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Impaired training-induced adaptation of blood pressure in COPD patients: implication of the muscle capillary bed

Background and aims: Targeting the early mechanisms in exercise-induced arterial hypertension (which precedes resting arterial hypertension in its natural history) may improve cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in COPD patients. Capillary rarefaction, an early event in COPD before vascular remodeling, is a potential mechanism of exercise-induced and resting arterial hypertension. Impaired training-induced capillarization was observed earlier in COPD patients; thus, this study compares the changes in blood pressure (BP) during exercise in COPD patients and matches control subjects (CSs) after a similar exercise training program, in relationship with muscle capillarization.

Methods: Resting and maximal exercise diastolic pressure (DP) and systolic pressure (SP) were recorded during a standardized cardiopulmonary exercise test, and a quadriceps muscle biopsy was performed before and after training.

Results: A total of 35 CSs and 49 COPD patients (forced expiratory volume in 1 second=54%±22% predicted) completed a 6-week rehabilitation program and improved their symptom-limited maximal oxygen uptake (VO_{2\text{max}}: 25.8±6.1 mL/kg per minute vs 27.9 mL/kg per minute and 17.0±4.7 mL/kg per minute vs 18.3 mL/kg per minute; both P<0.001). The improvement in muscle capillary-to-fiber (C/F) ratio was significantly greater in CSs vs COPD patients (+11±5% vs +23%±21%; P<0.05). Although maximal exercise BP was reduced in CSs (DP: 89±10 mmHg vs 85±9 mmHg; P<0.001/SP: 204±25 mmHg vs 196±27 mmHg; P<0.05), it did not change in COPD patients (DP: 94±14 mmHg vs 97±16 mmHg; P=0.46/SP: 202±27 mmHg vs 208±24 mmHg; P=0.13). The change in muscle C/F ratio was negatively correlated with maximal exercise SP in CSs and COPD patients (r=-0.41; P=0.02).

Conclusion: COPD patients showed impaired training-induced BP adaptation related to a change in muscle capillarization, suggesting the possibility of blunted angiogenesis.
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Introduction

COPD is a composite disease associated with comorbidities. Systemic arterial hypertension (HTA) occurs in 40%–60% of COPD patients, increasing their risk of cardiovascular disease and death. Identifying the early mechanisms of HTA onset might therefore improve the cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in these patients. Before vascular remodeling occurs, a reduced capillary bed is an early mechanism of HTA. Exercise training studies have confirmed the link between blood pressure (BP) and the capillary bed in both animal models and healthy/hypertensive subjects.

Early capillary bed reduction may first be revealed by exercise-induced HTA (defined as systolic pressure [SP] >210 mmHg for males and >190 mmHg for females during exercise).
exercise), because it is a forerunner of resting HTA. It is associated with masked HTA, precedes HTA onset, and predicts future cardiovascular events, irrespective of resting BP. In addition, capillary rarefaction contributes to exercise-induced HTA in both healthy and hypertensive subjects.

Capillary rarefaction has been reported in COPD patients and is correlated with disease severity. A decline in the angiogenic potential starts from the mild stages of COPD, indicating that capillary rarefaction is an early mechanism in HTA of these patients. Given our report of their blunted muscle angiogenic response to exercise training, improved BP after training should not be expected in COPD patients. Yet, the blunted improvement in resting BP has been imputed to preexistent vascular remodeling. Therefore, to determine the exact role of capillary rarefaction in COPD patients, this study compared the changes in exercise BP in COPD patients without declared vascular disease and matched control subjects (CSs) following a similar training program.

