is needed. We could therefore speculate that the impact of respiratory viruses is probably underestimated in patients with CF.

We hypothesized that respiratory viruses are major risk factors for maintaining and extending bronchial inflammation during the PEx recovery period. The aim of this study was to determine the association between viral-associated PEx and failure to improve FEV₁ after PEx treatment in CF children.

Materials and methods

Study design

This pediatric pilot study was conducted from January 2009 until March 2013 at the CF centers of Montpellier and Reims (France). Patients aged from 7 to 18 years were recruited. PEx was defined, according to European Respiratory Society criteria, as a change in respiratory status requiring antibiotic treatment, or by a cluster of symptoms, as indicated by EuroCareCF Working Group. The study EudraCT (2008-00451-30) has been approved by our local ethic committee (ref 2008.07.06bis). All children and both parents signed the consent form. Patients were longitudinally assessed three times in the CF university center: at baseline (Visit 1, V1), at the diagnosis of PEx (Visit 2, V2), and after PEx treatment (Visit 3, V3). V3 was scheduled between 2 and 6 weeks after the

beginning of PEx treatment, because it has been shown that antibiotic response to exacerbation as assessed by PFT is essentially complete 2 weeks after treatment initiation.⁹ Clinicians working in CF university centers managed PEx, according to the guidelines.

Collection of clinical information and specimens

Clinical data and PFT were recorded at each visit. We sampled upper and lower airways by collecting two nasal swabs and two spontaneously expectorated sputa at each visit for viral and bacterial analysis. Viral analysis was performed through a commercial multiplex PCR assay (Anyplex-II-RV16, Seegene) providing a qualitative detection of 16 viruses (without genotype): human adenovirus; influenza A and B virus; human parainfluenza virus 1, 2, 3, and 4; human rhinovirus; human respiratory syncytial virus A and B; human bocavirus; human coronavirus 229E, NL63, and OC43; human metapneumovirus; and human enterovirus. Clinicians were blinded to the results of virological tests until the end of the study.

Statistical analysis

Participants were categorized by their relative change in FEV_{1%} predicted between Visit 2 and Visit 3 calculated as follows: $([FEV_{1\%} \text{ predicted at Visit 2} - FEV_{1\%} \text{ predicted at Visit 3}] * 100) / FEV_{1\%} \text{ predicted at Visit 2}$; as “responders” ($\geq 5\%$ of FEV₁ improvement) and “non-responders” ($< 5\%$ of FEV₁ improvement) to PEx treatment. This 5% threshold has been previously used in many studies.^{10,11}

Table 1. Baseline demographic data in responders and non-responders

| Characteristics | Non-responders (n = 10) | Responders (n = 8) | All patients (n = 18) | P-value |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Age at inclusion (in years) | 11.90 ± 3.17 | 13.12 ± 2.58 | 12.44 ± 2.91 | 0.5012 |
| Males (%) | 8 (80.00) | 5 (62.50) | 13 (72.22) | 0.61 |
| Homozygous F508del (%) | 5 (50.00) | 4 (50.00) | 9 (50.00) | 1 |
| Pancreatic insufficiency (%) | 9 (90.00) | 7 (87.50) | 16 (88.89) | 1 |
| BMI percentile | 53.50 ± 35.43 | 26.12 ± 20.22 | 41.33 ± 32.08 | 0.1424 |
| Atopic status | 1 (10.00) | 2 (25.00) | 3 (16.67) | 0.5598 |
| Delay between V2 and V3 (in days) | 31.60 ± 11.02 | 26.25 ± 8.33 | 29.22 ± 10.02 | 0.3710 |
| Chronic PA infection (%) | 4 (40.00) | 4 (50.00) | 8 (44.44) | 1 |
| Chronic SAMS infection (%) | 9 (90.00) | 5 (62.50) | 14 (77.78) | 0.27 |
| V1 FEV _{1%} predicted | 91.57 ± 20.42 | 90.99 ± 15.02 | 91.31 ± 17.71 | 0.6893 |
| V2 FEV _{1%} predicted | 81.05 ± 19.39 | 74.63 ± 22.14 | 82.42 ± 21.18 | 0.1200 |
| V3 FEV _{1%} predicted | 73.03 ± 15.12 | 85.86 ± 16.33 | 83.29 ± 16.70 | 0.1426 |
| Presence of respiratory virus in airways at V2 and/or V3 (%) | 10 (100.00) | 4 (50.00) | 14 (77.78) | 0.0229 |
| Presence of rhinovirus in airways at V2 and/or V3 (%) | 8 (80.00) | 2 (25.00) | 10 (55.56) | 0.0196 |

FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; V1, Visit 1; V2, Visit 2; V3, Visit 3; SAMS, *Staphylococcus aureus* methicillin-sensitive; PA, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

Responders and non-responders were compared using a chi-squared or exact Fisher's test.

