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Abstract

Catalytic deoxygenation of pyrolytic vapors represents a great challenge to produce biofuels by flash pyrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass. A wide variety of catalysts, particularly zeolites, have been investigated for this purpose, however, quick deactivation was often reported. Although they are cheap and can have a hierarchical pore structure, activated charcoal-based catalysts have received only little attention. This paper presents an innovative method to synthesize activated charcoal based catalysts doped with CeO₂, Fe₂O₃, or Mn₃O₄ nanoparticles. We investigated the performances of those catalysts to deoxygenate two biomass pyrolytic model compounds – acetic acid and guaiacol – on a fixed-bed reactor between 350 °C and 450 °C. Ceria-based catalyst was highly active and remarkably stable to enhance ketonic decarboxylation of acetic acid, leading to the formation of acetone. Huge amounts of produced phenol attest for the partial deoxygenation of guaiacol, particularly when using iron-based catalyst. This study demonstrates the potential of activated charcoal-based catalysts to produce weak-acidic and partially deoxygenated bio-oils.
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Nomenclature

X_r(t) Reaction rate of reactant r at t
A_R(t) and A_P(t) GC peak area of reactant r or product P at t
S_P,R(t) Selectivity of product P from conversion of reactant r at t
ν_R and ν_P Stoichiometric numbers relative to reactant r or product P
k_R and k_P GC response factors relative to reactant r or product P

1. Introduction

Flash pyrolysis is undergoing an increasing gain of interest since it represents an attractive way to produce both biofuels and biobased chemicals from abundant lignocellulosic resources. Pyrolytic bio-oils are complex mixtures of organic molecules such as aldehydes, ketones, carboxylic acids, phenolics and sugars [1,2]. The presence of those oxygenated molecules is responsible for both the low energy density of bio-oils – which is roughly divided by a factor two compared to crude oil – and ageing mechanisms [3]. Bio-oil upgrading techniques have been widely reviewed by Bridgwater et al. [4]. Among them, catalytic upgrading of pyrolysis vapors is a promising way to partially deoxygenate bio-oils and consequently reduce the acidity of bio-oil.

To reach this goal, lot of studies were dedicated to the use of zeolite catalysts, such as ZSM-5 (MFI) [5–18], mordenite [6,17,19], faujasite [6,9,17,20,21], ferrierite [17,19,22], or beta zeolite [6,17,19,22]. Other catalytic supports such as MCM-41 [5,23–25], titanium dioxide [26–28], zirconia [26,28], ceria [26],
Biomass-based activated charcoal (Norit RX1.5 EXTRA) was provided by Cabot Corporation. This extruded charcoal (φ = 1.5 mm L = 5 mm) has a high surface area (≈1455 m²/g) and low ash content (<3 wt.%). Charcoals were dried overnight at 105 °C before the impregnation step with nitrate salts.

Nitrate salts – Ce(NO₃)₃·6H₂O, Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O and Mn(NO₃)₂·4H₂O – were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (purity ≥97%). Aqueous solutions of each salt precursor were prepared by dissolving 0.5 g of nitrate salt in 10 mL of pure water per gram of charcoal. These solutions, containing the dispersed dried charcoal, were placed in a rotary evaporator at 30 mbar for 15 min at room temperature. Then, the temperature and pressure were set at 50 °C and 90 mbar, respectively. Pressure was progressively reduced until complete evaporation of water. It has to be noted that the entire nitrate precursor is impregnated inside the pore volume of the charcoal [50]. Impregnated charcoals were then dried overnight at 105 °C at atmospheric pressure. Thermal degradation of the precursors was then performed in a tubular furnace under N₂ flow (for 4 h, 5 °C/min up to 400 °C, plateau at 400 °C). The resulting catalysts were stored in a dry and inert atmosphere. In the following, the Norit activated charcoals will be noted Cnorit and the catalysts doped with cerium, manganese and iron will be noted CeOₓ/Cnorit, MnOₓ/Cnorit and FeOₓ/Cnorit. Assuming that the whole nitrate precursor is impregnated in the activated charcoal, theoretical metal amounts are respectively 13.9 wt%, 9.95 wt% and 6.5 wt% for CeOₓ/Cnorit, MnOₓ/Cnorit and FeOₓ/Cnorit.