Patients and methods
Study population
From March 2008 to May 2015, we conducted three prospective studies of the exercise training effects on COPD patients vs CSs (Montpellier Sud-Méditerranée IV Ethics Committee numbers: 2008-BPSSS-V2, 2009-04-BPCO-V2, and 2011-A00842-39). Informed written consent was obtained from all the subjects. COPD was confirmed according to the Global Initiative for Obstructive Lung Disease criteria (dyspnea, chronic cough, or sputum production and/or a history of exposure to risk factors for the disease, and post-bronchodilator forced expiratory volume in 1 second [FEV₁] forced vital capacity <70% predicted). Exclusion criteria included other respiratory diagnosis, decompensated comorbidity, exacerbation in the last 3 months, earlier participation in an exercise training program, and history of artery disease (coronary artery disease, peripheral artery disease, stroke, etc) or diabetes. Subjects from the age of 50 years to 75 years with normal pulmonary function were tested at INSERM U-1046, CHU Montpellier, France, or “La Solane” Pulmonary Rehabilitation Center in Osseja, France. The clinical trial was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT01183039, NCT01942889, and NCT02040363).

BP and exercise test measurement
Participants performed an incremental individualized cycle-ergometric test to determine symptom-limited oxygen uptake (VO₂max) on an electrically braked cycle ergometer (Ergoselect 200P; Ergolyne, Bitz, Germany) as described earlier. Resting SP and diastolic pressure (DP) were recorded after 3–5 minutes with a stabilized heart rate (HR), while the subject remained seated on the ergometer. Following a validated methodology, we recorded BP noninvasively every 3 minutes and immediately at the end of exercise with an automated sphygmomanometer; the investigator manually verified it if it was aberrant or elevated >190 mmHg. HR was assessed from the electrocardiogram and verified using a pulse oximeter. Maximal BP was accepted if obtained <2 minutes before recovery or <1 minute after recovery, and if the workload increment protocol and timing for the pre- and posttraining maximal BP measurements were similar and verified by an experienced physiologist. Mean arterial pressure (MAP) was calculated according to the following formula:

\[ MAP = DP + \frac{1}{3}(SP - DP) \]

Pulsed pressure was calculated as the difference between SP and DP.

Functional assessments
All participants completed the Voorrips physical activity questionnaire and underwent full spirometry using plethysmography and a 6-minute walking test, according to the American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society statements and as described elsewhere.

Muscle biopsy and capillary bed assessment
Muscle biopsies were performed in the “vastus lateralis” of the quadriceps before the exercise program and 48 hours after the last training session, with our usual methodology as described earlier. Muscle capillarization was assessed after immunohistochemistry on frozen sections (10 µm thickness) from the muscle biopsies, using Hoechst for the nuclei and antibody specific to CD31 (#550389; BD Bioscience, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) as described earlier. Capillary density and capillary-to-fiber (C/F) ratio (a validated marker for the C/F interface) were determined after counting capillaries on 1–2 cryosections from each muscle biopsy and in quintuplet on each of these cryosections.

Exercise training
A minimum of 20 sessions of endurance exercise (stationary cycling, walking) was conducted over 4–6 weeks. Exercise was performed at the intensity of each subject’s ventilatory threshold and individualized by targeting the corresponding HR with a HR monitor. Each session lasted
1 hour 30 minutes, with 45 minutes of endurance training (10 minutes at the intensity of the ventilatory threshold followed by 5 minutes of active recovery, which was repeated three times) followed by 30 minutes of strength-building exercise (eight to ten exercises, with sets of ten to 15 repetitions, at 40% of the isotonic one-repetition maximum).

**Statistical analysis**

Categorical variables are described as numbers and proportions. All continuous variables were tested for normality. They are expressed as mean ± SD if normally distributed, and as median ± interquartile range (25%–75%) if not. Baseline comparisons between CSs and COPD patients were made via Student’s t-tests (normally distributed variables) or the Mann–Whitney U-test (nonnormal distribution) for continuous variables and the Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables. Training, group effects, and interactions were tested by mixed linear regression modeling. Pearson’s coefficients describe the correlations. Results were statistically significant when P≤0.05. Statistical analysis was performed using the R statistical package, Version 2.7.0.

