



HAL
open science

Environmental mineralization of caffeine micro-pollutant by Fe-MFI zeolites

Julius Motuzas, Martin Drobek, Dana Martens, Cyril Vallicari, Anne Julbe,
João Diniz da Costa

► **To cite this version:**

Julius Motuzas, Martin Drobek, Dana Martens, Cyril Vallicari, Anne Julbe, et al.. Environmental mineralization of caffeine micro-pollutant by Fe-MFI zeolites. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 2018, 25 (4), pp.3628 - 3635. 10.1007/s11356-017-0530-0 . hal-01723029

HAL Id: hal-01723029

<https://hal.umontpellier.fr/hal-01723029>

Submitted on 18 Nov 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Click here to view linked References](#)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Environmental mineralization of caffeine micro-pollutant by Fe-MFI zeolites

2 *Julius Motuzas^{a*}, Martin Drobek^b, Dana L. Martens^a, Cyril Vallicar^b, Anne Julbe^b and*
3 *João C. Diniz da Costa^a*

4 ^aThe University of Queensland, FIM²Lab – Functional Interfacial Materials and
5 Membranes, School of Chemical Engineering, St. Lucia, Qld 4072, Australia.

6 ^bInstitut Européen des Membranes, UMR 5635-CNRS-ENSCM-UM, Université de
7 Montpellier, cc 047, Place Eugène Bataillon, 34095 Montpellier – Cedex 5, France.

8 * Corresponding author: J. Motuzas; Tel: +61 7 3365 8835; Fax: +61 7 3365 4199;

9 Email: j.motuzas@uq.edu.au

10 **Key words:** Fe-MFI; zeolite; caffeine; micro-pollutant; mineralization; Fenton reaction.

11 **Abstract**

12 Environmentally emerging micro-pollutant, caffeine, was mineralized (i.e full degradation)
13 by the isomorphic incorporation of Fe into silicalite-1 (MFI structure zeolite) through a
14 microwave synthesis method. The Fe incorporation conferred mesopore formation that
15 facilitated caffeine access and transport to the MFI zeolite structure. Increasing the Fe
16 content favored the formation of Fe(O)₄ sites within the MFI structure. The catalytic activity
17 for the degradation of caffeine increased as a function of Fe(O)₄ sites via a Fenton-like

1
2
3
4 18 heterogeneous reaction, otherwise not attainable using Fe-free pure MFI zeolites.
5
6 19 Caffeine degradation reached 96% (TOC based) for zeolites containing 2.33% of Fe.
7
8
9

10 20 **1. Introduction**

11
12
13 21 Caffeine is rapidly becoming a contemporary anthropogenic pollutant in natural waters.
14
15 22 It has been found in lakes in Switzerland (Buerge et al., 2003) and in the sea coast of
16 23 Oregon (Rodriguez del Rey et al., 2012) in the USA. Caffeine pollution may be caused
17
18 24 by effluents from our current lifestyle, related to drinking coffee and many energy drinks
19
20 25 containing caffeine. Although the caffeine toxicity is of little concern for humans under
21
22 26 moderate conditions, a similar generalization for aquatic organisms cannot be made since
23
24 27 they are continuously exposed over a lifetime (Bruton et al., 2010). Hence, it is imperative
25
26 28 to avoid future detrimental environmental impacts if caffeine continues to accumulate in
27
28 29 natural waters. Caffeine can be degraded biochemically by Pseudomonas bacteria
29
30 30 (Gummadi et al., 2009), by photolysis (Bruton et al., 2010), or by using chemical
31
32 31 processes such as ozonation (Rosal et al., 2009). Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs)
33
34 32 are also attractive in tackling caffeine degradation, particularly due to the simplicity of
35
36 33 coupling catalysts and oxidants in a single unit operation. One of the most promising
37
38 34 AOPs is the heterogeneous Fenton reaction using iron oxide catalyst and hydrogen
39
40 35 peroxide (H_2O_2) oxidant (Klamerth et al., 2012, Zeng et al., 2015). In this reaction, the
41
42 36 active sites ($\equiv\text{Fe}^{2+}$) react with H_2O_2 and generate $\cdot\text{OH}$ radical, a powerful oxidant
43
44 37 extensively used in the degradation of organic compounds in wastewaters by AOPs
45
46 38 processes (Zubir et al., 2015, Mijangos et a., 2006). The Fenton reaction approach was
47
48 39 recently investigated for caffeine degradation using bio-based combined iron oxide photo
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 40 catalysts (Franzoso et al., 2017) and persulfated activated iron catalysts (S. Rodríguez
5
6 41 et al., 2017).