The synthesized catalysts were analyzed by XRD (Bruker D8 Advance A25) and electronic microscopy (HRTEM, JEOL 2010). Mean sizes of crystallites were estimated from the diffraction patterns by using the Scherrer method. Phase composition of the crystallites was determined by comparing the diffraction peaks with reference patterns. Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms of the activated charcoal (previously outgassed at 300 °C under vacuum for 12 h) was plotted for relative pressures ranging from 1 to 5.10⁻⁶ to investigate the porous structure (Micrometrics ASAP 2010). Microporous volumes were determined according to the Dubinin–Radushkevich method which is the more suitable for activated charcoals [51].

### 2.2. Catalytic reactor to convert model compounds

The catalytic performance in acetic acid and guaiacol conversion were carried out in quartz made fixed bed reactor, with a frit bed supporting the catalysts (Fig. 1). Reactants were placed in the saturation chamber maintained at either 40 °C for acetic acid or 60 °C for guaiacol to fix appropriate corresponding partial pressure during the test. Vapors were swept by an inert gas, generating flow rates of 114 μmol/min for acetic acid and 7 μmol/min for guaiacol (Table 1).

Catalysts were previously ground and sieved at 100–180 μm to reduce pore diffusion limitation. Since guaiacol catalytic conversion under atmospheric pressure was expected to be more difficult than acetic acid conversion, test runs were carried out with 150 mg for guaiacol and 50 mg of catalysts for acetic acid and thus yielding Weight Hourly Space Velocities (WHSV) of 0.3 h⁻¹ and 8.1 h⁻¹, respectively (Table 1).
Catalytic test runs were performed between 350 °C and 450 °C. The catalyst bed was heated at the selected reaction temperature, in inert atmosphere for at least 1 h before starting each experiment. At this stage, the fixed bed reactor was by-passed and reactant (acetic acid or guaiacol) initial concentration was estimated by on-line GC-FID. Catalytic tests were started only when the reactant flow rate was constant, referring to the reactant peak area.

2.3. On-line and off-line gas chromatography analysis

On-line GC-FID used for acetic acid conversion tests consists on a HP plot Q column (40 °C → 240 °C; 6 °C/min) coupled with a FID detector. For guaiacol conversion tests, GC-FID analysis was performed using a CP-Sil 5 CB column (100 °C → 250 °C; 30 °C/min). Conversion rates of acetic acid or guaiacol were calculated from reactant peak area (noted $A_R$) according to Eq. (1).

$$X_R(t) = 1 - \frac{A_R(t)}{A_{R,0}}$$

Equation 1. Conversion rate of reactant R.

Identification of the reaction products after vapor condensation was performed from previous retention time measurements with expected molecules (acetone, methane, catechol, phenol, o-, m-, p-m-cresol, methanol, benzene, 2-methylphenol, 3-methylphenol, 2,6-dimethylphenol, etc.). For each considered reaction, product selectivities were determined by assuming that response factors ($k_P$ and $k_R$) of the products — phenol, catechol, cresol — for guaiacol conversion; acetone for acetic acid conversion — were equals (Eq. (2)). This assumption is generally accepted when the number of carbon atoms is close [52]. Therefore, selectivity towards product P (from reactant R) is estimated from Eq. (2).

$$S_{P,R}(t) = \frac{V_P}{V_R} \frac{k_P A_P(t)}{k_R A_R(t)} \approx \frac{V_P}{V_R} \frac{A_P(t)}{A_{R,0} k_R}$$

Equation 2. Selectivity towards product P from reactant R.

Few peaks observed on chromatograms were not identified. However, we measure selectivity towards those unidentified molecules (called “unknown GC”) by summing their peak areas. In practice, we insure that this proportion is not too high.

