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Abstract

In this paper, magnetic nanocomposites are synthesized by loading reduced graphene oxide (RG) with two components of nanoparticles consisting of titanium dioxide (TiO\textsubscript{2}) and magnetite (Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}) with varying amounts. The structural and magnetic features of the prepared composite photocatalysts were investigated by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier transform infrared spectra (FT-IR), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra (UV-vis/DRS), Raman and vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM). The resulting
TiO$_2$/magnetite reduced graphene oxide (MRGT) composite demonstrated intrinsic visible light photocatalytic activity, on degradation of tartrazine (TZ) dye from a synthetic aqueous solution. Specifically, it exhibits higher photocatalytic activity than magnetite reduced graphene oxide (MRG) and TiO$_2$ nanoparticles. The photocatalytic degradation of TZ dye when using MRG and TiO$_2$ for 3 h under visible light was 35% and 10 % respectively, whereas for MRGT it was more than 95%. The higher photocatalytic efficiency of MRGT is due to the existence of reduced graphene oxide and magnetite which enhances the photocatalytic efficiency of the composite in visible light towards the degradation of harmful soluble azo dye (tartrazine).
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**Introduction:**

Water contamination has become one of the dominant problems in everyday life. One of these problems is the increase of manufacturing wastewater from materials, foods, medicines, etc. which containing various kinds of dyes [1]. These dyes can make environmental problems, in addition to influence the human life as the greater part of them are cancer-causing and mutagenic [2]. The reports demonstrate
that it is approximately 15% of the dyes is missed in the effluent during dyeing procedure [3]. These dyes have been considered as non-oxidizable by traditional physical and biological remediation because of their complex molecular structure and their large size molecules. So, their degradation has been deemed as an essential procedures in wastewater treatment [4]. One of these unwholesome dyes is tartrazine dye (FD & C Yellow No. 5, EEC Number = E102, CI Number = 19140) which has the following chemical structure [trisodium 5-hydroxy-1-(4-sulfonatophenyl)- 4-(4sulfonatophenylazo)-H-pyrazol-3- carboxylate] [5, 6]. Tartrazine dye is a water-soluble powder which has been greatly used in nutrition products, medicines, and cosmetics [7-9]. The permissible daily intake for workers exposed occupationally to tartrazine is 0–7.5 mg/kg body mass [10]. Tartrazine has been classified as a dangerous azo dye because it catalyzes ADHD syndrome, asthma, migraine and thyroid cancer, and other reactions that include blurred vision, itching, purpura, eczema, mutogenetics, and carcinogenetic [11].

It is necessary to develop highly effective and sensitive techniques to remove pollutants from water. Recently, heterogeneous photocatalytic oxidation has been confirmed to be an effective technology for degradation of organic contaminants at ambient conditions [12]. Its advantage was the complete degradation of organic pollutants compared with the other water purification techniques [13]. In this technique, photogenerated charge carriers in a semiconductor have been allowed
the creation of extremely reactive chemical species like hydroxyl radicals. These radicals could mineralize a wide range of organic contaminants rapidly and non-selectively [14, 15].

The most usually utilized photocatalyst is TiO$_2$, which has been utilized as promising photocatalyst for the degradation of organic molecules from wastewater. It has distinctive properties like high stability, low toxicity and low cost [16]. However, the photocatalytic efficiency of TiO$_2$ has been restricted for the following reasons: (i) the recombination rate of electron–hole within the TiO$_2$ particles is pretty high which has been required for the time scale of the redox reaction; and (ii) it has wide band gap, and can be excited only by 4% to 5% of the sunlight (UV irradiation) [17, 18].

The method used to overcome the wide band gap of TiO$_2$, is the tuning of the electronic band structure of the TiO$_2$. This modification has been achieved by chemical reaction using two approaches: (i) incorporation of substances considered as a source of charge-carrier traps and (ii) added materials that decrease the recombination rate between electrons and holes [19, 20].

Carbon materials such as graphene and reduced graphene oxide (RG) is an attractive material in many research fields such as lithium-ion battery, nanosensors and energy storage applications owing to its unique properties such as high surface area, electrical conductivity and high mechanical properties [21-27].
It also has brilliant mobility of charge carriers and noble thermal conductivity [28]. These properties have been made graphene a very desirable support for semiconductor and metal nanoparticles.