7
8
9 42 Zeolites are efficient materials for separation (Rangnekar et al., 2015), adsorption
10
11 43 (Hoffmann et al., 1997) and catalysis (Vermeiren and Gilson, 2009 and Li et al., 2014)
12
13
14 44 applications, though they are generally used as adsorbents in water and wastewater
15
16 45 treatment (Kragovi et al., 2013, An, 2013 and Wingenfelder et al., 2005). They can be
17
18
19 46 prepared and used as either purely microporous or hierarchical micro/mesoporous
20
21 47 materials (Pérez-Ramírez et al., 2008) . The latter form decreases diffusion restrictions
22
23
24 48 and is widely applied in sorption (Meng et al., 2011) and catalysis (Christensen et al.,
25
26 49 2003). A large variety of functionalities, such as acid-base or redox centers, can be
27
28
29 50 introduced in zeolites (Moliner, 2012). Heteroatoms, such as Fe, can be incorporated in
30
31 51 zeolites through various methods such as cationic exchange, impregnation, or chemical
32
33 52 vapor deposition of metal precursors after zeolite crystallization (post-synthesis
34
35 53 treatment). Another strategy, called “one pot”, consists in the direct insertion of
36
37
38 54 heteroatoms during zeolite formation (Bordiga et al., 1996, Giordano et al., 2002); and is
39
40
41 55 an attractive option for lowering the manufacturing costs and ensuring uniform dispersion
42
43 56 of heteroatoms in either framework or extra-framework positions.

44
45 57 *In-situ* hydrothermal synthesis methods have been used to provide isomorphic
46
47
48 58 incorporation of Fe into MFI zeolite structure, although reports to date have limited the
49
50 59 Si/Fe molar ratio to 100 (1 at%Fe) (Kritchayanon et al., 2006; [Taniguchi et al., 2016](#)).
51
52
53 60 Further Fe incorporation can be carried out by post-synthesis methods, but they mostly
54
55 61 yield extra-framework iron oxide species (Maxwell et al., 2003; [Anizelli et al., 2016](#)). The
56
57
58 62 isomorphic incorporation of iron species into zeolites differs from conventional
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 63 immobilization of iron-based particles (e.g. Fe, Fe₂O₃ or Fe₃O₄) on substrates such as
5
6 64 graphene oxides (Zubir et al., 2014), silica shells (Liu et al, 2014), carbon aerogels (Wang
7
8
9 65 et al., 2013) or clays (Gao et al., 2015). The main advantage of inserting transition
10
11 66 elements in zeolites by direct synthesis is related to the possibility of achieving a high
12
13
14 67 dispersion of the metal in the zeolitic structure.

15
16 68 Herein, we show the production of higher Fe content Fe-MFI zeolites **confers enhanced**
17
18
19 69 catalytic performance for the mineralization of caffeine **as compared to traditional pure**
20
21 70 **MFI zeolites**. The as-synthesized Fe-MFI zeolites **were** tested for the catalytic caffeine
22
23
24 71 removal from synthetic wastewaters under the conditions of the Fenton-like
25
26 72 heterogeneous reaction. **The catalytic testing was accompanied by the characterization of**
27
28
29 73 **Fe-MFI zeolites. Of particular interest, the catalytic results are correlated to the role played**
30
31 74 **by Fe–O sites in the mesoporous zeolite structure, in order to** provided new insights into
32
33
34 75 the improved catalytic efficiency of Fe-MFI zeolites.

35 36 76 37 38 77 **2. Experimental**

39 78 40 79 **2.1. Materials Synthesis**

41
42
43 80 The zeolite synthesis solutions were prepared by mixing TEOS (98%, Aldrich), ultrapure
44
45
46 81 water (18.2 MΩ), tetrapropyl ammonium hydroxide (TPAOH, 20 wt% aqueous solution,
47
48 82 Sigma) and iron (III) acetylacetonate (Fe(acac)₃, 99.9%, Alfa Aesar). The sol molar
49
50
51 83 concentration was set at (x/2) Fe₂O₃ :100 SiO₂ : 40 TPAOH : 1950 H₂O : 400 C₂H₅OH
52
53 84 where x is the required atomic concentration of Fe in the MFI zeolite. Subsequently, the
54
55
56 85 sols were aged under stirring for 24 h at 25 °C. The aged sols were placed into autoclaves
57
58 86 in a commercial laboratory microwave oven (Milestone ETHOS 1600). The hydrothermal
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 87 treatment was conducted as one pot synthesis. **Initially**, the closed autoclaves were
5
6 88 irradiated for 90 min at 80 °C with a MW power of 250 W. **Subsequently**, the autoclaves
7
8
9 89 were heated to 180 °C and left for 60 min under MW irradiation of 400 W. Finally, the
10
11 90 autoclaves were cooled down to 50 °C before opening. The formed solid products were
12
13
14 91 separated by centrifugation at 9500 rpm (JOUAN B4i) and washed twice with distilled
15
16 92 water. A centrifugation step followed after each wash. The washed solids were dried for
17
18
19 93 4 h at 155 °C prior to calcination. The dried materials were then calcined in air at 550 °C
20
21 94 for 8 h with heating and cooling rates of 5 °C min⁻¹.