**Results**

**Characteristics of the study subjects**

Table 1 presents the baseline characteristics of 35 CSs and 49 COPD patients. COPD patients differed significantly for FEV₁, and past tobacco smoking but were similar to CSs for other confounding factors of hypertension (age, body mass index [BMI], and physical activity level). COPD patients had reduced exercise capacity assessed by VO₂SL (−32%; P<0.001) and 6-minute walking distance (6MWD; −20%; P<0.001). The prevalence of resting hypertension was lower in CSs vs COPD patients (23% vs 45%; P<0.05). The prevalence of exercise-induced hypertension was not statistically different between groups (58% vs 54%; P=1.00). However, maximum HR was higher in CSs, indicating lower cardiocirculatory stress at VO₂SL in the ventilatory-limited COPD patients.

Baseline muscle capillarization was assessed in a subgroup of 19 COPD patients and 17 CSs who were representative of the whole population (Table 2) and confirmed the muscle capillary rarefaction in COPD patients vs CSs (C/F ratio: 1.90±0.42 vs 1.57±0.26; P<0.01).

**Effect of the training program**

Training significantly improved exercise capacity in CSs and COPD patients in terms of 6MWD (628±75 m vs 660±64 m and 505±115 m vs 541±114 m, respectively; P<0.001) and VO₂SL (25.8±6.1 mL/kg per minute vs 27.9 mL/kg per minute and 17.0±4.7 mL/kg per minute vs 18.3 mL/kg per minute, respectively; P<0.001). Although weight remained stable in CSs (74.5±11.6 kg vs 74.7±11.9 kg; P=0.41), it decreased in COPD patients (71.3±13.9 kg vs 70.9±13.9 kg; P<0.01).

The improvement in muscle C/F ratio was significant in both groups (+11%±9% [n=19] and +23%±21% [n=17], P<0.05; Figure 1) and correlated with improved maximal oxygen uptake (r=0.45; P<0.01). However, the significant interaction between group and time (P<0.05) indicated higher training-induced capillarization in CSs vs COPD patients.

**Training-induced BP changes in CSs and COPD patients**

Figure 2 presents the absolute changes in resting and maximal exercise BP. Analysis of resting BP revealed no significant posttraining change in either CSs (DP: 80±10 mmHg vs 77±7 mmHg; P=0.42/SP: 132±18 mmHg vs 125±15 mmHg; P=0.07) or COPD patients (DP: 79±11 mmHg vs 80±12 mmHg; P=0.89/SP: 132±23 mmHg vs 134±20 mmHg; P=0.99). Resting BP showed no significant interaction between group and time (P=0.31 and P=0.13, for DP and SP, respectively), indicating no significant training effect on BP in COPD patients. Resting HR remained unchanged in both groups (CSs: 81±12 bpm vs 87±8 bpm; P=0.27, and COPD patients: 87±19 bpm vs 83±12 bpm; P=0.07).

---

**Table 1** Baseline characteristics of control subjects and COPD patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Controls (pretraining)</th>
<th>COPD (pretraining)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients, n</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>62±1.5±8</td>
<td>61.5±7.9</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F), n</td>
<td>18/17</td>
<td>30/19</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ (% pred)</td>
<td>107±14</td>
<td>54±22</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>25.9±2.8</td>
<td>25.5±4.5</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco smoke (pack-years)</td>
<td>5.5±10.4</td>
<td>44.3±19</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voorrips score</td>
<td>4.6 (2.4–7.1)</td>
<td>4.1 (2.2–7.2)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6MWD (m, % pred)</td>
<td>628±75</td>
<td>505±115</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO₂SL (mL/kg/min, % pred)</td>
<td>25.8±6.2</td>
<td>17.4±4.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting SP (mmHg)</td>
<td>132±18</td>
<td>132±23</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting DP (mmHg)</td>
<td>80±10</td>
<td>79±11</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting HR (bpm)</td>
<td>81±12</td>
<td>87±18</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum SP (mmHg)</td>
<td>203±25</td>
<td>200±31</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum DP (mmHg)</td>
<td>89±10</td>
<td>94±14</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum HR (bpm)</td>
<td>160±13</td>
<td>137±20</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Data are presented as mean ± SD, median (IQR: 25%–75%), or number.