Quantitative variables were expressed as means (standard deviation) and compared using the Wilcoxon test as appropriate. Qualitative variables were expressed as numbers (%), and the absolute numbers were compared using the chi-squared test or the Fisher's test as appropriate. Logistic regressions were used to estimate OR and 95% CI.

Results

Study population

In the present study, 34 patients were included (21 in Montpellier center and 13 in Reims center). Among these patients, 18 completed the 3 visits and were analyzed. The other patients were lost to follow up because they did not go on exacerbation during the period of the study. Eight patients were classified as responders and 10 patients as non-responders to PEx treatment. Patient characteristics were similar between the two groups (Table 1). Patients did not present severe associated comorbidities: none had diabetes, one patient was undernourished, and one patient had a FEV₁ below 60% at baseline. The delay between V2 and V3 varied from 13 to 50 days (median delay of 29 days), without any difference between the two groups (Table 1). In the non-responders' group, there were significantly more viral respiratory infections at V2 and/or V3 (10 versus 4, $P = 0.0229$) and more rhinovirus respiratory infections at V2 and/or V3 (8 versus 2, $P = 0.0196$) (Table 1). At Visit 2, we detected viruses in 50% of nasal swabs and in 67% of expectorated sputa. Nasal and bronchial swabs were concordant in 78% of all visits.

The proportion of viral respiratory infection was 72% at the diagnosis of PEx (V2), 22% at baseline (V1), and 28% at V3. Rhinoviruses accounted for 64% of identified viruses. We detected a viral co-infection in one patient (rhinovirus and metapneumovirus). Influenza virus vaccination was recorded for 78% of the patients. PEx did not occur during any specific respiratory viruses epidemic spread or a specific season.

Exploratory data analysis

The only risk factor significantly associated with failure to improve FEV₁ above 5% after PEx was viral respiratory infection at V2 and/or V3 (OR, 2.04; 95% CI, 1.57–2.67) $P = 0.0088$ and especially with rhinovirus infection: (OR, 12; 95% CI, 1.29–111.32, $P = 0.0288$).

Other factors such as pancreatic insufficiency, atopic status, BMI, baseline treatment (azithromycin, inhaled corticosteroid therapy, and antibiotic therapy), persistent infection (with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Staphylococcus aureus*), detection of new bacteria at V2 (all pathogens included and for each bacteria species), spirometrics parameters (i.e., FEV_{1%} drop between V1 and V2) and therapeutic features (i.e., adapted PEx antibiotherapy according to ECFS guidelines 2014,¹² intravenous treatment, duration of antibiotic treatment) were

not significantly associated with failure to improve FEV₁ above 5% after PEx treatment (Table 2).

Other PFT parameters

Non-responder patients failed significantly to improve above 5% their forced vital capacity (FVC) between V2 and V3, (OR, 0.07; 95% CI, 0.01–0.82), $P = 0.0347$ (Table 2). Rhinovirus respiratory infection at V2 or V3 was significantly associated with failure to improve FVC above 5% after PEx treatment (adjusted OR, 15; 95% CI, 1.21–185.2), $P = 0.0347$.

Discussion

The results of this pilot study show that rhinovirus infection during PEx is significantly associated with failure to improve FEV₁ after PEx treatment in children with CF.

None of our subjects had new symptoms of a pulmonary exacerbation at the third visit. So we do not think that the non-responder's children were sick again but this failure in FEV₁ recovery might be the consequences of the exacerbation diagnosed at the second visit.

Table 2. Univariate model for the association between failure to improve FEV₁ ≥ 5% between V2 and V3 and other variables

| Variables | Odds ratio | 95% CI | P-value |
|--|--------------|-------------|---------------|
| Number of days between V2 and V3 | 1.06 | 0.95 1.19 | 0.2653 |
| New bacteria at V2 | 9.00 | 0.75 108.31 | 0.0834 |
| Adapted antibiotic therapy at V2 | 0.57 | 0.04 7.74 | 0.6739 |
| Number of antibiotics used to treat exacerbation | 0.26 | 0.06 1.18 | 0.0804 |
| Intravenous antibiotic administration | 0.19 | 0.02 2.29 | 0.1885 |
| Duration of exacerbation treatment (days) | 0.94 | 0.83 1.07 | 0.3630 |
| Viral respiratory infection at V2 | 9.00 | 0.75 108.31 | 0.0834 |
| Viral respiratory infection at V3 | 1.29 | 0.16 10.45 | 0.8141 |
| Rhinovirus respiratory infection at V2 | 4.50 | 0.59 34.61 | 0.1484 |
| Rhinovirus respiratory infection at V3 | 1.75 | 0.13 23.70 | 0.6739 |
| Viral respiratory infection at V2 and/or V3 | 2.04 | 1.57 2.67 | 0.0088 |
| Rhinovirus respiratory infection at V2 and/or V3 | 12.00 | 1.29 111.32 | 0.0288 |
| Increase in FVC > 5% between V2 and V3 | 0.07 | 0.01 0.82 | 0.0347 |

FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; V1, Visit 1; V2, Visit 2; V3, Visit 3.
Bold values indicate significant results.