22
23 95 **Characterization.** A PANalytical X'Pert Pro X-ray diffractometer operating at 40 mA and
24
25
26 96 40 kV was used for measurement of X-ray diffraction patterns. PANalytical X'pert Pro
27
28
29 97 software was used to determine the crystal phase and calculate the lattice constants.
30
31 98 Morphological features of the samples were observed on a Hitachi S-4800 field emission
32
33 99 scanning electron microscope (FESEM), and a JEOL JMS-2010 high resolution
34
35
36 100 transmission electron microscope (HR-TEM). The elemental composition of samples was
37
38 101 assessed using a JEOL Model JSM-7001F SEM system equipped for energy-dispersive
39
40
41 102 X-ray spectroscopy (EDS). X-ray spectra were collected with a JEOL Minicup EDS
42
43 103 detector (Model EX-64175JMH), with a 133 eV resolution, 10 mm² effective area, polymer
44
45 104 ultrathin window (UTW) and using JEOL Analysis Station JED-2300 Series (v. 3.84)
46
47
48 105 software. Microanalysis acquisition conditions were 20 keV at 10 mm working distance.
49
50

51 106 The descriptors (x%Fe-MFI) for the samples are based on the Fe content detected in
52
53 107 the solid ascertained by EDS, where x represents the atomic percentage of Fe in (Si+Fe)
54
55
56 108 mixture within the zeolite sample (i.e. x=0.34 (0.34%Fe-MFI)). A Renishaw inVia confocal
57
58
59 109 Raman Microscope Spectrometer operated with UV laser line (325 nm) was employed
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

110 for Raman measurements. The Raman spectra were deconvoluted using Origin 8.5
111 software. Nitrogen sorption measurements were performed on a Micromeritics TriStar
112 3020 analyzer after degassing at 300 °C for 24 h under vacuum on a VacPrep061
113 degassing system. Specific surface area values were calculated by Brunauer-Emmett-
114 Teller (BET) model, from adsorption data in the 0.05–0.20 relative pressure range (p/p_0).
115 Pore diameters were determined via the density functional theory (DFT) modeling of the
116 entire adsorption branch ($p/p_0 = 0.0005–0.95$) using a cylindrical pore model on metal
117 oxide surface with a regularization factor of 0.40. The minimum size modeled by DFT
118 (12\AA) was limited by the lower limit value of the relative pressure ($p/p_0 \sim 5 \times 10^{-4}$).


2.2. Catalysis experiments

120 The catalytic activity of materials was tested using 0.33 g L^{-1} zeolite and a commercial
121 Fe_3O_4 (98%, Sigma-Aldrich), deionized water at pH of 3 (adjusted by HCl, 36%wt, Chem-
122 supply Pty Ltd) and 22 mM hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2 , 30%, Chem-supply Pty Ltd.). The
123 caffeine concentration was varied from 10 to 20 and 50 ppm in solution at 25 °C. The
124 oxidative degradation of caffeine was carried out using a fresh catalyst for each test.
125 Liquid samples were taken after 1 h of dark adsorption, and 1, 3, 7 and 22 h after H_2O_2
126 was added. The concentration of caffeine in the solution was determined by measuring
127 the absorbance of the filtered solution at 484 nm on an Evolution 220 UV–Vis
128 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Sci.). Experimental variation for the concentration of
129 caffeine in the solution was ± 0.8 ppm. Total organic carbon (TOC) analysis was
130 undertaken on a Shimadzu TOC analyzer with an Agilent Eclipse XDB-C8 4.6×150 mm
131 column with $5\ \mu\text{m}$ packing. The TOC analysis was carried out on a 150 μL sample, and

1
2
3
4 132 the organic carbon content was an average value calculated from four measurements for
5
6
7 133 each tested catalyst and tested condition.
8

9 134 **3. Results and discussion**

10
11 135 The incorporation of Fe in MFI zeolites was carried out during zeolite formation, by a
12
13
14 136 two-steps microwave-assisted hydrothermal synthesis method. Fe-MFI was produced
15
16
17 137 from solutions with Si/Fe atomic ratios equal to ∞ (0 %Fe), 400 (0.25 %Fe), 200 (0.5
18
19 138 %Fe), 100 (1 %Fe) and 50 (2 %Fe), though the 25 (4%Fe) samples failed due to direct
20
21 139 gelation of the sol. The Fe concentration in the produced powders, determined by EDS,
22
23
24 140 generally showed a good transfer of Fe ions from the sol (0.25, 0.5, 1 and 2%) to the
25
26
27 141 synthesized bulk materials resulting in measured Fe concentrations of 0.34, 0.66, 1.20
28
29 142 and 2.33 % in the solids, respectively. A wide angle XRD analysis was also conducted as
30
31 143 displayed in Fig. 1 in order to determine the crystal structure of the materials. The
32
33
34 144 measured patterns were compared to the reported in a PDF2 data basis and were
35
36 145 attributed to reference pattern 01-070-4744. These XRD patterns confirm that all formed
37
38
39 146 materials hold the monoclinic crystal structure (#14, P21/n1), characteristic for calcined
40
41 147 MFI structure.
42
43



148

1
2
3
4 149 Fig. 1 XRD patterns of pure MFI (silicalite-1) and Fe-MFI powders (FeS-1) series calcined
5
6 150 at 550 °C.
7
8
9