We suspect that some heavy molecules cannot be detected by GC-FID for at least two reasons: i) some products cannot be vaporized ii) GC-FID sampling frequency was set to 1 measurement every 45 min, hiding molecules with high retention times. Undetected molecule proportions (called “Undetected GC”) were calculated by difference.

In addition to online monitoring of the reaction progress, the condensed products were recovered after each test and analyzed off-line by GCxGC–MS to identify potential unknown species. The GC × GC–MS used was built from an Agilent GC 6890N coupled with a MS detector (5975B) for identification purpose. The first column is a VF 1701 MS with medium polarity while the second one is a DB1 with low polarity. Separation of the injected products between the two columns is achieved through a cryogenic modulator. A detailed description of this device was previously published by Joffres et al. [53].

3. Results & discussion

3.1. Catalyst characterization

Once synthesized, activated charcoal-based catalysts were characterized to determine porous structure parameters, metal oxide amounts, particle sizes as well as initial metal dispersion.

Since mesopores and micropores distribution is expected to significantly affect catalyst performances [32], N$_2$ physisorption (Fig. 2) was performed on the raw activated charcoal (i.e. $C_{norit}$). Hysteresis phenomenon observed for relative pressures in the range 0.5–1 attest for the presence of mesopores (2 nm < pore size < 50 nm) in the raw charcoal. The total pore volume is
Fig. 3. XRD patterns of the catalysts a) FeO\textsubscript{x}/C\textsubscript{norit}, b) MnO\textsubscript{x}/C\textsubscript{norit} and c) CeO\textsubscript{x}/C\textsubscript{norit}. Catalytic sites were found to be Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} (PDF 04-006-6579), Mn\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} (PDF 04-007-9641) and CeO\textsubscript{2} (PDF 00-043-1002).

Fig. 4. HRTEM observations of nanoparticles dispersed in activated charcoal-based catalysts. From left to right: CeO\textsubscript{x}/C\textsubscript{norit}, MnO\textsubscript{x}/C\textsubscript{norit} and FeO\textsubscript{x}/C\textsubscript{norit}.

Fig. 5. Left: conversion rates of acetic acid (X\textsubscript{acetic acid}(t)) measured at 450 °C over CeO\textsubscript{x}/C\textsubscript{norit}, MnO\textsubscript{x}/C\textsubscript{norit}, FeO\textsubscript{x}/C\textsubscript{norit} and C\textsubscript{norit} (WHSV = 8.1 h\textsuperscript{-1}). Right: mean values of X\textsubscript{acetic acid}(t) at 450 °C, 400 °C and 350 °C for CeO\textsubscript{x}/C\textsubscript{norit} (blue) and MnO\textsubscript{x}/C\textsubscript{norit} (orange).

0.71 cm\textsuperscript{3}/g and the micropore volume was estimated to reach 0.58 cm\textsuperscript{3}/g. Thus micropores (pore size <2 nm) represent about 81% of the internal pore volume of the charcoal.

As shown in Fig. 3, XRD analysis revealed the presence of crystallized nanoparticles which were found to be ceria (CeO\textsubscript{2}), hausmannite (Mn\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}) and hematite (Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}). Mean sizes of crystallites were estimated at 17 × 17 × 18 nm\textsuperscript{3} for hematite, 23 × 23 × 18 nm\textsuperscript{3} for hausmannite and at 3.5 × 3.5 × 3.5 nm\textsuperscript{3} for ceria.
Dispersion is defined as the ratio of total number of exposed atoms to total number of atoms in the catalyst. Crystallographic models were built using Vesta freeware to determine the initial metal dispersion. Particle shapes of CeO$_2$, Fe$_2$O$_3$ and Mn$_3$O$_4$ were assumed to be respectively cubic, rhombohedral and tetragonal. Based on XRD measurements the number of primitive cells of each nanoparticle was calculated to be $7 \times 7 \times 7$ for ceria, $12 \times 12 \times 13$ for hematite and $40 \times 40 \times 20$ for hausmannite. The number of bulk and surface atoms were determined from these models leading to metal dispersion of 35% for Ce, 5% for Fe and 3% for Mn. Very high dispersion of Ce is consistent with the small size of CeO$_2$ nanoparticles (Fig. 4).