**Abbreviations:** BMI, body mass index; DP, diastolic pressure; F, female; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; HR, heart rate; IQR, interquartile range; M, male; min, minute; 6MWD, 6-minute walking distance; VO₂SL, symptom-limited oxygen uptake; pred, predicted; SP, systolic pressure; NS, not significant.
Table 2 Comparisons of the baseline characteristics of the entire group of control subjects and the entire group of COPD patients and subgroups with muscle biopsy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>All controls</th>
<th>Controls + biopsy</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>All COPD</th>
<th>COPD + biopsy</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participants (n)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>62.1±5.8</td>
<td>63.2±4.76</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>61.5±7.9</td>
<td>60.3±7.5</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F)</td>
<td>18/17</td>
<td>13/4</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>30/19</td>
<td>15/4</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV$_1$ (% pred)</td>
<td>107±14</td>
<td>111±15</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>54±22</td>
<td>25±4.5</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m$^2$)</td>
<td>25.9±2.8</td>
<td>26.5±2.4</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>44.3±19</td>
<td>46.3±17.6</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco smoke (pack-years)</td>
<td>5.5±10.4</td>
<td>7.5±11.4</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>4.1 (2.2–7.2)</td>
<td>4.9 (3.5–6.4)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voorrips score</td>
<td>4.6 (2.4–7.1)</td>
<td>3.8 (2.1–7.0)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>78±18</td>
<td>83±14</td>
<td>0.231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6MWD (% pred)</td>
<td>97±12</td>
<td>101±11</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>78±18</td>
<td>83±14</td>
<td>0.231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO$_{2sl}$ (% pred)</td>
<td>107±14</td>
<td>110±12</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>68±19</td>
<td>70±17</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting SP (mmHg)</td>
<td>132±18</td>
<td>131±17</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>132±23</td>
<td>130±17</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting DP (mmHg)</td>
<td>80±10</td>
<td>80±10</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>79±11</td>
<td>79±8</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum SP (mmHg)</td>
<td>203±25</td>
<td>216±15</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>200±31</td>
<td>208±21</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum DP (mmHg)</td>
<td>89±10</td>
<td>93±9</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>94±14</td>
<td>97±14</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data are presented as mean ± SD, median (IQR: 25%–75%), or n.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; DP, diastolic pressure; F, female; FEV$_1$, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; IQR, interquartile range; M, male; 6MWD, 6-minute walking distance; VO$_{2sl}$, symptom-limited oxygen uptake; pred, predicted; SP, systolic pressure.

Figure 1 (A) Representative pictures of vastus lateralis myofibers (after Hoechst nuclei staining, blue) and capillaries (after staining for endothelial marker CD31, green) in a COPD patient and a control subject before (upper row) and after (lower row) training. (B) Mean values for the relative C/F ratio changes (%) in COPD patients and healthy controls after training. *Group–time interaction (P<0.05). †Time effect (P<0.05).

Abbreviation: C/F, capillary-to-fiber.
Posttraining maximal BP at similar maximum HR (160±13 bpm vs 162±12 bpm; \(P=0.41\)) was significantly reduced in CSs (DP: 89±10 mmHg vs 85±9 mmHg; \(P<0.001\)/SP: 204±25 mmHg vs 196±27 mmHg; \(P<0.05\)) but did not change significantly in COPD patients (DP: 94±14 mmHg vs 97±16 mmHg; \(P=0.46\)/SP: 202±27 mmHg vs 196±27 mmHg; \(P=0.05\)). Maximal DP and SP showed significant interaction between group and time (\(P<0.05\) for DP and SP), meaning that the training effect on BP significantly differed between groups. Maximal exercise pulsed pressure did not significantly change in either CSs (114±21 mmHg vs 111±24 mmHg; \(P=0.47\)) or COPD patients (108±24 mmHg vs 111±24 mmHg; \(P=0.58\)). Conversely, maximal MAP was significantly reduced in CSs (127±13 mmHg vs 121±13 mmHg; \(P<0.001\)) but not in COPD patients (130±16 mmHg vs 134±16 mmHg; \(P=0.25\)), with a significant interaction between group and time (\(P<0.05\)).