10 151 Table 1 lists the lattice parameters (a, b, and c) calculated from XRD patterns. As
11
12 152 expected for an isomorphous substitution of Si by Fe, the unit cell volume increased when
13
14
15 153 0.25% Fe was incorporated into the synthesis solution as compared with the blank 0%Fe-
16
17 154 MFI sample. However, the cell volume values did not correlate with the quantity of Fe
18
19
20 155 detected by EDS. Rather, the unit cell volume peaked as x increased from 0 to 0.34%,
21
22 156 before decreasing sequentially for higher Fe content. The β parameter, which is related
23
24
25 157 to the crystal lattice distortion, evolved by a different profile to the unit cell volume, peaking
26
27 158 at Fe concentration of 1.20%. Interestingly, no secondary iron oxide phase was detected
28
29
30 159 in the XRD patterns, thus confirming the presence of monoclinic crystal structure (#14,
31
32 160 P21/n1) (Treacy and Higgins, 2001). It is noteworthy that Fe-MFI zeolites were
33
34
35 161 synthesized with Fe concentration in excess of 1% (i.e. Si/Fe < 100).
36
37 162
38

39 163 Table 1. Fe concentration in both sols and derived solids, and lattice constants of the
40
41
42 164 corresponding MFI zeolites. x was measured by EDS. (atom %)
43
44

	x%Fe-MFI sample					
sol	0	0.25	0.50	1.00	2.00	
solid (x)	0	0.34	0.66	1.20	2.33	
a (Å)	20.056 (5)	20.030 (4)	20.250 (1)	20.110 (3)	19.970 (1)	
b (Å)	19.990 (5)	20.069 (5)	20.158 (8)	20.140 (3)	20.100 (1)	
c (Å)	13.401 (3)	13.396 (4)	11.197 (5)	11.140 (2)	11.045 (8)	
α, β (°)	90, 90	90, 90	90, 90	90, 90	90, 90	

γ (°)	89.922 (3)	90.124 (4)	90.266 (7)	90.900 (3)	90.420 (1)
Vol. (Å ³)	5373	5385	4571	4511	4433

165



166

167 Fig. 2 (a) SEM and (b) TEM with HR-TEM inset images of pure MFI (S-1) and Fe-MFI
 168 (FeS-1) powders series.

169

170

171

172

173

174

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

169 The FE-SEM images in Fig. 2a clearly show that the MFI zeolite morphology was
170 influenced by the Fe concentration. For instance, by raising the Fe concentration from 0
171 to 1.20%, the particles were getting rounder every time the Fe concentration was
172 increased. Further increase of x from 1.20% Fe to 2.33% Fe yielded a packed and
173 aggregated structure, resembling a cauliflower, comprised of smaller cubic crystals (<
174 100 nm). TEM images in Fig. 2b confirmed the formation of single crystal particles in
175 samples derived from sols with the lowest iron concentrations (0 to 1.20% Fe). They are
176 common features of MFI type zeolite morphology. Further increase of the Fe content at
177 2.33% resulted in a more complex polycrystalline structure made of aggregated cubic
178 nanocrystals 40 nm in size.

179 To shed further light on Fe-MFI formation, Raman spectroscopy analysis was carried
180 out to understand the incorporation of Fe ions. Fig. 3 shows two bands common to all
181 samples (with and without Fe) at 378 cm^{-1} . The band at 378 cm^{-1} is associated to with
182 the Si–O–Si vibrations. The bands at 1165 , 1019 and 516 cm^{-1} were common to the iron-
183 containing samples only. The bands at 1165 and 1019 cm^{-1} were assigned to vibrational
184 bands of Si–O–Si near iron and Fe–O–Si, respectively, and the 516 cm^{-1} band was
185 assigned to $\text{Fe}(\text{O})_4$ in the zeolite network (Fan et al., 2009). Any additional bands
186 potentially allocated to iron oxide particles (li et al., 2012) could not be observed at given
187 conditions. Coupled with the absence of nano-particle domains in the HR-TEM images in
188 Fig. 2b, these results clearly indicate that Fe was mainly incorporated in MFI particles as
189 intra-framework species rather than as iron oxide (i.e. extra-framework) particles.




Fig. 3 Raman spectra of pure MFI and Fe-MFI samples.

A further insight into the microstructure of the synthesized zeolites is displayed by their pore size distribution (PSD) (Fig. 4a) determined by the density functional theory (DFT) from N₂ sorption isotherms (Fig. 4b). The incorporation of Fe into MFI conferred both mesoporosity and microporosity to the powders, contrary to the microporosity of pure MFI. This can be further verified by the shift in the average PSD from 10 Å of pores not related to zeolite framework for the pure 0%Fe-MFI to 22, 27 and 30 Å for the 2.33%, 1.20% and 0.66%Fe-MFI samples, respectively. Although microporous features were maintained with the incorporation of Fe, the isotherms of the Fe-MFI powders clearly indicates the formation of large micropores and finally mesopores for the higher Fe concentrations. The BET surface areas increased by Fe incorporation from 336 (0%Fe-MFI) to 414 (0.34%Fe-MFI), 415 (0.66%Fe-MFI), 386 (1.2%Fe-MFI) and 396 m² g⁻¹ (2.33%Fe-MFI), which were in the range of literature data for MFI zeolites (Jung et al., 2009 and Li et al., 2013).