The incorporation of graphene (RG) into TiO$_2$ will be given several advantages such as: (i) increasing adsorptivity: Graphene will be displayed particularly worthy adsorptive properties; (ii) Enhancement visible light absorption: The chemical bonds of Ti-O-C will be provided a red shift in the photo-responding range and facilitate an extra efficient utilization of visible light for the catalyst and (iii) Inhibition of charge recombination [29].

In the other hand, magnetic separation technology has been provided a suitable method for eliminating and recycling magnetic particles/composites by applying suitable magnetic field. Fe$_3$O$_4$ (M) nanoparticles with a fast and efficient magnetic response can allow the separation of catalysts from reaction mixture without any problem and with high efficiently [30].

In this work, the photocatalytic activity of TiO$_2$/magnetite reduced graphene oxide (MRGT) composite photocatalysts have been exploited for the photodegradation of a model organic dye tartrazine (TZ) in a suspension system. The main target in this study has been to enhance the photocatalytic properties of the prepared materials to the removal of organic contaminants in water under visible light without any secondary pollution.
2- Experimental

2.1. Materials

Graphite powder 99.5 wt. % (332461), Ammonia solution 30% w/v (1.05423), titanium (IV) isopropoxide (205273), isopropyl alcohol 99.5 wt. % (278475), Ferric chloride 99 wt. % (31232), Sodium nitrate 99 wt. % (229938), Potassium permanganate 98 wt. % (60459), Sulfuric acid 99.9% w/v (339741), Hydrogen peroxide 35% w/v (95321), Ferrous Sulfate 99 wt. % (F8263) and tartrazine (T0388) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. All purchased compounds are used as received, with no further purification.

2.2. Synthesis of graphene oxide (GO)

Graphene oxide was synthesized using Hummer method with graphite powder as starting material. The GO preparation is described by the following procedure: graphite (5 g), sodium nitrate (NaNO₃, 2.50 g) and concentrated sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄, 115 ml) were added into a 2 L beaker under stirring for 10 min. At that point, potassium permanganate (KMnO₄, 15 g) was gradually added into the beaker to inhibit any severe reaction that might be happened. The mixture was kept under stirring for 1 h and then the mixture was kept under stirring in room temperature (at 35°C) for 24 hrs. After that, distilled water (230 ml) was added to
the mixture and as result of the hydration heat, the temperature expanded to 98 °C. The mixture was kept at this temperature for 30 min under stirring. The reaction was then ended by adding distilled water (700 ml) and hydrogen peroxide (30%, 300 ml). The obtained product had a brown/yellowish color. It was washed three times with distilled water to remove any remnant ions in the solution. After that, GO was isolated by vacuum filtration from the solution and dried in oven at 60 °C for 12 hrs [31].

2.3. **Synthesis of magnetite reduced graphene oxide (MRG) composite**

MRG were prepared by in-situ chemical co-precipitation method. First, ferrous sulfate (FeSO₄) is added to ferric chloride (FeCl₃) by 1:2 molar ratio. The mixture is added to 80ml of distilled water under mechanical stirring in existence of N₂ gas for 30 min. Then the solution was heated to 70 °C and kept under stirring for another 30 min. Different amount ratios of GO (10, 20 and 30 wt. %) relative to magnetite were suspended in 50 mL of distilled water by ultrasonic treatment for 15 min. Each ratio of GO solution was added to the mixture of iron solution separately to prepare (MRG10, 20 and 30) and the whole mixture was kept under stirring for another 10 min. Ammonia solution (30%w/v) was then added to the mixture until the pH mixture reaches a value of 10. Moreover, sodium borohydride was added to the solution under mechanical stirring for 10 min in ordered to allow
the reduction of GO nanoparticle and to obtain reduced graphene oxide. The sample was separated by vacuum filtration from the solution and washed with distilled water and ethanol two times respectively, and finally dried in the furnace at 60°C for 12h. [32]