3.2. Catalytic conversion of acetic acid

Acetic acid is a major oxygenated compound in bio-oils which also significantly contributes to bio-oil acidity [37]. Acetic acid is a thermally unstable species which can be degraded to form either CH$_4$, CO$_2$, CH$_3$CO and H$_2$O at temperatures above 460 °C [54,55]. In the presence of catalysts, acetic acid might also condensate to form acetone by ketonic decarboxylation between 300 °C and 500 °C (Eq. (3)) [40,42].

$$\text{CH}_3\text{COOH} \xrightarrow{[300 \text{°C} - 500 \text{°C}] \text{catalyst}} \text{CH}_3\text{COCH}_3 + \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (3)$$

Equation 3 Ketonic decarboxylation of acetic acid.

The main goal of this section is to evaluate the activity and the stability of CeO$_2$/C$_{norit}$, FeO$_x$/C$_{norit}$ and MnO$_x$/C$_{norit}$ to convert acetic acid through ketonic decarboxylation and to inhibit thermal cracking.

Catalytic tests show that, compared to C$_{norit}$ support alone, those three metal oxide charcoal based catalysts are suitable to convert acetic acid. The evolution of acetic acid conversion rates during catalytic test runs is presented in Fig. 5. Results demonstrate that the conversion rates obtained with both CeO$_2$/C$_{norit}$ and MnO$_x$/C$_{norit}$ catalysts remain very high and stable for 15 h. This result is in good accordance with Glinski et al. who also noticed the great stability of ceria based catalyst (CeO$_2$/SiO$_2$) which converted about 75% of acetic acid for 15 h at 350 °C with a WHSV of 3.1 h$^{-1}$ [41].

The catalytic activity of FeO$_x$/C$_{norit}$ was expected to be initially high since Fe$_2$O$_3$ was successfully employed by Kuriacose and Jeurw between 330 °C and 450 °C at atmospheric pressure in H$_2$ atmosphere [47]. On the other hand, Glinski et al. pointed out that yield of acetone of 52% could be obtained over FeO$_x$/SiO$_2$ catalyst at 450 °C in spite of quick deactivation due to coke deposits [41]. Although we obtained an initial conversion rate close to 100%, we also observed that catalytic activity of FeO$_x$/C$_{norit}$ quickly decreases until reaching 0% after 15 h. The quick deactivation of Fe$_2$O$_3$ is also consistent with Nagashima et al. who show that pure Fe$_2$O$_3$ has little catalytic activity towards ketonic decarboxylation of propanoic acid into 3-pentanone [56].

The influence of reaction temperature was investigated for both CeO$_2$/C$_{norit}$ and MnO$_x$/C$_{norit}$ catalysts. No significant effect of the reaction temperature was observed in the range of 350 °C – 450 °C for the Mn-doped catalyst. Concerning CeO$_2$/C$_{norit}$, the conversion slightly decreased when temperature diminished to reach 98% at 400 °C and 85% at 350 °C.

Although, acetone is the major product, GC-FID online analysis also revealed the presence of methane traces due to thermal cracking of acetic acid [54,55]. However, selectivity towards methane was found to be lower than 2% for CeO$_2$/C$_{norit}$ and MnO$_x$/C$_{norit}$ while it can reach 10% for FeO$_x$/C$_{norit}$. Hence, acetone selectivity was close to 98% when using either CeO$_2$/C$_{norit}$ or MnO$_x$/C$_{norit}$ catalysts. This result confirms that thermal decomposition reactions of acetic acid could be neglected and that acetic acid is mainly converted by ketonic decarboxylation. High acetic acid conversion and selectivity towards ketonic decarboxylation are in good accordance with the work of Glinski which reported acetone yields above 75% until 375 °C using either CeO$_2$ or MnO$_2$ over alumina, silica and titania [41].