Fig. 4 (a) Pore size distribution and (b) nitrogen sorption isotherms of Fe-MFI and MFI samples.

The as-synthesized Fe-MFI samples were used as catalysts in a Fenton-like heterogeneous reaction as described in the experimental section. Fig. 5a clearly shows that the blank sample (%Fe-MFI) was unable to breakdown caffeine within 7 hours reaction, and only minor degradation was observed by 22 hours. Similar trends were also observed for the 0.34% and 0.66%Fe-MFI samples, which gave very low caffeine degradation rates. However, the results in Fig. 5a strongly suggest that the Fe has to be above a certain concentration to be effective in catalysis, in this case at least 1.20% Fe within the MFI powder. For comparison purpose, a commercially available Fenton like catalyst Fe₃O₄ was also tested for the degradation of caffeine reaching. The results in Fig 5a confirm that the Fe-MFI zeolite catalysts were more efficient than the Fe₃O₄ catalyst. For instance, caffeine degradation of up to 98% and 90% were achieved by the 2.33%

and 1.23% Fe-MFI at 20 h, respectively, whilst the Fe_3O_4 catalyst reached a maximum degradation of 82%.




Fig. 5 (a) Caffeine degradation at concentration of 10 ppm in aqueous solution, and (b) rate constant at 10, 20 and 50 ppm @ 7 hours. All experimental conditions: $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2=22$ mM, pH=3 and 25 °C.

Fig. 5b displays the rate constant (k) for the same experimental work by varying the initial concentration of caffeine from 10 to 50 ppm for the most active samples (2.33% and 1.20%Fe-MFI). Again these results demonstrate that the k values were greater for higher Fe content in the zeolite structure (2.33%Fe-MFI). The k value consistently increased from 10 to 20 ppm, and then reduced when caffeine concentration increased further to 50 ppm. The reduction of the k value is associated with mass transfer limitations as adsorption was found to be negligible (~1%). Further, as the surface area of the Fe containing MFI samples were very similar, the higher k values of 2.33%Fe-MFI were therefore related to the amount of incorporated Fe.







Fig. 6 Caffeine degradation and ratio of Raman peak areas at 516 and 378 cm^{-1} as the function of iron fraction in Fe-MFI zeolite powders.

In order to explain the improved performance of Fe-MFI samples, the Raman spectra in Fig. 3 were deconvoluted to calculate the ratio of peak areas assigned to vibrational bands of the intra-framework species containing iron oxygen bonds ($\text{Fe}(\text{O})_4$) at 516 cm^{-1} over the MFI building units band at 378 cm^{-1} . Fig. 6 shows that the I_{516}/I_{378} ratio increased almost linearly with an increase of iron content, showing good R^2 fitting correlations (0.982). This fitting confirmed the linearity within the Fe-MFI range in this work and the validity of the Raman deconvolution proposed by Fan and co-workers (Fan et al., 2010). In conjunction with the catalyst activity in Fig. 4a, the results in Fig. 6 strongly suggest that there is significant correlation between the presence of $\text{Fe}(\text{O})_4$ sites and enhanced degradation of caffeine for Fe concentrations higher than 1.20% in the zeolite. The $\text{Fe}(\text{O})_4$ sites are thus active in a Fenton-like process. This was accompanied by the presence of mesopores ($20 < d < 35 \text{ \AA}$) in the 1.20% and 2.33% Fe-MFI samples which favored the

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

249 diffusion of the small caffeine molecules (length: 10 Å) (Banerjee et al., 2012) into the
250 zeolite structure. The very low catalytic activity of the other Fe-MFI samples was attributed
251 to both insufficient Fe concentration, below 1.20% Fe, and microporosity leading to mass
252 transfer limitations.

253 Due to the large surface areas of the Fe-MFI powders (~380–390 m² g⁻¹), solid-liquid
254 interface reactions occurred preferentially at the Fe(O)₄ sites. This reaction is
255 schematically shown in Fig. 8 as isomorphic Fe(O)₄ sites embedded into the zeolite
256 structure degrade caffeine. In this reaction, H₂O₂ was catalytically decomposed at the
257 Fe²⁺ active sites into •OH radicals and OH⁻ hydroxyl ions (Eq. 1). As proposed by
258 Gonzalez-Olmos and co-workers (Gonzalez-Olmos, 2011), Fe²⁺ active sites are
259 generated by the reaction of H₂O₂ with isolated Fe³⁺ sites at the Fe-MFI surface or by
260 •OOH radicals formed previously in the reaction of H₂O₂ with Fe³⁺ (Eq. 2). As confirmed
261 by TOC analysis (Fig. 7), the powerful •OH radicals mineralized the caffeine (C₈H₁₀N₄O₂)
262 into CO₂, H₂O and N₂ species (Eq. 3). TOC analysis also confirms the degradation ratio
263 ascertained by UV-vis measurement (Fig. 6), showing very high level of mineralization of
264 caffeine at 94.5 and 96.0% for the 1.20% and 2.33% Fe-MFI samples, respectively.
265 Therefore, this reaction is characterized by the reduction of Fe³⁺ to Fe²⁺ and oxidation of
266 Fe²⁺ to Fe³⁺, concomitantly with the mineralization of caffeine. Provided H₂O₂ is supplied,
267 these results demonstrate the potential of Fe-MFI zeolites to treat waters contaminated
268 with caffeine micro-pollutants.