2.4. Synthesis of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles

TiO$_2$ nanoparticles were synthesized by hydrolysis method using the following procedure: 7ml of Titanium (IV) isopropoxide Ti[OCH(CH$_3$)$_2$]$_4$ was dissolved in 100 ml of isopropyl alcohol ((CH$_3$)$_2$CHOH) and the solution was kept under stirring for 1 h at room temperature. After that, 400 ml of distilled water was added abruptly to the mixture. The obtained solution was stirred for another 3 h at room temperature. The sample was filtrated by vacuum filtration from the solution and washed with distilled water and ethanol three times respectively. The obtained sample was dried at 40°C in the oven for 6 hr. The synthesis process was then finished by calcinating the precipitated particles at 400°C for 2 h in air [33].

2.5. Synthesis of TiO$_2$/MRG composite

The MRGT composites (25, 50 and 75) were prepared with a weight ratio (3:1, 1:1 and 1:3) respectively between the optimum sample of MRG (MRG20) and TiO$_2$ nanoparticles. TiO$_2$ nanoparticles and MRG 20 were suspended in 50 ml of ethanol
by ultrasonic treatment for 10 min. The two solutions were mixed together by ultrasonic treatment for 10 min. After that, the sample was separated by vacuum filtration from the solution and it was put in the oven at 60°C.

2.6. Characterization

The phases of the designed nanomaterials were examined via an X-ray diffractometer (XRD, Shimadzu XD-I) with a Cu Kα radiation at 40 kV and 30 mA over the 2θ range of 4–80° at a scanning speed of 4°/min with a sampling angle interval of 0.04°. Function groups and bonds are identified using FTIR spectrometer PerkinElmer (model spectrum one FTIR spectrometer, USA). Spectra were recorded between 4000 and 500 cm⁻¹ using standard KBr pellet. In addition to FTIR, Raman test was carried out using the dispersive Raman microscope (Model Sentera, Bruker, Germany) instrument at laser wave length of 532 nm. Morphology and particle size were determined by high resolution transmission electron microscope (TEM-JEOL JEM 2100) and composition was analyzed by Energy dispersive X-ray spectrooscope (EDX) associated with TEM device. Magnetic measurements were carried out at room temperature using a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM, 735VSM, Model7410; Lake Shore, Westerville, Ohio, USA) with a maximum magnetic field of 31 kOe. UV–VIS/DRs spectra
were recorded using Jasco V 530 spectrometer (Japan) equipped with the integrating sphere accessory for diffuse reflectance spectra.

2.7. Photocatalytic activity

To check the photocatalytic activity of MRG and MRGT composites, a reaction of TZ solved in water is performed under simulated visible illumination of a halogen lamp (500W). First, composites were added to 60 mL of aqueous TZ solution with concentration of 50 ppm. The mixture was under stirring for 30 min in dark box, until adsorption–desorption equilibrium is obtained between TZ dye and photocatalyst (composite). The suspension is irradiated as a function of time. Samples are then withdrawn regularly from the reactor and the photocatalyst is separated by an external magnet. The clean transparent solution is analyzed by agilent technologies cary 60 UV–Vis spectroscopy.

3. Results and discussions

Magnetite was loaded with different ratios on reduced graphene oxide. Then various amounts of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles was added to form the MRGTx composites. X-ray diffraction (XRD) was employed first for analysing the crystalline phase of the magnetic reduced graphene nanocomposites (MRGx). Figure (1a) shows the diffraction peaks at 20 of 30.27, 35.75, 43.6, 53.73, 57.5, and 63.1 which are
related to a lattice planes of cubic Fe$_3$O$_4$ [(220), (311), (400), (422), (511) and (440)] respectively. The peaks of reduced graphene oxide were not observed for 2 different reasons. Firstly, the magnetite reduced the aggregation of graphene sheets and secondly, the strong signal of iron oxides has tendency to dominate the weak peak of graphene [34].