**Relationships between resting and maximal exercise BP and determinants of systemic hypertension**

Resting and maximal exercise BP changes were not significantly correlated with changes in weight or VO\(_{2sl}\). In addition, baseline tobacco smoking in pack-years was not correlated with baseline BP or BP changes (Table 3). Maximal BP changes in males and females were not statistically different in either CSs (\(-7.8±9.9\) mmHg vs \(-3.5±9.0\) mmHg and \(-10.2±18.2\) mmHg vs \(-2.9±16.1\) mmHg for SP and DP, respectively) or COPD patients (2.8±14.5 mmHg vs \(-0.06±16.8\) mmHg and

### Table 3 Correlation coefficients and \(P\)-values between resting and maximal exercise blood pressure and usual risk factors for HTA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Changes in resting DP</th>
<th>Changes in resting SP</th>
<th>Changes in max exercise DP</th>
<th>Changes in max exercise SP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m(^2))</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO(_{2sl}) (% pred)</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity level</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Voorrips score)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco smoking (pack-years)</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in weight (kg)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in VO(_{2sl}) (% pred)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** The correlations between the variables are presented by Pearson’s correlation coefficients or Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients. For each characteristic the correlation coefficients are shown on the top line and the \(P\)-values are shown on the bottom line.

**Abbreviations:** BMI, body mass index; DP, diastolic pressure; HTA, arterial hypertension; max, maximum; SP, systolic pressure; VO\(_{2sl}\), symptom-limited oxygen uptake.
1.9±17.6 mmHg vs 6.9±16.3 mmHg for SP and DP, respectively). Figure 3 shows the significant negative correlations between maximal SP and MAP and C/F ratio changes in the pooled CSs and COPD patients (r=-0.41 and r=-0.37 for maximum MAP and SP; P=0.02 and P=0.06, respectively).

**Discussion**

Our results show that an efficient exercise training program significantly reduced maximal exercise BP in matched CSs but not in COPD patients. The blunted adaptation of the muscle capillary bed may have been a factor in this lack of improved BP in the patients.

**Effect of exercise training on BP in healthy subjects and COPD patients**

Our study is the first to demonstrate impaired BP adaptation to exercise training in COPD patients. Three earlier studies23,24,31,32 showed no improvement in resting BP, but a recent meta-analysis reported that the training-induced BP change in the subgroup of normotensive subjects was very low (−0.75 mmHg and −1 mmHg for SP and DP, respectively).11 Therefore, the lack of significant posttraining improvement in resting BP in the COPD patients could not be attributed to the disease, but rather to a lack of power. Notably, however, the one study with a large cohort of COPD patients showing no training-induced improvement in resting BP did not compare the results with matched healthy CSs.31

In addition, in agreement with the abovementioned meta-analysis,11 training-induced BP declines have only been observed in studies that included COPD patients presenting elevated resting BP values.33,34 These observations indicate that training-induced BP changes occur in COPD patients with advanced vascular disease. Therefore, the lack of improvement in resting BP in our COPD patients with normal resting BP is in line with the published data.11

**Effect of exercise training on BP in COPD patients: potential biases of the study**

In contrast to CSs, COPD patients showed no decrease in maximal exercise BP after training. One strength of our study was the control of biases. At baseline, the HTA prevalence in CSs and COPD patients was similar to that observed in the general population35 and large cohorts.2,23 Although HTA was more prevalent in COPD patients, resting BP was not different between groups. In addition, both groups were matched for HTA risk factors: age, BMI, and physical inactivity. The sex ratio did not differ statistically between groups. Furthermore, the differential resting and exercise BP changes after training cannot be imputed to differences in sex, metabolic effects, or training regimens, because the exercise BP changes in both CSs and COPD patients showed no sex difference, and weight and aerobic capacity changes were not correlated with maximal BP changes in either group.