Fig. 7 TOC values of 10 ppm caffeine solution after 22h using Fe-MFI zeolites with varying Fe content.





Fig. 8 Schematic representation of the reaction mechanism using Fe-MFI zeolite in the heterogeneous Fenton-like reaction for caffeine degradation in this work.

The best performing 2.33%Fe-MFI catalyst was also tested for multiple reaction cycles and compared against the commercial Fe₃O₄. Fig. 9a shows that the 2.33%Fe-MFI catalyst maintained a constant caffeine degradation efficiency of 98% up to the tested 5 cycles. Contrary to this, the commercial Fe₃O₄ catalyst degradation declined very quickly after the first cycle, and at the fourth cycle this catalyst was unable to degrade caffeine. This fast decrease in degradation efficiency is associated with the oxidation of the active

1
2
3
4 285 phase Fe^{2+} into a non-active phase Fe^{3+} in Fe_3O_4 based catalysts (Zubir et al., 2015). In
5
6
7 286 the case of the Fe-MFI catalyst, the multiple cycling stability strongly suggests that the
8
9 287 active phase was maintained. This is confirmed by the Raman analysis (Fig.9b) which
10
11 288 shows that the spectrum of the fresh sample remained unaltered after 5 cycles of caffeine
12
13
14 289 degradation, thus confirming the catalytic stability of Fe-MFI upon cycling.



290
291 Fig. 9 (a) Cycling experiment conducted on 2.33%Fe-MFI zeolites and commercial
292 Fe₃O₄ in a caffeine degradation ($C_{\text{caffeine}} = 10$ ppm) at 20 h per cycle; (b) Raman spectra
293 of fresh and a sample 2.33%Fe-MFI zeolite exposed to 5 cycles of caffeine degradation.

294 4. Conclusions

295 The incorporation of Fe with concentrations above 1.0% conferred mesoporosity to the
296 Fe-MFI, thus facilitating the access of caffeine to the zeolite porous structure. The $\text{Fe}(\text{O})_4$
297 bonds in the Fe-MFI zeolite structure were very active leading to the decomposition of
298 H_2O_2 into radicals, thus promoting the degradation of caffeine in the heterogeneous
299 Fenton-like reaction. The significant increase in catalytic activity was attributed to

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

300 mesoporosity coupled with Fe concentrations at and above 1.20% in the MFI structure.
301 TOC removal of 96% with 2.33%Fe-MFI sample was achieved.

302
303 **Acknowledgment**

304 The authors acknowledge the facilities, and the scientific and technical assistance, of
305 the Australian Microscopy & Microanalysis Research Facility at the Centre for Microscopy
306 and Microanalysis, The University of Queensland. A. Julbe and J.C. Diniz da Costa would
307 like to acknowledge the financial support for international collaboration from the Centre
308 National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS-INC) in France. J. C. Diniz da Costa
309 acknowledges support given by the Australian Research Council (ARC) Future
310 Fellowship program (FT130100405).

311
312 **References**

Anizelli P.R., Baú, J.P.T., Valezi, D.F., Canton, L.C., Carneiro, C.E.A., Di Mauro, E., da
Costa, A.C.S., Galante, D., Braga, A.H., Rodrigues, F., Coronas, J., Casado-
Coterillo, C., Zaia, C.T.B.V., Zaia D.A.M., 2016. Adenine Interaction with and
Adsorption on Fe-ZSM-5 zeolites: a Prebiotic Chemistry Study Using Different
Techniques, *Micro. Meso. Mat.* 226, 493-504.

An, W., Xiao, X., Yu, M., Chen, X., Xu, Y., Zhou, W., 2013. Adsorptive Removal of
Trace Oxytetracycline from Water by Acid-Modified Zeolite: Influencing Factors.
Water Sci. Technol. 68, 2473-2478.