Crystal structure of magnetic reduced graphene with different amounts of TiO$_2$ 25, 50 and 75% (MRGTx) samples are presented in Figure (1b). In addition to the magnetite peaks, the diffraction peaks at 25.3°, 37.8°, 48°, 54°, 55.2° are observed and are attributed to (101), (004), (200), (105) and (211) crystallographic planes of anatase phases of TiO$_2$ (JCPDS 21-1272). The reflection of RG is not seen in the XRD patterns of MRGT composites, because the distinctive peak of RG at 24.5° might be covered by the fundamental peak of anatase TiO$_2$ at 25.3 [35].

Composites were also characterized by Raman. As shown in Figure (2a), the primary components in the Raman spectra of graphitic carbon-based materials are the G and D bands. The normal features in Raman spectra were the D band situated at 1345 cm$^{-1}$ and the G band at 1592 cm$^{-1}$. The G peak matches to the optical E$_{2g}$ phonons at the Brillouin zone center which outcome from the bond stretching of sp$^2$ carbon pairs in both, rings and chains. The D peak demonstrates breathing mode of aromatic rings which grow due to the defect in the sample. The D peak intensity is usually utilized as a measure of the level of disorder. The shift and the
shape of the overtone of the D peak, called as 2D peak around 2680 cm$^{-1}$, can be related to the quantity of graphene layers (N) [36].

In Raman spectrum of MRGx (where x is 10, 20 and 30), there are three peaks situated at 217, 272, 367 cm$^{-1}$, which are related to Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles as show in Figure (2b). The wide peaks showed at 1357 cm$^{-1}$ and 1592 cm$^{-1}$ are related to the D and G groups of reduced graphene oxide, respectively [37]. The $I_D/I_G$ ratio in Go is 0.97. In MRG10, MRG20 and MRG30, the two distinctive peaks of G and D bands still coexist, however $I_D/I_G$ ratios are 1.52, 1.14 and 1.09 respectively. The relatively high intensity of the D band when compared to the G band of composites indicates the presence of sp$^3$ defects within sp$^2$ during the functionalization of graphene by magnetite and the high exfoliation of rGO layers. This confirming that, a chemical bonding between Fe$_3$O$_4$ and rGO was created from the replacement of oxygen in GO by Fe$_3$O$_4$ during reduction as well as the efficient exfoliation of graphene [38].

Raman spectrum of MRGTx samples presented in Figure (2c). It displays two strong peaks, meant as the disorder peak (D, situated at 1357 cm$^{-1}$) and the graphitic peak (G, at ~1592 cm$^{-1}$) which are attributed to reduced graphene oxide. For TiO$_2$, a sharp Raman scattering peak for free status anatase nanoparticles is usually seen at 143 cm$^{-1}$. Conversely, the TiO$_2$ anatase peak was seen at 157 cm$^{-1}$. The blue shift from 143 cm$^{-1}$ to 157 cm$^{-1}$ might be ascribed to the interaction of
RG and TiO$_2$. The peaks at ~215, 410, 520 and 630 cm$^{-1}$ are related to the different vibration modes of anatase [39].

The morphologies of the magnetite with various amounts of reduced graphene oxide (10%, 20% and 30%) are seen by HRTEM in Figure (3- a, b and c respectively). As indicated, the gray part demonstrates the RG, while the dark part demonstrates the aggregates of Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles. The magnetite can be distinguished clearly in MRG (10 % and 20% respectively). Conversely at MRG30, Graphene tends to cover whole the nanoparticles and hinder activity of these particles [40].

The TEM of the MRGT25, 50 and 75 composites were observed in Figure (3- d, e and f respectively). The loading amount of TiO$_2$ on RG sheets is compatible with the EDX results [41].

The EDX spectrum shows the existence of Fe, O and C peaks in MRG composite. The EDX analysis reveals that the ratio of Fe decreases with increasing of graphene content. This observation is in good agreement with the ratio used to prepare the composites as illustrated in Table 1. The EDX results for the MRGTx composites show the existence of Ti, Fe, O and C elements. The increase of the atomic percentages of Ti can be clearly seen from Table 1: MRGT75 > MRGT50 > MRGT25.