At the end of test runs, condensates were also analyzed by GCxGC–MS. Interestingly, for CeO$_2$/C$_{norit}$, traces of 4-methyl-3-penten-2-one and methyl isobutyl ketone were also detected on the chromatogram (Fig. 6). Those molecules, formed by aldol condensation of acetone, reveal that some chain reactions occurred. 4-methyl-3-penten-2-one formation has already been investigated by Crisci et al. [57] Interestingly, it can be noted that those minor products are even more deoxygenated than acetone.

To sum up, activated charcoal catalysts doped with CeO$_2$ and Mn$_3$O$_4$ were found to efficiently and selectively convert acetic acid through ketonic decarboxylation already at 400 °C. We also demonstrate that those catalysts remain extremely stable for 15 h whereas iron based catalyst is deactivated.

3.3. Catalytic conversion of guaiacol

Usually, guaiacol conversion is studied under hydrogen pressure in hydrodeoxygenation (HDO) operating conditions (350 °C, 50 bar) [58] since this compound is relatively refractory to deoxygenation treatment and needs hydrogen to release water. Due to the methoxy and phenoxy groups and according to bond energies reported in Fig. 7, the two competing reactions which are the most likely to occur with guaiacol are demethylation and demethoxylolation, leading to the formation of catechol and phenol respectively [59]. Obviously, high selectivity towards demethylation is desirable for deoxygenation purpose. Few studies were undertaken at atmospheric pressure under inert atmosphere and the reactivity here is expected to be low.

The evolution of conversion rates versus time on stream at 400 °C is presented in Fig. 8. First, we noted that the support alone allowed converting 20% of guaiacol and the conversion rate is stable for 15 h. For FeO$_x$/C$_{norit}$ catalyst, the initial conversion
Methanol is formed by demethoxylation of guaiacol, i.e. by C$_x$dimethylphenols and trimethylphenols were also detected.

Under high pressure of hydrogen, radical species were probably formed but rapidly react with hydrogen radical species and dimerization or condensation reactions were not observed. However, in our operating conditions, under inert atmosphere and at high temperatures, the radical species formation was favored and radical coupling occurred to lead dimers and possibly oligomers.

Although this assumption has to be confirmed, it suggests that quick deactivation of FeO$_x$/C$_{norit}$ catalyst — and to a lesser extent CeO$_x$/C$_{norit}$ — occurs by either coke deposition on catalytic sites or pore blocking. Furthermore, the tendency to FeO$_x$/C$_{norit}$ to enhance polymerized product — which probably cause pore blocking — may

rate close to 100%, rapidly decreased until reaching 50% after 4 h and 0% after 15 h. For CeO$_x$/C$_{norit}$ catalyst, fast deactivation is observed, however, the conversion rate reached a plateau of approximately 35% after 15 h. An even slower deactivation was observed for MnO$_x$/C$_{norit}$, as this catalyst still converted more than 50% of guaiacol after 9 h at 400 °C. However after 15 h, the catalysts CeO$_x$/C$_{norit}$ and MnO$_x$/C$_{norit}$ reached the same conversion rate. Hence, MnO$_x$/C$_{norit}$ was slightly more active than CeO$_x$/C$_{norit}$ at 400 °C. We note that the conversion rate of guaiacol with FeO$_x$/C$_{norit}$ is lower than the conversion rate obtained with the support after 5 h. This can be due to quick pore blocking of FeO$_x$/C$_{norit}$, which inhibits activity of carbon.

In Fig. 9, the selectivities measured for each catalyst at conversion rates close to 90%, 75%, 60% and 50% are reported. As expected, catechol and phenol were the main reaction products among species detected by GC-FID. More specifically, the selectivity towards phenol decreased and catechol formation increased while the conversion rates decreased. In (C(sp^3)-OAr) cleavage is more likely to occur for a more active catalyst, leading to phenol formation. While catalyst deactivates, the probability of arylation-ether bond splitting decreases. Since methyl-ether (C(sp^3)-OAr) binding energy is lower than those of aryl-ether and hydroxy bonds, catechol selectivity rises as catalyst activity declines. It is thus difficult to compare the three catalysts as the deactivation rate is different.