- 1
2
3
4 Banerjee, S., Verma, P., Mitra, R., Basu, G., Pal, S., 2012. Probing the Interior of Self-
5 assembled Caffeine Dimer at Various Temperatures. *J. Fluoresc.* 22, 753-769.
6
7
8
9 Bordiga, S., Buzzoni, R., Geobaldo, F., Lamberti, C. Giamello, E., Zecchina, A.,
10 Leofanti, G., Petrini, G., Tozzola, G., Vlaic, G., 1996. Structure and reactivity of
11 framework and extraframework iron in Fe-silicalite as investigated by spectroscopic
12 and physicochemical methods, *J. Catal.* 158 (2), 486–501.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19 Bruton, T., Alboloushi, A., de la Garza, B., Kim, B.O., Halden, R.U., 2010. Fate of
20 Caffeine in the Environment and Ecotoxicological Considerations. *J. Am. Chem.*
21 *Soc.* 1048, 257-273.
22
23
24
25
26 Buerge, I.J., Poiger, T., Müller, M.D., Buser, H.-R., 2003. Caffeine, an Anthropogenic
27 Marker for Wastewater Contamination of Surface Waters. *Env. Sci. Technol.* 37,
28 691-700.
29
30
31
32
33
34 Christensen, C.H., Johannsen, K., Schmidt, I., Christensen, C.H., 2003. Catalytic
35 Benzene Alkylation over Mesoporous Zeolite Single Crystals: Improving Activity
36 and Selectivity with a New Family of Porous Materials. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 125 (44),
37 13370–13371.
38
39
40
41
42
43 Fan, F., Feng, Z., Li, C., 2010. UV Raman Spectroscopic Study on the Synthesis
44 Mechanism and Assembly of Molecular Sieves. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 39, 4794-4801.
45
46
47
48 Fan, F., Sun, K., Feng, Z., Xia, H., Han, B., Lian, Y., Ying, P., Li, C., 2009. From
49 Molecular Fragments to Crystals: a UV Raman Spectroscopic Study on the
50 Mechanism of Fe-ZSM-5 Synthesis. *Chem. – A Eur. J.* 15, 3268-3276.
51
52
53
54
55 Franzoso, F., Nisticò, R., Cesano, F., Corazzari, I., Turci, F., Scarano, D., Prevot, A.B.,
56 Magnacca, G., Carlos, L., Mártire, D.O., 2017. Biowaste-derived substances as a
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 tool for obtaining magnet-sensitive materials for environmental applications in
5
6
7 wastewater treatments Chem. Eng. J. 310, 307–316.
8

9 Gao, Y., Wang, Y., Zhang, H., 2015. Removal of Rhodamine B with Fe-supported
10
11 Bentonite as Heterogeneous Photo-Fenton Catalyst under Visible irradiation. Appl.
12
13 Cat. B: Env. 178, 29-36.
14

15
16 Giordano, G., Katovic, A., Perathoner S., Pino, F. Centi, G. Nagy, J.B., Lazar, K., Fejes,
17
18 P, 2002. One-step benzene oxidation to phenol—part I: preparation and
19
20 characterization of Fe-(Al)MFI type catalysts, Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal., 142, 477–484.
21
22

23
24 Gonzalez-Olmos, R., Holzer, F., Kopinke, F.-D., Georgi A., 2011. Indications of the
25
26 Reactive Species in a Heterogeneous Fenton-Like Reaction Using Fe-Containing
27
28 Zeolites. App. Catal. A: General. 398, 44-53.
29

30
31 Gummadi, S.N., Ganesh, K.B., Santhosh, D., 2009. Enhanced Degradation of Caffeine
32
33 by Immobilized Cells of Pseudomonas sp. in Agar-Agar Matrix Using Statistical
34
35 Approach. Biochem. Eng. J. 44, 136-141.
36
37

38
39 Hoffmann, K., Marlow, F., Caro, J., 1997. Photoinduced Switching in Nanocomposites
40
41 of Azobenzene and Molecular Sieves. Adv. Mater. 9 (7) 567-570.
42

43
44 Jung, J., Jo, C., Mota, F.M., Cho, J., Ryoo R., 2015. Acid Catalytic Function of
45
46 Mesopore Walls Generated by MFI Zeolite Desilication in Comparison with
47
48 External Surfaces of MFI Zeolite Nanosheet. Appl. Catal. A Gen. 492, 68-75.
49

50
51 Klammerth, N., Malato, S., Agüera, A., Fernández-Alba, A., Mailhot, G., 2012. Treatment
52
53 of Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plant Effluents with Modified Photo-Fenton as
54
55 a Tertiary Treatment for the Degradation of Micro Pollutants and Disinfection.
56
57 Environ. Sci. Technol. 46, 2885–289.
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4 Kragović, M., Daković, A., Marković, M., Krstić, J., Gatta, G.D., Rotiroti, N., 2013.
5
6 Characterization of Lead Sorption by the Natural and Fe (III)-modified Zeolite. Appl.
7
8 Surf. Sci. 283, 764-774.
9
- 10
11 Kritchayanon, N., Thanabodeekij, N., Jitkarnka, S., Jamieson, A.M., Wongkasemjit, S.,
12
13 2006. Synthesis, of Fe-loaded MFI Zeolite Using Silatrane as Precursor and its CO
14
15 2006. Synthesis, of Fe-loaded MFI Zeolite Using Silatrane as Precursor and its CO
16
17 Activity. Appl. Organometallic Chem. 20, 155-160.
18
- 19 Li, J.P.H., Kennedy, E., Stockenhuber, M., 2014. Oxidative Coupling and Hydroxylation
20
21 of Phenol over Transition Metal and Acidic Zeolites: Insights into Catalyst Function.
22
23 Catal. Lett. 144, 9–15.
24
- 25
26 Li, X., Li, B., Xu, J., 2013. Synthesis and Characterization of Transitional Metal-rich
27
28 Zeolite M-MFI (M=Fe, Co, Ni, Cu) with Regular Mesoporous Channels. Coll. Surf.
29
30 A Physicochem. Eng. Asp. 434, 287-295.
31
- 32
33 Li, Y.-S., Church, J.S., Woodhead, A.L., 2012. Infrared and Raman Spectroscopic
34
35 Studies on Iron Oxide Magnetic Nano-particles and their Surface Modifications. J.
36
37 Magnetism Magnetic Mater. 324, 1543-1550.
38
- 39
40 Liu, C., Li, J., Qi, J., Wang, J., Luo, R., Shen, J., Sun, X., Han, W., Wang, L., 2014.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- 66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
- 101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
- 166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
- 201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
- 266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
- 301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
- 366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
- 401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
- 466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
- 501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
- 566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
- 601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
- 656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
- 701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
- 766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
- 801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
- 866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
- 901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
- 966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