Table 1. EDX data showing the composition of all prepared samples.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atomic %</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Ti</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MRG10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>__</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRG20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>__</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRG30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>__</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRGT25</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRGT50</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRGT75</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The successful incorporation of rGO sheets in the Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles was revealed by the typical FTIR spectrum shown in Figure (4a). The broad band located at about 3400 cm⁻¹ was assigned to the stretching vibration of O-H. The absorption band at 600 cm⁻¹ can be considered as a combination of Fe–O vibrations, demonstrating the effective blending of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles and rGO sheets [42]. The absorption band showing up at 1570 cm⁻¹ is related to the skeletal vibration of rGO sheets, which proves the formation of rGO [43]. In Figure (4b) The band at 1400 cm⁻¹ appear due to the Ti–O–C vibration, which confirms the successful interaction between TiO₂ and C. In addition, good dispersion of TiO₂ on reduced graphene oxide (RG) was confirmed with the
presence of the absorption band at 584 cm\(^{-1}\) attributed to the presence of Ti–O–Ti bond on the surface of MRGT nanocomposite [44].

VSM technique was performed to measure the magnetic behavior of both Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) nanoparticles and MRG nanocomposite. VSM is a suitable technique to find the magnetic behavior of any sample. VSM was performed at room temperature and the magnetic behavior results of MRG 10, 20 and 30 are shown in the Figure (5a). The Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) nanoparticles and MRG nanocomposites demonstrate magnetization hysteresis loops. These magnetization hysteresis loops are S-like curves. All samples of MRG10, 20 and 30 demonstrated that they have a super paramagnetic behavior with a saturation magnetization of 50, 47.3 and 40.6 emu/g, respectively. These values are suitable to easily separate the photocatalysts from the mixture by external magnet and to use it again in other processes. Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) nanopowder displays ferromagnetic behavior with a saturation magnetization of 51 emu/g, which was observed to be higher than that of MRG nanocomposites. The smaller value of saturation magnetization in MRG nanocomposites could be attributed to the existence of RG nanosheet in the nanocomposites. [45],[46].

According to the magnetization curves in Figure (5b), the saturation magnetization of the MRGT (25, 50 and 75 proportion) decreases to 34.7, 22.4 and 9.4 emu/g respectively from 51 emu/g in the primary Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) sample because of the presence of nonmagnetic materials in the surface of MRG nanocomposite. However, this value
is still adequate for the magnetic separation of the catalyst with a suitable magnet field. The reversibility in hysteresis loop confirms that there is no aggregation occurs to the nanoparticles in the magnetic fields [47].

Figure (6a) presents UV–vis diffuse reflectance spectra of MRG10, 20 and 30 samples. The spectra of sample MRG20 have a red shift for about 25 nm in comparison to MRG10. This indicates the decrease of the band gap energy. The values of band gap energy $E_g$ is 1.8, 1.4 and 1.64 eV for MRG10, 20 and 30 respectively. The $E_g$ values were calculated from the $(F(R)h\nu)^{1/2}$ versus $h\nu$ plots, where $F(R) = (1-R)/2R$ [48]. The little blue shift of MRG30 is due to block part of visible light by some layers of reduced graphene to reach to magnetite nanoparticles. The best ratio is for MRG20 which gives the smallest $E_g$ (1.4 ev).

UV–vis diffuse reflectance of MRGT25, 50 and 75 is shown in Figure (6b). The MRGT25, 50 and 75 show a blue shift where $E_g$ was 2.3, 2.42 and 2.8 eV respectively. MRGT50 is an active in the visible region.

The photoactivity has been measured and evaluated in the following part. Thus, the incorporation of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$-rGO into $\text{TiO}_2$ has been created energetic levels which has been decreased the band gap energy of $\text{TiO}_2$ to shift the absorption edge of $\text{TiO}_2$ into the visible range.

**Catalytic activity of MRGx and MRGTx samples**
In order to determine the optimal photocatalyst of MRG (either MRG10, 20 or 30) to be used as a support to load TiO$_2$ nanoparticle on its surface, the photocatalytic degradation of TZ dye in visible light was performed at room temperature by varying the time from 0 to 210 min. The effect of time on the rate of degradation indicates that optimum catalyst loading was MRG20 as shown in Figure (7). The rate of degradation increases with increasing the amount of loading of graphene on the surface of magnetite from MRG10 to MRG20. In MRG30, the rate of degradation has been decreased. This is probably due to the enrichment of loading graphene (rGO) on magnetite surface that leads to the complete cover of nanoparticles and hinder the photocatalytic activity of these particles. This observation has been matched with the band gap data and TEM images.