FeO$_x$/C$_{norit}$ was the most selective catalyst towards phenol formation and at high conversion rate, before deactivation, traces of benzene were initially detected, revealing a possible cleavage of both methoxy and hydroxy groups with this catalyst. Whereas, MnO$_x$/C$_{norit}$, which was the most active catalyst and favored catechol formation even at high conversion rate before any deactivation.

Minor reaction products, such as methanol, cresol, dimethylenol and trimethylenol were also detected. Methanol is formed by demethoxylation of guaiacol, i.e. by C$_x$(sp^2)$^\text{-}$OMe bond cleavage at the same time as phenol direct formation.
Fig. 9. Evolution of selectivities ($S_{\text{guaiacol}}$) as a function of guaiacol conversion rate ($X_{\text{guaiacol}}$) at 400 °C for CeO$_x$/C$_{\text{norit}}$, MnO$_x$/C$_{\text{norit}}$ and FeO$_x$/C$_{\text{norit}}$ catalysts. “Other methylphenols” is a generic term for dimethylphenols and trimethylphenols. “Unknown GC” integrates all peaks which were not identified on chromatograms from GC-FID. Undetected species proportions (“Undetected GC”) were calculated by difference, they mainly correspond to heavy species which cannot be vaporized and thus detected by GC. Note: since we assess constant response factors, methanol selectivity is slightly overestimated compared to aromatic products − benzene, methylphenols, catechol, phenol − which have a close carbon atom number.

Fig. 10. 3D chromatograms of liquid condensates recovered after test runs. More dimers were detected in the condensate for FeO$_x$/C$_{\text{norit}}$.

explain why conversion rate in the presence of those catalyst was lower than with the support alone after 5 h of conversion.

4. Conclusion

The objective of this study was to investigate the performances of activated charcoal-based catalysts to deoxygenate two biomass pyrolytic vapor model compounds: acetic acid and guaiacol. Experiments were performed using Norit RX1.5 EXTRA, a weakly acidic and relatively cheap microporous support, which is partially mesoporous. Catalyst preparation − by impregnation followed by vacuum solvent removal − has been found relevant to obtain a good distribution of nanosized catalytic particles.

Among the tested catalysts, CeO$_x$/C$_{\text{norit}}$ allowed full acetic acid conversion by ketonic decarboxylation already at 400 °C. High conversion rate of acetic acid was also obtained with MnO$_x$/C$_{\text{norit}}$ catalyst in the range 350 °C–450 °C. Besides their high activity and selectivity towards ketonic decarboxylation, those catalysts were also very stable over time. On the other hand, Fe-based catalyst was not appropriate to convert acetic acid as it deactivated quickly.

For guaiacol conversion, deoxygenation could be achieved by cleavage of aryl-ether, methyl-ether or hydroxy bonds. However, we found that hydroxy bond is hardly cleaved with the tested catalysts at 400 °C. Hence, only partial deoxygenation could be achieved by methoxy group cleavage, leading mainly to phenol formation. FeO$_x$/C$_{\text{norit}}$ catalyst was found to be more selective than MnO$_x$/C$_{\text{norit}}$.
towards phenol formation, but it also deactivated more quickly. CeO$_x$/ZSM-5 catalyst yielded intermediate performance. Catalytic performance of tested catalysts was at least divided by a factor of two after 9 h on stream. Traces of dimeric compounds attest for the occurrence of polymerization reactions during the conversion. Since polymerized products tend to form coke, we suggest that catalyst deactivation mainly occurs by either pore blocking or carbon deposition on the catalytic sites.

This study demonstrates the great potential of activated charcoal-based catalysts to convert model compounds derived from biomass pyrolysis. Further investigation is now required directly with pyrolytic vapors to evaluate the catalyst performance in real conditions. Last but not least, a comparison with zeolites or mesoporous catalysts will give fruitful results to achieve a better deoxygenation of bio-oils.
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