Overall, cumulative tobacco smoking and HTA prevalence were the only factors that differed between controls and COPD patients. Yet, cumulative tobacco smoking was not correlated with baseline BP or BP changes.

**Microvascular training effects in healthy subjects**

In healthy subjects, the major site of total peripheral resistance is not the large arteries but the microcirculation.8,36
Capillary rarefaction, which is the consequence of arteriolar vasoconstriction (functional rarefaction) or capillary destruction (structural rarefaction), is pathognomonic of essential hypertension. Both functional and structural rarefactions have been reported in skin tissue from hypertensive patients and in healthy subjects with exercise-induced HTA. Functional rarefaction can induce structural rarefaction in spontaneously hypertensive rats. Moreover, structural capillary rarefaction may be a causal factor of HTA. Indeed, rarefaction occurs early in the development of HTA and has been detected in normotensive individuals with familial predisposition. Infants born from hypertensive mothers have significantly lower maximal capillary density than those born from normotensive mothers. In addition, mathematical modeling suggests that capillary rarefaction can amplify an initial increase in BP.

Conversely, experimental interventions improving the capillary bed in animal models (ovariectomy or training) have improved BP in spontaneously hypertensive rats. In our study, we observed an improvement in maximal exercise BP and MAP (with no change in its pulsatile component), indicating a reduction in peripheral vascular resistance (as expected) and a concomitant structural increase in the capillary bed (as observed earlier). Therefore, our training program induced a significant microvascular improvement in our healthy controls.

Vascular training effects in COPD patients
The impaired improvement in maximal exercise BP in COPD patients may have been due to no improvement in large artery stiffness. However, this observation has not been consistently reported. Given the observation in our healthy controls, impaired BP adaptations to training in COPD patients are at least microvascular. Indeed, after a similar exercise training program performed at a similar relative intensity, the C/F increase was significantly lower in COPD patients vs matched CSs, confirming earlier results in a larger population. In addition, the C/F changes were significantly correlated with maximal exercise SP and MAP in both CSs and COPD patients.

Although a lack of training-induced development of the capillary bed may directly impair exercise BP in COPD patients, arterioles may also be a link between the blunted BP and capillary changes in these patients. In healthy subjects, arteriolar vasodilatation leads to capillary recruitment and triggers structural angiogenesis. Exercise training physiologically improves flow-mediated vasodilatation and muscle hyperemia during exercise. In COPD patients, however, arteriolar flow-mediated vasodilatation is impaired and exercise training may not improve it, resulting in the blunted reduction of exercise BP and lack of muscle capillarization. However, flow-mediated vasodilatation is increased in physically active vs sedentary COPD patients.

Blunted capillary angiogenesis appears to be a viable hypothesis for the lack of reduction in exercise BP and peripheral vascular resistance in COPD patients after training. The oxidative stress, which is implicated in the cardiovascular comorbidities of COPD patients, can trigger endothelial apoptosis in HTA rats and COPD patients. In addition, oxidative stress reduces the mobilization of the endothelial progenitor cells that have angiogenic properties, in particular, after training. Therefore, oxidative stress may be the link between impaired training-induced BP changes and capillarization in COPD patients.

Conclusion
Our comparative analysis of training responses in COPD patients vs matched CSs reveals defective maximal exercise BP adaptation in patients associated with reduced improvement in muscle capillarization. Further studies are needed to explore the possibility of blunted angiogenesis and other mechanisms involved in a “microangiogenic disease” in COPD patients.
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