To our knowledge, only one study assessed the relationship between respiratory viruses (sampled by bronchoscopy) and PFT results after PEx treatment in CF pediatric patients, and they observed a strong association between respiratory viruses and newly acquired common respiratory pathogens and a worse recovery of FEV₁ in the months following bronchoscopy for patients infected with respiratory viruses.¹³ We performed a complete non-invasive assessment of viral respiratory infection in both upper and lower airways; such a method was useful because in 9% of the collected specimens, viral analysis was negative by nasal swab, but positive in sputum.

Virus and mainly rhinoviruses are associated with asthma exacerbations. In our study, one patient had asthma and was treated with inhaled corticotherapy.

The main limitation of our study is that we included a small number of patients and that we could have designed a fourth visit later to follow the FEV₁ of non-responder's children. Moreover, our microbiological analysis was not exhaustive, because atypical mycobacteria, anaerobic bacteria, and fungi were not investigated.

Some studies show that, in the upper airways, rhinovirus-associated clinical symptoms are more likely the result of local and systemic immune responses than a consequence of direct cytopathogenic effects.¹⁴ Nevertheless, the pathophysiology of rhinovirus-associated PEx remains unclear in CF, and two recent studies showed an exaggerated bronchial inflammation associated with rhinovirus infection in airways epithelial cells in patients with CF.¹⁵

Further large-scale analyses are required to study the impact of rhinoviruses on failure to recover to previous FEV₁ levels in CF children.

Acknowledgements

The French association "Vaincre La Mucoviscidose" gave financial support to this study. The funding agency had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

None.

References

- 1 Sanders DB, Bittner RC, Rosenfeld M, Hoffman LR, Redding GJ, Goss CH. Failure to recover to baseline pulmonary function after cystic fibrosis pulmonary exacerbation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010; 182:627–632.
- 2 Parkins MD, Rendall JC, Elborn JS. Incidence and risk factors for pulmonary exacerbation treatment failures in patients with cystic fibrosis chronically infected with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Chest* 2012; 141:485–493.
- 3 Sanders DB, Hoffman LR, Emerson J *et al.* Return of FEV₁ after pulmonary exacerbation in children with cystic fibrosis. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2010; 45:127–134.
- 4 Esposito S, Daccò V, Daleno C *et al.* Human rhinovirus infection in children with cystic fibrosis. *Jpn J Infect Dis* 2014; 67:399–401.
- 5 Zheng S, De BP, Choudhary S *et al.* Impaired innate host defense causes susceptibility to respiratory virus infections in cystic fibrosis. *Immunity* 2003; 18:619–630.
- 6 Asner S, Waters V, Solomon M *et al.* Role of respiratory viruses in pulmonary exacerbations in children with cystic fibrosis. *J Cyst Fibros* 2012; 11:433–439.
- 7 Smyth AR, Smyth RL, Tong CY, Hart CA, Heaf DP. Effect of respiratory virus infections including rhinovirus on clinical status in cystic fibrosis. *Arch Dis Child* 1995; 73:117–120.
- 8 Bilton D, Canny G, Conway S *et al.* Pulmonary exacerbation: towards a definition for use in clinical trials. Report from the EuroCareCF Working Group on outcome parameters in clinical trials. *J Cyst Fibros* 2011; 10(Suppl 2):S79–S81.
- 9 VanDevanter DR, O'Riordan MA, Blumer JL, Konstan MW. Assessing time to pulmonary function benefit following antibiotic treatment of acute cystic fibrosis exacerbations. *Respir Res* 2010; 11:137.
- 10 Smith AL, Fiel SB, Mayer-Hamblett N, Ramsey B, Burns JL. Susceptibility testing of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates and clinical response to parenteral antibiotic administration: lack of association in cystic fibrosis. *Chest* 2003; 123:1495–1502.
- 11 Saiman L, Mayer-Hamblett N, Campbell P, Marshall BC; Macrolide Study Group. Heterogeneity of treatment response to azithromycin in patients with cystic fibrosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005; 172:1008–1012.
- 12 Döring G, Flume P, Heijerman H, Elborn JS; Consensus Study Group. Treatment of lung infection in patients with cystic fibrosis: current and future strategies. *J Cyst Fibros* 2012; 11:461–479.
- 13 Esther CR Jr, Lin FC, Kerr A, Miller MB, Gilligan PH. Respiratory viruses are associated with common respiratory pathogens in cystic fibrosis. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2014; 49:926–931.
- 14 Jacobs SE, Lamson DM, St George K, Walsh TJ. Human rhinoviruses. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2013; 26:135–162.
- 15 Sutanto EN, Kicic A, Foo CJ *et al.* Innate inflammatory responses of pediatric cystic fibrosis airway epithelial cells: effects of nonviral and viral stimulation. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 2011; 44:761–767.