To study the TZ degradation by MRGT25, 50 and 75, an experiment was performed at room temperature by varying the time from 0 to 210 min. The effect of time on the rate of degradation indicates that the optimum catalyst loading on MRG20 is MRGT50 as shown in Figure (8). The degradation rate has been increased with the increase of the TiO$_2$ amount from MRGT25 to MRGT50. Otherwise, the degradation rate of MRGT75 has been decreased. This is probably due to the excess of titanium dioxide has been shifted the composite to work in UV region. It has less activity in visible light. For TiO$_2$, the degradation efficiency of
TZ dye was just 10% because TiO$_2$ has a wide band gap and it is not active in visible light range.

**The most effective concentration of MRGT50 and endure against high concentration.**

To determine the optimal amount of the MRGT50 sample, photocatalytic degradation has been performed at room temperature by varying the amount of catalyst from 0.15 to 0.3 g/l. The effect of MRGT50 loadings on the rate of degradation indicates that optimum catalyst concentration is 0.2g/l as shown in Figure (9). The rate increases with the increase in catalyst concentration from 0.15 to 0.2g/l. This is probably due to the increase in the amount of photocatalyst, which increases the number of photons and dye molecules absorbed. The increase of the catalyst concentration to more than 0.2g/l results in the decrease of degradation rate. This phenomenon may be explained by the aggregation of MRGT50 at high concentrations. It causes a decrease in the number of surface active sites, which increases the opacity and lights scattering of MRGT50, leading to a decrease in the passage of irradiation through the sample.

Photocatalytic degradations are performed as well at different concentrations of TZ 50, 100, 150 and 200 ppm, against MRGT50 [0.2g/l], at room temperature. Degradation rate appears to decrease with increasing TZ concentration in Figure
(10). This could be attributed to the fact that active sites of the photocatalyst are
occupied by TZ molecules and fewer photons can reach the surface of the catalyst. 
Therefore, the possibility of photocatalyst excitation is diminished. At higher 
concentration levels, the screening effect also dominates and hence, degradation 
efficiency decreases.

The prepared materials (MRGT50) have been achieved high degradation of 
tartrazine (azo-dye) (Table 2). Besides, MRGT50 has magnetic properties in order 
to facilitate its extraction from solution.

Photocatalytic stability of MRGT50 was evaluated by reusability test. The test was 
carried out for four times under visible light as shown in Figure (11). The weight 
of MRGT50 (extracted from 60 mL of solution) after each run was stable (12 mg). 
The degradation rate of tartrazine reached 90% for four cycles. This indicates that 
$\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{rGO}/\text{TiO}_2$ is a promising photocatalyst.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Photocatalysts</th>
<th>Started concentration(ppm)</th>
<th>Radiation source</th>
<th>Time(min.)</th>
<th>Degradation (%)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ag-silicon nanowires</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>UV</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>&lt; 10%</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-(SiNWs)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>UV</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>&lt; 10%</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt-(SiNWs)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>UV</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>Intensity</td>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-SiNWs</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>UV</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>27.39%</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd-(SiNWs)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>UV</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>47.45%</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu-(SiNWs)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>UV</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>67.45%</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu-(SiNWs) with H₂O₂</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>UV</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>95.48%</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-doped TiO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td>Visible</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>[49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-doped TiO₂ with organic phosphors</td>
<td></td>
<td>Visible</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>[49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>UV</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>92.9%</td>
<td>[50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRG20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Visible</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRGT50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Visible</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>95.5%</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The degradation mechanism, based on all the results reported here and the energy band structure of Fe₃O₄/rGO/TiO₂ heterointerfaces are shown in Figure (12). Under light irradiation, electrons and holes are generated in the composite. The photogenerated electrons in the CB of magnetite can be transferred to the CB of TiO₂ via rGO, which acted as a conductive layer to enhance the electron hole separation [51-53]. All techniques have been used to characterize the structure of composite which proved that Janus structure of Fe₃O₄ and TiO₂ in the presence of conductive layer (rGO). The presence of an interlayer (rGO) facilitated the migration of electron into TiO₂ and accumulated hole in Fe₃O₄. Then OH· radicals have been produced by holes which have strong oxidizing power. Also,
photogenerated electron are produced $O_2^-$ which play important role for degradation of tartrazine, by the following mechanism