1
2
3
4 NaX Zeolites and Subsequent Chemistry, Environ. Sci. Technol. 45 (7), 3000–
5
6 3005.
7

8
9 Mijangos , F., Varona, F., Villota, N., 2006. Changes in Solution Color During Phenol
10 Oxidation by Fenton Reagent. Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 5538–5543
11

12
13 Moliner, M., 2012. Direct Synthesis of Functional Zeolitic Materials, ISRN Materials
14 Science, (2012), <http://dx.doi.org/10.5402/2012/789525>.
15

16
17 Pérez-Ramírez, J., Christensen, C.H., Egeblad, K., Christensen, C. H., Groen J. C.,
18 2008. Hierarchical zeolites: enhanced utilisation of microporous crystals in
19 catalysis by advances in materials design, Chem. Soc. Reviews 37 (11), 2530–
20 2542.
21

22
23 Rangnekar, N., Mittal, N., Elyassi, B., Caro, J., Tsapatsis, M., 2015. Zeolite membranes
24 - a review and comparison with MOFs, Chemical Society Reviews 44 (20), 7128–
25 7154.
26

27
28 Rodríguez del Rey, Z., Granek E.F., Sylvester, S., 2012. Occurrence and Concentration
29 of Caffeine in Oregon Coastal Waters. Marine Poll. Bull. 64, 1417-1424.
30

31
32 Rodríguez, S., Santos, A., Romero, A., 2017. Oxidation and priority and emerging
33 pollutants with persulfate activated by iron: Effect of iron valence and particle size,
34 Chem. Eng. J. 318, 197-205.
35

36
37 Rosal, R., Rodríguez, A., Perdigón-Melón, J.A., Petre, A., García-Calvo, E., Gómez,
38 M.J., Agüera, A., Fernández-Alba, A.R., 2009. Degradation of Caffeine and
39 Identification of the Transformation Products Generated by Ozonation.
40 Chemosphere 74, 825-831.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4 Taniguchi, T., Nakasaka, Y., Yoneta, K., Tago, T., Masuda, T., 2016. Size-controlled
5
6 synthesis of MFI metallosilicate and their catalytic performance on acetone to
7
8 olefins reaction, *Micro. Meso. Mat.* 224, 68-74.
9
- 10
11 Treacy, M. M. J., Higgins, J. B., 2001. Collection of Simulated XRD Powder Patterns
12
13 for Zeolites, fourth ed., Elsevier, Amsterdam.
14
- 15
16 Vermeiren W., Gilson, J.P., 2009. Impact of zeolites on the petroleum and
17
18 petrochemical industry, *Topics in Catalysis* 52 (9), 1131–1161.
19
- 20
21 Wang, Y., Zhao, G., Chai, S., Zhao, H., Wang, Y., 2013. Three-dimensional
22
23 Homogeneous Ferrite-Carbon Aerogel: One Pot Fabrication and Enhanced
24
25 Electro-Fenton Reactivity. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 5, 842–852.
26
- 27
28 Wingenfelder, U., Hansen, C., Furrer, G., Schulin, R., 2005. Removal of Heavy Metals
29
30 from Mine Waters by Natural Zeolites, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 39 (12), 4606–4613.
31
- 32
33 Zeng, T., Zhang, X., Wang, S., Niu, H., and Cai. Y., 2015. Spatial Confinement of a
34
35 Co_3O_4 Catalyst in Hollow Metal–Organic Frameworks as a Nanoreactor for
36
37 Improved Degradation of Organic Pollutants. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49 (4), 2350-
38
39 2357
40
41
- 42
43 Zubir, N.A., Yacou, C., Motuzas, J., Zhang, X., Diniz da Costa, J.C., 2014. Structural
44
45 and Functional Investigation of Graphene Oxide- Fe_3O_4 Nanocomposites for the
46
47 Heterogeneous Fenton-like Reaction. *Sci. Rep.* 4, 4594, DOI: 10.1038/srep04594.
48
49
- 50
51 Zubir, N.A., Yacou, C., Motuzas, J., Zhang, X., Zhao, X.S., Diniz da Costa, J.C., 2015.
52
53 The Sacrificial Role of Graphene Oxide in Stabilising a Fenton-like Catalyst GO–
54
55 Fe_3O_4 . *Chem. Commun.* 51, 9291-9293.
56
57