\[
\text{MRGT50 + hv} \rightarrow (h^+) \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 //\text{conductive layer of rGO//} (e^-) \text{TiO}_2 \quad \text{equation (1)}
\]

\[
(e^-) \text{TiO}_2 + O_2 \rightarrow O_2^- \quad \text{equation (2)}
\]

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O} + O_2^- \rightarrow 2\text{OH}^- \quad \text{equation (3)}
\]

\[
(h^+) \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{OH}^- + \text{H}^+ \quad \text{equation (4)}
\]

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O} + O_2^- + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{OH}^- + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{OH}^- \quad \text{equation (5)}
\]

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + e^- \rightarrow \text{OH}^- + \text{OH}^- \quad \text{equation (6)}
\]

\[
\text{OH}^- + \text{h}^+ \rightarrow \text{OH}^- \quad \text{equation (7)}
\]

\[
\text{OH}^- + \text{TZ} \rightarrow \text{degradation of tartrazine dye} \quad \text{equation (8)}
\]

**Conclusion**

A magnetically separable MRG and MRGT photocatalyst has been successfully prepared. TEM observations indicate that reduced graphene sheets are fully exfoliated and decorated with $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ and $\text{TiO}_2$ nanoparticles. The photocatalytic activity measurements show that coupling $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ and $\text{TiO}_2$ nanoparticles with reduced graphene oxide sheets leads to high photocatalytic activity in degradation of TZ under visible light irradiation. The degradation efficiency reaches more than 95%. In contrast, $\text{TiO}_2$ alone is almost inactive under visible light. The designed nanocomposites were collected and separated easily from solution just by applying an external magnetic field after degradation of organic pollutant. The weight of
MRGT50 after each photocatalysis run was stable (12 mg). This prepared material is promising to use also in dye-sensitized solar cell because it is highly activity in visible region combined with good efficiency.
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Figure 1. X-ray diffraction patterns of (a) MRG10, 20 and 30 & (b) MRGT25, 50 and 75 samples.
(a) Intensity (a.u.) vs. wave number (cm\(^{-1}\))
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(b) Intensity (a.u.) vs. wave number (cm\(^{-1}\))
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Figure 2. Raman spectra of (a) graphene oxide sample, (b) MRG10, 20 and 30 samples and (c) MRGT25, 50 and 75 samples.
Figure 3. TEM images of (a) MRG10, (b) MRG20, (c) MRG30, (d) MRGT25, (e) MRGT50 and (f) MRGT75 samples.
Figure 4. FT-IR spectra of (a) MRG10, 20 and 30 and (b) MRGT25, 50 and 75 samples.
Figure 5. VSM measurements for magnetic nanoparticles, (a) Fe$_3$O$_4$, MRG10, 20 and 30 samples and (b) Fe$_3$O$_4$, MRGT25, 50 and 75 samples.
Figure 6. Diffuse reflectance for all prepared samples (a) MRG10, 20 and 30 samples and (b) MRGT25, 50 and 75 samples.
Figure 7. Photocatalytic degradation of tartrazine by 0.2 g MRG10, 20 and 30 samples in 1 L (50 ppm) TZ.

Figure 8. Photocatalytic degradation of 1 L – 50 ppm TZ by 0.2 g of MRGT25, 50, 75 and TiO₂ samples.
Figure 9. Effect of weight catalyst MRGT50 on degradation of 50 ppm TZ concentration.

Figure 10. Different concentrations of TZ degradation by MRGT50
Figure 11. Repetitive photocatalytic degradation of tartrazine over MRGT50 under visible light

Figure 12. Mechanism of photogenerated charges in MRGT50.